

# QUANTUM QUEER SUPERGROUPS VIA $v$ -DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

JIE DU, YANAN LIN AND ZHONGGUO ZHOU

ABSTRACT. By using certain quantum differential operators, we construct a super representation for the quantum queer supergroup  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . The underlying space of this representation is a deformed polynomial superalgebra in  $2n^2$  variables whose homogeneous components can be used as the underlying spaces of queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebras. We then extend the representation to its formal power series algebra which contains a (super) submodule isomorphic to the regular representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . A monomial basis  $\mathfrak{M}$  for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  plays a key role in proving the isomorphism. In this way, we may present the quantum queer supergroup  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  by another new basis  $\mathfrak{L}$  together with some explicit multiplication formulas by the generators. As an application, similar presentations are obtained for queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebras via the above mentioned homogeneous components.

The existence of the bases  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}$  and the new presentation show that the seminal construction of quantum  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  established by Beilinson–Lusztig–MacPherson thirty years ago extends to this “queer” quantum supergroup via a completely different approach.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. The quantum queer supergroup $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ and its weight supermodules	4
3. A PBW type basis and the Lusztig type form $U_{v,z}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$	6
4. Monomial bases for $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ and $U_{v,z}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$	11
5. The $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$	15
6. The $n$ -fold tensor product $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$ of $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$	23
7. Some action formulas in the completion $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$ of $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$	27
8. The $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$	33
9. Leading terms in the action formulas	35
10. A new realisation of $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$	38
11. The regular representation of the queer $q$ -Schur superalgebra	41
References	46

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 17B10, 17B37, 17B60, 20G43; Secondary: 81R50, 16S32, 16T20.

*Key words and phrases*. quantum queer supergroup, quantum differential operator, supermodule, queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebra, regular representation.

The work was partially supported by the UNSW Science FRG and the Natural Science Foundation of China (#11871404).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The general linear Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  has two super analogues: the general linear Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$  and the queer Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}_n$ . Generally speaking, there is a degree of resemblance between the Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$  and the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ . But the queer Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}_n$  differs drastically from the rest of the entire family of classical Lie superalgebras. For example, this is the only classical Lie superalgebra whose Cartan subsuperalgebra has a nontrivial odd part, which results in very interesting phenomenon on the highest weight space of a finite dimensional irreducible supermodule (see, e.g., [4, §1.5.4]). Another example is that the celebrated Schur–Weyl duality, which can be easily established for  $\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n}$ , becomes the Schur–Weyl–Sergeev duality, which involves the Sergeev superalgebra or the Hecke–Clifford superalgebra in the quantum case (see [20, 19, 9]).

It is known that the representation theory of Lie superalgebras is much more complicated than the corresponding theory of Lie algebras. The study of the representations of the queer quantum supergroup  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  faces even more challenges. In the series of papers [13, 14, 15, 16], D. Grantcharov et al. investigated the representation theory of the quantum superalgebra  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  in the quantum characteristic 0 case (i.e., the non-root-of-unity case) and discovered that the category of finite dimensional  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodules is not semisimple. However, the full subcategory  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^{\geq 0}$  of the so-called *tensor supermodules* (or polynomial super representations in the sense of [8]) is semisimple. By overcoming several challenges, they further established the crystal basis theory for the supermodules in this semisimple category. These latest developments may be regarded as new contributions to the combinatorial representation theory.

To explore the representation theory of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  in positive quantum characteristics, especially the category of polynomial (or tensor) supermodules at a root of unity, one has to face new challenges. First, the quantum queer supergroup  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  will be replaced by the corresponding (super) hyperalgebra through a Lusztig type form defined by a PBW type basis. A better understanding of the structure of the hyperalgebra often requires a new presentation for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . Thus, an integral monomial basis and a triangular relation between the bases will be crucial to such a new construction. Note that the existence of such a triangular relation plays a key role in constructing a global crystal (or canonical) basis for the  $\pm$ -parts. Second, lifting the quantum Schur–Weyl–Sergeev duality to the integral level is the key to link the polynomial super representations of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  with those of the Hecke–Clifford superalgebras via the queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebras (see [9, 10] and cf. [11]).

In this paper, we will tackle the first challenges mentioned above. A model one could follow is the beautiful work by Beilinson–Lusztig–MacPherson (BLM) [2] in which they discovered a new realisation for quantum  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  via finite dimensional  $q$ -Schur algebras. Here a key step is the discovery of a new basis arising from certain spanning sets for  $q$ -Schur algebras together with a triangularly related integral monomial basis. The BLM work has been generalised by Gu and the first author [7] to the quantum supergroup  $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$ . However, due to the involvement of a Hecke–Clifford superalgebra, attempts for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  via queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebras were not successful.

Fortunately, a new approach via quantum differential operators has recently been developed in a pilot study [11], where a new realisation for  $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$  is obtained without using  $q$ -Schur superalgebras. The new approach is to construct directly the regular representation of the quantum supergroup on a certain polynomial superalgebra whose homogeneous components resemble  $q$ -Schur superalgebras. Thanks to [10] by Wan and the first author, where a basis for a queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebra is constructed in terms of a matrix labelling set, we are able to introduce a queer (or deformed) polynomial superalgebra on which  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  acts via certain quantum differential operators. In this way, the regular representation for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  is constructed via two new bases—a monomial basis  $\mathfrak{M}$  and a BLM type basis<sup>1</sup>  $\mathfrak{L}$ —and a new realisation is achieved for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and for queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebra as well.

The new realisations for both  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and queer  $q$ -Schur algebras are useful in addressing the second challenge mentioned above. In a forthcoming paper, we will establish a partial integral Schur–Weyl–Sergeev duality and the associated polynomial representation theory in positive quantum characteristics (cf. [8]).

We organise the paper as follows. Section 2 is preliminary. By using a special ordering on root vectors, we define in Section 3 a PBW type basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  (and for its Lusztig type form  $U_{v,z}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  as well). We order the basis by an order relation on the matrix index set and revisit certain commutation formulas for root vectors with respect to the order relation. A monomial basis  $\mathfrak{M}$  is constructed in Section 4. The key to the proof is a triangular relation between the monomial basis and the PBW basis.

The second half of the paper is devoted to the construction of the regular representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .

We introduce a deformed polynomial superalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  in Section 5. Several linear maps on  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$ , including  $n$  (partial)  $v$ -differential operators, are defined and their relations are discussed so that a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule structure on  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  is built (Theorem 5.4). This is the most technical part of the paper. Using the Hopf algebra structure on  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ , we then extend in Section 6 the action of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  on  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  to the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  by some explicit action formulas (Lemma 6.1). Note that every homogeneous component  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  is a finite dimensional weight supermodule, which will be proved in Section 11 to be isomorphic to the regular representation of the quantum queer Schur superalgebra (Theorem 11.3). In Section 7, we further extend the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -action to the formal power series analog  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$ . This is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -module without an inherited supermodule structure. We then extract a subspace  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  from  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$  which has an inherited superspace structure, and display certain action formulas of generators (Theorem 7.3) on the BLM type basis  $\mathfrak{L}$  of  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$ . We prove in Section 8 that  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule.

By analysing the action formulas, we use the order relation on the defining basis for  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  to determine in Section 9 the leading terms in the action formulas on the basis elements by the divided powers of generators. These are the key to a triangular relation between the monomial basis  $\mathfrak{M}$  and the BLM type basis  $\mathfrak{L}$  discussed in Section 10. In this way, we prove that  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  is a cyclic  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule isomorphic to the regular representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . In the last section, we prove that  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$  is

---

<sup>1</sup>A related PBW type basis will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{B}$  in §2. Thus, the three bases  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $\mathfrak{L}$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  are named after the BLM work.

isomorphic to the regular representation of the queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebra  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$ . Thus, we obtain new realisations for both  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$  (Theorems 10.3 and 11.5).

## 2. THE QUANTUM QUEER SUPERGROUP $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ AND ITS WEIGHT SUPERMODULES

Let  $n \geq 2$  be a positive integer. The following definition is taken from [15, Definition 1.1] (cf. [9]) for the algebra structure and [19, §4] or [15, (1.2)] for the coalgebra structure.

**Definition 2.1.** The queer quantum supergroup  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  is a Hopf superalgebra over  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$  whose unital associative superalgebra is generated by even generators:  $K_i^{\pm 1}, E_j, F_j$ , and odd generators:  $K_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{j}}, F_{\bar{j}}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , subject to the following relations:

$$(QQ1) \quad K_i K_i^{-1} = 1 = K_i^{-1} K_i, \quad K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i K_{\bar{j}} = K_{\bar{j}} K_i,$$

$$K_{\bar{i}} K_{\bar{j}} + K_{\bar{j}} K_{\bar{i}} = 2\delta_{ij} \frac{K_i^2 - K_i^{-2}}{v^2 - v^{-2}} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n;$$

$$(QQ2) \quad K_i E_j = v^{\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_j K_i, \quad K_i F_j = v^{-(\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1})} F_j K_i, \\ K_i E_{\bar{j}} = v^{\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} E_{\bar{j}} K_i, \quad K_i F_{\bar{j}} = v^{-(\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1})} F_{\bar{j}} K_i, \\ \text{for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n, j \neq n;$$

$$(QQ3) \quad K_{\bar{i}} E_j = E_j K_{\bar{i}}, \quad K_{\bar{i}} F_j = F_j K_{\bar{i}}, \text{ where } i \neq j, j+1; \\ K_{\bar{j}} E_j - v E_j K_{\bar{j}} = E_{\bar{j}} K_{\bar{j}}^{-1}, \quad v K_{\bar{j+1}} E_j - E_j K_{\bar{j+1}} = -K_{\bar{j+1}}^{-1} E_{\bar{j}}, \\ K_{\bar{j}} F_j - v F_j K_{\bar{j}} = -F_{\bar{j}} K_{\bar{j}}, \quad v K_{\bar{j+1}} F_j - F_j K_{\bar{j+1}} = K_{\bar{j+1}} F_{\bar{j}},$$

$$(QQ4) \quad E_i F_j - F_j E_i = \delta_{ij} \frac{K_i K_{i+1}^{-1} - K_i^{-1} K_{i+1}}{v - v^{-1}}, \\ E_i F_{\bar{j}} - F_{\bar{j}} E_i = \delta_{ij} (K_{i+1}^{-1} K_{\bar{i}} - K_{\bar{i+1}}^{-1} K_i^{-1}), \\ E_{\bar{i}} F_j - F_j E_{\bar{i}} = \delta_{ij} (K_{i+1} K_{\bar{i}} - K_{\bar{i+1}} K_i), \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1;$$

$$(QQ5) \quad E_i E_j = E_j E_i, \quad F_i F_j = F_j F_i \text{ for } |i-j| > 1, \\ E_i E_{\bar{i}} = E_{\bar{i}} E_i, \quad F_i F_{\bar{i}} = F_{\bar{i}} F_i, \\ E_i E_{i+1} - v E_{i+1} E_i = E_{\bar{i}} E_{\bar{i+1}} + v E_{\bar{i+1}} E_{\bar{i}}, \\ v F_{i+1} F_i - F_i F_{i+1} = F_{\bar{i}} F_{\bar{i+1}} + v F_{\bar{i+1}} F_{\bar{i}}, \text{ where } 1 \leq i, j < n;$$

$$(QQ6) \quad E_i^2 E_j - (v + v^{-1}) E_i E_j E_i + E_j E_i^2 = 0, \quad F_i^2 F_j - (v + v^{-1}) F_i F_j F_i + F_j F_i^2 = 0, \\ E_{\bar{i}}^2 E_{\bar{j}} - (v + v^{-1}) E_{\bar{i}} E_{\bar{j}} E_{\bar{i}} + E_{\bar{j}} E_{\bar{i}}^2 = 0, \quad F_{\bar{i}}^2 F_{\bar{j}} - (v + v^{-1}) F_{\bar{i}} F_{\bar{j}} F_{\bar{i}} + F_{\bar{j}} F_{\bar{i}}^2 = 0, \\ \text{where } |i-j| = 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j < n.$$

The coalgebra structure on  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  has a comultiplication  $\Delta$  defined by the rules<sup>2</sup>:

$$\Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i, \quad \Delta(E_i) = E_i \otimes \tilde{K}_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes E_i, \\ \Delta(F_i) = F_i \otimes 1 + \tilde{K}_i \otimes F_i, \quad \Delta(K_{\bar{i}}) = K_{\bar{i}} \otimes K_{\bar{i}} + K_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \otimes K_{\bar{i}}, \quad (2.1.1)$$

where  $\tilde{K}_i = K_i K_{i+1}^{-1}$ .

<sup>2</sup>See [17, p. 199] for a comparison between this comultiplication and the usual one in the non-super case. The image on other odd generators can be found in [13, p. 838] after adjusting notation as in [9, (5.3)].

**Remark 2.2.** (1) By identifying  $v, K_i, E_j, F_j, K_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{j}}, F_{\bar{j}}$  with  $q, q^{k_i}, e_j, f_j, k_{\bar{i}}, e_{\bar{j}}, f_{\bar{j}}$ , respectively, the relations in (QQ1)–(QQ6) are identical with those in [15, (1.1)].

(2) As pointed out at the end of [15, Definition 1.1], the algebra  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  is generated by even generators  $K_i^{\pm 1}, E_j, F_j$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j < n$ , together with the odd generators  $K_{\bar{i}}$ .<sup>3</sup>

(3) The relations form a subset of relations given in [9, 10]; the missing relations can all be derived from the relations above (see [16, Remark 1.2]).

Recall from [9, (5.6)] that the superalgebra  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  admits a ring anti-involution  $\Omega$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(v) &= v^{-1}, & \Omega(K_i) &= K_i^{-1}, & \Omega(K_{\bar{i}}) &= K_{\bar{i}}, \\ \Omega(E_i) &= F_i, & \Omega(F_i) &= E_i, & \Omega(E_{\bar{i}}) &= F_{\bar{i}}, & \Omega(F_{\bar{i}}) &= E_{\bar{i}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.1)$$

Recall also from [9, (5.8)] the (even and odd) root vectors<sup>4</sup>  $E_{i,j}$  and  $\bar{E}_{i,j} = E_{i,\bar{j}}, i \neq j$ , where, for  $\varepsilon_{ij} := 1$  if  $i < j$  and  $-1$  if  $i > j$ , and  $|j - i| > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{h,h+1} &= E_h, E_{h+1,h} = F_h, E_{i,j} = E_{i,k}E_{k,j} - v^{\varepsilon_{ij}}E_{k,j}E_{i,k}; \\ \bar{E}_{h,h+1} &= E_{\bar{h}}, \bar{E}_{h+1,h} = F_{\bar{h}}, \bar{E}_{i,j} = \begin{cases} E_{i,k}\bar{E}_{k,j} - v\bar{E}_{k,j}E_{i,k}, & \text{if } i < j; \\ \bar{E}_{i,k}E_{k,j} - v^{-1}E_{k,j}\bar{E}_{i,k}, & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We also write  $\bar{E}_{i,i} := K_{\bar{i}} = \bar{K}_i$  for consistency. We have by [9, (5.10)]

$$\Omega(E_{i,j}) = E_{j,i}, \quad \Omega(\bar{E}_{i,j}) = \bar{E}_{j,i} \quad (1 \leq i \neq j \leq n). \quad (2.2.2)$$

A  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule  $M$  is called a *weight supermodule*, if  $M$  has a weight space decomposition  $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n} M_\lambda$  where

$$M_\lambda = \{m \in M \mid K_i \cdot m = v^{\lambda_i} m \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

If  $0 \neq x \in M_\lambda$ , we write  $\text{wt}(x) = \lambda$ . Define the set of weights of  $M$  by

$$\text{wt}(M) := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n \mid M_\lambda \neq 0\}.$$

Call  $M$  a *polynomial weight supermodule* if  $M$  is a weight module and  $\text{wt}(M) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ .

The following fact will be used in the last section.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a polynomial weight supermodule and  $\lambda \in \text{wt}(M)$ . Then  $E_h \cdot M_\lambda = 0$  if  $\lambda_{h+1} = 0$  and  $F_{\bar{h}} \cdot M_\lambda = 0$  if  $\lambda_h = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $E_i \cdot M_\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $E_i \cdot M_\lambda \subseteq M_{\lambda + \alpha_i}$ , where  $\alpha_i = \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}$ . However,  $\lambda + \alpha_i$  is not a polynomial weight if  $\lambda_{i+1} = 0$ . Hence,  $E_i \cdot M_\lambda = 0$  must be true. The proof for the other case is similar.  $\square$

**Some notation.** Let  $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$  be the integral Laurent polynomial ring. For

$c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $[c] = \frac{v^c - v^{-c}}{v - v^{-1}}$  and define, for  $m \geq 1$ ,

$$[m]^! = [m][m-1] \cdots [1], \quad [0]^! = 1.$$

<sup>3</sup>This can be seen easily as follows. By (QQ3), we see that  $E_{\bar{1}} = (K_{\bar{1}}E_1 - vE_1K_{\bar{1}})K_1$  and  $F_{\bar{1}} = (-K_{\bar{1}}F_1 + vF_1K_{\bar{1}})K_1^{-1}$ . Thus, by (QQ4),  $K_{\bar{2}} = (K_2^{-1}K_{\bar{1}} - E_1F_{\bar{1}} + F_{\bar{1}}E_1)K_1$ . Then, by (QQ3),  $E_{\bar{2}}, F_{\bar{2}}$  are defined. Inductively, we see that the missing generators can all be derived from the given relations.

<sup>4</sup>We change the notation  $X_{i,j}, \bar{X}_{i,j}$  there back to the usual notation  $E_{i,j}, \bar{E}_{i,j}$  for root vectors to avoid notational confusion with the generators of the queer polynomial superalgebra in §4.

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} c \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{[c][c-1]\cdots[c-m+1]}{[m]^!}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

Generally, for an element  $Z$  in an associative  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , define its (quantum) divided powers  $Z^{(m)}$  by setting

$$Z^{(m)} = \frac{Z^m}{[m]^!}.$$

If  $Z$  is invertible, define, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and  $t \geq 1, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z; c \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{s=1}^t \frac{Zv^{c-s+1} - Z^{-1}v^{-c+s-1}}{v^s - v^{-s}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} Z; c \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1. \quad (2.3.1)$$

Let  $M_n(\mathbb{N})$  be the set of  $n \times n$  matrices over non-negative integers, and let

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N}) &= \{(A^0|A^1) \mid A^0, A^1 \in M_n(\mathbb{N})\}, \\ M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2) &= \{(A^0|A^1) \mid A^0 \in M_n(\mathbb{N}), A^1 \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)\}, \\ M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r &= \{A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2) \mid \sum_{i,j} (a_{i,j}^0 + a_{i,j}^1) = r\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.2)$$

Here the two  $n \times n$  matrices  $A^0, A^1$  have the form  $A^0 = (a_{i,j}^0)$  and  $A^1 = (a_{i,j}^1)$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$  is regarded as a subset<sup>5</sup> of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $|$  is used to separate the even and odd parts in a superstructure. We may identify  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})$  as the set of all  $n \times 2n$  matrices. Thus,  $a_{i,j}^0$  (resp.  $a_{i,j}^1$ ) is the  $(i, j)^0$ -entry or  $(i, j)$ -entry (resp.  $(i, j)^1$ -entry, or  $(i, \bar{j})$ -entry) of  $A$ , where<sup>6</sup>

$$\bar{j} = n + j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n. \quad (2.3.3)$$

For convenience, we sometimes identify a matrix  $A = (A^0|A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})$  with the square matrix

$$\square A = \begin{pmatrix} A^0 & A^1 \\ A^1 & A^0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.3.4)$$

### 3. A PBW TYPE BASIS AND THE LUSZTIG TYPE FORM $U_{v,z}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$

We now define a PBW type basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})' &= \{((a_{i,j}^0)|(a_{i,j}^1)) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N}) \mid a_{ii}^0 = 0, \forall 1 \leq i \leq n\} \\ M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)' &= M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})' \cap M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.0.1)$$

Linearly order the index set  $\{(i, j), (i, \bar{j})\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \setminus \{(i, i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  for root vectors as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\underline{(n-1, n), \dots, (1, n), (1, \bar{n}), \dots, (n, \bar{n}), \dots, (1, 2), (1, \bar{2}), \dots, (n, \bar{2}), (1, \bar{1}), \dots, (n, \bar{1})}, \\ &\underline{(2, 1), (3, 1), \dots, (n, 1), (3, 2), \dots, (n, 2), \dots, (n, n-1)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.0.2)$$

If we call the  $j$ -th column of the upper (resp., lower) triangular part of  $A^0$  the  $j^+$ -column (resp.,  $j^-$ -column) of  $A$ , and call the  $j$ -th column of  $A^1$  the  $\bar{j}$ -th column

<sup>5</sup>When  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is used to define a superspace, it is regarded as an abelian group.

