

TOWARDS CHARACTERIZATIONS OF APPROXIMATE SCHAUDER FRAME AND ITS DUALS FOR BANACH SPACES

K. MAHESH KRISHNA AND P. SAM JOHNSON

Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences
National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK), Surathkal
Mangaluru 575 025, India

Emails: kmaheshak@gmail.com, sam@nitk.edu.in

Date: January 29, 2022

Abstract: We begin the study of characterizations of recently defined approximate Schauder frame (ASF) and its duals for separable Banach spaces. We show that, under some conditions, both ASF and its dual frames can be characterized for Banach spaces. We also give an operator-theoretic characterization for similarity of ASFs. Our results encode the results of Holub, Li, Balan, Han, and Larson. We also address orthogonality of ASFs.

Keywords: Frame, Approximate Schauder Frame.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 42C15, 46B25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. Classical definition of a frame for \mathcal{H} as set out by Duffin and Schaeffer, in 1952 [5] reads as follows.

Definition 1.1. [5] A sequence $\{\tau_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{H} is said to be a frame for \mathcal{H} if there exist $a, b > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad a\|h\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle h, \tau_n \rangle|^2 \leq b\|h\|^2, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Constants a and b are called as lower and upper frame bounds, respectively. If a can take the value 0, then we say that $\{\tau_n\}_n$ is a Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} .

Given a collection $\{\tau_n\}_n$, in general, it is difficult to find a and b such that the two inequalities in (1) hold. Therefore, it is natural to ask whether there is a characterization for frame without using frame bounds. Orthonormal bases are the simplest and easiest sequences we can handle in a Hilbert space, so one attempts to obtain characterization using orthonormal bases. Since every separable Hilbert space is isometrically isomorphic to the standard Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and the standard unit vectors $\{e_n\}_n$ form an orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, one can further ask whether frames can be characterized using $\{e_n\}_n$. This question was answered affirmatively by Holub in 1994 [9] as follows.

Theorem 1.2. [9] A sequence $\{\tau_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{H} is a frame for \mathcal{H} if and only if there exists a surjective bounded linear operator $T : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $Te_n = \tau_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

There is a slight variation of Theorem 1.2 given by Christensen.

Theorem 1.3. [4] Let $\{\omega_n\}_n$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Then a sequence $\{\tau_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{H} is a frame for \mathcal{H} if and only if there exists a surjective bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $T\omega_n = \tau_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now we look into some fundamental properties of frames which are exhibited in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. [5, 8] Let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} . Then

(i) The frame operator $S_\tau : \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle h, \tau_n \rangle \tau_n \in \mathcal{H}$ is a well-defined bounded linear, positive and invertible operator. Further,

$$(2) \quad h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle h, S_\tau^{-1} \tau_n \rangle \tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle h, \tau_n \rangle S_\tau^{-1} \tau_n, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

(ii) $\{S_\tau^{-1} \tau_n\}_n$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} .

(iii) The analysis operator $\theta_\tau : \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto \{\langle h, \tau_n \rangle\}_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is a well-defined bounded linear, injective operator.

(iv) Adjoint of θ_τ (synthesis operator) is given by $\theta_\tau^* : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \ni \{a_n\}_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \in \mathcal{H}$ which is surjective.

(v) Frame operator splits as $S_\tau = \theta_\tau^* \theta_\tau$.

(vi) $P_\tau := \theta_\tau S_\tau^{-1} \theta_\tau^* : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ is an orthogonal projection onto $\theta_\tau(\mathcal{H})$.

Previous theorem says that, given a vector $h \in \mathcal{H}$, we need to consider sequences $\{\tau_n\}_n$ and $\{S_\tau^{-1} \tau_n\}_n$ to construct h using Equation (2). It is well-known in the theory of frames that, in general, there are frames $\{\omega_n\}_n$ for \mathcal{H} which will also give representation of element as given by $\{S_\tau^{-1} \tau_n\}_n$, namely,

$$(3) \quad h = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle h, \omega_n \rangle \tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle h, \tau_n \rangle \omega_n, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Equation (3) leads to the notion of dual frames as stated below.

