Index of continuous semi-Fredholm families, regularities and semiregularities

M. Berkani

Abstract

In this paper, we define and index for continuous families of semi-Fredholm bounded liner operators. Moreover, we study various regularities and semiregularities of continuous families of bounded linear operators.

1 Introduction

In this part, we will introduce some definitions and notations that will be needed in the next sections.

In [7], the concept of regularity was defined by the following:

DEFINITION 1.1. Let A be a unital Banach algebra, with unit \mathbf{e} . A non-empty subset \mathbf{R} of A is called a regularity if it satisfies the following conditions:

- i) If $a \in A$ and $n \ge 1$, then $a \in \mathbf{R}$ if and only if $a^n \in \mathbf{R}$.
- ii) If $a, b, c, d \in L(X)$ are mutually commuting elements of A satisfying $ac + bd = \mathbf{e}$, then $ab \in \mathbf{R}$ if and only if $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$.

A regularity **R** defines in a natural way a spectrum by $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : a - \lambda \mathbf{e} \notin \mathbf{R}\}$, for $a \in A$. Moreover the spectrum $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}$ satisfies the spectral mapping theorem.

Let X be an infinite dimensional Banach space and L(X) be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators acting X. Various regularities of the Banach algebra L(X) were studied in [7] and [1]. Here, we will consider similar regularities but for continuous families of bounded linear operators.

In the sequel if E and F are two vector spaces, the notation $E \simeq F$ will mean that E and F are isomorphic. If E and F are vector subspaces of the same vector space X, we will say that E is essentially included in F and write $E \subset_e F$, if there exist a finite dimensional vector subspace G of X such that $E \subset F + G$. We will say that E

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A53, 47A60

Key words and phrases: connected components, semi-Fredholm, homotopy, index, regularity, semiregularity,

is essentially equal to F and write $E =_e F$ if $E \subset_e F$ and $F \subset_e E$. We define also the infimum of the empty set to be ∞ .

For $T \in L(X)$, we will denote by N(T) the null space of T, by $\alpha(T)$ the nullity of T, by R(T) the range of T and by $\beta(T)$ its defect. If the range R(T) of T is closed and $\alpha(T) < \infty$ (resp. $\beta(T) < \infty$), then T is called an upper semi-Fredholm (resp. a lower semi-Fredholm) operator. A semi-Fredholm operator is an upper or a lower semi-Fredholm operator. In the sequel $\Phi_+(X)$ (resp. $\Phi_-(X)$) will denote the set of upper (resp. lower) semi-Fredholm operators and $\mathbf{S}\Phi(X) = \Phi_+(X) \cup \Phi_-(X)$ will denote the set of semi-Fredholm operators. If both of $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ are finite, then T is called a Fredholm operator and the index of T is defined by $ind(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$; $\Phi(X)$ will denote the set of Fredholm operators. It is well known that $\Phi_+(X), \Phi_-(X), \mathbf{S}\Phi(X)$ and $\Phi(X)$ are all open subset of L(X), see for example [4, Chapter 4].

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $T \in L(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $c_n(T) = \dim R(T^n)/R(T^{n+1})$. The descent of T is defined by $\delta(T) = \inf\{n : c_n(T) = 0\} = \inf\{n : R(T^n) = R(T^{n+1})\}$ and the essential descent of T is defined by $\delta_e(T) = \inf\{n : c_n(T) < \infty\} = \inf\{n : R(T^n) = R(T^{n+1})\}$.

DEFINITION 1.3. Let $T \in L(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $c'_n(T) = \dim N(T^{n+1})/N(T^n)$. Then the ascent a(T) of T is defined by $a(T) = \inf\{n : c'_n(T) = 0\} = \inf\{n : N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})\}$ and the essential ascent of T is defined by $a_e(T) = \inf\{n : c'_n(T) < \infty\} = \inf\{n : N(T^{n+1}) =_e N(T^n)\}$.

DEFINITION 1.4. [5]: Let $T \in L(X)$. The sequence $(k_n(T))_n$ is defined by $k_n(T) = dim[(R(T^n) \cap N(T))/(R(T^{n+1}) \cap N(T))]$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

DEFINITION 1.5. [5] Let $T \in L(X)$ and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then T has a uniform descent for $n \geq d$ if $R(T) + N(T^n) = R(T) + N(T^d)$ for all $n \geq d$. If in addition $R(T) + N(T^d)$ is closed, then T is said to have a topological uniform descent for $n \geq d$.

