

REFINEMENTS OF NORM AND NUMERICAL RADIUS INEQUALITIES

PINTU BHUNIA AND KALLOL PAUL

ABSTRACT. Several refinements of norm and numerical radius inequalities of bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space are given. In particular, we show that if A is a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space, then

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| \leq \frac{1}{8}\left(\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2 + c^2(A + A^*) + c^2(A - A^*)\right) \leq w^2(A)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\|A^*A + AA^*\| - \frac{1}{4}\left\|(A + A^*)^2(A - A^*)^2\right\|^{1/2} \leq w^2(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A^*A + AA^*\|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$, $w(\cdot)$ and $c(\cdot)$ are the operator norm, the numerical radius and the Crawford number, respectively. Further, we prove that if A, D are bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space, then

$$\|AD^*\| \leq \left\|\int_0^1 \left((1-t) \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2} \right) + t\|AD^*\|I \right)^2 dt \right\|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\| |A|^2 + |D|^2 \|,$$

where $|A| = (A^*A)^{1/2}$ and $|D| = (D^*D)^{1/2}$. This is a refinement of well known inequality obtained by Bhatia and Kittaneh.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let \mathbb{H} denote a complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm induced by the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ denote the collection of all bounded linear operators on \mathbb{H} . For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, A^* denotes the adjoint of A and $|A| = \sqrt{A^*A}$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $\|A\|$ be the operator norm of A . Recall that

$$\|A\| := \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Ax\| = \sup_{\|x\|=\|y\|=1} |\langle Ax, y \rangle|.$$

The numerical range of A , denoted by $W(A)$, is defined as

$$W(A) := \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1 \}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A12, 47A30.

Key words and phrases. Numerical radius, norm inequality, Hilbert space, Bounded linear operator, operator convex function.

First author would like to thank UGC, Govt. of India for the financial support in the form of SRF. Prof. Kallol Paul would like to thank RUSA 2.0, Jadavpur University for the partial support.

The two numerical constants, numerical radius $w(A)$ and Crawford number $c(A)$, associated with the numerical range of A , are defined respectively as

$$w(A) := \sup_{\lambda \in W(A)} |\lambda| \quad \text{and} \quad c(A) := \inf_{\lambda \in W(A)} |\lambda|.$$

The numerical radius is a norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ satisfying the following inequality

$$\frac{\|A\|}{2} \leq w(A) \leq \|A\|. \quad (1.1)$$

Clearly, (1.1) implies that the numerical radius norm is equivalent to the operator norm. The inequality (1.1) is sharp, $w(A) = \|A\|$ if $AA^* = A^*A$ and $w(A) = \frac{\|A\|}{2}$ if $A^2 = 0$.

Various refinements of (1.1) have been obtained, we refer to [1, 5, 6, 15] and references therein. Kittaneh [12, Th. 1] improved on the inequality (1.1), obtained that

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| \leq w^2(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A^*A + AA^*\|. \quad (1.2)$$

In [13, Th. 1], Kittaneh obtained another refinement of the second inequality in (1.1), he proved that

$$w(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|A\| + \|A^*\|) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A\| + \frac{1}{2}\|A^2\|^{1/2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Further refinements of (1.2) and (1.3) have obtained in [8], namely,

$$w^2(A) \leq \min_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \|\alpha A^*A + (1 - \alpha)AA^*\| \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$w^2(A) \leq \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 &= \min_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \left\| \alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1 - \alpha)|A^*|^2 \right\|, \\ \gamma_2 &= \min_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} \left\| \alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1 - \alpha)|A|^2 \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

In [15, Th. 2.1], Omidvar and Moradi have obtained the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A + A^*\|\|A - A^*\| \leq w^2(A). \quad (1.6)$$

In this paper, we obtain various norm inequalities for the sum of two bounded linear operators which improve on the triangle inequality. As an application of the norm inequalities we obtain several numerical radius inequalities which improve on the first inequality in (1.2). For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| &\leq \frac{1}{8}(\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}(\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2) + \frac{1}{8}c^2(A + A^*) + \frac{1}{8}c^2(A - A^*) \\ &\leq w^2(A). \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality is stronger than the inequality (1.6). Another refinements of (1.6) also given.