Definition 1.5. [11] Let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} . A frame $\{\omega_n\}_n$ for \mathcal{H} is said to be a dual frame for $\{\tau_n\}_n$ if Equation (3) holds.

Just like characterization of frames, given a frame, we seek a description of each of its dual frame. This problem was solved by Li in 1995 [11] in the following two lemmas and a theorem.

Lemma 1.6. [11] Let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} and $\{e_n\}_n$ be the standard orthonormal basis for $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Then a frame $\{\omega_n\}_n$ is a dual frame for $\{\tau_n\}_n$ if and only if

$$\omega_n = U e_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $U : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded left-inverse of θ_τ .

Lemma 1.7. [11] Let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} . Then $L : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded left-inverse of θ_τ if and only if

$$L = S_\tau^{-1} \theta_\tau^* + V(I_{\ell^2(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_\tau S_\tau^{-1} \theta_\tau^*),$$

where $V : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a bounded operator.

Theorem 1.8. [11] Let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} . Then a frame $\{\omega_n\}_n$ is a dual frame for $\{\tau_n\}_n$ if and only if

$$\omega_n = S_\tau^{-1} \tau_n + \rho_n - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle S_\tau^{-1} \tau_n, \tau_k \rangle \rho_k, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\{\rho_n\}_n$ is a Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} .

We can see proofs of Lemmas 1.6, 1.7 and Theorem 1.8 in the excellent book [4]. We again consider the frame $\{S_\tau^{-1} \tau_n\}_n$. Note that this frame is obtained by the action of an invertible operator S_τ^{-1} to the original frame $\{\tau_n\}_n$. This leads to the question: what are all the frames which are obtained by operating an invertible operator to the given frame? This naturally brings us to the following definition.

Definition 1.9. [1] Two frames $\{\tau_n\}_n$ and $\{\omega_n\}_n$ for \mathcal{H} are said to be similar or equivalent if there exist bounded invertible operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \omega_n = T\tau_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Given frames $\{\tau_n\}_n$ and $\{\omega_n\}_n$, it is rather difficult to check whether they are similar because one has to get an invertible operator and verify Equation (4) for every natural number. Thus it is better if there is a characterization which does not involve natural numbers and involves only operators. Further, it is natural to ask whether there is a formula for the operator T which gives similarity. This was done by Balan in 1999 and independently by Han, and Larson in 2000 which states as follows.

Theorem 1.10. [1, 8] For two frames $\{\tau_n\}_n$ and $\{\omega_n\}_n$ for \mathcal{H} , the following are equivalent.

- (i) $\{\tau_n\}_n$ and $\{\omega_n\}_n$ are similar, i.e., there exists bounded invertible operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $\omega_n = T\tau_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (ii) $\theta_\omega = \theta_\tau T$, for some bounded invertible operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$.
- (iii) $P_\omega = P_\tau$.

If one of the above conditions is satisfied, then the invertible operator in (i) and (ii) is unique and is given by $T = S_\tau^{-1}\theta_\tau^*\theta_\omega$.

In this paper, we try to obtain characterizations for a class of ASFs (see Section 2 for definitions), thus initiating the study of characterizations for arbitrary ASFs. Hilbert space frame theory is more fertile due to the fact that we can continuously switch between \mathcal{H} and the standard separable Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ (see Theorem 1.4). ASFs do not have this property. For this reason, we first define p-ASF in Definition 2.3 which is inspired from Theorem 1.4. As an immediate result, we derive Theorem 2.4 which generalizes Theorem 1.4. We then obtain Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, they generalize Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, respectively. Next we characterize all the dual frames in Theorem 2.13 whose particular case is Theorem 1.8. Since there are two sequences in ASFs, we define similarity using two operators (Definition 2.7). We then characterize similar frames using operators, which extends Theorem 1.10 and it is free from natural numbers (Theorem 2.15). Later we introduce orthogonal ASFs (Definition 2.16) and derive an interpolation result (Theorem 2.18). We end the paper by relating notions duality, similarity and orthogonality (Propositions 2.19 and 2.20).