<sup>6</sup>The reader should not confuse this notation with the subscripts in  $K_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{h}}, F_{\bar{h}}$  in Definition 2.1, where we didn't assume  $\bar{i} = n + i$ . However, it is not harmful to understand  $K_{\bar{i}}, E_{\bar{h}}, F_{\bar{h}}$  as  $K_{n+i}, E_{n+h}, F_{n+h}$ , or  $\bar{K}_i, \bar{E}_h, \bar{F}_h$ . The latter notation was used in [9].

of  $A$ . Then the ordering in (3.0.2) is arranged by  $(j_\uparrow^+, \bar{j}_\downarrow)$ -column indices for  $j = n, n-1, \dots, 1$  and follows by  $j_\downarrow^-$ -column indices for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Here the arrows  $\uparrow, \downarrow$  indicate the column indices are read upwards, downwards, respectively.

As an example, we indicate the order in the following  $3 \times 3$  matrix

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} * & 6 & 2 & 10 & 7 & 3 \\ 13 & * & 1 & 11 & 8 & 4 \\ 14 & 15 & * & 12 & 9 & 5 \end{array} \right).$$

Associated with  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$ ,  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we define

$$\mathfrak{b}^{A, \mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{E}_A^{n^+, \bar{n}} \dots \mathbf{E}_A^{2^+, \bar{2}} \mathbf{E}_A^{1^+, \bar{1}} \mathbf{E}_A^{1^-} \dots \mathbf{E}_A^{(n-1)^-}, \quad (3.0.3)$$

where  $\mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{j}} = \mathbf{K}_1^{j_1} \mathbf{K}_2^{j_2} \dots \mathbf{K}_n^{j_n}$  is called a  $\mathbf{K}$ -segment of  $\mathfrak{b}^{A, \mathbf{j}}$ ,

$$\mathbf{E}_A^{j^+, \bar{j}} = \begin{cases} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,1}^{a_{1,1}^1} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{2,1}^{a_{2,1}^1} \dots \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{n,1}^{a_{n,1}^1}, & \text{if } j = 1; \\ \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1,j}^0)} \mathbf{E}_{j-2,j}^{(a_{j-2,j}^0)} \dots \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_{1,j}^0)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,j}^{a_{1,j}^1} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{2,j}^{a_{2,j}^1} \dots \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{n,j}^{a_{n,j}^1}, & \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (3.0.4)$$

is called a  $(j^+, \bar{j})$ -segment, where the product  $\mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1,j}^0)} \mathbf{E}_{j-2,j}^{(a_{j-2,j}^0)} \dots \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_{1,j}^0)}$  is called a  $j^+$ -segment and the rest product is called a  $\bar{j}$ -segment,<sup>7</sup> and

$$\mathbf{E}_A^{j^-} = \mathbf{E}_{j+1,j}^{(a_{j+1,j}^0)} \mathbf{E}_{j+2,j}^{(a_{j+2,j}^0)} \dots \mathbf{E}_{n,j}^{(a_{n,j}^0)} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1) \quad (3.0.5)$$

is called a  $j^-$ -segment. (We ignored the subscript arrows for clarity.) Note that  $\mathbf{E}_A^{j^+, \bar{j}}, \mathbf{E}_A^{j^-}$  are defined on each underlined section in (3.0.2). Hence,  $\mathfrak{b}^{A, \mathbf{0}}$  is a product taken over the ordering (3.0.2).

**Remark 3.1.** We remark the general rules for the product (3.0.3). For two positive (resp. negative) even root vectors, the one with a larger column index is on the left (resp. right); if they have the same column index, then the one with a larger row index is on the left (resp. right). Odd root vectors are always put on the right of the even positive root vectors with the same column index and the row indices are increasing from left to right.

For example, if  $n = 3$  and

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^0 & a_{13}^0 & a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & a_{13}^1 \\ a_{21}^0 & 0 & a_{23}^0 & a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & a_{23}^1 \\ a_{31}^0 & a_{32}^0 & 0 & a_{31}^1 & a_{32}^1 & a_{33}^1 \end{array} \right), \quad (3.1.1)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{b}^{A, \mathbf{0}} &= \mathbf{E}_{2,3}^{(a_{2,3}^0)} \mathbf{E}_{1,3}^{(a_{1,3}^0)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,3}^{a_{1,3}^1} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{2,3}^{a_{2,3}^1} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{3,3}^{a_{3,3}^1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{1,2}^{(a_{1,2}^0)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,2}^{a_{1,2}^1} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{2,2}^{a_{2,2}^1} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{3,2}^{a_{3,2}^1} \\ &\cdot \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,1}^{a_{1,1}^1} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{2,1}^{a_{2,1}^1} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{3,1}^{a_{3,1}^1} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{2,1}^{(a_{2,1}^0)} \mathbf{E}_{3,1}^{(a_{3,1}^0)} \cdot \mathbf{E}_{3,2}^{(a_{3,2}^0)}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The set*

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{\mathfrak{b}^{A, \mathbf{j}} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$

*forms a basis, a PBW type basis, for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .*

<sup>7</sup>We dropped the brackets ( ) since  $a_{i,j}^1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ . This simplified notation is a good reminder of the condition.

*Proof.* By [9, (5.2), Lem. 5.7], each of the  $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}, \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j}$  is a scalar multiple of  $\mathbf{K}_j$  times a corresponding  $L_{i,j}$ . Since  $\mathbf{K}_i$  commutes with every root vector up to a scalar (see [9, (5.9)], it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}_A^{j^+, \bar{j}} = f(v) \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{j}'} L_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1,j}^0)} L_{j-2,j}^{(a_{j-2,j}^0)} \cdots L_{1,j}^{(a_{1,j}^0)} L_{-1,j}^{a_{1,j}^1} L_{-1,j}^{a_{2,j}^1} \cdots L_{-n,j}^{a_{n,j}^1}$$

for some nonzero  $f(v) \in \mathbb{Q}(v), \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}^{m+n}$ . Now the assertion follows from [19, Thm 6.2] or [9, Proposition 5.5].  $\square$

We now introduce an integral basis for the Lusztig type form of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . Following [9, §8], let  $U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  be the  $\mathcal{Z}$ -subalgebra of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  generated by

$$\mathbf{K}_i, \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_i \\ t \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{E}_j^{(m)}, \mathbf{F}_j^{(m)}, \mathbf{K}_{\bar{i}}, \mathbf{E}_{\bar{j}}, \mathbf{F}_{\bar{j}} \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq n, j \neq n, t, m \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (3.2.1)$$

where  $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_i \\ t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_i; 0 \\ t \end{bmatrix}$  as defined in (2.3.1). By the definition of root vectors and [9, (5.8), Prop. 7.4(3)], all root vectors  $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}, \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j}$  and their divided powers  $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(m)}$  are in  $U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . Moreover, if we introduce the following degree function:

$$\deg(\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(m)}) = 2m|i-j|, \quad \deg(\bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j}) = 2|i-j|(i \neq j); \quad \deg(\mathbf{K}_{\bar{i}}) = 1, \quad \deg(\mathbf{K}_i) = 0,$$

then, for each  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$ ,

$$\deg(\mathbf{b}^{A,\mathbf{j}}) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{i,i}^1 + \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} 2(a_{i,j} + a_{j,i})|i-j| =: \deg(A).$$

The following result is stated in [9, Remark 8.3].

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(m)}, \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j}, \mathbf{K}_{\bar{i}} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For any two elements  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathcal{G}$ , there exists some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y} = v^a \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{X} + f,$$

where  $f$  is a linear combination of monomials of degree  $< \deg(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y})$ .

For  $\tau, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , let

$$\mathbf{K}^\tau = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{K}_i^{\tau_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_i \\ \lambda_i \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.3.1)$$

**Proposition 3.4.** *The set*

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Z}} = \left\{ \mathbf{K}^\tau \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}^{A,0} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \tau \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \right\}$$

forms a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -basis for  $U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\text{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \subseteq U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . Conversely, by the commutation formulas in the lemma above, every element in the basis given in [9, Prop. 8.2(2)] can be written as a linear combination of basis elements in  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ . Thus,  $U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{q}_n) \subseteq \text{span}_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Z}})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** In [9, Prop. 8.2], Wan and the first author constructed PBW bases for the Lusztig form  $U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  which are compatible with the triangular decomposition  $U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}(\mathfrak{q}_n) = U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}^- \otimes U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}^0 \otimes U_{v,\mathcal{Z}}^+$ . In other words, these bases are products of bases for the triangular parts. This is not the case for bases  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ .



In order to construct a monomial basis for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  via the generators in (3.2.1), we need a new order relation on the basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  with respect to which the transition matrix between the two bases is upper triangular. This requires more accurate analysis on certain commutation formulas.

Consider the lexicographical order on  $\mathbb{N}^m$ : for  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{N}^m$ ,

$$\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{b} \iff a_1 < b_1 \text{ or } a_i = b_i \ (1 \leq i < k) \text{ and } a_k < b_k, \text{ for some } k > 1. \quad (3.5.1)$$

To specify the order more precisely, we say that  $\mathbf{a} < \mathbf{b}$  *at the  $k$ th component*.

Define a map

$$(\vec{\cdot}) : M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)' \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{2n^2-n}, A \longmapsto \vec{A},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{A} = & (\underbrace{a_{n,n}^1, \dots, a_{1,n}^1, a_{1,n}^0, \dots, a_{n-1,n}^0}_{\text{section } n}, \underbrace{a_{n,n-1}^1, \dots, a_{1,n-1}^1, a_{1,n-1}^0, \dots, a_{n-2,n-1}^0}_{\text{section } n-1}, \\ & \dots, \underbrace{a_{n,2}^1, \dots, a_{1,2}^1, a_{1,2}^0, a_{n,1}^1, \dots, a_{1,1}^1, a_{n,1}^0, \dots, a_{2,1}^0, a_{n,2}^0, \dots, a_{3,2}^0, \dots, a_{n,n-1}^0}_{\text{section } 1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5.2)$$

Here we divide the sequence  $\vec{A}$  in  $2n - 1$  sections as underlined above. Note that the entry ordering in  $\vec{A}$  can be obtained from the ordering in (3.0.2) by reversing every underlined section.

We will label the sections by their column indices so that  $\vec{A}$  is the sequence consisting of  $(\bar{j}_\uparrow, j_\downarrow^+)$ -columns for  $j = n, n - 1, \dots, 1$ , followed by  $j_\uparrow^-$ -columns for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ . Here the arrows  $\uparrow, \downarrow$  indicate the direction we read the entries of the column. For example, a  $(\bar{j}_\uparrow, j_\downarrow^+)$ -column consists of the  $j$ -th column of  $A^1$ , reading from bottom to top, and the  $j$ -th column of the upper triangular part of  $A^0$ , reading downwards. (Note that, for  $j = 1$ , the  $j_\downarrow^+$ -column is empty.)

For example, if  $n = 3$ , then  $\vec{A} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{15})$  where

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} * & a_9 & a_4 & a_{12} & a_8 & a_3 \\ a_{14} & * & a_5 & a_{11} & a_7 & a_2 \\ a_{13} & a_{15} & * & a_{10} & a_6 & a_1 \end{array} \right). \quad (3.5.3)$$

**Convention 3.6.** We say that  $\vec{A}$  (or  $A$ ) *starts at*  $a_{h,k}^i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ ) if  $a_{h,k}^i > 0$  and entries of  $\vec{A}$  before  $a_{h,k}^i$  are all zero. We say that  $\vec{A}$  (or  $A$ ) has the *leading entry*  $a_{h,k}^i$  if  $\vec{A}$  starts at  $a_{h,k}^i$ . We say  $\vec{A}$  (or  $A$ ) *starts after*  $a_{h,k}^i$  if  $a_{h,k}^i = 0$  and entries of  $\vec{A}$  before  $a_{h,k}^i$  are all zero. In other word, the leading entry of  $A$  in this case occurs after the entry  $a_{h,k}^i$ . A column  $j^-$ ,  $j^+$  or  $\bar{j}$  is a leading column if it contains the leading entry of  $\vec{A}$ .

We extend the map  $(\vec{\cdot})$  to  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$  by setting  $\vec{A} := \vec{A}'$ , where  $A' \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$  is obtained from  $A = (A^0|A^1)$  by replacing the diagonal of  $A^0$  with zeros. Define the pre-order  $\preceq$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$  by setting, for  $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,

$$B \preceq A \iff \vec{B} \leq \vec{A}, \quad (3.6.1)$$

where “ $\leq$ ” is the lexicographical order defined in (3.5.1). We will also say below that  $A \prec B$  *at the  $(i, j)$ -entry* instead of “at the  $k$ th component”.

In order to establish a triangular relation between the PBW basis and a monomial basis relative to the order  $\preceq$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ , we need to rewrite certain commutation formulas for root vectors, given in [9], in terms of the PBW basis elements. In each

formula below, the leading term in the right hand sides is the largest element relative to the order  $\preceq$ . In other words, it has the form:

$$\mathfrak{b}^{A,j} + (\text{lower terms}),$$

where “lower terms” means a linear combination of  $\mathfrak{b}^{B,j'}$  with  $B \prec A$  (i.e.,  $B \preceq A$  but  $B \neq A$ ).

**Lemma 3.7.** (1) *If  $j < k < l$  and  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ , then*

$$\begin{cases} (1^+) & \mathbb{E}_{j,k}^{(s)} \mathbb{E}_{k,l}^{(t)} = \mathbb{E}_{k,l}^{(t-s)} \mathbb{E}_{j,l}^{(s)} + (\text{lower terms}), & \text{if } s \leq t; \\ (1^-) & \mathbb{E}_{l,k}^{(t)} \mathbb{E}_{k,j}^{(s)} = \mathbb{E}_{k,j}^{(s-t)} \mathbb{E}_{l,j}^{(t)} + (\text{lower terms}), & \text{if } t \leq s. \end{cases}$$

(2) *For  $a < i < j$  or  $i < j < a$ ,  $\bar{K}_a \mathbb{E}_{i,j}^{(m)} = \mathbb{E}_{i,j}^{(m)} \bar{K}_a$ , and*

$$\bar{K}_i \mathbb{E}_{i,j}^{(m)} = v^m \mathbb{K}_i^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{i,j}^{(m-1)} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{i,j} + v^m \mathbb{E}_{i,j}^{(m)} \bar{K}_i.$$

(3) *For  $1 \leq h < n$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{F}_h \mathbb{E}_{i,j}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_h^{(m)} \mathbb{F}_h - [\bar{K}_h; 1^{-m}] \mathbb{E}_h^{(m-1)}, & \text{if } i = h, j = h + 1; \\ \mathbb{E}_{h,j}^{(m)} \mathbb{F}_h + v^{2-m} \mathbb{K}_h \mathbb{K}_{h+1}^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{h+1,j} \mathbb{E}_{h,j}^{(m-1)}, & \text{if } i = h < h + 1 < j; \\ \mathbb{E}_{i,h+1}^{(m)} \mathbb{F}_h - \mathbb{K}_h^{-1} \mathbb{K}_{h+1} \mathbb{E}_{i,h+1}^{(m-1)} \mathbb{E}_{i,h}, & \text{if } i < h < h + 1 = j; \\ \mathbb{E}_{i,j}^{(m)} \mathbb{F}_h, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(4) *For  $i < j$  and  $i < k$ ,*

$$\mathbb{E}_{j,i} \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{i,k} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K}_i \bar{K}_j + \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{i,j} \mathbb{E}_{j,i} - \mathbb{K}_j \bar{K}_i, & \text{if } k = j; \\ \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{j,k} \mathbb{K}_j^{-1} \mathbb{K}_i + \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{i,k} \mathbb{E}_{j,i}, & \text{if } j < k; \\ \mathbb{K}_i \mathbb{K}_k \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{j,k} + \bar{\mathbb{E}}_{i,k} \mathbb{E}_{j,i} + (v^{-1} - v) \mathbb{K}_k \mathbb{E}_{j,k} \bar{K}_i, & \text{if } j > k. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The first assertion in (1) follows from [9, Prop. 7.4(3)]. More precisely, if  $1 \leq s \leq t$ , then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{j,k}^{(s)} \mathbb{E}_{k,l}^{(t)} = \mathbb{E}_{k,l}^{(t-s)} \mathbb{E}_{j,l}^{(s)} + \sum_{a=0}^{s-1} v^{(s-a)(t-a)} \mathbb{E}_{k,l}^{(t-a)} \mathbb{E}_{j,l}^{(a)} \mathbb{E}_{j,k}^{(s-a)}, \quad (3.7.1)$$

Here, we have singled out the leading term relative to  $\preceq$  and all other terms in the right hand side are PBW basis elements as defined in (3.0.3). This proves (1<sup>+</sup>). By applying  $\Omega$  to [9, Prop. 7.4(3)], a similar argument gives (1<sup>-</sup>).

The first commutation relation in (2) follows from [9, Proposition 7.10(1)], while the second follows from [9, Proposition 7.10(2)] and [9, Proposition 7.8(1)].

The first and fourth cases in (3) are special cases of [9, Prop. 7.6](1)&(2), respectively. By [9, Prop. 7.6](3)&(4),

$$\mathbb{F}_h \mathbb{E}_{i,j}^{(m)} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{E}_{h,j}^{(m)} \mathbb{F}_h + v^{1-m} \mathbb{E}_{h+1,j} \mathbb{K}_h \mathbb{K}_{h+1}^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{h,j}^{(m-1)}, & \text{if } i = h < h + 1 < j; \\ \mathbb{E}_{i,h+1}^{(m)} \mathbb{F}_h - v^{m-1} \mathbb{K}_h^{-1} \mathbb{K}_{h+1} \mathbb{E}_{i,h} \mathbb{E}_{i,h+1}^{(m-1)}, & \text{if } i < h < h + 1 = j. \end{cases}$$

Here we need to apply  $\Omega$  in (2.2.1) to [9, Prop. 7.6(3)] for  $m = 1, s \geq 1$  to obtain the first case here. By [9, (5.9)],  $\mathbb{E}_{h+1,j} \mathbb{K}_h \mathbb{K}_{h+1}^{-1} = v \mathbb{K}_h \mathbb{K}_{h+1}^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{h+1,j}$  and, by [9, Prop. 7.4(2)],  $\mathbb{E}_{i,h} \mathbb{E}_{i,h+1}^{(m-1)} = v^{-(m-1)} \mathbb{E}_{i,h+1}^{(m-1)} \mathbb{E}_{i,h}$ . So (3) follows.

To see (4), we extract the first, third and sixth cases from [9, Proposition 6.4 (2)], and applying  $\Omega$  to them gives

$$\bar{E}_{i,k}E_{j,i} = \begin{cases} E_{j,i}\bar{E}_{i,j} - K_i\bar{K}_j + \bar{K}_iK_j, & \text{if } k = j; \\ E_{j,i}\bar{E}_{i,k} - \bar{E}_{j,k}K_j^{-1}K_i, & \text{if } i < j < k; \\ E_{j,i}\bar{E}_{i,k} - K_iK_k\bar{E}_{j,k} - (v^{-1} - v)K_k\bar{K}_iE_{j,k}, & \text{if } i < k < j. \end{cases}$$

Rewriting gives the required formula.  $\square$

#### 4. MONOMIAL BASES FOR $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ AND $U_{v,z}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$

In this section,, we will construct a new basis for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  in terms of the generators  $E_h^{(m)}, F_h^{(m)}, K_i^{\pm 1}, E_{\bar{h}}, F_{\bar{h}}, K_{\bar{1}}$ ; see Remark 2.2. We will first define some monomials  $\mathbf{m}^{A,\mathbf{j}}$  to form the set  $\mathfrak{M} = \{\mathbf{m}^{A,\mathbf{j}} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ , and then to establish a triangular relation with respect to the ordering  $\preceq$  defined in (3.6.1) between  $\mathfrak{M}$  and the PBW basis  $\mathfrak{B}$  in Lemma 3.2.

