

ON THE GEOMETRY OF BANACH SPACES OF THE FORM $\text{Lip}_0(C(K))$

LEANDRO CANDIDO AND PEDRO L. KAUFMANN

ABSTRACT. We investigate the problem of classifying the Banach spaces $\text{Lip}_0(C(K))$ for Hausdorff compacta K . In particular, sufficient conditions are established for a space $\text{Lip}_0(C(K))$ to be isomorphic to $\text{Lip}_0(c_0(\Gamma))$ for some uncountable set Γ .

1. INTRODUCTION

In the past couple of decades, Banach spaces of real-valued Lipschitz functions and especially their canonical preduals, most often called *Lipschitz-free spaces*, have received a lot of attention from many authors. Lipschitz maps between metric spaces can be interpreted as linear operators between the corresponding Lipschitz-free spaces. These Banach spaces thus encode the Lipschitz structure of metric spaces in a natural way (we refer to Weaver's book [21] - where these spaces are called Arens-Eells spaces - for a comprehensive introduction), and studying its geometry presents some challenges. For instance, when X and Y are Lipschitz equivalent metric spaces, the corresponding Lipschitz-free spaces $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ are isomorphic, thus also the spaces of Lipschitz functions $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ and $\text{Lip}_0(Y)$ are isomorphic. It is natural to investigate converse statements. The first example of non-Lipschitz equivalent *Banach* spaces with isomorphic Lipschitz-free spaces was given by Dutrieux and Ferenczi in [5]. There they show that, for each infinite compact metric space K , $\mathcal{F}(C(K))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(c_0)$, with an uniform bound on the Banach-Mazur distance. It is worth pointing out that there are still fundamental problems which remain open in this sense. For instance, it is unknown whether $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ are isomorphic or not, when n and m are distinct and greater or equal to 2. The same can be said even about $\text{Lip}_0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\text{Lip}_0(X)$, where X is a separable Banach space other than \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{R}^2 . The fact $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ are non-isomorphic can be derived from a deep result by Naor and Schechtman [18].

In this work we present a development in this direction, establishing sufficient conditions for a space $\text{Lip}_0(C(K))$ to be isomorphic to $\text{Lip}_0(c_0(\Gamma))$ for some uncountable set Γ . We do so by studying the structure of $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ and Lipschitz-free spaces, while exploring new tools that were discovered after the publication of [5].

The remainder of this article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we establish notation that will be used. In Section 3 we present our main results, which are proved in Sections 4 and 5.

2. TERMINOLOGY

In this section we establish the notation adopted throughout the article. Given a metric space X with a distinguished point 0 , we denote by $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ the Banach space of real-valued Lipschitz

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E15, 46B03 (primary), and 46B26 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Lipschitz functions, isomorphisms between Banach spaces, Lipschitz-free spaces, spaces of continuous functions.

Both authors were supported by grant 2016/25574-8, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP). P. L. Kaufmann was supported additionally by grant 2017/18623-5, FAPESP.

functions on X that vanish at 0, endowed with the norm of the *smallest Lipschitz constant*, i.e.

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} = \sup_{x \neq y \in X} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}.$$

The Lipschitz-free space over X , denoted by $\mathcal{F}(X)$, is the canonical predual to $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ given by the closed linear span of the evaluation functionals in $\text{Lip}_0(X)^*$. We refer the reader to [7], [8] and [21] for more details about these spaces.

For a Hausdorff compactum K , we denote by $C(K)$ the Banach space of all continuous functions $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{k \in K} |f(k)|.$$

Given set Γ , $\ell_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ denotes the space of all bounded functions $(a_i)_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma}$, endowed with the norm $\|(a_i)_i\| = \sup_{i \in \Gamma} |a_i|$. We denote by $c_0(\Gamma)$ the subspace of $\ell_{\infty}(\Gamma)$ consisting of all functions $(a_i)_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\Gamma}$ such that, for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set $\{i \in \Gamma : |a_i| \geq \epsilon\}$ is finite. In particular, we denote $C_0(\mathbb{N})$ by c_0 and $\ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{N}) = \ell_{\infty}$ as usual. For a complete survey on $C(K)$ spaces, we refer the reader to [20].

Given an arbitrary topological space X , the *weight* of X , denoted by $w(X)$, is the smallest cardinality of a base for X . The *density character* $\text{dens}(X)$ is the smallest cardinality of a dense subset of X . If X is a Banach space, $\text{dens}(X)$ denotes the density of the norm topology and if X is a dual space, $w^*\text{-dens}(X)$ denotes the density of its w^* -topology. A *cellular family* in X is a collection of nonempty pairwise disjoint open subsets of X . The *cellularity* of X , denoted by $c(X)$, is the supremum of the cardinalities of every cellular family in X .