The notion of operator convex function plays an important role in the development of operator and norm inequalities. A real-valued continuous function f on an interval J is said to be operator convex if for all selfadjoint operators $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ whose spectra are contained in J satisfy

$$f((1-t)A + tD) \leq (1-t)f(A) + tf(D)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The function $f(t) = t^r$ is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ if either $1 \leq r \leq 2$ or $-1 \leq r \leq 0$. In [4], Bhatia and Kittaneh have obtained a norm inequality, for $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\|AD^*\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|A^*A + D^*D\|. \quad (1.7)$$

We obtain a refinement of the above inequality to show that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\|AD^*\|) &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 f\left((1-t)\left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2}\right) + t\|AD^*\|I\right) dt \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| f\left(\frac{A^*A + D^*D}{2}\right) \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, considering $f(t) = t^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|AD^*\| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left\| \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2}\right)^2 + \|AD^*\|^2 I + \|AD^*\| \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2}\right) \right\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|A^*A + D^*D\|. \end{aligned}$$

This is a non-trivial improvement of Bhatia and Kittaneh's inequality (1.7). In [3], Bhatia and Kittaneh have obtained that if $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive then

$$\|AD\| \leq \frac{1}{4} \|A + D\|^2. \quad (1.8)$$

We obtained a refinement of the above inequality (1.8). The refinements of (1.4) and (1.5) are also obtained.

2. Norm inequalities in estimating lower bound of numerical radius

We begin this section with the introduction of two notations. Let $A = B + iC$ be the Cartesian decomposition of A where $B = \operatorname{Re}(A) := \frac{A+A^*}{2}$ and $C = \operatorname{Im}(A) := \frac{A-A^*}{2i}$. We observe that

$$\frac{1}{4} \|A^*A + AA^*\| = \frac{1}{2} \|B^2 + C^2\|. \quad (2.1)$$

By using the identity (2.1), we obtain our first refinement.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| &\leq \frac{1}{8}(\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}(\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2) + \frac{1}{8}c^2(A + A^*) + \frac{1}{8}c^2(A - A^*) \\ &\leq w^2(A). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the identity (2.1) we get,

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| = \frac{1}{2}\|B^2 + C^2\| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\|B\|^2 + \|C\|^2) = \frac{1}{8}(\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2).$$

This is the first inequality of the theorem. The second inequality follows trivially. Now we prove the third inequality. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Then from the Cartesian decomposition of A we get,

$$|\langle Bx, x \rangle|^2 + |\langle Cx, x \rangle|^2 = |\langle Ax, x \rangle|^2. \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.2), we get the following two inequalities

$$c^2(B) + \|C\|^2 \leq w^2(A) \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$c^2(C) + \|B\|^2 \leq w^2(A). \quad (2.4)$$

It follows from the inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) that

$$c^2(B) + c^2(C) + \|B\|^2 + \|C\|^2 \leq 2w^2(A).$$

This implies that

$$\frac{1}{8}(\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2) + \frac{1}{8}c^2(A + A^*) + \frac{1}{8}c^2(A - A^*) \leq w^2(A).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. We note that the inequalities obtained in Theorem 2.1 refine the inequality (1.6) obtained by Omidvar and Moradi [15, Th. 2.1] and the inequality (1.2) obtained by Kittaneh [12, Th. 1].

The following corollary follows from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| + \frac{1}{8}c^2(A + A^*) + \frac{1}{8}c^2(A - A^*) \leq w^2(A). \quad (2.5)$$

It should be mentioned here that the inequality (2.5) is weaker than the third inequality in Theorem 2.1.

In the next theorem we obtain a norm inequality which refines the triangle inequality.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \|A^*D + D^*A\| \leq (\|A\| + \|D\|)^2.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + D)x\|^2 &= \langle (A + D)x, (A + D)x \rangle \\ &= \|Ax\|^2 + \|Dx\|^2 + \langle (A^*D + D^*A)x, x \rangle \\ &\leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \|A^*D + D^*A\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over $\|x\| = 1$ we get the first inequality of the theorem. The second inequality follows from the inequality $\|A^*D + D^*A\| \leq 2\|A\|\|D\|$. \square

Remark 2.5. We would like to note that if $\|A + D\| = \|A\| + \|D\|$ then it follows from Theorem 2.4 that $\|A^*D + D^*A\| = 2\|A\|\|D\|$. The converse is not true, in general. Consider $A = I$ and $D = -I$, then $\|A^*D + D^*A\| = 2\|A\|\|D\|$, but $\|A + D\| \neq \|A\| + \|D\|$.