For any  $A = (A^0|A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$  with  $A^0 = (a_{i,j}^0)$ ,  $A^1 = (a_{i,j}^1)$ , and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , define odd monomials  $\mathfrak{F}_{i,j}^1 = \mathfrak{F}_{i,j}^1(A)$  by

$$\mathfrak{F}_{1,j}^1 = K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{1,j}^1}, \quad \mathfrak{F}_{i,j}^1 = F_{i-1}^{a_{i,j}^1} \cdots F_1^{a_{i,j}^1} K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{i,j}^1} \quad (2 \leq i \leq n). \quad (4.0.1)$$

and even monomials  $\mathfrak{E}_{j-1}^0 = \mathfrak{E}_{j-1}^0(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{F}_j^0 = \mathfrak{F}_j^0(A)$  by  $\mathfrak{E}_0^0 = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_{j-1}^0 &= E_1^{(a_{1,j}^0 + |\mathbf{c}_j^1|)} E_2^{(a_{1,j}^0 + a_{2,j}^0 + |\mathbf{c}_j^1|)} \cdots E_{j-1}^{(\sum_{1 \leq s \leq j-1} a_{s,j}^0 + |\mathbf{c}_j^1|)} \quad (2 \leq j \leq n), \\ \mathfrak{F}_j^0 &= F_{n-1}^{(a_{n,j}^0)} F_{n-2}^{(a_{n-1,j}^0 + a_{n,j}^0)} \cdots F_j^{(\sum_{j+1 \leq s \leq n} a_{s,j}^0)} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.0.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{c}_j^1$  is the  $j$ th column of  $A^1$ , and  $|\mathbf{c}_j^1| = \sum_{1 \leq s \leq n} a_{s,j}^1$ .

Define, for  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}^{A,\mathbf{j}} &= K_{\bar{1}}^{j_1} \cdots K_{\bar{n}}^{j_n} \mathbf{m}^{A,0}, \quad \text{where} \\ \mathbf{m}^{A,0} &= \left( \prod_{j=1}^n (\mathfrak{F}_{1,n-j+1}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,n-j+1}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,n-j+1}^1 \mathfrak{E}_{n-j}^0) \right) \cdot \mathfrak{F}_1^0 \mathfrak{F}_2^0 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.0.3)$$

Here the order in the product  $\prod$  is ordered naturally  $1, 2, \dots, n$  from left to right. Note that each factor  $\mathfrak{F}_{1,j}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,j}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,j}^1 \mathfrak{E}_{j-1}^0$  corresponds to the ordered  $(\bar{j}_{\downarrow}, j_{\downarrow}^+)$ -column (on exponents!), interpreted similarly to the  $(\bar{j}_{\uparrow}, j_{\uparrow}^+)$ -column in (3.5.2), and the entire product  $\mathbf{m}^{A,0}$  is taken over the ordering in (3.5.2) on sections.

For example, if  $A$  is given as in (3.1.1), then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}^{A,0} &= (K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{13}^1} F_1^{a_{23}^1} K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{23}^1} F_2^{a_{33}^1} F_1^{a_{33}^1} K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{33}^1}) (E_1^{(a_{13}^0 + a_{13}^1 + a_{23}^1 + a_{33}^1)} E_2^{(a_{13}^0 + a_{23}^0 + a_{13}^1 + a_{23}^1 + a_{33}^1)}) \\ &\quad (K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{12}^1} F_1^{a_{22}^1} K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{22}^1} F_2^{a_{32}^1} F_1^{a_{32}^1} K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{32}^1}) E_1^{(a_{12}^0 + a_{12}^1 + a_{22}^1 + a_{32}^1)} \\ &\quad (K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{11}^1} F_1^{a_{21}^1} K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{21}^1} F_2^{a_{31}^1} F_1^{a_{31}^1} K_{\bar{1}}^{a_{31}^1}) \\ &\quad (F_2^{(a_{31}^0)} F_1^{(a_{21}^0 + a_{31}^0)}) F_2^{(a_{32}^0)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we compute the leading terms of certain monomials when written as linear combinations of the PBW basis elements in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . In the following, a statement like ‘‘a lower term having a smaller  $j^+$ -segment’’ means that the matrix associated the lower term is less than (under  $\preceq$ ) that of the leading term at an entry in the  $j_{\downarrow}^+$ -column.

Let

$$v^{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{Z}^n} = \{v^a \mathbf{K}^{\mathbf{j}} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** (1) For  $1 \leq i < j$ , if  $1 \leq a_i \leq \dots \leq a_{j-1}$ , then

$$\mathbf{E}_i^{(a_i)} \mathbf{E}_{i+1}^{(a_{i+1})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{j-1}^{(a_{j-1})} = \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{i+1,j}^{(a_{i+1}-a_i)} \mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(a_i)} + (\text{lower terms}),$$

where each lower term has a smaller  $j^+$ -segment.

(2) For  $1 \leq i < j$ , if  $a_i \geq \dots \geq a_{j-1} \geq 1$ , then

$$\mathbf{F}_{j-1}^{(a_{j-1})} \dots \mathbf{F}_{i+1}^{(a_{i+1})} \mathbf{F}_i^{(a_i)} = \mathbf{E}_{i+1,i}^{(a_i-a_{i+1})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{j-1,i}^{(a_j-a_{j-1})} \mathbf{E}_{j,i}^{(a_{j-1})} + (\text{lower terms}),$$

where each lower term has a smaller  $i^-$ -segment (or  $i_{\uparrow}^-$ -column).

(3) For  $i, j \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{j-1}$ , then there exists  $g \in v^{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}$  such that

$$\mathbf{F}_{i-1} \dots \mathbf{F}_1 \bar{\mathbf{K}}_1 \mathbf{E}_1^{(a_1)} \dots \mathbf{E}_{j-1}^{(a_{j-1})} = g \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j} + (\text{lower terms}),$$

where each lower term has a smaller  $(j^+, \bar{j})$ -segment (or  $(\bar{j}_{\uparrow}, j_{\downarrow}^+)$ -column).<sup>8</sup>

*Proof.* Since  $\mathbf{E}_h = \mathbf{E}_{h,h+1}$ , repeatedly applying Lemma 3.7(1<sup>+</sup>) together with (3.7.1) yields (1). More precisely, multiplying (3.7.1) on the left by  $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(r)}$  with  $i < j$  and  $r \leq s \leq t$  and noting [9, Prop. 7.4(1)] yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(r)} \mathbf{E}_{j,k}^{(s)} \mathbf{E}_{k,l}^{(t)} &= \mathbf{E}_{k,l}^{(t-s)} (\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(r)} \mathbf{E}_{j,l}^{(s)}) + \sum_{a=0}^{s-1} v^{(r-b)(s-b)} \mathbf{E}_{k,l}^{(t-a)} (\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(r)} \mathbf{E}_{j,l}^{(a)}) \mathbf{E}_{j,k}^{(s-a)} \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{k,l}^{(t-s)} \mathbf{E}_{j,l}^{(s-r)} \mathbf{E}_{i,l}^{(r)} + \sum_{b=0}^{r-1} v^{(s-a)(t-a)} \mathbf{E}_{k,l}^{(t-s)} \mathbf{E}_{j,l}^{(t-b)} \mathbf{E}_{i,l}^{(b)} \mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(r-b)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{a=0}^{s-1} \mathbf{E}_{k,l}^{(t-a)} \left( \sum_{c=0}^{\min(r,a)} \mathbf{E}_{j,l}^{(a-c)} \mathbf{E}_{i,l}^{(c)} \mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(r-c)} \right) \mathbf{E}_{j,k}^{(s-a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by applying (3.7.1) to  $\mathbf{E}_{i,j}^{(r-c)} \mathbf{E}_{j,k}^{(s-a)}$  one more time, we obtain a linear combination of some PBW basis elements  $\mathbf{b}^{A,0}$  as given in Lemma 3.2, where  $A^1 = 0$  and  $A^0$  is a strictly upper triangular matrix. Clearly, the  $l^+$ -segment of every  $\mathbf{b}^{A,0}$  contains the leading entry of  $\vec{A}$  and their corresponding  $l_{\downarrow}^+$ -column are  $(r, s-r, t-s)$  for the first term and  $(b, t-b, t-s)$  or  $(c, a-c, t-a)$  for the other terms, where  $0 \leq b \leq r-1$ ,  $0 \leq a \leq s-1$ ,  $0 \leq c \leq \min(r, a)$ . Hence, the first term is clearly the leading or the largest term relative to the lexicographic order, i.e., the order  $\preceq$ , and the lower terms has the form (a smaller  $l^+$ -segment) $\mathbf{c}$ . The general case can be seen similarly or by an inductive argument. This proves (1).

By Lemma 3.7(1<sup>-</sup>), the proof for assertion (2) is similar.

It remains to prove (3). By (1), we have

$$\mathbf{E}_1^{(a_1)} \dots \mathbf{E}_{j-1}^{(a_{j-1})} = \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1)} + (\text{lower terms}), \quad (4.1.1)$$

<sup>8</sup>Note that the ordering in  $\vec{A}$  sectionally reverses the ordering used in defining a PBW basis.

where each lower term has a smaller  $j^+$ -segment. Lemma 3.7(2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}_1 \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1)} &= \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \bar{K}_1 \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1)} \\ &= \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} (v^{a_1} \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,j} + v^{a_1} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1)} \bar{K}_1) \\ &= v^{a_1} \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,j} + (\text{a lower term}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the lower term is  $v^{a_1} \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1)} \bar{K}_1$ , which has a smaller  $\bar{j}$ -segment as  $\bar{K}_1 = \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,1}$  and  $j > 1$ . On the other hand, since each lower term  $\mathfrak{b}^{B,0}$  in (4.1.1) has a smaller  $j^+$ -segment, the calculation above shows that  $\bar{K}_1 \mathfrak{b}^{B,0}$  has a smaller  $(j^+, \bar{j})$ -segment (relative to the leading term). Hence,

$$\bar{K}_1 \mathbf{E}_1^{(a_1)} \dots \mathbf{E}_j^{(a_{j-1})} = v^{a_1} \mathfrak{b} + (\text{lower terms}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,j}$  is the leading term and every lower term has the form  $\mathfrak{b}'\mathfrak{c}$  where  $\mathfrak{b}'$  is a  $(j^+, \bar{j})$ -segment with a  $(\bar{j}_\uparrow, j_\downarrow^+)$ -column  $\prec$  that for  $\mathfrak{b}$ . We now compute  $F_{i-1} \dots F_2 F_1 \mathfrak{b}$ . By (2) above,

$$F_{i-1} \dots F_2 F_1 = \mathbf{E}_{i,1} + (\text{lower terms with smaller } 1^- \text{-segments}).$$

Then, by Lemma 3.7(4),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i-1} \dots F_2 F_1 \mathfrak{b} &= v^{a_1-1} \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} (F_{i-1} \dots F_2 F_1) \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{1,j} \\ &= \begin{cases} v^{a_1-1} \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \mathbf{K}_1 \bar{K}_j + (\text{lower terms}), & i = j; \\ v^{a_1-1} \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j} \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{K}_i^{-1} + (\text{lower terms}), & i < j; \\ v^{a_1-1} \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \mathbf{K}_j \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j} + (\text{lower terms}), & i > j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The computation of  $F_{i-1} \dots F_2 F_1 (\mathfrak{b}'\mathfrak{c}) = (F_{i-1} \dots F_2 F_1 \mathfrak{b}')\mathfrak{c}$  is similar. It has leading terms whose  $(j^+, \bar{j})$ -segment is smaller than that of the leading term in  $F_{i-1} \dots F_2 F_1 \mathfrak{b}$ .

Combining the two cases and noting [9, (5.9)] give

$$\begin{aligned} F_{i-1} \dots F_1 \bar{K}_1 \mathbf{E}_1^{(a_1)} \dots \mathbf{E}_j^{(a_{j-1})} &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{j,j} + (\text{lower terms}), & i = j; \\ \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j} \mathbf{K}_i^{-1} + (\text{lower terms}), & i < j; \\ \mathbf{E}_{j-1,j}^{(a_{j-1}-a_{j-2})} \dots \mathbf{E}_{2,j}^{(a_2-a_1)} \mathbf{E}_{1,j}^{(a_1-1)} \mathbf{K}_j \bar{\mathbf{E}}_{i,j} + (\text{lower terms}), & i > j, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where every lower term has a smaller  $(j^+, \bar{j})$ -segment. Now (3) follows from collecting the  $\mathbf{K}$ 's to the left.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the promised monomial basis.

**Proposition 4.2.** *The set*

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{\mathfrak{m}^{A,\mathbf{j}} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\} \quad (4.2.1)$$

defined in (4.0.3) forms a basis, a monomial basis, for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .

*Proof.* For  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , by Lemma 4.1(2),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{F}_j^0 &= F_{n-1}^{(a_{n,j}^0)} F_{n-2}^{(a_{n-1,j}^0 + a_{n,j}^0)} \cdots F_j^{(\sum_{j+1 \leq s \leq n} a_{s,j}^0)} \\ &= E_{j+1,j}^{(a_{j+1,j}^0)} E_{j+2,j}^{(a_{j+2,j}^0)} \cdots E_{n,j}^{(a_{n,j}^0)} + (\text{lower terms}) \\ &= E_A^{j^-} + (\text{lower terms}),\end{aligned}$$

where each lower term has a smaller  $j^-$ -segment. If we put  $E_A^- = E_A^{1^-} E_A^{2^-} \cdots E_A^{(n-1)^-}$ , then

$$\mathfrak{F}_1^0 \mathfrak{F}_2^0 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}^0 = E_A^- + (\text{lower terms}). \quad (4.2.2)$$

Here the matrix associated with each lower term is lower triangular for the even part and 0 for the odd part.

On the other hand, putting  $E_A^{n^+, \bar{n}} := E_{n-1,n}^{(a_{n-1,n}^0)} \cdots E_{2,n}^{(a_{2,n}^0)} E_{1,n}^{(a_{1,n}^0)} \bar{E}_{1,n}^{a_{1,n}^0} \cdots \bar{E}_{n,n}^{a_{n,n}^0}$  as in (3.0.4), by Lemma 4.1(3)

$$\mathfrak{F}_{1,n}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,n}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,n}^1 \mathfrak{E}_{n-1}^0 = \alpha E_A^{n^+, \bar{n}} + (\text{lower terms } \mathfrak{b}^{B,j}),$$

where  $\alpha \in v^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}$  is a constant and each lower term  $\mathfrak{b}^{B,j}$  has the form

$$\mathfrak{b}^{B,j} = \mathbf{K}^j E_{n-1,n}^{(b_{n-1,n}^0)} \cdots E_{2,n}^{(b_{2,n}^0)} E_{1,n}^{(b_{1,n}^0)} \bar{E}_{1,n}^{b_{1,n}^0} \cdots \bar{E}_{n,n}^{b_{n,n}^0} \cdot \mathbf{G},$$

with  $B \prec A_{n^+, \bar{n}}$ , where  $\mathbf{G}$  denotes the remaining part of this lower term and  $A_{n^+, \bar{n}}$  is the matrix whose  $n^+$  and  $\bar{n}$  columns are the same as in  $A$  and the rest are zeros. In other words,  $\mathfrak{b}^{A_{n^+, \bar{n}}, \mathbf{0}} = E_A^{n^+, \bar{n}}$ .

Observe that every recursively defined root vector  $E_{i,n}$  involves the generator  $E_{n-1} = E_{n-1,n}$  and, without  $E_{n-1}$ ,  $\mathbf{K}_{\bar{n}}$  cannot be generated from (QQ4) and nor  $E_{\bar{n}}$  from (QQ3). Since  $E_{n-1}$  does not appear in  $\mathbf{G}$  and nor in

$$\Pi := \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (\mathfrak{F}_{1,n-j}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,n-j}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,n-j}^1 \mathfrak{E}_{n-j-1}^0),$$

it follows that when  $\mathbf{G} \cdot \Pi$  is written as a linear combination of PBW basis elements, the root vectors  $E_{i,n}, \bar{E}_{j,n}$ ,  $i < n, j \leq n$ , will not appear. Hence, each of them has no  $(n^+, \bar{n})$ -segment. Thus, every term in

$$E_{n-1,n}^{(b_{n-1,n}^0)} \cdots E_{2,n}^{(b_{2,n}^0)} E_{1,n}^{(b_{1,n}^0)} \bar{E}_{1,n}^{b_{1,n}^0} \cdots \bar{E}_{n,n}^{b_{n,n}^0} \cdot \mathbf{G} \cdot \Pi$$

is less than every term in  $E_A^{n^+, \bar{n}} \cdot \Pi$ , and so the leading term must occur in the latter. By induction, we conclude

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (\mathfrak{F}_{1,n-j+1}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,n-j+1}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,n-j+1}^1 \mathfrak{E}_{n-j}^0) = \beta_A E_A^{n^+, \bar{n}} \cdots E_A^{1^+, \bar{1}} + (\text{lower terms}),$$

for some  $\beta_A \in v^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{Z}^n}$ . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m}^{A,j} &= \mathbf{K}^j (\beta_A E_A^{n^+, \bar{n}} \cdots E_A^{1^+, \bar{1}} + \text{lower terms}) (E_A^- + \text{lower terms}) \\ &= \beta_A \mathfrak{b}^{A,j} + (\text{lower terms}),\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.2, the set  $\mathfrak{M}' := \{\beta_A^{-1} \mathfrak{m}^{A,j} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  forms a basis for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . By writing  $\beta_A = v^{e_A} \mathbf{K}^{j_A}$ , for some  $e_A \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{j}_A \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , we have  $\mathfrak{M}' =$

$\{v^{-e_A} \mathbf{m}^{A\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{j}A} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ . Hence,  $\{\mathbf{m}^{A\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{j}A} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ , forms a basis which is exactly  $\mathfrak{M} = \{\mathbf{m}^{A\mathbf{j}} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$ .  $\square$

Parallel to Proposition 3.4, we have the following.

**Corollary 4.3.** *The set*

$$\mathfrak{M}_z = \left\{ \mathbf{K}^\tau \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}^{A,0} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \tau \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^n \right\}$$

*forms a  $\mathcal{Z}$ -basis for the Lusztig form  $U_{v,z}(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .*

## 5. THE $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -SUPERMODULE $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$

We now aims at the construction of the regular representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . This will be a three step construction. First, we construct a deformed polynomial superalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  on which  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  acts so that we obtain a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule. We then extend in next section this action to the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)^{\otimes n}$ , which can be regarded again a deformed polynomial superalgebra, and extend further in §7 to its formal power series algebra in which we construct subspace  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  together with some explicit action formulas on a basis by generators of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . From §8 onwards, we prove that  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  is isomorphic to the regular representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and, thus, give new realisations for both  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  and the queer  $q$ -Schur algebras.

Let  $n$  be a positive integer and write  $[1, n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . As in (2.3.3), put  $\bar{i} = n + i$  for all  $i \in [1, n]$ . We will identify the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots, \bar{n}\}$  with  $[1, 2n]$ . Let  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ . We always regard  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  as a subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  unless it is used to describe a superspace, where  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is an abelian group of order 2.

Define the parity function on  $[1, 2n]$

$$p : [1, 2n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad p(i) = 0, p(\bar{i}) = 1 \quad \forall i \in [1, n]. \quad (5.0.1)$$

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $\mathcal{A}_v(n) = \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}[X_1, \dots, X_n, X_{\bar{1}}, \dots, X_{\bar{n}}]$  be the superalgebra over  $\mathbb{Q}(v)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{even generators: } & X_1, \dots, X_n, \\ \text{odd generators: } & X_{\bar{1}}, \dots, X_{\bar{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

and relations:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & X_i X_j = X_j X_i, \quad X_i X_{\bar{j}} = X_{\bar{j}} X_i, \\ (2) \quad & X_{\bar{i}} X_{\bar{j}} = -X_{\bar{j}} X_{\bar{i}} \quad (i \neq j), \quad X_{\bar{i}}^2 = \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}} X_i^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1.1)$$

Note that, if we specialise  $v$  to 1, then  $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$  is isomorphic to the tensor product of the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{Q}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  and the exterior (super)algebra  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}(X_{\bar{1}}, \dots, X_{\bar{n}})$ . Since  $X_{\bar{i}}^2 = 0$  in  $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$ , this algebra  $\mathcal{A}_1(n)$  is known as a polynomial superalgebra. The superalgebra  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  is a deformed polynomial superalgebra and may be regarded as the algebra over  $\mathbb{Q}(v)[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  with generators  $X_{\bar{1}}, \dots, X_{\bar{n}}$  and relations (5.1.1)(2). We will call  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  the *queer polynomial superalgebra* in the sequel.

For  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{\bar{1}}, \dots, a_{\bar{n}}) \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{N}^n$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} X^{\mathbf{a}} &= X_1^{a_1} \dots X_n^{a_n} X_{\bar{1}}^{a_{\bar{1}}} \dots X_{\bar{n}}^{a_{\bar{n}}}, \\ X^{[\mathbf{a}]} &= X_1^{(a_1)} \dots X_n^{(a_n)} X_{\bar{1}}^{a_{\bar{1}}} \dots X_{\bar{n}}^{a_{\bar{n}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1.2)$$

These monomials have parity

$$p(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = p(X^{[\mathbf{a}]}) = p(\mathbf{a}) := a_{\bar{1}} + \cdots + a_{\bar{n}} \pmod{2}. \quad (5.1.3)$$

We also have the usual degree function:

$$\deg(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = |\mathbf{a}| := \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i + a_{\bar{i}}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

**Lemma 5.2.** (1) *The sets*

$$\mathcal{X} := \{X^{\mathbf{a}} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n\} \text{ and } \{X^{[\mathbf{a}]} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n\}$$

form bases for  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$ .