Let \mathbb{X} be a compact topological space and let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ be the algebra of continuous maps from the topological space \mathbb{X} into the Banach algebra L(X). If $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{X} \to L(X)$, we denote by \mathcal{T}_x the image $\mathcal{T}(x)$ of an element $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

Recall that $\mathcal{C}(X, L(X))$ is a unital algebra with the usual properties of addition, scalar multiplication and multiplication defined by:

 $(\lambda S + T)_x = \lambda S_x + T_x, (ST)_x = S_x T_x, \forall (S, T) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))^2, \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$ The unit element \mathcal{I} of the algebra $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ is the map defined by: $\mathcal{I}_x = I$, for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, where I is the identity operator. Moreover as \mathbb{X} is compact, if we set $\|T\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \|T_x\|, \forall T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$, then $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ equipped with this norm is a unital Banach algebra.

2 Index of continuous semi-Fredholm families

In [2] and [3] respectively, we defined an analytical index for continuous Fredholm families of bounded linear operators into a Hilbert space (respectively into a Banach space). Here, in the same way as in [2] and [3], we define an analytical index for continuous semi-Fredholm families into a Banach space.

Let X be a topological space. Define an equivalence relation c on the space X by setting that x c y, if and only if x and y belongs to the same connected component of X. Let X^c be the quotient space associated to this equivalence relation. We extend here the definition [3, Definition 1.1] of the index of a continuous family of Fredholm operators to the case of a continuous family of semi-Fredholm operators.

DEFINITION 2.1. The index of a continuous family of semi-Fredholm operators $\mathcal{T}: \mathbb{X} \to L(X)$, parameterized by a topological space \mathbb{X} is defined by $ind(\mathcal{T}) = (ind(\mathcal{T}_x))_{\overline{x} \in \mathbb{X}^c}$.

Thus the index of a continuous family of semi-Fredholm operators \mathcal{T} is a sequence of elements of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{-\infty, +\infty\}$, which may be a finite sequence or infinite sequence, depending on the cardinal n_c of the connected components of \mathbb{X} , which is assumed here to have at most a countable set of connected components.

THEOREM 2.2. The index of a continuous family of semi-Fredholm operators \mathcal{T} parameterized by a topological space \mathbb{X} is well defined as an element of $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{n_c}$. In particular if \mathbb{X} is reduced to a single element, then the index of \mathcal{T} is equal to the usual index of the semi-Fredholm operator \mathcal{T} .

Proof. From the properties of the index [4, Chapter 4], it follows that two semi-Fredholm operators located in the same connected component of the set of semi-Fredholm operators have the same index. Moreover, as \mathcal{T} is continuous, the image of a connected component of the topological space \mathbb{X} , is included in a connected component of the set of semi-Fredholm operators. This shows that the index of a family of semi-Fredholm operators is well defined. Moreover, it is clear that if \mathbb{X} is reduced to a single element, the index of \mathcal{T} defined here is equal to the usual index of the single semi-Fredholm operator T.

DEFINITION 2.3. A continuous family K from X to L(X) is said to be compact if K_x is compact for all $x \in X$.

PROPOSITION 2.4. i) Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbf{s}\Phi(X))$ be a continuous semi-Fredholm family and let \mathcal{K} be a continuous compact family. Then $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{K}$ is a semi-Fredholm family and $ind(\mathcal{T}) = ind(\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{K})$.

ii) Let $S, T \in C(X, \mathbf{s}\Phi(X))$ be two semi-Fredholm families, such that for all $x \in X$, S_x and T_x are both upper semi-Fredholm or both lower semi-Fredholm. Then the family ST defined by $(ST)_x = S_x T_x$ is a continuous semi-Fredholm family and ind(ST) = ind(S) + ind(T).

Proof. This is clear from the usual properties of semi-Fredholm operators.

DEFINITION 2.5. Let \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} be in $\in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, s\Phi(X))$. We will say that \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} are semi-Fredholm homotopic, if there exists a continuous map $h:[0,1]\times X\to L(X)$ such that $h(0,x) = \mathcal{S}_x$, $h(1,x) = \mathcal{T}_x$ and for all $(t,x) \in [0,1] \times \mathbb{X}$, h(t,x) is a semi-Fredholm operator.

