

On the Koopman-von Neumann convergence condition of Cesàro means in Riesz spaces *

Jonathan Homann

Wen-Chi Kuo

Bruce A. Watson[†]

School of Mathematics

University of the Witwatersrand

Private Bag 3, P O WITS 2050, South Africa

November 8, 2021

Abstract

We extend the Koopman-von Neumann convergence condition on the Cesàro mean to the context of a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit. As a consequence, a characterisation of conditional weak mixing is given in the Riesz space setting. The results are applied to convergence in L^1 .

1 Introduction

The Koopman-von Neumann Lemma, as referred to by Petersen [13, Section 2.6] (see also Krengel [11, Section 2.3], as well as Eisner, Farkas, Haase and Nagel [3, Section 9.2]), characterises the convergence of the Cesàro mean of a sequence of bounded, non-negative real numbers in terms of the existence of a convergent subsequence of the given sequence. Here, the subsequence is formed from the given sequence by the omission of a, so called, density zero set from \mathbb{N}_0 , the index set of the given sequence.

***Keywords:** Cesàro mean; Koopman-von Neumann; order convergence; Riesz spaces; conditional expectation operators; weak mixing. *Mathematics subject classification (2010):* 46A40; 47A35; 37A25; 60F05.

[†]Supported in part by the Centre for Applicable Analysis and Number Theory and by National Research Foundation of South Africa grant IFR170214222646 with grant no. 109289.

In this paper, we consider the order convergence of the Cesàro mean of an order bounded, non-negative sequence in a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit. This requires a more sophisticated density zero concept. In particular, we introduce a density zero sequence of band projections which forms the foundation for the Koopman-von Neumann condition in Riesz spaces. When the Riesz space is the real numbers the characterisation presented here gives the classical Koopman-von Neumann convergence condition.

As an application of the Koopman-von Neumann Lemma (Theorem 3.2), we give, in Section 4, a characterisation of conditional weak mixing in Riesz spaces. In Section 5, as an example, we apply Theorem 3.2 to characterise the order convergence of the Cesàro mean of order bounded, non-negative sequences in L^1 .

This work supplements the development of stochastic processes in Riesz spaces of Grobler et al [5], Stoica [14], Azouzi et al [2], Kuo, Labuschagne and Watson [7], and mixing processes in Riesz spaces as considered in Kuo, Rogans and Watson [9], and Kuo, Vardy and Watson [10].

2 Preliminaries

We refer the reader to Aliprantis and Border [1], Fremlin [4], Meyer-Nieberg [12], and Zaanen [18] and [17], for background in Riesz spaces and f -algebras.

We recall that, in a Riesz space, E , a sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in E converges to zero, in order, if and only if the sequence $(|f_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ converges, in order, to zero in E . Further to this, in a Dedekind complete Riesz space, the absolute order convergence of a sum implies the order convergence of the sum, see below.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a sequence in E , a Dedekind complete Riesz space, then order convergence of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_k|$ implies the order convergence of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k$.*

Proof: Suppose that $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f_k| \rightarrow \ell$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then ℓ is an upper bound for the increasing sequences $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k^{\pm} \right)$, which, from the Dedekind completeness of E , have order limits, say, f^{\pm} . Thus, $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k^+ - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k^- \rightarrow f^+ - f^-$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

From the above lemma and [8, Lemma 2.1], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 *Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ a sequence in E with $f_n \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |f_k| \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Corollary 2.3 *Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space and $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ a sequence in E with order limit f , then, as an order limit, we have $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k \rightarrow f$ in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof: Let $g_n := f_n - f$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $(|g_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is order convergent to 0, by assumption. Thus, by Theorem 2.2,

$$0 \leq \left| f - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |g_k| \rightarrow 0,$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the result follows as E is Archimedean. ■

3 Koopman-von Neumann Condition

In [13], a subset N of \mathbb{N}_0 is said to be of density zero if $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \chi_N(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\chi_N(k) = 0$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus N$ and $\chi_N(k) = 1$ if $k \in N$. The Koopman-von Neumann Lemma [13, Lemma 6.2] asserts that if a sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of real numbers is non-negative and bounded, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if there is N , a subset of \mathbb{N}_0 , of density zero, such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus N$.

