

NORMAL ZETA FUNCTIONS OF SMALL \mathfrak{T}_2 -GROUPS AND THEIR BEHAVIOUR ON RESIDUE CLASSES

SEUNGJAI LEE

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finitely generate nilpotent class-2 torsion-free group. We study how the zeta function enumerating normal subgroups of G varies on residue classes. In particular, we show that for small such G of Hirsch length less than or equal to 7, the normal zeta functions are generically always rational functions on residue classes. We then show that there are examples of groups with Hirsch length 8 whose normal zeta function is not a rational function on residue classes. We observe the connection to Higman's PORC conjecture.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminary results from Number Theory	4
3.	Normal zeta functions of \mathfrak{T}_2 -groups with Hirsch length $H(G) \leq 7$	5
4.	\mathfrak{T}_2 -groups of Hirsch length 8	10
	References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Backgrounds and motivations. Let G be a finitely generated group. In their seminal paper [10], Grunewald, Segal, and Smith defined a *zeta function of a group G* by associating a Dirichlet series

$$\zeta_G^*(s) = \sum_{H * G} |G : H|^{-s} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^*(G) m^{-s},$$

where $* \in \{\leq, \triangleleft\}$ and

$$a_m^*(G) = |\{H * G \mid |G : H| = m\}|.$$

We call $\zeta_G^{\leq}(s)$ the *subgroup zeta function of G* and $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ the *normal subgroup zeta function of G* .

Date: October 11, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F18, 20E07, 20D15, 11M41, 14M12.

Key words and phrases. Zeta functions of groups and rings, normal subgroup growth, counting points on varieties, Higman's PORC conjecture.

When G is a torsion-free finitely generated nilpotent group (a \mathfrak{T} -group for short), the nilpotency of G lends itself to a natural Euler product $\zeta_G^*(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \zeta_{G,p}^*(s)$, where

$$\zeta_{G,p}^*(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{p^i}^*(G) p^{-is}.$$

We call $\zeta_{G,p}^{\leq}(s)$ the *local subgroup zeta function of G* and $\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ the *local normal subgroup zeta function of G* . [10, Theorem 3.5] proved that the local zeta function $\zeta_{G,p}^*(s)$ is a rational function in p^{-s} .

1.1.1. *Local zeta functions and the \mathbb{F}_p points of algebraic varieties.* One of the main questions in the study of zeta functions of groups is how $\zeta_{G,p}^*(s)$ vary with the prime p . du Sautoy and Grunewald proved [6, Theorem 1.6] that for $*$ $\in \{\leq, \triangleleft\}$, there exist finitely many varieties U_1^*, \dots, U_h^* defined over \mathbb{Q} , and rational functions $W_1^*(X, Y), \dots, W_h^*(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$ such that, for almost all primes p ,

$$\zeta_{G,p}^*(s) = \sum_{i=1}^h |\overline{U_i^*}(\mathbb{F}_p)| W_i^*(p, t).$$

This theorem connects the structure of $\zeta_{G,p}^*(s)$ over different primes to the problem of counting \mathbb{F}_p points of certain algebraic varieties, which is in general very wild and not polynomials in p . For example, let E denote the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - x$. du Sautoy showed in [4] that there exists a class-2 \mathfrak{T} -group G_E with Hirsch length $h(G_E) = 9$ such that

$$\zeta_{G_E,p}^*(s) = W_1^*(p, t) + |E(\mathbb{F}_p)| W_2^*(p, t),$$

where $W_1^*(X, Y), W_2^*(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$ and $|E(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ denotes the number of \mathbb{F}_p points on the elliptic curve E .

Many studies have been made to describe and investigate which varieties can appear in the decomposition ([5, 15, 8, 16] provides good surveys of this topic).

1.1.2. *Connection to Higman's PORC conjecture.* Let $f_n(p)$ denote the number of p -groups of order p^n . Higman's PORC conjecture predicts that $f_n(p)$ is a PORC (Polynomial On Residue Classes) function: for a fixed n , there exists a fixed integer N and finitely many polynomials $g_i(x)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) such that if $p \equiv i \pmod{N}$ then

$$f_n(p) = g_i(p).$$

This conjecture is only proven to be true for $n \leq 7$, and still open for all other n .

In [3], du Sautoy discovered that the study of behaviours of local normal subgroup zeta functions of \mathfrak{T} -groups when p varies, the *uniformity problem*, is closely related to Higman's PORC conjecture:

Definition 1.1. The zeta functions $\zeta_G^*(s)$ are said to be *finitely uniform* if there exist rational functions $W_1^*(X, Y), \dots, W_k^*(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every prime p ,

$$\zeta_{G,p}^*(s) = W_i^*(p, t)$$

for some $i \in [k]$. It is said to be *uniform* if $k = 1$, and *non-uniform* if it is not finitely uniform.

With this observation du Sautoy and Vaughan-Lee [7] proved that there exists a parametrised family of groups, one for each prime $p > 3$, of order p^9 whose number of immediate descendants of order p^{10} is not PORC.

Motivated by these results the author constructed another parametrised family G_p of order p^8 and of exponent p in [11] with the following presentation for $p > 3$ whose number of immediate descendants of order p^9 is not PORC:

Theorem 1.2 ([11], Theorem 1.3). *Let*

$$G_p = \left\langle \begin{array}{l} x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7, x_8 \mid [x_1, x_4] = [x_2, x_5] = x_6, \\ [x_1, x_5]^2 = [x_3, x_4] = x_7^2, [x_2, x_4] = [x_3, x_5] = x_8 \end{array} \right\rangle$$

where all other commutators are defined to be 1 and $g^p = 1$ for all $g \in G_p$. The number of immediate descendants of G_p of order p^9 is not PORC.

1.2. Main results and organisations. The aim of this paper is to initiate the investigation on how $\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ depends on residue classes:

Definition 1.3. Let G be a \mathfrak{T} -group. We say that the zeta function $\zeta_G^*(s)$ is *rational functions on residue classes* (RFORC) if there exists a fixed integer N and finitely many rational functions $W_i(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ such that if $p \equiv i \pmod{N}$ then

$$\zeta_{G,p}^*(s) = W_i(p, p^{-s}).$$

We say $\zeta_G^*(s)$ is non-RFORC if there is no such N .

