

A DIMENSION DROP PHENOMENON OF FRACTAL CUBES

LIANG-YI HUANG AND HUI RAO*

ABSTRACT. Let E be a metric space. We introduce a notion of connectedness index of E , which is the Hausdorff dimension of the union of non-trivial connected components of E . We show that the connectedness index of a fractal cube E is strictly less than the Hausdorff dimension of E provided that E possesses a trivial connected component. Hence the connectedness index is a new Lipschitz invariant. Moreover, we investigate the relation between the connectedness index and topological Hausdorff dimension.

1. Introduction

An *iterated function system* (IFS) is a family of contractions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^N$ on \mathbb{R}^d , and the *attractor* of the IFS is the unique nonempty compact set K satisfying $K = \bigcup_{j=1}^N \varphi_j(K)$, and it is called a *self-similar set* [5]. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_N\} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^d$, which we call a digit set. Denote by $\#\mathcal{D} := N$ the *cardinality* of \mathcal{D} . Then n and \mathcal{D} determine an IFS $\{\varphi_j(z) = \frac{1}{n}(z + d_j)\}_{j=1}^N$, whose attractor $E = E(n, \mathcal{D})$ satisfies the set equation

$$(1.1) \quad E = \frac{1}{n}(E + \mathcal{D}).$$

We call E a *fractal cube* [12], especially, when $d = 2$, we call E a *fractal square* [6].

There are some works on topological and metric properties of fractal cubes. Whyburn [11] studied the homeomorphism classification, Bonk and Merenkov [2] studied the quasi-symmetric classification. Lau, Luo and Rao [6] studied when a fractal square is totally disconnected. Xi and Xiong [12] gave a complete classification of Lipschitz equivalence of fractal cubes which are totally disconnected. Recently, the studies of [10, 13] focus on the Lipschitz equivalence of fractal squares which are not totally disconnected.

Topological Hausdorff dimension is a new fractal dimension introduced by Buczolic and Elekes [1]. It is shown in [1] that for any set K we always have $\dim_{tH} K \leq \dim_H K$, where \dim_{tH} and \dim_H denote the topological Hausdorff dimension and Hausdorff dimension respectively. Ma and Zhang [8] calculated topological Hausdorff dimensions of a class of fractal squares.

Date: October 12, 2020.

The work is supported by NSFS Nos. 11971195 and 11601172.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 28A80, 26A16

Key words and phrases: fractal cube, connected component, topological Hausdorff dimension

* The correspondence author.

Let K be a metric space. A point $x \in K$ is called a *trivial point* of K if $\{x\}$ is a connected component of K . Let $\Lambda(K)$ be the collection of trivial points in K . Denote

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{I}_c(K) := \dim_H K \setminus \Lambda(K),$$

and we call it the *connectedness index* of K . It is obvious that $\mathcal{I}_c(K) \leq \dim_H K$. Clearly, the connectedness index is a Lipschitz invariant. The main results of the present paper are as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $E = E(n, \mathcal{D})$ be a d -dimensional fractal cube. If E has a trivial point, then $\mathcal{I}_c(E) < \dim_H E$.*

However, Theorem 1.1 is not valid for general self-similar sets, even if the self-similar sets satisfy the open set condition.

Example 1.1. Let $Q = \{0\} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} [\frac{1}{2^{2k+1}}, \frac{1}{2^{2k}}] \right)$. Observe that $Q = \frac{Q}{4} \cup [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and $\frac{Q}{2} \cup Q = [0, 1]$. Then Q is a self-similar set satisfying the equation

$$Q = \frac{Q}{4} \cup \left(\frac{Q}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \cup \left(\frac{Q}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

The set Q has only one trivial point, that is 0. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_c(Q) = \dim_H Q = 1$. Figure 1 illustrates Q' , a two dimensional generalization of Q . Similarly, Q' is a self-similar set, and the unique trivial point of Q' is $\mathbf{0}$.





FIGURE 1. The self-similar set Q' .

Using Theorem 3.7 of [1] we show the following.

Theorem 1.2. *For a non-empty σ -compact metric space K , we have $\dim_{tH} K \leq \mathcal{I}_c(K)$.*

Zhang [14] asked when $\dim_{tH} E = \dim_H E$, where E is a fractal square. According to [14], a digit set \mathcal{D} is called a *Latin digit set*, if every row and every column has the same number of elements (see Figure 2). For a fractal square $E = E(n, \mathcal{D})$, Zhang showed that if $\dim_{tH} E = \dim_H E$, then either $E = [0, 1] \times C$, or $E = C \times [0, 1]$ for some $C \subset [0, 1]$, or \mathcal{D} is a Latin digit set.