2. CHARACTERIZATIONS FOR SUBCLASSES OF ASF, ITS DUAL FRAMES AND SIMILARITY OF ASFs

Let \mathcal{X} be a separable Banach space and \mathcal{X}^* be its dual. In the rest of this paper, $\{e_n\}_n$ denotes the standard Schauder basis for $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $\{h_n\}_n$ denotes the coordinate functionals associated with $\{e_n\}_n$. Equation (2) motivated Casazza, Dilworth, Odell, Schlumprecht, and Zsak, to define the notion of Schauder frame for \mathcal{X} in 2008 [3].

Definition 2.1. [3] Let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} and $\{f_n\}_n$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X}^* . The pair $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is said to be a Schauder frame for \mathcal{X} if

$$(5) \quad x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\tau_n, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

To a greater extent, Definition 2.1 was generalized by Freeman, Odell, Schlumprecht, and Zsak in 2014 [6] (actually it was first generalized for \mathbb{R}^n by Thomas in 2012 [12]).

Definition 2.2. [6, 12] Let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} and $\{f_n\}_n$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X}^* . The pair $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is said to be an approximate Schauder frame (ASF) for \mathcal{X} if

$$(6) \quad (\text{frame operator}) \quad S_{f,\tau} : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto S_{f,\tau}x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\tau_n \in \mathcal{X}$$

is a well-defined bounded linear, invertible operator.

Note that whenever $S_{f,\tau} = I_{\mathcal{X}}$, the identity operator on \mathcal{X} , Definition 2.2 reduces to Definition 2.1. Since $S_{f,\tau}$ is invertible, it follows that there are $a, b > 0$ such that

$$a\|x\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\tau_n \right\| \leq b\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

We call a as lower ASF bound and b as upper ASF bound. Supremum (resp. infimum) of the set of all lower (resp. upper) ASF bounds is called optimal lower (resp. upper) ASF bound. From the theory of bounded linear operators between Banach spaces, one sees that optimal lower frame bound is $\|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|^{-1}$ and optimal upper frame bound is $\|S_{f,\tau}\|$. Advantage of ASF over Schauder frame is that it is more easier to get the operator in (6) as invertible than obtaining Equation (5).

Definition 2.3. An ASF $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} is said to be p -ASF, $p \in [1, \infty)$ if both the maps

$$(7) \quad (\text{analysis operator}) \quad \theta_f : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \theta_f x := \{f_n(x)\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and}$$

$$(8) \quad (\text{synthesis operator}) \quad \theta_\tau : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \ni \{a_n\}_n \mapsto \theta_\tau \{a_n\}_n := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \in \mathcal{X}$$

are well-defined bounded linear operators. A Schauder frame which is a p -ASF is called as a Parseval p -ASF.

Observe that, in terms of inequalities, (7) and (8) say that there exist $c, d > 0$, such that

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \text{ and}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \right\| \leq d \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall \{a_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}).$$

Now we have Banach space analog of Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 2.4. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . Then

(i) We have

$$(9) \quad x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1})(x) \tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

(ii) $(\{f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\}_n, \{S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n\}_n)$ is a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} .

(iii) The analysis operator $\theta_f : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \{f_n(x)\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is injective.

(iv) The synthesis operator $\theta_\tau : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \ni \{a_n\}_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \in \mathcal{X}$ is surjective.

(v) Frame operator splits as $S_{f,\tau} = \theta_\tau \theta_f$.