In Section 3, we prove Theorem 3.3, that for any class-2 \mathfrak{T} -group G (a \mathfrak{T}_2 -group for short) whose Hirsch length $h(G) \leq 7$ and the Pfaffian hypersurface associated to G is smooth and contains no lines (cf. Section 3), its normal subgroup zeta function $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is RFORC. Then, in Section 4, by explicit calculations we prove Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3 that there exist \mathfrak{T}_2 -groups G of Hirsch length $h(G) = 8$ such that $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ are non-RFORC. So $h(G) \leq 7$ is a strict bound. Section 2 will provide some preliminary results from number theory that allow us to prove these theorems.

One should realise that this is very similar but slightly different to the Uniformity problem, since you can have finitely uniform but still non-RFORC zeta functions (e.g. Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3). Since Higman's PORC conjecture refers specifically to the dependence of $f_n(p)$ on residue classes, studying how $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ varies on residue classes might provide better insight on Higman's PORC conjecture than their uniformity.

Remark 1.4. Note that we could not call $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ "PORC" since they are not polynomials but rational functions. However, PORC and RFORC morally mean the same thing.

1.3. Methodology. The advantage of working with \mathfrak{T} -groups is the use of Mal'cev correspondence. Let L be a Lie ring additively isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^n for some n . In [10] Grunewald, Segal and Smith analogously defined the *subalgebra* and *ideal zeta functions* of L to be the Dirichlet generating series

$$\zeta_L^*(s) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^*(L) m^{-s},$$

where s is a complex variable, with the Euler decomposition $\zeta_L^*(s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \zeta_{L(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^*(s)$, where

$$\zeta_{L(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^*(s) = \zeta_{L \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{p^i}^*(L) p^{-is}$$

are the *local subalgebra* ($* = \leq$) and *local ideal* ($* = \triangleleft$) *zeta functions* of L .

For each \mathfrak{T} -group G , via the Mal'cev correspondence [10, Theorem 4.1] there exists a corresponding Lie Q -algebra \mathcal{L}_G such that

$$\zeta_{G,p}^*(s) = \zeta_{\mathcal{L}_G(\mathbb{Z}_p)}^*(s)$$

for all but finitely many primes p . This allows us to translate the computation of local zeta functions of \mathfrak{T} -groups into that of local zeta functions of Lie rings. Most of results achieved here are actually came from studying the corresponding Lie rings.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS FROM NUMBER THEORY

In this section we provide some preliminary results from number theory that we use later. As customary in number theory we represent a quadratic form over a ring R by specifying a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2. In [13] Lidl and Niederreiter proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 ([13], Theorem 6.21). *Let w be a quadratic form over \mathbb{F}_p , where p is an odd prime. Then w is equivalent to a diagonal quadratic form $a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_my_m^2$.*

If the quadratic form $w \in \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ is equivalent to a diagonal quadratic form $a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_ny_n^2$, some of a_i 's might be 0. If none of them are 0, in other words the rank of w is n , then we say w is nondegenerate.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $w = w(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be a quadratic form in n variables y_1, \dots, y_n over \mathbb{Z} . Let*

$$n_w(p) = \left| \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(\mathbb{F}_p) \mid \overline{w(\mathbf{y})} = 0 \right\} \right|,$$

then $n_w(p)$ is PORC.

Proof. Instead of $n_w(p)$, we start with

$$F_w(p) = \left| \left\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_p^n \mid \overline{w(\mathbf{y})} = 0 \right\} \right|.$$

The trick is that for any given w there are only finitely many primes p such that p is even or $p \mid D = \det(w)$. Therefore, to prove PORC one only needs to consider odd primes which do not divide D . For these primes, since they are odd, Theorem 2.1 showed that any nonzero quadratic form $w \in \mathbb{F}_p[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ would be equivalent to a diagonal quadratic form $a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_my_m^2$ where $1 \leq m \leq n$ and all $a_i \neq 0$. Since the number of solutions of $a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_my_m^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p^n is p^{n-m} times the number of solutions of $a_1x_1^2 + \cdots + a_my_m^2 = 0$ in \mathbb{F}_p^m , it suffices to consider the case where $n = m$, that is, where w is nondegenerate. In this case Theorem 6.26 and 6.27 in [13] then tells us that

$$F_w(p) = \begin{cases} p^{n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ p^{n-1} + \left(\frac{(-1)^{n/2} D}{p} \right) (p-1)(p^{\frac{n}{2}-1}) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

where $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol. Finally, we have

$$n_w(p) = \frac{F_w(p) - 1}{p - 1} = \begin{cases} p + p^2 + \cdots + p^{n-2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ p + p^2 + \cdots + p^{n-2} + \left(\frac{(-1)^{n/2}D}{p}\right) p^{\frac{n}{2}-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

as required. \square

The other important result is the following:

Theorem 2.3 ([2], [17]). *Let $f(x) = x^3 - 2$. The splitting behaviour of $f(x)$ modulo p is not determined by congruence conditions on p . Furthermore, $f(x)$ splits modulo p if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $p = a^2 + 27b^2$ for integers a and b .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.15, Theorem 9.8 in [2] and Theorem 2.2.1, Theorem 2.2.3 in [17]. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let $n(p)$ denote the number of roots of $x^3 - 2$ modulo p . Then*

$$n(p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } p \neq a^2 + 27b^2 \text{ for integers } a \text{ and } b, \\ 3 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } p = a^2 + 27b^2 \text{ for integers } a \text{ and } b, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, $n(p)$ is not PORC.

3. NORMAL ZETA FUNCTIONS OF \mathfrak{T}_2 -GROUPS WITH HIRSCH LENGTH $H(G) \leq 7$

Throughout the rest of this paper, whenever there is a presentation for a group or a ring, we always assume that all other unlisted commutators are trivial.