(2) *For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2, r \in \mathbb{N}$ , let*

$$\mathcal{A}_v(n)_i = \text{span}\{X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{X} \mid p(\mathbf{a}) = i\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_v(n, r) = \text{span}\{X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{X} \mid \deg(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = r\}.$$

Then there are two grading structures on  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_v(n) = \mathcal{A}_v(n)_0 \oplus \mathcal{A}_v(n)_1 = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{A}_v(n, r).$$

We now introduce some linear maps in the (super) subalgebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of the algebra  $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}(\mathcal{A}_v(n))$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1, \quad \text{where} \\ \mathcal{L}_i &= \{f \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}(\mathcal{A}_v(n)) \mid f(\mathcal{A}_v(n)_j) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_v(n)_{j+i} \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.2.1)$$

For all  $i \in [1, 2n]$ , define *quantum differential (or  $v$ -differential) operators*

$$\partial_i : \mathcal{A}_v(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_v(n)$$

by setting, for  $X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$\partial_i(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = \begin{cases} [a_i]X^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{e}_i}, & \text{if } i \in [1, n]; \\ (-1)^{\sum_{n+1 \leq j < i} a_j} [a_i]X^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{e}_i}, & \text{if } i \in [n+1, 2n]. \end{cases} \quad (5.2.2)$$

Note that, if  $a_i = 0$ , then  $\partial_i(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = [0]X^{\mathbf{a}} = 0$ . Note also that  $\partial_i$  satisfies the super quantum derivative rule

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i(ab) &= \partial_i(a)\delta_i(b) + (-1)^{p(a)p(i)}\delta_i^{-1}(a)\partial_i(b) \\ &= \partial_i(a)\delta_i^{-1}(b) + (-1)^{p(a)p(i)}\delta_i(a)\partial_i(b), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_i^{\pm 1}$  is the linear isomorphism

$$\delta_i^{\pm 1} : \mathcal{A}_v(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_v(n), \quad \delta_i^{\pm 1}(X^{\mathbf{a}}) \longmapsto v^{\pm a_i} X^{\mathbf{a}}.$$

Clearly,  $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and  $\partial_{\bar{1}}, \dots, \partial_{\bar{n}} \in \mathcal{L}_1$ .

We also define, for  $i \in [1, n], j \geq 0$ ,

$$\partial_i^{(j)} : \mathcal{A}_v(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_v(n) \text{ by setting } \partial_i^{(j)}(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = [a_i + j]X^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{e}_i},$$

and define the linear maps  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and  $\chi_{\bar{1}}, \dots, \chi_{\bar{n}} \in \mathcal{L}_1$  as the multiplication by  $X_i$ :

$$\chi_i : \mathcal{A}_v(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_v(n), \quad a \longmapsto X_i a, \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{A}_v(n). \quad (5.2.3)$$



Note that, for a basis element  $X^{\mathbf{a}}$ ,

$$\chi_i(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = X_i X^{\mathbf{a}} = \begin{cases} X^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{e}_i}, & \text{if } i \in [1, n]; \\ (-1)^{\sum_{n+1 \leq j < i} a_j} X^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{e}_i}, & \text{if } i \in [n+1, 2n]. \end{cases}$$

We also need the following *signed identity maps*:

$$s_{\bar{i}} : \mathcal{A}_v(n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_v(n), \quad X^{\mathbf{a}} \longmapsto (-1)^{a_{\bar{i}}} X^{\mathbf{a}}, \quad i \in [1, n].$$

The following subspace decompositions will be useful below for checking certain commutation formulas and relations: for  $i \in [1, n]$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_v(n) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_{i,0} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{i,1}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{i,0} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{i,1}, \end{cases} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{A}_{i,0} = \text{span}\{X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{X} \mid a_i = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{i,1} = \text{span}\{X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{X} \mid a_i \geq 1\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{i,j} = \text{span}\{X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{X} \mid a_{\bar{i}} = j\} \ (j \in \mathbb{Z}_2). \end{cases} \quad (5.2.4)$$

For a linear map  $f$  on  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$ ,  $f|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,j}}$  denotes its restriction to  $\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$ . We may also define the projection map onto  $\mathcal{A}_{i,j}$  via the decomposition  $\mathcal{A}_v(n) = \mathcal{A}_{i,0} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{i,1}$ .

**Lemma 5.3.** *The following commutation relations hold in  $\mathcal{L}$ .*

- (1) For all  $i, j \in [1, 2n]$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $\partial_i \partial_j = (-1)^{p(i)p(j)} \partial_j \partial_i$ ,  $\chi_i \chi_j = (-1)^{p(i)p(j)} \chi_j \chi_i$  and, for all  $i \in [1, n]$ ,  $\partial_i^2 = 0$ ,  $\chi_i^2 = \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} \chi_i^2$ .
- (2) For all  $i, j \in [1, 2n]$ ,  $\partial_i \delta_j = \begin{cases} \delta_j \partial_i, & \text{if } i \neq j; \\ v \delta_i \partial_i, & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$
- (3) For all  $i, j \in [1, 2n]$  with  $j \neq i$  or  $j \neq \bar{i}$  when  $i \in [1, n]$ ,  $\chi_j \partial_i = (-1)^{p(i)p(j)} \partial_i \chi_j$ , and, for all  $i \in [1, n]$ ,
  - (a)  $\partial_i \chi_i = \chi_i \partial_i^{(1)}$ ;  $\chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}}$  (resp.,  $\partial_{\bar{i}} \chi_{\bar{i}}$ ) is the projection map onto  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}$ ), and  $\chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} + \partial_{\bar{i}} \chi_{\bar{i}} = 1$ .
  - (b)  $\partial_i \chi_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}} = \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}}$  and  $\partial_i \chi_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} = \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i^{(2)}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}}$ .
- (4) For all  $i, j \in [1, 2n]$  with  $j \neq i$  or  $j \neq \bar{i}$  when  $i \in [1, n]$ , we have  $\chi_j \delta_i = \delta_i \chi_j$ , and, for  $i \in [1, n]$ ,
  - (a)  $\chi_i \delta_i = v^{-1} \delta_i \chi_i$ ;  $\chi_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}} = v^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}} \chi_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}}$ ,  $\chi_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} = v \delta_{\bar{i}} \chi_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}}$ ;
  - (b)  $\chi_{\bar{i}} \delta_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}} = \delta_i \chi_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}}$ ,  $\chi_{\bar{i}} \delta_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} = v^{-2} \delta_i \chi_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}}$ .
- (5) For  $i \in [1, n]$ ,
  - (a)  $s_{\bar{i}} \chi_{\bar{i}} = -\chi_{\bar{i}} s_{\bar{i}}$ ,  $s_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} = \partial_{\bar{i}}$ ,
  - (b)  $\chi_i \partial_i^{(2)} + \chi_i \partial_i = (v + v^{-1}) \chi_i \partial_i^{(1)}$ ,
  - (c)  $\chi_i \partial_i^{(2)} = \chi_i \partial_i + \delta_i + \delta_i^{-1}$ ,
  - (d)  $\chi_i \partial_i^{(1)} = v \chi_i \partial_i + \delta_i^{-1}$ ,
  - (e)  $\partial_i \delta_i^{\pm 1} \chi_{\bar{i}} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_i^{\pm 1} = \delta_i^{\pm 1}$ ,
  - (f)  $\chi_i \partial_i^{(2)} = v^2 \chi_i \partial_i + (v + v^{-1}) \delta_i^{-1}$ ,
  - (g)  $\partial_i^{(1)} \partial_i^{(1)} + \partial_i \partial_i = (v + v^{-1}) \partial_i^{(1)} \partial_i$ .

*Proof.* All relations can be checked easily by definition through applying to a basis vector  $X^{\mathbf{a}}$ . We omit the proof. Note that relations (b)/(g), (c), (d) (f) in (5) follow

respectively from the identities: for all  $a \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(b')} \quad & [a+2] + [a] = (v + v^{-1})[a+1]; \\ \text{(c')} \quad & [a+2] - [a] = v^{a+1} + v^{-(a+1)}; \\ \text{(d')} \quad & [a+1] - v[a] = v^{-a}, \\ \text{(f')} \quad & [a+2] - v^2[a] = (v + v^{-1})v^{-a}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now use these operators to define a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$ .

For  $1 \leq h \leq n-1, i \in [1, n]$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_i &= \delta_i \delta_{\bar{i}}, & \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}} &= \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_i^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_{\bar{i}}, \\ \mathcal{E}_h &= \chi_h \partial_{h+1} \delta_{\overline{h+1}} + \chi_{\overline{h+1}} \partial_{h+1} \delta_{h+1}^{-1} s_{\bar{h}}, & \mathcal{E}_{\bar{h}} &= \chi_{\bar{h}} \partial_{h+1} \delta_{\overline{h+1}} s_{\bar{h}} + \chi_h \partial_{\overline{h+1}} \delta_{h+1}^{-1}, \\ \mathcal{F}_h &= \chi_{h+1} \partial_h \delta_{\bar{h}}^{-1} + \chi_{\overline{h+1}} \partial_{\bar{h}} \delta_h, & \mathcal{F}_{\bar{h}} &= \chi_{\overline{h+1}} \partial_h \delta_{\bar{h}}^{-1} + \chi_{h+1} \partial_{\bar{h}} \delta_h. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3.2)$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{E}_h, \mathcal{F}_h \in \mathcal{L}_0$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}, \mathcal{E}_{\bar{h}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{h}} \in \mathcal{L}_1$ . Let

$$\{\mathbf{e}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2n\} = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{1}}, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{\bar{n}}\}$$

( $\mathbf{e}_{\bar{i}} = \mathbf{e}_{n+i}$ ) be the standard basis vectors of  $\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** (1) *The map  $\rho : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Q}(v)}(\mathcal{A}_v(n))$  sending  $\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{E}_j, \mathcal{F}_j, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}, \mathcal{E}_{\bar{j}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{j}}$  to  $\mathcal{K}_i, \mathcal{E}_j, \mathcal{F}_j, \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}, \mathcal{E}_{\bar{j}}, \mathcal{F}_{\bar{j}}$ , respectively, defines an algebra homomorphism. In other words,  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  becomes a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -module with the following  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -action formulas:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_i \cdot X^{\mathbf{a}} &= v^{a_i + a_{\bar{i}}} X^{\mathbf{a}}, \\ \mathcal{E}_h \cdot X^{\mathbf{a}} &= v^{a_{\overline{h+1}}} [a_{h+1}] X^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_h - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}} + v^{-a_{h+1}} [a_{\overline{h+1}}] X^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}} - \mathbf{e}_{\overline{h+1}}}, \\ \mathcal{F}_h \cdot X^{\mathbf{a}} &= v^{-a_{\bar{h}}} [a_h] X^{\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}} + v^{a_h} [a_{\bar{h}}] X^{\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}} + \mathbf{e}_{\overline{h+1}}}, \\ \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}} \cdot X^{\mathbf{a}} &= (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} a_j} \left( v^{-a_i} [a_{\bar{i}}] X^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{\bar{i}}} + v^{a_i} [a_i] X^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{\bar{i}} - \mathbf{e}_i} \right), \\ \mathcal{E}_{\bar{h}} \cdot X^{\mathbf{a}} &= (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq h} a_j} \left( v^{a_{\overline{h+1}}} [a_{h+1}] X^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}} - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}} + v^{-a_{h+1}} [a_{\overline{h+1}}] X^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_h - \mathbf{e}_{\overline{h+1}}} \right), \\ \mathcal{F}_{\bar{h}} \cdot X^{\mathbf{a}} &= (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq h} a_j} v^{-a_{\bar{h}}} [a_h] X^{\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{e}_{\overline{h+1}}} + (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq j < h} a_j} v^{a_h} [a_{\bar{h}}] X^{\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}} + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4.1)$$

for all  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{N}^n \times \mathbb{Z}_2^n, 1 \leq i, h \leq n, h \neq n$ .

(2) *It is a weight  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule and all its homogeneous components  $\mathcal{A}_v(n, r), r \in \mathbb{N}$ , are  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -subsupermodules.*

*Proof.* Assertion (2) follows easily from (1). We now prove (1) by verifying that all the relations are satisfied for these operators.

The relations in (QQ1) can all be trivially checked except the last one which we prove now. If  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$  then, by Lemma 5.3(1)–(4),  $ab = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}ba$  for all  $a \in \{\partial_i, \chi_i, \delta_i, \partial_{\bar{i}}, \chi_{\bar{i}}, \delta_{\bar{i}}\}, b \in \{\partial_j, \chi_j, \delta_j, \partial_{\bar{j}}, \chi_{\bar{j}}, \delta_{\bar{j}}\}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{j}} &= (\chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_i^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_{\bar{i}}) (\chi_j \partial_{\bar{j}} \delta_j^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{j}} \partial_j \delta_{\bar{j}}) \\ &= -(\chi_j \partial_{\bar{j}} \delta_j^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{j}} \partial_j \delta_{\bar{j}}) (\chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_i^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_{\bar{i}}) = -\mathcal{K}_{\bar{j}} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $1 \leq i = j \leq n$ , by Lemma 5.3(2),(3),(1), we see  $(\chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_i^{-1})^2 = 0$ , and so

$$\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}^2 = (\chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_i^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_{\bar{i}})^2 = \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_i^{-1} \cdot \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_{\bar{i}} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_{\bar{i}} \cdot \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_i^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_{\bar{i}} \cdot \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_i \delta_{\bar{i}}.$$

Restricting  $\mathcal{K}_i^2$  to the subspace  $\mathcal{A}_{i,0}$  gives  $\chi_i \partial_i \delta_i \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}} = 0$  and, by Lemma 5.3(3),  $\partial_i \chi_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}} = 1$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{K}_i^2|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}} = \chi_i \delta_i^{-1} (\partial_i \chi_i) \partial_i + \chi_i \partial_i (v \chi_i \delta_i) \partial_i = \chi_i \delta_i^{-1} \partial_i + v \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}} \chi_i^2 \partial_i^2 = \frac{\mathcal{K}_i^2 - \mathcal{K}_i^{-2}}{v^2 - v^{-2}} \Big|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}},$$

where the last equality is seen by applying the left side to  $X^{\mathbf{a}}$ , since

$$v^{-a_i+1}[a_i] + v \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}}[a_i - 1][a_i] = \frac{v^{2a_i} - v^{-2a_i}}{v^2 - v^{-2}}. \quad (5.4.2)$$

Similarly, restricting  $\mathcal{K}_i^2$  to the subspace  $\mathcal{A}_{i,1}$  gives  $\chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} = 0$ ,  $\chi_i \partial_i \delta_i \cdot \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} = \partial_i \chi_i \delta_i^{-1}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}}$  and  $\chi_i \partial_i \delta_i \cdot \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} = v \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} \partial_i \chi_i^2 \partial_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}}$ . Hence,

$$\mathcal{K}_i^2|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} = \partial_i \chi_i \delta_i^{-1} + v \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}} \partial_i \chi_i^2 \partial_i = \frac{\mathcal{K}_i^2 - \mathcal{K}_i^{-2}}{v^2 - v^{-2}} \Big|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} \quad (5.4.3)$$

by (5.4.2) with  $a_i$  replaced by  $a_i + 1$ , proving (QQ1).

The relations in (QQ2) follows from the following commuting relations:

$$\delta_i \delta_i^{-1} (\chi_k \partial_l) = v^{\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1}} (\chi_k \partial_l) \delta_i \delta_i^{-1}, \quad \delta_i \delta_i^{-1} (\chi_l \partial_k) = v^{-(\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1})} (\chi_l \partial_k) \delta_i \delta_i^{-1},$$

where  $(k, l) \in \{(j, j+1), (\bar{j}, j+1), (j, \bar{j}+1), (\bar{j}, \bar{j}+1)\}$ .

To check the rest of the relations in Definition 2.1, we apply the following two general rules. First, we use Lemma 5.3(3) to break the proof of a relation  $\mathcal{R} = 0$  on  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  by proving that  $\mathcal{R} \partial_i \chi_i = 0$  and  $\mathcal{R} \chi_i \partial_i = 0$ , or equivalently,  $\mathcal{R}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i,j}} = 0$  for  $j = 0, 1$ . Second, to simplify a product of several operators, we always move the  $\delta_i, s_i$  to the right and move  $\chi_i$  to the left.

We now verify (QQ3). The first two commuting relations follows directly from the commuting relations in Lemma 5.3(1)–(4) for  $i \neq j, j+1$ . We now verify the relation

$$(\mathcal{K}_i \mathcal{E}_i - v \mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{K}_i) \mathcal{K}_i = \mathcal{E}_i \quad (1 \leq i < n) \quad (5.4.4)$$

in (QQ3); the proof for the other three are similar. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{K}_i \mathcal{E}_i - v \mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{K}_i \\ &= \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \cdot \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} &= v^{-1} \chi_i \chi_i \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &+ \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i \cdot \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} &+ \chi_i \chi_i \partial_i^{(1)} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &+ \chi_i (\partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \cdot \chi_i) \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i &+ \chi_i (\delta_i^{-1} - \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1}) \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i \text{ (by (5.3.1e))} \\ &+ \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i \cdot \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i &+ \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i \\ &- v \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} &- v \chi_i \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \partial_i \delta_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} \\ &- v \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot s_i \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} &- v \chi_i \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \partial_i \delta_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} \\ &- v \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i &- v \chi_i \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \partial_i \delta_{i+1} \delta_i \\ &- v \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \cdot s_i \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i &- v \chi_i \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \partial_i s_i \delta_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here each term on the right is obtained from the corresponding term on the left by applying some relations in Lemma 5.3.

When restricting to  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}$ , terms with a factor  $\partial_{\bar{i}}$  is zero. Observe also  $\delta_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}} = 1 = s_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}\mathcal{E}_i - v\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}})\mathcal{K}_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}} &= \chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_i\partial_i^{(1)}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i + \chi_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_i(\delta_i\chi_{\bar{i}})\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i \\ &\quad - v\chi_i\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i - v\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_i\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.3(4a)(3a), the third and fifth terms are cancelled. Hence, by (5.3.1d),

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}\mathcal{E}_i - v\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}})\mathcal{K}_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}} &= \chi_{\bar{i}}(\chi_i\partial_i^{(1)} - v\chi_i\partial_i)\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i + \chi_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &= \chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} + \chi_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now restricting to  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}$ , by noting  $\delta_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}} = v1$ ,  $s_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}} = -1$ , yields

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}\mathcal{E}_i - v\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}})\mathcal{K}_i|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}} \\ &= \chi_i\chi_i\partial_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} + v^2\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_i\partial_i^{(1)}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i + (v\chi_i\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v\chi_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}) \\ &\quad - v\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_i\delta_i\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i \tag{5.4.5} \\ &\quad - v^2\chi_i\chi_i\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v^2\chi_i\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v^3\chi_i\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i \\ &\quad + v^3\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i, \end{aligned}$$

By applying  $\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}} = 1$  to the third and sixth terms,  $\partial_i\delta_i\chi_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}} = \chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_i^{(2)}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}}$  (cf. Lemma 5.3(3b)) to the fourth term, and combining terms one and five, two and seven, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (5.4.5) &= (1 - v^2)\chi_i\chi_i\partial_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} + v^2\chi_{\bar{i}}(\chi_i\partial_i^{(1)} - v\chi_i\partial_i)\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i + (0) \\ &\quad - v\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_i^{(2)}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i + v^2\chi_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} + v^3\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i \\ &= -(v^2 + 1)\chi_{\bar{i}}(\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{\bar{i}})\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} + v^2\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} \text{ (by (5.3.1d))} \\ &\quad - v\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_i^{(2)}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i + v^2\chi_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} + v^3\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i \\ &= -\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_i^{(2)}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i + v^3\chi_{\bar{i}}\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\partial_i\delta_{i+1}^{-1}\delta_i + v^2\chi_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &= -\chi_{\bar{i}}\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} + \chi_i\partial_{i+1}\delta_{i+1}^{-1} \text{ (by (5.3.1f) via } \chi_{\bar{i}}^2 = \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}}\chi_i^2) = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{i}}|_{\mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}}, \end{aligned}$$

proving (5.4.4).

For (QQ4), the commuting relations when  $i \neq j$  are clear. We only check the relation

$$\mathcal{E}_i\mathcal{F}_{\bar{i}} - \mathcal{F}_{\bar{i}}\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{K}_{i+1}^{-1}\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}} - \mathcal{K}_{i+1}\mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}^{-1}; \tag{5.4.6}$$

the proof of the other relations for  $i = j$  in (QQ4) is similar.