As a corollary of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we obtain a new necessary condition for $\dim_H E = \dim_{tH} E$.



(a) The digit set of L . (b) The Latin fractal square L .

FIGURE 2. It is shown in [14] that $\log 12/\log 6 = \dim_{tH} L < \dim_H L = \log 24/\log 6$. While by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we directly have $\dim_{tH} L < \dim_H L$.

Corollary 1.1. *Let E be a d -dimensional fractal cube. If $\dim_H E = \dim_{tH} E$, then E has no trivial point.*


Remark 1.1. Another application of Theorem 1.1 is on the gap sequences of fractal cubes, a Lipschitz equivalent invariant introduced by Rao, Ruan and Yang [9]. For a fractal cube K , let $\{g_m(K)\}_{m \geq 1}$ be the gap sequence. Using Theorem 3.1 of the present paper, it is proved in [4] that if K has trivial point, then $\{g_m(K)\}_{m \geq 1}$ is equivalent to $\{m^{-1/\gamma}\}_{m \geq 1}$, where $\gamma = \dim_H K$.

Finally, we calculate the connectedness indexes of two fractal squares in Figure 3, and illustrate the application to Lipschitz classification.

Example 1.2. Let K and K' be two fractal squares indicated by Figure 3. It is seen that $\dim_H K = \dim_H K' = \frac{\log 14}{\log 5}$. By Theorem 1.3 of [8], one can obtain that $\dim_{tH} K = \dim_{tH} K' = 1 + \frac{\log 2}{\log 5}$. We will show in section 5 that

$$\mathcal{I}_c(K) = \frac{\log(8 + \sqrt{132}/2)}{\log 5} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{I}_c(K') = \frac{\log 13}{\log 5}.$$

So K and K' are not Lipschitz equivalent.



(a) The digit set of K . (b) The digit set of K' .

FIGURE 3.

This article is organized as follows. In section 2, we recall some basic facts of r -face of the polytope $[0, 1]^d$. In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1. In section 4, we prove Theorem 1.2. In section 5, we give the details of Example 1.2.

2. Preliminaries on r -faces of $[0, 1]^d$

We recall some notions about convex polytopes, see [15]. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex polytope, let F be a convex subset of C . The *affine hull* of F , denoted by $\text{aff}(F)$, is the smallest affine subspace containing F . We say F is a *face* of C , if any closed line segment in C with a relative interior in F has both endpoints in F .

The *dimension* of an affine subspace is defined to be the dimension of the corresponding linear vector space. The *dimension* of a face F , denoted by $\dim F$, is the dimension of its affine hull. Moreover, F is called an r -*face* of C , if F is a face of C with dimension r . We take it by convention that C is a d -face of itself if $\dim C = d$. For $z \in C$, a face F of C is called the *containing face* of z if z is a relative interior point of F .

Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^d . The following facts about the r -faces of $[0, 1]^d$ are obvious, see Chapter 2 of [15].

Lemma 2.1. (i) *Let $A \cup B = \{1, \dots, d\}$ be a partition with $\#A = r$. Then the set*

$$(2.1) \quad F = \left\{ \sum_{j \in A} c_j \mathbf{e}_j; c_j \in [0, 1] \right\} + b$$

is an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$ if and only if $b \in T$, where

$$(2.2) \quad T := \left\{ \sum_{j \in B} \varepsilon_j \mathbf{e}_j; \varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\} \right\};$$

(ii) *For any r -face F of $[0, 1]^d$, there exists a partition $A \cup B = \{1, \dots, d\}$ with $\#A = r$ such that F can be written as (2.1).*

We will call $F_0 = \left\{ \sum_{j \in A} c_j \mathbf{e}_j; c_j \in [0, 1] \right\}$ a *basic r -face related to the partition $A \cup B$* . We give a partition $B = B_0 \cup B_1$ according to b by setting

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} B_0 &= \{j \in B; \text{the } j\text{-th coordinate of } b \text{ is } 0\}, \\ B_1 &= \{j \in B; \text{the } j\text{-th coordinate of } b \text{ is } 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $x = \sum_{j \in A} \alpha_j \mathbf{e}_j + \sum_{i \in B} \beta_i \mathbf{e}_i \in [0, 1]^d$, we define two projection maps as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \pi_A(x) = \sum_{j \in A} \alpha_j \mathbf{e}_j, \quad \pi_B(x) = \sum_{i \in B} \beta_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

If F is an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$, we denote by $\overset{\circ}{F}$ the relative interior of F .