Given two Banach spaces X and Y we will write $X \sim Y$ when X and Y are isomorphic, and we write $X \cong Y$ when they are isometrically isomorphic. When a Banach space Y contains a subspace that is isomorphic to X , we write $X \hookrightarrow Y$. If that subspace is, moreover, complemented in Y , we write $X \overset{c}{\hookrightarrow} Y$.

All other standard terminology from Banach space theory and set-theoretic topology we will adopt as in [9].

3. OBJECTIVE AND MAIN RESULTS

In this section we outline and motivate the problem we are addressing, and state the main results obtained in this direction, which are Theorem 3 and its Corollary 4, and Theorem 5. Our focus is on investigating the geometry of Banach spaces of the form $\mathcal{F}(X)$ and $\text{Lip}_0(X)$, where X is a nonseparable $C(K)$ space. This research is motivated by the following result, already mentioned in the introduction:

Theorem 1 (Dutrieux, Ferenczi [5]). *For any infinite metric compact space K , the spaces $\mathcal{F}(C(K))$ and $\mathcal{F}(c_0)$ are isomorphic.*

This gives in particular examples of pairs of Banach spaces having isomorphic Lipschitz-free spaces, but which are not even uniformly homeomorphic (due to a result by Johnson, Lindenstrauss and Schechtman, [12, Theorem 3.1]). We want to obtain a similar result for general compacta. A first observation in that direction is that, if $\mathcal{F}(C(K)) \sim \mathcal{F}(c_0(\kappa))$, for some infinite cardinal κ , then $\kappa = w(K)$. Indeed, it is well known and straightforward, on one hand, that $\text{dens}(C(K)) = w(K)$ and $\text{dens}(c_0(\kappa)) = \kappa$, and on the other hand, that $\text{dens}(\mathcal{F}(M)) = \text{dens}(M)$ for any metric space M . But a little more can be said:

Proposition 2. *For each infinite Hausdorff compactum K and each infinite cardinal κ ,*

$$\text{Lip}_0(C(K)) \sim \text{Lip}_0(c_0(\kappa)) \Rightarrow w(K) = \kappa.$$

Proof. From Goldstine's theorem, $w^*\text{-dens}(X^{**}) \leq \text{dens}(X)$ for any Banach space X . Then, for any infinite metric space M , $w^*\text{-dens}(\text{Lip}_0(M)^*) \leq \text{dens}(\mathcal{F}(M)) = \text{dens}(M)$. On the other hand, in [10, Proposition 3] Hájek and Novotný established that $\text{Lip}_0(M)$ contains a copy of $\ell_\infty(\kappa)$, where $\kappa = \text{dens}(M)$. With an application of [9, Fact 4.10] we deduce that $w^*\text{-dens}(\text{Lip}_0(M)^*) \geq \text{dens}(M)$, and consequently

$$w^*\text{-dens}(\text{Lip}_0(M)^*) = \text{dens}(M).$$

The result follows immediately. \square

In view of Theorem 1, we may pose the following question to guide our investigation.

Question 1: let K be an infinite Hausdorff compactum such that $w(K) = \kappa$. Under which conditions on K and κ can we guarantee that $\mathcal{F}(C(K))$ and $\mathcal{F}(c_0(\kappa))$ isomorphic, or at least that $\text{Lip}_0(C(K))$ and $\text{Lip}_0(c_0(\kappa))$ isomorphic?

As a part of this work, we obtain partial answers to Question 1 in the dual level, see Corollary 4 and Theorem 5 below. We hope that the pursuit of a complete answer will stimulate the exploration of different techniques connecting the fields of $C(K)$ spaces and Lipschitz-free spaces, and their dual counterparts, $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ spaces.