Next we need the following inequality, known as Buzano's inequality.

Lemma 2.6. ([9]) *Let $x, e, y \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|e\| = 1$. Then*

$$|\langle x, e \rangle \langle e, y \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|x\| \|y\| + |\langle x, y \rangle|).$$

We now obtain another refinement of the triangle inequality.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \|A\|\|D\| + \min \{w(A^*D), w(AD^*)\} \leq (\|A\| + \|D\|)^2.$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ be two unit vectors. Then we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (A + D)x, y \rangle|^2 &\leq (|\langle Ax, y \rangle| + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|)^2 \\ &= |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|^2 + 2|\langle Ax, y \rangle \langle Dx, y \rangle| \\ &= |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|^2 + 2|\langle Ax, y \rangle \langle y, Dx \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|^2 + \|Ax\|\|Dx\| + |\langle Ax, Dx \rangle|, \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{By Lemma 2.6} \\ &\leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \|A\|\|D\| + w(A^*D). \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ we get,

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \|A\|\|D\| + w(A^*D). \quad (2.6)$$

Replacing A by A^* and D by D^* in (2.6) we get,

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \|A\|\|D\| + w(AD^*). \quad (2.7)$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) we have the first inequality of the theorem. The second inequality follows from the observation that $\min\{w(A^*D), w(AD^*)\} \leq \|A\|\|D\|$. \square

Remark 2.8. It follows from Theorem 2.7 that if $\|A + D\| = \|A\| + \|D\|$ then $w(A^*D) = \|A\|\|D\|$ and $w(AD^*) = \|A\|\|D\|$. The converse is not true, in general. Consider $A = I$ and $D = -I$, then $w(A^*D) = \|A\|\|D\|$ and $w(AD^*) = \|A\|\|D\|$, but $\|A + D\| \neq \|A\| + \|D\|$.

Now we need the following norm inequality.

Lemma 2.9. ([11]) *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive. Then*

$$\|A + D\| \leq \max\{\|A\|, \|D\|\} + \|AD\|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Next refinement of Kittaneh's inequality (1.2) is as follows.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8}\left[\max\{\|A + A^*\|^2, \|A - A^*\|^2\} + \|A + A^*\|\|A - A^*\|\right] \leq w^2(A). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the identity (2.1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| &= \frac{1}{2}\|B^2 + C^2\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\max\{\|B\|^2, \|C\|^2\} + \|B^2C^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right], \text{ by Lemma 2.9} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\left[\max\{\|B\|^2, \|C\|^2\} + \|B\|\|C\|\right]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the first inequality of the theorem. The second inequality follows from the observation that $\|B\| \leq w(A)$ and $\|C\| \leq w(A)$. \square

Remark 2.11. We note that the second inequality in Theorem 2.10 refines the inequality (1.6), obtained by Omidvar and Moradi [15, Th. 2.1].

Next we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.12. ([7, Th. 2.2]) *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq 2 \max\{\|A^*A + D^*D\|, \|AA^* + DD^*\|\}.$$

Based on the above lemma we obtain another refinement of Kittaneh's inequality (1.2).

Theorem 2.13. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| \leq \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\left[\|A + A^*\|^4 + \|A - A^*\|^4\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq w^2(A).$$

Proof. From the identity (2.1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| &= \frac{1}{2}\|B^2 + C^2\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|B^4 + C^4\|^{\frac{1}{2}}, \text{ by Lemma 2.12.} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\|B\|^4 + \|C\|^4\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the first inequality of the theorem. As before, the second inequality follows from the observation that $\|B\| \leq w(A)$ and $\|C\| \leq w(A)$. \square

Remark 2.14. The concavity of the function $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$ ensures that the first inequality in Theorem 2.13 is stronger than the first inequality in Theorem 2.1. We also note that the second inequality in Theorem 2.13 refines the inequality (1.6), obtained by Omidvar and Moradi [15, Th. 2.1].