Let G be a \mathfrak{T}_2 -group with centre $Z(G)$ and derived group $G' := [G, G]$. For simplicity we assume that G/G' and G' are torsion-free abelian of rank d and d' respectively, so $G/G' \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $G' \cong \mathbb{Z}^{d'}$. Indeed G/G' and G' are always finitely generated abelian groups, and since we prove results about all but finitely many primes, we can restrict ourselves to primes p not dividing the orders of the respective torsion parts.

In [14] and [15], Voll introduced a method for computing normal subgroup zeta functions of \mathfrak{T}_2 -groups based on an enumeration of vertices in the affine Bruhat-Tits building associated to $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a \mathfrak{T}_2 -group with $Z(G) = G'$. Suppose G has a presentation

$$(3.1) \quad G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_d, y_1, \dots, y_{d'} \mid [x_i, x_j] = M(\mathbf{y})_{ij} \rangle,$$

where $M(\mathbf{y})$ is an anti-symmetric $d \times d$ matrix of \mathbb{Z} -linear forms in $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{d'})$. If the polynomial $\mathrm{Pf}(M(\mathbf{y})) := \sqrt{\det(M(\mathbf{y}))}$ is not identically zero we call the hypersurface

$$\mathcal{P}_G := (\mathrm{Pf}(M(\mathbf{y})) = 0)$$

in $\mathbb{P}^{d'-1}$ the *Pfaffian hypersurface* associated to G . Conversely, every such matrix $M(\mathbf{y})$ can define a \mathfrak{T}_2 -group G via (2.1).

Theorem 3.2 ([15], Theorem 3). *Assume that $Pf(M(\mathbf{y})) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{y}]$ is non-zero and irreducible. Assume that the Pfaffian hypersurface \mathcal{P}_G is smooth and contains no lines. For a prime p let*

$$n_{\mathcal{P}_G}(p) = |\mathcal{P}_G(\mathbb{F}_p)|$$

denote the number of \mathbb{F}_p -rational points of \mathcal{P}_G . Then there are (explicitly determined) rational functions $W_0(X, Y), W_1(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X, Y)$ such that if \mathcal{P}_G has good reduction mod p ,

$$(3.2) \quad \zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = W_1(p, p^{-s}) + n_{\mathcal{P}_G}(p)W_2(p, p^{-s}).$$

In this Section, by adapting this method, we prove Theorem 3.3

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a \mathfrak{S}_2 -group where the Pfaffian hypersurface associated to G is smooth and contains no lines. For G of $h(G) \leq 7$, its normal zeta functions are always RFORC.*

To achieve this we start with a simple but crucial Lemma:

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a \mathfrak{S}_2 -group where $Z(G) = G'$ and satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.2. If $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is RFORC, then so is $\zeta_{G \times \mathbb{Z}^r}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.2,

$$(3.3) \quad \zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = W_1(p, p^{-s}) + \underline{n_{\mathcal{P}_G}(p)} W_2(p, p^{-s}).$$

Hence $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is RFORC if and only if $n_{\mathcal{P}_G}(p)$ is PORC. Now, for such G we can compute $\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ by looking at its corresponding Lie ring $L_p := (G/G' \oplus G') \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ and compute $\zeta_{L_p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$. For each lattice $\Lambda' \leq L_p'$ put $X(\Lambda')/\Lambda' = Z(L_p/\Lambda')$. Then (essentially [10, Lemma 6.1] and [14]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) &= \zeta_{L_p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^d}^{\triangleleft}(s) \sum_{\Lambda' \leq L_p'} |L_p' : \Lambda'|^{d-s} |L_p : X(\Lambda')|^{-s} \\ &= \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(s) \zeta_p((d+d')s - dd') \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \leq L_p' \\ \Lambda' \text{ maximal}}} |L_p' : \Lambda'|^{d-s} |L_p : X(\Lambda')|^{-s}, \end{aligned}$$

where a lattice $\Lambda \leq \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is maximal in its homothety class if $p^{-1}\Lambda \leq \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. Let $A(p, p^{-s}) := \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \leq L_p' \\ \Lambda' \text{ maximal}}} |L_p' : \Lambda'|^{d-s} |L_p : X(\Lambda')|^{-s}$. The key observation is that the $n_{\mathcal{P}_G}(p)$ only comes from $|L_p : X(\Lambda')|$, and does not depend on $|L_p' : \Lambda'|$ or d . Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{G \times \mathbb{Z}^r,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) &= \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{d+r}}^{\triangleleft}(s) \sum_{\Lambda' \leq L_p'} |L_p' : \Lambda'|^{d+r-s} |L_p : X(\Lambda')|^{-s} \\ &= \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^{d+r}}^{\triangleleft}(s) \zeta_p((d+d')s - (d+r)d') \sum_{\substack{\Lambda' \leq L_p' \\ \Lambda' \text{ maximal}}} |L_p' : \Lambda'|^{d+r-s} |L_p : X(\Lambda')|^{-s}, \\ (3.4) \quad &= W_1'(p, p^{-s}) + \underline{n_{\mathcal{P}_G}(p)} W_2'(p, p^{-s}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n_{\mathcal{P}_G}(p)$ in (3.3) and (3.4) are the same, $\zeta_{G \times \mathbb{Z}^r}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is also RFORC for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Lemma 3.4 implies that we only need to prove Theorem 3.3 for the case $Z(G) = G'$. The strategy for proving Theorem 3.3 is to look at the behaviour of $\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ for different values of d and d' . Let us call G a (d, d') -group if $h(G/G') = d$ and $h(G') = d'$. As we are only looking at the \mathfrak{T}_2 -groups G of $h(G) \leq 7$, the possible cases are (2,1), (3,1), (4,1), (5,1), (6,1), (3,2), (4,2), (5,2), (3,3), (4,3).

3.1. $d' = 1$. When $d' = 1$, (2,1), (4,1) and (6,1) are the central products of 1, 2 and 3 copies of the Heisenberg group H respectively, and their local normal zeta functions are given in [8, Theorem 2.22] and [10]. They are RFORC.

3.2. $d' = 2$. For $d' = 2$ recall Voll's method in [14].

