

ANOTHER ALMOST ZERO-DIMENSIONAL SPACE OF EXACT MULTIPLICATIVE CLASS 3

DAVID S. LIPHAM

ABSTRACT. We show that the escaping set for $f(z) = \exp(z) - 1$ is nowhere σ -complete. This establishes that the escaping endpoint set $\dot{E}(f)$ is a first category almost zero-dimensional space which is $F_{\sigma\delta}$ and nowhere $G_{\delta\sigma}$. Only two other elementary spaces with those properties are known: \mathbb{Q}^ω and Erdős space \mathfrak{E} . Previous work has shown that $\dot{E}(f)$ is homeomorphic to neither of those.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1980's van Engelen proved that \mathbb{Q}^ω is the unique zero-dimensional space which is first category, $F_{\sigma\delta}$, and nowhere $G_{\delta\sigma}$. In the larger class of almost zero-dimensional spaces there is another such example, namely the Erdős space $\mathfrak{E} = \{x \in \ell^2 : x_n \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for all } n < \omega\}$. The goal of this paper is to demonstrate a third fundamental example: The set of endpoints of the Julia set of $f(z) = \exp(z) - 1$ which escape to infinity under iteration of f . In symbols, $\dot{E}(f) = E(f) \cap I(f)$ where $E(f)$ is the set of endpoints of the Cantor bouquet Julia set $J(f)$, and $I(f) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : f^n(z) \rightarrow \infty\}$. That $\dot{E}(f)$ is a first category almost zero-dimensional $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -space was observed in [5]; see also [1]. Here we prove:

Theorem 1. *$\dot{E}(f)$ is nowhere σ -complete.*

Rempe [1] recently proved that $I(f)$ is nowhere σ -compact. Since $\dot{E}(f)$ is a dense G_δ -subset of $I(f)$, Theorem 1 implies the stronger result:

Corollary 2. *$I(f)$ is nowhere σ -complete.*

Corollary 2 implies that $J(f) \setminus I(f)$ is nowhere $F_{\sigma\delta}$. Since $J(f) \setminus I(f)$ is zero-dimensional [6] and $\mathbb{P}^\omega \setminus (\mathbb{Q} + \sqrt{2})^\omega$ is the unique zero-dimensional σ -complete Baire space which is nowhere $F_{\sigma\delta}$ [11, Theorem A.2.6], we get:

Corollary 3. *$J(f) \setminus I(f) \simeq \mathbb{P}^\omega \setminus (\mathbb{Q} + \sqrt{2})^\omega$.*

The following summarizes what is currently known about the topology of $\dot{E}(f)$:

- almost zero-dimensional and cohesive [5, 1]
- first category and $F_{\sigma\delta}$ [5, 10]
- nowhere $G_{\delta\sigma}$
- rim-complete and nowhere rim- σ -compact [6, 3]
- contains a dense copy of \mathfrak{E} [7]
- each point is contained in a closed copy of $\mathfrak{E}_c := \{x \in \ell^2 : x_n \notin \mathbb{Q} \text{ for all } n < \omega\}$ [1, 6]
- cannot be written as a countable union of nowhere dense C-sets. [8]

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54F45, 54H05, 30D05.

Key words and phrases. almost zero-dimensional, Borel class, complex exponential, Cantor bouquet.

The last property distinguishes $\dot{E}(f)$ from \mathbb{Q}^ω and \mathfrak{E} . It is unknown whether $\dot{E}(f)$ is a topological group, or is at least homogeneous.

Question 1. *Is $\dot{E}(f)$ homogeneous?*

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Terminology. An intersection of clopen subsets of a space X is called a C -set in X . A space X is *almost zero-dimensional* if each point $x \in X$ has a neighborhood basis of C -sets in X . Equivalently, X is almost zero-dimensional if X is homeomorphic to the graph of an upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : Z \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with zero-dimensional domain Z [2, Lemma 4.11].

A space X is *first category* if X can be written as a countable union of (closed) nowhere dense subsets. Completely metrizable spaces are precisely the absolute G_δ spaces, and by Baire's theorem these spaces are not first category.

