

Ergodicity in Riesz spaces*

Jonathan Homann

Wen-Chi Kuo

Bruce A. Watson

‡ School of Mathematics

University of the Witwatersrand

Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa

September 11, 2021

Abstract

The ergodic theorems of Hopf, Wiener and Birkhoff were extended to the context of Riesz spaces with a weak order unit and conditional expectation operator by Kuo, Labuschagne and Watson in [*Ergodic Theory and the Strong Law of Large Numbers on Riesz Spaces*. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **325**, (2007), 422–437.]. However, the precise concept of what constitutes ergodicity in Riesz spaces was not considered. In this short paper we fill in this omission and give some explanations of the choices made. In addition, we consider the interplay between mixing and ergodicity in the Riesz space setting.

1 Introduction

Ergodic theory seeks to study the long-term behaviour of a dynamical system. One typically works in a probability space, $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, with a transformation $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$. Here the quadruple $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu, \tau)$ is called a measure preserving system if $\mu(\tau^{-1}(A)) = \mu(A)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$. A set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is called τ -invariant if $\tau^{-1}(A) \subset A$ and the measure preserving system $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu, \tau)$ is said to be ergodic if $\mu(A) \in \{0, 1\}$ for each τ -invariant A , see Petersen [13]. An equivalent definition of ergodicity is that $f \circ \tau = f$ for measurable f only if f is a.e. constant, see [14, page 15]. It is this definition that leads naturally to a conditional version and, from there, to a Riesz space version.

*Subject Classification (2020):46A40; 47A35; 37A25; 60F05. Keywords: Ergodicity; Riesz spaces; weak mixing; conditional expectation operators.

In [8], Kuo, Labuschagne and Watson generalised the ergodic theorems of Birkhoff, Wiener, Hopf and Garsia to the setting of Riesz spaces. They also generalised the Kolmogorov 0-1 law to Riesz spaces and were thus able to give a strong law of large numbers for conditionally independent sequences in Riesz spaces. However, they omitted to consider what constituted ergodicity in a Riesz space. In this paper we fill this gap and, using the works of Kuo, Labuschagne and Watson in [8, 6], are able to relate ergodicity to weak mixing in the Riesz space setting. Further to this, we apply these concepts to sequences with conditionally independent shifts and show the ergodicity of such processes.

This work continues the studies of mixing processes, [6, 10], and of stochastic processes, [2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 15], in the Riesz space setting.

This work is dedicated to the memory of our friend, collaborator and colleague Professor Coenraad Labuschagne, with whom our work on stochastic processes in Riesz spaces began.

2 Preliminaries

The reader is referred to Aliprantis and Border [1, pages 263-300], Fremlin [3, Chapter 35, pages 219-274], Meyer-Nieberg [12], and Zaanen [19] for background in Riesz spaces.

We recall from [7] the definition of a conditional expectation operator on a Riesz space.

Definition 2.1 *Let E be a Riesz space with weak order unit. A positive order continuous projection $T: E \rightarrow E$, with range, $R(T)$, a Dedekind complete Riesz subspace of E , is called a conditional expectation operator on E if Te is a weak order unit of E for each weak order unit e of E .*

The Riesz space analogue of a measure preserving system is introduced in the following definition.

Definition 2.2 *If E is a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit, say, e , T is a conditional expectation operator on E with $Te = e$ and S is an order continuous Riesz homomorphism on E with $Se = e$ and, further, $TSPe = TPe$, for all band projections P on E , then (E, T, S, e) , is called a conditional expectation preserving system.*

Due to Freudenthal's Spectral Theorem ([19, Theorem 33.2]), the condition $TSPe = TPe$ for each band projection P on E in the above definition is equivalent to $TSf = Tf$ for all $f \in E$.

Let (E, T, S, e) be a conditional expectation preserving system. For $f \in E$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote

$$S_n f := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S^k f, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$L_S f := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n f, \quad (2.1b)$$

where the above limit is the order limit, if it exists. We say that $f \in E$ is S -invariant if $Sf = f$. The set of all S -invariant $f \in E$ will be denoted $\mathcal{I}_S := \{f \in E \mid Sf = f\}$. The set of $f \in E$ for which $L_S f$ exists will be denoted \mathcal{E}_S and thus $L_S : \mathcal{E}_S \rightarrow E$.