By definition  $\mathcal{E}_i = \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_{\bar{i}}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{i}} = \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} + \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}$ , the left hand side of (5.4.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{F}_{\bar{i}} - \mathcal{F}_{\bar{i}} \mathcal{E}_i \\
 = & \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} & = \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} & (L_1) \\
 & + \chi_{\bar{i}} (\partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \chi_{i+1}) s_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} & + \chi_{\bar{i}} (-\chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} + 1) \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \partial_{\bar{i}} s_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} & (L_2), \text{ by (5.3.1e)} \\
 & + \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} & + \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(1)} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}} & (L_3) \\
 & + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_{\bar{i}} \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} & + v^{-1} \chi_{\bar{i}} \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}} & (L_4) \\
 & - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} & - \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}}^{(1)} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} & (L_5^-) \\
 & - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} & - v \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} & (L_6^-) \\
 & - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_{\bar{i}} & - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_{\bar{i}} & (L_7^-) \\
 & - \chi_{i+1} (\partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} \chi_{\bar{i}}) \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_{\bar{i}} & - \chi_{i+1} (-\chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} + 1) \delta_{\bar{i}} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_{\bar{i}} & (L_8^-)
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.7}$$

Here we labeled the eight term on the right by  $L_j$  or  $L_k^-$  for  $1 \leq j \leq 4, 5 \leq k \leq 8$ . On the other hand, the right hand side of (5.4.6) has four terms, labelled in order by  $R_1, R_2, R_3^-, R_4^-$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{K}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}} - \mathcal{K}_{i+1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \\
 = & \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} (\chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}) - (\chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} + \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}) \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \\
 = & \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1}. \\
 & \qquad (R_1) \qquad \qquad (R_2) \qquad \qquad (R_3^-) \qquad \qquad (R_4^-)
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.4.8}$$

We first consider the case by restricting the operators in (5.4.7) and (5.4.8) to  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0}$ . Thus, only five terms,  $L_1, L_2, L_3, L_5^-, L_6^-$ , in (5.4.7) and three terms  $R_1, R_2, R_4^-$ , in (5.4.8) survive, as the terms with a factor  $\partial_{i+1}$  are 0. Regrouping and noting  $\chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0}} = 0$ ,  $\delta_{i+1} |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0}} = 1$  and  $\delta_{i+1} \chi_{i+1} = v \chi_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}$  yield

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{F}_{\bar{i}} - \mathcal{F}_{\bar{i}} \mathcal{E}_i) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0}} - (\mathcal{K}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}} - \mathcal{K}_{i+1} \mathcal{K}_{\bar{i}}^{-1}) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0}} \\
 = & L_1 - L_5^- + R_4^- + L_3 - L_6^- + L_2 - R_1 - R_2 \\
 = & \chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \chi_{i+1} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} - \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}}^{(1)} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} + \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \\
 & + \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(1)} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{\bar{i}} - v \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\
 & + \chi_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \partial_{\bar{i}} s_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} - \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\
 = & \chi_{i+1} (v \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} - \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}}^{(1)} + \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1}) \partial_{i+1} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} + \chi_i (\chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(1)} - v \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}) \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} \\
 & + \chi_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \partial_{\bar{i}} s_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} - \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\
 = & (\chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}} + \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} s_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} - \chi_i \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}^{-1} - \chi_{\bar{i}} \partial_{\bar{i}} \delta_{\bar{i}}) \delta_{i+1}^{-1} |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0}} \text{ (by (5.3.1d)).}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\psi$  be the four term element in parentheses. Clearly,  $\psi |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},0}} = 0$ . Applying  $\psi$  to  $X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{A}_{i+1,0} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\bar{i},1}$  yields  $\alpha X^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{\bar{i}}}$  with  $\alpha = v^{a_i} - v^{-1} \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} [a_i] - v^{-a_i} - v \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} [a_i] = 0$ . Hence,  $(5.4.6) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0}} = 0$ .

We now prove  $(5.4.6)|_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = 0$ . By Lemma 5.3(4a)(3b),  $\chi_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \chi_{i+1} \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(2)} \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}}$ . Also,  $\chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = 1$  (applied to  $L_2, L_7$  in (5.4.7) to get  $L_2 = 0$ ),  $\delta_{i+1,1} |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = v1$  and  $\chi_{i+1} \chi_i = -\chi_i \chi_{i+1}$ . Thus, (5.4.7)| <sub>$\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}$</sub>  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{F}_i - \mathcal{F}_i \mathcal{E}_i) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} &= \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(2)} \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} + v \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(1)} \partial_i \delta_i + (v^{-1} \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \partial_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_i) \\ &\quad - v \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i^{(1)} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} - v^2 \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_i + \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \chi_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i \\ &\quad + (\chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i) \end{aligned}$$

Since, for the three terms in parentheses, by  $\chi_i \partial_i |_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}} = 0$  and  $\chi_i \partial_i |_{\mathcal{A}_{i,1}} = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &(-v^{-1} \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_i + \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} \\ &= -\chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_i^{-1} |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{F}_i - \mathcal{F}_i \mathcal{E}_i) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} &= \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(2)} \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} + v \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(1)} \partial_i \delta_i - v \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i^{(1)} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} \\ &\quad - v^2 \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_i + \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \chi_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} s_i - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_i^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We label the six terms by  $l_1, l_2, l_3^-, l_4^-, l_5, l_6^-$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{K}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_i - \mathcal{K}_{i+1} \mathcal{K}_i^{-1}) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} \\ &= v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} + v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_i^{-1} \delta_i^{-1} - v \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} \delta_i^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

whose terms are labelled by  $r_1, r_2, r_3^-, r_4^-$ . Hence, the difference of  $(\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{F}_i - \mathcal{F}_i \mathcal{E}_i) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}}$  and  $(\mathcal{K}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_i - \mathcal{K}_{i+1} \mathcal{K}_i^{-1}) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}}$  has 10 terms which are regrouped as follows:

$$(\mathcal{E}_i \mathcal{F}_i - \mathcal{F}_i \mathcal{E}_i) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} - (\mathcal{K}_{i+1}^{-1} \mathcal{K}_i - \mathcal{K}_{i+1} \mathcal{K}_i^{-1}) |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = \Sigma' |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} + \Sigma'' |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma' &= v \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(1)} \partial_i \delta_i - v^2 \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i \partial_{i+1} \delta_i + \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \chi_i s_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &\quad - v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} (= l_2 - l_4^- + l_5 - r_1 - r_2), \\ \Sigma'' &= \chi_i \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(2)} \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} - v \chi_{i+1} \chi_i \partial_i^{(1)} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} + \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_i^{-1} \delta_i^{-1} \\ &\quad - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_i^{-1} + v \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} \delta_i^{-1} (= l_1 - l_3^- + r_3^- - l_6^- + r_4^-). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove both  $\Sigma' |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = 0$  and  $\Sigma'' |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = 0$ . This can be seen by further restricting them to  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1} \cap \mathcal{A}_{i,0}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1} \cap \mathcal{A}_{i,1}$ . More precisely, by noting  $\partial_i |_{\mathcal{A}_{i,0}} = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma' |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1} \cap \mathcal{A}_{i,0}} &= \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \chi_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} = \partial_i (v^{-1} \chi_i \delta_i^{-1}) \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} = 0. \\ \Sigma' |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1} \cap \mathcal{A}_{i,1}} &= v \chi_i (\chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{(1)} - v \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}) \partial_i \delta_i - \partial_i \chi_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - \chi_i \partial_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &= v \chi_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \partial_i \delta_i \text{ (by (5.3.1d))} - \partial_i \chi_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} - \chi_i \partial_i \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &= \Sigma \delta_{i+1}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma = v \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i - \partial_i \chi_i - v^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i \delta_i^{-1} - \chi_i \partial_i$ . Now, for  $X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}$ , we have

$$\Sigma(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = \left( v^{a_i+1} - \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} [a_i+2] - v^{-1-a_i} - \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} [a_i] \right) X^{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{e}_i-\mathbf{e}_i} = 0,$$

proving  $\Sigma' |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = 0$ . To prove  $\Sigma'' |_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = 0$ , note that  $\Sigma'' = \Sigma''' \delta_i^{-1}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma''' &= \chi_i \chi_{i+1}^{-1} \partial_{i+1}^{(2)} \partial_i + v \chi_{i+1}^{-1} \partial_{i+1} \delta_i^{-1} - v \chi_{i+1}^{-1} \chi_i \partial_i^{(1)} \partial_{i+1} \\ &\quad - \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} + \chi_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_{i+1}^{-1} \delta_i^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

However, for  $X^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}$ , since

$$\beta := \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}} ([a_i][a_{i+1} + 2] + v^{-a_i+1}[a_{i+1}] - v[a_{i+1}][a_i + 1]) + v^{-a_i+1}(v^{-a_i} - v^{a_i}) = 0,$$

it follows that  $\Sigma'''(X^{\mathbf{a}}) = \beta X^{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{i+1} - \mathbf{e}_{i+1}} = 0$ , proving  $\Sigma''|_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = 0$  and, hence, the relation (5.4.6) is proven.

The proof of (QQ5) and (QQ6) is similar. Like the proof for (QQ4), it is necessary to break the proof of a relation  $\mathcal{R} = 0$  into the cases  $\mathcal{R}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,0}} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}|_{\mathcal{A}_{i+1,1}} = 0$  and apply Lemma 5.3. However, unlike the (QQ4) case, no further breaking is required in the proof of (QQ5) and (QQ6).  $\square$

We remark that one may also use (5.3.2) to directly check all relations (QQ1)–(QQ6) are satisfied on basis elements in  $\mathcal{X}$ . However, the computation would be even longer. In fact, this verification was carried out by a MATLAB program.

## 6. THE $n$ -FOLD TENSOR PRODUCT $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$ OF $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$

In this section, we investigate the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule structure on the  $n$ -fold tensor product of the queer polynomial superalgebra:

$$\mathcal{T}_v(n) = \mathcal{A}_v(n)^{\otimes n}. \quad (6.0.1)$$

By setting  $X_{i,j} := \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{j-1} \otimes X_i \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes 1$ , the tensor product  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  may be regarded as the queer polynomial superalgebra  $\mathbb{Q}(v)[X_{i,j}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 2n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$  in  $X_{i,j}$  (subject to the relations similar to (5.1.1), according to the parity  $p(i) + p(j) = p(i) \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ ), or as the algebra over the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{Q}(v)[X_{i,j}]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  with generators  $X_{i,j}^-$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ) and relations

$$X_{i,j}^- X_{k,l}^- = -X_{k,l}^- X_{i,j}^-, \quad X_{i,j}^2 = \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}} X_{i,j}^2, \quad (6.0.2)$$

for all  $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n$ ,  $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$ . Since we are only interested in its  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule structure, we will simply regard  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  as a tensor superspace.

We first describe a basis for  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  in terms of matrices.

For  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})$  as above, let

$$\begin{aligned}X^A &= X_1^{a_{11}^0} \cdots X_n^{a_{n1}^0} X_1^{a_{11}^1} \cdots X_n^{a_{n1}^1} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_1^{a_{1n}^0} \cdots X_n^{a_{nn}^0} X_1^{a_{1n}^1} \cdots X_n^{a_{nn}^1} \\ &= X^{\mathbf{c}^1} \otimes X^{\mathbf{c}^2} \cdots \otimes X^{\mathbf{c}^n}, \\ X^{[A]} &= X_1^{[a_{11}^0]} \cdots X_n^{[a_{n1}^0]} X_1^{[a_{11}^1]} \cdots X_n^{[a_{n1}^1]} \otimes \cdots \otimes X_1^{[a_{1n}^0]} \cdots X_n^{[a_{nn}^0]} X_1^{[a_{1n}^1]} \cdots X_n^{[a_{nn}^1]} \\ &= X^{[\mathbf{c}^1]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}^2]} \cdots \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}^n]},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{c}_i(\square A)$  denotes the  $i$ -th column of  $\square A$ . Then we obtain bases for  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$

$$\{X^A \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)\} \text{ and } \{X^{[A]} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)\}. \quad (6.0.3)$$

The super structure on  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  extends to  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  with the following parity

$$p(X^A) = p(A) = |A^1| := \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a_{i,j}^1. \quad (6.0.4)$$

The queer polynomial superalgebra  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  has a decomposition into its homogeneous components:

$$\mathcal{T}_v(n) = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{T}_v(n, r), \quad (6.0.5)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r) = \text{span}\{X^{[A]} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r\}$ .

Recall the comultiplication defined in (2.1.1). Let  $\Delta^{(1)} = \Delta$ , and for  $m \geq 2$ , let

$$\Delta^{(m)} = (\Delta \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{m-1}) \circ \cdots \circ (\Delta \otimes 1) \circ \Delta.$$

Then we have, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\Delta^{(n-1)} : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)^{\otimes n}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{(n-1)}(\mathbf{K}_i) &= \mathbf{K}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{K}_i, \\ \Delta^{(n-1)}(\mathbf{E}_h) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \underbrace{1 \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes 1}_{j-1} \otimes \mathbf{E}_h \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_h^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_h^{-1}, \\ \Delta^{(n-1)}(\mathbf{F}_h) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \underbrace{\tilde{\mathbf{K}}_h \otimes \cdots \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_h}_{j-1} \otimes \mathbf{F}_h \otimes 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1, \\ \Delta^{(n-1)}(\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}}) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} \underbrace{\mathbf{K}_1^{-1} \cdots \otimes \mathbf{K}_1^{-1}}_{j-1} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{K}_1. \end{aligned} \quad (6.0.6)$$

The following numbers associated with a given matrix  $A = (A^0|A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$  with  $A^0 = (a_{i,j}^0)$  and  $A^1 = (a_{i,j}^1)$  and  $h \in [1, n], j \in [2, n]$  will be used in the action formulas of  $\mathbf{K}_i, \mathbf{E}_h, \mathbf{F}_h, \mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}}$  on  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  in Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 7.3.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathbf{E}}^+(h, j, A) &= a_{h+1,j}^1 + \sum_{j < t \leq n} (-a_{h,t}^0 - a_{h,t}^1 + a_{h+1,t}^0 + a_{h+1,t}^1), \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{E}}^-(h, j, A) &= -a_{h+1,j}^0 + \sum_{j < t \leq n} (-a_{h,t}^0 - a_{h,t}^1 + a_{h+1,t}^0 + a_{h+1,t}^1), \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}^+(h, j, A) &= -a_{h,j}^1 + \sum_{1 \leq t < j} (a_{h,t}^0 + a_{h,t}^1 - a_{h+1,t}^0 - a_{h+1,t}^1), \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{F}}^-(h, j, A) &= a_{h,j}^0 + \sum_{1 \leq t < j} (a_{h,t}^0 + a_{h,t}^1 - a_{h+1,t}^0 - a_{h+1,t}^1), \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{K}}^+(\bar{1}, j, A) &= -a_{1,j}^0 - \sum_{1 \leq t < j} (a_{1,t}^0 + a_{1,t}^1) + \sum_{j < t \leq n} (a_{1,t}^0 + a_{1,t}^1), \\ \sigma_{\mathbf{K}}^-(\bar{1}, j, A) &= a_{1,j}^1 - \sum_{1 \leq t < j} (a_{1,t}^0 + a_{1,t}^1) + \sum_{j < t \leq n} (a_{1,t}^0 + a_{1,t}^1) \\ \mathfrak{s}_1(A^1) &= 0, \quad \mathfrak{s}_j(A^1) = \sum_{1 \leq t < j, 1 \leq s \leq n} a_{s,t}^1 \quad (j \geq 2). \end{aligned} \quad (6.0.7)$$

Here the subscript  $\mathbf{E}$  indicates the  $E$ -action formula, etc.



We now extend the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -action formulas in  $\mathcal{A}_v(n)$  given in Theorem 5.4 to the tensor product  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  via (6.0.6). By Remark 2.2(2), it suffices to just consider the actions of the generators  $K_i, E_h, F_h, K_{\bar{1}}$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** *Maintain the notation above. The  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  is spanned by the basis*

$$\{X^{[A]} \mid A = (A^0 | A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)\}$$

with the following actions by generators:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & K_i.X^{[A]} = v^{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (a_{i,j}^0 + a_{i,j}^1)} X^{[A]}; \\ (2) \quad & E_h.X^{[A]} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{h+1,j}^0 \neq 0} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1]} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{h+1,j}^1 \neq 0} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j}]}. \\ (3) \quad & F_h.X^{[A]} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{h,j}^0 \neq 0} v^{\sigma_F^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j} | A^1]} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{h,j}^1 \neq 0} v^{\sigma_F^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j}]}. \\ (4) \quad & K_{\bar{1}}.X^{[A]} = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{1,j}^1 \neq 0} (-1)^{s_j(A^1)} v^{\sigma_K^+(\bar{1},j,A)} [a_{1,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{1,j} | A^1 - E_{1,j}]} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{1,j}^0 \neq 0} (-1)^{s_j(A^1)} v^{\sigma_K^-(\bar{1},j,A)} [a_{1,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 - E_{1,j} | A^1 + E_{1,j}]}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for every  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$  is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -subsupermodule.

*Proof.* Observe from (5.4.1) that, if  $a_i = 0$ , then the term involving  $[a_i] = 0$  is 0. With this in mind, the divided power version of (5.4.1) (cf. (5.1.2)) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} K_i X^{[\mathbf{a}]} &= v^{a_i + a_{\bar{i}}} X^{[\mathbf{a}]}, \\ E_h X^{[\mathbf{a}]} &= \delta_{1, a_{h+1}}^{\leq} v^{a_{\bar{h}+1}} [a_h + 1] X^{[\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_h - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}]} + \delta_{1, a_{\bar{h}+1}} v^{-a_{h+1}} [a_{\bar{h}} + 1] X^{[\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}} - \mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}+1}]}, \\ F_h X^{[\mathbf{a}]} &= \delta_{1, a_h}^{\leq} v^{-a_{\bar{h}}} [a_{h+1} + 1] X^{[\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}]} + \delta_{1, a_{\bar{h}}} v^{a_h} [a_{\bar{h}+1} + 1] X^{[\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}} + \mathbf{e}_{\bar{h}+1}]}, \\ K_{\bar{i}} X^{[\mathbf{a}]} &= (-1)^{\sum_{1 \leq j < i} a_j} \left( \delta_{1, a_{\bar{i}}} v^{-a_i} [a_i + 1] X^{[\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_{\bar{i}}]} + \delta_{1, a_i}^{\leq} v^{a_{\bar{i}}} [a_{\bar{i}} + 1] X^{[\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_{\bar{i}}]} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{6.1.1}$$

where  $\delta_{i,j}^{\leq} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i \leq j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$  The  $\delta$ 's indicate when a term is 0, but make the

formulas a bit unpleasant to read.<sup>9</sup>

Recall also the sign rule: for supermodules  $V_1, V_2$  of a superalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,

$$(g_1 \otimes g_2).(v_1 \otimes v_2) = (-1)^{\bar{g}_2 \bar{v}_1} g_1 v_1 \otimes g_2 v_2 \quad \text{for all } g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{A}, v_1, v_2 \in V_i. \tag{6.1.2}$$

<sup>9</sup>If we make the convention that  $X^{\mathbf{b}} = 0$  whenever a component of  $\mathbf{b}$  is negative, the  $\delta$ 's can be removed.