(vi) $P_{f,\tau} := \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is a projection onto $\theta_f(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof. First follows from the continuity and linearity of $S_{f,\tau}^{-1}$. Because $S_{f,\tau}$ is invertible, we have (ii). Again invertibility of $S_{f,\tau}$ makes θ_f injective and θ_τ surjective. (v) and (vi) are routine calculations. \square

Now we can derive a generalization of Theorem 1.2 for Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.5. *A pair $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} if and only if*

$$f_n = h_n U, \quad \tau_n = V e_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, $V : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are bounded linear operators such that VU is bounded invertible.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Clearly θ_f and θ_τ are bounded linear operators. Now let $x \in \mathcal{X}$. Then

$$(10) \quad S_{f,\tau}x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(Ux)V e_n = V \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(Ux)e_n \right) = VUx.$$

Hence $S_{f,\tau}$ is bounded invertible.

(\Rightarrow) Define $U := \theta_f$, $V := \theta_\tau$. Then $h_n Ux = h_n \theta_f x = h_n(\{f_k(x)\}_k) = f_n(x)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{X}$, $V e_n = \theta_\tau e_n = \tau_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $VU = \theta_\tau \theta_f = S_{f,\tau}$ which is bounded invertible. \square

Note that Theorem 2.5 generalizes Theorem 1.2. In fact, in the case of Hilbert spaces, Theorem 2.5 reads as ‘‘A sequence $\{\tau_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{H} is a frame for \mathcal{H} if and only if there exists a bounded linear operator $T : \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that $T e_n = \tau_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and TT^* is invertible’’. Now we know that TT^* is invertible if and only if T is surjective.

Since every separable Hilbert space admits an orthonormal basis, the existence of orthonormal basis in Theorem 1.3 is automatic. On the other hand, Enflo showed that there are separable Banach spaces which do not have Schauder basis (see [10]). Thus to obtain analogous of Theorem 1.3 for Banach spaces, we need to impose condition on \mathcal{X} .

Theorem 2.6. *Assume that \mathcal{X} admits a Schauder basis $\{\omega_n\}_n$. Let $\{g_n\}_n$ denote the coordinate functionals associated with $\{\omega_n\}_n$. Assume that*

$$(11) \quad \{g_n(x)\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then a pair $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} if and only if

$$f_n = g_n U, \quad \tau_n = V \omega_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $U, V : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are bounded linear operators such that VU is bounded invertible.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) This is similar to the calculation done in (10).

(\Rightarrow) Let T be the map defined by

$$T : \mathcal{X} \ni \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}).$$

Assumption (11) then says that T is bounded invertible operator with inverse $T^{-1} : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \ni \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e_n \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \omega_n \in \mathcal{X}$. Define $U := T^{-1} \theta_f$ and $V := \theta_\tau T$. Then U, V are bounded such that $VU = (\theta_\tau T)(T^{-1} \theta_f) = \theta_\tau \theta_f = S_{f,\tau}$ is invertible and for $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_n U)(x) &= g_n(T^{-1} \theta_f x) = g_n(T^{-1}(\{f_k(x)\}_k)) = g_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x) T^{-1} e_k \right) \\ &= g_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x) \omega_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(x) g_n(\omega_k) = f_n(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

and $V \omega_n = \theta_\tau T \omega_n = \theta_\tau e_n = \tau_n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Equation (3) motivates us to define the notion of dual frame as follows.

Definition 2.7. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . A p -ASF $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} is a dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ if

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x)\tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)\omega_n, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Note that dual frames always exist. In fact, the Equation (9) shows that the frame $(\{f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\}_n, \{S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\tau_n\}_n)$ is a dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$. In the case of Hilbert spaces, the frame $\{S_{\tau}^{-1}\tau_n\}_n$ (Theorem 1.4) is called as canonical dual for $\{\tau_n\}_n$. Following this term, we call the frame $(\{f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\}_n, \{S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\tau_n\}_n)$ as canonical dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$. With this notion, the following theorem follows easily.

Theorem 2.8. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} with frame bounds a and b . Then

- (i) The canonical dual p -ASF for the canonical dual p -ASF for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is itself.
- (ii) $\frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{a}$ are frame bounds for the canonical dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$.
- (iii) If a, b are optimal frame bounds for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$, then $\frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{a}$ are optimal frame bounds for its canonical dual.

