

## Characterizations of weighted $(b,c)$ inverse

Bibekananda Sitha<sup>a</sup>, Jajati Keshari Sahoo<sup>a</sup> , Ratikanta Behera<sup>b</sup> 

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, BITS Pilani, K K Birla Goa Campus, Zuarinagar, Goa, India.

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, 32826, FL, USA.

### ARTICLE HISTORY

Compiled December 27, 2021

### ABSTRACT

The notion of weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of an element in rings were introduced, very recently [Comm. Algebra, 48 (4) (2020): 1423-1438]. In this paper, we further elaborate on this theory by establishing a few characterizations of this inverse and their relationships with other  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses. We introduce some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse and annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of elements in rings. In addition to this, we explore a few sufficient conditions for the reverse-order law of the annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses.

### KEYWORDS

Generalized inverse; weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse; weighted hybrid  $(b, c)$ -inverse; weighted annihilator  $(b, c)$ -inverse, weighted Bott-Duffin  $(e, f)$ -inverse.

### 2010 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION

15A09; 16U90; 16W10.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background and motivation

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring with involution. The theory of generalized inverses has generated a tremendous amount of interest in many research areas in mathematics [1,9,16,17]. Several types of generalized inverses are available in the literature, such as Moore-Penrose inverse[12], group inverse [10], Drazin inverse [4], core inverse[16]. It is worth to mention, Drazin in [5] introduced  $(b, c)$  inverse in the setting of a semigroup, which is a generalization of Moore–Penrose inverse. The concept of annihilator  $(b, c)$ - and hybrid  $(b, c)$ -inverse were established as generalizations of  $(b, c)$ -inverses in [5]. Further, several characterizations hybrid and annihilator  $(b, c)$ -inverse have been discussed in [20]. Mary proposed the inverse along an element (see [14] Definition 4), as a new type of generalized inverse. Many researchers [6,7,19] were explored numerous properties of these inverses and interconnections with other generalized inverses. Among the extensive work of generalized inverses, there has been a growing interest with “weighted” generalized inverses [3,15,18] in recent years for encompassing the above-mentioned generalized inverses.

In connection with the theory  $(b, c)$ -inverses (see [5], Definition 1.3) and Bott-Duffin inverse [2], Drazin explored the Bott-Duffin  $(e, f)$ -inverse (see [5], Definition 3.2) in a semigroup. Further, very large class of “ $(v, w)$ -weighted version” of  $(b, c)$ -inverses are introduced in [8] e.g., annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses (see Definition 4.1) and hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses (see Definition 4.2). The vast work on hybrid and annihilator  $(b, c)$ -inverse along with the above weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse, motivate us to study a few characterizations and representations for hybrid and annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

More precisely, the main contributions of this paper are as follows:

- A few necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$  inverses of elements in rings are introduced.
- Some characterizations of the  $(v, w)$ -weighted hybrid  $(b, c)$ -inverse and annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses are investigated.
- The construction of  $(v, w)$ -weighted hybrid  $(b, c)$ -inverse via group inverse is presented.

## 1.2. Outlines

Our presentation is organized as follows. We present some notations and existing definitions in Section 2. In Section 3, we have discussed a few characterizations for the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. Various equivalent characterizations of the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse are presented in Section 4. In Section 5, we study the representation of the annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. The contribution of our work is summarized in Section 6.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we consider  $\mathcal{R}$  be an associative ring with unity 1. The sets of all left annihilators and right annihilators of  $a$  is respectively defined by

$$lann(a) = \{x \in \mathcal{R} : xa = 0\} \text{ and } rann(a) = \{z \in \mathcal{R} : az = 0\}.$$

We denote the left and right ideals by  $a\mathcal{R} = \{ar : r \in \mathcal{R}\}$  and  $\mathcal{R}a = \{za : z \in \mathcal{R}\}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  is called generalized or inner inverse of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  if  $aya = y$ . If such  $y$  exist, we call  $a$  is regular. The set of inner inverse of  $a$  is denoted by  $a\{1\}$  and the elements are represented by  $a^-$ . The following result gives the relation between ideals and annihilators.

**Proposition 2.1.** [13] *If  $a$  is idempotent, then  $rann(a) = (1 - a)\mathcal{R}$  and  $lann(a) = \mathcal{R}(1 - a)$ .*

Next we recall the definition of group inverse [4] of an element in  $\mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y$  is called group inverse of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  if  $aya = a$ ,  $yay = y$ , and  $ay = ya$ . The group inverse of  $a$  is denoted by  $a^\#$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of group inverse is stated in the next result.

**Lemma 2.2.** [Theorem 1, [11]] *Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $a$  is group invertible if and only if  $a \in a^2\mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}a^2$ .*

We next recall the “ $(v, w)$ -weighted” version of  $(b, c)$  inverse from [8].

**Definition 2.3.** [Theorem 2.1 (i), [8]] Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfying

$$y \in b\mathcal{R}wy \cap yv\mathcal{R}c, yvawb = b \text{ and } cvawy = c,$$

is called the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  and denoted by  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$ .

Drazin in Proposition 3.2, [8] proved that  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$  is unique if exists.

An equivalent characterization of the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse is presented in the next result.

**Lemma 2.4.** (Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.1, [8]) Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $a$  has a  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.
- (ii)  $c \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$ .
- (iii) there exists  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $yvawy = y$ ,  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}c$ .

Following the definition (see [14] Definition 4) of the inverse along an element of  $\mathcal{R}$ , we now define  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse of  $a$  along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  below.

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $a, d, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfying

$$yvawd = d = dvawy, \mathcal{R}wy \subseteq Rd, \text{ and } yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$$

is called the  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse of  $a$  along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  and denoted by  $a_{\parallel d}^{v,w}$ .

**Example 2.6.** Let  $\mathcal{R} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ , with  $a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $d = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Since the matrix  $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , satisfies

$$yvawd = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = d,$$

$$dvawy = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = d,$$

$$wy = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = r_1d \text{ and } yv = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = dr_2$$

for some  $r_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $r_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , it follows that  $a_{\parallel d}^{v,w} = y$ .

In view of right [resp. left] hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse (see [8], Definition 4.2) and annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse (see [8], Definition 4.1) of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ , we next present the definition of the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse and annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfying

$$yvawy = y, yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}, \text{ and } rann(c) = rann(wy),$$

is called the right hybrid (or hybrid)  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  and denoted by  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$ .

In section 4, we will discuss some results for right hybrid inverse  $(v, w)$ -weighted

$(b, c)$ -inverse, which can be similarly proved for left hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. So from here onward we call the right right hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse as hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

The existence of hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse over a semigroup which proved in [8] restated for the ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , as follows.

**Lemma 2.8.** (Theorem 4.7, [8]) *Let  $1 \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  exists if and only if  $\text{rann}(cvawb) \subseteq \text{rann}(b)$ , and  $c \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$ .*

**Definition 2.9.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . An element  $y \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfying*

$$yvaw = y, \text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b), \text{ and } \text{rann}(c) = \text{rann}(wy),$$

*is called the annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  and denoted by  $a_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$ .*

The uniqueness of  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  and  $a_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$  proved by the author of [8]. In the light of the Bott-Duffin inverse [5], we introduce the  $(v, w)$ -weighted Bott-Duffin inverse as follows.

**Definition 2.10.** *Let  $a, v, w, e, f \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $f^2 = f$ . An element  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  is called  $(v, w)$ -weighted Bott-Duffin  $(e, f)$ -inverse of  $a$  if it satisfies*

$$z = ewz = zvf, \quad zvaw = e, \quad fvawz = f.$$

The  $(v, w)$ -weighted Bott-Duffin  $(e, f)$ -inverse of the element  $a$  is denoted as  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$ .