Via (6.0.6), the even generators  $K_i, E_i, F_i$  acts on  $X^{[A]}$ , for any  $A = (A^0|A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$  with columns  $\mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{c}_i(\square A)$  and row  $\mathbf{r}_i = \mathbf{r}_i(A)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} K_i.X^{[A]} &= (K_i \otimes \cdots \otimes K_i)(X^{[\mathbf{c}_1]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_2]} \cdots \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_n]}) = v^{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (a_{i,j}^0 + a_{i,j}^1)} X^{[A]}, \\ E_h.X^{[A]} &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (1 \cdots \otimes 1 \otimes E_h \otimes \tilde{K}_h^{-1} \cdots \otimes \tilde{K}_h^{-1})(X^{[\mathbf{c}_1]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_2]} \otimes \cdots \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_n]}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} v^{\sum_{j < t \leq n} (-a_{h,t}^0 - a_{h,t}^1 + a_{h+1,t}^0 + a_{h+1,t}^1)} (\cdots \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j-1}]} \otimes E_h X^{[\mathbf{c}_j]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j+1}]} \otimes \cdots) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} v^{-\mathbf{r}_{h,(j,n)} + \mathbf{r}_{h+1,(j,n)}} \cdot X^{[\mathbf{c}_1]} \cdots X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j-1}]} \otimes E_h X^{[\mathbf{c}_j]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j+1}]} \cdots X^{[\mathbf{c}_n]}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_h.X^{[A]} &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\tilde{K}_h \cdots \otimes \tilde{K}_h \otimes F_h \otimes 1 \cdots \otimes 1)(X^{[\mathbf{c}_1]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_2]} \cdots \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_n]}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} v^{\sum_{1 \leq t < j} (a_{h,t}^0 + a_{h,t}^1 - a_{h+1,t}^0 - a_{h+1,t}^1)} (\cdots \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j-1}]} \otimes F_h X^{[\mathbf{c}_j]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j+1}]} \otimes \cdots) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} v^{\mathbf{r}_{h,[1,j]} - \mathbf{r}_{h+1,[1,j]}} \cdot X^{[\mathbf{c}_1]} \cdots X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j-1}]} \otimes F_h X^{[\mathbf{c}_j]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j+1}]} \cdots X^{[\mathbf{c}_n]}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathbf{r}_{i,(j,n)} = \sum_{j+1 \leq t \leq n} (a_{i,t}^0 + a_{i,t}^1), \quad \mathbf{r}_{i,[1,j]} = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq j-1} (a_{i,t}^0 + a_{i,t}^1). \quad (6.1.3)$$

But, by (6.1.1) with the convention in the previous footnote,

$$\begin{aligned} E_h X^{[\mathbf{c}_j]} &= v^{a_{h+1,j}^1} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] X^{[\mathbf{c}_j + \mathbf{e}_h - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}]} + v^{-a_{h+1,j}^0} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] X^{[\mathbf{c}_j + \mathbf{e}_{h+h} - \mathbf{e}_{h+h+1}]} \\ F_h X^{[\mathbf{c}_j]} &= v^{-a_{h,j}^1} [a_{h+1,j}^0 + 1] X^{[\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}]} + v^{a_{h,j}^0} [a_{h+1,j}^1 + 1] X^{[\mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{e}_{h+h} + \mathbf{e}_{h+h+1}]}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting gives

$$\begin{aligned} E_h.X^{[A]} &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} v^{a_{h+1,j}^1 - \mathbf{r}_{h,(j,n)} + \mathbf{r}_{h+1,(j,n)}} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} v^{-a_{h+1,j}^0 - \mathbf{r}_{h,(j,n)} + \mathbf{r}_{h+1,(j,n)}} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j}]} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j}]}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. The  $F_h$  case is similar.

For the odd generator  $K_{\bar{1}}$ , the sign rule (6.1.2) applies. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\bar{1}}.X^{[A]} &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (K_1^{-1} \cdots \otimes K_1^{-1} \otimes K_{\bar{1}} \otimes K_1 \cdots \otimes K_1)(X^{[\mathbf{c}_1]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_2]} \cdots \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_n]}) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (-1)^{s_j(A^1)} v^{-\mathbf{r}_{1,[1,j]} + \mathbf{r}_{1,(j,n)}} \cdot X^{[\mathbf{c}_1]} \cdots X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j-1}]} \otimes K_{\bar{1}} X^{[\mathbf{c}_j]} \otimes X^{[\mathbf{c}_{j+1}]} \cdots X^{[\mathbf{c}_n]} \end{aligned}$$

Now,  $K_{\bar{1}}X^{[c_j]} = v^{-a_{1,j}^0}[a_{1,j}^0 + 1]X^{[c_j + e_1 - e_{n+1}]} + v^{a_{1,j}^1}[a_{1,j}^1 + 1]X^{[c_j + e_{n+1} - e_1]}$ . Substituting gives the required formula.  $\square$

For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $B = (b_{i,j})$ , let

$$\text{ro}(B) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n b_{1,i}, \sum_{i=1}^n b_{2,i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n b_{n,i} \right), \quad \text{co}(B) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n b_{i,1}, \sum_{i=1}^n b_{i,2}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n b_{i,n} \right)$$

and, for  $A = (A^0|A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ , let

$$\text{ro}(A) = \text{ro}(A^0) + \text{ro}(A^1), \quad \text{co}(A) = \text{co}(A^0) + \text{co}(A^1). \quad (6.1.4)$$

**Corollary 6.2.** *For every  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,  $\text{wt}(X^{[A]}) = \text{ro}(A)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  and  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$  are polynomial weight supermodules with  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{T}_v(n)) = \mathbb{N}^n$  and  $\text{wt}(\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)) = (\mathbb{N}^n)_r := \{(a_i) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_i a_i = r\}$ , respectively.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1(1), we see that the weight of  $X^{[A]}$  is  $\text{ro}(A)$ . Our assertion follows from Lemma 6.1.  $\square$

## 7. SOME ACTION FORMULAS IN THE COMPLETION $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$ OF $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$

In this section, we use the bases for  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  given in (6.0.3) to introduce its completion

$$\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n) := \prod_{A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)} \mathbb{Q}(v)X^A = \prod_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{T}_v(n, r). \quad (7.0.1)$$

If we identify  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  with the queer polynomial superalgebra  $\mathbb{Q}(v)[X_{i,j}]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq 2n \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}}$ , then  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$  may be regarded as the algebra, defined over the formal power series algebra  $\mathbb{Q}(v)[[X_{i,j}]]_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ , with generators  $X_{i,j}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ) and relations (6.0.2).

Note that the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  extends to  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$ . However, the superspace structure on  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  cannot be extended to  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$ . We now construct a (supers) subspace  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  of  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$  and extend the action of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  on  $\mathcal{T}_v(n)$  to  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$ . We will see in the next section that  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  is in fact a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule.

Recall the matrix sets  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})'$  and  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$  defined in (3.0.1). We may identify  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})' \times \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})'$  by sending  $(A, \lambda)$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})'$ , to  $A + \lambda$ , where

$$A + \lambda := (A^0 + \text{diag}(\lambda)|A^1). \quad (7.0.2)$$

According to the definitions of  $\sigma_*^\pm$  in (6.0.7), we see easily

$$\sigma_*^\pm(h, j, A + \lambda) = \sigma_*^\pm(h, j, A) + \sigma_*^\pm(h, j, (0|0) + \lambda).$$

We list the following relations for later use in the proof of Theorem 7.3.

**Lemma 7.1.** *For  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})'$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ ,  $h, j \in [1, n]$ , then*

$$(1) \quad \sigma_E^+(h, j, A + \lambda) = \begin{cases} \sigma_E^+(h, j, A) - \lambda_h + \lambda_{h+1}, & j \leq h-1; \\ \sigma_E^+(h, j, A) + \lambda_{h+1}, & j = h; \\ \sigma_E^+(h, j, A), & j \geq h+1. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^{-}(h, j, A + \lambda) &= \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^{-}(h, j, A) - \lambda_h + \lambda_{h+1}, & j \leq h - 1; \\ \sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^{-}(h, j, A) + \lambda_{h+1}, & j = h; \\ \sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^{-}(h, j, A) - \lambda_{h+1}, & j = h + 1; \\ \sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^{-}(h, j, A), & j \geq h + 2. \end{cases} \\
(3) \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^{+}(h, j, A + \lambda) &= \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^{+}(h, j, A), & j \leq h; \\ \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^{+}(h, j, A) + \lambda_h, & j = h + 1; \\ \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^{+}(h, j, A) + \lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}, & j \geq h + 2. \end{cases} \\
(4) \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^{-}(h, j, A + \lambda) &= \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^{-}(h, j, A), & j \leq h - 1; \\ \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^{-}(h, j, A) + \lambda_h, & j = h, h + 1; \\ \sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^{-}(h, j, A) + \lambda_h - \lambda_{h+1}, & j \geq h + 2. \end{cases} \\
(5) \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^{+}(\bar{1}, j, A + \lambda) &= \sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^{+}(\bar{1}, j, A) - \lambda_1. \\
(6) \quad \sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^{-}(\bar{1}, j, A + \lambda) &= \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^{+}(\bar{1}, j, A), & j = 1; \\ \sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^{+}(\bar{1}, j, A) - \lambda_1, & j \geq 2. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{N})'$ , define elements

$$A(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} X^{[A+\lambda]} \in \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n), \quad (7.1.1)$$

where  $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} = \lambda_1 j_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n j_n$ .

For convenience, we set  $A(\mathbf{j}) = 0$  as long as there exists a negative entry in  $A$ .

We will mainly interested in those  $A(\mathbf{j})$  with  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$ . By assigning  $A(\mathbf{j})$  to the parity  $p(A) = |A^1| \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  defined in (6.0.4), we obtains a superspace  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  spanned by  $A(\mathbf{j})$  for all  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$ .

**Lemma 7.2.** *Let  $A = (A^0|A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$ ,  $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $i, h \in [1, n]$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} \pm \lambda_i \pm \lambda_h} X^{[A^0 + \lambda|A^1]} &= A(\mathbf{j} \pm \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_h); \\
(b) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} X^{[A^0 + \lambda - E_{i,i}|A^1]} &= v^{j_i} A(\mathbf{j}); \\
(c) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} + \lambda_i} [\lambda_h + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{h,h} + \lambda|A^1]} &= \frac{v^{-j_h}}{v - v^{-1}} (A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{e}_i) - A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_h)), \\
&\text{where } i \neq h; \\
(d) \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} - \lambda_1} [\lambda_1 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{1,1} + \lambda|A^1]} &= \frac{v^{-j_1 + 1}}{v - v^{-1}} (A(\mathbf{j}) - A(\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The assertion (a) is clear. For (b),

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} X^{[A^0 + \lambda - E_{i,i}|A^1]} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n; \lambda_i \geq 1} v^{(\lambda - \mathbf{e}_i) \cdot \mathbf{j} + j_i} X^{[A^0 + (\lambda - \mathbf{e}_i)|A^1]} = v^{j_i} (A^0|A^1)(\mathbf{j}).$$

To prove (c), we first note that, for  $\mu = \lambda + \mathbf{e}_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} + \lambda_i} [\lambda_h + 1] &= v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} + \lambda_i} \frac{v^{\lambda_h + 1} - v^{-\lambda_h - 1}}{v - v^{-1}} = \frac{v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} + \lambda_i + \lambda_h + 1} - v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} + \lambda_i - \lambda_h - 1}}{v - v^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{v^{\lambda \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_h) + 1} - v^{\lambda \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_h) - 1}}{v - v^{-1}} = \frac{v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_h) - j_h} - v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_h) - j_h}}{v - v^{-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.2.1)$$

which is zero if  $\mu_h = 0$  as  $i \neq h$ . Thus, the left hand side of (c) becomes

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_h) - j_h} - v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_h) - j_h}}{v - v^{-1}} X^{[A^0 + \mu | A^1]} = v^{-j_h} \frac{A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_h) - A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_h)}{v - v^{-1}}.$$

Finally, for (d), we have

$$v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} - \lambda_i} [\lambda_i + 1] \frac{v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j} + 1} - v^{\lambda \cdot (\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_i) - 1}}{v - v^{-1}} = \frac{v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j} - j_i + 1} - v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_i) - j_i + 1}}{v - v^{-1}} \quad (\mu = \lambda + \mathbf{e}_i),$$

which is 0 if  $\mu_i = 0$ . Taking  $i = 1$ , the left hand side of (d) becomes

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j} - j_1 + 1} - v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_1) - j_1 + 1}}{v - v^{-1}} X^{[A^0 + \mu | A^1]} = v^{-j_1 + 1} \frac{A(\mathbf{j}) - A(\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_1)}{v - v^{-1}}.$$

□

Let  $\beta_h = \mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}$  and  $\alpha_h = \mathbf{e}_h - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}$  for all  $1 \leq h < n$  and recall the notation  $\delta_{i,j}^{\leq}$  used in (6.1.1).

**Theorem 7.3.** For  $\mathbf{j} = (j_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $A = (A^0 | A^1) = ((a_{s,t}^0) | (a_{s,t}^1)) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)'$ , and  $1 \leq i, h \leq n$ ,  $h \neq n$ , we have in the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -module  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$  the following action formulas:

$$(1) \mathbf{K}_i \cdot A(\mathbf{j}) = v^{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (a_{i,j}^0 + a_{i,j}^1)} A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i).$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \mathbf{E}_h \cdot A(\mathbf{j}) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h+1,j}^0 \geq 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} - \alpha_h) \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h}^0}^{\leq} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^+(h,h,A) - j_h} \frac{(A^0 - E_{h+1,h} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} + \beta_h) - (A^0 - E_{h+1,h} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} - \alpha_h)}{v - v^{-1}} \\ &+ v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^+(h,h+1,A) + j_{h+1}} [a_{h,h+1}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h,h+1} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\ &+ \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq n, a_{h+1,j}^0 \geq 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h+1,j}^1 = 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j})(\mathbf{j} - \alpha_h) \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h}^1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^-(h,h,A)} [a_{h,h}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}) \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h+1}^1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^-(h,h+1,A)} [a_{h,h+1}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq n, a_{h+1,j}^1 = 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j})(\mathbf{j}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \text{ F}_h.A(\mathbf{j}) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h,j}^0 \geq 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\
&+ v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^+(h,h,A)+j_h} [a_{h+1,h}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h+1,h} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\
&+ \delta_{1, a_{h,h+1}^0}^{\leq} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^+(h,h+1,A)-j_{h+1}} \frac{(A^0 - E_{h,h+1} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} + \beta_h) - (A^0 - E_{h,h+1} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} + \alpha_h)}{v - v^{-1}} \\
&+ \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq n, a_{h,j}^0 \geq 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} + \alpha_h) \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h,j}^1 = 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j})(\mathbf{j}) \\
&+ \delta_{1, a_{h,h}^1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^-(h,h,A)} [a_{h+1,h}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,h} + E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_h) \\
&+ \delta_{1, a_{h,h+1}^1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^-(h,h+1,A)} [a_{h+1,h+1}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,h+1} + E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_h) \\
&+ \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq n, a_{h,j}^1 = 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j})(\mathbf{j} + \alpha_h).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \text{ K}_{\bar{1}}.A(\mathbf{j}) &= \delta_{1, a_{1,1}^1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^+(\bar{1},1,A)-j_1+1} \frac{(A^0 | A^1 - E_{1,1})(\mathbf{j}) - (A^0 | A^1 - E_{1,1})(\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_1)}{v - v^{-1}} \\
&+ \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n, a_{1,j}^1 = 1} (-1)^{s_j(A^1)} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^+(\bar{1},j,A)} [a_{1,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{1,j} | A^1 - E_{1,j})(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{e}_1) \\
&+ v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^-(\bar{1},1,A)+j_1} [a_{1,1}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{1,1})(\mathbf{j}) \\
&+ \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n, a_{1,j}^0 \geq 1} (-1)^{s_j(A^1)} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^-(\bar{1},j,A)} [a_{1,j}^1 + 1] (A^0 - E_{1,j} | A^1 + E_{1,j})(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{e}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6.1(1), (1) is seen easily:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{K}_i.A(\mathbf{j}) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \text{K}_i.X^{[A+\lambda]} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\lambda_i + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (a_{i,j}^0 + a_{i,j}^1)} X^{[A+\lambda]} \\
&= v^{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (a_{i,j}^0 + a_{i,j}^1)} A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_i) \quad (\text{by Lemma 7.2 (a)}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.1(2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{E}_h.A(\mathbf{j}) &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \text{E}_h.X^{[A+\lambda]} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, b_{h+1,j}^0 > 0} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^+(h,j,A+\lambda)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0+\lambda+E_{h,j}-E_{h+1,j} | A^1]} \\
&+ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, a_{h+1,j}^1 > 0} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^-(h,j,A+\lambda)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0+\lambda | A^1+E_{h,j}-E_{h+1,j}]} \\
&= \Sigma^+ + \Sigma^-,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $b_{i,j}^0$  is the  $(i,j)$ -entry of  $A + \lambda$ ,  $\Sigma^+$  denotes the first double summation and  $\Sigma^-$  denotes the second. By Lemma 7.1(1),

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^+ &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq n, a_{h+1,j}^0 > 0} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} + \lambda | A^1]} \\ &+ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h+1,j}^0 > 0} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,j,A) - \lambda_h + \lambda_{h+1}} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} + \lambda | A^1]} \\ &+ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n, \lambda_{h+1} > 0} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,h+1,A)} [a_{h,h+1}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1} + \lambda | A^1]} \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h}^0}^{\leq} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,h,A) + \lambda_{h+1}} [\lambda_h + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h} + \lambda | A^1]}. \end{aligned}$$

The two double summations can be easily swapped. In the third summation, we use  $v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} = v^{j_{h+1}} v^{(\lambda - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}) \cdot \mathbf{j}}$ . Then applying Lemma 7.2(c) with  $i = h + 1$  to the last summation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^+ &= \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq n, a_{h+1,j}^0 > 0} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h+1,j}^0 > 0} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} - \alpha_h) \\ &+ v^{\sigma_E^+(h,h+1,A) + j_{h+1}} [a_{h,h+1}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h,h+1} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h}^0}^{\leq} v^{\sigma_E^+(h,h,A) - j_h} \frac{(A^0 - E_{h+1,h} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} + \beta_h) - (A^0 - E_{h+1,h} | A^1)(\mathbf{j} - \alpha_h)}{v - v^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\beta_h = \mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}$  and  $\alpha_h = \mathbf{e}_h - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^- &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h+1,j}^1 > 0} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,j,A) - \lambda_h + \lambda_{h+1}} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 + \lambda | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j}]} \\ &+ \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq n, a_{h+1,j}^1 > 0} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 + \lambda | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j}]} \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h}^1} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,h,A) + \lambda_{h+1}} [a_{h,h}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 + \lambda | A^1 + E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h}]} \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h+1}^1} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,h+1,A) - \lambda_{h+1}} [a_{h,h+1}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 + \lambda | A^1 + E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1}]} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h+1,j}^1 = 1} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j})(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{e}_h + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}) \\ &+ \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq n, a_{h+1,j}^1 = 1} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j})(\mathbf{j}) \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h}^1} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,h,A)} [a_{h,h}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,h} - E_{h+1,h})(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{e}_{h+1}) \\ &+ \delta_{1, a_{h+1,h+1}^1} v^{\sigma_E^-(h,h+1,A)} [a_{h,h+1}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,h+1} - E_{h+1,h+1})(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{e}_{h+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2). The proof for (3) is similar.

Finally, by Lemma 6.1(4), we have

$$\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}}.A(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}}.X^{[A+\lambda]} = \Sigma^0 + \Sigma^1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^0 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, a_{1,j}^0 = 1} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j(A^1)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^+(\bar{1}, j, A+\lambda)} [a_{1,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{1,j} + \lambda | A^1 - E_{1,j}]}, \\ \Sigma^1 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n, b_{1,j}^0 \geq 1} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j(A^1)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^-(\bar{1}, j, A+\lambda)} [a_{1,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 - E_{1,j} + \lambda | A^1 + E_{1,j}]}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here,  $b_{1,j}^0$  is the  $(1, j)^0$ -entry of  $A + \lambda$ .) Thus, by Lemmas 7.1(5) and 7.2(a),(d),

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^0 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n, a_{1,j}^1 = 1} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j(A^1)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^+(\bar{1}, j, A) - \lambda_1} [a_{1,j}^0 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{1,j} + \lambda | A^1 - E_{1,j}]} \\ &\quad + \delta_{1, a_{1,1}^1} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_1(A^1)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^+(\bar{1}, 1, A) - \lambda_1} [\lambda_1 + 1] X^{[A^0 + E_{1,1} + \lambda | A^1 - E_{1,1}]} \\ &= \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n, a_{1,j}^1 = 1} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j(A^1)} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^+(\bar{1}, j, A)} [a_{1,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{1,j} | A^1 - E_{1,j})(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{e}_1) \\ &\quad + \delta_{1, a_{1,1}^1} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^+(\bar{1}, 1, A) - j_1 + 1} \frac{(A^0 | A^1 - E_{1,1})(\mathbf{j}) - (A^0 | A^1 - E_{1,1})(\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_1)}{v - v^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly by Lemma 7.1(6),

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^1 &= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n, a_{1,j}^0 \geq 1} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j(A^1)} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^-(\bar{1}, j, A) - \lambda_1} [a_{1,j}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 - E_{1,j} + \lambda | A^1 + E_{1,j}]} \\ &\quad + \delta_{1, \lambda_1}^{\leq} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_1(A^1)} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^-(\bar{1}, 1, A)} [a_{1,1}^1 + 1] X^{[A^0 - E_{1,1} + \lambda | A^1 + E_{1,1}]} \\ &= \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j(A^1)} v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^-(\bar{1}, j, A)} [a_{1,j}^1 + 1] (A^0 - E_{1,j} | A^1 + E_{1,j})(\mathbf{j} - \mathbf{e}_1) \\ &\quad + v^{\sigma_{\bar{k}}^-(\bar{1}, 1, A) + j_1} [a_{1,1}^1 + 1] (A^0 | A^1 + E_{1,1})(\mathbf{j}), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**Remarks 7.4.** (1) The multiplication formulas in Theorem 7.3 can be easily divided into two halves: the even half (i.e.,  $\Sigma^+$  or  $\Sigma^0$  in the proof) and the odd half (i.e.,  $\Sigma^-$  or  $\Sigma^1$  in the proof). The even half in (2) or (3) is similar to (but not<sup>10</sup> exactly the same as) the corresponding formulas for quantum  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  in [2, Lemma 5.3] (see also [5, Theorem 14.8]). However, the odd half involves matrices of the form  $(A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j})$ ,  $(A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j})$  or  $(A^0 - E_{1,j} | A^1 + E_{1,j})$ ,  $(A^0 | A^1 + E_{1,1})$ , etc., which are not necessarily in  $M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)$  when  $a_{h,j}^1 = 1$ . In other words, we do not know from these formulas if the elements  $\mathbf{E}_h.A(\mathbf{j})$ ,  $\mathbf{F}_h.A(\mathbf{j})$  and  $\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}}.A(\mathbf{j})$  are belong to  $\mathcal{Y}_v(n)$ . We resolve the issues in the next section.

