

# DIRECTIONAL SHORT-TIME FOURIER TRANSFORM OF ULTRADISTRIBUTIONS

SANJA ATANASOVA, SNJEŽANA MAKSIMOVIĆ, AND STEVAN PILIPOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. We define and analyse the  $k$ -directional short-time Fourier transform and its synthesis operator over Gelfand Shilov spaces  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{k+n})$  respectively, and their duals. Also, we investigate directional regular sets and their complements - directional wave fronts, for elements of  $\mathcal{S}'_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Grafakos and Sansing [10] developed the concept of directional sensitive variant of the short-time Fourier transform (STFT) by introducing the Gabor ridge functions that can be viewed as a time-frequency analysis in a certain domain. A slightly different version of their concept was considered by Giv in [8], where he defined the directional short-time Fourier transform (DSTFT). In [18] the authors analyzed the DSTFT on Schwartz test function spaces, proving the continuity theorems and extending it to the spaces of tempered distributions. Moreover, in [1], the results of Giv are extended through the investigations of the STFT in the direction of  $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  on the distributions of exponential type and through the analysis of the (multi)directional wave front sets for tempered distributions.

In the past several decades, there has been a trend in investigating integral transforms on the spaces of ultradistribution, such as the wavelet transform, STFT, Laplace and Hilbert transform, [2, 5, 13, 17, 19], as natural generalization of these transforms over the the spaces of distributions. In the first part of this paper, in Section 2, we introduce on Gelfand-Shilov spaces (of Roumieu and Beurling type) [9] the multidimensional STFT in the direction of  $\mathbf{u}^k = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ , where  $u_i, i = 1, \dots, k$  are independent vectors of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Moreover, we analyze the corresponding synthesis operator. By a linear transformation of coordinates, we simplify our exposition considering direction  $\mathbf{e}^k = (e_1, \dots, e_k)$ . By the continuity results presented in Theorem 2.3 and 2.5, we show in Subsection 2.3 that both transforms defined as a transposed mappings and as actions on appropriate window functions, coincide on Gelfand Shilov (GS) spaces of ultradistributions.

In the second part, in Section 3, we analyze the regularity properties of a GS ultradistributions  $f \in \mathcal{S}'^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{S}'_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by introducing the  $k$ -directional regular sets and the wave front sets using the  $k$ -DSTFT  $f$ . The main result is presented in Theorem 3.4, where we show that the  $k$ -directional wave front does not depend on the window function. We also consider partial wave front in the sense of [12] and show that this notion is equivalent with

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42A38, 46F05, 35A18.

*Key words and phrases.*  $k$ -directional short-time Fourier transform, ultradistributions, wave front sets.

the  $k$ -directional wave front. Actually, the partial wave front was not considered neither for distribution spaces nor for GS type spaces. More on the micro-local analysis in spaces of ultradistributions can be found in [6, 7], as well as in [3, 4] and the references there in.

Let us note that we follow [1] (related to distributions) and give here new details which are important when developing the analysis on GS spaces. Similarly, in the last subsection when we compare directional wave fronts, we follow [16] but again with details related to ultradistributions which makes the proof more complex.

**1.1. Notation.** We employ the notation  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  for the sets of natural (including zero), real and complex numbers, respectively;  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  stands for the unit sphere of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . For given multi-indexes  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ ,  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we write

$$t^p = t_1^{p_1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_n^{p_n}, \quad (-i)^{|p|} D^p = \partial_t^p = \frac{\partial^{|p|}}{\partial t_1^{p_1} \dots \partial t_n^{p_n}}, \quad |p| = p_1 + \dots + p_n,$$

and for  $v \leq p$ ,  $\binom{p}{v} = \prod_{i=1}^n \binom{p_i}{v_i}$ , where  $v \leq p$  means  $v_i \leq p_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Points in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  are denoted by  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \dots$ , while points in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  are denoted by  $\tilde{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{\tilde{y}}, \dots$ . So

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\tilde{x}}), \quad \text{where } \tilde{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_k), \quad \tilde{\tilde{x}} = (x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Elements of  $\mathbb{N}_0^k$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0^{n-k}$  are denoted by tilde and double tilde as in multidimensional real cases. For an open set  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  the symbol  $K \subset\subset \Omega$  means that  $K$  is a compact set contained in  $\Omega$ . The support of a given function (or ultradistribution)  $f$  is denoted by  $\text{supp } f$ . We say that a function  $f$  is compactly supported if there exists a  $K \subset\subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\text{supp } f \subset K$ . The Fourier transform of a function  $f$  is defined as  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For the dual pairing we use  $\langle f, g \rangle$ ; for the  $L^2$  inner product of  $f$  and  $g$  we use the notation  $(f, g)$ .

**1.2. Ultradistribution spaces.** Following the approach of [14], we introduce test spaces defined by the use of Gevrey sequences  $M_p = p!^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha > 1$ . All the results can be given for general sequences  $(M_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying appropriate conditions. We only consider spaces of Roumieu type [14]. Moreover, the results of this paper also hold for the Beurling type spaces. The topology for them is the same as in the case of Schwartz space of rapidly decreasing functions. Because of that, we omit this part of analysis.

Let  $K \subset\subset \Omega$  and  $h > 0$ . We recall the definitions of some spaces of test functions [14] (of the Roumieu type):

$$\mathcal{E}_h^\alpha(K) := \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega) : \sup_{t \in K, p \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{h^{|p|}}{p!^\alpha} |D^p \varphi(t)| < \infty\};$$

$$\mathcal{D}_h^\alpha(K) := \mathcal{E}_h^\alpha(K) \cap \{\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega) : \text{supp } \varphi \subset K\};$$

$$\mathcal{E}^\alpha(K) := \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{E}_h^\alpha(K); \quad \mathcal{E}^\alpha(\Omega) := \varprojlim_{K \subset\subset \Omega} \mathcal{E}^\alpha(K);$$

$$\mathcal{D}^\alpha(K) := \varinjlim_{h \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{D}_h^\alpha(K); \quad \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\Omega) := \varprojlim_{K \subset\subset \Omega} \mathcal{D}^\alpha(K).$$

The spaces of ultradistributions  $\mathcal{D}'^\alpha(\Omega)$  is a strong dual of  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha(\Omega)$ ; its subspace  $\mathcal{E}'^\alpha(\Omega)$  consists of compactly supported ultradistributions. All these spaces are complete, bornological, Montel and Schwartz ([14]).