**Example 2.11.** Let  $\mathcal{R} = M_2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $w = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We can verify that the matrix  $z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$  satisfies

$$zvaw = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = e,$$

$fvawz = f$ , and  $ewz = z = zvf$ . Hence  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w} = z$

### 3. Further results on $(v, w)$ -weighted $(b, c)$ -inverses

In this section, we derive a few useful representations and properties of  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $v, w, d \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following are holds:*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}d$  ( $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ ) then  $\text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(d)$  ( $\text{rann}(d) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ ).*
- (ii) *If  $yv\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$  ( $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ ) then  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(d)$  ( $\text{lann}(d) \subseteq \text{lann}(yv)$ ).*
- (iii) *If  $\text{rann}(d) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ , and  $d^-$  exists, then  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ .*
- (iv) *If  $\text{lann}(d) \subseteq \text{lann}(yv)$ , and  $d^-$  exists, then  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ .*

**Proof.** (i) Let  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}d$ . Then  $wy = sd$  for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now if  $z \in \text{rann}(d)$ , then  $dz = 0$  and  $wyz = sdz = 0$ . Thus  $\text{rann}(d) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in \text{rann}(wy)$ . Then  $wyx = 0$ . From  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}d$ , we can write  $d = twy$  for some  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now  $dx = twyx = 0$ . Hence  $\text{rann}(wy) \subseteq \text{rann}(d)$ .

(ii) We can show by using the similar lines of part (i).

(iii) Let  $x \in d\{1\}$ . Then  $(1 - xd) \in \text{rann}(d) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ . Which implies  $wy = (wyx)d$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ .

(iv) Similar to part (iii).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $a$  has  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse, then both  $b$  and  $c$  are regular.*

**Proof.** Let  $y$  be the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$ . Then by Definition 2.3,  $yvawb = b$ ,  $cvawc = c$  and  $y \in b\mathcal{R}wy \cap yv\mathcal{R}c$ . From the ideals, we further obtain  $y = bswy$  and  $y = yvtc$  for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now  $b = yvawb = bswyvawb = bswb$ . Thus  $b$  is regular. Similarly, we have  $c = cvawc = cvawcvtc = cvtc$  which proves the regularity of  $c$ .  $\square$

We next present a few an equivalent representations of the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a$  has a  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.
- (ii)  $b$  is regular,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw \oplus \text{lann}(b)$ , and  $\text{lann}(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c = 0$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw \oplus \text{lann}(b)$ ,  $\text{lann}(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c = 0$  and  $cvawb$  is regular.
- (iv)  $c$  is regular,  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus \text{rann}(c)$ , and  $\text{rann}(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus \text{rann}(c)$ ,  $\text{rann}(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$  and  $cvawb$  is regular.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that  $a$  has a  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. By Proposition 3.2, we have  $b$  is regular. From Lemma 2.4, there exist  $p, q \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $b = pcvawb$  and  $c = cvawbq$ . Let  $r = 1 - pcvaw$ . Then,  $r \in \text{lann}(b)$ . For any  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ ,

$$t = t.1 = t(pcav + r) = tpcav + tr \in \mathcal{R}cvaw + \text{lann}(b)$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw + \text{lann}(b)$ . Moreover, if  $u \in \mathcal{R}cvaw \cap \text{lann}(b)$ , then there is  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $u = xcvaw$  and  $ub = 0$ . Now  $xc = x(cvawbq) = (ub)q = 0$ , and  $u = xcvaw = 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{R}cvaw \cap \text{lann}(b) = \{0\}$ .

If  $m \in \text{lann}(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c$ , then  $mvaw = 0$  and  $m = sc$ , for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$ . Therefore,  $m = sc = scvawbq = mvawbq = 0$ . Thus  $\text{lann}(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c = \{0\}$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Since  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw \oplus \text{lann}(b)$ . Then,  $1 = gcavaw + h$ , where  $g \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $h \in \text{lann}(b)$ . Therefore,  $b = gcavawb \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . Which implies  $\mathcal{R}b \subseteq \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}cvawb \subseteq \mathcal{R}b$  is trivial, it follows that  $\mathcal{R}b = \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . From  $\mathcal{R}b = \mathcal{R}cvawb$ , we have  $b = scvawb$  and  $cvawb = tb$  for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now

$$cvawb = tb = tbb^{-1}b = cvawbb^{-1}scvawb, \text{ where } b^{-1} \in b\{1\}.$$

Hence  $cvawb$  is regular.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}cvaw \oplus \text{lann}(b)$ . Then  $1 = gcavaw + h$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $h \in \text{lann}(b)$ . Therefore,  $b = gcavawb \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . Now, we will prove  $\text{lann}(c) = \text{lann}(cvawb)$ . Obviously,  $\text{lann}(c) \subseteq \text{lann}(cvawb)$ . For  $x \in \text{lann}(cvawb)$ , we have  $xcvaw \in \text{lann}(b) \cap \mathcal{R}cvaw = \{0\}$ , i.e.  $xcvaw = 0$ . This implies that  $xc \in \text{lann}(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}c = \{0\}$ . Thus  $x \in \text{lann}(c)$ , i.e.  $\text{lann}(c) = \text{lann}(cvawb)$ . Now, let  $t \in (cvawb)\{1\}$ . Since  $(1 - cvawbt)cvawb = 0$ , we have  $1 - cvawbt \in \text{lann}(cvawb) = \text{lann}(c)$ . Thus,  $c = cvawbtc \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$ . Thus by Lemma 2.4,  $a$  has a  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) similar to (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $a, b, c, w, v \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $y = a_{b,c}^{v,w}$ .
- (ii)  $yvawc = y$ ,  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}c$ .

- (iii)  $yvawy = y$ ,  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b)$ ,  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}c$ , and  $b$  is regular.
- (iv)  $yvawy = y$ ,  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(c)$ , and  $c$  is regular.
- (v)  $yvawy = y$ ,  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b)$  and  $\text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(c)$ , and both  $b, c$  are regular.
- (vi) both  $b, c$  are regular,  $y = bb^-y$ ,  $bb^- = yvawbb^-$ ,  $yc^-c = y$ , and  $c^-c = c^-cvawy$ .
- (vii) both  $b, c$  are regular,  $bb^- \in \mathcal{R}(c^-cvawbb^-)$ , and  $c^-c \in (c^-cvawbb^-)\mathcal{R}$ .
- (viii) both  $b, c$  are regular, and there exists  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $bb^- = tc^-cvawbb^-$ ,  $c^-c = c^-cvawbb^-s$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii). By Lemma 2.4.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and  $b\mathcal{R} = yv\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $yv = bs$  and  $b = yvt$  for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . The regularity of  $b$  follows from the below expression:

$$b = yvt = (yvawy)vt = yvaw(yvt) = (yv)awb = b(saw)b.$$

For any  $z \in \text{lann}(yv)$ , we have  $zyv = 0$ . Now  $zb = zyvt = 0$ . Thus  $z \in \text{lann}(b)$  and subsequently  $\text{lann}(yv) \subseteq \text{lann}(b)$ . The reverse inclusion  $\text{lann}(b) \subseteq \text{lann}(yv)$ , we can show similarly. Therefore,  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b)$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Let  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}c$ . Then  $wy = sc$  and  $c = twy$  for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now

$$c = twy = tw(yvawy) = cva(wy) = c(vas)c.$$

So  $c$  is regular. From  $yvawy = y$ , we have  $(yvaw - 1) \in \text{lann}(y) \subseteq \text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b)$ . Further,  $yvawb = b$ . Thus  $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq yv\mathcal{R}$ . The reverse inclusion  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$  can be shown using Proposition 3.1(iv). Hence  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . For any  $z \in \text{rann}(wy)$ , we have  $wyz = 0$ . Now  $cz = twyz = 0$ . This implies  $z \in \text{rann}(c)$ . Hence  $\text{rann}(wy) \subseteq \text{rann}(c)$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in \text{rann}(c)$ , then  $cx = 0$ . Now  $wyx = scx = 0$ . Therefore,  $\text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(c)$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) It is enough to show  $b$  is regular and  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b)$ . The regularity of  $b$  and  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b)$  can be proved in the similar way as (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Follows from Proposition 3.1(iii) and (iv).