Lemma 2.3 *Let (E, T, S, e) be a conditional expectation preserving system and define \mathcal{I}_S and \mathcal{E}_S as before, then $\mathcal{I}_S \subset \mathcal{E}_S$ and $L_S f = f$, for all $f \in \mathcal{I}_S$, so $\mathcal{I}_S \subset R(L_S)$.*

Proof: If $f \in \mathcal{I}_S$, then $S^k f = f$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus $S_n f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f = f$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, giving $S_n f \rightarrow f$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $f \in \mathcal{E}_S$ and $L_S f = f$, thus $\mathcal{I}_S \subset \mathcal{E}_S$. ■

We recall Birkhoff's bounded ergodic theorem from [8, Theorem 3.7].

Theorem 2.4 (Birkhoff's (Bounded) Ergodic Theorem) *Let (E, T, S, e) be a conditional expectation preserving system. For $f \in E$, the sequence $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is order bounded in E if and only if $f \in \mathcal{E}_S$. For each $f \in \mathcal{E}_S$ we have $L_S f = SL_S f$ and $TL_S f = Tf$. If $E = \mathcal{E}_S$ then L_S is a conditional expectation operator on E with $L_S e = e$.*

Note 2.5 *If we restrict our attention to E_e , then $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is order bounded in E_e for each $f \in E_e$, so $E_e \subset \mathcal{E}_S$. Furthermore, $L_S f \in E_e$, giving that $L_S|_{E_e}$ is a conditional expectation on E_e .*

E is said to be universally complete with respect to T (T -universally complete), if, for each increasing net (f_α) in E_+ with (Tf_α) order bounded, we have that (f_α) is order convergent in E .

We recall Birkhoff's ergodic theorem for a T -universally complete Riesz space from [8, Theorem 3.9].

Theorem 2.6 (Birkhoff's (Complete) Ergodic Theorem) *Let (E, T, S, e) be a conditional expectation preserving system with E T -universally complete then $E = \mathcal{E}_S$ and hence $L_S = SL_S$. In addition, $TL_S = T$ and L_S is a conditional expectation operator on E .*

From [6, Lemma 2.1] we have.

Lemma 2.7 *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in E , a Dedekind complete Riesz space. If $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_k|$ is order convergent in E then $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k$ is order convergent in E .*

From the above lemma and [9, Lemma 2.1] we have the following.

Theorem 2.8 *Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ a sequence in E with $f_n \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f_k| \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Corollary 2.9 *Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ a sequence in E with order limit f , then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k \rightarrow f$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

3 Ergodicity on Riesz Spaces

Consider the Riesz space $E = L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, where μ is a probability measure and T is the expectation operator $T = \mathbb{E}[\cdot] \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the equivalence class, in $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, of function with value 1 almost everywhere. For τ a measure preserving transformation on Ω setting $Sf = f \circ \tau$ we have that $(E, T, S, \mathbf{1})$ is a conditional expectation preserving system. The definition of ergodicity as $f \circ \tau = f$ for measurable f only if f is almost everywhere constant, can now be written as $Sf = f$ only if $f \in R(T)$. This leads naturally to the following definition in Riesz spaces, for conditional expectation preserving systems.

Definition 3.1 (Ergodicity) *The conditional expectation preserving system (E, T, S, e) is said to be ergodic if $L_S f \in R(T)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{I}_S$.*

As will be seen later, this definition preserves the original philosophy of an ergodic process as one in which the time mean (the Cesàro mean) is equal to the spatial mean (conditional expectation) in the limit.

We note that E_e is a $R(T)$ -module, see [10], and hence, when dealing with conditioned systems, the role of the scalars $(\mathbb{R} \text{ or } \{ke \mid k \in \mathbb{R}\})$ is replaced by the ring $R(T)$.

Theorem 3.2 *The conditional expectation preserving system (E, T, S, e) is ergodic if and only if $L_S f = T f$ for all $f \in \mathcal{I}_S$.*

Proof: Let (E, T, S, e) be ergodic and $f \in \mathcal{I}_S$, then, by Theorem 2.4, $L_S f$ exists and $T L_S f = T f$. As (E, T, S, e) is ergodic $L_S f \in R(T)$, so there exists $g \in E$ such that $L_S f = T g$. As T is a projection $T T g = T g$, so $T f = T L_S f = T T g = T g = L_S f$.