THEOREM 2.6. Let S, T be two semi-Fredholm homotopic elements of C(X, L(X)). Then $ind(\mathcal{T}) = ind(\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. Since S and T are semi-Fredholm homotopic, there exists a continuous map $h: \mathbb{X} \times [0,1] \to Fred(X)$ such that such that $h(0,x) = \mathcal{S}(x)$ and h(1,x) = T(x) for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. For a fixed $x \in \mathbb{X}$, the map $h_x : [0,1] \to \mathbf{s}\Phi(X)$, defined by $h_x(t) = h(t,x)$ is a continuous path in $\mathbf{s}\Phi(X)$) linking \mathcal{S}_x to \mathcal{T}_x . Since [0,1] is connected and since the usual index is constant on connected sets of $\mathbf{s}\Phi(X)$, then $ind(\mathcal{S}_x) = ind(\mathcal{T}_x)$. Therefore $ind(\mathcal{S}) = ind(\mathcal{T})$.

THEOREM 2.7. Let \mathbb{X} be a compact topological space. Then the set $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{SF}(X))$ of continuous semi-Fredholm families is an open subset of the Banach algebra $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ endowed with the uniform norm $\|\mathcal{T}\| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \|\mathcal{T}_x\|$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbf{s}\Phi(X))$, then $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \exists \epsilon_x > 0$, such that $B(\mathcal{T}_x, \epsilon_x) \subset \mathbf{s}\Phi(X)$. We have $\mathbb{X} \subset \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{T}^{-1}(B(\mathcal{T}_x, \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}}{2}))$. Since \mathbb{X} is compact, there exists $x_1, ... x_n$ in \mathbb{X} such that $\mathbb{X} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n T^{-1}(B(\mathcal{T}_{x_i}, \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}}{2}))$. Let $\epsilon = min\{\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}}{2} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ the minimum of

the $\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}}{2}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ such that $||\mathcal{T} - S|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. If $x \in \mathbb{X}$, then $||\mathcal{T}_x - \mathcal{S}_x|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and there exists $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, such that $x \in \mathcal{T}^{-1}(B(T_{x_i}, \epsilon_{x_i}))$. Then $||\mathcal{S}_x - \mathcal{T}_{x_i}|| \le ||\mathcal{S}_x - \mathcal{T}_x|| + ||\mathcal{T}_x - \mathcal{T}_{x_i}|| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon_{x_i}/2 \le \epsilon_{x_i}$ and so \mathcal{S}_x is a semi-Fredholm operator. Therefore $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbf{s}\Phi(X))$ and $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbf{s}\Phi(X))$ is open in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$.

Theorem 2.8. Let X be a compact topological space. Then the index is a continuous locally constant function from $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbf{s}\Phi(X))$ into the group \mathbb{Z}^{n_c} .

Proof. As the set $\mathbf{s}\Phi(X)$ is an open subset of L(X), if $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbf{s}\Phi(X))$, then $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \exists \epsilon_x > 0$, such that $B(\mathcal{T}_x, \epsilon_x) \subset \mathbf{s}\Phi(X)$. Then the index is constant on $B(\mathcal{T}_x, \epsilon_x)$, because $B(\mathcal{T}_x, \epsilon_x)$ is connected. We have $\mathbb{X} \subset \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \mathcal{T}^{-1}(B(\mathcal{T}_x, \frac{\epsilon_x}{2}))$. Since \mathbb{X} is compact, there exists $x_1, ... x_n$ in \mathbb{X} such that $\mathbb{X} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{T}^{-1}(B(\mathcal{T}_{x_i}, \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}}{2}))$. Let

 $\epsilon = \min\{\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}}{2} | 1 \le i \le n\}$ the minimum of the $\frac{\epsilon_{x_i}}{2}, 1 \le i \le n$, and let $S \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \mathbf{s}\Phi(X))$ such that $||\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{S}|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. If $x \in \mathbb{X}$, then $||\mathcal{T}_x - \mathcal{S}_x|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ and there exists $i, 1 \le i \le n$, such that $x \in \mathcal{T}^{-1}(B(T_{x_i}, \frac{\epsilon_{x_i}}{2}))$. Then $||\mathcal{S}_x - \mathcal{T}_{x_i}|| \le ||\mathcal{S}_x - \mathcal{T}_x|| + ||\mathcal{T}_x - \mathcal{T}_{x_i}|| < ||\mathcal{T}_x - \mathcal{T}_x|| < ||\mathcal{T$ $\epsilon/2 + \epsilon_{x_i}/2 \le \epsilon_{x_i}$. So $ind(\mathcal{S}_x) = ind(\mathcal{T}_{x_i}) = ind(\mathcal{T}_x)$. Hence the index is a locally constant function, in particular it is a continuous function.

