

ON EXPONENTIAL FACTORIZATIONS OF MATRICES OVER BANACH ALGEBRAS

ALEXANDER BRUDNYI

ABSTRACT. We study exponential factorization of invertible matrices over unital complex Banach algebras. In particular, we prove that every invertible matrix with entries in the algebra of holomorphic functions on a closed bordered Riemann surface can be written as a product of two exponents of matrices over this algebra. Our result extends similar results proved earlier in [KS] and [L] for 2×2 matrices.

1. FORMULATION OF THE MAIN RESULTS

1.1. The paper deals with the problem of optimal exponential factorization of invertible matrices over unital complex Banach algebras. Earlier similar problems were studied in [MR2], [DK], [KS], [L]. To formulate the results, first we introduce the required definitions.

For an associative algebra \mathcal{A} with 1 over a field of characteristic zero by $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the $n \times n$ matrix ring over \mathcal{A} , by $GL_n(\mathcal{A}) \subset M_n(\mathcal{A})$ the group of invertible matrices, and if, in addition, \mathcal{A} is commutative, by $SL_n(\mathcal{A}) \subset GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the subgroup of matrices with determinant 1. By $E_n(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the subgroup of $GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ generated by all *elementary matrices*, i.e., matrices in $GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ which differ from the identity matrix I_n by at most one non-diagonal entry. Let $t_n(\mathcal{A})$ be the minimal t such that every matrix in $E_n(\mathcal{A})$ is a product of t *unitriangular matrices* in $GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ (i.e., either upper triangular matrices with 1 along the main diagonal or lower triangular matrices with 1 along the diagonal). We write $t_n(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$ if such t does not exist.

We associate with every $A \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ the exponential series

$$(1.1) \quad \exp A := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} A^i, \quad A^0 := I_n.$$

If A is nilpotent (i.e., $A^k = 0$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$), then the series contains finitely many terms and $\exp A \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$. Similarly (1.1) determines an element $\exp A \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ for every $A \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ if \mathcal{A} is a unital m -convex Fréchet algebra (see, e.g., [M] for the definition) and, in particular, if \mathcal{A} is a unital Banach algebra. Here we assume that the algebra $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is equipped with the corresponding operator norm.

Let $\text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A}) \subset GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ denote the subgroup generated by exponents of matrices from $M_n(\mathcal{A})$. Since every unipotent $A \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ (i.e., such that $A - I_n \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ is nilpotent) is the exponent of

$$(1.2) \quad \log A := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{i} (A - I_n)^i,$$

every matrix in $E_n(\mathcal{A})$ can be written as a product of finitely many exponents, i.e., $E_n(\mathcal{A}) \subset \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$. We are interested in exponential factorizations of matrices in $\text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$ with the least number of factors. To this end, let $e_n(\mathcal{A})$ be the minimal number t such

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A68, Secondary 15A54 .

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, exponent, elementary matrix, spectrum, Bass stable rank.

Research supported in part by NSERC.

that every matrix in $\text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$ is a product of t exponents and write $e_n(\mathcal{A}) = \infty$ if such t does not exist.

From now on we assume that \mathcal{A} is a commutative unital complex Banach algebra, unless stated otherwise. In this case, $GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ is a complex Banach Lie group and $\text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with its connected component containing I_n .

Let $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ be the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{A} , i.e., the set of all nonzero homomorphisms $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Since norm of each $\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is at most one, $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a subset of the closed unit ball of the dual space \mathcal{A}^* . It is a compact Hausdorff space in the weak* topology induced by \mathcal{A}^* (the *Gelfand topology*). Let $C(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}))$ be the Banach algebra of continuous complex-valued functions on $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ equipped with supremum norm. An element $a \in \mathcal{A}$ can be thought of as a function in $C(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}))$ via the *Gelfand transform* $\hat{\cdot}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}))$, $\hat{a}(\varphi) := \varphi(a)$. The map $\hat{\cdot}$ is a nonincreasing-norm morphism of Banach algebras. It is injective if \mathcal{A} is *semisimple* (i.e., the *Jacobson radical* of \mathcal{A} is trivial).

Recall that for a normal topological space X , $\dim X \leq d$ if every open cover of X can be refined by an open cover whose order $\leq d + 1$. If $\dim X \leq d$ and the statement $\dim X \leq d - 1$ is false, we say that $\dim X = d$.