Conversely, if $f \in \mathcal{I}_S$ then, as $\mathcal{I}_S \subset \mathcal{E}_S$, $L_S f$ exists and if we assume $L_S f = T f$ then $L_S f \in R(T)$. Thus (E, T, S, e) is ergodic. ■

For (E, T, S, e) a conditional expectation preserving system we denote by \mathcal{B} the band projections on E , by $\mathcal{P} := \{P \in \mathcal{B} \mid T P e = P T e = P e\}$ the band projections on E which commute with T and by $\mathcal{A} := \{P \in \mathcal{B} \mid S P e = P e\}$ the set of S invariant band projections on E . Since S is a positive operator with $S e = e$ and P is positive and below the identity, we have that $S P e, P e \in E_e$, for all $P \in \mathcal{B}$. Hence, L_S can always be applied to $S P e$ and $P e$. We now show that \mathcal{A} characterises L_S .

Theorem 3.3 *For (E, T, S, e) a conditional expectation preserving system we have that $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}$ where $\mathcal{F} := \{P \in \mathcal{B} \mid P e = L_S P e\}$ is the set of band projections on E which commute with L_S .*

Proof: For the purpose of this theorem we can assume that $E = E_e$ as the sets $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{P}$ do not change when E is replaced by E_e . Further, by Theorem 2.4, L_S restricted to E_e is everywhere defined and a conditional expectation on E_e with $S L_S = L_S$.

If $P \in \mathcal{A}$ then $P e \in \mathcal{I}_S$, so, by Lemma 2.3, $L_S P e = P e$, hence $P \in \mathcal{F}$. Conversely, if $P \in \mathcal{F}$ then $L_S P e = P L_S e = P e$, so $S P e = S L_S P e = L_S P e = P e$, giving $P \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}$.

From Theorem 2.4, $L_S T = T = T L_S$ giving that if $P \in \mathcal{P}$ then $T P e = P e$ so $L_S P e = L_S T P e = T P e = P e$ and $P \in \mathcal{F}$. ■

The following corollary to Theorem 3.3 is critical when applying the concepts of ergodicity in Riesz spaces, further to this, it shows that ergodicity is equivalent to the time and spatial means coinciding in the limit.

Corollary 3.4 *The conditional expectation preserving system (E, T, S, e) is ergodic if and only if $T = L_S$, where $E = \mathcal{E}_S$.*

Proof: Theorem 2.4 gives that $S L_S = L_S$, hence $R(L_S) \subset \mathcal{I}_S$. However, by Lemma 2.3 $\mathcal{I}_S \subset R(L_S)$. Hence $\mathcal{I}_S = R(L_S)$.

If (E, T, S, e) is ergodic, then $Tf = L_S f = f$, for all $f \in \mathcal{I}_S$, so $f \in R(T)$, giving $R(L_S) = \mathcal{I}_S \subset R(T)$. Hence, $R(L_S) = R(T)$, but $L_S T = T = T L_S$ so, from [18], $L_S = T$.

Conversely, suppose that $T = L_S$, then by Theorem 3.2, (E, T, S, e) is ergodic. ■

An operator, say A , on a Riesz space is said to be strictly positive if A is a positive operator ($Af \geq 0$ for each $f \geq 0$) and $Af = 0$ if and only if $f = 0$. We recall that $E_e = \{f \in E \mid |f| \leq ke \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$, the subspace of E of e bounded elements of E , is an f -algebra, see [2, 17, 20]. The f -algebra structure on E_e is generated by setting $Pe \cdot Qe = PQe$ for all band projections P and Q on E (here, \cdot represents the f -algebra multiplication on E_e). The linear extension of this multiplication and use of order limits extends this multiplication to E_e . We refer the reader to Azouzi and Trabelsi [2], Venter and van Eldik [17] and Zaanen [20, Chapter 20] for further background on f -algebras.

If T is a conditional expectation operator on E with $Te = e$, then T is also a conditional expectation operator on E_e , since, if $f \in E_e$, then $|f| \leq ke$, giving $|Tf| \leq T|f| \leq Tke = ke$. Further T is an averaging operator on E_e , that is

$$T(f \cdot g) = f \cdot Tg$$

for $f, g \in E_e$ with $f \in R(T)$, see [7].