One can naturally ask when a p -ASF has unique dual. An affirmative answer is given in the following result.

Proposition 2.9. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . If $\{\tau_n\}_n$ is a Schauder basis for \mathcal{X} and $f_k(\tau_n) = \delta_{k,n}, \forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ has unique dual.

Proof. Let $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ and $(\{u_n\}_n, \{\rho_n\}_n)$ be two dual p -ASFs for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (g_n(x) - u_n(x))\tau_n = 0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)(\omega_n - \rho_n), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

First equality gives $g_n = u_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by evaluating second equality at a fixed τ_k gives $\omega_k = \rho_k$. Since k was arbitrary, proposition follows. \square

We now characterize dual frames by using analysis and synthesis operators.

Proposition 2.10. For two p -ASFs $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ and $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} , the following are equivalent.

- (i) $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$.
- (ii) $\theta_{\tau}\theta_g = \theta_{\omega}\theta_f = I_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Like Lemmas 1.6, 1.7 and Theorem 1.8, we now characterize dual frames using standard Schauder basis for $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$.

Lemma 2.11. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . Then a p -ASF $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} is a dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ if and only if

$$g_n = h_n U, \quad \omega_n = V e_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is a bounded right-inverse of θ_{τ} , and $V : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a bounded left-inverse of θ_f such that VU is bounded invertible.

Proof. (\Leftarrow) From the ‘‘if’’ part of proof of Theorem 2.5, we get that $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . We have to check for duality of $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$. For, $\theta_{\tau}\theta_g = \theta_{\tau}U = I_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\theta_{\omega}\theta_f = V\theta_f = I_{\mathcal{X}}$.

(\Rightarrow) Let $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ be a dual p -ASF for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$. Then $\theta_\tau \theta_g = I_{\mathcal{X}}$, $\theta_\omega \theta_f = I_{\mathcal{X}}$. Define $U := \theta_g$, $V := \theta_\omega$. Then $U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is a bounded right-inverse of θ_τ , and $V : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a bounded left-inverse of θ_f such that the operator $VU = \theta_\omega \theta_g = S_{g,\omega}$ is invertible. Further,

$$(h_n U)x = h_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x) e_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x) h_n(e_k) = g_n(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$$

and $V e_n = \theta_\omega e_n = \omega_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Lemma 2.12. *Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . Then*

(i) $R : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is a bounded right-inverse of θ_τ if and only if

$$R = \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau) U$$

where $U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is a bounded linear operator.

(ii) $L : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a bounded left-inverse of θ_f if and only if

$$L = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau + V (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau),$$

where $V : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a bounded linear operator.

Proof. (i) (\Leftarrow) $\theta_\tau (\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau) U) = I_{\mathcal{X}} + \theta_\tau U - I_{\mathcal{X}} \theta_\tau U = I_{\mathcal{X}}$. Therefore $\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau) U$ is a bounded right-inverse of θ_τ .

(\Rightarrow) Define $U := R$. Then $\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau) U = \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau) R = \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + R - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} = R$.

(ii) (\Leftarrow) $(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau + V (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau)) \theta_f = I_{\mathcal{X}} + V \theta_f - V \theta_f I_{\mathcal{X}} = I_{\mathcal{X}}$. Therefore $S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau + V (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau)$ is a bounded left-inverse of θ_f .

(\Rightarrow) Define $V := L$. Then $S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau + V (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau) = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau + L (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau) = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau + L - S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau = L$. \square

Theorem 2.13. *Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . Then a p -ASF $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} is a dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &= f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + h_n U - f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau U, \\ \omega_n &= S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n + V e_n - V \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

such that the operator

$$S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + VU - V \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau U$$

is bounded invertible, where $U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ and $V : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are bounded linear operators.