It was proved in [V2, Th. 4] that for $\mathcal{A} = C(X)$, the algebra of complex-valued continuous functions on a d -dimensional normal topological space X , group $E_n(\mathcal{A})$ coincides with the set of *null-homotopic* maps, i.e., maps in $SL_n(\mathcal{A}) := C(X, SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ homotopic (in this class of maps) to a constant map, and, moreover, there exists a constant $v(d) \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on d only such that

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_n t_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq v(d).$$

It is known that $v(0) = v(1) = 4$ and $v(2) = 5$ (cf. [IK, Sect. 5.2]). The problem about numerical upper bounds for $v(d)$ with $d \geq 3$ is still opened.

Next recall that an associative unital ring \mathcal{R} has the *Bass stable rank* 1 if for every pair (a, b) of elements in \mathcal{R} such that $\mathcal{R}a + \mathcal{R}b = \mathcal{R}$ there exists $t \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}(a + tb) = \mathcal{R}$ (i.e., $a + tb$ is left invertible). For some examples and properties of rings of the Bass stable rank 1 see [V3]. We are ready to formulate our first result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital commutative complex Banach algebra and $d := \dim \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$.*

(1) *If $d < \infty$, then*

$$\sup_n e_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{v(d)+1}{2} \right\rfloor + 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{n \geq n(d)} e_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq 4,$$

$$\text{where } n(d) := \left(\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \cdot \left(\left\lfloor \frac{v(d)}{2} \right\rfloor + 3 \right).$$

(2)

$$e_n(\mathcal{A}) = 1 \text{ for all } n \text{ if } d = 0, \quad e_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3 \text{ for all } n \text{ if } d = 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{n \leq 9} e_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq 5 \\ \sup_{n \geq 10} e_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq 4 \end{cases} \quad \text{if } d = 2.$$

(3) *If the Bass stable rank of \mathcal{A} is 1, then $e_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$ for all n .*

(4) *If $d \neq 0$, then*

$$e_n(\mathcal{A}) \geq 2 \text{ for all } n \geq 2.$$

Remark 1.2. If $d = 1$, then the Bass stable rank of \mathcal{A} is 1, see [CS, Thm. 2.9], and the stated inequality follows from part (3). In turn, part (3) is valid for all unital associative algebras, see [DV, Lm. 9] and Lemma 2.1 below.

1.2. In this part, we further investigate the case of unital commutative complex Banach algebras \mathcal{A} having the Bass stable rank 1. As follows from parts (3) and (4) of Theorem 1.1, in this case

$$(1.4) \quad 2 \leq e_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3 \text{ for all } n \geq 2 \text{ provided that } \dim \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0.$$

Further, it was shown in [KS, Thm.2] that $e_2(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ if \mathcal{A} is the disk algebra, and it was recently proved in [L, Thm. 1] (answering a question posed in [KS]) that the same is valid for algebras of holomorphic functions on closed bordered Riemann surfaces. It is known that these algebras have the Bass stable rank 1. In our next theorem, we extend this result to all $n \geq 2$. We require the following definition.

For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we define its *zero locus* by the formula

$$Z_a := \{\varphi \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}) : \varphi(a) = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}).$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let a unital commutative complex Banach algebra \mathcal{A} satisfy the property:*

(*) *For every nonzero $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the first Čech cohomology group $H^1(Z_a, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.*

Then $e_n(\mathcal{A}) = 2$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Note that every algebra \mathcal{A} satisfying property (*) has the Bass stable rank 1, see, e.g., [CS, Prop.2.1]. Theorem 1.3 raises a question about optimality of bound 3 in (1.4).

Example 1.4. (1) Let R be a compact bordered Riemann surface with the boundary ∂R and $A(R)$ be the Banach algebra of continuous functions of R holomorphic on $R \setminus \partial R$ equipped with supremum norm. It is well known that $\mathfrak{M}(A(R)) = R$. Further, for every nonidentical zero function $f \in A(R)$, the zero locus Z_f is either void or consists of a (possibly empty) discrete subset of $R \setminus \partial R$ and a totally disconnected subset of ∂R . Hence, Z_f is a totally disconnected subset of R and so $H^1(Z_f, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Thus Theorem 1.3 implies that $e_n(A(R)) = 2$, $n \geq 2$ extending the corresponding result of [L].