Lemma 2.2 (Chapter 2 of [15]). *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a polytope.*

- (i) *If G and F are faces of C and $F \subset G$, then F is a face of G .*
- (ii) *If G is a face of C , then any face of G is also a face of C .*

The following lemma will be needed in section 3.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $F = F_0 + b$ be an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$ given by (2.1). Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then $\overset{\circ}{F} \cap (u + [0, 1]^d) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $u = b - b'$ for some $b' \in T$, where T is defined in (2.2).*

Proof. “ \Leftarrow ”: Suppose $b' \in T$, then $F - (b - b') = F_0 + b'$, and it is an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$ by Lemma 2.1 (i). Applying a translation $b - b'$ we see that $F \subset (b - b') + [0, 1]^d$, which completes the proof of the sufficiency.

“ \Rightarrow ”: Suppose $\overset{\circ}{F} \cap (u + [0, 1]^d) \neq \emptyset$. Let z_0 be a point in the intersection and let $F' = [0, 1]^d \cap (u + [0, 1]^d)$. Then F' is a face of both $[0, 1]^d$ and $u + [0, 1]^d$. So we have $F \subset F'$ since F' contains z_0 , a relative interior point of F . Hence F is an r -face of F' by Lemma 2.2 (i). It follows that $F - u$ is an r -face of $F' - u$.

Notice that F' is a face of $u + [0, 1]^d$, then $F' - u$ is a face of $[0, 1]^d$. By Lemma 2.2 (ii), $F - u = F_0 + (b - u)$ is an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$. By Lemma 2.1 (i) we have $b - u \in T$. \square

3. Trivial points of fractal cubes

Let $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Denote by Σ^∞ and Σ^k the sets of infinite words and words of length k over Σ respectively. Let $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Sigma^k$ be the set of all finite words. For any $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k \in \Sigma^k$, let $\varphi_\sigma = \varphi_{\sigma_1} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{\sigma_k}$.

In this section, we always assume that $E = E(n, \mathcal{D})$ is a d -dimensional fractal cube defined in (1.1) with IFS $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \Sigma}$. In the following, we always assume that

For a point $z \in E$, we say F is the containing face of z means that F is a face of the polytope $[0, 1]^d$ and it is the containing face of z .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $z_0 \in E$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma^k$ for some $k > 0$. Let F be the containing face of z_0 , let F' be the containing face of $\varphi_\sigma(z_0)$. Then either $\varphi_\sigma(z_0) \in F$ or $\dim F' \geq \dim F + 1$.*

Proof. Let $A \cup B$ be the partition in Lemma 2.1 (i) which defines F . By the definition of containing face, we have $z_0 \in \overset{\circ}{F}$. Suppose that $\varphi_\sigma(z_0) \notin F$.

Take any point $x \in F \setminus \{z_0\}$ and let I be the closed line segment in F such that x is an endpoint of I and z_0 is a relative interior point of I . It is clear that $\varphi_\sigma(I) \subset \varphi_\sigma([0, 1]^d) \subset [0, 1]^d$. Since $\varphi_\sigma(z_0) \in F'$, we have $\varphi_\sigma(I) \subset F'$. By the arbitrary of x we deduce that $\varphi_\sigma(F) \subset F'$, hence

$$(3.1) \quad \dim F' \geq \dim \varphi_\sigma(F) = \dim F.$$

We claim that F' is not an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$. This claim together with (3.1) imply $\dim F' \geq \dim F + 1$.

Suppose on the contrary that F' is an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$. Then there exists a partition $A' \cup B' = \{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $F' = F'_0 + b'$, where $F'_0 = \{\sum_{j \in A'} c_j \mathbf{e}_j; c_j \in [0, 1]\}$ and

$b' \in \{\sum_{j \in B'} \varepsilon_j \mathbf{e}_j; \varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}\}$. Since

$$\frac{F_0}{n^k} + \frac{b}{n^k} + \varphi_\sigma(\mathbf{0}) = \varphi_\sigma(F) \subset F' = F'_0 + b',$$

we have $F'_0 = F_0$. Hence $A' = A$ and $B' = B$. It follows that

$$(3.2) \quad b' = \pi_B(\varphi_\sigma(z_0)) = \frac{b}{n^k} + \pi_B(\varphi_\sigma(\mathbf{0})) \in T.$$

Notice that

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_B(\varphi_\omega(\mathbf{0})) \in \left\{ \sum_{j \in B} c_j \mathbf{e}_j; c_j \in [0, \frac{n^k - 1}{n^k}] \right\}$$

for any $\omega \in \Sigma^k$, which together with (3.2) imply that $\pi_B(\varphi_\sigma(\mathbf{0})) = \frac{(n^k - 1)}{n^k} b$. Hence $b' = b$ and it follows that $\varphi_\sigma(z_0) \in F$, a contradiction. The claim is confirmed and the lemma is proven. \square