Theorem 3.5 ([14], Theorem 2). *Let G be a \mathfrak{T}_2 -group with derived group G' of Hirsch length 2. Then there are irreducible polynomials $f_1(t), \dots, f_m(t) \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ and rational functions $W_I(X, Y)$, $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that for almost all primes p*

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}} c_{p,I} W_I(p, p^{-s}),$$

where

$$c_{p,I} = |\{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p) : f_i(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ if and only if } i \in I\}|.$$

In particular, $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is finitely uniform.

Although it proves finite uniformity for $d' = 2$, it does not prove whether $\zeta_G^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is RFORC or not. The key observation here is that the polynomials f_i come from either the anti-symmetric $d \times d$ matrix $M(\mathbf{y})$ or its decomposed parts, and as long as $d \leq 5$ (which is our case) the behaviour of $c_{p,I}$ is PORC.

To make this paper self-contained we recall the definition of indecomposable groups and Theorem 6.2 and 6.3 in [9].

Definition 3.6. Let G be a radicable \mathfrak{T}_2 -group of finite Hirsch length with centre of Hirsch length 2, a so-called \mathfrak{D}^* -groups. A *central decomposition* of G is a family $\{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$ of subgroups of G such that:

- (1) $Z(H_i) = Z(G)$ for each i ;
- (2) $G/Z(G)$ is the direct product of the subgroups $H_i/Z(G)$; and
- (3) $[H_1, H_j] = 1$ whenever $i \neq j$.

The group G is (*centrally*) *indecomposable* if the only such decomposition is $\{G\}$.

Theorem 3.7 ([9], Theorem 6.2). *Every \mathfrak{D}^* -group G has a central decomposition into indecomposable constituents, and the decomposition is unique up to an automorphism of G . In particular, the constituents are unique up to isomorphism.*

Theorem 3.8 ([9], Theorem 6.3). *(i) Let G be an indecomposable \mathfrak{D}^* -group of Hirsch length $n+2$. Then, with respect to a suitable basis of $G/Z(G)$ and a suitable basis (y_1, y_2) of $Z(G)$, the alternating bilinear map*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_G : G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) &\rightarrow Z(G) \\ (aZ(G), bZ(G)) &\mapsto [a, b] \end{aligned}$$

is represented by a matrix $M(\mathbf{y})$ as follows:

- $n = 2r + 1$.

$$M(\mathbf{y}) = M_0^r(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B^t & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B = B(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ y_1 & y_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & y_1 & y_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & y_1 \end{pmatrix}_{(r+1) \times r}$$

- $n = 2r$.

$$M(\mathbf{y}) = M_{(f, e)}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B^t & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$B = B(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 + a_1 y_2 & y_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_2 y_2 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_3 y_2 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 & \dots & 0 \\ -a_4 y_2 & 0 & 0 & y_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \ddots & \\ (-1)^r a_{r-1} y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & y_2 \\ (-1)^{r+1} a_r y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & y_1 \end{pmatrix}_{r \times r}$$

and $\det(B(\mathbf{y})) = g(y_1, y_2) = y_1^r + a_1 y_1^{r-1} y_2 + \dots + a_r y_2^r \in \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_2]$ is such that $g(y_1, 1)$ is primary, say $g = f^e$ for f irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , $e \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) If G is any \mathfrak{D}^* -group, then with respect to a suitable basis as above, φ_G is represented by the diagonal sum of matrices like $M(\mathbf{y})$ above.

Since we assume $G' = Z(G)$, these are precisely the cases where $d' = 2$. We start from $(d, d') = (3, 2)$. For (3,2), Theorem 3.8 implies that G has to be an indecomposable \mathfrak{D}^* -group. Hence [14, Proposition 2] gives

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^3}(s) \zeta_p(5s-6) \zeta_p(3s-4) W(p, p^{-s})$$

where $W(X, Y) = 1 + X^3 Y^3$.

For $(d, d') = (4, 2)$, if G is an indecomposable \mathfrak{D}^* -group then with respect to a suitable basis of G/G' and a suitable basis (y_1, y_2) of G' , we have

$$M(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & y_1 + a_1 y_2 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -a_2 y_2 & y_1 \\ -y_1 - a_1 y_2 & a_2 y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_2 & -y_1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\sqrt{\det(M(\mathbf{y}))} = g(y_1, y_2) = y_1^2 + a_1 y_1 y_2 + a_2 y_2^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[y_1, y_2]$ is such that $g(y_1, 1)$ is primary, say $g = f^e$ for f irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , $e \in \mathbb{N}$. Then if we let p be a prime unramified in $\mathbb{Q}[t]/f(t)$, [14, Proposition 3] gives

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^4}(s) \zeta_p(6s-8) \zeta_p(3s-5) \zeta_p(5s-5) \zeta_p(3es - (5e-1)) (P_1(p, p^{-s}) - n_f(p) P_2(p, p^{-s}))$$

where $n_f(p)$ is the number of distinct linear factors in $\overline{f(t)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(X, Y) &= (1 - X^5 Y^3)(1 + X^4 Y^5)(1 - X^{5e-1} Y^{3e}) \\ P_2(X, Y) &= (1 - Y)(1 + Y)X^4 Y^3(1 - X^{5e} Y^{3e}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as f is only either quadratic or linear, e is either 1 or 2, and $n_{p,f}$ is always PORC by Theorem 2.2. Hence $\zeta_{G,p}^{\leq}(s)$ is RFORC.

If it is decomposable, then the only way to decompose such G is to decompose it into two groups H_1 and H_2 . With respect to a suitable basis we can have

$$M(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 + a_1 y_2 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_1 - a_1 y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 + a_2 y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -y_1 - a_2 y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$M_{H_1}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 + a_1 y_2 \\ -y_1 - a_1 y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$M_{H_2}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 + a_1 y_2 \\ -y_1 - a_1 y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus there are irreducible polynomial $f_1(t) = t + a_1$, $f_2(t) = t + a_2 \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ and rational function $W_I(X, Y)$, $I \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ such that for almost all primes p

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\leq}(s) = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, 2\}} c_{p,I} W_I(p, p^{-s}),$$

where

$$c_{p,I} = |\{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p) \mid f_i(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ if and only if } i \in I\}|.$$

Since both f_1 and f_2 are linear, we can see that $\zeta_{G,p}^{\leq}(s)$ is RFORC.