In order to extend the Koopman-von Neumann Lemma to sequences in a Riesz space, we define a density zero sequence of band projections as follows.

Definition 3.1 (Density Zero Sequence of Band Projections) *A sequence $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of band projections in a Riesz space E is said to be of density zero if $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k \rightarrow 0$, in order as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

With the above definition of density zero sequences of band projections, we can now give an analogue of the Koopman-von Neumann Lemma in Riesz spaces.

Theorem 3.2 (Koopman-von Neumann) *Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit, say, e , and let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be an order bounded sequence in the positive cone of E , E_+ , then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if there exists a density zero sequence of band projections $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $(I - P_n) f_n \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof: Suppose that $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset E_+$ and there exists $g \in E_+$ with $f_n \leq g$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

If $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a density zero sequence of band projections with $(I - P_n) f_n \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $P_n f_n \leq P_n g$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k f_k + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (I - P_k) f_k \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\leq \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k \right) g + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (I - P_k) f_k. \quad (3.1b)$$

Since $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is of density zero, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, giving $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_k \right) g \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, by Theorem 2.2, as $(I - P_n) f_n \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $(I - P_n) f_n \geq 0$, we have $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (I - P_k) f_k \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by (3.1a)-(3.1b), as E is Archimedean, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Conversely, suppose that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $P_{m,i}$ be the band

projection onto the band generated by $(f_i - \frac{1}{m}e)^+$, let $u_{m,j} := \sup_{k \geq j} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P_{m,i} e$ and let

$R_{m,j}$ be the band projection onto the band generated by $(u_{m,j} - \frac{1}{m}e)^+$. As $0 \leq P_{m,i} e \leq e$, we have that $0 \leq u_{m,j} \leq e$. Further, since $P_{m,i}$ is increasing in m for fixed i , it follows that $u_{m,j}$ is increasing in m for fixed j and hence $u_{m,j} - \frac{1}{m}e$ is increasing in m for fixed j , giving that $R_{m,j}$ is increasing in m for fixed j .

Since $\{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \geq j+1\} \subset \{k \in \mathbb{Z} \mid k \geq j\}$, it follows that

$$u_{m,j+1} = \sup_{k \geq j+1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P_{m,i} e \leq \sup_{k \geq j} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P_{m,i} e = u_{m,j},$$

giving that $u_{m,j}$ is decreasing in j . Hence, $R_{m,j}$ is decreasing in j , for fixed m . We now show that, for fixed m , $R_{m,j} \downarrow 0$, in order, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f_i \geq P_{m,i} f_i \geq \frac{1}{m} P_{m,i} e$ (as $P_{m,i}$ is the projection onto the band generated by $(f_i - \frac{1}{m}e)^+$), we have

$$\sup_{k \geq j} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i \geq \frac{1}{m} \sup_{k \geq j} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P_{m,i} e = \frac{1}{m} u_{m,j}. \quad (3.2)$$

However, $u_{m,j} \geq R_{m,j} u_{m,j} \geq \frac{1}{m} R_{m,j} e$ (since $R_{m,j}$ is the band projection onto the band generated by $(u_{m,j} - \frac{1}{m}e)^+$), so, by (3.2), we have

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{m^2} R_{m,j} e \leq \frac{1}{m} u_{m,j} \leq \sup_{k \geq j} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i \rightarrow 0, \quad (3.3)$$

in order, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for fixed m , $R_{m,j} \downarrow 0$, in order, as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Observe that $R_{1,j} = 0$, since $R_{1,j}$ is the band projection onto the band generated by $(u_{1,j} - e)^+ = 0$. Let $J_j := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} R_{m,j}$, for $j = 0, 1, \dots$, then $J_j \downarrow J$, say, in order, as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and $R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is a partition of J_j for each $j = 0, 1, \dots$. That is,

$$(R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j})) \wedge (R_{n+1,j} (I - R_{n,j})) = 0, \text{ for } m \neq n, \quad (3.4)$$

and $\sum_{m=1}^{M-1} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) = R_{M,j} \uparrow_M J_j$, in order, as $M \rightarrow \infty$, that is,