Absolute $F_{\sigma\delta}$ spaces are said to be of *multiplicative class three*; they are the spaces in level Π_3^0 of the Borel hierarchy. A space X is σ -complete if X can be written as a countable union of completely metrizable subspaces. These are precisely the absolute $G_{\delta\sigma}$ -spaces (the members of Σ_3^0). A space X is of *exact* multiplicative class three if X is $F_{\sigma\delta}$ but not $G_{\delta\sigma}$, i.e. if $X \in \Pi_3^0 \setminus \Sigma_3^0$.

2.2. Straight brush model of $J(f)$. To prove Theorem 1 we will use the following model of $J(f)$ described in [1] and [9]. Let \mathbb{Z}^ω denote the space of integer sequences

$$\underline{s} = s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots$$

Define $\mathcal{F} : [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^\omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^\omega$ by

$$\langle t, \underline{s} \rangle \mapsto \langle F(t) - |s_1|, \sigma(\underline{s}) \rangle,$$

where $F(t) = e^t - 1$ and $\sigma(s_0 s_1 s_2 \dots) = s_1 s_2 s_3 \dots$ is the shift on \mathbb{Z}^ω . For each $x = \langle t, \underline{s} \rangle \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^\omega$ put $T(x) = t$ and $\underline{s}(x) = \underline{s}$. Let

$$J(\mathcal{F}) = \{x \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^\omega : T(\mathcal{F}^n(x)) \geq 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}.$$

The action of \mathcal{F} on $J(\mathcal{F})$ models the action of f on $J(f)$.

2.3. Endpoints of $J(\mathcal{F})$. Let

$$\mathbb{S} = \{\underline{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega : \text{there exists } t \geq 0 \text{ such that } \langle t, \underline{s} \rangle \in J(\mathcal{F})\}.$$

For each $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{S}$ put

$$t_{\underline{s}} = \min\{t \geq 0 : \langle t, \underline{s} \rangle \in J(\mathcal{F})\}.$$

Let $E(\mathcal{F}) = \{\langle t_{\underline{s}}, \underline{s} \rangle : \underline{s} \in \mathbb{S}\}$. Note that $E(\mathcal{F})$ is a G_δ -subset of $J(\mathcal{F})$ which is closed in $\mathbb{Z}^\omega \times [0, \infty)$. Therefore $E(\mathcal{F})$ is completely metrizable. Let

$$\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F}) = \{x \in E(\mathcal{F}) : t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s})} \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

The conjugacy [9, Theorem 9.1] shows that $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F}) \simeq \dot{E}(f)$.

2.4. Approximating $t_{\underline{s}}$. The following estimates of $t_{\underline{s}}$ will be useful. Let F^{-1} denote the inverse of F . So $F^{-1}(t) = \ln(t + 1)$ for all $t \geq 0$. For each $n \geq 1$, the n -fold composition of F^{-1} is denoted F^{-n} . Let

$$t_{\underline{s}}^* = \sup_{k \geq 1} F^{-k} |s_k|.$$

Note that $t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s})}^* = \sup_{k \geq 1} F^{-k} |s_{n+k}|$.

Proposition 1 ([1, Lemma 3.8]). $t_{\underline{s}}^* \leq t_{\underline{s}} \leq t_{\underline{s}}^* + 1$.

Proposition 2 ([1, Lemma 3.8]). $\langle t_{\underline{s}, \underline{s}} \rangle \in \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F}) \iff t_{\underline{s}}^* < \infty$ and $t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s})}^* \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3 ([1, Observation 3.7]). If $\underline{s}^0, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{S}$ and $|s_n| \geq |s_n^0|$ for all $n < \omega$, then $t_{\underline{s}} \geq t_{\underline{s}^0}$.

Proposition 4 ([1, Observation 3.9]). Let $\underline{s}^0, \underline{s} \in \mathbb{S}$ and suppose $|s_j^0| = |s_j|$ for $j = 1, \dots, M$ and that $\delta := t_{\sigma^M(\underline{s}^0)} - t_{\sigma^M(\underline{s})} > 0$. Then $t_{\underline{s}} \leq t_{\underline{s}^0} \leq t_{\underline{s}} + F^{-M}(\delta)$.

3. A STRATIFICATION OF $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$

Here we will describe a system (X_α) of subsets of $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1. For any subset X of $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ we will let \overline{X} denote the closure of X in $E(\mathcal{F})$.