To obtain the next refinement of (1.2), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.15. ([7, Th. 2.5]) *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\|A + D\|^4 \leq 2 \max \{ \|A^*A + D^*D\|^2 + 4w^2(D^*A), \|AA^* + DD^*\|^2 + 4w^2(AD^*) \}.$$

Theorem 2.16. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \|A^*A + AA^*\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{8} \left[2(\|A + A^*\|^4 + \|A - A^*\|^4)^2 + 8\|A + A^*\|^4 \|A - A^*\|^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq w^2(A). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the identity (2.1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} \|A^*A + AA^*\| &= \frac{1}{2} \|B^2 + C^2\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[2\|B^4 + C^4\|^2 + 8 \max\{w^2(B^2C^2), w^2(C^2B^2)\} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}}, \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{by Lemma 2.15} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[2(\|B\|^4 + \|C\|^4)^2 + 8\|B\|^4 \|C\|^4 \right]^{\frac{1}{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies the first inequality of the theorem. As before, the second inequality follows from the observation that $\|B\| \leq w(A)$ and $\|C\| \leq w(A)$. \square

We now prove the following norm inequalities.

Theorem 2.17. *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^*A + D^*D\| + w(A^*D) \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|AA^* + DD^*\| + w(AD^*). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Let $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ be two unit vectors. Then we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle (A + D)x, y \rangle|^2 &\leq (|\langle Ax, y \rangle| + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|)^2 \\
&= |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|^2 + 2|\langle Ax, y \rangle \langle Dx, y \rangle| \\
&= |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|^2 + 2|\langle Ax, y \rangle \langle y, Dx \rangle| \\
&\leq |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|^2 + \|Ax\| \|Dx\| + |\langle Ax, Dx \rangle|, \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{By Lemma 2.6} \\
&\leq |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\|Ax\|^2 + \|Dx\|^2) + |\langle Ax, Dx \rangle| \\
&\leq |\langle Ax, y \rangle|^2 + |\langle Dx, y \rangle|^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \langle (A^*A + D^*D)x, x \rangle + |\langle A^*Dx, x \rangle| \\
&\leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^*A + D^*D\| + w(A^*D).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ we get,

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|A^*A + D^*D\| + w(A^*D).$$

Replacing A by A^* and D by D^* in the above inequality we get,

$$\|A + D\|^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|D\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|AA^* + DD^*\| + w(AD^*).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Based on the above norm inequalities we obtain the following refinement of Kittaneh's inequality (1.2).

Theorem 2.18. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4} \|A^*A + AA^*\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{8} \left[(\|A + A^*\|^2 + \|A - A^*\|^2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\|A + A^*\|^2 - \|A - A^*\|^2)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq w^2(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the identity (2.1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{4} \|A^*A + AA^*\| &= \frac{1}{2} \|B^2 + C^2\| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\|B\|^4 + \|C\|^4 + \frac{1}{2} \|B^4 + C^4\| + w(B^2C^2) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{by Theorem 2.17.} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\|B\|^4 + \|C\|^4 + \frac{1}{2} (\|B\|^4 + \|C\|^4) + \|B\|^2 \|C\|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the first inequality of the theorem. The second inequality follows from the observation that $\|B\| \leq w(A)$ and $\|C\| \leq w(A)$. \square

Remark 2.19. The first inequality in Theorem 2.18 is better than the first inequality in Theorem 2.1. We also note that the second inequality in Theorem 2.18 refines the inequality (1.6), obtained by Omidvar and Moradi [15, Th. 2.1].

Now, by using the inequality (1.8) we prove the following numerical radius inequality.

Theorem 2.20. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\| \leq \frac{1}{2}w^2(A) + \frac{1}{8}\left\|(A + A^*)^2(A - A^*)^2\right\|^{1/2} \leq w^2(A).$$

Proof. Let $A = B + iC$ be the Cartesian decomposition of A . Then from the inequality (1.8) we have,

$$\|B^2C^2\| \leq \frac{1}{4}\|B^2 + C^2\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(\|B\|^2 + \|C\|^2)^2.$$

It follows from the observation $\|B\| \leq w(A)$ and $\|C\| \leq w(A)$ that

$$w^2(A) \geq \|B^2C^2\|^{1/2} \geq \| |B||C| \| = \|BC\|.$$

Thus,

$$w^2(A) \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|(A + A^*)^2(A - A^*)^2\right\|^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{4}\left\|(A + A^*)(A - A^*)\right\|.$$