(2) Theorem 1.3 is valid for algebras \mathcal{A} of the Bass stable rank 1 such that $H^1(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. This class includes, e.g., the algebra $C(X)$, where X is a projective limit of a family of compact spaces homeomorphic to finite trees or the Wiener algebra $W(\mathbb{R})_\Gamma$ of continuous almost periodic functions on \mathbb{R} having the Bohr–Fourier spectra in a semigroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}_+$ with sequences of the Bohr–Fourier coefficients in $\ell^1(\Gamma)$, see, e.g., [BRS] for definitions. (It easily follows from [T, Thm. 1] that the Bass stable rank of $W(\mathbb{R})_\Gamma$ is 1 and from [BRS, Thm. 1.2] that $H^1(\mathfrak{M}(W(\mathbb{R})_\Gamma), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.)

(3) Theorem 1.3 is valid for algebras \mathcal{A} such $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a projective limit of a family of compact spaces homeomorphic to the unit circle as for each nonzero $a \in \mathcal{A}$ the zero locus Z_a is the projective limit (under the projective limit construction) of a family of compact subsets homeomorphic to proper subsets of the unit circle and hence having trivial first Čech cohomology groups. This implies that $H^1(Z_a, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ as well. For instance, the Wiener algebra $W(\mathbb{R})_\Gamma$ of continuous almost periodic functions on \mathbb{R} having the Bohr–Fourier spectra in a subgroup $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}$ has this property.

1.3. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is based on a general result of independent interest presented in this part.

By $S_n \subset \mathbb{C}$ we denote the set of roots of order n of 1. A closed ϵ -neighbourhood of S_n is defined as

$$N_\epsilon = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \min_{y \in S_n} |z - y| \leq \epsilon\}.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a (not necessarily commutative) unital complex Banach algebra. We regard $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ as the unital complex Banach algebra of \mathcal{A} -linear operators on \mathcal{A}^n equipped with the operator norm; here for $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ its norm $\|a\|_{\mathcal{A}^n} := \max_i \|a_i\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. By $\sigma_n(A) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ we denote the spectrum of $A \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $A = (a_{ij}) \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$, $n \geq 2$, is a (upper or lower) triangular matrix with the product of diagonal elements $a_{11} \cdots a_{nn} = 1$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are matrices $B_1, B_2 \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ such that*

$$A = \exp B_1 \cdot \exp B_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n(\exp B_1) = S_n, \quad \sigma_n(\exp B_2) \subset N_\varepsilon.$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Proof. Let $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{A}) \subset GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of matrices A such that 0 belongs to the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_n(A)$. Then $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$ is an open subset of $GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ contained in the range of $\exp : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow GL_n(\mathcal{A})$, see, e.g., [R, Thms. 10.20, 10.30]. By $e_n^0(A)$, $A \in \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$, we denote the minimal number t such that A is a product of t matrices from $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$ and a diagonal matrix from $\text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A}) \cdot I_n$. We set

$$(2.1) \quad e_n^0(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{A \in \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})} e_n^0(A).$$

Clearly, the function $e_n^0 : \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is upper semicontinuous and

$$(2.2) \quad e_n(\mathcal{A}) \leq e_n^0(\mathcal{A}).$$

Thus it suffices to prove Theorem 1.1 for $e_n^0(\mathcal{A})$.

Recall that $t_n(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the minimal $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that every matrix in $E_n(\mathcal{A})$ is a product of t unitriangular matrices in $SL_n(\mathcal{A})$. In our case, $E_n(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A}) \cap SL_n(\mathcal{A})$ and each matrix in $\text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$ can be written as a product of matrices from $E_n(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A}) \cdot I_n$.

Lemma 2.1. *The following inequality holds:*

$$(2.3) \quad e_n^0(\mathcal{A}) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{t_n(\mathcal{A})}{2} \right\rfloor + 1.$$

Proof. Suppose $A \in E_n(\mathcal{A})$, $A = A_1 \cdots A_t$, $t \geq 2$, where all A_j are alternating upper and lower unitriangular matrices in $E_n(\mathcal{A})$. Then

$$A = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor} C_i A_{2i} C_i^{-1} \right) \cdot C_{\lfloor \frac{t+1}{2} \rfloor}, \quad \text{where} \quad C_i := A_1 \cdots A_{2i-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor.$$

This and the definition of $e_n^0(\mathcal{A})$ give (2.3) as all terms in the product are unipotent (i.e., belong to $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$). \square