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) = J_j. \quad (3.5)$$

Let

$$Q_j := \bigvee_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_{m,j} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_{m,j} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}), \quad (3.6)$$

by (3.4). Further, as $0 \leq P_{m,j} \leq I$,

$$Q_j = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_{m,j} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) = J_j. \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, subtracting the left-hand side of (3.7) from the right-hand side of (3.7), we obtain

$$(I - Q_j) = (I - J_j) + (J_j - Q_j) = (I - J_j) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (I - P_{m,j}) R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}). \quad (3.8)$$

For $m > j + 1$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have that $\frac{1}{j+1} > \frac{1}{m}$. Now, from the definition of $u_{m,j}$, we have

$$u_{m,j} = \sup_{k \geq j} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} P_{m,i} e \geq \frac{1}{j+1} \sum_{i=0}^j P_{m,i} e \geq \frac{1}{j+1} P_{m,j} e,$$

thus $P_{m,j} u_{m,j} \geq \frac{1}{j+1} P_{m,j} e$. Hence, $P_{m,j} u_{m,j} \geq \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{m}\right) P_{m,j} e + \frac{1}{m} P_{m,j} e$, giving

$$P_{m,j} \left(u_{m,j} - \frac{1}{m} e\right) \geq \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{m}\right) P_{m,j} e,$$

so

$$P_{m,j} \left(u_{m,j} - \frac{1}{m} e\right)^+ \geq P_{m,j} \left(u_{m,j} - \frac{1}{m} e\right) \geq \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{m}\right) P_{m,j} e.$$

Hence, $(u_{m,j} - \frac{1}{m} e)^+ \geq \left(\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{m}\right) P_{m,j} e$. Further, $\frac{1}{j+1} - \frac{1}{m} > 0$, so $P_{m,j} e$ is in the band generated by $(u_{m,j} - \frac{1}{m} e)^+$, giving $R_{m,j} \geq P_{m,j}$. Applying the above to f_j , we have

$$R_{m,j} f_j \geq P_{m,j} f_j. \quad (3.9)$$

Taking the supremum over $m \in \mathbb{N}$ in (3.9) gives $J_j f_j \geq f_j$, since $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} R_{m,j} = J_j$ and $\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_{m,j} f_j = f_j$. Thus, $(I - J_j) f_j = 0$, so, for $k \leq j$, applying (3.8) to f_j gives

$$0 \leq (I - Q_j) f_j \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) (I - P_{m,j}) f_j \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{m} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) e, \quad (3.10b)$$

where we have used that $(I - P_{m,j}) f_j \leq \frac{1}{m} e$. Now, from (3.10a)-(3.10b), for $k \leq j$,

$$0 \leq (I - Q_j) f_j \leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{m} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) e + \sum_{m=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) e \quad (3.11a)$$

$$\leq \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) e + \frac{1}{k} (I - R_{k,j}) e \leq R_{k,j} e + \frac{1}{k} e. \quad (3.11b)$$

So, taking the lim sup as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$0 \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} (I - Q_j) f_j \leq \frac{1}{k} e + \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} R_{k,j} e = \frac{1}{k} e. \quad (3.12)$$

Thus, as E is Archimedean, $\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} (I - Q_j) f_j = 0$ and $(I - Q_j) f_j \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