(2) For the matrices occurring in the even half  $\Sigma^+$  of  $\mathbf{E}_h.A(\mathbf{j})$ , it is obtained by moving 1 from the  $(h+1, j)^0$ -entry (if  $a_{h+1,j}^0 > 0$ ) to the entry above for all columns

<sup>10</sup>Note the comultiplication for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  used here.



$j \neq h, h+1$ , while deducting 1 from the  $(h+1, h)^0$ -entry whenever  $a_{h+1, h}^0 > 0$  for column  $h$ , and always adding 1 to the  $(h, h+1)^0$ -entry for column  $h+1$ . Thus, when  $a_{h+1, h}^0 > 0$ , it is effectively moving 1 from  $(h+1, h)^0$ -entry to the  $(h, h+1)^0$ -entry. For the matrices occurring in the odd half  $\Sigma^-$ , it is always obtained by moving 1 from  $(h+1, j)^1$ -entry (if  $a_{h+1, j}^1 > 0$ ) to the entry above for every column  $j$ .

There are similar descriptions for matrices occurring in  $F_h.A(\mathbf{j})$  and  $K_{\bar{1}}.A(\mathbf{j})$ .

## 8. THE $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -SUPERMODULE $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$

We now prove that the superspace  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  spanned by all  $A(\mathbf{j})$  for  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$ ,  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule. This requires to show that the action given in Theorem 7.3 stabilises  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$ . As noted in Remark 7.4, it suffices to show that the odd parts in these action formulas belong to  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$ . The following lemma confirms this.

**Lemma 8.1.** *If  $A = (A^0|A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$  and  $\mathbf{j} = (j_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , then  $(A^0|A^1 + E_{i,j})(\mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{V}_v(n)$ . More precisely, for  $a_{i,j}^1 = 1$ , we have*

$$(A^0|A^1 + E_{i,j})(\mathbf{j}) = \begin{cases} \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} a_{i,j}^0 + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} (A^0 + 2E_{i,j}|A^1 - E_{i,j})(\mathbf{j}), & \text{if } i \neq j; \\ f_{\mathbf{j}}(v^{-1}A'(\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{e}_i) + vA'(\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_i) - (v+v^{-1})A'(\mathbf{j})), & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

where  $f_{\mathbf{j}} = \frac{v^{-2j_i-1}}{(v-v^{-1})(v+v^{-1})^2}$  and  $A' = (A^0|A^1 - E_{i,i})$ .

*Proof.* We first observe that, by the relation in  $X_i^2 = \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}}X_i^2$  in (5.1.1),

$$X^{[A^0|A^1+E_{i,j}]} = \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} a_{i,j}^0 + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} X^{[A^0+2E_{i,j}|A^1-E_{i,j}]}.$$

Let  $B = (B^0|B^1) = (A^0|A^1 + E_{i,j}^1)$ . Then  $B^0 = A^0$ ,  $b_{i,j}^1 = 2$  and

$$B(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} X^{[B+\lambda]} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} a_{i,j}^0 + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} X^{[B^0+2E_{i,j}+\lambda|B^1-2E_{i,j}]}.$$

If  $i \neq j$  then,

$$B(\mathbf{j}) = \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} a_{i,j}^0 + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} (A^0 + 2E_{i,j}|A^1 - E_{i,j})(\mathbf{j}). \quad (8.1.1)$$

To see the  $i = j$  case, we first observe that

$$\begin{aligned} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} [\lambda_i + 1][\lambda_i + 2] &= v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \frac{v^{2\lambda_i+3} + v^{-2\lambda_i-3} - v - v^{-1}}{(v-v^{-1})^2} \\ &= v^{-2j_i} \frac{v^{(\lambda+2\mathbf{e}_i) \cdot (\mathbf{j}+2\mathbf{e}_i)-1} + v^{(\lambda+2\mathbf{e}_i) \cdot (\mathbf{j}-2\mathbf{e}_i)+1} - v^{(\lambda+2\mathbf{e}_i) \cdot \mathbf{j}+1} - v^{(\lambda+2\mathbf{e}_i) \cdot \mathbf{j}-1}}{(v-v^{-1})^2} \\ &= v^{-2j_i} \frac{v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j}+2\mathbf{e}_i)-1} + v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j}-2\mathbf{e}_i)+1} - (v+v^{-1})v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}}}{(v-v^{-1})^2}, \quad \text{where } \mu = \lambda + 2\mathbf{e}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for  $A' = (A^0|A^1 - E_{ii})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\mathbf{j}) &= \frac{v - v^{-1}}{v + v^{-1}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_i + 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} X^{[A^0 + 2E_{ii} + \lambda|A^1 - E_{ii}]} \\
&= \frac{v - v^{-1}}{(v + v^{-1})^2} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} [\lambda_i + 2][\lambda_i + 1] X^{[A^0 + 2E_{ii} + \lambda|A^1 - E_{ii}]} \\
&= \frac{v^{-2j_i}(v - v^{-1})}{(v + v^{-1})^2} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mu_i \geq 2} \frac{v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{e}_i) - 1} + v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_i) + 1} - (v + v^{-1})v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}}}{(v - v^{-1})^2} X^{[A' + \mu]} \\
&= \frac{v^{-2j_i}}{(v + v^{-1})^2} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n} \frac{v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{e}_i) - 1} + v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_i) + 1} - (v + v^{-1})v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}}}{(v - v^{-1})} X^{[A' + \mu]} \\
&\quad - \frac{v^{-2j_i}}{(v + v^{-1})^2} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n, \mu_i \leq 1} \frac{v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{e}_i) - 1} + v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_i) + 1} - (v + v^{-1})v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}}}{(v - v^{-1})} X^{[A' + \mu]} \\
&= \frac{v^{-2j_i}}{(v + v^{-1})^2(v - v^{-1})} \left( v^{-1}A'(\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{e}_i) + vA'(\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_i) - (v + v^{-1})A'(\mathbf{j}) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

since, for any  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$  with  $\mu_i \leq 1$ ,  $v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{e}_i) - 1} + v^{\mu \cdot (\mathbf{j} - 2\mathbf{e}_i) + 1} - (v + v^{-1})v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 8.2.** *The superspace  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -submodule of  $\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_v(n)$  under the actions given in Theorem 7.3 and the set*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}} = \{A(\mathbf{j}) \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$

*forms a basis. Moreover, it is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule.*

*Proof.* The first and last assertions are seen easily from the action formulas in Theorem 7.3 and the lemma above. It remains to prove that  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Y}}$  is linearly independent.

Suppose  $\sum_{i \in I, \mathbf{j} \in J} f_{i, \mathbf{j}} A_i(\mathbf{j}) = 0$  for some  $f_{i, \mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{Q}(v)$ . Here  $\{A_i \mid i \in I\}$  is a finite subset of  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$  and  $J$  is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^{m+n}$ . Since, as elements of the direct product (7.0.1), the components between elements  $A_i(\mathbf{j})$  ( $i \in I$ ) do not overlap each other, it follows that  $\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} f_{i, \mathbf{j}} A_i(\mathbf{j}) = 0$  for every  $i \in I$ . For simplicity, we drop subscripts  $i$  and assume  $\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} f_{\mathbf{j}} A(\mathbf{j}) = 0$ . In other words,

$$0 = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} f_{\mathbf{j}} A(\mathbf{j}) = \sum_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} f_{\mathbf{j}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} \right) X^{[A + \lambda]}.$$

Hence,  $\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} f_{\mathbf{j}} v^{\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}} = 0$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n$ .

We claim that there exists  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$  such that, for  $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in J$ ,  $\mu \cdot \mathbf{j} \neq \mu \cdot \mathbf{j}'$  whenever  $\mathbf{j} \neq \mathbf{j}'$ . Indeed, for distinct  $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in J$ , consider the polynomial  $p_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}'}(x) = (j_1 - j'_1)x^n + (j_2 - j'_2)x^{n-1} + \cdots + (j_n - j'_n)x$ . Let

$$R = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid p_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}'}(z) = 0 \text{ for some } \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in J\}.$$

Since  $J$  is finite, it follows that  $R$  is finite. Thus, there exists  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \notin R$ . So  $p_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}'}(a) \neq 0$  for all distinct  $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in J$ . Hence, putting  $\mu = (a^n, a^{n-1}, \dots, a)$ , we obtain  $\mu \cdot \mathbf{j} \neq \mu \cdot \mathbf{j}'$  for all distinct  $\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}' \in J$ , proving the claim.

Now, for the  $\mu$  given in the claim, choose  $\lambda = d\mu$  for  $d = 0, 1, \dots, |J| - 1$ . Then  $\sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} f_{\mathbf{j}} (v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}})^d = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} f_{\mathbf{j}} v^{d\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}} = 0$ . Since the  $|J| \times |J|$ -matrix  $((v^{\mu \cdot \mathbf{j}})^d)$  is a Vandermonde determinant which is nonzero by the selection of  $\mu$ , it follows that  $f_{\mathbf{j}} = 0$ , for all  $\mathbf{j} \in J$ . In other words, all  $f_{i,\mathbf{j}} = 0$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is linearly independent.  $\square$

**Remark 8.3.** By applying Lemma 8.1, the odd parts of the action formulas in Theorem 7.3 can be further refined as a linear combination of the basis  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$  if some  $a_{h,\mathbf{j}}^1$  or  $a_{h+1,\mathbf{j}}^1$  are positive (i.e., equal to 1). Thus, we obtain a matrix representation of the generators with respect to the basis  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$ . However, this makes the formulas more complicated. What we will do next is to find a leading term for actions by divided powers of generators under the order relation  $\preceq$  defined in (3.6.1).

### 9. LEADING TERMS IN THE ACTION FORMULAS

We now have a close look at the action formulas in Theorem 7.3 and reveal a certain triangular property of the actions. The “lower terms” below means a linear combination of  $B(\mathbf{j}')$  with  $\vec{B}$  strictly less than  $\vec{A}$  of the leading term  $A(\mathbf{j})$ . We deal with the even case first. Recall the conventions made in Convention 3.6.

**Lemma 9.1.** *Let  $A = (A^0 | A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)'$ ,  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $1 \leq h < n$ .*

- (1) *If  $\vec{A}$  starts at  $a_{h,k}^0$  for some  $k < h$ , or after  $a_{h+1,h}^0$ , which is called the  $k = h$  case below, then, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$\mathbf{F}_h^{(m)} A(\mathbf{j}) = v^a (\mathbf{F}_h^{(m)} * A)(\mathbf{j}) + (\text{lower terms or } 0 \text{ for } k = h)$$

where, assuming  $1 \leq m \leq a_{h,k}^0$  for the  $k < h$  case, the matrix

$$\mathbf{F}_h^{(m)} * A := \begin{cases} (A^0 - mE_{h,k} + mE_{h+1,k} | A^1), & \text{if } k < h; \\ (A^0 + mE_{h+1,h} | A^1), & \text{if } k = h, \end{cases}$$

starts at the  $(h+1, k)^0$ -entry  $a_{h+1,k}^0 + m$  for  $1 \leq k \leq h$  and, for every lower term  $B(\mathbf{j}')$  in the  $k < h$  case,  $\mathbf{F}_h^{(m)} * A \succ B$  at the  $(h+1, k)^0$ -entry.

- (2) *If  $\vec{A}$  starts at  $a_{h+1,k}^0$  for some  $k > h+1$  or after  $a_{h,h+1}^0$ , then, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that*

$$\mathbf{E}_h^{(m)} A(\mathbf{j}) = v^a (\mathbf{E}_h^{(m)} * A)(\mathbf{j}) + (\text{lower terms})$$

where, assuming  $1 \leq m \leq a_{h+1,k}^0$  for  $k > h+1$ , the matrix

$$\mathbf{E}_h^{(m)} * A := \begin{cases} (A^0 + mE_{h,h+1} | A^1), & \text{if } k = h+1; \\ (A^0 + mE_{h,k} - mE_{h+1,k} | A^1), & \text{if } k > h+1, \end{cases}$$

starts at the  $(h, k)^0$ -entry  $a_{h,k}^0 + m$  and, for a lower term  $B(\mathbf{j}')$ ,  $\mathbf{E}_h^{(m)} * A \succ B$  at the  $(h, k)^0$ -entry.

Note that the hypothesis on  $\vec{A}$  in (1) means that  $A^1 = 0$  and  $A^0$  is lower triangular with either a leading  $(h, k)^0$ -entry if  $k < h$ , or zero columns  $1^-, 2^-, \dots, h^-$  if  $k = h$ .

In (2),  $A$  has the form

$$A = \left( \begin{array}{cccc|cccc} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & a_{1,k-1}^1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & 0 & \cdots & a_{h,k-1}^0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ & & 0 & \cdots & a_{h+1,k-1}^0 & a_{h+1,k}^0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & a_{n,k-1}^1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

if  $h+1 < k$ , or columns  $(k+1)^+, \dots, n^+$  and columns  $\overline{k+1}, \dots, \overline{n}$  are all zeros if  $k = h+1$ ,

*Proof.* (1) By the assumption on  $A$ , if  $A$  starts at  $a_{h,k}^0$  for some  $k \leq h-1$ , then  $\text{supp}(h) := \{j \mid a_{h,j}^0 \neq 0, 1 \leq j \leq 2n\} \subseteq [k, h-1]$  and  $a_{h+1,k}^0 = 0$ . If  $A$  starts after  $a_{h+1,h}^0$ , then  $\text{supp}(h) = \emptyset$  and  $a_{h+1,h}^0 = 0$ . Thus, by Theorem 7.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}_h \cdot A(\mathbf{j}) &= \delta_{k,h-1}^{\leq} \sum_{k \leq j \leq h-1, a_{h,j}^0 \geq 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\ &\quad + v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^+(h,h,A) + j_h} [a_{h+1,h}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h+1,h} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \end{aligned}$$

(the summation is 0 if  $\text{supp}(h) = \emptyset$ , i.e. in the  $k = h$  case). If  $\vec{A}$  starts at  $a_{h,k}^0$  for some  $k \leq h-1$ , it is clear that  $(F_h * A)(\mathbf{j})$  is the leading term and, for a lower term  $B(\mathbf{j}')$ , columns  $1^-, 2^-, \dots, k^-$  of  $B$  and  $A$  are the same and  $F_h * A \succ B$  at the  $(h+1, k)^0$ -entry. Since  $a_{h+1,k}^0 = 0$ , inductively, we obtain

$$\mathbb{F}_h^m A(\mathbf{j}) = v^a [m]! (\mathbb{F}_h^{(m)} * A)(\mathbf{j}) + (\text{lower terms or } 0 \text{ for } k = h),$$

proving (1).

We now prove (2). Since  $a_{h+1,j}^0 = 0$  for  $k < j \leq n$ , we have, by Theorem 7.3(2),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_h \cdot A(\mathbf{j}) &= \Sigma_{[1,h]}^+ + v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^+(h,h+1,A) + j_{h+1}} [a_{h,h+1}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h,h+1} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\ &\quad + \delta_{h+2,k}^{\leq} \sum_{h+2 \leq j \leq k, a_{h+1,j}^0 \geq 1} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] (A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1)(\mathbf{j}) \\ &\quad + \Sigma_{[\overline{1}, \overline{k-1}]}^-, \end{aligned}$$

Here the omitted terms in  $\Sigma_{[1,h]}^+$  and  $\Sigma_{[\overline{1}, \overline{k-1}]}^-$  involve column indices  $j$  with  $j \in [1, h]$  or  $j \in [\overline{1}, \overline{k-1}]$  and so are all lower terms in comparing with  $\mathbb{E}_h * A$  at the  $(h, k)^0$ -entry. Hence the leading term is  $(\mathbb{E}_h * A)(\mathbf{j})$ . Since  $a_{h,k}^0 = 0$  for  $h+1 < k \leq n$ , the rest of the proof is similar to the argument above.  $\square$

We now deal with the odd case.

**Lemma 9.2.** *Let  $A = (A^0 | A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)'$ ,  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Assume the first  $n - k$  sections of  $\vec{A}$  are zeros (i.e., all  $\vec{j}$ -columns and  $j^+$ -columns of  $\vec{A}$  for  $j = k+1, k+2, \dots, n$  are zeros).*

(1) If  $a_{1,k}^1 = 0$ , then

$$\mathbb{K}_{\overline{1}} \cdot A(\mathbf{j}) = v^a (\mathbb{K}_{\overline{1}} * A)(\mathbf{j}') + (\text{lower terms}),$$

for some  $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where

$$\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}} * A := \begin{cases} (A^0 | A^1 + E_{1,1}), & \text{if } k = 1; \\ (A - E_{1,k} | A^1 + E_{1,k}), & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq n, a_{1,k}^0 \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

More precisely, if  $B(\mathbf{j}')$  is a lower term, then  $\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}} * A \succ B$  at the  $(1, k)^1$ -entry.

(2) If  $a_{h,k}^1 = 1, a_{h+1,k}^1 = 0$ , then

$$\mathbf{F}_h.A(\mathbf{j}) = v^b(\mathbf{F}_h * A)(\mathbf{j}') + (\text{lower terms})$$

for some  $\mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathbf{F}_h * A := (A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k})$ . More precisely for every matrix  $B$  in a lower term, columns  $k$  of  $B^1$  and  $A^1$  are the same and  $\mathbf{F}_h * A \succ B$  at the  $(h+1, k)^1$ -entry.

*Proof.* Assertion (1) follows from Theorem 7.3(4). Since  $a_{1,j}^1 = 0$  for all  $j \geq k$  and  $a_{1,j}^0 = 0$  for all  $j > k$ , the formula shows that all terms occur in  $\Sigma^0$  (= the sum over the first row of  $A^1$  with row indices  $j \in [1, k-1]$ ) are lower, since these matrices involved have the same column  $\bar{k}$  as  $A$  and  $\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}} * A \succ A$  at the  $(1, k)^1$ -entry. Similarly, every matrix  $B \neq \mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}} * A$  occurring in  $\Sigma^1$  (= the sum over the first row of  $A^0$  with column indices  $j \in [1, k]$ ) has the same  $\bar{k}$ -column as that of  $\bar{A}$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}} * A \succ B$  at the  $(1, k)^1$ -entry. (Note that the  $(1, k)^1$ -entry is not necessarily the leading entry of  $\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}} * A$  and there is no lower terms if  $k = 1$  and  $\mathbf{j}' = \mathbf{j}$  in this case.)

For (2), since  $a_{h,k}^1 = 1$  is the last nonzero entry in row  $h$  of  $A^1$  and, in row  $h$  of  $A^0$ , nonzero entries occurs before column  $k+1$ ,  $(A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,k} + E_{h+1,k})$  is clearly the leading term in  $\mathbf{F}_h.A(\mathbf{j})$ , by Theorem 7.3(3). For the matrices  $B$  in a lower term, column  $k$  is  $B^1$  is the same as that of  $A^1$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{F}_h * A \succ B$  at the  $(h+1, k)^1$ -entry.  $\square$

For any  $A = (A^0 | A^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)'$ ,  $\mathbf{j} = (j_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , let  $\mathfrak{F}_{i,j}^1 = \mathfrak{F}_{i,j}^1(A)$  be the odd monomials as defined in (4.0.1).