For each $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k \in \Sigma^k$, we call $\varphi_\sigma([0, 1]^d) \subset E_k$ a k -th cell of E_k . Denote

$$(3.4) \quad \Sigma_\sigma = \{ \omega \in \Sigma^k; \pi_A(\varphi_\omega(\mathbf{0})) = \pi_A(\varphi_\sigma(\mathbf{0})) \}$$

and set

$$(3.5) \quad H_\sigma = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Sigma_\sigma} \varphi_\omega([0, 1]^d).$$

Indeed, H_σ is the union of all k -th cells having the same projection with $\varphi_\sigma([0, 1]^d)$ under π_A . From now on, we always assume that

$$(3.6) \quad z_0 \text{ is a trivial point of } E \text{ and } F \text{ is the containing face of } z_0.$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $k > 0$, fix $\sigma \in \Sigma^k$. If H_σ is not connected or $H_\sigma \cap F = \emptyset$, then there exists $\omega^* \in \Sigma_\sigma$ such that $\varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \notin F$ and it is a trivial point of E .*

Proof. Let $\dim F = r$ and let $A \cup B$ be the partition in Lemma 2.1 (i) which defines F .

We claim that if $H_\sigma \cap F \neq \emptyset$, then there is only one k -th cell in H_σ which intersects F . Actually, since $\varphi_\omega(\mathbf{0}) \in [0, \frac{n^k - 1}{n^k}]^d$ for any $\omega \in \Sigma^k$, if $\varphi_\omega([0, 1]^d) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ for some $\omega \in \Sigma_\sigma$, then similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 we must have $\pi_B(\varphi_\omega(\mathbf{0})) = \frac{(n^k - 1)}{n^k} b$. On the other hand, $\pi_A(\varphi_\omega(\mathbf{0})) = \pi_A(\varphi_\sigma(\mathbf{0}))$, so ω is unique in Σ_σ . Furthermore, $\varphi_\omega(z_0) \in F$ in this scenario.

By the assumption of the lemma and the claim above, there is a connected component U of H_σ such that $U \cap F = \emptyset$. Let $W = \{ \omega \in \Sigma_\sigma; \varphi_\omega([0, 1]^d) \subset U \}$. For each $\omega \in W$, write

$$\pi_B(\varphi_\omega(\mathbf{0})) = \sum_{j \in B_0} \alpha_j(\omega) \mathbf{e}_j + \sum_{j \in B_1} \beta_j(\omega) \mathbf{e}_j$$

First, we take the subset $W' \subset W$ by

$$W' = \left\{ \omega \in W; \sum_{j \in B_0} \alpha_j(\omega) \text{ attains the minimum} \right\}.$$

Then we take $\omega^* \in W'$ such that

$$\sum_{j \in B_1} \beta_j(\omega^*) = \max \left\{ \sum_{j \in B_1} \beta_j(\omega); \omega \in W' \right\}.$$

Since $U \cap F = \emptyset$, we have $\varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \notin F$.

Let us check that $\varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0)$ is a trivial point of E . To this end, we only need to show that

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \notin \varphi_{\omega}([0, 1]^d),$$

where $\omega \in \Sigma^k \setminus \{\omega^*\}$. Notice that $\varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \in \varphi_{\omega^*}(\mathring{F})$, it is clear that (3.7) holds for any $\omega \notin \Sigma_{\sigma}$. Since U is a connected component of H_{σ} , we see that (3.7) holds for any $\omega \notin W$.

Now suppose $\varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \in \varphi_{\omega}([0, 1]^d)$ for some $\omega \in W$, then $\varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \in \varphi_{\omega^*}([0, 1]^d) \cap \varphi_{\omega}([0, 1]^d)$. By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$\pi_B(\varphi_{\omega}(z_0)) - \pi_B(\varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0)) = \pi_B(\varphi_{\omega}(\mathbf{0})) - \pi_B(\varphi_{\omega^*}(\mathbf{0})) \in \frac{b - b'}{n^k},$$

where $b' \in T$. By the definition of B_0 and B_1 in (2.3), we know that the j -th coordinate of $b - b'$ is 0 or -1 if $j \in B_0$ and is 0 or 1 if $j \in B_1$. According to the choosing process of ω^* , on one hand, we have $\sum_{j \in B_0} (\alpha_j(\omega) - \alpha_j(\omega^*)) \geq 0$. So $\alpha_j(\omega) = \alpha_j(\omega^*)$ for $j \in B_0$, that is to say, $\omega \in W'$. On the other hand, since $\omega \in W'$, we have $\sum_{j \in B_1} (\beta_j(\omega) - \beta_j(\omega^*)) \leq 0$, which forces that $\beta_j(\omega) = \beta_j(\omega^*)$ for $j \in B_1$. Therefore, $b = b'$ and hence $\omega = \omega^*$. This finishes the proof. \square