It now remains to show that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_j e \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For $j < n$ and $m \geq M+1$, we have $R_{M+1,n} \leq R_{m,j}$, giving $R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{m,j}) = 0$. Thus, for $M, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{M,n}) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_j e &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{M,n}) \bigvee_{m \in \mathbb{N}} P_{m,j} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) e \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \bigvee_{m \leq M} R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{M,n}) P_{m,j} R_{m+1,j} (I - R_{m,j}) e \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{M,n}) P_{M,j} e \\
&= R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{M,n}) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} P_{M,j} e \\
&\leq R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{M,n}) u_{M,n} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{M} R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{M,n}) e.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing the above over $M \geq K$ gives

$$(J_n - R_{K,n}) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_j e \leq \sum_{M \geq K} \frac{1}{M} R_{M+1,n}(I - R_{M,n}) e \quad (3.13a)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{K} (J_n - R_{K,n}) e \leq \frac{1}{K} e. \quad (3.13b)$$

We recall, from (3.7), that $Q_j \leq J_j$, so if $j \geq \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$ then $Q_j \leq J_j \leq J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor}$ and $Q_j J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} = Q_j$, that is, $(I - J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor}) Q_j = 0$. Hence,

$$(I - J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor}) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_j e = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor - 1} Q_j e \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e. \quad (3.14)$$

Combining (3.13a)-(3.13b) and (3.14), we have, for each $K \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_j e = \left((I - J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor}) + (J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} - J_n) + (J_n - R_{K,n}) + R_{K,n} \right) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_j e \quad (3.15a)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e + (J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} - J_n) e + \frac{1}{K} e + R_{K,n} e. \quad (3.15b)$$

Here, J_n and $J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor}$ both converge, in order, to J , so $(J_{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} - J_n) e$ converges, in order, to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as does $R_{K,n} e$. Thus, taking the limit supremum as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.15b) gives

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_j e \leq \frac{1}{K} e \quad (3.16)$$

for each $K \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} Q_j e = 0,$$

in order, as E is Archimedean. \blacksquare

Note: If $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in Theorem 3.2 is not assumed to be order bounded, but $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then it still follows that there is a density zero sequence of band projections, $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, such that $(I - P_n)f_n \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, but one cannot conclude boundedness of $(P_n f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$. Further, the converse need not hold. In particular, if $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is not bounded and there is a density zero sequence of band projections $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $(I - P_n)f_n \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then one cannot conclude that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f_k$ is order convergent to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For example, working in the classical case of $E = \mathbb{R}$, we have $g_j^p = \begin{cases} n, & j = \lfloor n^p \rfloor, n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ is unbounded and $N = \{\lfloor n^p \rfloor \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a set of density zero for all $p > 1$. Here $g_j^p = 0 \rightarrow 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus N$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ but $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_k^p$ is convergent to 0 for $p > 2$, convergent to a non-zero value for $p = 2$ and divergent to ∞ for $1 < p < 2$.

4 Application to Weak Mixing

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a probability space, that is, Ω is a set, \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω and μ is a measure on \mathcal{A} with $\mu(\Omega) = 1$. The mapping $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ is called a measure preserving transformation if $\mu(\tau^{-1}A) = \mu(A)$, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, in which case $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu, \tau)$ is called a measure preserving system. Further details may be found in [3, 11, 13].

The measure preserving system $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu, \tau)$ is said to be weakly mixing if

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\mu(\tau^{-k}(A) \cap B) - \mu(A)\mu(B)| \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.1)$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for each $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. To give a Riesz space analogue of a measure preserving system and weak mixing, we begin by defining a conditional expectation operator on a Riesz space. For more details, see [6].

Definition 4.1 *Let E be a Riesz space with weak order unit. A positive order continuous*

projection $T: E \rightarrow E$, with range, $\mathcal{R}(T)$, a Dedekind complete Riesz subspace of E , is called a conditional expectation operator if Te is a weak order unit of E for each weak order unit e of E .

The Riesz space analogue of a measure preserving system is introduced in the following definition.