For technical reasons that will become clear in the next section, in what follows we focus our attention on Hausdorff compact spaces K with the property that $C(K) \sim C(L)$ for some zero-dimensional Hausdorff compact space L , depending on K . Let us denote by \mathcal{B} the class of all such spaces. In the sixties, Pełczyński posed the question of whether every Hausdorff compact space was a member of \mathcal{B} . In [16] Koszmider answered negatively this question by constructing a counterexample. Later, other examples of the same type were obtained in [1]. As this historical note suggests, \mathcal{B} comprehends a wide class of Hausdorff compacta one may encounter. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 3. *Let K be an element of \mathcal{B} of weight $w(K) = \kappa$, having a cellular family of cardinality γ . Then*

$$\text{Lip}_0(c_0(\gamma)) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Lip}_0(C(K)) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Lip}_0(c_0(\kappa)).$$

When moreover $\gamma = \kappa$, from [13, Theorem 3.1] we obtain that the space $\text{Lip}_0(C(K))$ is isomorphic to $(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Lip}_0(C(K)))_{\ell_\infty}$. An application of Pełczyński's decomposition method yields:

Corollary 4. *Let K be an element of \mathcal{B} of weight $w(K) = \kappa$, having a cellular family of size κ . Then*

$$\text{Lip}_0(C(K)) \sim \text{Lip}_0(c_0(\kappa)).$$

If K is an Eberlein compact in \mathcal{B} (we recall that a compact Hausdorff space is called an Eberlein compact if it is homeomorphic to a weakly compact subset of a Banach space), it follows from [3, Theorem 4.2 and Remark (a) following it] that its cellularity coincides with its weight and it is attained: there is in K a cellular family of size $w(K)$. Then Corollary 4 applies and we deduce

$$\text{Lip}_0(C(K)) \sim \text{Lip}_0(c_0(w(K))). \quad (1)$$

The same conclusion holds for compact ordinal spaces K , which by [6, Theorem 4.50] are not Eberlein when K is uncountable. If $\beta\mathbb{N}$ denotes the Stone-Ćech compactification of \mathbb{N} and $\beta\mathbb{N}^* = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$, it is well known that $C(\beta\mathbb{N}^*) \cong \ell_\infty/c_0$, $w(\beta\mathbb{N}^*) = \mathfrak{c}$ and $\beta\mathbb{N}^*$ has a cellular family of cardinality of the continuum, \mathfrak{c} . Therefore, $\text{Lip}_0(\ell_\infty/c_0) \sim \text{Lip}_0(C(\beta\mathbb{N}^*)) \sim \text{Lip}_0(c_0(\mathfrak{c}))$.

The relation (1) may be also be valid for $C(K)$ spaces where K admits no cellular family of cardinality $w(K)$, as we will see next. According to [2, Theorem 7.13], if K is a scattered space of finite Cantor-Bendixson height and $w(K) = \kappa$, then the space $C(K)$ is Lipschitz equivalent to $c_0(\kappa)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{F}(C(K)) \sim \mathcal{F}(c_0(\kappa))$. We deduce that (1) holds for all scattered compacta

of finite height. There are, however, examples of such spaces failing to satisfy the hypotheses of Corollary 4. For example, the Stone space of a Boolean algebra generated by finite subsets of \mathbb{N} and an uncountable almost disjoint collection of infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} , see [11]. In the literature these spaces are known as Ψ -space or Mrówka-Isbell spaces and constitute examples of scattered compacta of height 3, which are separable but not metrizable, implying that $c(K) < w(K)$.

Recalling that $\ell_\infty \cong C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ and $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is separable, non-scattered and non-metrizable, we conclude our study on this topic with the following result:

Theorem 5. *If \mathfrak{c} denotes the cardinality of the continuum, then*

$$\text{Lip}_0(\ell_\infty) \sim \text{Lip}_0(c_0(\mathfrak{c})).$$

ℓ_∞ and $c_0(\mathfrak{c})$ are readily seen to be nonisomorphic, since ℓ_∞^* is w^* -separable while $c_0(\mathfrak{c})^*$ is not. Since $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is non-scattered, they are not even uniformly homeomorphic, see [17, Theorem 6.3].

4. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we present some results needed to prove Theorems 3 and 5. The first is a formula that relates the Lipschitz-free space of certain unions of metric spaces to the Lipschitz-free space of each component of that union, provided that a certain orthogonality condition is satisfied. For convenience of the reader, we include its simple proof.