Let $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the Jacobson radical and $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} / \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}} =: \mathcal{A}_s$ be the quotient homomorphism. Then \mathcal{A}_s is a semisimple Banach algebra with respect to the quotient norm. We extend q to a homomorphism $q_n : M_n(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathcal{A}_s)$ defined by the application of q to entries of matrices in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$. Then $\sigma_n(A) = \sigma_n(q_n(A))$ for all $A \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$. This implies that q_n maps $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$ surjectively onto $\Sigma_n(\mathcal{A}_s)$ and $q_n^{-1}(\Sigma_n(\mathcal{A}_s)) = \Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$. Hence, $e_n^0(A) = e_n^0(q_n(A))$ for all $A \in \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$ and

$$(2.4) \quad e_n^0(\mathcal{A}) = e_n^0(\mathcal{A}_s).$$

Since maximal ideal spaces of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_s are homeomorphic, inequalities of parts (1) and (2) for $d > 0$ of Theorem 1.1 follow directly from similar inequalities of [Br, Thm. 1.1] for $t_n(\mathcal{A}_s)$ by means of Lemma 2.1 and [DV, Lms. 7, 9, Thm. 20], and [CS, Thm. 2.9] (see the proofs in [Br] for details).

Now let us prove part (2) of the theorem for $d = 0$. In this case, by the definition of covering dimension, $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is a totally disconnected space. Hence, the base of topology of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ consists of clopen subsets. The required result will follow from

Lemma 2.2. *Given $A \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ there exist idempotents $e_1, \dots, e_k \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^k e_i = 1$ and $e_i e_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ such that every matrix $e_i(A - I_n) + I_n \in \Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof. The spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A is given by the formula

$$\sigma(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \exists y \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ such that } p(\lambda, y) := \det(\hat{A}(y) - \lambda \cdot \hat{I}_n) = 0\}.$$

Here $\hat{I}_n \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is the identity matrix and p is a continuous function on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ which is a holomorphic polynomial of degree n in λ .

Given $x \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ we write $p = q_x + r_x$, where $q_x(\lambda) := \det(\hat{A}(x) - \lambda \cdot \hat{I}_n)$ and r_x is a continuous function on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ equals zero on $\mathbb{C} \times \{x\}$. The polynomial q_x has n complex zeros counted with their multiplicities. Let $s > 0$ be so small that the closed s -neighbourhood N_s of the set of zeros of q_x consists of mutually disjoint closed disks of radius s and does not contain 0. Let ∂N_s be the boundary of N_s . Since r_x is continuous on the compact set $\partial N_s \times \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ and equals zero on $\partial N_s \times \{x\}$, there is a clopen set $U_x \subset \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ containing x such that

$$\sup_{(\lambda, y) \in \partial N_s \times U_x} |r_x(\lambda, y)| < \inf_{\lambda \in \partial N_s} |q_x(\lambda)|.$$

Therefore by the Rouché theorem, for every $y \in U_x$ all zeros of $p(\cdot, y)$ are situated in N_s .

Next, by the Shilov idempotent theorem [S] there is an (unique) idempotent $e_{U_x} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the Gelfand transform $\hat{e}_{U_x} \in C(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}))$ of e_{U_x} is the characteristic function of U_x . We put

$$(2.5) \quad A_{U_x} := e_{U_x}(A - I_n) + I_n.$$

By the definition, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $y \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$

$$\det(\hat{A}_{U_x}(y) - \lambda \cdot \hat{I}_n) = \det(\hat{e}_{U_x}(\hat{A}(y) - \hat{I}_n) + \hat{I}_n - \lambda \cdot \hat{I}_n) = \begin{cases} p(\lambda, y) & \text{if } y \in U_x \\ (1 - \lambda)^n & \text{if } y \notin U_x. \end{cases}$$

Thus the spectrum $\sigma(A_{U_x})$ of A_{U_x} is $(\sigma(A) \cap U_x) \cup \{1\}$. In particular, $\sigma(A_{U_x}) \subset N_s \cup \{1\}$ and so the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A_{U_x})$ contains the open connected set $\mathbb{C} \setminus (N_s \cup \{1\})$ which in turn contains 0. Hence, $A_{U_x} \in \Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$.

Next, we cover $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ by sets U_x , $x \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$, choose a finite subcover of this cover and then a refinement of this subcover by clopen mutually disjoint sets, say, U_1, \dots, U_k . Let $e_i \in \mathcal{A}$ be the idempotent such that \hat{e}_i is the characteristic function of U_i . Then $\sum_{i=1}^k e_i = 1$ and $e_i e_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$. Also, by our construction all matrices $A_i := e_i(A - I_n) + I_n \in \Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$. \square

Using Lemma 2.2 let us finish the proof of part (2) of the theorem for $d = 0$.