Definition 4.2 *Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit, say, e , and let T be a conditional expectation operator on E with $Te = e$. If S is an order continuous Riesz homomorphism on E with $Se = e$ and $TSPe = TPe$ for each band projection P on E , then (E, T, S, e) is called a conditional expectation preserving system.*

By Freudenthal's Spectral Theorem ([17, Theorem 33.2]), the condition $TSPe = TPe$ for each band projection P on E in the above definition is equivalent to $TSf = Tf$ for all $f \in E$.

For $f \in E$, various convergence results for $\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} S^k f\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ were considered in [7, Theorems 3.7 and 3.9], most notably, generalisations of Birkhoff's ergodic theorems to Riesz spaces.

We recall that $E_e = \{f \in E \mid |f| \leq ke \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$, the subspace of E of e bounded elements of E , is an f -algebra, see [2, 15, 18]. Further, if T is a conditional expectation operator on E with $Te = e$, then T is also a conditional expectation operator on E_e , since, if $f \in E_e$, then $|f| \leq ke$, giving $|Tf| \leq T|f| \leq Tke = ke$. The f -algebra structure on E_e gives $Pe \cdot Qe = PQe$ for all band projections P and Q on E (here, \cdot represents the f -algebra multiplication on E_e). The linear extension of this multiplication and use of order limits extends this multiplication to E_e .

We are now in a position to define conditional weak mixing on a Riesz space with a conditional expectation operator and weak order unit.

Definition 4.3 (Weak Mixing) *The conditional expectation preserving system (E, T, S, e) is said to be weakly mixing if, for all band projections P and Q on E ,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left((S^k P) Qe \right) - TPe \cdot TQe \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.2)$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We note that for $E = L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, the band projections on E are of the form $P_A f = \chi_A f$, for $f \in E$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Definition 4.3 now gives a conditional weak mixing

condition on E , conditioned by $T = \mathbb{E}[\cdot, \mathcal{B}]$, for $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ a sub- σ -algebra. If $\mathcal{B} = \{\Omega \setminus C \mid C \in \mathcal{A}, \mu(C) = 0\} \cup \{C \in \mathcal{A} \mid \mu(C) = 0\}$, then conditional weak mixing coincides with the weak mixing on $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$.

In a Riesz space, E , for $u \in E_+$, $p \in E_+$ is called a component of u if $u \wedge (e - p) = 0$, see [17, Chapter 32, pg 213]. Furthermore, if E has the principal projection property, then p is a component of u if and only if $p = P_f u$, for some principal projection P_f , [17, Theorem 32.7]. If E has a weak order unit, say, e , then any $s \in E$ for which there exist pairwise disjoint components p_1, \dots, p_n of u and real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ such that $s = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k p_k$ is called an e -step function (it is permitted for one or several of the real numbers of components of e to be zero). Notice that if E has the principal projection property, then there exist principal band projections P_1, \dots, P_n such that $s = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k P_k e$, where the band projections are pairwise disjoint. Consequently, if E is a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit, say, e , then $B_e = E \supseteq E_e$ and any e -step function $s \in E$ can be represented by $s = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k P_k e$, as discussed, where B_e is the principal band generated by e .

We recall, [17, Chapter 10, pg 49], that if E is a Riesz space and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ converges to $x \in E$, we say that x_n converges to x u -uniformly if for given $0 < u \in E$ and each $0 < \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, there is some $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_n - x| < \epsilon u$ whenever $n \geq N_\epsilon$.

Theorem 4.4 *Given the conditional expectation preserving system (E, T, S, e) , then the following statements are equivalent.*

1. (E, T, S, e) is weakly mixing.
2. For all $f, g \in E_e$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have, in order, that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left((S^k f) \cdot g \right) - T f \cdot T g \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

3. For each pair of band projections P and Q on E , there is a sequence of density zero band projections, $(R_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$, in E such that

$$(I - R_n) |T((S^n P) Q e) - T(P e) \cdot T(Q e)| \rightarrow 0,$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof: **(1) \Rightarrow (2):** Suppose that (E, T, S, e) is weakly mixing, let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and let P and Q be band projections on E , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left((S^k (\alpha P e)) \cdot (\beta Q e) \right) - T(\alpha P e) \cdot T(\beta Q e) \right| \\ &= |\alpha \beta| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left((S^k (P)) Q e \right) - T(P e) \cdot T(Q e) \right| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by (4.2).