3 upper semiregularities of continuous families of bounded linear operators

In this part we consider various regularities of continuous families of bounded linear operators, parametrized by compact topological space X. These regularities had been considered in [7] and [1], in the case when X is reduced to a single element.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X be a compact topological space. We define the sets \mathcal{R}_i , $1 \leq i \leq 16$, as follows:

```
\mathcal{R}_1 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}_x \text{ is onto} \}
\mathcal{R}_2 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}_x \in \Phi_-(X) \text{ and } \delta(\mathcal{T}_x) < \infty \}.
\mathcal{R}_3 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, : \delta(\mathcal{T}_x) < \infty \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}_x^{\delta(\mathcal{T}_x)}) \text{ is closed} \}.
\mathcal{R}_4 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}_x \in \Phi_-(X) \}.
\mathcal{R}_5 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \delta_e(\mathcal{T}_x) < \infty \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}^{\delta_e(\mathcal{T}_x)}) \text{ is closed } \}.
\mathcal{R}_{6} = \{ T \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}_{x} \text{ is bounded below } \}.
\mathcal{R}_7 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}_x \in \Phi_+(X) \text{ and } a(\mathcal{T}_x) < \infty \}.
\mathcal{R}_{8} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, a(\mathcal{T}_{x}) < \infty \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}_{x}^{a(\mathcal{T}_{x})+1}) \text{ is closed } \}.
\mathcal{R}_9 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}_x \in \Phi_+(X) \}.
\mathcal{R}_{10} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, a_e(\mathcal{T}_x) < \infty \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}^{a_e(\mathcal{T}_x)+1}) \text{ is closed } \}.
\mathcal{R}_{11} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, N(\mathcal{T}_x) \subset R^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_x) \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}_x) \text{ is closed } \}, \text{where} \}
R^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_x) = \bigcap R(\mathcal{T}_x^n).
\mathcal{R}_{12} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, N(\mathcal{T}_x) \subset_e R^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_x) \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}_x) \text{ is closed } \}.
\mathcal{R}_{13} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \exists \ d_x \in \mathbb{N} : R(\mathcal{T}_x) + N(\mathcal{T}_x^{d_x}) = R(\mathcal{T}_x) + N^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_x) \}
and R(\mathcal{T}_x^{d_x+1}) is closed \}, where N^{\infty}(\mathcal{T}_x) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} N(\mathcal{T}_x^n).
\mathcal{R}_{14} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, k_n(\mathcal{T}_x) < \infty \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}_x) \text{ is closed} \}.
\mathcal{R}_{15} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \exists \ d_x \in \mathbb{N} : k_n(\mathcal{T}_x) < \infty \text{ if } n \geq d_x \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}_x^{d_x+1}) \}
\mathcal{R}_{16} = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \exists d_x \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{T}_x \text{ is of topological uniform descent} \}
for n \geq d_x \}.
```

We have $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset \mathcal{R}_2 \subset \mathcal{R}_3 \subset \mathcal{R}_3 \cup \mathcal{R}_4 \subset \mathcal{R}_5 \subset \mathcal{R}_{13}$, $\mathcal{R}_6 \subset \mathcal{R}_7 \subset \mathcal{R}_8 \subset \mathcal{R}_8 \cup \mathcal{R}_9 \subset \mathcal{R}_{10} \subset \mathcal{R}_{13}$, $\mathcal{R}_{11} \subset \mathcal{R}_{12} \subset \mathcal{R}_{13} \subset \mathcal{R}_{13} \cup \mathcal{R}_{14} \subset \mathcal{R}_{15} \subset \mathcal{R}_{16}$. The elements of \mathcal{R}_{11} and \mathcal{R}_{12} are called semi-regular and essentially semi-regular continuous families. The elements of \mathcal{R}_{13} are called quasi-Fredholm continuous families and the elements of \mathcal{R}_{16} are called continuous families of topological uniform descent.

The inclusion $\mathcal{R}_5 \cup \mathcal{R}_{10} \subset \mathcal{R}_{13}$ is a consequence of [1, Proposition 3.4].