Proof. Lemmas 2.11 and 2.12 give the characterization of dual frame as

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &= h_n \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + h_n U - h_n \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau U = f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + h_n U - f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau U, \\ \omega_n &= S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau e_n + V e_n - V \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau e_n = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n + V e_n - V \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

such that the operator

$$(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau + V (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau)) (\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau) U)$$

is bounded invertible, where $U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ and $V : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are bounded linear operators. By a direct expansion and simplification we get

$$(S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\theta_\tau + V(I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\theta_\tau))(\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + (I_{\ell^p(\mathbb{N})} - \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\theta_\tau)U) = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} + VU - V\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\theta_\tau U.$$

□

It is known that a bounded linear operator from $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ to \mathcal{H} is given by a Bessel sequence (for instance, see Theorem 3.1.3 in [4]). Thus, for Hilbert spaces, Theorem 2.13 becomes Theorem 1.8. Now we generalize Definition 1.9 to Banach spaces.

Definition 2.14. *Two p -ASFs $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ and $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} are said to be similar or equivalent if there exist bounded invertible operators $T_{f,g}, T_{\tau,\omega} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that*

$$g_n = f_n T_{f,g}, \quad \omega_n = T_{\tau,\omega} \tau_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since the operators giving similarity are bounded invertible, the notion of similarity is symmetric. Further, a routine calculation shows that it is an equivalence relation (hence the name equivalent) on the set

$$\{(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n) : (\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n) \text{ is a } p\text{-ASF for } \mathcal{X}\}.$$

We now characterize similarity using just operators. In the sequel, given a p -ASF $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$, we set $P_{f,\tau} := \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau$.

Theorem 2.15. *For two p -ASFs $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ and $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) $g_n = f_n T_{f,g}, \omega_n = T_{\tau,\omega} \tau_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, for some bounded invertible operators $T_{f,g}, T_{\tau,\omega} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.
- (ii) $\theta_g = \theta_f T_{f,g}, \theta_\omega = T_{\tau,\omega} \theta_\tau$ for some bounded invertible operators $T_{f,g}, T_{\tau,\omega} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.
- (iii) $P_{g,\omega} = P_{f,\tau}$.

If one of the above conditions is satisfied, then invertible operators in (i) and (ii) are unique and are given by $T_{f,g} = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau \theta_g, T_{\tau,\omega} = \theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}$. In the case that $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is a Parseval p -ASF, then $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a Parseval p -ASF if and only if $T_{\tau,\omega} T_{f,g} = I_{\mathcal{X}}$ if and only if $T_{f,g} T_{\tau,\omega} = I_{\mathcal{X}}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) $\theta_g x = \{g_n(x)\}_n = \{f_n(T_{f,g}x)\}_n = \theta_f(T_{f,g}x), \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\theta_\omega(\{a_n\}_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \omega_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n T_{\tau,\omega} \tau_n = T_{\tau,\omega}(\theta_\tau(\{a_n\}_n)), \forall \{a_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) $S_{g,\omega} = \theta_\omega \theta_g = T_{\tau,\omega} \theta_\tau \theta_f T_{f,g} = T_{\tau,\omega} S_{f,\tau} T_{f,g}$ and

$$P_{g,\omega} = \theta_g S_{g,\omega}^{-1} \theta_\omega = (\theta_f T_{f,g})(T_{\tau,\omega} S_{f,\tau} T_{f,g})^{-1} (T_{\tau,\omega} \theta_\tau) = P_{f,\tau}.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) e_n = \theta_g(x) = \theta_f(T_{f,g}x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(T_{f,g}x) e_n, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$. This clearly gives (i).