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (vi) Let  $y = a_{b,c}^{v,w}$ . Then there exist  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $y = bswy$  and  $y = yvtc$ . So  $b = yvawb = bswyvawb = bswb$ , implies  $b$  is regular. Similarly, we can show  $c$  is regular. Now

$$bb^-y = bb^-bswy = bswy = y \text{ and } yvawbb^- = bb^-.$$

Similarly we can show,  $yc^-c = y$  and  $c^-c = c^-cvawy$ .

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) If (v) holds, then  $bb^- = yvawbb^- = yc^-cvawbb^- \in \mathcal{R}(c^-cvawbb^-)$ . Similarly we can show  $c^-c \in (c^-cvawbb^-)\mathcal{R}$ .

(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii) It is Obvious.

(viii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $bb^- = tc^-cvawbb^-$ . Post-multiplying by  $b$ , we obtain  $b = bb^-b = tc^-cvawb \in \mathcal{R}c^-cvawbb^-b = tc^-cvawb \in \mathcal{R}c^-cvawb$ . Similarly, pre-multiplying  $c$  to  $c^-c = c^-cvawbb^-s$ , we obtain  $c = cvawbb^-s \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$ . Hence by Lemma 2.4, we obtain  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = y$ .  $\square$

The relation between group inverse and  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse is presented in the next result.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Suppose  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$  exist and there exist  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $s\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and  $\text{rann}(s) = \text{rann}(c)$ . Then,  $vaws, svaw \in \mathcal{R}^\#$  and  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = s(vaws)^\# = (svaw)^\#s$ .

**Proof.** First, we will show that  $vaws \in \mathcal{R}^\#$  and  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = s(vaws)^\#$ . Let  $g \in rann(vaws)$ . Then  $vawsg = 0$ . Which implies  $sg \in rann(vaw) \cap s\mathcal{R} = rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  by Theorem 3.3. It follows that  $sg = 0$  and  $g \in rann(s)$ . Thus,  $rann(vaws) \subseteq rann(s)$  and consequently  $rann(vaws) = rann(s) = rann(c)$ . Since  $s\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ , we have  $vaws\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R}$ . Using Theorem 3.3, we get

$$\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c) = vaws\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(vaws).$$

Thus,  $1 = vawsu + t$ , for some  $u \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \in rann(vaws)$ . Now  $vaws = vawsvawsu$ . This yields  $vaws(vaws - vawsvaws) = 0$ . Hence  $(vaws - vawsvaws) \in rann(vaws) \cap vaws\mathcal{R} = \{0\}$  and subsequently,

$$vaws = vawsvaws = vawsvawsu. \quad (1)$$

Clearly,  $vawsu$  is idempotent. Using Proposition 2.1, we obtain  $rann(vawsu) = (1 - vawsu)\mathcal{R}$ . Using equation (1), we obtain  $rann(vawsu) \subseteq rann(vaws)$ . Given any  $h \in rann(vaws)$ , then  $vawsh = 0$  for some  $h \in \mathcal{R}$ . So  $vawsuh = vawsvawsh = 0$ . Hence,  $rann(vaws) \subseteq rann(vawsu)$  and thus  $rann(vaws) = rann(vawsu)$ . Again,  $vaws - vawsvaws \in rann(vaws) = rann(vawsu)$ , hence we have  $vawsu(vaws - vawsvaws) = 0$ . From equation (1), we get

$$vaws = vawsvaws = (vawsu^2)vawsvaws. \quad (2)$$

From equations (1) and (2), we have  $vaws \in \mathcal{R}(vaws)^2 \cap (vaws)^2\mathcal{R}$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2,  $vaws$  is group invertible.

Next we will show that  $s(vaws)^\#$  is the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$ . Let  $t = s(vaws)^\#$ . Then

$$tvawt = s(vaws)^\#vaws(vaws)^\# = s(vaws)^\# = t.$$

Clearly,  $tv\mathcal{R} = (s(vaws)^\#)v\mathcal{R} \subseteq sv\mathcal{R} \subseteq s\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . Since  $vaws((vaws)^\#vaws - 1) = 0$  and  $rann(vaws) = rann(s)$ , it follows that  $s(vaws)^\#vaws = s$ . Hence,

$$b\mathcal{R} = s\mathcal{R} = (s(vaws)^\#vaws)\mathcal{R} \subseteq tvaws\mathcal{R} \subseteq tv\mathcal{R}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} rann(wt) &= rann(ws(vaws)^\#) \subseteq rann(vaws(vaws)^\#) = rann(vaws) = rann(s) \\ &= rann(c), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} rann(c) &= rann(s) = rann(vaws) = rann(vaws(vaws)^\#) \\ &\subseteq rann(s(vaws)^\#vaws(vaws)^\#) = rann(s(vaws)^\#) \\ &= rann(t) \subseteq rann(wt). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.4(iv), we obtain  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = t = s(vaws)^\#$ . Similarly, it can be shown that  $svaw \in \mathcal{R}^\#$  and  $a_{b,c}^{v,w} = (vaws)^\#s$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $a, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . If  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $f^2 = f$ , then the following are equivalent;*

- (i)  $e \in e\mathcal{R}fvawe$  and  $f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}f$ .

- (ii) there exist  $m, n \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $p = mfvawe + 1 - e$  is invertible, and  $fvawep^{-1}n = f$ .
- (iii) there exist  $m, n \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $q = fvawen + 1 - f$  is invertible and  $mq^{-1}fvawe = e$ ;
- (iv) there exist  $m, n \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $p = mfvawe + 1 - e$  and  $q = fvawen + 1 - f$  are invertible.

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii),(iii): Let  $e \in \mathcal{R}fvawe$  and  $f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}$ . Then there exist  $m, n \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $e = mfvawe$  and  $f = fvawen$ . Take  $p = mfvawe + 1 - e$  and  $q = fvawen + 1 - f$ . Then  $p = q = 1$ ,  $f = fvawen = fvawep^{-1}n$  and  $e = mq^{-1}fvawe$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) From  $f = fvawep^{-1}n$  and  $pe = mfvawe$ , we have  $e = p^{-1}mfvawe$ . Post-multiplying  $f = fvawep^{-1}n$  by  $f$  and pre-multiplying  $e = p^{-1}mfvawe$  by  $e$ , we obtain  $e \in e\mathcal{R}fvawe$  and  $f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}f$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Similar to (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Using (iii), we have  $f = fvawep^{-1}n$ . Let  $n_1 = p^{-1}n$ , then  $fvawen_1 + 1 - f = f + 1 - f = 1$ . So there exist  $m, n_1$  such that  $p = mfvawe + 1 - e$  and  $q = fvawen_1 + 1 - f$  are invertible.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $p = mfvawe + 1 - e$ . Then  $pe = mfvawe$  and subsequently,  $e = p^{-1}mfvawe$ . Now  $e = e^2 = ep^{-1}mfvawe \in e\mathcal{R}fvawe$ . Similarly, we can show  $f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}f$ .  $\square$

Following the Definition 2.10, we present following characterizations for  $(v, w)$ -weighted Bott-Duffin  $(e, f)$ -inverse.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $a, v, w, e, f \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $e^2 = e$  and  $f^2 = f$ . If  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$  exists then  $e \in e\mathcal{R}fvawe$  and  $f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}f$ .