The sets X_α are defined recursively for increasing elements $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$. Let $X_\emptyset = \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$ define $X_{\langle N \rangle} = \{x \in \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F}) : t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s}(x))} > 1 \text{ for all } n \geq N\}$. If

$$\alpha = \langle N_0, N_1, \dots, N_{\text{dom}(\alpha)-1} \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^{<\omega}$$

is an increasing sequence of integers, X_α has been defined, and $N > N_{\text{dom}(\alpha)-1}$, then define

$$X_{\alpha \frown N} = \{x \in X_\alpha : t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s}(x))} > 3 \text{ dom}(\alpha) + 1 \text{ for all } n \geq N\}.$$

Claim 1. $\overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}} = \{x \in \overline{X_\alpha} : t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s}(x))} \geq 3 \text{ dom}(\alpha) + 1 \text{ for all } n \geq N\}$.

Proof. Note that $t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s}(x))} = T(\mathcal{F}^n(x))$. So the set on the right is closed by continuity of $T \circ \mathcal{F}^n$. Clearly it contains $X_{\alpha \frown N}$, so it contains $\overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}}$. Conversely suppose $x \in \overline{X_\alpha}$ and $t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s}(x))} \geq 3 \text{ dom}(\alpha) + 1$ for all $n \geq N$. Let U be an open set containing x . We will show that U contains a point of $X_{\alpha \frown N}$.

Let $y \in U \cap X_\alpha$, and put $\underline{s} = \underline{s}(y)$.

For each $M > N$ we will define $\underline{s}^M \in \mathbb{Z}^\omega$ as follows. Note that

$$t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s}(x))}^* \geq 3 \text{ dom}(\alpha)$$

for all $n \geq N$ by Proposition 1. There is a least $l \in [N, M]$ such that there exists $k \geq 1$ such that $l + k > M$ and $F^{-k}|s_{l+k}| > 3 \text{ dom}(\alpha) - 1$. Let $n(0) = l$, and let $k(0) \geq 1$ such that $F^{-k(0)}|s_{n(0)+k(0)}| > 3 \text{ dom}(\alpha) - 1$. Assuming $n(i)$ and $k(i)$ have been defined, let $n(i+1) = n(i) + k(i)$, and choose $k(i+1) \geq 1$ such that $F^{-k(i+1)}|s_{n(i+1)+k(i+1)}| > 3 \text{ dom}(\alpha) - 1$. In this manner we recursively define integers $n(0) + k(0) < n(1) + k(1) < \dots$ so that

$$F^{-k(i)}|s_{n(i)+k(i)}| > 3 \text{ dom}(\alpha) - 1.$$

For each i there exists an integer p_i such that

$$3 \text{ dom}(\alpha) + 1 < F^{-k(i)}(|s_{n(i)+k(i)}| + p_i) < F^{-k(n)}|s_{n(i)+k(i)}| + 3.$$

Define \underline{s}^M by

$$s_j^M = \begin{cases} s_j^M & \text{if } j \neq n(i) + k(i) \text{ for any } i \\ |s_j^M| + p_i & \text{if } j = n(i) + k(i). \end{cases}$$

Note that $t_{\underline{s}}^* \leq t_{\underline{s}^M}^* < t_{\underline{s}}^* + 3 < \infty$, so $\langle t_{\underline{s}^M}, \underline{s}^M \rangle \in \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ by Proposition 2. Further, $\langle t_{\underline{s}^M}, \underline{s}^M \rangle \in X_{\alpha \frown N}$. By Propositions 1 and 3, $t_{\sigma^M(\underline{s}^M)}^* \leq t_{\sigma^M(\underline{s})}^* + 3$ implies that

$$0 \leq t_{\sigma^M(\underline{s}^M)} - t_{\sigma^M(\underline{s})} \leq 4.$$

By Proposition 4 we have $t_{\underline{s}} \leq t_{\underline{s}^M} \leq t_{\underline{s}} + F^{-M}(4)$, hence $t_{\underline{s}^M} \rightarrow t_{\underline{s}}$. Thus $\langle t_{\underline{s}^M}, \underline{s}^M \rangle \rightarrow y$ and so eventually $\langle t_{\underline{s}^M}, \underline{s}^M \rangle \in U$. This shows $x \in \overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}}$. \square

Claim 2. $\overline{X_\alpha} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup \{ \overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F}) : N \in \mathbb{N} \}$