1.2.1. *Gelfand-Shilov type spaces.* Let  $\alpha, \beta, a > 0$ . By  $(\mathcal{S}_a)^\alpha_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is denoted the Banach space of all smooth functions  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the norm

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma_a^{\alpha, \beta}(\varphi) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{a^{|p|+|q|}}{p!^\beta q!^\alpha} |t^p \varphi^{(q)}(t)|$$

is finite. The space  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as an inductive limit of the space  $(\mathcal{S}_a)^\alpha_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

$$\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) = \varinjlim_{a \rightarrow 0} (\mathcal{S}_a)^\alpha_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

This is a *DFS* (dual of Fréchet Schwartz) nuclear space. Its strong dual is called Gelfand Shilov space of ultradistributions. The space  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is nontrivial if and only if  $\alpha + \beta \geq 1$ . The Fourier transform is a topological isomorphism between  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which extends to a continuous linear transform from  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  onto  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $\alpha = \beta$ , the space  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Remark 1.1.* We will often use equivalent families of norms in which in (1.1)  $t^p \varphi^{(q)}(t)$  is replaced by  $(t^p \varphi)^{(q)}$  or  $t^q \hat{\varphi}^{(p)}$  or  $(t^q \hat{\varphi})^{(p)}$  (See [2], [15] and [17]).

1.2.2. *Ultradifferential operators.* A formal expression  $P(D) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_p D^p$  ( $a_p \in \mathbb{R}$ ), corresponds to the ultrapolynomial  $P(\xi) = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} a_p \xi^p$  ( $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ), [14]. It is called an *ultradifferential operator of the Roumieu type*  $\alpha$  if for every  $a > 0$ , there exist a constant  $C = C(a) > 0$  such that the coefficients  $a_p$  satisfy the estimate

$$(1.2) \quad |a_p| \leq \frac{C a^{|p|}}{p!^\alpha}, \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}_0^n.$$

It is a  $C^\infty$  function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We will use the following representation theorem for an  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

For any  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  there exist  $P_1(D)$ -ultradifferential operator of the Roumieu type  $\alpha$ , an ultrapolynomial  $P_2(x)$  of Roumieu type  $\beta$  and an  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  so that

$$(1.3) \quad f(x) = P_1(D)(P_2(x)F(x)).$$

We note that  $\phi \mapsto P_1(D)\phi$  and  $\phi \mapsto P_2(x)\phi$  are continuous mappings of  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$  into itself.

We will consider elliptic operators of this type. For them one has that the function  $P(\xi)$  satisfies ([14, Proposition 4.5])

$$(1.4) \quad (\forall a, \exists C > 0) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad |P(\xi)| \leq C e^{a|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}.$$

We point out that  $P(D)$  defines the continuous mappings on  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Moreover

$$P(D)\varphi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|p| < n} a_p D^p \varphi \text{ in } \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for every } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

**1.3. STFT and the synthesis operator on  $L^2$ .** Let a window function  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then STFT is defined by

$$V_g f(y, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \overline{g(t-y)} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot t} dt, \quad y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The synthesis operator  $V_g^*$  is defined on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  by

$$V_g^* F(t) = \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} F(y, \xi) g_{y, \xi}(t) dy d\xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

where  $g_{y, \xi}(t) = g(t-y)e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot t}$ . Let  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a synthesis window for  $g$  ( $(g, \varphi) \neq 0$ ). Then for any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(1.5) \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{(g, \varphi)} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} V_g f(y, \xi) \varphi_{y, \xi}(t) dy d\xi,$$

where  $\varphi_{y, \xi}(t) = \varphi(t-y)e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot t}$ . It is well-known that if  $g \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$  is a fixed window, then  $V_g : \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  is a continuous mapping. Moreover, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , equation (1.5) holds pointwise (see [19]).

## 2. $k$ -DIRECTIONAL STFT AND THE $k$ -DIRECTIONAL SYNTHESIS OPERATOR

We define the  $k$ -DSTFT and the  $k$ -directional synthesis operator (DSO) for a fixed direction, over  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and its dual.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\mathbf{u}^k = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ , where  $u_i, i = 1, \dots, k$  are independent vectors of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Let  $\tilde{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $g \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k) \setminus \{0\}$ . The  $k$ -DSTFT of  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$(2.1) \quad DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f(\tilde{y}, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \overline{g((u_1 \cdot t, \dots, u_k \cdot t) - (y_1, \dots, y_k))} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \xi} dt$$

and the  $k$ -DSO of  $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$  is defined by

$$(2.2) \quad DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k}^* F(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} F(\tilde{y}, \xi) g_{\mathbf{u}^k, \tilde{y}, \xi}(t) d\tilde{y} d\xi, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $g_{\mathbf{u}^k, \tilde{y}, \xi}(t) = g((u_1 \cdot t, \dots, u_k \cdot t) - (y_1, \dots, y_k)) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot t}, t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$  be the synthesis window for  $g \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k) \setminus \{0\}$ , which means  $(g, \varphi)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)} \neq 0$ . We will show in Proposition 2.4 that for  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the following reconstruction formula holds pointwise:

$$(2.3) \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{(g, \varphi)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f(\tilde{y}, \xi) \varphi_{\mathbf{u}^k, \tilde{y}, \xi}(t) d\tilde{y} d\xi,$$

where  $\varphi_{\mathbf{u}^k, \tilde{y}, \xi}(t) = \varphi((u_1 \cdot t, \dots, u_k \cdot t) - (y_1, \dots, y_k)) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot t}, t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Relation (2.3) takes the form

$$(DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{u}^k}^* \circ DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k}) f = (g, \varphi) f.$$

**2.1. Coordinate transformation.** Let  $A_{k,n} = [u_{i,j}]$  be a  $k \times n$  matrix with rows  $u^i, i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $I_{n-k, n-k}$  be the identity matrix. Let  $B$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix determined by  $A$  and  $I_{n-k, n-k}$  so that  $Bt = s$ , where

$$s_1 = u_{1,1}t_1 + \dots + u_{1,n}t_n, \dots, s_k = u_{k,1}t_1 + \dots + u_{k,n}t_n,$$

$s_{k+1} = t_{k+1}, \dots, s_n = t_n$ . Clearly, this matrix is invertible (regular). Put  $C = B^{-1}$  and  $\mathbf{e}^k = (e_1, \dots, e_k)$ , where  $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_k = (0, \dots, 1)$  are unit vectors of the coordinate system of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then, with the change of variables  $t = Cs$ , and  $\eta = C^T\xi$  ( $C^T$  is the transposed matrix for  $C$ ), one obtains, for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , that (2.1) is transformed into:

$$(2.4) \quad DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f(\tilde{y}, \xi) = (DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} h(s))(\tilde{y}, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(s) \overline{g(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})} e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} ds,$$

where  $h(s) = |C|f(Cs)$ ,  $|C|$  is the determinant of  $C$ , and (2.2) is transformed, for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , into:

$$(2.5) \quad DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k}^* F(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} F(\tilde{y}, \eta) g(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y}) e^{2\pi i s \cdot \eta} d\tilde{y} d\eta, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Remark 2.2.* 1. Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $h(s) = |C|f(Cs) \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

2. If  $g(s_1, \dots, s_k) = g_1(s_1) \cdots g_k(s_k) \in (\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}))^k$ ,  $(\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}))^k = \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}) \times \dots \times \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f(\tilde{y}, \xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) \overline{g_1(u_1 \cdot t - y_1)} \cdots \overline{g_k(u_k \cdot t - y_k)} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \xi} dt =$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(s) \overline{g_1(s_1 - y_1)} \cdots \overline{g_k(s_k - y_k)} e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \mu} ds,$$

and we call it, the partial short-time Fourier transform.