For $(d, d') = (5, 2)$, again if it is indecomposable [14, Proposition 2] gives

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\leq}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(s) \zeta_p(7s - 10) \zeta_p(5s - 6) W(p, p^{-s})$$

where $W(X, Y) = 1 + X^5 Y^5$.

If it is decomposable, then again it can be decomposed into H_1 and H_2 where with respect to a suitable bases we have

$$M(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 + a_1 y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_1 - a_1 y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & -y_2 & -y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$M_{H_1}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_1 + a_1 y_2 \\ -y_1 - a_1 y_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$M_{H_2}(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & y_1 \\ -y_2 & -y_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we get nothing from H_2 and just one irreducible linear polynomial $f_1(t) = t + a_1 \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$. Hence we have

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = W_1(p, p^{-s}) + c_p W_2(p, p^{-s})$$

where $W_1(X, Y), W_2(X, Y)$ are rational functions and

$$c_p = \{x \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_p) \mid f_1(x) \equiv x + a_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\} = 1$$

for all p , which is clearly PORC.

3.3. $d' = 3$. For $(d, d') = (3, 3)$, any $(3,3)$ -group G would have a presentation

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \mid [x_1, x_2] = f_1(y), [x_1, x_3] = f_2(y), [x_2, x_3] = f_3(y) \rangle$$

where f_1, f_2 and f_3 are linear polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, y_3]$. For now, consider a corresponding Lie ring $L = L(G) = G/G' \oplus G'$. Now, as $Z(L) = L'$, it implies

$$\langle y_1, y_2, y_3 \rangle = \langle f_1(y), f_2(y), f_3(y) \rangle.$$

Since y_1, y_2 and y_3 are linearly independent, the f_i 's are linearly independent too. Hence with a suitable base exchange we can see that there exists a ring $R \cong L$ with a presentation

$$\langle x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, y_1, y_2, y_3 \mid [x'_1, x'_2] = y_1, [x'_1, x'_3] = y_2, [x'_2, x'_3] = y_3 \rangle.$$

In fact R is a free class-2 nilpotent Lie ring on 3 generators, and we know its local normal zeta function are RFORC (in fact they are uniform, [8], [10]). As we have

$$\zeta_{R,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \zeta_{L,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s),$$

this covers the case $(d, d') = (3, 3)$.

Finally, suppose G is a $(4,3)$ -group. The Pfaffian hypersurface \mathcal{P}_G of a $(4,3)$ -group G would be a quadric form w in \mathbb{P}^2 (i.e. conic). As long as w is not identically zero, smooth and contains no line, we will have

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = W_1(p, p^{-s}) + n_w(p)W_2(p, p^{-s})$$

where $n_w(p)$ is PORC by Theorem 2.2. This concludes the proof of Theorem 3.3.

Remark 3.9. Note that the condition on Pfaffian hypersurface associated to G being smooth and containing no lines is in fact only required for $(d, d') = (4, 3)$ case. We know by [1] and [15] that this condition holds *generically* for $d' \leq 6$, which includes our case.

4. \mathfrak{T}_2 -GROUPS OF HIRSCH LENGTH 8

In this section we present two different \mathfrak{T}_2 -groups G_{np8} and $G_{np8'}$ of Hirsch length 8 whose local normal zeta functions are not RFORC. The name G_{np8} and $G_{np8'}$ come from "Non-PORC of Hirsch length 8".

Theorem 4.1. *Let G_{np8} be a \mathfrak{T}_2 -group with the associated Lie ring*

$$L(G_{np8}) = \left\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, y_1, y_2, y_3 \mid [x_1, x_4] = [x_2, x_5] = y_3, \right. \\ \left. 2[x_1, x_5] = [x_3, x_4] = 2y_1, [x_2, x_4] = [x_3, x_5] = y_2 \right\rangle$$

Then $\zeta_{G_{np8},p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is not RFORC.

Proof. We calculate $\zeta_{G_{np8}, p}^{\leq}(s)$ by computing the local ideal zeta functions of the corresponding Lie ring

$$L(G_{np8}) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_5, y_1, y_2, y_3 \mid [x_i, x_j] = M(\mathbf{y})_{ij} \rangle$$

where

$$M(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & y_3 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_2 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2y_1 & y_2 \\ -y_3 & -y_2 & 2y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_1 & -y_3 & -y_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now this is exactly the case of [14, Theorem 1] where $d' = 3$, except that our $d = 5$ is odd. Hence we need a crucial modification.

Let L be a Lie ring additively isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{d+d'}$. As shown earlier, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{L, p}^{\leq}(s) &= \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^d}(s) \sum_{\Lambda' \subseteq L'} |L' : \Lambda'|^{d-s} |L : X(\Lambda')|^{-s} \\ &= \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^d}(s) \zeta_p((d+d')s - dd') A(p, p^{-s}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(p, p^{-s}) = \sum_{\Lambda' \subseteq L', \Lambda' \text{ maximal}} |L' : \Lambda'|^{d-s} |L : X(\Lambda')|^{-s}.$$

Recall from [14] the definition of the weight functions

$$\begin{aligned} w(\Lambda') &:= \log_p(|L' : \Lambda'|) \\ w'(\Lambda') &:= w(\Lambda') + \log_p(|L : X(\Lambda')|). \end{aligned}$$

Put $T := p^{-s}$ we get

$$A(p, T) = \sum_{\Lambda' \subseteq L', \text{ maximal}} p^{dw(\Lambda')} T^{w'(\Lambda')}.$$

Let us compute this generating function associated to the vertices of the building Δ_3 . First we put to use the decomposition of Δ_3 into sector-families \mathcal{S}_F , $F \in \mathcal{F}(p, 3)$. We have

$$A(p, T) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}(p, 3)} A(p, T, F)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(p, T, F) &:= \frac{1}{\binom{3}{2}_p \binom{2}{1}_p} + \frac{1}{\binom{2}{1}_p} \sum_{[\mathbb{Z}_p^3] \neq [\Lambda'] \in \partial S_F} p^{dw[\Lambda']} T^{w'[\Lambda']} \\ &\quad + \sum_{[\Lambda'] \in S_F^\circ} p^{dw[\Lambda']} T^{w'[\Lambda']} \end{aligned}$$

(we use the notation S_F , ∂S_F , S_F° to denote the sector family indexed by the flag F , its boundary and interior, respectively).