Proposition 6. ([13, Proposition 5.1], [21, Proposition 3.9]). *Let (X, d) be a metric space with a distinguished point 0 and let $(X_j)_{j \in \Gamma}$ be a family of subsets of X satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $X = \bigcup_{j \in \Gamma} X_j$;
- (2) $X_i \cap X_j = \{0\}$ for $i \neq j$;
- (3) (orthogonality) *there exists $C \geq 1$ such that, for all $i \neq j$, $x \in X_i$ and $y \in X_j$, $d(x, 0) + d(y, 0) \leq C d(x, y)$.*

Then

$$\mathcal{F}(X) \sim \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(X_j) \right)_{\ell_1}.$$

Proof. Assuming that each (X_j, d) is a metric space having 0 as distinguished point, we consider the function $\Phi : \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \text{Lip}_0(X_j) \right)_{\ell_\infty} \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(X)$ given by $\Phi((f_j))(x) = f_i(x)$ if $x \in X_i$. For each $x \in X_r$ and $y \in X_s$ with $r \neq s$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi((f_j))(x) - \Phi((f_j))(y)| &= |f_r(x) - f_s(y)| \leq \|f_r\|d(x, 0) + \|f_s\|d(y, 0) \\ &\leq C \max\{\|f_r\|, \|f_s\|\}d(x, y) \leq C\|(f_j)\|d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that Φ is a well defined bounded linear operator, easily seen to be also surjective. Recalling that on bounded sets of any $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ space the weak* topology coincides with the topology of pointwise convergence, it is readily seen that Φ is weak* continuous. Thus, Φ is the adjoint of an isomorphism from $\mathcal{F}(X)$ onto $\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(X_j) \right)_{\ell_1}$. \square

We will also need the following strengthening of [5, Lemma 2].

Proposition 7. *Let $(Y_j)_{j \in \Gamma}$ be a family of subspaces of a Banach space X and $(P_j)_{j \in \Gamma}$, $P_j : X \rightarrow Y_j$, be a family of projections such that*

- (1) $P_j[X] = Y_j$ for all j ;
- (2) $P_j \circ P_i(x) = 0$ for all x whenever $i \neq j$;

(3) the formula $x \mapsto (P_j(x))_{j \in \Gamma}$ defines a bounded injective linear operator from X to $\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} Y_j\right)_{c_0}$.

Then,

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(Y_j)\right)_{\ell_1} \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{F}(X).$$

Proof. From condition (3), for each $x \in X$ we have $(P_j(x))_{j \in \Gamma} \in \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} Y_j\right)_{c_0}$. Moreover, $x = 0$ if and only if $P_j(x) = 0$ for all $j \in \Gamma$. Therefore, for each $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ there is $\beta(x) \in \Gamma$ such that $\|P_{\beta(x)}\| = \max_{i \in \Gamma} \|P_i(x)\| > 0$. Write $Y = \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} Y_i$ and define $R : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$R(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ \left(1 - \max_{i \neq \beta(x)} \left(\frac{\|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_{\beta(x)}(x)\|}\right)\right) P_{\beta(x)}(x) & \text{if } x \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

If for some $j \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X$ we have $j \neq \beta(x)$ and $\|P_j(x)\| = \|P_{\beta(x)}(x)\|$, then $\max_{i \neq \beta(x)} \|P_i(x)\| = \|P_{\beta(x)}(x)\|$ and $R(x) = 0$. We deduce that R is well defined. Furthermore, from condition (2), if $x \neq 0$ then $\beta(R(x)) = \beta(x)$ and $\max_{i \neq \beta(R(x))} \|P_i(R(x))\| = 0$. It follows that $R(R(x)) = R(x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Let us verify that R is Lipschitz, thus a Lipschitz retraction. In effect, let x and y be arbitrary elements of X . If $x \neq 0$ and $y = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(x) - R(y)\| &= \left\| \left(1 - \max_{i \neq \beta(x)} \left(\frac{\|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_{\beta(x)}(x)\|}\right)\right) P_{\beta(x)}(x) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \max_{i \neq \beta(x)} \{P_{\beta(x)}(x) - P_i(x)\} \right\| \leq 2 \sup_{j \in \Gamma} \|P_j\| \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$ let us fix $j = \beta(x)$ and $r = \beta(y)$. If $j \neq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(x) - R(y)\| &= \left\| \left(1 - \max_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{\|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_j(x)\|}\right)\right) P_j(x) - \left(1 - \max_{i \neq r} \left(\frac{\|P_i(y)\|}{\|P_r(y)\|}\right)\right) P_r(y) \right\| \\ &= \left\| \left(\|P_j(x)\| - \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\|\right) \frac{P_j(x)}{\|P_j(x)\|} + \left(\|P_r(y)\| - \max_{i \neq r} \|P_i(y)\|\right) \frac{P_r(y)}{\|P_r(y)\|} \right\| \\ &\leq \left| \|P_j(x)\| - \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\| \right| + \left| \|P_r(y)\| - \max_{i \neq r} \|P_i(y)\| \right| \\ &\leq (\|P_j(x)\| - \|P_j(y)\|) + (\|P_r(y)\| - \|P_r(x)\|) \\ &\leq (\|P_j(x - y)\| + \|P_r(x - y)\|) \leq 2 \sup_{j \in \Gamma} \|P_j\| \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