## 2.2. Continuity properties.

**Theorem 2.3.** *By*

$$(DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} h(s))(\tilde{y}, \eta) = H(\tilde{y}, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(s) \overline{g(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})} e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} ds$$

*is defined a continuous bilinear mapping*

$$\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^{k+n}),$$

$$(h, g) \mapsto H = DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} h.$$

*Proof.* Let  $v, p \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ ,  $\tilde{w}, \tilde{\gamma} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k$ ,  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Using (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= \eta^v \tilde{y}^{\tilde{w}} \partial_\eta^p \partial_{\tilde{y}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} D S_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} h(\tilde{y}, \eta) \\
&= \eta^v \tilde{y}^{\tilde{w}} (-2\pi i)^{|p|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-1)^{|\tilde{\gamma}|} s^p h(s) \overline{g^{(\tilde{\gamma})}(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})} e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} ds \\
&= \eta^v (-2\pi i)^{|p|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-1)^{|\tilde{\gamma}|} s^p h(s) \tilde{y}^{\tilde{w}} \overline{g^{(\tilde{\gamma})}(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})} e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} ds \\
&= (-2\pi i)^{|p| - |v|} (-1)^{|\tilde{\gamma}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^v}{\partial s^v} \left( s^p h(s) \tilde{y}^{\tilde{w}} \overline{g^{(\tilde{\gamma})}(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})} \right) e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} ds \\
&= (-2\pi i)^{|p| - |v|} (-1)^{|\tilde{\gamma}|} \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{v}} \binom{v}{\tilde{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial^{v - \tilde{j}}}{\partial s^{v - \tilde{j}}} (s^p h(s)) (\tilde{y}^{\tilde{w}} \overline{g^{(\tilde{\gamma} + \tilde{j})}(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})}) e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Above,  $v - \tilde{j} = (v_1 - j_1, \dots, v_k - j_k, \tilde{v})$ . In the sequel we will use

$$(v - \tilde{j})! \tilde{j}!^\alpha \leq v!^\alpha, \quad (\tilde{j} + \tilde{\gamma})!^\alpha \leq 2^{\tilde{j} + \tilde{\gamma}} \tilde{j}!^\alpha \tilde{\gamma}!^\alpha.$$

We divide integral  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \int_{|s| \leq 1} + \int_{|s| > 1}$ , denote corresponding expressions as  $J = J_1 + J_2$  and continue to estimate the second one  $J_2$  in which we put in the numerator and denominator  $s^{(2, \dots, 2)}$ . With this preparation we will have the existence of the second integral. This will simplify the estimates inside it.

We put  $J_2 = J_{2,1} + J_{2,2}$  and  $r_p^{v, \tilde{\gamma}} = (-2\pi i)^{|p| - |v|} (-1)^{|\tilde{\gamma}|}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{2,1} &= r_p^{v, \tilde{\gamma}} \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{v}} \binom{v}{\tilde{j}} \int_{|s| > 1} (s^{(2, \dots, 2)}) \frac{\partial^{v - \tilde{j}}}{\partial s^{v - \tilde{j}}} (s^p h(s)) \overline{g^{(\tilde{\gamma} + \tilde{j})}(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})} (\tilde{y}^{\tilde{w}} - \tilde{s}^{\tilde{w}}) e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} \frac{ds}{s^{(2, \dots, 2)}}. \\
J_{2,2} &= r_p^{v, \tilde{\gamma}} \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{v}} \binom{v}{\tilde{j}} \int_{|s| > 1} s^{\tilde{w}} s^{(2, \dots, 2)} \frac{\partial^{v - \tilde{j}}}{\partial s^{v - \tilde{j}}} (s^p h(s)) \overline{g^{(\tilde{\gamma} + \tilde{j})}(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})} e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} \frac{ds}{s^{(2, \dots, 2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The use of positive constants  $c_1, c_2, C_1, C_2$ , below, will be clear from the context.

With suitable  $c_1, C_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{c_1^{|v| + |p| + |\tilde{w}| + |\tilde{\gamma}|}}{v!^\alpha p!^\beta \tilde{\gamma}!^\alpha \tilde{w}!^\beta} |J_{2,1}| / |r_p^{v, \tilde{\gamma}}| \leq \\
&\leq \frac{c_1^{|v| + |p| + |\tilde{w}| + |\tilde{\gamma}|}}{v!^\alpha p!^\beta \tilde{\gamma}!^\alpha \tilde{w}!^\beta} \left| \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{v}} \binom{v}{\tilde{j}} \int_{|s| > 1} (s^{(2, \dots, 2)}) \frac{\partial^{v - \tilde{j}}}{\partial s^{v - \tilde{j}}} (s^p h(s)) \overline{g^{(\tilde{\gamma} + \tilde{j})}(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})} (\tilde{y}^{\tilde{w}} - \tilde{s}^{\tilde{w}}) e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} \frac{ds}{s^{(2, \dots, 2)}} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{v}} \binom{v}{\tilde{j}} \int_{|s| > 1} \frac{c_1^{|p| + |v - \tilde{j}|}}{(v - \tilde{j})!^\alpha p!^\beta} |s^{(2, \dots, 2)}| \frac{\partial^{v - \tilde{j}}}{\partial s^{v - \tilde{j}}} (s^p h(s)) \left| \frac{c_1^{|\tilde{w}| + |\tilde{\gamma} + \tilde{j}|} |\tilde{y} - \tilde{s}|^{|\tilde{w}|} |\overline{g^{(\tilde{\gamma} + \tilde{j})}(\tilde{s} - \tilde{y})}|}{\tilde{w}!^\beta (\tilde{\gamma} + \tilde{j})!^\alpha} \frac{ds}{s^{(2, \dots, 2)}} \right| \leq C_1.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, with suitable  $c_2, C_2$ ,