THEOREM 3.2. The sets \mathcal{R}_i , for $1 \leq i \leq 16$, are regularities in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$.

Proof. From [7] and [1, Theorem 4.3], it follows that for each single element x of \mathbb{X} ,

the set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{x}} = \{\mathcal{T}_x \mid \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}\}, 1 \leq i \leq 16 \text{ is a regularity. Thus } \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq 16, \text{ are regularities.}$

COROLLARY 3.3. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ and f an analytic function in a neighborhood of the usual spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$ of \mathcal{T} which is non-constant on any connected component of $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$. Then $f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_i}(\mathcal{T})) = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_i}(f(\mathcal{T})), 1 \leq i \leq 16$.

Proof. From [7] and [1, Theorem 4.3], it follows that for each single element x of \mathbb{X} , the set $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{x}} = \{\mathcal{T}_x \mid \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 16$, is a regularity. As $\sigma(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma(\mathcal{T}_x), 1 \leq i \leq 16$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, f is an analytic function in a neighborhood of the usual spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{T}_x)$ of x.

From [7], it follows that $f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}}(\mathcal{T}_x)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}}(f(\mathcal{T}_x)), 1 \leq i \leq 15$ and from [1, Theorem 4.3], it follows that $f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{16}}}(\mathcal{T}_x)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{16}}}(f(\mathcal{T}_x))$, for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$. As $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}}(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x)$, then $f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}}(\mathcal{T})) = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}}(f(\mathcal{T})), 1 \leq i \leq 16$.

DEFINITION 3.4. A continuous family \mathcal{F} from \mathbb{X} to L(X) is said to be of finite rank if \mathcal{F}_x is of finite rank for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$.

COROLLARY 3.5. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}_i$, for $i \in \{4, 5, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15\}$. If \mathcal{F} is a continuous family of finite rank, then $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}_i$.

Proof. From [7], it follows that for each single element x of \mathbb{X} , the regularity $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{x}}$, $i \in \{4,5,9,10,11,12,13,14,15\}$, is stable under the finite rank perturbation \mathcal{F}_x . Thus $\mathcal{T} + \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

REMARK 3.6. A continuous family \mathcal{T} in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ is said to be a Fredholm family if for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, \mathcal{T}_x is a Fredholm operator. We observe that the set $\Phi(X)$ of continuous Fredholm families is equal to $\mathcal{R}_4 \cap \mathcal{R}_9$, where \mathcal{R}_4 is the regularity of continuous families of upper semi-Fredholm operators and \mathcal{R}_9 is the regularity of continuous families of lower semi-Fredholm operators. Thus $\Phi(X)$ is a regularity and $\sigma_{\Phi(X)}(\mathcal{T}) = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_4}(\mathcal{T}) \cup \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_9}(\mathcal{T})$.

Now, we extend some known results [4, Theorem 4.3.2, Theorem 4.3.3, Corollary 1.3.4, Corollary 1.3.5, Corollary 1.3.6] in the case of a single semi-Fredholm operators to the case of continuous families of semi-Fredholm operators. As their proofs are straightforwardly obtained from the case of a single operator, we give them without proof.

THEOREM 3.7. 1. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$. Then \mathcal{T} is in \mathcal{R}_4 if and only if there exists a family of bounded linear operators $(U_x)_{x \in \mathbb{X}}$, a family of bounded linear compact operators $(K_x)_{x \in \mathbb{X}}$, a family of bounded projections $(P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{X}}$, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, P_x is defined from X onto $N(\mathcal{T}_x)$ and $\mathcal{T}_x U_x = I + K_x$.

- 2. Let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$. Then \mathcal{T} is in \mathcal{R}_{9} if and only if there exists a family of bounded linear operators $(V_x)_{x \in \mathbb{X}}$, a family of bounded linear compact operators $(K_x)_{x \in \mathbb{X}}$, a family of bounded projections $(P_x)_{x \in \mathbb{X}}$, such that for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, P_x is defined from X onto $R(\mathcal{T}_x)$ and $V_x\mathcal{T}_x = I + K_x$.
- 3. Let $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ such that $\mathcal{T}_1\mathcal{T}_2 \in \mathcal{R}_9$, then $\mathcal{T}_2 \in \mathcal{R}_9$.
- 4. Let $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ such that $\mathcal{T}_1\mathcal{T}_2 \in \mathcal{R}_4$, then $\mathcal{T}_1 \in \mathcal{R}_4$.
- 5. Let $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ such that $\mathcal{T}_1 \mathcal{T}_2 \in \Phi(X)$, then $\mathcal{T}_1 \in \mathcal{R}_4$ and $\mathcal{T}_2 \in \mathcal{R}_9$.