**Proof.** Let  $z = a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$ . Then by Definition 2.10,

$$\begin{aligned} e &= zvawe = ewzvawe = e(wzv)fvawe \in e\mathcal{R}fvawe, \quad \text{and} \\ f &= fvawz = fvawzvf = fvawe(wzv)f \in fvawe\mathcal{R}f. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $a, e, f, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $e = e^* = e^2$  and  $f = f^* = f^2$ . If  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$  exists, then the following are hold:

- (i)  $e \in \mathcal{R}(fvawe)^*fvawe$  and  $f \in fvawe(fvawe)^*\mathcal{R}$ ;
- (ii)  $p = (fvawe)^*fvawe + 1 - e$  is invertible and  $fvawep^{-1}(fvawe)^* = f$ .
- (iii)  $q = fvawe(fvawe)^* + 1 - f$  is invertible and  $(fvawe)^*q^{-1}fvawe = e$

**Proof.** (i) Let  $a_{e,f}^{b,v,w}$  exists. Then by Proposition 3.7 and Theorem 3.6, we get  $r = gfvawe + 1 - e$  is invertible and  $fvawer^{-1}h = f$  for some  $g, h \in \mathcal{R}$ . Using this, we have  $e = r^{-1}gfvawe$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} e^* &= (r^{-1}gfvawe)^* = (fvawe)^*(r^{-1}g)^* = (fvawe)^*f(r^{-1}g)^* \\ &= (fvawe)^*fvawer^{-1}h(r^{-1}g)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $e = (r^{-1}g)(r^{-1}h)^*(fvawe)^*fvawe \in \mathcal{R}(fvawe)^*fvawe$ . Similarly, we can show that  $f = fvawe(fvawe)^*(r^{-1}g)^*r^{-1}h$  and  $f \in fvawe(fvawe)^*\mathcal{R}$ .

(ii) From part (i), we have  $e = r^{-1}gfvawe$  and  $fvawer^{-1}h = f$ . So  $r^{-1}gf = er^{-1}h$ .



Let  $\beta = (r^{-1}g)(r^{-1}h)^*$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\beta e &= \beta e^* = (r^{-1}g)(r^{-1}h)^*(fvawe)^*(r^{-1}g)^* = r^{-1}gf(r^{-1}g)^* \\ &= er^{-1}h(r^{-1}g)^* = e\beta^*\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\beta e + 1 - e)(fvawe)^*fvawe + 1 - e = 1 = (fvawe)^*fvawe + 1 - e)(\beta e + 1 - e)$ . Hence  $p = (fvawe)^*fvawe + 1 - e$  is invertible and  $p^{-1} = (\beta e + 1 - e)$ . Further,

$$\begin{aligned}fvawep^{-1}(fvawe)^* &= fvawe(\beta e + 1 - e)(fvawe)^* = fvawe\beta(fvawe)^* \\ &= fvawer^{-1}g(r^{-1}h)^*(fvawe)^* = fvawer^{-1}gf \\ &= fvawer^{-1}h = f.\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

(iii) Analogous to (ii).

#### 4. Hybrid (v,w)-weighted (b,c)-inverse

First we discuss an equivalent definition of hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse, which will help us to prove more characterizations of this inverse.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w, y \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. Then the followings are equivalent.*

- (i)  $yvaw = y, yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$  and  $rann(wy) = rann(c)$ .
- (ii)  $yvawb = b, cvaw = c, yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$  and  $rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $yvaw = y$  and  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . Then there is  $t \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $b = yvt = yvawyt = yvawb$ . From  $yvaw = y$ , we obtain  $1 - vaw \in rann(y) \subseteq rann(wy) = rann(c)$ . Thus  $c = cvaw$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $yv = br$  for some  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ . Multiplying  $yvawb = b$  by  $rv^{-1}$  on the right gives  $yvaw = y$ . If  $w$  is invertible then  $yvaw = y$  is similarly follows from  $cvaw = c$ . Using  $yvawb = b$ , we get  $b\mathcal{R} \subseteq yv\mathcal{R}$ , and hence  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R}$ . Now, let  $s \in rann(wy)$ . Then  $wys = 0$ . Further,  $s \in rann(c)$  since  $cs = cvawys = 0$ . Hence  $rann(wy) = rann(c)$ .  $\square$

In view of Lemma 2.8, we explore a necessary condition for hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse in the below result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w, t \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. If the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  exists, then  $bt$  is the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  satisfying  $c = cvawbt$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a$  has a hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. Then by Lemma 2.8,

$$c = cvawbt \text{ for some } t \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Let  $y = bt$ . Now we will claim that  $y$  is the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$ . Clearly,  $yv\mathcal{R} = b\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$ . Using  $c = cvawbt$ , we obtain  $cvaw = cvawbtvawb$ . Thus  $(1 - tvawb) \in rann(cvawb) \subset rann(b)$  by Lemma 2.8. Hence

$$b = b\mathcal{R} = btvawb = yvawb.$$

For  $x \in \text{rann}(c)$ , we have  $cvawbtx = cx = 0$ . Which yields  $tx \in \text{rann}(cvawb)$ . Further, by Lemma 2.8,  $tx \in \text{rann}(b)$  and consequently  $wyx = wbtx = 0$ . Therefore,

$$\text{rann}(c) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy).$$

By Theorem 4.1, we get  $y = bt$  is the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$ .  $\square$

We now present a few necessary and sufficient condition for hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. Then  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  exists if and only if  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus \text{rann}(c)$  and  $\text{rann}(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $a$  has a hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. Then by Theorem 4.2,  $bt$  is the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  satisfying  $c = cvawbt$ , where  $t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Subsequently  $z := (1 - vawbt) \in \text{rann}(c)$ . For any  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ , we can write

$$x = 1 \cdot x = (z + vawbt)x = zx + vawbtx \in \text{rann}(c) + vawb\mathcal{R}.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{R} = \text{rann}(c) + vawb\mathcal{R}$  since the reverse inclusion is trivial. If  $r \in \text{rann}(c) \cap vawb\mathcal{R}$ , then  $cr = 0$  and  $r = vawbu$  for some  $u \in \mathcal{R}$ . From Theorem 4.1, taking  $y = bt$ , we have

$$\text{rann}(wbt) = \text{rann}(c) \text{ and } btvawb = b \quad (3)$$

Which leads  $wbtvawbu = wbtr = 0$  and  $r = vaw(b)u = va(wbtvawbu) = 0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus \text{rann}(c)$ . Next we will show that  $\text{rann}(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$ . Let  $h \in \text{rann}(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R}$ , then  $vawh = 0$  and  $h = bk$  for some  $k \in \mathcal{R}$ . Which implies  $vawbk = 0$ . Using second part of equation (3), we have  $h = (b)k = bt(vawbk) = 0$ . Thus  $\text{rann}(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$ . Conversely, let  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus \text{rann}(c)$ . Then  $1 = vawbm + n$  for some  $m \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $n \in \text{rann}(c)$ . Further,

$$c = cvawbm + cn = cvawbm \in cvawb\mathcal{R} \text{ since } n \in \text{rann}(c). \quad (4)$$

If  $x \in \text{rann}(cvawb)$ , then  $cvawbx = 0$  and hence  $vawbx \in \text{rann}(c) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = 0$ . Thus  $bx \in \text{rann}(vaw) \oplus b\mathcal{R} = 0$  since  $\text{rann}(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$ . Hence  $x \in \text{rann}(b)$  and subsequently, we obtain

$$\text{rann}(cvawb) \subseteq \text{rann}(b). \quad (5)$$

In view of equations (4), (5), and Lemma 2.8,  $a$  has a hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. Assume that  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  exists. Then  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$  exists if and only if any one of the following is holds.*

- (i)  $cvawb$  is regular.
- (ii)  $c$  is regular.