Proof. Clearly $\bigcup\{\overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F}) : N \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \overline{X_{\alpha}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$. Now suppose $x \in \overline{X_{\alpha}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$. Then there exists N such that $t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s}(x))} \geq 3 \operatorname{dom}(\alpha) + 1$ for all $n \geq N$. By Claim 1 we have $x \in \overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$. \square

Our goal now is to use Claim 2 to show that each $\overline{X_{\alpha}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ is first category in itself. For $\alpha = \emptyset$ this is already known (i.e. $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ is first category). Assume now that $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha) > 0$. For each $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{S}$ and $m < \omega$ define \underline{s}^m by

$$s_n^m = \begin{cases} s_n & \text{if } n \leq m \\ \min\{|s_n|, \lfloor F^{n-m}(3 \operatorname{dom}(\alpha) - 1) \rfloor\} & \text{if } n > m. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\underline{s}^m \in \mathbb{S}$ because $t_{\underline{s}^m}^* \leq t_{\underline{s}}^* < \infty$.

Claim 3. *For any $\underline{s} \in \{\underline{s}(x) : x \in X_{\alpha}\}$ and integer M there exists $m \geq M$ such that $\langle t_{\underline{s}^m}, \underline{s}^m \rangle \in X_{\alpha} \setminus \overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}}$.*

Proof. Let $\underline{s} \in \{\underline{s}(x) : x \in X_{\alpha}\}$ and M be given. Put $N_{\operatorname{dom}(\alpha)} = N$. For each $i < \operatorname{dom}(\alpha)$ and $n \in [N_i, N_{i+1})$ there exists $k_n \geq 1$ such that

$$F^{-k_n} |s_{n+k_n}| > 3i - 2.$$

Assume $M > N + \max\{k_n : n < N\}$. For each $n \in [N, M]$ there exists k_n such that

$$F^{-k_n} |s_{n+k_n}| > 3 \operatorname{dom}(\alpha) - 2.$$

Let $m = \max\{n + k_n : n \in [N, M]\}$. Observe that $\langle t_{\underline{s}^m}, \underline{s}^m \rangle \in \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ by Proposition 2 and the assumptions $x \in X_{\alpha}$ with $\operatorname{dom}(\alpha) > 0$. Also, by Proposition 1 we have

$$3 \operatorname{dom}(\alpha) - 2 < F^{-1}(\lfloor F(3 \operatorname{dom}(\alpha) - 1) \rfloor) \leq t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s}^m)}^* \leq t_{\sigma^n(\underline{s})}$$

for each $n \geq m$, and

$$t_{\sigma^m(\underline{s})} \leq t_{\sigma^m(\underline{s}^m)}^* + 1 \leq 3 \operatorname{dom}(\alpha).$$

We conclude that $m \geq M$ and $\langle t_{\underline{s}^m}, \underline{s}^m \rangle \in X_{\alpha} \setminus \overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}}$. \square

Claim 4. *If U is open and $U \cap X_{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ then $U \cap X_{\alpha} \setminus \overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $\langle t_{\underline{s}}, \underline{s} \rangle \in U \cap X_{\alpha}$. Clearly $|s_n^m| \leq |s_n|$ for every $n < \omega$. So $t_{\underline{s}^m} \leq t_{\underline{s}}$ by Proposition 3. Also $\underline{s}^m \rightarrow \underline{s}$. Since $J(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup\{[t_{\underline{s}}, \infty) \times \{\underline{s}\} : \underline{s} \in \mathbb{S}\}$ is closed in $\mathbb{Z}^{\omega} \times [0, \infty)$, we get $\langle t_{\underline{s}^m}, \underline{s}^m \rangle \rightarrow \langle t_{\underline{s}}, \underline{s} \rangle$. It now follows from Claim 3 that

$$\langle t_{\underline{s}^m}, \underline{s}^m \rangle \in U \cap X_{\alpha} \setminus \overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}}$$

for some m . \square

Claim 5. *Every $\overline{X_{\alpha}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ is first category in itself.*

Proof. Each $X_{\alpha \frown N}$ is nowhere dense in X_{α} by Claim 4. Therefore $\overline{X_{\alpha \frown N}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ is nowhere dense in $\overline{X_{\alpha}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$. Thus by Claim 2 $\overline{X_{\alpha}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ is a first category space. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We need to show that $\tilde{E}(f)$ has no σ -complete neighborhood. To that end, suppose that $\mathcal{A} := \{A_n : n < \omega\}$ is a collection of completely metrizable subspaces of $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$. Let U be any non-empty open subset of $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$. We will show that \mathcal{A} does not cover U .