$$\frac{c_2^{|v| + |p| + |\tilde{w}| + |\tilde{\gamma}|}}{v!^\alpha p!^\beta \tilde{\gamma}!^\alpha \tilde{w}!^\beta} |J_{2,2}| / |r_p^{v, \tilde{\gamma}}|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{c_2^{|v|+|p|+|\tilde{w}|+|\tilde{\gamma}|}}{v!^\alpha p!^\beta \tilde{\gamma}!^\alpha \tilde{w}!^\beta} \left| \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{v}} \binom{v}{\tilde{j}} \int_{|s|>1} s^{\tilde{w}} s^{(2,\dots,2)} \frac{\tilde{\partial}^{v-\tilde{j}}}{\partial s^{v-\tilde{j}}} (s^p h(s)) \overline{g^{(\gamma+\tilde{j})}(\tilde{s}-\tilde{y})} e^{-2\pi i s \cdot \eta} \frac{ds}{s^{(2,\dots,2)}} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{v}} \binom{v}{\tilde{j}} \int_{|s|>1} \frac{c_2^{|p|+|v-\tilde{j}|+|\tilde{w}|}}{(v-\tilde{j})!^\alpha p!^\beta \tilde{w}!^\beta} |s^{(2,\dots,2)} \tilde{s}^{\tilde{w}} \frac{\partial^{v-\tilde{j}}}{\partial s^{v-\tilde{j}}} (s^p h(s))| \frac{c_2^{|\tilde{\gamma}+\tilde{j}|} \overline{g^{(\gamma+\tilde{j})}(\tilde{s}-\tilde{y})}}{(\tilde{\gamma}+\tilde{j})!^\alpha} \frac{ds}{s^{(2,\dots,2)}} \leq C_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We use (1.1) and Remark 1.1, that is

$$\sup_{s \in \mathbb{R}^n, l, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \tilde{w} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k} \frac{a^{|q|+|l|+|\tilde{w}|} |s^{(2,\dots,2)} \tilde{s}^{\tilde{w}} (s^l h(s))^{(q)}|}{q!^\alpha l!^\beta \tilde{w}!^\beta} < \infty, \text{ for some } a > 0.$$

So, with  $\sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{v}} \binom{v}{\tilde{j}} \leq 2^v$ , and new constants  $c$  and  $C$  (which includes the value  $\int_{|s|>1} ds/s^{(2,\dots,2)}$ ), we have

$$|J_2| \leq C \sigma_c^{\alpha,\beta}(h) \sigma_c^{\alpha,\beta}(g).$$

The estimate on  $J_1$  can be done in an analogous fashion, and this completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . Then the reconstruction formula (2.3) holds pointwisely.*

*Proof.* Indeed, by using the Parseval identity for given  $f_1, f_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , and after the change of variables as in the representation (2.4), that is  $h_i(\cdot) = |C| f_i(C \cdot)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.6) \quad &(DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f_1(\tilde{y}, \xi), DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{u}^k} f_2(\tilde{y}, \xi))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= (DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} h_1(\tilde{y}, \eta), DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{e}^k} h_2(\tilde{y}, \eta))_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&= (h_1, h_2)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} (\overline{g}, \overline{\varphi})_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain the reconstruction formula (2.3) as a consequence of (2.6) as in [11, Theorem 3.2.1. and Corollary 3.2.3].  $\square$

Now we will consider the continuity properties of (2.5). We fix  $g \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *By  $DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k}^*(H(\tilde{y}, \eta))(s) = h(s)$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{R}^n$  given by (2.5), is defined a continuous linear mapping*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), \\
&H \mapsto h = DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k}^* H.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* We will estimate  $\frac{c^{|p+v|}}{p!^\alpha v!^\beta} s^v \partial_s^p h(s)$ . There holds

$$\begin{aligned}
|s^v \partial_s^p h(s)| &= |s^v \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{p}} \binom{p}{\tilde{j}} (2\pi i)^{p-\tilde{j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} F(\tilde{y}, \eta) \eta^{p-\tilde{j}} g^{(\tilde{j})}(\tilde{s}-\tilde{y}) e^{2\pi i s \cdot \eta} d\tilde{y} d\eta| \\
&= \left| \sum_{\tilde{j} \leq \tilde{p}} \binom{p}{\tilde{j}} (2\pi i)^{p-\tilde{j}-v} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \partial_\eta^v (F(\tilde{y}, \eta) \eta^{p-\tilde{j}}) g^{(\tilde{j})}(\tilde{s}-\tilde{y}) e^{2\pi i s \cdot \eta} d\tilde{y} d\eta \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to finish the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.6.* This proof shows that one can assume less restrictive conditions on  $g$  since we just differentiate  $g$ . For example, we can only assume that  $g \in \mathcal{S}_0^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ :

**Corollary 2.7.**  $(DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k}^*(H(\tilde{y}, \eta))(s) = h(s), s \in \mathbb{R}^n$  defines a continuous bilinear mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathcal{S}_0^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k) &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ (H, g) &\mapsto h = DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k}^* H. \end{aligned}$$

**2.3.  $k$ -DSTFT and  $k$ -DSO on  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha$ .** Let  $\mathbf{u}^k = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ , where  $u_i, i = 1, \dots, k$  are independent vectors of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . The continuity results allow us to define  $k$ -DSTFT of  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as an element  $DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f \in \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  whose action on test functions is given as a transposed mapping

$$\langle DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f, \Phi \rangle = \langle f, DS_{\tilde{g}, \mathbf{u}^k}^* \Phi \rangle, \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n).$$

We use notation  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^{k+n}$  just to justify the domain of the above mapping.

Since  $g \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , one can define the  $k$ -DSTFT of an  $f \in \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as

$$DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f(\tilde{y}, \xi) = \langle f(t), g((t \cdot u_1, \dots, t \cdot u_k) - \tilde{y})e^{-2\pi t \cdot \xi} \rangle, \quad \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This is a direct method of the definition of an integral transform. We have

**Proposition 2.8.** *The two definitions of the  $k$ -DSTFT of an  $f \in \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$  coincide.*

*Proof.* One has to use the representation formula (1.3), then the continuity of the  $P_1(-D)$ , of  $P_2(x)$  over  $\mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and then the Fubinni theorem.  $\square$

Next, the  $k$ -DSO  $DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k}^* : \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be defined as

$$\langle DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k}^* F, \varphi \rangle = \langle F, DS_{\tilde{g}, \mathbf{u}^k} \varphi \rangle, \quad F \in \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n), \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_\beta^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

We repeat the arguments given above. Let  $F \in \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  be of the form

$$F(\tilde{y}, \xi) = P_1(D_{\tilde{y}, \xi})(P_2(\tilde{y}, \xi)F_0(\tilde{y}, \xi)) \text{ (c.f.(1.3))},$$

where  $P_1(D_{\tilde{y}, \xi})$  and  $P_2(\tilde{y}, \xi)$  are ultradifferential operator over  $\mathbb{R}^{k+n}$  of Roumieu class  $\alpha$  and ultradifferential polynomial of Roumieu class  $\beta$ . Again, we define  $DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k}^* F$  by a direct method

$$DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k}^* F(t) = \langle F, g((u_1 \cdot t, \dots, u_k \cdot t) - \tilde{y})e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot t} \rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We have

**Proposition 2.9.** *The two definitions of the  $k$ -DSO  $DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k}^* f$  of an  $f \in \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  coincide.*

We immediately obtain:

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $g \in \mathcal{S}_0^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ . The  $k$ -directional short-time Fourier transform,  $DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} : \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)$  and the synthesis operator  $DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k}^* : \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are continuous linear maps.*



The following theorem connects the  $k$ -DSTFTs with respect to different windows.