**Proof.** (i) Let  $a_{b,c}^{v,w}$  exists. Then  $b \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$  and  $c \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$ . Which implies  $b = scvawb$  and  $c = cvawbt$  for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . In addition,

$$b = scvawb = scvawb tvawb = b tvawb, \text{ and } c = cvawbt = cvawscvawbt = cvawsc.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
cvawb &= cvaw(btvawb) = cvawbtvawb = cvaw(btvawb)tvawb \\
&= cvawbtvaw(bt)vawb = cvawbtvaw(sc)vawb \\
&= cvawb(tvaws)cvawb.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $cvawb$  is regular.

Conversely, let  $cvawb$  be regular. Then there exist an element  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $cvawb = cvawbzcwawb$ . Since  $a$  has a hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse, by Lemma 2.8, we have  $c \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$  and  $b = bzcwawb \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$  due to the fact that  $1 - zcvawb \in rann(cvawb) \subseteq rann(b)$ . Hence by Lemma 2.4  $a$  has a  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

(ii) Let  $a$  has a  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. Then by Lemma 2.4, we have  $c \in cvawb\mathcal{R}$  and  $b \in \mathcal{R}cvawb$ . Which yields  $c = cvawbh$  and  $b = kcvawb$  for some  $h, k \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now

$$c = cvaw(b)h = cvaw(kcvawbh) = cvawkc = c(vawk)c.$$

Hence  $c$  is regular.

Conversely, let  $c$  be regular and the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  exists. Then by Theorem 4.3,  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c)$  and subsequently  $1 = vawbs + t$  where  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \in rann(c)$ . Therefore,  $c = cvawbs + ct = cvawbs$ . Since  $c$  is regular, there exist an element  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $c = cxc$ . Now

$$cvawb = (c)vawb = (c)xcvawb = cvawbsxcvawb = cvawb(sx)cvawb.$$

Thus  $cvawb$  is regular. Hence by part (i),  $a$  has a  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.  $\square$

We next present the following characterizations of hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$  through annihilators.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. If  $a$  has a hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse, then the following statements are holds:*

- (i)  $rann(vawb) = rann(b)$ .
- (ii) If  $rann(b) = rann(c)$ , then  $rann(vawbs) = rann(vawb)$ , where  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  satisfies  $vawb = (vawb)^2s$ .

**Proof.** (i) It is trivial that  $rann(b) \subseteq rann(vawb)$ . Let  $a$  has a hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. Then by Theorem 4.3,  $rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$ . For  $r \in rann(vawb)$ , we have  $br \in rann(vaw)$  and  $br \in b\mathcal{R}$ . Thus  $br \in rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$  and hence  $r \in rann(b)$ . Therefore,  $rann(vawb) \subseteq rann(b)$ .

(ii) Using the condition  $\mathcal{R} = vawb\mathcal{R} \oplus rann(c)$  of Theorem 4.3, we have  $1 = vawbs + t$  for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \in rann(c) = rann(b)$ . Thus  $b = bvawbs$  and  $vawb = vawbvawbs = (vawb)^2s$ . Let  $x \in rann(vawb)$ . Then  $(vawb)^2sx = vawbx = 0$ . Now

$$vawbsx \in rann(vawb) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = rann(b) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = rann(c) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = 0.$$

Therefore  $x \in rann(vawbs)$  and hence  $rann(vawb) \subseteq rann(vawbs)$ .

Conversely, let  $z \in rann(vawbs)$ . Then  $vawbz = (vawb)^2sz = 0$ . Which implies  $z \in rann(vawb)$ . Thus,  $rann(vawbs) \subseteq rann(vawb)$  and hence  $rann(vawbs) = rann(vawb)$ , where  $s$  satisfies  $vawb = (vawb)^2s$ .  $\square$

The following result represent a necessary and sufficient condition for hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$  inverse through group inverse.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. Assume that  $\text{rann}(vawb) = \text{rann}(b) = \text{rann}(c)$ . Then the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$  exists if and only if  $vawb$  is group invertible.*

**Proof.** Let  $a$  has a hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse. Then by Theorem 4.3, we have  $1 = vawbs + t$  for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t \in \text{rann}(c) = \text{rann}(vawb)$ . Which implies

$$vawb = (vawb)^2 s \in (vawb)^2 \mathcal{R} \text{ and } (vawb)^2 = (vawb)^2 svawb. \quad (6)$$

Using the second part of equation (6) and Theorem 4.3, we obtain

$$vawb - vawbsvawb \in \text{rann}(vawb) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = \text{rann}(c) \cap vawb\mathcal{R} = 0.$$

Thus

$$vawb = vawbsvawb \text{ and } (vawb)^2 = vawbs(vawb)^2. \quad (7)$$

Applying equation (7) and Theorem 4.5, we have

$$vawb - s(vawb)^2 \in \text{rann}(vawb) = \text{rann}(vawbs).$$

Further,  $vawbs^2(vawb)^2 = vawbsvawb = vawb$  and  $vawb \in \mathcal{R}(vawb)^2$ . Hence by Lemma 2.2,  $vawb$  is group invertible since  $vawb \in (vawb)^2 \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{R}(vawb)^2$ .

Conversely, let  $y = b(vawb)^\#$ . Since  $vawb = (vawb)^2(vawb)^\#$  and  $\text{rann}(vawb) = \text{rann}(c)$ , it follow that  $c(1 - vawb(vawb)^\#) = 0$  and

$$c = cvawb(vawb)^\# = cvawy.$$

Similarly, by applying  $\text{rann}(vawb) = \text{rann}(b)$ , we obtain

$$b = b(vawb)^\#vawb = yvawb.$$

The condition  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq y\mathcal{R} \subseteq b\mathcal{R}$  follows from  $y = b(vawb)^\#$ . Next we will show that  $\text{rann}(c) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ . Let  $x \in \text{rann}(c) = \text{rann}(b)$ . Then  $bx = 0$ . Now  $wyx = b(vawb)^\#x = b(vawb)^\#(vawb)^\#vawbx = 0$ . Thus  $x \in \text{rann}(wy)$  and hence  $\text{rann}(c) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $y = b(vawb)^\#$  is the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** *If  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. If  $vawb$  is group invertible, then  $b(vawb)^\#$  is the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$ .*

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. Assume that  $a_{b,c}^{h,v,w}$  exists. Then  $\text{rann}(b) = \text{rann}(vawb) = \text{rann}(c)$  if and only if  $vawb$  is group invertible.*

**Proof.** Let  $vawb$  be group invertible and  $y$  be the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse of  $a$ . Then  $\text{rann}(c) = \text{rann}(wy)$  and  $y = b(vawb)^\#$  by Remark 4.7. If  $x \in \text{rann}(vawb)$ , then by Theorem 4.3,  $bx \in \text{rann}(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$  and hence  $x \in \text{rann}(b)$ . Thus  $\text{rann}(vawb) = \text{rann}(b)$  since the reverse inclusion  $\text{rann}(b) \subseteq \text{rann}(vawb)$  is obvious. Next we will show that  $\text{rann}(b) = \text{rann}(wy)$ . Let  $z \in \text{rann}(b)$ . Then  $bz = 0$  and consequently

$$wyz = wb(vawb)^\#z = wb(vawb)^\#(vawb)^\#vawbz = 0.$$

Therefore,  $z \in rann(wy)$  and  $rann(b) \subseteq rann(wy)$ . If  $x \in rann(wy)$ , then  $wyx = 0$  and

$$vawbx = (vawb)^2(vawb)^{\#}x = vawbvawyx = 0.$$

Further, by Theorem 4.3, we obtain  $bx \in rann(vaw) \cap b\mathcal{R} = 0$ . Thus  $bx \in rann(b)$ . Hence  $rann(b) = rann(wy) = rann(c)$ .