Let $B_i \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ be a logarithm of $A_i \in \Sigma_n(\mathcal{A})$ defined by holomorphic functional calculus (see, e.g., [R, Thm. 10.30]). Then B_i belongs to the closure of the subspace of $M_n(\mathcal{A})$ generated by matrices $(A_i - \lambda I_n)^{-1}$, $\lambda \notin \sigma(A_i)$. In particular, all matrices B_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, commute (because matrices $A_i - \lambda I_n$ and $A_j - \gamma I_n$ commute for all $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, $i \neq j$). We set

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^k B_i \in M_n(\mathcal{A}).$$

Then since all B_i commute,

$$\exp B = \prod_{i=1}^k \exp B_i = \prod_{i=1}^k A_i = \prod_{i=1}^k (e_i(A - I_n) + I_n) = I_n + \sum_{i=1}^k e_i(A - I_n) = A.$$

This shows that $e_n(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ for all n which proves part (2) of Theorem 1.1 for $d = 0$.

Further, part (3) of the theorem follows from Lemma 2.1 and [DV, Lm. 9] asserting that if A has the Bass stable rank 1, then $t_n(A) = 4$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Finally, let us prove part (4). We will prove that $e_n(\mathcal{A}) \geq 2$ provided that $d := \dim \mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}) \neq 0$. Since clearly $e_n(\mathcal{A}) \geq e_n(\mathcal{A}_s)$, we may assume that the algebra \mathcal{A} is semisimple and is a (not necessarily closed) subalgebra of $C(\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A}))$. We adapt the idea of [XT].

Since $d \neq 0$, the compact space $\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})$ is not totally disconnected; hence, it has a connected component X containing two distinct points, say, x_1 and x_2 . Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $f(x_1) = 0$, $f(x_2) = 2\pi i$ and $g = \exp f$. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} g & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Exp}_2(\mathcal{A}).$$

We claim that T does not have a logarithm in $M_2(\mathcal{A})$. Indeed, if it is false, then there exists

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 \\ f_3 & f_4 \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$$

such that $S^2 = T$, i.e.,

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1^2 + f_2f_3 & f_1f_2 + f_2f_4 \\ f_3f_1 + f_4f_3 & f_3f_2 + f_4^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} g & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$(2.6) \quad (f_1 + f_4)f_2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (f_1 + f_4)f_3 = 0,$$

i.e., $f_3 = 0$. Hence, $f_1^2 = g$ and $f_4^2 = 1$. Since X is connected and $f_1|_X, f_2|_X \in C(X)$, these equations imply that either $f_4|_X = 1$ or $f_4|_X = -1$ and either $f_1|_X = \exp(\frac{f}{2})|_X$ or $f_1|_X = -\exp(\frac{f}{2})|_X$. Let us consider each of these cases.

(a) If $f_1|_X = \exp(\frac{f}{2})|_X$ and $f_4|_X = -1$, or $f_1|_X = -\exp(\frac{f}{2})|_X$ and $f_4|_X = 1$, then $f_1(x_1) + f_4(x_1) = \pm 1 + \mp 1 = 0$ which contradicts the first equation in (2.6).

(b) If $f_1|_X = \exp(\frac{f}{2})|_X$ and $f_4|_X = 1$, or $f_1|_X = -\exp(\frac{f}{2})|_X$ and $f_4|_X = -1$, then $f_1(x_2) + f_4(x_2) = \pm e^{\pi i} + \pm 1 = 0$ which contradicts the first equation in (2.6) as well.

This shows that the above $S \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$ does not exist and so T does not have a logarithm in $M_2(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., $e_2(\mathcal{A}) \geq 2$.

Let us prove the result for $n > 2$. Let $M := 1 + \max_{\mathfrak{M}(\mathcal{A})} |g|$. We put

$$T_n = \begin{pmatrix} M \cdot I_{n-2} & 0_{(n-2) \times 2} \\ 0_{2 \times (n-2)} & T \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A});$$

here $0_{k \times l}$ is the $k \times l$ zero matrix.

Assume that T_n has a logarithm in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$. Then there exists

$$S_n = \begin{pmatrix} L_1 & L_2 \\ L_3 & L_4 \end{pmatrix} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$$

such that $S_n^2 = T_n$; here $L_1 \in M_{n-2}(\mathcal{A})$, $L_4 \in M_2(\mathcal{A})$, and L_2 and L_3 are matrices with entries in \mathcal{A} of sizes $(n-2) \times 2$ and $2 \times (n-2)$, respectively.