This implies that

$$w^2(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}w^2(A) + \frac{1}{8}\left\|(A + A^*)^2(A - A^*)^2\right\|^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{2}w^2(A) + \frac{1}{8}\left\|(A + A^*)(A - A^*)\right\|.$$

From [15, Th. 2.1], we have

$$\frac{1}{2}w^2(A) + \frac{1}{8}\left\|(A + A^*)(A - A^*)\right\| \geq \frac{1}{4}\|A^*A + AA^*\|.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The following corollary is obvious.

Corollary 2.21. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\|A^*A + AA^*\| - \frac{1}{4}\left\|(A + A^*)^2(A - A^*)^2\right\|^{1/2} \leq w^2(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|A^*A + AA^*\|.$$

Remark 2.22. We note that if $(A + A^*)^2(A - A^*)^2 = 0$ then, $w^2(A) = \frac{1}{2}\|A^*A + AA^*\|$.

3. Operator convex function in numerical radius inequalities

In this section we use some important properties of operator convex functions to improve on existing numerical radius inequalities as well as norm inequalities. A real-valued continuous function f on an interval J is said to be operator convex if for all selfadjoint operators $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ whose spectra are contained in J satisfy

$$f((1-t)A + tD) \leq (1-t)f(A) + tf(D)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. The function $f(t) = t^r$ is operator convex on $[0, \infty)$ if either $1 \leq r \leq 2$ or $-1 \leq r \leq 0$. Interested readers can go through the excellent book [2] for further readings on operator convex functions and their characterizations. We need the following lemmas to proceed further.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let f be non-negative increasing operator convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$f(w^2(A)) \leq \|f(\alpha|A|^2 + (1 - \alpha)|A^*|^2)\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Following [8, Cor. 2.5] we have,

$$w^2(A) \leq \|\alpha|A|^2 + (1 - \alpha)|A^*|^2\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$,

$$f(w^2(A)) \leq f(\|\alpha|A|^2 + (1 - \alpha)|A^*|^2\|) \leq \|f(\alpha|A|^2 + (1 - \alpha)|A^*|^2)\|.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. ([10]) *Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an operator convex function on the interval J . Let A and D be two selfadjoint operators with spectra in J . Then*

$$f\left(\frac{A+D}{2}\right) \leq \int_0^1 f\left((1-t)A + tD\right) dt \leq \frac{1}{2}(f(A) + f(D)). \quad (3.3)$$

If f is non-negative then the operator inequality (3.3) can be reduced to the following norm inequality

$$\left\|f\left(\frac{A+D}{2}\right)\right\| \leq \left\|\int_0^1 f\left((1-t)A + tD\right) dt\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f(A) + f(D)\|. \quad (3.4)$$

Lemma 3.3. ([14]) *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive. Then $\|A + D\| = \|A\| + \|D\|$ if and only if $\|AD\| = \|A\|\|D\|$.*

We now present the first theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let f be non-negative increasing operator convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f(w^2(A)) &\leq \left\|\int_0^1 f\left((1-t)(\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2) + tw^2(A)I\right) dt\right\| \\ &\leq \|f(\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2)\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ we have,

$$\|(\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2)w^2(A)I\| = \|\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2\| \|w^2(A)I\|.$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 + w^2(A)I\| = \|\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2\| + w^2(A).$$

So, by using the inequality (3.2) we get,

$$w^2(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 + w^2(A)I\|.$$

Then ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(w^2(A)) &\leq f\left(\frac{1}{2}\|\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 + w^2(A)I\|\right) \\
 &\leq \left\|f\left(\frac{\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 + w^2(A)I}{2}\right)\right\| \\
 &\leq \left\|\int_0^1 f\left((1-t)(\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2) + tw^2(A)I\right)dt\right\|, \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{by inequality (3.4)} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|f(\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2) + f(w^2(A))I\|, \text{ by inequality (3.4)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}\|f(\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2)\| + \frac{1}{2}f(w^2(A)), \text{ by Lemma 3.3} \\
 &\leq \|f(\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2)\|, \text{ by Lemma 3.1.}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