The converse part follows from Theorem 4.6.  $\square$

The following result present hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse in the relationships with annihilators and  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse of  $a$  along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Theorem 4.9.** *Let  $a, d, v, w, y \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  invertible. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $y$  is the  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse of  $a$  along  $d$ .
- (ii)  $yvawd = d = dvawy$ ,  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ , and  $lann(d) \subseteq lann(yv)$ .
- (iii)  $yvaw = y$ ,  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}d$ , and  $lann(yv) = lann(d)$ .
- (iv)  $yvawd = d = dvawy$ ,  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ , and  $rann(wy) = rann(d)$ .
- (v)  $yvaw = y$ ,  $yv\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$ , and  $rann(wy) = rann(d)$ .
- (vi)  $y$  is the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(d, d)$ -inverse of  $a$ .
- (vii)  $y$  is the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(d, d)$ -inverse of  $a$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): The proof is follows from the definition of  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse of  $a$  along  $d$  and Proposition 3.1 (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ . Then  $wy = sd$  for some  $s \in \mathcal{R}$ . Pre-multiplying  $d = dvawy$  by  $w^{-1}s$ , we obtain

$$y = w^{-1}sd = w^{-1}sdvawy = yvawy.$$

From  $d = dvawy$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}wy$  and hence  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}d$ . Next we will show that  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(d)$ . If  $z \in lann(yv)$ , then by applying  $yvawd = d$ , we obtain

$$zd = z(yvawd) = (zyv)awd = 0.$$

Thus  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(d)$  and consequently  $lann(d) = lann(yv)$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Let  $y = yvawy$ . Then  $(1 - yvaw) \in lann(y) \subseteq lann(yv) = lann(d)$ . Thus  $d = yvawd$ . From  $\mathcal{R}d = \mathcal{R}wy$ , we have

$$d = swy \text{ and } wy = td \text{ for some } s, t \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (8)$$

Pre-multylying  $yvaw = y$  by  $sw$ , we obtain  $d = sw(y) = (swy)vaw = dvawy$ . The condition  $rann(wy) = rann(d)$  follows from Proposition 3.1 (i). Using the second part of equation (8), we get  $d$  is regular since

$$d = dvawy = d(vat)d.$$

Hence by Proposition 3.1 (iv),  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$ .

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v): The proof is similar to (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii).

(v) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vi): This part is trivial and follows from the definition.

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii): Let  $y$  be the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(d, d)$ -inverse of  $a$ . Then  $yvaw = y$ ,  $yv\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$ , and  $rann(wy) = rann(d)$ . To establish the result, it is sufficient to show

$$yvawd = d = dvawy \text{ and } y \in yv\mathcal{R}d \cap d\mathcal{R}wy.$$

Let  $y = yvaw$ . Then  $(1 - vaw) \in rann(y) \subseteq rann(wy) = rann(d)$ . Thus  $d = dvawy$ . From  $yv\mathcal{R} = d\mathcal{R}$ , we have  $d = yvs$  and  $yv = dt$  for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . Therefore,

$$y = yvaw = d(ta)wy \in d\mathcal{R}wy, d = yvs = yvawys = yvawd \text{ and } d = d(taw)d.$$

Hence  $d$  is regular and by Proposition 3.1 (iii), we obtain  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ . Which implies  $wy = zd$  for some  $z \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $y = yvaw = yv(az)d \in yv\mathcal{R}d$ . Hence  $y$  is the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(d, d)$ -inverse of  $a$ .

(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $y$  be the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(d, d)$ -inverse of  $a$ . Then  $yvawd = d = dvaw$ , and  $y = dswy = yvtd$  for some  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ . To establish the result, it is enough to show  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ . Since  $yv = d(swyv) = ds_1$  and  $wy = wyvtd = t_1d$  for some  $s_1 = swyv \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $t_1 = wyvt \in \mathcal{R}$ , it follows that  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq d\mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}d$ . Hence by Definition 2.5,  $y$  is the  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse of  $a$  along  $d$ .  $\square$

In view of Theorem 4.9, and taking  $b = c = d$  in Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following result as a corollary.

**Corollary 4.10.** *Let  $a, d, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  be invertible. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $a$  has a  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse along  $d$ .
- (ii)  $d$  is regular,  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}dvaw \oplus \text{lann}(d)$ , and  $\text{lann}(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}d = 0$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}dvaw \oplus \text{lann}(d)$ ,  $\text{lann}(vaw) \cap \mathcal{R}d = 0$  and  $dvawd$  is regular.
- (iv)  $d$  is regular,  $\mathcal{R} = vawd\mathcal{R} \oplus \text{rann}(d)$ , and  $\text{rann}(vaw) \cap d\mathcal{R} = 0$ .
- (v)  $\mathcal{R} = vawd\mathcal{R} \oplus \text{rann}(d)$ ,  $\text{rann}(vaw) \cap d\mathcal{R} = 0$  and  $dvawd$  is regular.

The relation between  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$  and group inverse of an element is discussed in the next result.

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $a, d, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $a_{\parallel d}^{v, w}$  exists if and only if  $vawd$  is group invertible and  $\text{rann}(vawd) = \text{rann}(d)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $y$  be the  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse of  $a$  along along  $d$ . Then by Theorem 4.9,  $y$  is the hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(d, d)$ -inverse of  $a$  and  $yvawd = d$ . From the condition  $yvawd = d$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}d \subseteq \mathcal{R}vawd$ . Taking  $wy = d$  and  $d = vawd$  in Proposition 3.1 (i), we obtain  $\text{rann}(vawd) \subseteq \text{rann}(d)$ . Hence  $\text{rann}(vawd) = \text{rann}(d)$  since the reverse inclusion  $\text{rann}(d) \subseteq \text{rann}(vawd)$  is trivial. Replacing  $b$  and  $c$  by  $d$  in Corollary 4.8, we get  $vawd$  is group invertible. The converse part follows from Theorem 4.6  $\square$

## 5. Annihilator $(v, w)$ -weighted $(b, c)$ -inverse

This section is devoted to the characterizations of annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses. The very first result is represent an equivalent definition of annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse, which is used to discuss a few result on the reverse order law and properties of this inverse.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $a, b, c, v, w, y \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  invertible. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $yvaw = y$ ,  $\text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(c)$ , and  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b)$ .
- (ii)  $yvawb = b$ ,  $cvaw = c$ ,  $\text{rann}(c) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ , and  $\text{lann}(b) \subseteq \text{lann}(yv)$ .