Now, for a given lattice Λ' of type $(p^{s+t}, p^t, 1)$ where $s, t \geq 0$ there is a unique coset

$$\alpha G_v \in \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}_p)/G_v,$$

where $G_v := \text{Stab}_{\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}_p)}(\mathbb{Z}_p^3 \cdot \text{diag}(p^{s+t}, p^t, 1))$, such that the admissibility condition becomes

$$(4.1) \quad \Lambda_{ab}M(\alpha^1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{s+t}}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \Lambda_{ab}M(\alpha^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^t},$$

where we denote by α^j the j th column of the matrix α . We now have to analyse the elementary divisors of the system of linear equations (4.1) and (4.2). They only depend on how the flag F meets the degeneracy locus of the matrix $M(\mathbf{y})$. Here comes the tricky part. If $d = 2r$, the degeneracy locus of $M(\mathbf{y})$ is simply the Pfaffian hypersurface. This is why we have

$$\zeta_{G,p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = W_1(p, p^{-s}) + n_{\mathcal{P}_G}(p)W_2(p, p^{-s})$$

in [14, Theorem. 1]. However, when $d = 2r+1$ (like our case), $\text{Pf}(M(\mathbf{y})) := \sqrt{\det(M(\mathbf{y}))}$ is identically zero so there is no Pfaffian hypersurface. So we have to manually identify and analyse the degeneracy locus of $M(\mathbf{y})$. In this case the degeneracy locus of

$$M(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & y_3 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y_2 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2y_1 & y_2 \\ -y_3 & -y_2 & 2y_1 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_1 & -y_3 & -y_2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is

$$V = \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Q}) \mid 2y_1^2 - y_2y_3 = y_2^2 - 2y_1y_3 = y_3^2 - y_1y_2 = 0\},$$

which is a zero-dimensional smooth subvariety in \mathbb{P}^2 , namely

$$V = \{(1, k^2, k) \mid k^3 = 2\}.$$

Since we have identified the degeneracy locus, we compute $A(p, T, F)$ for

- (1) $M(\overline{\alpha^1})$ maximal, $A(p, T, F) =: A_{\text{off/off}}(p, T)$,
- (2) $M(\overline{\alpha^1})$ not maximal, $A(p, T, F) =: A_{\text{sm.pt/off}}(p, T)$.

Start with Case (1). $M(\alpha^1)$ always has a $(d-1)$ -minor which is a p -adic unit, so

$$w'([\Lambda']) = s + 3t + (d-1)(s+t) = ds + (d+2)t.$$

The boundary of a sector-family in Δ_3 falls into three components: the root vertex, (maximal) lattices of type $(p^s, 1, 1)$, and lattices of type $(p^t, p^t, 1)$. For $s, t \geq 1$ there are $p^{2(s-1)}$, $p^{2(t-1)}$ and $p^{s-1}p^{t-1}p^{s+t-1} = p^{2s+2t-3}$ lattices in S_F of type $(p^s, 1, 1)$, $(p^t, p^t, 1)$ and $(p^{s+t}, p^t, 1)$ respectively. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{off/off}}(p, T) &:= \frac{1}{\binom{3}{2}_p \binom{2}{1}_p} + \frac{1}{\binom{2}{1}_p} \left(\sum_{s \geq 1} p^{(d+2)s-2} T^{ds} + \sum_{t \geq 1} p^{(2d+2)t-2} T^{(d+2)t} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s, t \geq 1} p^{((d+2)s+(2d+2)t-3)} T^{ds+(d+2)t} \\ &= \frac{1 + p^d T^d + p^{d+1} T^d + p^{2d} T^{d+2} + p^{2d+1} T^{d+2} + p^{3d+1} T^{2d+2}}{\binom{3}{2}_p \binom{2}{1}_p (1 - p^{2d+2} T^{d+2}) (1 - p^{d+2} T^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

For case (2), the matrix $M(\alpha^1)$ will always have a $(d-3)$ -minor which is a p -adic unit. So by the same logic, we can choose affine local coordinates $(x, y, 1)$ around $\alpha^1 \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ such that

$$w'([\Lambda']) = ds + (d+2)t - 2\min(s, v_p(x), v_p(y))$$

where $x, y \in p\mathbb{Z}/(p^s)$. Start with

$$\sum_{[\mathbb{Z}_p^3] \neq [\Lambda'] \in \partial S_F} p^{dw[\Lambda']} T^{w'[\Lambda']}$$

consisting of lattices of type $(p^s, 1, 1)$, $s \geq 1$. The map

$$[\Lambda'] \mapsto p^{dw[\Lambda']} T^{w'[\Lambda']}$$

factorises over the set

$$N := \{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}^3 \mid a \geq b, a \geq c\},$$

which we view as the intersection of $\mathbb{N}_{>0}^3$ with some closed polyhedral cone C in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^3$ as $\psi\varphi$ where

$$(4.3) \quad \varphi : [\Lambda'] \mapsto (s, v_p(x), v_p(y))$$

$$(4.4) \quad \psi : (a, b, c) \mapsto p^{da} T^{da-2\min(a,b)}.$$

Note that

$$(4.5) \quad |\varphi^{-1}(a, b, c)| = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } a = b = c, \\ (1-p^{-1})p^{a-b} & \text{if } a > b, a = c, \\ (1-p^{-1})p^{a-c} & \text{if } a > c, a = b, \\ (1-p^{-1})^2 p^{2a-b-c} & \text{if } a > b, a > c. \end{cases}$$

We decompose the cone N into sub-cones N_j on which the values $|\varphi^{-1}(a, b, c)| \psi(a, b, c)$ are easier to sum over. We choose the decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} N &= N_0 + N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4 + N_5 \\ N_0 &:= \{(a, b, c) \in N \mid a = b = c \geq 1\}, \\ N_1 &:= \{(a, b, c) \in N \mid a = c > b \geq 1\}, \\ N_2 &:= \{(a, b, c) \in N \mid a = b > c \geq 1\}, \\ N_3 &:= \{(a, b, c) \in N \mid a > c > b \geq 1\}, \\ N_4 &:= \{(a, b, c) \in N \mid a > b > c \geq 1\}, \\ N_5 &:= \{(a, b, c) \in N \mid a > b = c \geq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n_j := \dim(N_j)$. Table 4.1 records the generating functions $F_j(X, Y, Z)$ together with the integers n_j and Laurent monomials $m_{jX}(p, T)$, $m_{jY}(p, T)$, $m_{jZ}(p, T)$.