For $k > 0$, denote $\mathcal{D}_k = \mathcal{D} + n\mathcal{D} + \dots + n^{k-1}\mathcal{D}$. We call $E_k = ([0, 1]^d + \mathcal{D}_k)/n^k$ the k -th approximation of E . Clearly, $E_k \subset E_{k-1}$ for all $k \geq 1$ and $E = \bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k$. For $\sigma = (\sigma_{\ell})_{\ell \geq 1} \in \Sigma^{\infty}$, we denote $\sigma|_k = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k$ for $k > 0$. We say σ is a coding of a point $x \in E$ if $\{x\} = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k}(E)$.

Definition 3.1. Let U be a connected component of E_k , we call U a k -th island if $U \cap \partial[0, 1]^d = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.3. If E_k contains a k -th island for some $k > 0$, then E has a trivial point.

Proof. Since we can regard $E(n, \mathcal{D})$ as $E(n^k, \mathcal{D}_k)$, without loss of generality, we assume that E_1 has an island and denote it by U . Write $U = \bigcup_{j \in J} \varphi_j([0, 1]^d)$, where $J \subset \Sigma$. We call a letter $j \in J$ a special letter. A sequence $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \geq 1} \in \Sigma^{\infty}$ is called a special sequence, if special letters occur infinitely many times in σ .

Let

$$(3.8) \quad P = \{x \in E; \text{ at least one coding of } x \text{ is a special sequence}\}.$$

We claim that every point in P is a trivial point. Let $z \in P$ and let $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be a coding of z such that σ is a special sequence. Suppose σ_k is a special letter, it is easy to see that $z \in \varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k}([0, 1]^d) \subset \varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{k-1}}(U)$ and $\varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{k-1}}(U)$ is a connected component of E_k with $\text{diam}(\varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{k-1}}(U)) \leq \sqrt{d}/n^{k-2}$. Notice that special letters occur infinitely often in σ , we conclude that z is a trivial point. \square

Theorem 3.1. Let E be a fractal cube with $\dim \text{aff}(E) = d$. Then E has a trivial point if and only if E_k contains a k -th island for some $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $z_0 \in E$ be a trivial point. We claim that there exists another trivial point $z^* \in E \cap (0, 1)^d$, that is, the dimension of the containing face of z^* is d .

Suppose F is the containing face of z_0 with $\dim F = r$, where $0 \leq r \leq d - 1$. Let $A \cup B$ be the partition in Lemma 2.1 (i) which defines F . Let $\sigma = (\sigma_\ell)_{\ell \geq 1} \in \Sigma^\infty$ be a coding of z_0 . Then for each $k > 0$, $z_0 \in H_{\sigma|_k} \cap F$, where $H_{\sigma|_k}$ is defined in (3.5). We will show by two cases that E contains another trivial point of the form $\varphi_\omega(z_0)$, $\omega \in \Sigma^*$, and it is not in F .

Case 1. $H_{\sigma|_k}$ is not connected for some $k > 0$.

By Lemma 3.2, there exists $\omega^* \in \Sigma_{\sigma|_k}$ such that $z_1 = \varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \notin F$ is a trivial point of E .

Case 2. $H_{\sigma|_k}$ is connected for all $k > 0$.

Let $p > 0$ be an integer such that C_p is the connected component of E_p containing z_0 and $\text{diam}(C_p) < \frac{1}{3}$. It is clear that $H_{\sigma|_p} \subset C_p$, so we have $\text{diam}(H_{\sigma|_p}) < \frac{1}{3}$. Since $\dim \text{aff}(E) = d$, there exist $j \in \Sigma$ such that

$$(3.9) \quad \varphi_j([0, 1]^d) \cap F = \emptyset.$$

We consider the set $H_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p}$. Let $\Sigma_j = \{i \in \Sigma; \pi_A(\varphi_i(\mathbf{0})) = \pi_A(\varphi_j(\mathbf{0}))\}$. It is easy to see that $H_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} = \bigcup_{i \in \Sigma_j} \varphi_i(H_{\sigma|_p})$.