**Proof.** (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $yvaw = y$ . Then  $(yvaw - 1) \in \text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(b)$ . This yields  $yvawb = b$ . Similarly  $cvaw = c$  follows from

$$(vaw - 1) \in \text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(c).$$

Hence completes the proof since the range conditions are trivial.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$  and  $cvaw = c$ . Then  $(vaw - 1) \in rann(c) \subseteq rann(wy)$ . Thus  $wyvaw = wy$ . Similarly from  $lann(b) \subseteq lann(yv)$  and  $yvaw = b$ , we can obtain  $yvaw = yv$ . Hence if either  $v$  or  $w$  is invertible then  $yvaw = y$ . Next we will claim that  $rann(wy) \subseteq rann(c)$  and  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(b)$ . For  $x \in rann(wy)$ , we have  $wyx = 0$ . Now  $cx = cva(wyx) = 0$ . Thus  $rann(wy) \subseteq rann(c)$ . If  $z \in lann(yv)$ , then  $zyv = 0$ . Further,  $zb = (zyv)aw = 0$ . Hence  $lann(yv) \subseteq lann(b)$ .  $\square$

With the help of Theorem 5.1 (i), we present the following property of annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

**Proposition 5.2.** *For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $a_i, b_i, c_i, v, w, y_i \in \mathcal{R}$  with both  $v, w$  invertible and  $y_i = a_{i,b,c}^{a,v,w}$ . If  $rc_1 = c_2r$ ,  $rva_1w = va_2wr$ , and  $rb_1 = b_2r$  for any  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $ry_1 = y_2r$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $y_i = a_{i,b,c}^{a,v,w}$ . Then by Theorem 5.1, we obtain  $y_2va_2wb_2 = b_2$  and  $lann(b_1) \subseteq lann(y_1v)$ . Thus

$$rb_1 = b_2r = y_2va_2wb_2r = y_2(va_2wr)b_1 = y_2(rva_1w)b_1.$$

Therefore,  $(r - y_2rva_1w) \in lann(b_1) \subseteq lann(y_1v)$ . Which implies

$$ry_1v = y_2rva_1y_1v \tag{9}$$

Similarly, we have

$$c_2r = rc_1 = rc_1va_1wy_1 = c_2rva_1wy_1, \text{ and}$$

$(r - rva_1wy_1) \in rann(c_2) \subseteq rann(wy_2)$ . Thus

$$wy_2r = wy_2rva_1y_1. \tag{10}$$

Using the invertibility of  $v$  and  $w$  in equation (9) and (10), we get  $ry_1 = y_2r$ .  $\square$

In the similar manner, we have the following result for the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

**Corollary 5.3.** *For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $a_i, b_i, c_i, v, w, y_i \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $y_i$  be the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b_i, c_i)$ -inverse of  $a_i$ . If  $rc_1 = c_2r$ ,  $rva_1w = va_2wr$ , and  $rb_1 = b_2r$  for any  $r \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $ry_1 = y_2r$ .*

**Proof.** We first note that,  $(rva_1w)b_1 = (va_2wr)b_1 = va_2w(rb_1)$ . Similarly  $rva_1wb_1 = va_2wb_2r$  and  $rc_1va_1w = c_2va_2wr$ .

Since  $y_i$  is the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b_i, c_i)$ -inverse of  $a_i$ , we have  $c_1va_1wy_1 = c_1$  and  $y_2a_2b_2 = b_2$ . Also we may write  $y_1 = b_1ewy_1$  and  $y_2 = y_2vfc_2$  for some  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Now we find

$$ry_1 = r(b_1ewy_1) = (rb_1)ewy_1 = (b_2r)ewy_1 = (y_2va_2wb_2)rewy_1 = y_2(va_2wb_2r)ewy_1 = y_2(rva_1wb_1)ewy_1 = y_2rva_1w(b_1ewy_1) = y_2rva_1wy_1.$$

and similarly

$$y_2r = (y_2vfc_2)r = y_2vf(c_2r) = y_2vf(rc_1) = y_2vfr(c_1va_1wy_1) = y_2vf(rc_1va_1w)y_1 = y_2vf(c_2va_2wr)y_1 = (y_2vfc_2)va_2wry_1 = y_2(va_2wr)y_1 = y_2(rva_1w)y_1.$$

Hence  $ry_1 = y_2r$ .  $\square$

The next result concerning on the reverse order law for the annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverse.

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $s, t, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $v$  or  $w$  invertible and both  $r_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$ ,  $s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$  exist. If  $bvtw = vtwb$ , and  $cvsw = vswc$ , then  $(st)_{b,c}^{a,v,w} = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $y = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} r_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$ . Then we have

$$yvswwtwb = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} vswwtwb = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} vswbvtw = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} bvtw = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} vtwb = b.$$

Similalry, we can show  $cvswwtwy = cvswwtw t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} = c$ . From the definition 2.9, we have  $\text{lann}(b) \subseteq \text{lann}(t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} v)$  and  $\text{rann}(c) \subseteq \text{rann}(wt_{b,c}^{a,v,w})$ . Now for any  $z \in \text{lann}(b)$ , we obtain  $zt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} v = 0$  and

$$zyv = zt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} v = zt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} vtwt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} v = 0.$$

Hence  $\text{lann}(b) \subseteq \text{lann}(yv)$ . Let  $z \in \text{rann}(c) \subseteq \text{rann}(wt_{b,c}^{a,v,w})$ . Then  $wt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} z = 0$ . Now

$$wyz = wt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} z = wt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w} vswt_{b,c}^{a,v,w} z = 0.$$

Thus  $\text{rann}(c) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ . Hence by Theorem 5.1 (ii), we obtain  $(st)_{b,c}^{a,v,w} = y = t_{b,c}^{a,v,w} s_{b,c}^{a,v,w}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.** *Let  $s, t, b, c, v, w \in \mathcal{R}$ , and both  $s_{b,c}^{v,w}$ ,  $t_{b,c}^{v,w}$  exists. If  $cvsw = vswc$  and  $bvtw = vtwb$ , then  $(st)_{b,c}^{v,w} = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $y = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w}$ . Then

$$yvswwtwb = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} vswwtwb = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} vswbvtw = t_{b,c}^{v,w} bvtw = t_{b,c}^{v,w} vtwb = b.$$

Similalry,

$$cvswwtwy = cvswwtw t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} = vswcwtw t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} = vswcs_{b,c}^{v,w} = cvsws_{b,c}^{v,w} = c.$$

Since  $s_{b,c}^{v,w} \in b\mathcal{R}ws_{b,c}^{v,w}$  and  $t_{b,c}^{v,w} \in b\mathcal{R}wt_{b,c}^{v,w}$ , we have

$$y = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} \in b\mathcal{R}wt_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} = b\mathcal{R}wy.$$

Also  $s_{b,c}^{v,w} \in s_{b,c}^{v,w} v\mathcal{R}c$  and  $t_{b,c}^{v,w} \in t_{b,c}^{v,w} v\mathcal{R}c$  gives

$$y = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} \in t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w} v\mathcal{R}c = yv\mathcal{R}c.$$

Hence  $(st)_{b,c}^{v,w} = t_{b,c}^{v,w} s_{b,c}^{v,w}$ .  $\square$

The following result present annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$  inverse in the relationships with hybrid  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$  inverse and  $(v, w)$ -weighted inverse of  $a$  along  $d \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $a, v, w, y, e \in \mathcal{R}$  with either  $v$  or  $w$  invertible and  $e$  is regular. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $y = a_{\parallel e}^{v,w}$ .
- (ii)  $yvawe = e = evawy$ ,  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}e$ , and  $\text{lann}(e) \subseteq \text{lann}(yv)$ .
- (iii)  $yvawy = y$ ,  $\mathcal{R}wy \subseteq \mathcal{R}e$ , and  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(e)$ .
- (iv)  $yvawe = e = evawy$ ,  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq e\mathcal{R}$ , and  $\text{rann}(e) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy)$ .
- (v)  $yvawy = y$ ,  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq e\mathcal{R}$ , and  $\text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(e)$ .



- (vi)  $y = a_{e,e}^{h,v,w}$ .
- (vii)  $y = a_{e,e}^{v,w}$ .
- (viii)  $y = a_{e,e}^{a,v,w}$ .