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) $\theta_g = P_{g,\omega} \theta_g = P_{f,\tau} \theta_g = \theta_f(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau \theta_g)$, and $\theta_\omega = \theta_\omega P_{g,\omega} = \theta_\omega P_{f,\tau} = (\theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}) \theta_\tau$. We show that $S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau \theta_g$ and $\theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}$ are invertible. For,

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau \theta_g)(S_{g,\omega}^{-1} \theta_\omega \theta_f) &= S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau P_{g,\omega} \theta_f = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau P_{f,\tau} \theta_f = I_{\mathcal{X}}, \\ (S_{g,\omega}^{-1} \theta_\omega \theta_f)(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau \theta_g) &= S_{g,\omega}^{-1} \theta_\omega P_{f,\tau} \theta_g = S_{g,\omega}^{-1} \theta_\omega P_{g,\omega} \theta_g = I_{\mathcal{X}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1})(\theta_\tau \theta_g S_{g,\omega}^{-1}) &= \theta_\omega P_{f,\tau} \theta_g S_{g,\omega}^{-1} = \theta_\omega P_{g,\omega} \theta_g S_{g,\omega}^{-1} = I_{\mathcal{X}}, \\ (\theta_\tau \theta_g S_{g,\omega}^{-1})(\theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}) &= \theta_\tau P_{g,\omega} \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} = \theta_\tau P_{f,\tau} \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} = I_{\mathcal{X}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $T_{f,g}, T_{\tau,\omega} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be bounded invertible and $g_n = f_n T_{f,g}, \omega_n = T_{\tau,\omega} \tau_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\theta_g = \theta_f T_{f,g}$ says that $\theta_\tau \theta_g = \theta_\tau \theta_f T_{f,g} = S_{f,\tau} T_{f,g}$ which implies $T_{f,g} = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau \theta_g$, and $\theta_\omega = T_{\tau,\omega} \theta_\tau$ says $\theta_\omega \theta_f = T_{\tau,\omega} \theta_\tau \theta_f = T_{\tau,\omega} S_{f,\tau}$. Hence $T_{\tau,\omega} = \theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}$. \square

It is easy to see that, for Hilbert spaces, Theorem 2.15 reduces to Theorem 1.10.

In the Hilbert space frame theory, there is a twin notion associated with dual frames which is known as orthogonal frames. This was first introduced by Balan in his Ph.D. thesis [2] and further studied by Han, and Larson [8] (also see Chapter 6 in [7]). We now define the same notion for Banach spaces.

Definition 2.16. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . A p -ASF $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} is orthogonal for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ if

$$0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) \tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \omega_n, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Unlike duality, the notion orthogonality is symmetric but not reflexive. Further, dual p -ASFs cannot be orthogonal to each other and orthogonal p -ASFs cannot be dual to each other. Moreover, if $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is orthogonal for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$, then both $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ and $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ are not p -ASFs. Just like Proposition 2.10 we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.17. For two p -ASFs $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ and $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} , the following are equivalent.

- (i) $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is orthogonal for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$.
- (ii) $\theta_\tau \theta_g = \theta_\omega \theta_f = 0$.

Usefulness of orthogonal frames is that we have interpolation result, i.e., these frames can be stitched along certain curves (in particular, on the unit circle centred at the origin) to get new frames.

Theorem 2.18. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ and $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ be two Parseval p -ASFs for \mathcal{X} which are orthogonal. If $A, B, C, D : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ are bounded linear operators and $CA + DB = I_{\mathcal{X}}$, then

$$(\{f_n A + g_n B\}_n, \{C \tau_n + D \omega_n\}_n)$$

is a Parseval p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . In particular, if scalars a, b, c, d satisfy $ca + db = 1$, then $(\{a f_n + b g_n\}_n, \{c \tau_n + d \omega_n\}_n)$ is a Parseval p -ASF for \mathcal{X} .

Proof. By a calculation we find

$$\theta_{f_{A+gB}} x = \{(f_n A + g_n B)(x)\}_n = \{f_n(Ax)\}_n + \{g_n(Bx)\}_n = \theta_f(Ax) + \theta_g(Bx), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$$

and

$$\theta_{C\tau + D\omega}(\{a_n\}_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(C\tau_n + D\omega_n) = C\theta_\tau(\{a_n\}_n) + D\theta_\omega(\{a_n\}_n), \quad \forall \{a_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} S_{f_{A+gB}, C\tau + D\omega} &= \theta_{C\tau + D\omega} \theta_{f_{A+gB}} = (C\theta_\tau + D\theta_\omega)(\theta_f A + \theta_g B) \\ &= C\theta_\tau \theta_f A + C\theta_\tau \theta_g B + D\theta_\omega \theta_f A + D\theta_\omega \theta_g B \\ &= CS_{f,\tau} A + 0 + 0 + DS_{g,\omega} B = CI_{\mathcal{X}} A + DI_{\mathcal{X}} B = I_{\mathcal{X}}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Using Theorem 2.15 we finally relate three notions : duality, similarity and orthogonality.