4 Upper and lower semiregularities of continuous families of bounded linear operators

Following [8], the axioms i) and ii) of regularities in Definition 1.1, are splitted in two halves, each of them implying a one-way spectral mapping theorem and giving raises to the notions of upper and lower semiregularities. We first consider lower semiregularities.

In all what follows, \mathbb{X} will be a compact topological space. If \mathcal{R} is an nonempty subset of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we set $\mathcal{R}_x = \{\mathcal{T}_x \mid \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}\}, x \in \mathbb{X}$.

A- Upper semiregularities

DEFINITION 4.1. Let A be a unital Banach algebra, with unit e. A non-empty subset \mathcal{R} of A is called a lower semiregularity if it satisfies the following conditions:

- i) If $a \in A$ and $a^n \in \mathbf{R}$, $n \ge 1$, then $a \in \mathcal{R}$.
- ii) If $a, b, c, d \in A$ are mutually commuting elements of A satisfying $ac + bd = \mathbf{e}$, such that $ab \in \mathcal{R}$, then $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$.

If \mathcal{R} is a lower semiregularity of A and $a \in A$, we define the **R**-spectrum of a by $\sigma_{\mathbf{R}}(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}/a - \lambda \mathbf{e} \notin \mathbf{R}\}.$

Recall the following result from [8, Lemma 2]

Lemma 4.1. Let A be a unital Banach algebra with unit e and let R a lower semiregularity of A. then:

- 1. $e \in \mathcal{R}$.
- 2. $Inv(A) \subset \mathcal{R}$, where Inv(A) is the set of invertible elements of A.
- 3. If $a \in \mathcal{R}, b \in Inv(A)$ and ab = ba, then $ab \in \mathcal{R}$.
- 4. $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(a) \subset \sigma(a)$.

5. (Translation property) $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(a + \lambda \mathbf{e}) = \lambda \mathbf{e} + \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(a)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let \mathbb{X} be a compact topological space, let \mathcal{R} be an empty subset of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$. For all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, let $\mathcal{R}_x = \{\mathcal{T}_x \mid \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}\}, x \in \mathbb{X}$. We assume that \mathcal{R} satisfies the following two conditions, summarized as conditions (*):

- i) $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)), \mathcal{T}_x \in \mathcal{R}_x \Rightarrow \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}.$
- ii) $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{R}_x$ is a lower semiregularity of L(X).

Using the properties of lower semiregularities, we obtain a one way spectral mapping theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. Let X be a compact topological space and let \mathcal{R} be a nonempty subsetof $\mathcal{C}(X, L(X))$, satisfying the conditions (*). Then:

- 1. \mathcal{R} is a lower semiregularity.
- 2. If f an analytic function in a neighborhood of the usual spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$ of an element $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$, which is non-constant on any connected component of $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$, then $f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T})) \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(f(\mathcal{T}))$.

Proof. 1. Assume for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, the set \mathcal{R}_x is a lower semiregularity of L(X). Let us show that \mathcal{R} is a regularity.

For if $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $n \geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{T}^n \in \mathcal{R}$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we have $(\mathcal{T}^n)_x = (\mathcal{T}_x)^n \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}$. As $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is a lower semiregularity, then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we have $\mathcal{T}_x \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}$. Since \mathcal{R} satisfies the conditions (*), then $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}$.

Now if $S, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}$, are commuting elements of \mathcal{R} satisfying $\mathcal{U}S + \mathcal{V}\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{I}$, such that $S\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we have $\mathcal{U}_x \mathcal{S}_x + \mathcal{V}_x \mathcal{T}_x = I$ and $\mathcal{S}_x, \mathcal{T}_x, \mathcal{U}_x, \mathcal{V}_x$, are commuting elements of \mathcal{R}_x . As we have for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\mathcal{S}_x \mathcal{T}_x = (S\mathcal{T})_x \in \mathcal{R}_x$, and since \mathcal{R}_x is a lower semiregularity, then \mathcal{S}_x and $\mathcal{T}_x \in \mathcal{R}_x$. As \mathcal{R} satisfies conditions (*), then \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} are in \mathcal{R} . Consequently \mathcal{R} is a lower semiregularity in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$.