If $j = r$, without loss of generality we may assume that $\max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\| \geq \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(y)\|$. Let $s \in \Gamma$ be such that $\|P_s(x)\| = \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\|$. Then $\max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(y)\| \geq \|P_s(y)\|$, and

$$\left| \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\| - \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(y)\| \right| \leq \left| \|P_s(x)\| - \|P_s(y)\| \right| \leq \|P_s(x) - P_s(y)\|.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R(x) - R(y)\| &= \left\| \left(1 - \max_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{\|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_j(x)\|} \right) \right) P_j(x) - \left(1 - \max_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{\|P_i(y)\|}{\|P_j(y)\|} \right) \right) P_j(y) \right\| \\
&\leq \left(1 - \max_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{\|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_j(x)\|} \right) \right) \|P_j(x) - P_j(y)\| + \left\| \left(\max_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{\|P_i(y)\|}{\|P_j(y)\|} \right) - \max_{i \neq j} \left(\frac{\|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_j(x)\|} \right) \right) P_j(y) \right\| \\
&\leq \|P_j(x) - P_j(y)\| \\
&+ \left\| \frac{\max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_j(x)\|} - \frac{\max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_j(y)\|} + \left(\frac{\max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\| - \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(y)\|}{\|P_j(y)\|} \right) \right\| \|P_j(y)\| \\
&\leq \|P_j(x) - P_j(y)\| + \left(\frac{\max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\|}{\|P_j(x)\|} \right) \| \|P_j(x)\| - \|P_j(y)\| \| + \left| \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(x)\| - \max_{i \neq j} \|P_i(y)\| \right| \\
&\leq 2\|P_j(x) - P_j(y)\| + \|P_s(x) - P_s(y)\| \leq 3 \sup_{j \in \Gamma} \|P_j\| \|x - y\|.
\end{aligned}$$

From condition (3) and the Banach-Steinhaus theorem we may fix $A = \sup_{j \in \Gamma} \|P_j\| < \infty$ and deduce that for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\|R(x) - R(y)\| \leq 3A\|x - y\|.$$

Since is a Lipschitz retraction, from [5, Lemma 3] it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}\left(\bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} Y_j\right) \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{F}(X).$$

From conditions (2) and (3), there is $C > 0$ such that $\max\{\|x_i\|, \|x_j\|\} \leq C\|x_i - x_j\|$ whenever $x_i \in Y_i, x_j \in Y_j$, thus Proposition 6 applies, which means that

$$\mathcal{F}(Y) \sim \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(Y_j) \right)_{\ell_1},$$

and we are done. \square

Corollary 8. *If Γ is an infinite set then*

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(c_0) \right)_{\ell_1} \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{F}(c_0(\Gamma)).$$

Consequently,

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \text{Lip}_0(c_0) \right)_{\ell_\infty} \xrightarrow{c} \text{Lip}_0(c_0(\Gamma)).$$

Proof. Putting $X = \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} c_0 \right)_{c_0}$ and $Y_j = c_0$ for each $j \in \Gamma$, by Proposition 7 we have that

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(c_0) \right)_{\ell_1} \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{F}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} c_0\right)_{c_0}\right).$$

Since Γ is infinite, then $c_0(\Gamma) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} c_0 \right)_{c_0}$ whence follows the first relation. The second relation follows from the first by duality. \square

Remark 9. From Proposition 7 we may also obtain other relations on Lipschitz free spaces of infinite sums of Banach spaces, as follows. For each $p \in [1, \infty)$ and a family of Banach spaces $(Y_i)_{i \in \Gamma}$ the following relation holds:

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(Y_j) \right)_{\ell_1} \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{F}\left(\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} Y_j \right)_{\ell_p} \right).$$

We may deduce for example that for each infinite set Γ and for each $p \in [1, \infty)$,

$$\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(\ell_p) \right)_{\ell_1} \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{F}(\ell_p(\Gamma)).$$