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $\mathbf{u}^k = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ , where  $u_i, i = 1, \dots, k$  are independent vectors of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Let  $\varphi, g, \gamma$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}'_0(\mathbb{R}^k)$  where  $\gamma$  is the synthesis window for  $g$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then*

$$DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{u}^k} f(\tilde{x}, \eta) = (DS_{g, \mathbf{u}^k} f(\tilde{s}, \zeta)) * (DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{u}^k} \gamma(\tilde{s}, \zeta))(\tilde{x}, \eta), \quad \tilde{x}, \tilde{s} \in \mathbb{R}^k, \eta, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof in [1]. By (2.4), it is enough to prove the assertion for  $\mathbf{e}^k$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{S}'_\beta(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ . By the continuity arguments, we can assume that  $f = F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{e}^k}(DS_{\gamma, \mathbf{e}^k}^* F)(\tilde{x}, \eta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} F(\tilde{y}, \xi) \gamma(\tilde{t} - \tilde{y}) e^{2\pi i \xi \cdot \tilde{t}} d\tilde{y} d\xi \right) \overline{\varphi(\tilde{t} - \tilde{x})} e^{-2\pi i \tilde{t} \cdot \eta} dt \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma(\tilde{t}) \overline{\varphi(\tilde{t} - (\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}))} e^{-2\pi i \tilde{t} \cdot (\eta - \xi)} dt \right) F(\tilde{y}, \xi) d\tilde{y} d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} F(\tilde{y}, \xi) DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \eta - \xi) d\tilde{y} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we put  $F = DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f$  and obtain

$$(2.7) \quad DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{e}^k} f(\tilde{x}, \eta) = (DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f(\tilde{s}, \zeta)) * (DS_{\varphi, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{s}, \zeta))(\tilde{x}, \eta).$$

□

### 3. DIRECTIONAL WAVE FRONTS

In order to detect singularities determined by the hyperplanes orthogonal to vectors  $u_1, \dots, u_k$  using the  $k$ -DSTFT, we introduce  $k$ -directional regular sets and wave front sets for GS ultradistributions. Theorem 2.11 guaranties that the wave front set will not depend on the used window. Again, we simplify our exposition by the use of (2.4) and transfer the STFT in  $\mathbf{u}^k$  direction to STFT in  $\mathbf{e}^k$  direction.

Let  $k = 1$  and  $y_0 = y_{0,1} \in \mathbb{R}$ . Put  $\Pi_{e_1, y_0, \varepsilon} = \{t \in \mathbb{R}^n : |t_1 - y_0| < \varepsilon\}$ . It is an area of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  between two hyperplanes orthogonal to  $e_1$ ,

$$\Pi_{e_1, y_0, \varepsilon} = \bigcup_{y \in (y_0 - \varepsilon, y_0 + \varepsilon)} P_{e_1, y}, \quad (y_0 = (y_0, 0, \dots, 0), y = (y, 0, \dots, 0)),$$

and  $P_{e_1, y}$  denotes the hyperplane orthogonal to  $e_1$  passing through  $y$ .

We keep the notation of Section 2. Put

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}, \varepsilon} = \Pi_{e_1, y_1, \varepsilon} \cap \dots \cap \Pi_{e_k, y_k, \varepsilon}, \quad \Pi_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}} = \Pi_{e_1, y_1} \cap \dots \cap \Pi_{e_k, y_k}.$$

The first set is a paraleloiped in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  so that in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  it is determined by  $2k$  finite edges while the other edges are infinite. The set  $\Pi_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}}$  equals  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  translated by vectors  $\tilde{y}_1, \dots, \tilde{y}_k$ . We will call it  $n - k$ -dimensional element of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and denote it as  $P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . If  $k = n$ , then this is just the point  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

In the sequel,  $\alpha > 1$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}'^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is said that  $f$  is  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular at  $(P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}_0}, \xi_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ , that is, at every point of the form  $((\tilde{y}_0, \cdot), \xi_0)$  ( $\cdot$  denotes an arbitrary point of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ ) if there exists  $g \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ ,  $g(\tilde{0}) \neq 0$ , the product of open balls  $L_r(\tilde{y}_0) = L_r(y_{0,1}) \times \dots \times L_r(y_{0,k}) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , a cone  $\Gamma_{\xi_0}$  and there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C_N > 0$  such that

$$(3.1) \quad \sup_{\tilde{y} \in L_r(\tilde{y}_0), \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}} |DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \xi)| = \sup_{\tilde{y} \in L_r(\tilde{y}_0), \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}} |\mathcal{F}(f(t) \overline{g(\tilde{t} - \tilde{y})})(\xi)| \leq C_N e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}.$$

Note that for  $k = n$  our definition is the classical Hörmander's definition of regularity, [12, Section 8].

*Remark 3.2.* a) If  $f$  is  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular at  $(P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}_0}, \xi_0)$ , then there exists an open ball  $L_r(\tilde{y}_0)$  and an open cone  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$  so that  $f$  is  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular at  $(P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{z}_0}, \theta_0)$  for any  $\tilde{z}_0 \in L_r(\tilde{y}_0)$  and  $\theta_0 \in \Gamma$ . This implies that the union of all  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular points  $(P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{z}_0}, \theta_0)$ ,  $((\tilde{z}_0, \cdot), \theta_0) \in (L_r(\tilde{y}_0) \times \mathbb{R}^k) \times \Gamma$  is an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ .

b) Denote by  $Pr_k$  the projection of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then, the  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular point  $(P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}_0}, \xi_0)$ , considered in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  with respect to the first  $k$  variables, equals  $(Pr_k^{-1} \times I_\xi)(P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}_0}, \xi_0)$  ( $I_\xi$  is the identity matrix on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ).