TABLE 4.1

j	n_j	$F_j(X, Y, Z)$	$m_{jX}(p, T)$	$m_{jY}(p, T)$	$m_{jZ}(p, T)$
0	1	$\frac{XYZ}{1-XYZ}$	$p^d T^d$	T^{-2}	1
1	2	$\frac{X^2YZ^2}{(1-XYZ)(1-XZ)}$	$p^{d+1}T^d$	$p^{-1}T^{-2}$	1
2	2	$\frac{X^2Y^2Z}{(1-XYZ)(1-XY)}$	$p^{d+1}T^d$	1	$p^{-1}T^{-2}$
3	3	$\frac{X^3YZ^2}{(1-XYZ)(1-XZ)(1-X)}$	$p^{d+2}T^d$	$p^{-1}T^{-2}$	p^{-1}
4	3	$\frac{X^3Y^2Z}{(1-XYZ)(1-XY)(1-X)}$	$p^{d+2}T^d$	p^{-1}	$p^{-1}T^{-2}$
5	3	$\frac{X^2YZ}{(1-XYZ)(1-X)}$	$p^{d+2}T^d$	$p^{-1}T^{-2}$	p^{-1}

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{[\mathbb{Z}_p^3] \neq [\Lambda'] \in \partial S_F} p^{dw[\Lambda']} T^{w'[\Lambda']} &= \sum_{j=0}^5 \sum_{(a,b,c) \in N_j} |\varphi^{-1}(a, b, c)| \psi(a, b, c) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^5 (1-p^{-1})^{n_j-1} F_j(X, Y, Z) \Big|_{\substack{X=m_{jX}(p,T) \\ Y=m_{jY}(p,T) \\ Z=m_{jZ}(p,T)}} \\
&= \frac{p^d T^{d-2} (1-p^d T^d)}{(1-p^d T^{d-2})(1-p^{d+2} T^d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The generating function counting over lattices of type $(p^t, p^t, 1)$, $T \geq 1$, is clearly the same as Case (1), i.e. is given by

$$\sum_{t \geq 1} p^{2t-2} \cdot p^{2dt} T^{(d+2)t} = \frac{p^{2d} T^{d+2}}{1-p^{2d+2} T^{d+2}}.$$

Finally, we count over $[\Lambda'] \in S_F^\circ$, i.e. over lattices of type $(p^{s+t}, p^t, 1)$, $s, t \geq 1$. This is easy, since the generating function equals p times the product of the previous generating functions counting over the boundary. Thus we have

$$\sum_{[\Lambda'] \in S_F^\circ} p^{dw[\Lambda']} T^{w'[\Lambda']} = p \cdot \frac{p^d T^{d-2} (1-p^d T^d)}{(1-p^d T^{d-2})(1-p^{d+2} T^d)} \cdot \frac{p^{2d} T^{d+2}}{1-p^{2d+2} T^{d+2}}$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\text{sm.pt/off}}(p, T) &= \frac{1}{\binom{3}{2}_p \binom{2}{1}_p} + \frac{1}{\binom{2}{1}_p} \left(\frac{p^d T^{d-2} (1-p^d T^d)}{(1-p^d T^{d-2})(1-p^{d+2} T^d)} + \frac{p^{2d} T^{d+2}}{1-p^{2d+2} T^{d+2}} \right) \\
&\quad + p \cdot \frac{p^d T^{d-2} (1-p^d T^d)}{(1-p^d T^{d-2})(1-p^{d+2} T^d)} \cdot \frac{p^{2d} T^{d+2}}{1-p^{2d+2} T^{d+2}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A(p, T) &= \left(\binom{3}{2}_p - |V(\mathbb{F}_p)| \right) \binom{2}{1}_p A_{\text{off/off}}(p, T) \\ &\quad + |V(\mathbb{F}_p)| \binom{2}{1}_p A_{\text{sm.pt/off}}(p, T) \\ &= A_1(p, T) + |V(\mathbb{F}_p)| A_2(p, T), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1(p, T) &= \frac{1 + p^d T^d + p^{d+1} T^d + p^{2d} T^{d+2} + p^{2d+1} T^{d+2} + p^{3d+1} T^{2d+2}}{(1 - p^{2d+2} T^{d+2})(1 - p^{d+2} T^d)} \\ A_2(p, T) &= \frac{(1 - T)(1 + T)p^d T^{d-2}(1 + p^{2d+1} T^{d+2})}{(1 - p^d T^{d-2})(1 - p^{d+2} T^d)(1 - p^{2d+2} T^{d+2})}. \end{aligned}$$

As $d = 5$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{G_{np^8}, p}^{\triangleleft}(s) &= \zeta_{L(G)_p}^{\triangleleft}(s) \\ &= \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(s) \zeta_p(8s - 15) A(p, p^{-s}) \\ &= \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(s) \zeta_p(8s - 15) \zeta_p(7s - 12) \zeta_p(5s - 7) W_1(p, p^{-s}) \\ &\quad + |V(\mathbb{F}_p)| \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^5}(s) \zeta_p(8s - 15) \zeta_p(3s - 5) \zeta_p(5s - 7) \zeta_p(7s - 12) W_2(p, p^{-s}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_1(X, Y) &= 1 + X^5 Y^5 + X^6 Y^5 + X^{10} Y^7 + X^{11} Y^7 + X^{16} Y^{12} \\ W_2(X, Y) &= (1 - Y)(1 + Y) X^5 Y^3 (1 + X^{11} Y^7). \end{aligned}$$