If $\#\Sigma_j = 1$, then $H_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} \cap F = \varphi_j(H_{\sigma|_p}) \cap F = \emptyset$. If $\#\Sigma_j > 1$, we have $\varphi_i(H_{\sigma|_p}) \cap \varphi_{i'}(H_{\sigma|_p}) = \emptyset$ for any $i, i' \in \Sigma_j$ since $\text{diam}(H_{\sigma|_p}) < \frac{1}{3}$. Hence $H_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p}$ is not connected. So by Lemma 3.2, there exists $\omega^* \in \Sigma_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p}$ such that $\varphi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \notin F$ and it is a trivial point of E .

Then by Lemma 3.1, the containing face of this trivial point has dimension no less than $r + 1$. Inductively, we can finally obtain a trivial point z^* whose containing face is $[0, 1]^d$. The claim is proved.

Now suppose on the contrary that E_k contains no k -th island for all $k \geq 1$. We will derive a contradiction. Let $z^* \in E \cap (0, 1)^d$ be a trivial point. Let U_k be the connected component of E_k containing z^* , then we have $U_k \cap \partial[0, 1]^d \neq \emptyset$. By the Weierstrass-Balzano property of the Hausdorff metric, there exists a subsequence k_j such that U_{k_j} converge. We denote U^* to be the limit. On one hand, U^* is connected since U_{k_j} is connected for each k_j . On the other hand, $z^* \in U^*$ and $U^* \cap \partial[0, 1]^d \neq \emptyset$. So U^* is a non-trivial connected component of E containing z^* , a contradiction. This together with Lemma 3.3 finish the proof of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. First, let us assume $\dim \text{aff}(E) = d$. Since E contains a trivial point, by Theorem 3.1, there exists $k > 0$ such that E_k contains a k -th island. Without lose of generality, suppose E_1 has an island C . Write $C = \bigcup_{j \in J} \varphi_j([0, 1]^d)$, where $J \subset \Sigma$. Let P be defined as (3.8). It has been proved in Lemma 3.3 that every point in P is a trivial point.

We denote $P^c = E \setminus P$. Let $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D} \setminus \{d_j; j \in J\}$ and let E' be the fractal cube determined by n and \mathcal{D}' . It is easy to see that

$$P^c = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k \in \Sigma^k, \sigma_k \in J} \varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k}(E') \subset \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma^*} \varphi_\sigma(E').$$

Consequently, $\dim_H P^c \leq \dim_H E' = \frac{\log \#\mathcal{D}'}{\log n} < \dim_H E$. Notice that $E \setminus \Lambda(E) \subset P^c$, we have $\mathcal{I}_c(E) = \dim_H E \setminus \Lambda(E) < \dim_H E$.

Next, assume that $\dim \text{aff}(E) < d$. Then there exist $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad \langle x, \alpha \rangle = c, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. Since $\frac{x+h}{n} \in E$ for any $x \in E$ and any $h \in \mathcal{D}$, we deduce that

$$(3.11) \quad \langle h, \alpha \rangle = (n-1)c.$$

Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we define a map by $\pi(x) = (x_2, \dots, x_d)$. Denote $\tilde{\mathcal{D}} = \{\pi(h); h \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and let \tilde{E} be the fractal cube determined by n and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Define $g: \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$g(x_2, \dots, x_d) = (c - \langle \pi(x), \pi(\alpha) \rangle, \pi(x)).$$

According to (3.10) and (3.11), one can show that $E = g(\tilde{E})$. So we have $\mathcal{I}_c(E) = \mathcal{I}_c(\tilde{E})$ and $\dim_H E = \dim_H \tilde{E}$.

Therefore, by the first part of the proof and induction we have $\mathcal{I}_c(E) < \dim_H E$. This finish the proof. \square

4. Application to topological Hausdorff dimension

The topological Hausdorff dimension is defined as follows:

Definition 4.1 ([1]). Let X be a metric space. The topological Hausdorff dimension of X is defined as

$$(4.1) \quad \dim_{tH} X = \inf_{\mathcal{U} \text{ is a basis of } X} \left(1 + \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} \dim_H \partial U \right),$$

where $\dim_H \partial U$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of U and we adopt the convention that $\dim_{tH} \emptyset = \dim_H \emptyset = -1$.

The following theorem gives an alternative definition of the topological Hausdorff dimension.