The identity $S_n T_n = T_n S_n$ implies that

$$L_2(T - M \cdot I_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (T - M \cdot I_2)L_3 = 0.$$

Since $T - M \cdot I_2$ is invertible by our choice of M , we get from here that $L_2 = 0$ and $L_3 = 0$. Hence, $L_4^2 = T$ which contradicts the first part of the proof. Thus such $S_n \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ does not exist and so T_n does not have a logarithm in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., $e_n(\mathcal{A}) \geq 2$ for all $n \geq 3$ as well.

The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

Proof. First, let us prove the theorem for a diagonal matrix A with diagonal entries $a_{11}, \dots, a_{nn} \in GL_1(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying $a_{11} \cdots a_{nn} = 1$. In this case as in the proof of [DV, Lm. 16] we have

$$(3.1) \quad A = C_A^{-1} R_n^{-1} C_A R_n,$$

where $C_A = (c_{ij})$ is a diagonal matrix with $c_{11} = 1, c_{22} = a_{11}, c_{33} = a_{11}a_{22}, \dots, c_{nn} = a_{11} \cdots a_{n-1n-1}$ and R_n is a (cyclic) permutation operator on \mathcal{A}^n such that $R_n(e_i) = e_{i+1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and $R_n(e_n) = e_1$; here $e_i \in \mathcal{A}^n$ is the vector having the i th coordinate 1 and all others 0. By the definition, the spectrum $\sigma_n(R_n)$ of R_n is S_n (the set of roots of order n of 1). Hence, R_n has a logarithm $R'_n \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ (cf. [R, Thm. 10.30]). We set $B_1 = -C_A^{-1} R'_n C_A$ and $B_2 = R'_n$. Then $A = C_A^{-1} R_n^{-1} C_A R_n = \exp B_1 \cdot \exp B_2$ and $\sigma_n(\exp B_1) = \sigma_n(\exp B_2) = S_n$, as required.

Now, let us prove the statement in the general case. Without loss of generality assume that A is upper triangular. We denote by $D(A)$ the diagonal matrix whose diagonal is the same as that of A and let $D(A) = C_{D(A)}^{-1} R_n^{-1} C_{D(A)} R_n$ be factorization (3.1) for $D(A)$. Let $D_n(t) \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$, $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $1, t, \dots, t^{n-1}$. Then the upper triangular matrix $D_n(t)^{-1} A D_n(t)$ is equal to $C_{D(A)}^{-1} R_n^{-1} C_{D(A)} R_n A(t)$, where $A(t)$ is an upper triangular unipotent matrix such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} A(t) = I_n$ (convergence in $M_n(\mathcal{A})$). In particular, according to [R, Thm. 10.20] there is some $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\sigma_n(R_n A(t_\varepsilon)) \subset N_\varepsilon$ (the closed ε -neighbourhood of S_n). Without loss of generality we may assume that ε is so small that $\mathbb{C} \setminus N_\varepsilon$ is an open connected set containing 0. Then $R_n A(t_\varepsilon)$ has a logarithm $B_{t_\varepsilon} \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ (cf. [R, Thm. 10.30]). We set $B_1 := -D_n(t_\varepsilon) C_{D(A)}^{-1} R'_n C_{D(A)} D_n(t_\varepsilon)^{-1}$, where R'_n is a logarithm of R_n , and $B_2 := D_n(t_\varepsilon) B_{t_\varepsilon} D_n(t_\varepsilon)^{-1}$. Clearly,

$$A = \exp B_1 \cdot \exp B_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n(\exp B_1) = S_n, \quad \sigma_n(\exp B_2) \subset N_\varepsilon.$$

The proof of the theorem is complete. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

First, we prove the following auxiliary result.

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra satisfying condition (*) of Theorem 1.3. We denote by $M_{k,l}(\mathcal{A})$ the set of matrices of size $k \times l$ with entries in \mathcal{A} . Let

$$U_n(\mathcal{A}) := \{A \in M_{n,1}(\mathcal{A}) : \exists B \in M_{1,n}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ such that } BA = 1\}$$

be the subset of left-invertible matrices in $M_{n,1}(\mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in U_n(\mathcal{A})$, $n \geq 2$, where one of a_2, \dots, a_n is nonzero. Then there exists a unipotent upper triangular matrix $C \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ such that*

$$CA = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-1}, a_n)^T,$$

where $a'_1 \in \text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. We prove this result by induction on n . For $n = 2$, since $a_2 \neq 0$, and $\hat{a}_1|_{Z_{a_2}} \in GL_1(C(Z_{a_2}))$ has a logarithm in $C(Z_{a_2})$ due to condition (*), there is $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $a_1 + ba_2 = a'_1 \in \text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A})$ (see, e.g., [MR1, Cor. 4.13]). Thus the lemma holds with