Let $s, t \in E$ be e -step functions with $s = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i P_i e$ and $t = \sum_{j=1}^r \beta_j Q_j e$, where P_i and Q_j are band projections on E and α_i and β_j are real numbers, $i = 1, \dots, m$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left(\left(S^k(s) \right) \cdot t \right) - T(s) \cdot T(t) \right| \quad (4.4a)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^r |\alpha_i \beta_j| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left| T \left(\left(S^k(P_i) \right) Q_j e \right) - T(P_i e) \cdot T(Q_j e) \right| \rightarrow 0, \quad (4.4b)$$

in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By Freudenthal's Spectral Theorem, [17, Theorem 33.2], $f, g \in E_e$ can be expressed as the e -uniform order limits of sequences, say $(s_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (t_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, of e -step functions in E_e . There is now $K > 0$ so that $|s_i|, |t_j|, |f|, |g| \leq Ke$, for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies $T|s_i|, T|t_j|, T|f|, T|g| \leq Ke$, for each $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $\epsilon > 0$ there is $N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $|s_i - f| \leq \epsilon e$ and $|t_j - g| \leq \epsilon e$ for each $i, j \geq N_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$, then $T|s_i - f| \leq \epsilon e$ and $T|t_j - g| \leq \epsilon e$ for each $i, j \geq N_\epsilon$. Let $b_k := |T(S^k(f) \cdot g) - Tf \cdot Tg|$ and $b_{k,i,j} := |T(S^k(s_i) \cdot t_j) - Ts_i \cdot Tt_j|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{k,i,j} - b_k| &= \left| \left| T(S^k(s_i) \cdot t_j) - Ts_i \cdot Tt_j \right| - \left| T(S^k(f) \cdot g) - Tf \cdot Tg \right| \right| \\ &\leq \left| T(S^k(s_i) \cdot t_j) - Ts_i \cdot Tt_j - T(S^k(f) \cdot g) + Tf \cdot Tg \right| \\ &\leq \left| T(S^k(f) \cdot g - S^k(s_i) \cdot t_j) \right| + |Tf \cdot Tg - Ts_i \cdot Tt_j| \\ &\leq T \left| (S^k(f - s_i)) \cdot g \right| + T \left| S^k(s_i) \cdot (g - t_j) \right| + T|f - s_i| \cdot T|g| + T|s_i| \cdot T|g - t_j| \\ &\leq Ke \cdot T|S^k(f - s_i)| + Ke \cdot T|g - t_j| + T|f - s_i| \cdot Ke + Ke \cdot T|g - t_j| \\ &\leq 4Ke\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $b_k \leq b_{k,i,j} + 4Ke\epsilon$, so

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{k,i,j} + 4Ke\epsilon,$$

for all $n, i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ with $i, j \geq N_\epsilon$. In particular,

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{k,N_\epsilon,N_\epsilon} + 4Ke\epsilon.$$

By (4.4b), $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_{k, N_\epsilon, N_\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k \leq 4K\epsilon\epsilon,$$

for all $\epsilon > 0$, implying that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k \rightarrow 0$, in order.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Choosing $f = Pe$ and $g = Qe$, the result follows directly.