By considering $f(t) = t^2$ in Theorem 3.4, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 w^2(A) &\leq \left\|\int_0^1 \left((1-t)(\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2) + tw^2(A)I\right)^2 dt\right\|^{1/2} \\
 &\leq \|\alpha|A|^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2\|, \forall \alpha \in [0, 1].
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

$$w^2(A) \leq \left\|\int_0^1 \left((1-t)\left(\frac{|A|^2 + |A^*|^2}{2}\right) + tw^2(A)I\right)^2 dt\right\|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}\||A|^2 + |A^*|^2|.$$

This inequality can be written in the following form:

$$w^2(A) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left\|\left(\frac{|A|^2 + |A^*|^2}{2}\right)^2 + w^4(A)I + w^2(A)\left(\frac{|A|^2 + |A^*|^2}{2}\right)\right\|^{1/2} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\leq \left\|\frac{|A|^2 + |A^*|^2}{2}\right\|. \quad (3.6)$$

Remark 3.6. We observe that the inequality (3.5) is sharper than the inequality (1.2), obtained by Kittaneh [12, Th. 1]. We also remark that the inequality in Corollary 3.5 improves on the inequality (1.4), obtained by Bhunia and Paul [8, Cor. 2.5].

The following theorem again involves operator convex function.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let f be non-negative increasing operator convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f(w^2(A)) &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 f \left((1-t) \left(\alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A|^2 \right) + tw^2(A)I \right) dt \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| f \left(\alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A|^2 \right) \right\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Following [8, Cor. 2.15] we have,

$$w^2(A) \leq \left\| \alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A|^2 \right\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.7)$$

Proceeding similarly as in Theorem 3.4 we get the required inequality. \square

Considering $f(t) = t^2$ in Theorem 3.7, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} w^2(A) &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 \left((1-t) \left(\alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A|^2 \right) + tw^2(A)I \right)^2 dt \right\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\| \alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A|^2 \right\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

We next prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.9. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let f be non-negative increasing operator convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f(w^2(A)) &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 f \left((1-t) \left(\alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 \right) + tw^2(A)I \right) dt \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| f \left(\alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 \right) \right\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Following [8, Cor. 2.15] we have,

$$w^2(A) \leq \left\| \alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 \right\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.8)$$

The proof then follows by using the inequality (3.8) and proceeding similarly as in Theorem 3.4. \square

By considering $f(t) = t^2$ in Theorem 3.9, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} w^2(A) &\leq \left\| \int_0^1 \left((1-t) \left(\alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 \right) + tw^2(A)I \right) dt \right\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\| \alpha \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + (1-\alpha)|A^*|^2 \right\|, \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for $\alpha = 1$

$$w^2(A) \leq \left\| \int_0^1 \left((1-t) \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 + tw^2(A)I \right) dt \right\|^{1/2} \leq \left\| \frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right\|^2.$$

This inequality can be written in the following form:

$$w^2(A) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left\| \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^4 + w^4(A)I + w^2(A) \left(\frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right)^2 \right\|^{1/2} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\leq \left\| \frac{|A| + |A^*|}{2} \right\|^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Remark 3.11. We note that the inequality (3.9) is sharper than the inequality (1.3), obtained Kittaneh [13, Th. 1]. The inequality (3.5) follows from the inequality (3.9) by using the operator convexity of the function $f(t) = t^2$. So, the inequality (3.9) is also a refinement of the inequality (1.2), obtained by Kittaneh [12, Th. 1].

Next we prove the following norm inequality.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive and let f be non-negative increasing operator convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$f(\|AD\|) \leq \left\| \int_0^1 f \left((1-t) \left(\frac{A+D}{2} \right)^2 + t\|AD\|I \right) dt \right\| \leq \left\| f \left(\left(\frac{A+D}{2} \right)^2 \right) \right\|.$$

Proof. Using the inequality (1.8) and proceeding similarly as in Theorem 3.4, we get the required inequality. \square

In particular, if we consider $f(t) = t^2$ in Theorem 3.12, then we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive. Then*

$$\|AD\| \leq \left\| \int_0^1 \left((1-t) \left(\frac{A+D}{2} \right)^2 + t\|AD\|I \right) dt \right\|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|A+D\|^2.$$

This inequality can be written in the following form:

$$\|AD\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left\| \left(\frac{A+D}{2} \right)^4 + \|AD\|^2 I + \|AD\| \left(\frac{A+D}{2} \right)^2 \right\|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \|A+D\|^2.$$

Remark 3.14. Clearly, the inequality in Corollary 3.13 improves on the inequality (1.8), obtained by Bhatia and Kittaneh [3].