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that the lemma is valid for all natural numbers $\leq n-1$, $n \geq 3$; let us prove it for n . Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_n \neq 0$ as for otherwise the result

follows from the induction hypothesis. Since the Bass stable rank of \mathcal{A} is 1 and $A \in U_n(\mathcal{A})$, there exist $b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A_1 := (a_1 + b_1 a_n, \dots, a_{n-1} + b_{n-1} a_n)^T \in U_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$. Clearly, for

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & B \\ 0_{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = (b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})^T, \quad 0_{n-1} := 0 \in M_{1,n}(\mathcal{A}),$$

we have

$$C_1 A = (a_1 + b_1 a_n, \dots, a_{n-1} + b_{n-1} a_n, a_n)^T.$$

We may assume that one of $a_i + b_i a_n$, $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, is nonzero (for otherwise $C_1 A = (a_1 + b_1 a_n, 0, \dots, 0, a_n)^T$ and the required result follows as in the case $n=2$). Then by the induction hypothesis, there is a unipotent upper triangular matrix $C_2 \in GL_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $C_2 A_1 = (a'_1, \dots, a'_{n-2}, a_{n-1} + b_{n-1} a_n)^T$, where $a'_1 \in \text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A})$. Thus the statement of the lemma holds with

$$C = \tilde{C}_2 C_1, \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{C}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} C_2 & 0_{n-1}^T \\ 0_{n-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves the induction step and hence the lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We prove that for each $A \in \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$ there exist $B_1, B_2 \in M_n(\mathcal{A})$ such that $A = \exp B_1 \cdot \exp B_2$. Note that since the Bass stable rank of \mathcal{A} is 1, a matrix $A \in \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$ if and only if $\det A \in \text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A})$ (see [DV, Lm.9]). Hence, $\text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$ is the direct product of subgroups $\text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A}) \cdot I_n$ and $SL_n(\mathcal{A})$.

We prove the result by induction on n . Since the result is obvious for $n=1$, we assume that it is valid for all natural numbers $\leq n-1$, $n \geq 1$, and now prove it for n .

So let $A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{Exp}_n(\mathcal{A})$. We consider two cases:

(1) A is an upper triangular matrix. Then the required result follows straightforwardly from Theorem 1.5.

(2) There exists $a_{ij} \neq 0$ for some $j < i$.

Let S_{ij} be the constant elementary matrix such that AS_{ij} is obtained from A by interchanging the first and the j th columns. Then the matrix $(a'_{ij}) := S_{ij}^{-1} AS_{ij}$ is such that $a'_{i1} = a_{ij} \neq 0$. Clearly, it suffices to prove the result for such a matrix. Thus without loss of generality we may assume that A itself is such that $c_{i1} \neq 0$ for some $i \geq 2$. Then according to Lemma 4.1, there is a unipotent upper triangular matrix $B \in GL_n(\mathcal{A})$ such that the top-left corner entry of $A_1 = BA$ is in $\text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A})$. Then the top-left corner entry of the matrix $BAB^{-1} = A_1 B^{-1} =: A_2$ is the same as that of A_1 . Multiplying $A_2 = (\tilde{a}_{ij})$ from the right by an upper triangular matrix D whose first row is $(1, -\frac{\tilde{a}_{12}}{\tilde{a}_{11}}, \dots, -\frac{\tilde{a}_{1n}}{\tilde{a}_{11}})$ and other rows are as in I_n , we obtain a matrix $A_3 = A_2 D$ whose first row is $(\tilde{a}_{11}, 0, \dots, 0)$. Hence, it suffices to prove the result for $A_2 := A_3 D^{-1}$. By definition,

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & 0_{n-1} \\ H & G \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & K \\ 0_{n-1}^T & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $H \in M_{n-1,1}(\mathcal{A})$, $G \in GL_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$, $K := (\frac{\tilde{a}_{12}}{\tilde{a}_{11}}, \dots, \frac{\tilde{a}_{1n}}{\tilde{a}_{11}}) \in M_{1,n-1}(\mathcal{A})$. Since $\det G = \frac{\det A}{\tilde{a}_{11}} \in \text{Exp}_1(\mathcal{A})$, the matrix $G \in \text{Exp}_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and, hence, by the induction hypothesis, G can be written as $G = G_1 \cdot G_2$ for some $G_i \in GL_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ having logarithm. Thus for some $\lambda > 0$