The following theorem will be seen to be fundamental in linking the concepts of ergodicity and conditional weak mixing in Riesz spaces.

Theorem 3.5 *A conditional expectation preserving system (E, T, S, e) , where T being strictly positive, is ergodic if and only if*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T \left(S^k f \cdot g \right) \rightarrow Tf \cdot Tg, \quad (3.1)$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for $f, g \in E_e$.

Proof: For $f, g \in E_e$, we note that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T \left((S^k f) \cdot g \right) = T \left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S^k f \right) \cdot g \right) = T (S_n f \cdot g),$$

and in E_e , $S_n f \rightarrow L_S f$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus (3.1) is equivalent to

$$T(L_S f \cdot g) = Tf \cdot Tg. \quad (3.2)$$

Suppose that (E, T, S, e) is ergodic. As $L_S f \in R(T)$ and T is an averaging operator $T(L_S f \cdot g) = L_S f \cdot Tg$. Again from the ergodicity of (E, T, S, e) we have $L_S f = Tf$. Thus (3.2) holds.

Conversely, suppose that (3.1) or equivalently (3.2) holds. As T is an averaging operator, $Tf \cdot Tg = T(Tf \cdot g)$, which combined with (3.2) gives

$$T((L_S f - Tf) \cdot g) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Taking $g = P_{\pm} e$ where P_{\pm} are the band projections onto the bands generated by $(L_S f - Tf)^{\pm}$ in (3.4) gives

$$0 = T((L_S f - Tf) \cdot P_{\pm} e) = T(P_{\pm}(L_S f - Tf)) = T((L_S f - Tf)^{\pm}). \quad (3.4)$$

The strict positivity of T now gives that $(L_S f - Tf)^{\pm} = 0$, hence $L_S f = Tf$. ■

4 Application to conditional weak mixing

We recall from [6] the definition of conditional weak mixing in Riesz spaces. Various other types of mixing in Riesz spaces were studied in [10].

Definition 4.1 (Weak Mixing) *The conditional expectation preserving system (E, T, S, e) is said to be weakly mixing if, for all band projections P and Q on E ,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left((S^k P e) \cdot Q e \right) - T P e \cdot T Q e \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.1)$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

From [6] we have (4.1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left((S^k f) \cdot g \right) - T f \cdot T g \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.2)$$

for all $f, g \in E_e$, in order as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Combining Theorem 3.5 with Lemma 2.7 and (4.2), we have the following.

Corollary 4.2 *If the conditional expectation preserving system (E, T, S, e) , with T strictly positive, is conditionally weak mixing then it is ergodic.*

Proof: If (E, T, S, e) is conditionally weak mixing, then by (4.2),

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left((S^k f) \cdot g \right) - T f \cdot T g \right| \rightarrow 0,$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $f, g \in E_e$, which, by Lemma 2.7 gives

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T \left((S^k f) \cdot g \right) - T f \cdot T g = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(T \left((S^k f) \cdot g \right) - T f \cdot T g \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $f, g \in E_e$. That is

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T \left((S^k f) \cdot g \right) \rightarrow T f \cdot T g,$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all $f, g \in E$, giving that (E, T, S, e) is ergodic, by Theorem 3.5. ■

5 Application to processes with conditionally independent shifts

We refer the reader to Vardy and Watson, [16], Kuo, Vardy and Watson, [11], and Kuo, Labuschagne and Watson, [8], for background on T -conditional independence. A summary of T -conditional independence, based on [11], follows.

Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit, say, e , and T be a conditional expectation operator on E with $Te = e$. Band projections P and Q on E are said to be T -conditionally independent (independent with respect to T), if

$$TPTQe = TPQe = TQTPe. \tag{5.1}$$

We note, from [5, Lemma 4.2], that band projections P and Q on E are T -conditionally independent if and only if $TPTQe = TPQe$ (or equivalently $TPQe = TQTPe$), i.e. assuming both inequalities in (5.1) is unnecessary. We say that Riesz subspaces E_1 and E_2 of E are T -conditionally independent with respect to T if all band projections P and Q are T -conditionally independent where $Pe \in E_1$ and $Qe \in E_2$. For $(E_\lambda)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ a family of closed, Dedekind complete Riesz subspaces of E with $R(T) \subset E_\lambda$, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we say that the family is T -conditionally independent if, for each pair of disjoint non-empty index sets $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \subset \Lambda$, we have that $\langle \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} E_\lambda \rangle$ and $\langle \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} E_\lambda \rangle$ are T -conditionally independent. A sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ is said to be T -conditionally independent if the family of closed, Dedekind complete Riesz subspaces $\langle \{f_n\} \cup R(T) \rangle$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is T -conditionally independent. Here, for F an non-empty subset of E , $\langle F \rangle$ denotes the closed (under order limits in E) Riesz subspace of E generated by F .

We recall the Strong Law of Large Numbers from [8, Theorem 4.8].

Theorem 5.1 (The Strong Law of Large Numbers) *If (E, T, S, e) is a conditional expectation preserving system with $\mathcal{E}_S = E$ and the sequence $(S^j f)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is independent with respect to T , for each $f \in E$, then $T = L_S$.*

Restricting our attention to E_e , with $(S_n f)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ independent with respect to T , for each $f \in E_e$, we have, from Theorem 5.1, that $T|_{E_e} = L_S|_{E_e}$. Hence, from Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 5.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2 *Let (E, T, S, e) be a conditional expectation preserving system with $\mathcal{E}_S = E$ and the sequence $(S^j f)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ T -conditionally independent, for each $f \in E$, then (E, T, S, e) is ergodic.*

References

- [1] ALIPRANTIS, C.D., BORDER, K.C., *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [2] AZOUZI, Y., TRABELSI, M., L^p Spaces with Respect to Conditional Expectation on Riesz Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **447**, (2017), 798-816.
- [3] FREMLIN, D.H., *Measure Theory Volume 3*, Torres Fremlin, 2000.
- [4] GROBLER, J.J., Jensen's and martingale inequalities in Riesz spaces, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, **25**, (2014), 275-295 .
- [5] GROBLER, J.J., The Kolmogorov-Čentsov theorem and Brownian motion in vector lattices, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **410**, (2014), 891-901 .
- [6] KUO, W-C., HOMANN, J.M., WATSON, B.A., A Koopman-von Neumann type theorem on the convergence of Cesàro means in Riesz spaces, *submitted*.
- [7] KUO, W-C., LABUSCHAGNE, C.C.A., WATSON, B.A., Conditional Expectations on Riesz Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **303**, (2005), 509-521.
- [8] KUO, W-C., LABUSCHAGNE, C.C.A., WATSON, B.A., Ergodic Theory and the Strong Law of Large Numbers on Riesz Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **325**, (2007), 422-437.
- [9] KUO, W.-C., RODDA D.F., WATSON, B.A., Hájek-Rényi-Chow Maximal Inequality and a Strong Law of Large Numbers in Riesz Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **481**, (2020), 123462.
- [10] KUO, W.-C., ROGANS, M.J., WATSON, B.A., Mixing inequalities in Riesz spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **456**, (2017), 992-1004.
- [11] KUO, W.-C., VARDY, J.J., WATSON, B.A., Bernoulli Processes in Riesz Spaces, *Ordered Structures and Applications*, (2016), 263-274.

- [12] MEYER-NIEBERG, P., *Banach Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [13] PETERSEN, K., *Ergodic Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [14] SHIELDS, P.C., *Ergodic theory of discrete sample paths*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1996.
- [15] STOICA, G., Limit Laws for Martingales in Vector Lattices, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **476**, 2019, 715-719.
- [16] VARDY, J.J., WATSON, B.A., Markov Processes on Riesz Spaces, *Positivity*, **16**, (2012), 373-391.
- [17] VENTER, L.M., VAN ELDIK, P., Universally Complete Riesz Spaces and f -algebras, *South African J. Sci.*, **84**, (1987), 343-346.
- [18] WATSON, B.A., An Andô-Douglas Type Theorem in Riesz Spaces with a Conditional Expectation, *Positivity*, **13**, (2009), 543-558.
- [19] ZAAANEN, A.C., *Introduction to Operator Theory in Riesz Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [20] ZAAANEN, A.C., *Riesz Spaces II*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.