Lemma 10. *Let $(Y_j)_{j \in \Gamma}$ be a family of subsets of a pointed metric space $(X, d, 0)$, $(R_j)_{j \in \Gamma}$ be a family of Lipschitz maps, $R_j : X \rightarrow Y_j$, fixing the origin 0, such that $\sup_{j \in \Gamma} \|R_j\|_{\text{Lip}} = M < \infty$, and let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on Γ , such that $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} R_j(x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. Then,*

$$\text{Lip}_0(X) \xrightarrow{c} \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \text{Lip}_0(Y_j) \right)_{\ell_\infty}.$$

Proof. The proof follows the same lines of [4, Key Lemma]. Consider an operator $T : \text{Lip}_0(X) \rightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \text{Lip}_0(Y_j) \right)_{\ell_\infty}$ given by

$$T(f) = (f|_{Y_j})_{j \in \Gamma},$$

where $f|_{Y_j}$ denotes the restriction to Y_j . It is evident that T is a well defined linear operator with $\|T\| \leq 1$.

On the other hand, consider the map $S : \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \text{Lip}_0(Y_j) \right)_{\ell_\infty} \rightarrow \text{Lip}_0(X)$ defined by the formula

$$S((f_j)_{j \in \Gamma})(x) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f_j(R_j(x)).$$

Since, for each $x \in X$, $\sup_{j \in \Gamma} |f_j(R_j(x))| \leq M \| (f_j)_{j \in \Gamma} \|_{\ell_\infty} d(x, 0)$, the previous limit always exists. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |S((f_j)_{j \in \Gamma})(x) - S((f_j)_{j \in \Gamma})(y)| &= \left| \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f_j(R_j(x)) - \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f_j(R_j(y)) \right| \\ &= \left| \lim_{\mathcal{U}} (f_j(R_j(x)) - f_j(R_j(y))) \right| \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \| (f_j)_{j \in \Gamma} \|_{\ell_\infty} d(R_j(x), R_j(y)) \\ &\leq M \| (f_j)_{j \in \Gamma} \|_{\ell_\infty} d(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that S is bounded linear operator with $\|S\| \leq M$. Moreover, for every $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X)$ and $x \in X$ we have

$$S(T(f))(x) = S((f|_{Y_j})_{j \in \Gamma}) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f|_{Y_j}(R_j(x)) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} f(R_j(x)) = f(x).$$

Therefore, $S \circ T = \text{Id}_{\text{Lip}_0(X)}$ is the identity whence $P = T \circ S$ is a projection onto an isomorphic copy of $\text{Lip}_0(X)$ in $\left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \text{Lip}_0(Y_j) \right)_{\ell_\infty}$. The conclusion follows. \square

Proposition 11. *If K is an infinite Hausdorff zero-dimensional compactum of weight $w(K)$, then*

$$\text{Lip}_0(C(K)) \xrightarrow{c} \left(\bigoplus_{w(K)} \text{Lip}_0(c_0) \right)_{\ell_\infty}$$

Proof. Let K be an infinite zero-dimensional compactum. Let Γ be the set of all partitions of K into a finite number of pairwise disjoint clopen sets from a fixed clopen basis with cardinality $w(K)$. We consider on Γ the following order: for every $i, j \in \Gamma$, $i \leq j$ if and only if j is finer than i , that is, all elements of i are union of elements of j . It follows that, endowed with this order, Γ is a directed set.

For each nonempty clopen set U we fix $x_U \in U$. We consider Y_j as the collection of all functions that are constant in each element of the partition j . It is evident that Y_j is isometric to $C(K_j)$ where K_j is a finite set of the same cardinality as j . Let $R_j : C(K) \rightarrow C(K_j)$ the norm 1 projection given by the formula

$$R_j(f)(x) = \sum_{U \in j} f(x_U) \chi_U.$$

Next, for each f in $C(K)$ and for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a finite partition $j_0 \in \Gamma$ such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ for each x, y belonging to the same element $U \in j_0$. This implies that $\|f - R_{j_0}(f)\| < \epsilon$ and this is also true for $j \geq j_0$.