2. Let us show that $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x)$. If $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T})$, then $\mathcal{T} - \lambda \mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{R}$. Therefore for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we have $\mathcal{T}_x - \lambda I \in \mathcal{R}_x$. Hence $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x)$. Conversely if for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x)$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\mathcal{T}_x - \lambda I \in \mathcal{R}_x$. As \mathcal{R} satisfies the conditions (*), then $\mathcal{T} - \lambda \mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{R}$. So $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{R}_x} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_x}(\mathcal{T}_x)$.

conditions (*), then $\mathcal{T} - \lambda \mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{R}$. So $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_{x})$.

As $\sigma(\mathcal{T}) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma(\mathcal{T}_{x})$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, f is an analytic function in a neighborhood of the usual spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{T}_{x})$ of \mathcal{T}_{x} . From [8, Theorem 4], we have $f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_{x})) \subset \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(f(\mathcal{T}_{x}))$. Then $f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T})) = f(\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_{x})) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_{x})) \subset \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(f(\mathcal{T}_{x})) = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(f(\mathcal{T}))$, because by the properties of the functional calculus, we have $f(\mathcal{T}_{x}) = f(\mathcal{T})_{x}$.

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let $(m_x)_{x \in \mathbb{X}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{X}}$. From [8] and Proposition 4.2, we deduce that the following sets are lower semiregularities of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$.

- 1. $\mathcal{LSR}_1 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) : \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \ \alpha(\mathcal{T}_x) \leq m_x \}$
- 2. $\mathcal{LSR}_2 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) : \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \beta(\mathcal{T}_x) \leq m_x \}$
- 3. $\mathcal{LSR}_3 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)) : \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \alpha(\mathcal{T}_x) \leq m_x \text{ and } R(\mathcal{T}_x) \text{ is closed } \}$

B- Upper semiregularities

We assume that \mathcal{R} satisfies the following two conditions, summarized as conditions (**):

- i) $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \forall \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X)), \mathcal{T}_x \in \mathcal{R}_x \Rightarrow \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}.$
- ii) $\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, \mathcal{R}_x$ is an upper semiregularity of L(X).

DEFINITION 4.4. Let A be a unital Banach algebra, with unit e. A non-empty subset \mathcal{R} of A is called an upper semiregularity if it satisfies the following conditions:

- i) If $a \in A$ and $a \in \mathcal{R}$, then for all $n \geq 1$, then $a^n \in \mathcal{R}$.
- ii) If $a, b, c, d \in L(X)$ are mutually commuting elements of A satisfying $ac + bd = \mathbf{e}$, then if $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$, then $ab \in \mathcal{R}$.
- iii) \mathcal{R} contains a neighbourhood of the unit element \mathbf{e} .

If \mathcal{R} is a lower semiregularity of A and $a \in A$, we define the \mathcal{R} -spectrum of a by $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}/a - \lambda \mathbf{e} \notin \mathcal{R}\}.$

Using the properties of upper semiregularities, we obtain a one way spectral mapping theorem. Here $Inv(\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X},L(X)))$ and Inv(L(X)), denote respectively the set of invertible elements of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X},L(X))$ and L(X).

THEOREM 4.5. Let X be a compact topological space and let \mathcal{R} be a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{C}(X, L(X))$, containing an open neighborhood of the identity \mathcal{I} and satisfying the conditions (**). Then:

- 1. \mathcal{R} is an upper semiregularity of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$.
- 2. Assume that:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{X}, if \mathcal{T}_x \in \mathcal{R}_x \cap Inv(L(X)), then (\mathcal{T}_x)^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}. (***)$$

Then if f an analytic function in a neighborhood of the usual spectrum $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$ of \mathcal{T} which is non-constant on any connected component of $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$, then $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(f(\mathcal{T}) \subset f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T}))$.

Proof.

1. Assume that for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, the set \mathcal{R}_x is an upper semiregularity of L(X). Let us show that \mathcal{R} is an upper regularity.

For if $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{R}$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we have $\mathcal{T}_x \in \mathcal{R}_x$. As \mathcal{R}_x is an upper semiregularity, then for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, we have $(\mathcal{T}_x)^n = (\mathcal{T}^n)_x \in \mathcal{R}_x$. Since \mathcal{R} satisfies the conditions (**), then $\mathcal{T}^n \in \mathcal{R}$.