The final result of this paper is an improvement of the norm inequality (1.7), obtained by Bhatia and Kittaneh [4].

Theorem 3.15. *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and let f be non-negative increasing operator convex function on $[0, \infty)$. Then*

$$f(\|AD^*\|) \leq \left\| \int_0^1 f \left((1-t) \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2} \right) + t\|AD^*\|I \right) dt \right\| \leq \left\| f \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2} \right) \right\|.$$

Proof. Using the inequality (1.7) and proceeding similarly as in Theorem 3.4, we get the required inequality. \square

In particular, if we consider $f(t) = t^2$ in Theorem 3.15, then we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.16. *Let $A, D \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then*

$$\|AD^*\| \leq \left\| \int_0^1 \left((1-t) \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2} \right) + t\|AD^*\|I \right)^2 dt \right\|^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2} \| |A|^2 + |D|^2 \|.$$

This inequality can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \|AD^*\| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left\| \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2} \right)^2 + \|AD^*\|^2 I + \|AD^*\| \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2} \right) \right\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|A^*A + D^*D\|. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.17. We would like to remark that the inequality in Corollary 3.16 refines the inequality (1.7) obtained by Bhatia and Kittaneh [4]. Consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then by elementary calculations we get,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left\| \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2} \right)^2 + \|AD^*\|^2 I + \|AD^*\| \left(\frac{|A|^2 + |D|^2}{2} \right) \right\|^{1/2} = \sqrt{\frac{61}{12}} \approx 2.2546$$

and $\frac{1}{2} \|A^*A + D^*D\| = \frac{5}{2}$. This shows that the inequality obtained in Corollary 3.16 is a proper refinement of the inequality (1.7).

REFERENCES

1. S. Bag, P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Bounds of numerical radius of bounded linear operator using t -Aluthge transform, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 23(3) (2020) 991-1004.
2. R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, ISBN 0-387-94846-5.
3. R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, Notes on matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 308 (2000) 203-211.
4. R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, On the singular values of a product of operators. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 11 (1990), 272-277.

5. P. Bhunia, S. Bag and K. Paul, Numerical radius inequalities and its applications in estimation of zeros of polynomials, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 573 (2019) 166-177.
6. P. Bhunia, K. Paul and R.K. Nayak, Sharp inequalities for the numerical radius of Hilbert space operators and operator matrices, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* (2020), Accepted.
7. P. Bhunia, S. Bag and K. Paul, Bounds for zeros of a polynomial using numerical radius of Hilbertian space operators, (2020), arXiv:1906.07363v2 [math.FA].
8. P. Bhunia and K. Paul, Proper improvement of well-known numerical radius inequalities and their applications, (2020), arXiv:2009.03206 [math.FA].
9. M.L. Buzano, Generalizzazione della diseguaglianza di Cauchy-Schwarz, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. e Politech. Torino* 31(1971/73) (1974) 405-409.
10. S.S. Dragomir, Hermite-Hadamard's type inequalities for operator convex functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (3) (2011) 766-772.
11. K. Davidson and S.C. Power, Best approximation in \mathbb{C}^* -algebras, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 368 (1986) 43-62.
12. F. Kittaneh, Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators, *Studia Math.* 168(1) (2005), 73-80.
13. F. Kittaneh, Numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix, *Studia Math.* 158(1) (2003), 11-17.
14. F. Kittaneh, Norm inequalities for sums of positive operators, *J. Oper. Theory* 48 (2002) 95-103.
15. M.E. Omidvar and H.R. Moradi, Better bounds on the numerical radii of Hilbert space operators, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 604 (2020) 265-277.

(BHUNIA) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA 700032,
WEST BENGAL, INDIA

Email address: pintubhunia5206@gmail.com

(PAUL) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JADAVPUR UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA 700032,
WEST BENGAL, INDIA

Email address: kalloldada@gmail.com;kallol.paul@jadavpuruniversity.in