We deduce that for each $f \in C(K)$ the net $(R_j(f))_{j \in \Gamma}$ converges to f . Let \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter on Γ containing all sets of the form $W_j = \{i \geq j : i \in \Gamma\}$, with $j \in \Gamma$. Then, $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} R_j(f) = f$ for every $f \in C(K)$. According to Lemma 10,

$$\text{Lip}_0(C(K)) \xrightarrow{c} \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \text{Lip}_0(C(K_j)) \right)_{\ell_\infty}.$$

Since each $C(K_j)$ is 1-complemented in c_0 we deduce

$$\text{Lip}_0(C(K)) \xrightarrow{c} \left(\bigoplus_{j \in \Gamma} \text{Lip}_0(c_0) \right)_{\ell_\infty}.$$

□

Recall that a metric space is called an absolute Lipschitz retract if there is a Lipschitz retraction from each of its superspaces onto it. To conclude this section, we point out that, as it happens for c_0 , $c_0(\Gamma)$ is an absolute Lipschitz retract, for any infinite set Γ . The proof is an adaptation of [2, Example 1.5]:

Proposition 12. *For any infinite subset Γ , $c_0(\Gamma)$ is an absolute Lipschitz retract.*

Proof. For each $x \in \ell_\infty(\Gamma)$ let $d(x) = \inf\{\|x - a\| : a \in c_0(\Gamma)\}$, that is, $d(x)$ is the distance between x and $c_0(\Gamma)$. We claim that for each $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\Gamma_\epsilon(x) = \{i \in \Gamma : |x_i| \geq d(x) + \epsilon\}$ is finite. For otherwise, given $\epsilon > 0$ let $a = (a_i)_i \in c_0(\Gamma)$ such that $d(x) \leq \|x - a\| < d(x) + \epsilon$. If $\Gamma_\epsilon(x)$ is infinite, then for any $\delta > 0$ there is $i \in \Gamma_\epsilon(x)$ such that $|a_i| < \delta$. Hence

$$d(x) + \epsilon \leq |x_i| \leq |x_i - a_i| + |a_i| \leq \|x - a\| + \delta.$$

We deduce that $d(x) + \epsilon \leq \|x - a\|$, a contradiction.

We may then define a map $R : \ell_\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$ by the formula:

$$(R(x))_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x_i| < d(x) \\ (|x_i| - d(x))\text{sign}(x_i) & \text{if } |x_i| \geq d(x). \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that $R(a) = a$ for each $a \in c_0(\Gamma)$, and that R is 2-Lipschitz. The conclusion follows from [2, Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 1.2]. □

5. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

We are now ready to prove our main results.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let K be a member of the class \mathcal{B} . Assume that K has weight κ and admits a cellular family of cardinality γ . According to a result of H. Rosenthal, see [19], $C(K)$ has a linear isometric copy of $c_0(\gamma)$. From Proposition 12, there is a Lipschitz retraction from $C(K)$ onto $c_0(\gamma)$. Then, from [5, Lemma 3],

$$\text{Lip}_0(c_0(\gamma)) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Lip}_0(C(K)).$$

On the other hand, since K belongs to the class \mathcal{B} , there is a zero-dimensional Hausdorff compactum L such that $C(K) \sim C(L)$. The compactum L has the same weight as K . Then, from Proposition 11 and Corollary 8 we have

$$\text{Lip}_0(C(L)) \xrightarrow{c} \left(\bigoplus_{\kappa} \text{Lip}_0(c_0) \right)_{\ell_\infty} \xrightarrow{c} \text{Lip}_0(c_0(\kappa))$$

and we are done since $\text{Lip}_0(C(K)) \sim \text{Lip}_0(C(L))$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Fix a collection $\{N_j : j \in \mathbf{c}\}$ of infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} such that $N_j \cap N_i$ is finite whenever $i \neq j$. Let E be the closed linear span in ℓ_∞ of the set formed by the canonical copy of c (the space of convergent sequences), together with the characteristic functions $\{\chi_{N_i} : i \in \mathbf{c}\}$. The space E is isometrically isomorphic to $C(K_E)$, where K_E is an Isbell-Mrówka compactum as mentioned in the Section 3, see [15, Section 2.1]. Since K_E is a Hausdorff scattered compactum of finite Cantor-Bendixson height, E is Lipschitz equivalent to $c_0(\mathbf{c})$ ([2, Theorem 7.13]). Because $c_0(\mathbf{c})$ is an absolute Lipschitz retract (Proposition 12), it follows from [2, Proposition 1.2] that E is a Lipschitz retract of ℓ_∞ . From [5, Lemma 3],

$$\mathcal{F}(c_0(\mathbf{c})) \sim \mathcal{F}(E) \xrightarrow{c} \mathcal{F}(\ell_\infty),$$

and consequently,

$$\text{Lip}_0(c_0(\mathbf{c})) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Lip}_0(\ell_\infty).$$

On the other hand, since $\ell_\infty \cong C(\beta\mathbb{N})$ and $\beta\mathbb{N}$ is a zero-dimensional compactum of weight \mathbf{c} , by Theorem 3 we have

$$\text{Lip}_0(\ell_\infty) \cong \text{Lip}_0(C(\beta\mathbb{N})) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Lip}_0(c_0(\mathbf{c})).$$