Let d be a complete metric for $E(\mathcal{F})$. Since U is first category we have that $U \setminus \overline{A_0}$ is a non-empty open set. Let U_0 be a non-empty open subset of $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ such that $\overline{U_0} \subset U$, $\operatorname{diam}(U_0) < 1$, and $\overline{U_0} \cap A_0 = \emptyset$. There exists N_0 such that $X_{\langle N_0 \rangle} \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset$.

Since $U_0 \cap \overline{X_{\langle N_0 \rangle}}$ is first category (Claim 5), it does not have a dense completely metrizable subspace. Note that $\overline{U_0 \cap X_{\langle N_0 \rangle}} \cap A_1$ is complete because it is a closed subset of A_1 . Therefore

$$U_0 \cap \overline{X_{\langle N_0 \rangle}} \setminus \overline{\overline{U_0 \cap X_{\langle N_0 \rangle}} \cap A_1} \neq \emptyset.$$

So there is a non-empty relatively open subset U_1 of $U_0 \cap \overline{X_{\langle N_0 \rangle}}$ such that $\text{diam}(U_1) < 1/2$ and $\overline{U_1 \cap X_{\langle N_0 \rangle}} \cap A_1 = \emptyset$. Choose $N_1 > N_0$ such that $X_{\langle N_0, N_1 \rangle} \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$.

This process can be continued to produce an increasing sequence

$$\lambda = \langle N_0, N_1, \dots \rangle \in \mathbb{N}^\omega$$

and non-empty sets $U_0 \supset U_1 \supset U_2 \supset \dots$ such that:

- U_n is a relatively open subset of $\overline{X_{\lambda \upharpoonright n}} \cap \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$,
- $\text{diam}(U_n) < 1/(n+1)$ in the metric d , and
- $\overline{U_n \cap X_{\lambda \upharpoonright n}} \cap A_n = \emptyset$.

By completeness of the metric space $(E(\mathcal{F}), d)$ there exists

$$x \in \bigcap \{ \overline{X_{\lambda \upharpoonright n} \cap U_n} : n < \omega \} \subset V \cap E(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{A}.$$

Note that $t_{\sigma^n(\underline{g}(x))} \rightarrow \infty$ by the easy direction in Claim 1, so $x \in \tilde{E}(\mathcal{F})$ as desired. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Alhabib, L. Rempe-Gillen, Escaping Endpoints Explode. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* 17, 1 (2017), 65–100.
- [2] J. J. Dijkstra, J. van Mill, Erdős space and homeomorphism groups of manifolds, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 208 (2010), no. 979.
- [3] J. J. Dijkstra and D. S. Lipham, On cohesive almost zero-dimensional spaces, arXiv preprint (2020) <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2002.07010.pdf>, to appear in *Canad. Math. Bull.*
- [4] P. Erdős, The dimension of the rational points in Hilbert space, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 41 (1940), 734–736.
- [5] D. S. Lipham, A note on the topology of escaping endpoints, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1017/etds.2019.111>.
- [6] D. S. Lipham, The topological dimension of radial Julia sets, arXiv preprint (2020) <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2002.00853.pdf>.
- [7] D. S. Lipham, Erdős space in Julia sets, arXiv preprint (2020) <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.12976.pdf>.
- [8] D. S. Lipham, Distinguishing endpoint sets from Erdős space, arXiv preprint (2020); <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.04783.pdf>.
- [9] L. Rempe, Topological dynamics of exponential maps on their escaping sets. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 26(6) (2006), 1939–1975.
- [10] L. Rempe, Escaping sets are not sigma-compact, arXiv preprint (2020) <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.16946.pdf>.
- [11] A. J. M. van Engelen, *Homogeneous Zero-Dimensional Absolute Borel Sets*. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, 1986.
- [12] F. van Engelen, Countable products of zero-dimensional absolute $F_{\sigma\delta}$ spaces, *Indag. Math.* 87:4 (1984) 391–399.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY AT MONTGOMERY, MONTGOMERY AL 36117, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Email address: ds10003@auburn.edu