Now if S, T, U, V, are commuting elements of R satisfying US + VT = I, then for all $x \in X$, we have $U_xS_x + V_xT_x = I$ and S_x, T_x, U_x, V_x , are commuting elements of R_x . As R_x is an upper semiregularity, then for all $x \in X$, we have $S_xT_x = (ST)_x \in R_x$. Since R satisfies the conditions (**), then $ST \in R$. As we know by hypothesis that R contains an open neighborhood of the identity I, then R is an upper semiregularity in C(X, L(X)).

2. As for all $x \in \mathbb{X}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}$ is an upper semiregularity satisfying (***), then from [8, Theorem 20], we have $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(f(\mathcal{T}_x)) \subset f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x))$. Then $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(f(\mathcal{T})) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(f(\mathcal{T})_x) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(f(\mathcal{T}_x))$, because by the properties of the functional calculus, we have $f(\mathcal{T})_x = f(\mathcal{T}_x)$. So $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(f(\mathcal{T})) \subset \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x))$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(f(\mathcal{T})) \subset f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T}))$, because $\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x) = \sigma_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{T})$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.2) and $f(\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} \sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x)) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{X}} f(\sigma_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{x}}}(\mathcal{T}_x))$.

EXAMPLE 4.6. Let $(m_x)_{x \in \mathbb{X}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{X}}$. From Theorem 4.4, we deduce that the following sets are upper semiregularities of $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$.

1.
$$USR_1 = \{ T \in C(X, \Phi(X)) : \forall x \in X, ind(T_x) \geq 0 \}$$

2.
$$USR_2 = \{ T \in C(X, \Phi(X)) : \forall x \in X, ind(T_x) \leq 0 \}$$

3.
$$\mathcal{USR}_3 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \Phi(X)) : \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, ind(\mathcal{T}_x) \in m_x \mathbb{Z} \}$$

4.
$$\mathcal{USR}_4 = \{ \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, \Phi(X)) : \forall x \in \mathbb{X}, ind(\mathcal{T}_x) = 0 \}$$

5.
$$USR_5 = \{ T \in C(X, \Phi_+(X)) : \forall x \in X, ind(T_x) \le 0 \}$$

6.
$$USR_6 = \{ T \in C(X, \Phi_-(X)) : \forall x \in X, ind(T_x) \ge 0 \}$$

In fact $USR_4 = USR_1 \cap USR_2$, is the upper semiregularity of Weyl families (see [3] for more details about Weyl families).

In the case of a single operator, the spectra corresponding to the upper semiregularities USR_5 and USR_6 , were called respectively the essential approximate point spectrum and the essential defect spectrum. See [9] and the references cited there.

Open question: In [6, Theorem 6.5.2], it is proved that every Weyl operator T can be written as T = V + F, where V is an invertible operator and F a finite rank one

Now let $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ be a Weyl family. Does there exists an invertible family $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ and a finite rank family $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{X}, L(X))$ such that $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{F}$?

References

- [1] M. Berkani, Restriction of an operator to the range of its powers, Studia Mathematica, 140 (2) (2000), 163-174.
- [2] M. Berkani, A new approach in index theory, To appear in Mathematische Zeitschrift. https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.07267
- [3] M. Berkani, Index of continuous families of bounded linear operators in Banach spaces and applications. Submitted.
- [4] S.R. Caradus, Pfaffenberger W.E., B. Yood, Calkin algebras and algebras of operators on banach spaces, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1974.
- [5] S. Grabiner, Uniform ascent and descent of bounded operators; J. Math. Soc. Japan 34, no 2, (1982), 317-337.
- [6] R. Harte, Invertibility and singularity for bounded linear operators. Marcel Dekker. New York, Basel, 1988.
- [7] M. Mbekhta; V. Muller On the axiomatic theory of the spectrum, II; Studia
 Math. 119 (1996), no. 2, 129 147.
- [8] V. Muller, On the axiomatic theory of the spectrum III: Semiregularities, Studia Math. 142 (2000), no. 2, 159 169.
- [9] V. Rakočević, Apostol spectrum and generalizations: A brief survey, Facta Universatis, (Niš), Ser. Math. Inform. 14 (1999), 79-108.

Mohammed Berkani, Science faculty of Oujda, University Mohammed I, Laboratory LAGA, Morocco berkanimo@aim.com