From Corollary 2.4,

$$|V(\mathbb{F}_p)| = |\{(1, k^2, k)\}| = \begin{cases} 3 & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } p = a^2 + 27b^2, \\ 0 & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } p \neq a^2 + 27b^2, \\ 1 & p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

for integers a and b , and is not PORC. Thus $\zeta_{G_{np^8}, p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is Non-RFORC as required. \square

Remark 4.2. Note that $\zeta_{G_{np^8}, p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is still finitely uniform. In fact, this group G_{np^8} can be seen as a \mathbb{Z}_p version of the finite p -group G_p of order p^8 that has been introduced in Theorem 1.2 ([11, Theorem 1.3]), which gave non-PORC number of immediate descendants of order p^9 . This demonstrates that the PORC conjecture can be better studied by the RFORC behaviour of normal zeta functions.

We can encode the same variety V (in a different form) into another \mathfrak{S}_2 -group $G_{np^8'}$ of Hirsch length 8 such that the behaviour of its normal local factor is also governed by $|V(\mathbb{F}_p)|$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $G_{np^8'}$ be a \mathfrak{S}_2 -group whose associated Lie ring $L(G_{np^8'})$ has a presentation*

$$L(G_{np^8'}) = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, y_1, y_2 : [x_i, x_j] = M(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$$

where

$$M(\mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2y_2 & 0 & y_1 \\ -y_1 & 0 & -2y_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -y_2 & -y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y_2 & -y_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\zeta_{G_{np8'}, p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is Non-RFORC.

Proof. $G_{np8'}$ is in fact an indecomposable \mathfrak{D}^* -group. The matrix $M(\mathbf{y})$ is in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in Theorem 3.8 with $a_1 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$, $a_3 = -2$.

Now $\det(B(\mathbf{y})) = g(y_1, y_2) = y_1^3 + 2y_2^3$ and $g(y_1, 1) = y_1^3 + 2 = f$ where f is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Hence [14, Proposition 3] gives

$$\zeta_{G, p}^{\triangleleft}(s) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Z}_p^6}(s) \zeta_p(8s - 12) \zeta_p(5s - 7) \zeta_p(7s - 7) \zeta_p(5s - 6) (P_1(p, p^{-s}) + n_f(p)(P_2(p, p^{-s})))$$

where

$$P_1(X, Y) = (1 - X^7 Y^5)(1 + X^6 Y^7)(1 - X^6 Y^5)$$

$$P_2(X, Y) = (1 - Y)(1 + Y)X^6 Y^5(1 - X^7 Y^5)$$

and $n_f(p)$ is the number of distinct linear factors in $\overline{f(t)} = \overline{(y_1^3 + 2)}$. Again,

$$n_f(p) = \begin{cases} 3 & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } p = a^2 + 27b^2, \\ 0 & p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ and } p \neq a^2 + 27b^2, \\ 1 & p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

for integers a and b . Hence $\zeta_{G_{np8'}, p}^{\triangleleft}(s)$ is non-RFORC. \square

Acknowledgements. This article comprises parts of the author's Ph.D thesis [12] from the University of Oxford. The author gratefully acknowledge inspiring mathematical discussions with Marcus du Sautoy, Roger Heath-Brown, Benjamin Klopsch, Dan Segal and Christopher Voll about the research presented in this paper.

REFERENCES

1. A Beauville, *Determinantal hypersurfaces*, Mich. J. Math. **48** (2000), 39–64.
2. David A. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, Class Field Theory, and Complex Multiplication: Second Edition*, Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Wiley Series of Texts, Monographs and Tracts, Wiley, 2013.
3. M P F du Sautoy, *Counting p -groups and nilpotent groups*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **92** (2000), 63–112.
4. ———, *A nilpotent group and its elliptic curve: non-uniformity of local zeta functions of groups*, Isr. J. Math. **126** (2001), 269–288.
5. ———, *Zeta functions of groups: The quest for order versus the flight from ennui*, Groups St. Andrews 2001 Oxford, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 304, Cambridge University Press, 2003, pp. 150–189.
6. M P F du Sautoy and F J Grunewald, *Analytic Properties of zeta functions and subgroup growth*, Ann. Math. **152** (2000), 793–833.

7. M P F du Sautoy and M Vaughan-Lee, *Non-PORC behaviour of a class of descendant p -groups*, J. Algebr. **361** (2012), 287–312.
8. M P F du Sautoy and L Woodward, *Zeta functions of groups and rings*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1925, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
9. F J Grunewald and D Segal, *Reflections on the classification of torsion-free nilpotent groups*, Gr. Theory. Essays Philip Hall, Academic Press, London, 1984, pp. 121–158.
10. F J Grunewald, D Segal, and G C Smith, *Subgroups of finite index in nilpotent groups*, Inven. Math. **93** (1988), 185–223.
11. S Lee, *A class of descendant p -groups of order p^9 and Higman’s PORC conjecture*, J. Algebr. **468** (2016), 440–447.
12. ———, *Variations on the theme of zeta functions of groups and rings*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oxford, 2019.
13. R Lidl and H Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, 2 ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
14. C Voll, *Zeta functions of groups and enumeration in Bruhat-Tits buildings*, Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004), 1005–1032.
15. ———, *Functional equations for local normal zeta functions of nilpotent groups*, Geom. Func. Anal. (GAFA) **15** (2005), 274–295.
16. ———, *A newcomer’s guide to zeta functions of groups and rings*, Lect. profinite Top. Gr. theory, London Math. Soc. Stud. Texts, vol. 77, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2011, pp. 99–144.
17. Jared Weinstein, *Reciprocity laws and Galois representations: Recent breakthroughs*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **53** (2016), no. 1, 1–39.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, D-33501 BIELEFELD, GERMANY

Email address: seungjai.lee@math.uni-bielefeld.de