**Proof.** The equivalence of (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vii) follows from Theorem 4.9. Next we will show that (vii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (viii). Since  $a_{e,e}^{v,w}$  is a special case of  $a_{e,e}^{a,v,w}$ , it is enough show (viii) $\Rightarrow$ (vii). Let  $y = a_{e,e}^{a,v,w}$ . Then

$$yvaw y = y, \text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(e), \text{and } \text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(e).$$

Clearly both  $e$  and  $y$  are regular. So by Proposition 3.1,  $\text{lann}(yv) = \text{lann}(e)$  gives  $yv\mathcal{R} \subseteq e\mathcal{R}$  and  $\text{rann}(wy) = \text{rann}(e)$  gives  $\mathcal{R}wy = \mathcal{R}e$ . Hence  $y = a_{e,e}^{v,w}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7.** For  $i = 1, 2$ , let  $a_i, b_i, c_i, v, w, y_i \in \mathcal{R}$  with  $v, w$  both invertible and  $y_i = a_{i,b_i,c_i}^{a,v,w}$ . If  $b_1 = b_2$  then  $y_1va_1wy_2 = y_2$  and  $y_2va_2wy_1 = y_1$ . Mutually If  $c_1 = c_2$  then  $y_1va_2wy_2 = y_1$  and  $y_2va_1wy_1 = y_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $y_i = a_{i,b_i,c_i}^{a,v,w}$ . Then by Theorem 5.1,  $y_1va_1wb_1 = b_1$  and consequently,

$$(y_1va_1w - 1) \in \text{lann}(b_1) = \text{lann}(b_2) \subseteq \text{lann}(y_2v).$$

Thus  $y_1va_1wy_2v = y_2v$ . Post-multiplying by  $v^{-1}$  we get  $y_1va_1wy_2 = y_2$ . From  $y_2va_2wb_2 = b_2$ , we have

$$(y_2va_2w - 1) \in \text{lann}(b_2) = \text{lann}(b_1) \subseteq \text{lann}(y_1v).$$

Therefore,  $y_2va_2wy_1v = y_1v$ . Again post-multiplying by  $v^{-1}$ , we obtain  $y_2va_2wy_1 = y_1$ . In the similar manner, we can show that if  $c_1 = c_2$ , then  $y_1va_2wy_2 = y_1$  and  $y_2va_1wy_1 = y_2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.8.** Let  $a_1, a_2, v, w, b, c \in \mathcal{R}$  with both  $v$  and  $w$  invertible. Suppose  $y_1 = a_{1,b,c}^{a,v,w}$  and  $y_2 = a_{2,b,c}^{a,v,w}$ . Then  $y_1 + y_2 = y_1v(a_1 + a_2)wy_2 = y_2v(a_1 + a_2)wy_1$ .

**Proof.**  $y_1 = a_{1,b,c}^{a,v,w}$  and  $y_2 = a_{2,b,c}^{a,v,w}$ . Then by Theorem 5.1, we have  $y_1va_1wb = b$  and  $y_2va_2wb = b$ . Thus  $(y_1va_1w - 1) \in \text{lann}(b) \subseteq \text{lann}(y_2v)$  yields  $y_1va_1wy_2v = y_2v$ . Post-multiplying by  $v^{-1}$ , we get  $y_1va_1wy_2 = y_2$ . Similarly we can show  $y_2va_2wy_1 = y_1$ . Again using Theorem 5.1, we have  $cv a_1wy_1 = c$ . Which implies

$$(va_1wy_1 - 1) \in \text{rann}(c) \subseteq \text{rann}(wy_2).$$

Thus  $y_2va_1wy_1 = wy_2$ . Pre-multiplying by  $w^{-1}$ , we get  $y_2va_1wy_1 = y_2$ . Similarly, we can show  $y_1va_2wy_2 = y_1$ . Now

$$y_1v(a_1 + a_2)wy_2 = y_1va_1wy_2 + y_1va_2wy_2 = y_2 + y_1, \text{ and}$$

$$y_2v(a_1 + a_2)wy_1 = y_2va_1wy_1 + y_2va_2wy_1 = y_2 + y_1.$$

$\square$

## 6. Conclusion

We have discussed a few necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of the  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$  inverses of elements in rings. Derived representations are used in generating corresponding representations of the  $(v, w)$ -weighted hybrid  $(b, c)$ -inverse and annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses. We have also explored a few new results related to the reverse order law for annihilator  $(v, w)$ -weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses. In addition to this, the notion of  $(v, w)$ -weighted Bott-Duffin  $(e, f)$ -inverse introduced and a few characterisations of this inverse are studied.

## Acknowledgments

Ratikanta Behera is grateful to the Mohapatra Family Foundation and the College of Graduate Studies, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, USA, for their financial support for this research.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

## Funding

The Mohapatra Family Foundation and the College of Graduate Studies, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, USA,

## References

- [1] A. Ben-Israel and T. N. E. Greville. *Generalized inverses: theory and applications*. John Wiley & Sons], New York-London-Sydney, 1974. Pure and Applied Mathematics.
- [2] R. Bott and R. J. Duffin. On the algebra of networks. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74:99–109, 1953.
- [3] S. Das, J. K. Sahoo, and R. Behera. Further results on weighted core inverse in a ring. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.01130*, 2020.
- [4] M. P. Drazin. Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 65:506–514, 1958.
- [5] M. P. Drazin. A class of outer generalized inverses. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 436(7):1909–1923, 2012.
- [6] M. P. Drazin. Commuting properties of generalized inverses. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 61(12):1675–1681, 2013.
- [7] M. P. Drazin. Left and right generalized inverses. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 510:64–78, 2016.
- [8] M. P. Drazin. Weighted  $(b, c)$ -inverses in categories and semigroups. *Comm. Algebra*, 48(4):1423–1438, 2020.
- [9] T. N. E. Greville. Note on the generalized inverse of a matrix product. *SIAM Rev.*, 8:518–521; erratum, *ibid.* 9 (1966), 249, 1966.
- [10] R. E. Hartwig and J. Luh. A note on the group structure of unit regular ring elements. *Pacific J. Math.*, 71(2):449–461, 1977.
- [11] R. E. Hartwig and J. Luh. A note on the group structure of unit regular ring elements. *Pacific J. Math.*, 71(2):449–461, 1977.
- [12] J. J. Koliha, D. Djordjević, and D. Cvetković. Moore-Penrose inverse in rings with involution. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 426(2-3):371–381, 2007.

- [13] J. J. Koliha and V. Rakočević. Invertibility of the difference of idempotents. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 51(1):97–110, 2003.
- [14] X. Mary. On generalized inverses and Green’s relations. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434(8):1836–1844, 2011.
- [15] D. Mosić, C. Deng, and H. Ma. On a weighted core inverse in a ring with involution. *Comm. Algebra*, 46(6):2332–2345, 2018.
- [16] D. S. Rakić, N. Č. Dinčić, and D. S. Djordjević. Group, Moore-Penrose, core and dual core inverse in rings with involution. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 463:115–133, 2014.
- [17] J. K. Sahoo, R. Behera, P. S. Stanimirović, V. N. Katsikis, and H. Ma. Core and core-EP inverses of tensors. *Comput. Appl. Math.*, 39(1):Paper No. 9, 2020.
- [18] P. S. Stanimirović, V. N. Katsikis, and H. Ma. Representations and properties of the  $W$ -weighted Drazin inverse. *Linear Multilinear Algebra*, 65(6):1080–1096, 2017.
- [19] M. Zhou, J. Chen, and Y. Zhou. Weak group inverses in proper  $*$ -rings. *J. Algebra Appl.*, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498820502382>.
- [20] H. Zhu. Further results on several types of generalized inverses. *Comm. Algebra*, 46(8):3388–3396, 2018.