As standard,  $k$ -directional wave front is defined as the complement in  $\mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  of all  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular points  $(P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}_0}, \xi_0)$ , and we denoted as  $WF_{\mathbf{e}^k}(f)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *The set  $WF_{\mathbf{e}^k}(f)$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^k \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  (and  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ ).*

$B_r(\tilde{0})$  denotes a closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with center at zero and radius  $r > 0$ . The following theorem relates sets of  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular points for two  $k$ -DSTFT of GS ultradistributions.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If (3.1) holds for some  $g \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , then it holds for every  $h \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ ,  $(h(\tilde{0}) \neq 0)$  supported by a ball  $B_\rho(\tilde{0})$ , where  $\rho \leq \rho_0$  and  $\rho_0$  depends on  $r$  in (3.1).*

*Proof.* We follow the idea of our proof in [1]. Compact supports of  $g$  and  $h$  simplify the integration. Moreover, by the structural theorem, we know that  $f = P_0(D)F$ , where  $F$  is a continuous function of sub-exponential growth:

$$(3.2) \quad (\forall a > 0, \quad \exists C_a > 0) \quad F(x) \leq C_a e^{a|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}$$

and  $P_0(D)$  satisfies (1.2) and (1.4). So, we can use the technique of oscillatory integral, transfer the differentiation from  $f$  on other factors in integral expressions and, from the beginning, assume that  $f$  is a continuous function which satisfies (1.4).

We use Theorem 2.11, that is, the form (2.7). Assume that (3.1) holds. We repeat from [1] the constructions of balls. Without that one can not follow our new estimates.

So,  $\gamma$  is chosen so that  $\text{supp } \gamma \subset B_{\rho_1}(\tilde{0})$  and  $\rho_1 < r - r_0$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$  and  $\text{supp } h \subset B_\rho(\tilde{0})$ . Our aim is to find  $\rho_0$  such that (3.1) holds for  $DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} f(\tilde{x}, \eta)$ , with  $\tilde{x} \in B_{r_0}(\tilde{y}_0)$ ,  $\eta \in \Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ , for  $\rho \leq \rho_0$  ( $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$  implies that  $\Gamma_1 \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  is a compact subset of  $\Gamma_{\xi_0} \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ).

Next,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |\tilde{p}| \leq \rho_1, \quad |\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}_0| \leq r_0 \quad \text{and} \quad |\tilde{p} - ((\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}_0) - (\tilde{y} - \tilde{y}_0))| \leq \rho \\ \Rightarrow |\tilde{y} - \tilde{y}_0| \leq \rho + \rho_1 + r_0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we choose  $\rho_0$  such that  $\rho_0 + \rho_1 < r - r_0$  and

$$(3.4) \quad \rho + \rho_1 + r_0 < r \quad \text{holds for} \quad \rho \leq \rho_0.$$

Let  $\Gamma_1 \subset\subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ . Then, there exists  $c \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \eta \in \Gamma_1, |\eta| > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta| \Rightarrow \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}; \quad |\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta| \Rightarrow |\eta| \leq (1 - c)^{-1}|\xi|.$$

Let  $\tilde{x} \in B_{r_0}(\tilde{y}_0), \eta \in \Gamma_1$ . Then

$$|DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{x}, \cdot), \eta)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \xi) DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \eta - \xi) d\xi d\tilde{y} \right|.$$

Consider

$$J_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \eta - \xi) d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad J_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \xi) d\xi.$$

We choose an elliptic ultradifferential operator  $P(D)$  so that  $P(\xi) \geq e^{(a+1)|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}$ , where  $a$  is from (3.2). Then

$$J_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(t)}{P(2\pi t)} \overline{g(\tilde{t} - \tilde{y})} P(D_\xi) (e^{-2\pi i t \cdot (\eta - \xi)}) dt d\xi.$$

This integral diverges with respect to  $\xi$ , while  $J_2$  converges because

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_{\rho_1}(\tilde{0})} \frac{\gamma(\tilde{p}) \overline{h(\tilde{p} - (\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}))}}{P(-2\pi \xi)} P(D_p) (e^{-2\pi i p \cdot \xi}) dp d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{B_{\rho_1}(\tilde{0})} P(D_p) \left( \frac{\gamma(\tilde{p}) \overline{h(\tilde{p} - (\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}))}}{P(-2\pi \xi)} \right) e^{-2\pi i p \cdot \xi} dp d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Rewrite

$$|DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{x}, \cdot), \eta)| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left( \int_{|\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta|} + \int_{|\eta - \xi| \geq c|\eta|} \right) (\dots) d\xi |d\tilde{y}| = I_1 + I_2.$$

Then,

$$I_1 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left( \sup_{|\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta|} |DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \eta - \xi)| \int_{|\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta|} |DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \xi)| d\xi \right) d\tilde{y}.$$

Using (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup_{\tilde{x} \in B_{r_0}(\tilde{y}_0), \eta \in \Gamma_1} e^{N|\eta|^{1/\alpha}} I_1 &\leq \int_{B_r(\tilde{y}_0)} \left( \sup_{\xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}} |DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \xi)| e^{N(1-c)^{-1}|\xi|^{1/\alpha}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_{|\xi| \geq (1-c)|\eta|} |DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \xi)| d\xi \right) d\tilde{y}. \end{aligned}$$

Now by the finiteness of  $J_2$ , we obtain that  $I_1$  satisfies the necessary estimate of (3.1).

Now we consider  $I_2$  with the new explanations.

$$I_2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \int_{|\xi| \geq c|\eta|} DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \eta - \xi) DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \xi) d\xi \right| d\tilde{y}.$$

Let  $K = \{\xi : |\eta - \xi| \geq c|\eta|\}$ . Denote by  $\kappa_d^0$ ,  $0 < d < 1$ , the characteristic function of  $K_d = \bigcup_{\xi \in K} L_d(\xi)$ , that is,  $K_d$  is open  $d$ -neighborhood of  $K$ . Then, put

$$\kappa_d = \kappa_d^0 * \varphi_d,$$

where  $\varphi_d = \frac{1}{d^n} \varphi(\cdot/d)$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is non-negative, supported by the ball  $B_1(0)$  and equals 1 on  $B_{1/2}(0)$ . This construction implies that  $\kappa_d$  equals one on  $K$ , is supported by  $K_{2d}$ . Moreover, all the derivatives of  $\kappa_d$  are bounded. We note that

$$\left| \int_K \dots d\xi \right| = \left| \int_{K_{2d}} \kappa_d(\xi) \dots d\xi \right| + \left| \int_{K_{2d} \cap \{\xi : |\eta - \xi| \leq \eta\}} \kappa_d(\xi) \dots d\xi \right|$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\tilde{x} \in B_{r/2}(\tilde{y}_0), \eta \in \Gamma_1} I_2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa_d(\xi) DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \eta - \xi) DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \xi) d\xi \right| d\tilde{y} \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \int_{K_{2d} \cap \{\xi : |\eta - \xi| \leq \eta\}} \kappa_d(\xi) DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \eta - \xi) DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \xi) d\xi \right| d\tilde{y} = I_{2,1} + I_{2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