Theorem 4.1 (Theorem 3.7 of [1]). *For a non-empty σ -compact metric space X , it holds that*

$$\dim_{tH} X = \min\{h; \quad \exists S \subset X \text{ such that } \dim_H S \leq h-1 \\ \text{and } X \setminus S \text{ is totally disconnected}\}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $G = X \setminus \Lambda(X)$. Clearly $X \setminus G = \Lambda(X)$ is totally disconnected. Let $t = \dim_{tH} G$. By Theorem 4.1, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $S \subset G$ such that $G \setminus S$ is totally disconnected, and

$$\dim_H S + 1 < t + \delta.$$

We can see that $X \setminus S = \Lambda(X) \cup (G \setminus S)$ is also totally disconnected; for otherwise there is a connected component of E connecting a point $x \in \Lambda(X)$ and a point

$y \in G \setminus S$. Again by Theorem 4.1, $\dim_{tH} X \leq \dim_H S + 1 < t + \delta$. Since δ is arbitrary, we have $\dim_{tH} X \leq \dim_{tH} G$. Therefore,

$$\dim_{tH} X \leq \dim_{tH} G \leq \dim_H G = \mathcal{I}_c(X).$$

□

5. Calculation of $\mathcal{I}_c(K)$ in Example 1.2

We identify \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C} . Let $n = 5$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \dots, d_{14}\}$ be the digit set illustrated in Figure 3 (a), denote $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, 14\}$. Let K be the fractal square determined by n and \mathcal{D} , and let $\{\varphi_j = \frac{z+d_j}{5}\}_{j \in \Sigma}$ be the IFS of K . Denote

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{XX} &= \{j \in \Sigma; d_j \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{i, 2i, 3i\}\}; \\ J_{XY} &= \{j \in \Sigma; d_j \in \{i, 2i, 3i\}\}; \\ J_{YX} &= \{j \in \Sigma; d_j \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{i, 2i, 3i, 4, 4+4i\}\}; \\ J_{YY} &= \{j \in \Sigma; d_j \in \{i, 2i, 3i, 4, 4+4i\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

see Figure 4. Let

$$X = \left(\bigcup_{j \in J_{XX}} \varphi_j(X) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \in J_{XY}} \varphi_j(Y) \right), \quad Y = \left(\bigcup_{j \in J_{YX}} \varphi_j(X) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j \in J_{YY}} \varphi_j(Y) \right).$$

Then X and Y are graph-directed sets (see [7]). The directed graph G is given in Figure 5.

X	X	X	X	X
Y				
Y				X
Y				
X	X	X	X	X

(a) The first iteration of X .

X	X	X	X	Y
Y				
Y				
Y				
X	X	X	X	Y

(b) The first iteration of Y .

FIGURE 4.




FIGURE 5. The directed graph G . Each $d \in J_{XY}$ defined an edge from X to Y , and the corresponding map of this edge is $(z + d)/5$. The same hold for J_{XX} , J_{YX} and J_{YY} .

For each $\ell > 0$, let $J_{YX}^{(\ell)}$ be the collection of paths with length ℓ which start from Y and end at X in the graph G . Similarly, we can define $J_{XX}^{(\ell)}$, $J_{XY}^{(\ell)}$ and $J_{YY}^{(\ell)}$. Let $K_\ell = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma^\ell} \varphi_\sigma([0, 1]^2)$ and $Y_\ell = \bigcup_{\sigma \in J_{YX}^{(\ell)} \cup J_{YY}^{(\ell)}} \varphi_\sigma([0, 1]^2)$ be the ℓ -th approximations of K and Y respectively. Then $K = \bigcap_{\ell > 0} K_\ell$ and $Y = \bigcap_{\ell > 0} Y_\ell$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let C be the connected component of K containing $\mathbf{0}$. Then*

- (i) $C = Y$;
- (ii) *for any non-trivial connected component $C' \neq C$ of K , there exists $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ such that $C' = \varphi_\omega(C)$.*

Proof. (i) Let C_ℓ be the connected component of K_ℓ containing $\mathbf{0}$. We only need to show that $C_\ell = Y_\ell$ for all $\ell > 0$. Now we define a label map h on the cells in C_ℓ as follows. We set $h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell) = X$ if there exists $\omega_1 \dots \omega_\ell \in \Sigma^\ell$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \varphi_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_\ell}([0, 1]^2) = \varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell}([0, 1]^2) + \frac{1}{n^\ell} \in C_\ell,$$

otherwise set $h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell) = Y$. We will prove by induction that

$$(5.3) \quad \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \in \begin{cases} J_{YX}^{(\ell)}, & \text{if } h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell) = X, \\ J_{YY}^{(\ell)}, & \text{if } h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell) = Y. \end{cases}$$

For $\ell = 1$, (5.3) holds by (5.1). Assume that (5.3) holds for ℓ .

Case 1. $h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell) = X$.