(1) \Leftrightarrow (3): Choosing $f_n = |T((S^n P)Qe) - TPe \cdot TQe|$, the result follows from Theorem 3.2. ■

5 Application to Measurable Processes

If we consider the Riesz space E of equivalence classes of almost everywhere identical functions in $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, where μ is a finite measure (the case of μ σ -finite is an easy extension of this case), then E is a Dedekind complete Riesz spaces under a.e. pointwise ordering and $\mathbf{1}$, the equivalence class of the constant function with value 1, is a weak order for E . Here the band projections, P , on E are multiplication by the characteristic function of measurable sets, i.e., P is of the form $Pf = \chi_A f, f \in E$, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$. If $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a non-negative order bounded sequence in E , i.e., $f_n \geq 0$ a.e. and there exists $g \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ so that $f_n \leq g$ a.e. for all n , then, by Theorem 3.2,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{in order, as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.1)$$

if and only if there is a density zero sequence of band projections $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ such that $(I - P_n)f_n \rightarrow 0$, in order, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., there is a sequence of measurable sets $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{A_j} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in order as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5.2)$$

with $\chi_{\Omega \setminus A_n} f_n \rightarrow 0$ in order as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Here, order convergence is the same as a.e. pointwise convergence, further, as $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j \leq g$,

$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{A_j} \leq \mathbf{1}$ and $\chi_{\Omega \setminus A_n} f_n \leq g$, Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem is applicable. Thus we have the following.

Corollary 5.1 *If $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a non-negative sequence in $L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$, where μ is a finite measure, and there exists $g \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ so that $f_n \leq g$ a.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} f_j \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if and only if there is a sequence of measurable sets $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ with $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \chi_{A_j} \rightarrow 0$ and $\chi_{\Omega \setminus A_n} f_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Here the limits can be taken as either a.e. pointwise or in norm.*

Proceeding as in [9, Section 5], the weak mixing of Section 4 can be carried over to give conditional weak mixing in measure spaces and a characterization thereof.

References

- [1] ALIPRANTIS, C.D., BORDER, K.C., *Infinite Dimensional Analysis: A Hitchhiker's Guide*, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [2] AZOUZI, Y., TRABELSI, M., L^p Spaces with Respect to Conditional Expectation on Riesz Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **447**, (2017), 798-816 .
- [3] EISNER, T., FARKAS, B., HAASE, M., NAGEL, R., *Operator Theoretic Aspects of Ergodic Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2016.
- [4] FREMLIN, D.H., *Measure Theory Volume 3*, Torres Fremlin, 2000, Chapter 35, pg 219-274 .
- [5] GROBLER, J.J., Jensen's and Martingale Inequalities in Riesz Spaces, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, **25**, (2014), 275-295 .
- [6] KUO, W.-C., LABUSCHAGNE, C.C.A., WATSON, B.A., Conditional Expectations on Riesz Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **303**, (2005), 509-521 .
- [7] KUO, W.-C., LABUSCHAGNE, C.C.A., WATSON, B.A., Ergodic Theory and the Strong Law of Large Numbers on Riesz Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **325**, (2007), 422-437 .
- [8] KUO, W.-C., RODDA D.F., WATSON, B.A., Hájek-Rényi-Chow Maximal Inequality and a Strong Law of Large Numbers in Riesz Spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **481**, (2020), 123462 .
- [9] KUO, W.-C., ROGANS, M.J., WATSON, B.A., Mixing inequalities in Riesz spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **456**, (2017), 992-1004 .
- [10] KUO, W.-C., VARDY, J.J., WATSON, B.A., Mixingales in Riesz spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **402**, (2013), 731-738 .
- [11] KRENGEL, U., *Ergodic Theorems*, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1985.

- [12] MEYER-NIEBERG, P., *Banach Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
- [13] PETERSEN, K., *Ergodic Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1983.
- [14] STOICA, G., Limit Laws for Martingales in Vector Lattices, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **476**, 2019, 715-719 .
- [15] VENTER, L.M., VAN ELDIK, P., Universally Complete Riesz Spaces and f -algebras, *South African J. Sci.*, **84**, (1987), 343-346 .
- [16] WATSON, B.A., An Andô-Douglas Type Theorem in Riesz Spaces with a Conditional Expectation, *Positivity*, **13**, (2009), 543-558 .
- [17] ZAAANEN, A.C., *Introduction to Operator Theory in Riesz Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [18] ZAAANEN, A.C., *Riesz Spaces II*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.