We continue with  $I_{2,1}$ . For every  $\tilde{x} \in B_{r_0}(\tilde{y}_0)$  and  $\eta \in \Gamma_1$  and using (1.4) we see that all integrals on the right hand side of

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\tilde{x} \in B_{r/2}(\tilde{y}_0), \eta \in \Gamma_1} e^{N|\eta|^{1/\alpha}} I_{2,1} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}_\xi^n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_t^n} \frac{|f(t)|}{P(2\pi t)} |g(\tilde{t} - \tilde{y})| dt \left( \frac{e^{N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}}{e^{N|\eta - \xi|^{1/\alpha}}} P(D_\xi) \frac{\kappa_d(\xi)}{P(-2\pi\xi)} \right) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \int_{\mathbb{R}_p^n} |P(D_p)(\gamma(\tilde{p}) \overline{h(\tilde{p} - (\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}))})| dp \right) d\xi \right| d\tilde{y} \end{aligned}$$

are finite.

Now we treat  $I_{2,2}$  in the same way as  $I_1$  since integration goes over a subset of  $\{\xi : |\eta - \xi| \leq c|\eta|\}$ . Only a bounded factor  $\kappa_d$  appears in the last integral in (3.6):

$$\int_{|\xi| \geq (1-c)|\eta|} \kappa_d(\xi) |DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} \gamma(\tilde{x} - \tilde{y}, \xi)| d\xi.$$

This gives

$$\sup_{\tilde{x} \in B_{r/2}(\tilde{y}_0), \eta \in \Gamma_1} e^{N|\eta|^{1/\alpha}} I_{2,2} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The next corollary is a modification of the one in the distribution theory (see [1]).

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$  with  $\text{supp } g \subset B_a(\tilde{0})$ , have synthesis window  $\gamma$  with  $\text{supp } \gamma \subset B_{\rho_1}(\tilde{0})$  and  $\rho_1 \leq a$ . Then

$$(3.7) \quad \sup_{\tilde{y} \in L_{2r}(\tilde{y}_0), \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}} |DS_{g, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \xi)| \leq C_N e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}.$$

Moreover, assume that  $a < r$ . Then, for any  $h \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$  with support  $B_\rho(\tilde{0})$ ,  $\rho < a$ , there exists  $r_0$  and  $\Gamma_1 \subset \subset \Gamma_{\xi_0}$  such that (3.7) holds for  $DS_{h, \mathbf{e}^k} f((\tilde{x}, \cdot), \eta)$  with the supremum over  $\tilde{x} \in B_{r_0}(\tilde{y}_0)$  and  $\eta \in \Gamma_1$ .

*Proof.* Similarly as in (3.3),

$$|\tilde{y} - \tilde{y}_0| \leq \rho + \rho_1 + r_0 < \rho + a - r_0 + r_0 = a + \rho < 2r.$$

This implies  $|\tilde{y} - \tilde{y}_0| < 2r$ , so that the supremum in the estimate of  $I_1$  holds. The proof now can be performed in the same way as in Theorem 3.4.  $\square$

With the standard proof we have

**Corollary 3.6.** If  $(P_{\mathbf{e}^k, \tilde{y}}, \xi)$  is a  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular point of  $f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{E}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**3.1. Relations with the partial wave front.** Recall again that the partial wave front of an  $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  was not considered in the literature. So, it is clear that this was not done for ultradistribution spaces in particular, for GS spaces of ultradistributions. For the purpose of this investigations, we will consider the set of  $k$ -microlocally regular points (distinguishing them from the  $k$ -directionally microlocally regular points, for a moment) for an  $f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha$ : The point  $((\tilde{y}_0, \tilde{y}_0), \xi_0) \in (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}) \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$  is  $k$ -microlocally regular for  $f$  if there exists  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$  so that  $\chi(\tilde{y}_0) \neq 0$  and a cone  $\Gamma_{\xi_0}$  around  $\xi_0$  so that there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C_{N, \chi} > 0$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad |\mathcal{F}(\chi(\tilde{y})f(y))(\xi)| \leq C_{N, \chi} e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}, \quad \xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}, y = (\tilde{y}, \tilde{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}.$$

Since, in this definition,  $\chi$  does not depend on  $\tilde{y}$ , we will write in the sequel that  $f$  is  $k$ -microlocally regular at  $((\tilde{y}_0, \cdot), \xi_0)$ .

*Remark 3.7.* The implication (3.1)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is clear. We will prove, as a part of the next theorem ((ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)), that the opposite implication also holds, which means that the two notions coincide.

**Theorem 3.8.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $((\tilde{y}_0, \cdot), \xi_0) \in (\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}) \times (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ . The following conditions are equivalent.

(i)  $((\tilde{y}_0, \cdot), \xi_0) \notin WF_{\mathbf{e}^k}(f)$ .

(ii) There exist a compact neighbourhood  $\tilde{K}$  of  $\tilde{y}_0$  and a cone neighbourhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\xi_0$  such that there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  so that for every  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\tilde{K})$  there exists  $C_{N, \chi} > 0$  such that (3.8) is valid.

(iii) There exist a compact neighbourhood  $\tilde{K}$  of  $\tilde{y}_0$  and a cone neighbourhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\xi_0$  such that there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $h > 0$  and  $C_{N,h} > 0$  such that

$$|DS_{\chi, e^k} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \xi)| \leq C_{N,h} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{h^{|p|}}{p!^\alpha} \|D^p \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k)} e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}},$$

$$\forall \tilde{y} \in \tilde{K}, \forall \xi \in \Gamma, \forall \chi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\tilde{K} - \{y_0\}),$$

where  $\tilde{K} - \{y_0\} = \{\tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k \mid \tilde{y} + \tilde{y}_0 \in \tilde{K}\}$ .

(iv) There exist a compact neighborhood  $\tilde{K}$  of  $\tilde{y}_0$ , a cone neighborhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\xi_0$  and  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$ , with  $\chi(\tilde{0}) \neq 0$  such that there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C_{N,\chi} > 0$  such that

$$|DS_{e^k, \chi} f((\tilde{y}, \cdot), \xi)| \leq C_{N,\chi} e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}, \quad \forall \tilde{y} \in \tilde{K}, \forall \xi \in \Gamma.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows the steps of those one in [16].