In this case, (5.2) holds, which means that the right neighbor of $\varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell}([0, 1]^2)$ belongs to C_ℓ . If $h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1}) = X$, then the right neighbor of $\varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1}}([0, 1]^2)$ belongs to $C_{\ell+1}$ and we have $\sigma_{\ell+1} \in J_{XX}$. Hence $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1} \in J_{YX}^{(\ell+1)}$. Similarly, if $h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1}) = Y$, then $\sigma_{\ell+1} \in J_{XY}$ and $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1} \in J_{YY}^{(\ell+1)}$.

Case 2. $h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell) = Y$.

In this case, the right neighbor of $\varphi_{\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell}([0, 1]^2)$ is not contained in C_ℓ . By a similar argument as *Case 1*, we have $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1} \in J_{YX}^{(\ell+1)}$ if $h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1}) = X$, and $\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1} \in J_{YY}^{(\ell+1)}$ if $h(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_\ell \sigma_{\ell+1}) = Y$.

Therefore, (5.3) holds for $\ell + 1$. Clearly, (5.3) implies that $C_\ell = Y_\ell$. Statement (i) is proved.

(ii) Notice that $\varphi_i(K) \cap \varphi_j(K) \subset C$ for each $i, j \in \Sigma$ with $i \neq j$. Let C' be a non-trivial connected component of K . Let ω be the longest word in Σ^* such that $C' \subset \varphi_\omega(K)$. Then $\varphi_\omega^{-1}(C') \subset K$ and there exists $i, j \in \Sigma$ such that $\varphi_\omega^{-1}(C') \cap \varphi_i(K) \cap \varphi_j(K) \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $\varphi_\omega^{-1}(C') \subset C$, hence $C' \subset \varphi_\omega(C)$. Since C' is a connected component, we have $C' = \varphi_\omega(C)$. Statement (ii) is proved. \square

By Lemma 5.1 we have $\mathcal{I}_c(K) = \dim_H C = \dim_H Y = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log 5}$, where $\lambda = \frac{16 + \sqrt{132}}{2}$ is the maximal eigenvalue of the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 11 & 8 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$. Let K' be the fractal square in Example 1.2. It is obvious that $\mathcal{I}_c(K') = \frac{\log 13}{\log 5}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Balka, Z. Buczolic and M. Elekes, *A new fractal dimension: the topological Hausdorff dimension*, Adv. Math., **274** (2015), 881-927.
- [2] M. Bonk and S. Merenkov. Quasisymmetric rigidity of square Sierpinski carpets, Anal. Math., **177.2** (2013), 591-643.
- [3] K.J. Falconer, *Fractal geometry: mathematical foundations and applications*, John Wiley & Sons, (1990).
- [4] L.Y. Huang, Y. Zhang, *Gap sequence of high dimensional self-similar sets and self-affine sets*, Preprint.
- [5] J.E. Hutchinson, *Fractals and self-similarity*, Indiana, Univ. Math. J. **30** (1981), 713-747.
- [6] K.S. Lau, J.J. Luo and H. Rao, *Topological structure of fractal squares*. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc, **155** (2013), 73-86.
- [7] R.D. Mauldin, S.C. Williams, *Hausdorff dimension in graph directed constructions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988) 811-829.
- [8] J.H. Ma and Y.F. Zhang, *Topological Hausdorff dimension of fractal squares and its application to Lipschitz classification*, To appear in Nonlinearity.
- [9] H. Rao, H.J. Ruan, Y. M. Yang, *Gap sequence, Lipschitz equivalence and box dimension of fractal sets*, Nonlinearity, **21** (2008), no. 6, 1339-1347.
- [10] H. J. Ruan and Y. Wang. Topological invariants and Lipschitz equivalence of fractal squares. J. Math. Anal. Appl., **451.1** (2017), 327-344.
- [11] G.T. Whyburn, *Topological characterization of the Sierpinski curve*, Fund. Math. **45** (1958), 320-324.
- [12] L.F. Xi and Y. Xiong: Self-similar sets with initial cubic patterns, *CR Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser.I*, **348** (2010), 15-20.
- [13] Y.M. Yang and Y.J. Zhu: Lipschitz equivalence of self-similar sets with two-state automation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl*, **458.1** (2018), 379-392.
- [14] Y.F. Zhang, *A lower bound of topological Hausdorff dimension of fractal squares*, To appear in Fractals, (2020).
- [15] Ziegler, Günter M., *Lectures on Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 152, Springer, Definition 2.1, p. 51 (1995).

COLLEGE OF COMPUTER, BEIJING INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BEIJING, 100080, CHINA
Email address: liangyihuang@bit.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
 WUHAN, 430079, CHINA
Email address: hrhao@mail.ccnu.edu.cn