Since $\text{Lip}_0(\ell_\infty)$ is isomorphic to $\left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Lip}_0(\ell_\infty) \right)_{\ell_\infty}$ ([13, Theorem 3.1]), an application of Pełczyński's decomposition method yields the result. \square

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Profs. Michal Doucha and Valentin Ferenczi for reading our manuscript and providing useful comments and Prof. Marek Cúth for references. We are especially indebted to Prof. Piotr Koszmider for his patience in answering many questions about $C(K)$ spaces, and for the main idea behind the proof of Proposition 11.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Avilés, P. Koszmider, *A continuous image of a Radon-Nikodým compact space which is not Radon-Nikodým* Duke Math. J. 162 (2013), no. 12, 2285–2299.
- [2] Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss *Geometric nonlinear function analysis Vol. 1*, AMS, 2000.
- [3] Y. Benyamini, M. E. Rudin, M. L. Wage *Continuous images of weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces* Pac. J. Math 70 (2) (1977), 309–324
- [4] L. Candido, M. Cúth, M. Doucha, *Isomorphisms between spaces of Lipschitz functions*, J. Funct. Anal. 277 (2019) 2697–2727.
- [5] Y. Dutrieux, V. Ferenczi, *The Lipschitz free Banach spaces of $C(K)$ -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006) 1039–1044.
- [6] M. Fabian, P., Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía, J. Pelant, V. Zizler, *Functional Analysis and Infinite-Dimensional Geometry* CMS Books in Mathematics, 2001.
- [7] G. Godefroy, *A survey on Lipschitz-free Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. 55 (2015) 89–118
- [8] G. Godefroy, N.J. Kalton, *Lipschitz-free Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 159 (2003) 121–141, Dedicated to Professor Aleksander Pełczyński on the occasion of his 70th birthday.
- [9] P. Hájek, V. Montesinos Santalucía, J. Vanderwerff, V. Zizler *Biorthogonal Systems in Banach Spaces* CMS Books in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag New York, 2008
- [10] P. Hájek, M. Novotný, *Some remarks on the structure of Lipschitz-free spaces* Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., 24 (2) (2017), 283–304.
- [11] F. Hernández-Hernández, M. Hrušák M. *Topology of Mrówka-Isbell Spaces*. Pseudocompact Topological Spaces, 253–289, Dev. Math., 55. Springer, Cham, 2018.
- [12] W. B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, G. Schechtman, *Banach spaces determined by their uniform structures*, Geom. & Funct. Anal., 6(3) (1996), pp. 430–470.
- [13] P. L. Kaufmann, *Products of lipschitz-free spaces and applications*, (2014). Available at <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1403.6605.pdf>
- [14] P. Kaufmann, *Products of Lipschitz-free spaces and applications*, Studia Math., 226 (2015), pp. 213–227.
- [15] P. Koszmider, N. J. Laustsen *A Banach space induced by an almost disjoint family, admitting only few operators and decompositions*, (2020). Available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2003.03832.pdf>
- [16] P. Koszmider, *Banach spaces of continuous functions with few operators*, Math. Ann. 330 (2004), 151–183.
- [17] W. B. Johnson, J. Lindenstrauss, G. Schechtman, *Banach spaces determined by their uniform structure*, Geom Funct Anal 6 (1996), 430–470
- [18] A. Naor, G. Schechtman, *Planar Earthmover is not in L_1* , 2006 47th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS'06), Berkeley, CA, (2006), pp. 655–666.
- [19] H. P. Rosenthal, *On injective Banach spaces and the spaces $L^\infty(\mu)$ for finite measures μ* , Acta Math., 124(1970), 205–248.
- [20] Z. Semadeni, *Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions Vol. I*, Monografie Matematyczne, Tom 55. Warsaw, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1971.
- [21] N. Weaver, *Lipschitz Algebras*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1999, 2nd edition.

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO PAULO - UNIFESP. INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIA E TECNOLOGIA. DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA. SÃO JOSÉ DOS CAMPOS - SP, BRASIL
Email address: leandro.candido@unifesp.br

UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO PAULO - UNIFESP. INSTITUTO DE CIÊNCIA E TECNOLOGIA. DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA. SÃO JOSÉ DOS CAMPOS - SP, BRASIL
Email address: plkaufmann@unifesp.br