$$(4.1) \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & 0_{n-1} \\ H & \lambda \cdot G_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{n-1} \\ 0_{n-1}^T & \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & K \\ 0_{n-1}^T & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \\ \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & 0_{n-1} \\ H & \lambda \cdot G_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & K \\ 0_{n-1}^T & \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us choose λ so large that the spectra $\sigma_{n-1}(\lambda \cdot G_1)$ and $\sigma_1(\tilde{a}_{11})$ of $\lambda \cdot G_1 \in GL_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\tilde{a}_{11} \in GL_1(\mathcal{A})$ do not intersect and the spectrum $\sigma_{n-1}(\frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G_2)$ of $\frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G_2 \in GL_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ does not contain 1. Then the matrices $\lambda \cdot G_1 - \tilde{a}_{11} \cdot I_{n-1}$ and $I_{n-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G_2$ belong to $GL_{n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and for $X := (\lambda \cdot G_1 - \tilde{a}_{11} \cdot I_{n-1})^{-1} H \in M_{n-1,1}(\mathcal{A})$ and $Y := K(I_{n-1} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G_2)^{-1} \in M_{1,n-1}(\mathcal{A})$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{n-1} \\ X & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & 0_{n-1} \\ H & \lambda \cdot G_1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{n-1} \\ X & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_{11} & 0_{n-1} \\ 0_{n-1}^T & \lambda \cdot G_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Y \\ 0_{n-1}^T & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & K \\ 0_{n-1}^T & \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G_2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & Y \\ 0_{n-1}^T & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0_{n-1} \\ 0_{n-1}^T & \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot G_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, the matrices on the right-hand sides of (4.2) and (4.3) have logarithm. Hence, matrix A_2 in (4.1) is a product of two exponents of matrices from $M_n(\mathcal{A})$. This completes the proof of the induction step and therefore of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [BRS] A. Brudnyi, L. Rodman and I. M. Spitkovsky, Projective free algebras of continuous functions on compact abelian groups, *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** (2010) 918–932.
- [Br] A. Brudnyi, On the factorization of matrices over commutative Banach algebras, *Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory* **90** (2018), Paper No. 6, 8 pp.
- [CS] G. Corach and F. Suárez, Stable rank in holomorphic function algebras. *Illinois J. Math.* **29** (1985), 627–639.
- [DV] R. K. Dennis and L. N. Vaserstein, On a question of M. Newman on the number of commutators. *J. Algebra* **118** (1988), 150–161.
- [DK] E. Doubtsov and F. Kutzschebauch, Factorization by elementary matrices, null-homotopy and products of exponentials for invertible matrices over rings, *Anal. Math. Phys.* **9** (2019), 1005–1018.
- [IK] B. Ivarsson and F. Kutzschebauch, On the number of factors in the unipotent factorization of holomorphic mappings into $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **140** (2012), no. 3, 823–838.
- [KS] F. Kutzschebauch and L. Studer, Exponential factorizations of holomorphic maps, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **51** (2019), 995–1004.
- [L] J. Leiterer, On holomorphic matrices on bordered Riemann surfaces, arXiv:2010.02581.
- [M] E. A. Michael, Locally multiplicatively-convex topological algebras, *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, **11** (1952).
- [MR1] R. Mortini and R. Rupp, Reducibility of invertible tuples to the principal component in commutative Banach algebras, *Ark. Mat.* **54** (2) (2016), 499–524.
- [MR2] R. Mortini and R. Rupp, Logarithms and exponentials in the matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_2(A)$, *Computer Methods Funct. Theory* **18** (2018), 53–87.
- [R] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, 2nd Edition, McGraw–Hill, 1991.
- [S] G. E. Shilov, On the decomposition of a commutative normed ring into a direct sum of ideals, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)* **1** (1955), 37–48.
- [T] S. Treil, Stable rank of H^∞ is equal to one, *J. Funct. Anal.* **109** (1992), 130–154.
- [V1] L. N. Vaserstein, The stable range for rings and the dimension of topological spaces, *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 5 (1971), 102–110.
- [V2] L. N. Vaserstein, Reduction of a matrix depending on parameters to a diagonal form by addition operations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1988), 741–746.
- [V3] L. N. Vaserstein, Bass’s first stable range condition, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **34** (1984), 319–330.
- [XT] Xandi Tuni, Logarithm of complex matrices in holomorphic families, <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/77210/logarithm-of-complex-matrices-in-holomorphic-families>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY
CALGARY, ALBERTA
T2N 1N4
Email address: albru@math.ucalgary.ca