Proposition 2.19. *For every p -ASF $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$, the canonical dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is the only dual p -ASF that is similar to $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$.*

Proof. Let us suppose that two p -ASFs $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ and $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ are similar and dual to each other. Then there exist bounded invertible operators $T_{f,g}, T_{\tau,\omega} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $g_n = f_n T_{f,g}, \omega_n = T_{\tau,\omega} \tau_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem 2.15 then gives

$$T_{f,g} = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \theta_\tau \theta_g = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} I_{\mathcal{X}} = S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \text{ and } T_{\tau,\omega} = \theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1} = I_{\mathcal{X}} S_{f,\tau}^{-1} = S_{f,\tau}^{-1}.$$

Hence $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is the canonical dual for $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$. \square

Proposition 2.20. *Two similar p -ASFs cannot be orthogonal.*

Proof. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ and $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ be two p -ASFs which are similar. Then there exist bounded invertible operators $T_{f,g}, T_{\tau,\omega} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ such that $g_n = f_n T_{f,g}, \omega_n = T_{\tau,\omega} \tau_n, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Theorem 2.15 then says $\theta_g = \theta_f T_{f,g}, \theta_\omega = T_{\tau,\omega} \theta_\tau$. Therefore

$$\theta_\tau \theta_g = \theta_\tau \theta_f T_{f,g} = S_{f,\tau} T_{f,g} \neq 0.$$

\square

We end the paper with a remark.

Remark 2.21. *For every p -ASF $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$, both p -ASFs*

$$(\{f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n) \text{ and } (\{f_n\}_n, \{S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n\}_n)$$

are Parseval p -ASFs and are similar to $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$. Therefore each p -ASF is similar to Parseval p -ASFs.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Prof. Radu Balan, University of Maryland, USA for sending a copy of his Ph.D. thesis [2]. We also thank Prof. D. Freeman, St. Louis University, USA for providing a copy of the reference [12] to us. First author thanks National Institute of Technology (NITK) Surathkal for financial assistance.

REFERENCES

- [1] Radu Balan. Equivalence relations and distances between Hilbert frames. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(8):2353–2366, 1999.
- [2] Radu Victor Balan. *A study of Weyl-Heisenberg and wavelet frames*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1998. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Princeton University.
- [3] P. G. Casazza, S. J. Dilworth, E. Odell, Th. Schlumprecht, and A. Zsák. Coefficient quantization for frames in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 348(1):66–86, 2008.
- [4] Ole Christensen. *Frames and bases: An introductory course*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.
- [5] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:341–366, 1952.
- [6] D. Freeman, E. Odell, Th. Schlumprecht, and A. Zsák. Unconditional structures of translates for $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Israel J. Math.*, 203(1):189–209, 2014.
- [7] Deguang Han, Keri Kornelson, David Larson, and Eric Weber. *Frames for undergraduates*, volume 40 of *Student Mathematical Library*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [8] Deguang Han and David R. Larson. Frames, bases and group representations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(697):x+94, 2000.

- [9] James R. Holub. Pre-frame operators, Besselian frames, and near-Riesz bases in Hilbert spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 122(3):779–785, 1994.
- [10] Robert C. James. Bases in Banach spaces. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 89(9):625–640, 1982.
- [11] Shidong Li. On general frame decompositions. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 16(9-10):1181–1191, 1995.
- [12] S. M. Thomas. Approximate Schauder frames for \mathbb{R}^n , Masters Thesis, St. Louis University, St. Louis, MO. 2012.