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) The fact that  $((\tilde{y}_0, \cdot), \xi_0) \notin WF_{e^k}(f)$  implies the existence of  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^k)$  ( $\chi(\cdot) = g(\cdot - \tilde{y}_0)$ ) with  $\chi(\tilde{y}_0) \neq 0$  and a cone neighborhood  $\Gamma_{\xi_0}$  of  $\xi_0$  for which (3.8) is valid for  $\xi \in \Gamma_{\xi_0}$ . There exists a compact neighborhood  $\tilde{K}$  of  $\tilde{y}_0$  where  $\chi$  never vanishes. Fix a cone neighborhood  $\Gamma$  of  $\xi_0$  such that  $\bar{\Gamma} \subseteq \Gamma_{\xi_0} \cup \{0\}$ . Following the proof of Lemma 8.1.1 in [12] one can show that there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\tilde{K})$  such that  $|\mathcal{F}(\psi \chi f)(\xi)| \leq C_{N,\psi,\chi} e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}$ ,  $\forall \xi \in \Gamma$ . Then (ii) follows since  $\psi f = (\psi/\chi)\chi f$  where  $\psi/\chi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\tilde{K})$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) By (ii), (3.8) implies that there exist  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $H_N = \{e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}} e^{-i\xi \cdot} f \mid \xi \in \Gamma\}$  is weakly bounded in  $\mathcal{D}'^\alpha(\tilde{B})$ . So it is equicontinuous as  $\mathcal{D}'^\alpha(\tilde{B})$  is barrelled. Let  $\tilde{K} = \tilde{B}_{\tilde{y}_0}(r/2)$ . For each  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}^\alpha(\tilde{K} - \{y_0\})$  and  $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{K}$  the function

$$\tilde{t} \mapsto \chi(\tilde{t} - \tilde{y})$$

is in  $\mathcal{D}^\alpha(\tilde{B})$  and the equicontinuity of  $H_N$  implies the existence of  $C_N > 0$  and  $h > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle e^{-i\xi t} f(t), \overline{\chi(\tilde{t} - \tilde{y})} \rangle| &\leq C_N e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \tilde{t}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{K}} \frac{h^{|p|}}{p!^\alpha} |D^p \chi(\tilde{t} - \tilde{y})| \\ &= C_N \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} \frac{h^{|p|}}{p!^\alpha} \|D^p \chi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)} e^{-N|\xi|^{1/\alpha}}, \quad \forall \xi \in \Gamma, \forall \tilde{y} \in \tilde{K}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the validity of (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) is simple and skipped.

Using the estimate in (iv) with  $\tilde{y} = \tilde{y}_0$ , (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) simply follow. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Acknowledgements:* This paper was supported by the project "Time-frequency methods", No. 174024 financed by the Ministry of Science, Republic of Serbia, by the project "Localization in phase space: theoretical and numerical aspects", No. 19.032/961-103/19 funded by MNRVOID Republic of Srpska and by the bilateral project "Microlocal analysis and applications" between the Macedonian and Serbian academies of sciences and arts.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Atanasova, S. Pilipović, K. Saneva, *Directional Time–Frequency Analysis and Directional Regularity*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., **42** (2019), 2075–2090.
- [2] R. Carmichael, A. Kamiński, S. Pilipović, *Boundary Values and Convolution in Ultradistribution Spaces*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2007.
- [3] S. Coriasco, K. Johansson, J. Toft, *Local wave-front sets of Banach and Fréchet types, and pseudo-differential operators*, Monatsh Math, **169** (2013), 285–316.
- [4] S. Coriasco, K. Johansson, J. Toft, *Global Wave-front Sets of Banach, Fréchet and Modulation Space Types, and Pseudo-differential Operators*, J. Differential Equations, **254**(8) (2013), 3228–3258.
- [5] P. Dimovski, B. Prangoski, *Wave front sets with respect to Banach spaces of ultradistributions. Characterisation via the short-time fourier transform*, Filomat, **33**(18) (2019), 5829–5836.
- [6] K. Johansson, S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *A Note on Wave-front Sets of Roumieu Type Ultradistributions*. In: Molahajloo S., Pilipović S., Toft J., Wong M. (eds) Pseudo-Differential Operators, Generalized Functions and Asymptotics. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol 231. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2013
- [7] K. Johansson, S. Pilipović, N. Teofanov, J. Toft, *Micro-local analysis in some spaces of ultradistributions*. Publications de l’Institut Mathématique (Beograd), **92**(106) (2012), 1–24.
- [8] H. H. Giv, *Directional short-time Fourier transform*, J. Math. Anal. Appl, **399** (2013), 100–107.
- [9] I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov, *Generalized functions*, Vols II and III, Academic Press, 1967.
- [10] L. Grafakos, C. Saneva, *Gabor frames and directional time-frequency analysis*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., **25**(1) (2008), 47–67.
- [11] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of time-frequency analysis*, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators I*, second edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1990.
- [13] A. Kaminski, D. Perišić, S. Pilipović, *Integral transforms on tempered ultradistributions*, Demonstr. Math. **33**(2000), 641–655.
- [14] H. Komatsu, *Ultradistributions, I: Structure theorems and a characterization*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **20**, 1973.
- [15] S. Pilipović, *Bounded sets in the spaces of tempered ultradistributions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **120** (1994), 1191–1206.
- [16] S. Pilipović, B. Prangoski, *On the characterization of wave front sets via the short-time Fourier transform*, Math. Notes **105** (2019), 153–157.
- [17] S. Pilipović, D. Rakić, N. Teofanov, J. Vindas, *The Wavelet transforms in Gelfand-Shilov spaces*, Collect. Math. **67** (2016), 443–460.
- [18] K. Saneva, S. Atanasova, *Directional short-time Fourier transform of distributions*, J Inequal Appl, **124**(1) (2016), 1–10.
- [19] N. Teofanov, *Gelfand-Shilov spaces and localization operators*, Functional Analysis, Approximation and Computation **7**(2) (2015), 135–158.

FACULTY OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES, SS. CYRIL AND METHOD-IUS UNIVERSITY, RUGJER BOSHKOVIK 18, 1000 SKOPJE, NORTH MACEDONIA  
*Email address:* ksanja@feit.ukim.edu.mk

FACULTY OF ARCHITECTURE, CIVIL ENGINEERING AND GEODESY, UNIVERSITY OF BANJA LUKA, STEPE STEPANOVIĆA 77/3, 78000 BANJA LUKA, BOSNIA AND HERCEGOVINA  
*Email address:* snjezana.maksimovic@aggf.unibl.org

FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD, TRG D. OBRADOVIĆA 4, 21000 NOVI SAD, SERBIA  
*Email address:* pilipovic@dmi.uns.ac.rs