**Corollary 9.3.** *Let  $A = (A^0 | A^1), A_{\bullet} = (A_{\bullet}^0 | A_{\bullet}^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)'$  with  $A^i = (a_{k,l}^i)$  and  $A_{\bullet}^i = (\underline{a}_{k,l}^i)$  such that  $\underline{a}_{1,j}^0 \geq a_{1,j}^1 + \cdots + a_{n,j}^1$  for  $j > 1$ , and assume that  $\bar{A}_{\bullet}$  starts after  $\underline{a}_{1,j}^1$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Then, for  $\mathfrak{F}_{i,j}^1 = \mathfrak{F}_{i,j}^1(A)$ ,*

$$\mathfrak{F}_{1,j}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,j}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,j}^1 A_{\bullet}(\mathbf{j}) = v^a B(\mathbf{j}') + \text{lower terms},$$

for some  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $B = (B^0 | B^1) \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)'$ , where  $B^0$  is obtained from  $A_{\bullet}^0$  with  $\underline{a}_{1,j}^0$  replaced by  $\underline{a}_{1,j}^0 - (a_{1,j}^1 + \cdots + a_{n,j}^1)$ , and  $B^1 = A_{\bullet}^1 + a_{1,j}^1 E_{1,j} + \cdots + a_{n,j}^1 E_{n,j}$ . Moreover, for every lower term  $C(\mathbf{j}'')$ ,  $C \prec B$  at the leading entry of  $B$ .

*Proof.* By the hypothesis, columns  $j, j+1, \dots, n$  (resp.,  $j+1, \dots, n$ ) of  $A_{\bullet}^1$  (resp., the upper triangular part of  $A_{\bullet}^0$ ) are zeros. If  $a_{n,j}^1 = 1$ , then  $\underline{a}_{1,j}^0 \geq 1$  and, by Lemma 9.2(1),  $\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}}^{a_{n,j}^1} * A_{\bullet}$  is the matrix obtained by moving 1 from the  $(1, j)^0$ -entry to  $(1, j)^1$ -entry if  $j > 1$  or by adding 1 to the  $(1, 1)^1$ -entry if  $j = 1$ . Then, by repeatedly applying Lemma 9.2(2),  $\mathfrak{F}_{n,j}^1 * A_{\bullet} = (\mathbf{F}_{n-1} \cdots \mathbf{F}_1) * (\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}}^{a_{n,j}^1} * A_{\bullet})$  is the matrix obtained by moving 1 from  $(1, j)^1$ -entry to  $(n, j)^1$ -entry. So, effectively,  $\mathfrak{F}_{n,j}^1 * A_{\bullet}$  is the matrix obtained by moving 1 from the  $(1, j)^0$ -entry to the  $(n, j)^1$ -entry. Clearly, the lower terms, which have the same column  $\bar{j} (= n+j)$  as  $A_{\bullet}$  under the action of  $\mathbf{F}_{n-1} \cdots \mathbf{F}_1$ , remains lower at the  $(n, j)^1$ -entry. Likewise, if  $a_{n-1,j}^1 = 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}_{n-1,j}^1 * (\mathfrak{F}_{n,j}^1 * A_{\bullet})$  is

the matrix obtained by moving 1 from the  $(1, j)^0$ -entry to the  $(n-1, j)^1$ -entry and lower terms remain lower at the  $(n-1, j)^1$ -entry. Our assertion follows now from an induction.  $\square$

**Remark 9.4.** (1) For the use of next section, we observe from Lemmas 9.1 and 9.2 and Corollary 9.3 that, if the monomial  $\mathbf{m}^{A, \mathbf{0}}$  acts on  $O(\mathbf{0})$ , then the action of  $\mathbf{m}^{A, \mathbf{0}}$  is effectively a sequence of actions by operators  $\mathbf{a}_{k,l}^i$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  (for  $n = 3$ , see Example 10.2 below for a definition):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}^{A, \mathbf{0}} = & \mathbf{a}_{1,n}^1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n,n}^1 \mathbf{a}_{1,n}^0 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n-1,n}^0 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{1,n-1}^1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n,n-1}^1 \mathbf{a}_{1,n-1}^0 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n-2,n-1}^0 \\ & \cdots \mathbf{a}_{1,2}^1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n,2}^1 \mathbf{a}_{1,2}^0 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{n,1}^1 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{1,1}^1 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{n,1}^0 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{2,1}^0 \cdot \mathbf{a}_{n,2}^0 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{3,2}^0 \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n,n-1}^0, \end{aligned}$$

where each operator  $\mathbf{a}_{k,l}^i$  hitting on the leading term  $B(\mathbf{j})$  of the previous operation produces a new leading term whose associated matrix is obtained by adding a number  $b_{k,l}^i \geq a_{k,l}^i$  into the  $(k, l)^i$ -entry of  $B$ , which is the leading entry if  $i = 0$  and equal to  $a_{k,l}^i$  if  $i = 1$ , and a lower term produced has either zero or smaller  $(k, l)^i$ -entry. (Note that  $b_{k,l}^0$  will become  $a_{k,l}^0$  after the next operation.)

(2) Since a lower term is lower than the leading term at the entry just added, it follows that the lower terms remains lower after the next operation. More precisely, if  $\mathbf{a}_{k',l'}^{i'}$  is the operator next to  $\mathbf{a}_{k,l}^i$  and

$$\mathbf{a}_{k,l}^i \mathbf{a}_{s,t}^j \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n,n-1}^0 \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) = v^a A_{k,l}^i(\mathbf{j}) + (\text{lower terms}),$$

where  $A_{k,l}^i$  is the matrix with entries  $b_{k,l}^i, a_{s,t}^j, \dots, a_{n,n-1}^0$  being in position and every lower term is  $\prec A_{i,j}^i$  at the  $(k, l)^i$ -entry, then

$$\mathbf{a}_{k',l'}^{i'} \mathbf{a}_{k,l}^i \cdots \mathbf{a}_{n,n-1}^0 \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) = v^a A_{k',l'}^{i'}(\mathbf{j}) + (\text{lower terms}),$$

where  $A_{k',l'}^{i'}$  is obtained from  $A_{k,l}^i$  by adding  $b_{k',l'}^{i'}$  to the  $(k', l')^{i'}$ -entry, and every lower term is  $\prec A_{k',l'}^{i'}$  at the  $(k', l')^{i'}$ -entry (always a leading entry if  $i' = 0$ ).

## 10. A NEW REALISATION OF $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$

We are now ready to prove the main results of the paper. We first prove that the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  is cyclic and isomorphic to the regular representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ . In this way, we obtain a new realisation for the supergroup  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  presented by a basis and explicit multiplication formulas of basis elements by generators. The monomial basis  $\mathfrak{M}$  established in Proposition 4.2 plays a crucial role in the proof.

Let  $O$  denote the zero matrix  $(0|0) \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)'$ .

**Theorem 10.1.** *The  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  is a cyclic module generated by  $O(\mathbf{0})$ , and the map*

$$\rho : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_v(n), u \longmapsto uO(\mathbf{0})$$

*is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule isomorphism. Hence,  $\mathcal{V}_v(n)$  is isomorphic to the regular representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.2, the image of  $\rho$  is spanned by the set

$$\mathfrak{X} = \{\mathbf{m}^{A, \mathbf{j}} \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)', \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

We need to prove that the set is linearly independent (thus  $\rho$  is injective), and that the span contains all basis elements in  $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$  (thus,  $\rho$  is onto).

Recall from (4.0.3) that

$$\mathfrak{m}^{A,0} = \left( \prod_{j=1}^n (\mathfrak{F}_{1,n-j+1}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,n-j+1}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,n-j+1}^1 \mathfrak{E}_{n-j}^0) \right) \cdot \mathfrak{F}_1^0 \mathfrak{F}_2^0 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}^0.$$

Repeatedly applying Lemma 9.1(1) and noting Remark 9.4, we see that

$$\mathfrak{F}_1^0 (\mathfrak{F}_2^0 \cdots (\mathfrak{F}_{n-1}^0 \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) \cdots)) = f_{1|0} A^-(\mathbf{0}) + \text{lower terms (LT}_{1|0})$$

where  $f_{1|0} \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $A^- = (A^{0,-} | 0)$  with  $A^{0,-}$  being the lower triangular part of  $A^0$ . Here every lower term  $B(\mathbf{j}')$  in  $(\text{LT}_{1|0})$  satisfies  $B \prec A^-$  at the leading entry of  $\bar{A}^-$ . See the example below for a more detailed building of  $A^-$ .

Now, by applying Corollary 9.3, we have

$$\mathfrak{F}_{1,1}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,1}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,1}^1 \cdot A^-(\mathbf{0}) = f_{1|1} A_{1|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}) + \text{lower terms (LT}_{1|1}),$$

where  $f_{1|1} \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $A_{1|1}^- = (A^{0,-} | \mathbf{c}_1^1, 0 \dots 0)$ . (Recall that  $\mathbf{c}_j^1$  is the  $j$ th column of  $A^1$ .) By Corollary 9.3, every lower term  $B(\mathbf{j}')$  in  $(\text{LT}_{1|1})$  satisfies  $B \prec A_{1|1}^-$  at an entry in column  $\bar{1}$  of  $A_{1|1}^-$ .

Applying Lemma 9.1(2) yields

$$\mathfrak{E}_1^0 \cdot A_{1|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}) = E_{1,2}^{a_{1,2}^0 + |\mathbf{c}_2^1|} \cdot A_{1|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}) = f_{2|1} A_{2|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}) + \text{lower terms (LT}_{2|1}),$$

where  $f_{2|1} \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $A_{2|1}^- = (A^{0,-} + (a_{1,2}^0 + |\mathbf{c}_2^1|) E_{12} | \mathbf{c}_1^1, 0 \dots 0)$ , and the lower term  $(\text{LT}_{2|1})$  is 0.

Now, applying the block  $\mathfrak{F}_{1,2}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,2}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,2}^1$  to  $A_{2|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)})$  produces by Corollary 9.3 a leading term with matrix

$$A_{2|2}^- = (A^{0,-} + a_{1,2}^0 E_{12} | \mathbf{c}_1^1, \mathbf{c}_2^1, 0 \dots 0),$$

such that, for some  $f_{2|2} \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\mathbf{j}^{(2)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ,

$$\mathfrak{F}_{1,2}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,2}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,2}^1 \cdot A_{2|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}) = f_{2|2} A_{2|2}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(2)}) + \text{lower terms (LT}_{2|2}).$$

Here every lower term  $B(\mathbf{j}')$  in  $(\text{LT}_{2|2})$  satisfies  $B \prec A_{2|2}^-$  at an entry in column  $\bar{2}$  of  $A_{2|2}^-$ .

Continuing this process in  $(n-1)$  pairs of steps, we finally reach to the last pair of actions:

$$\mathfrak{E}_{n-1}^0 \cdot A_{n-1|n-1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(n-1)}) = f_{n|n-1} A_{n|n-1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(n-1)}) + \text{lower terms (LT}_{n|n-1})$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_{1,n}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,n}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,n}^1 \cdot A_{n|n-1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(n-1)}) = f_{n|n} A_{n|n}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(n)}) + \text{lower terms (LT}_{n|n}),$$

where  $A_{n|n-1}^- = (A^0 | \mathbf{c}_1^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{n-1}^1, 0)$ ,  $A_{n|n}^- = A$ ,  $f_{n,n-1}, f_{n|n} \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and  $\mathbf{j}^{(n-1)}, \mathbf{j}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

Now consider the actions on lower terms occurring in the step  $(\text{LT}_{i|i-1})$ , the new terms produced are less than the leading term  $A_{i|i}^-$  at an entry in the column  $\bar{i}$  of  $A_{i|i}^-$  or at an entry inherited from  $(\text{LT}_{i|i-1})$ . Similarly, the actions on lower terms in step  $(\text{LT}_{i|i})$ , the new terms produced are less than the leading term  $A_{i+1|i}^-$  at the leading entry of  $A_{i+1|i}^-$  or at an entry inherited from  $(\text{LT}_{i|i})$ . This is true for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (cf. Remark 9.4). Hence, putting  $f_A = \prod_{k=1}^n (f_{k|k-1} f_{k|k})$ ,  $\mathbf{j}_A = \mathbf{j}^{(n)}$ , we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m}^{A,0} \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) = f_A A(\mathbf{j}_A) + \text{lower terms.}$$

By Theorem 7.3(1), for any  $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , there exists  $f_{A,\mathbf{j}} \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that

$$\mathbf{m}^{A,\mathbf{j}}.O(\mathbf{0}) = f_{A,\mathbf{j}}A(\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{j}_A) + \text{lower terms.}$$

Now Theorem 8.2 implies that the set  $\mathfrak{X}$  is linearly independent, forcing that  $\rho$  is injective. On the other hand, since

$$(f_{A,\mathbf{j}}^{-1}\mathbf{m}^{A,\mathbf{j}-\mathbf{j}_A}).O(\mathbf{0}) = A(\mathbf{j}) + \text{lower terms,}$$

it follows that every  $A(\mathbf{j})$  is in the image of  $\rho$  and so  $\rho$  is onto.  $\square$

**Example 10.2.** We use the matrix as given (3.1.1) to illustrate the proof as follows. In the notation of Remark 9.4, we set here

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{3,1}^0 &= F_2^{(a_{31}^0)}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{2,1}^0 = F_1^{(a_{21}^0+a_{31}^0)}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{3,2}^0 = F_2^{(a_{32}^0)} \\ \mathbf{a}_{1,1}^1 &= K_1^{a_{11}^1}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{2,1}^1 = F_1^{a_{21}^1}K_1^{a_{21}^1}, \quad \mathbf{a}_{3,1}^1 = F_2^{a_{31}^1}F_1^{a_{31}^1}K_1^{a_{31}^1}, \\ \mathbf{a}_{1,2}^0 &= E_1^{(a_{12}^0+a_{12}^1+a_{22}^1+a_{32}^1)}, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (10.2.1)$$

The six step actions proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_2^{(a_{31}^0)}F_1^{(a_{21}^0+a_{31}^0)}F_2^{(a_{32}^0)}.O(\mathbf{0}) &= v^a A^-(\mathbf{0}) + \text{lower terms.} \\ K_1^{a_{11}^1}F_1^{a_{21}^1}K_1^{a_{21}^1}F_2^{a_{31}^1}F_1^{a_{31}^1}K_1^{a_{31}^1}.A^-(\mathbf{0}) &= f_{1|1}A_{1|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}) + \text{lower terms.} \\ E_1^{(a_{12}^0+a_{12}^1+a_{22}^1+a_{32}^1)}.A_{1|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}) &= f_{2|1}A_{2|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}). \\ K_1^{a_{12}^1}F_1^{a_{22}^1}K_1^{a_{22}^1}F_2^{a_{32}^1}F_1^{a_{32}^1}K_1^{a_{32}^1}.A_{2|1}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(1)}) &= f_{2|2}A_{2|2}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(2)}) + \text{lower terms.} \end{aligned}$$

$$E_1^{(a_{13}^0+a_{13}^1+a_{23}^1+a_{33}^1)}E_2^{(a_{13}^0+a_{23}^0+a_{13}^1+a_{23}^1+a_{33}^1)}.A_{2|2}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(2)}) = f_{3|2}A_{3|2}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(2)}) + \text{lower terms.}$$

$$K_1^{a_{13}^1}F_1^{a_{23}^1}K_1^{a_{23}^1}F_2^{a_{33}^1}F_1^{a_{33}^1}K_1^{a_{33}^1}.A_{3|2}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(2)}) = f_{3|3}A_{3|3}^-(\mathbf{j}^{(3)}) + \text{lower terms.}$$

Here

$$A^- = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21}^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31}^0 & a_{32}^0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

which is formed first by putting  $a_{3,2}^0$  at the  $(3,2)^0$ -entry, then putting  $a_{2,1}^0+a_{3,1}^0$  at the  $(2,1)^0$ -entry, and finally moving  $a_{3,1}^0$  from  $(2,1)^0$ -entry to  $(3,1)^0$ -entry.

$$A_{1|1}^- = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{11}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21}^0 & 0 & 0 & a_{21}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31}^0 & a_{32}^0 & 0 & a_{31}^1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

which is formed first by moving  $a_{3,1}^1$  down to the bottom of the 1st column of  $(A^-)^1$ , then  $a_{2,1}^1$  to the  $(2,1)^1$ -entry, and finally moving  $a_{1,1}^1$  to the  $(1,1)^1$ -entry.

The remaining matrices can be built similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{2|1}^- &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^0 + a_{12}^1 + a_{22}^1 + a_{32}^1 & 0 & a_{11}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21}^0 & 0 & 0 & a_{21}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31}^0 & a_{32}^0 & 0 & a_{31}^1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \\ A_{2|2}^- &= \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^0 & 0 & a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & 0 \\ a_{21}^0 & 0 & 0 & a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & 0 \\ a_{31}^0 & a_{32}^0 & 0 & a_{31}^1 & a_{32}^1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \end{aligned}$$



$$A_{3|2}^- = \left( \begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 0 & a_{12}^0 & a_{13}^0 + a_{13}^1 + a_{23}^1 + a_{33}^1 & a_{11}^1 & a_{12}^1 & 0 \\ a_{21}^0 & 0 & a_{23}^0 & a_{21}^1 & a_{22}^1 & 0 \\ a_{31}^0 & a_{32}^0 & 0 & a_{31}^1 & a_{32}^1 & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad A_{3|3}^- = A.$$

Let  $A(\mathbf{j})^* \in U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  be the preimage of the basis element  $A(\mathbf{j}) \in \mathcal{V}_v(n)$  such that

$$A(\mathbf{j})^* \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) = A(\mathbf{j}).$$

This gives rise to a third basis for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .

**Theorem 10.3.** *The queer supergroup  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  contains the basis*

$$\mathfrak{L} = \{A(\mathbf{j})^* \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2), \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$$

such that

$$E_i = E_{i,i+1}(\mathbf{0})^*, \quad F_i = E_{i+1,i}(\mathbf{0})^*, \quad K_i = O(\mathbf{e}_i)^*, \quad K_{\bar{i}} = (0|E_{1,1})(\mathbf{0})^*,$$

and the action formulas given in Theorem 7.3(1)–(4) become the multiplication formulas of the basis elements  $A(\mathbf{j}) := A(\mathbf{j})^*$  by generators.

*Proof.* By the action formulas in Theorem 7.3(1)–(4), it is straightforward to verify the following:

$$E_i \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) = E_{i,i+1}(\mathbf{0}), \quad F_i \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) = E_{i+1,i}(\mathbf{0}), \quad K_i \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) = O(\mathbf{e}_i), \quad K_{\bar{i}} \cdot O(\mathbf{0}) = (0|E_{1,1})(\mathbf{0}).$$

Now apply Theorem 10.1 together with [11, Lemma 5.1] to give the desired new realisation for  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ .  $\square$

## 11. THE REGULAR REPRESENTATION OF THE QUEER $q$ -SCHUR SUPERALGEBRA

Recall from (6.0.2) and Lemma 6.1 that the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule decomposition

$$\mathcal{T}_v(n) = \mathcal{A}_v(n)^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{T}_v(n, r),$$

where  $\{X^{[A]} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r\}$  forms a basis for  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$ . We now prove that each component  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$  is in fact the regular representation of the queer  $q$ -Schur algebra  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$  introduced in [9, 10].

Recall from (6.1.4) the row/column sum vectors  $\text{ro}(A), \text{co}(A)$  associated with a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$  and the weight modules in Corollary 6.2. Let

$$\Lambda(n, r) := (\mathbb{N}^n)_r = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = r\}.$$

For  $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ , let

$$\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda = \text{span}\{X^{[A]} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2), \text{co}(A) = \lambda\}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{T}_v(n, r) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} \mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda.$$

For  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)$ , let  $A'$  be the matrix obtained from  $A$  by replacing the diagonal of  $A^0$  with zeros.

**Lemma 11.1.** *For every  $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ ,  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda$  is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -subsupermodule with bases  $\{X^{[A]} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2), \text{co}(A) = \lambda\}$  and*

$$\{\mathfrak{m}^{A', \mathbf{0}} \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2), \text{co}(A) = \lambda\}.$$

Moreover, the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodules

$$\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda = U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_v(n, r) = U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_r$$

are both cyclic, where  $\mathbf{1}_r = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} \in \mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$ .

*Proof.* By a close look at the action formulas in Lemma 6.1, the actions on  $X^{[A]}$  by the generators does not change  $\text{co}(A)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda$  is a  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -subsupermodule with the defining basis  $\{X^{[A]} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2), \text{co}(A) = \lambda\}$ . In particular,  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda$ . We now prove that the converse inclusion holds.

We first introduce an order relation  $\preceq_{\text{co}}$  on  $M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$  by setting

$$A \preceq_{\text{co}} B \iff A \preceq B \text{ and } \text{co}(A) = \text{co}(B).$$

We claim that, for  $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ ,

$$\mathfrak{m}^{A', \mathbf{0}} \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} = g_A X^{[A]} + (\text{lower terms}_{\preceq_{\text{co}}}), \quad \text{for some } g_A \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad (11.1.1)$$

which gives the second basis assertion.

To see this, we apply an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 10.1, but note the following differences: the initial matrix in the proof there is  $O = (0|0)$  and the leading term in  $\mathfrak{m}^{A', \mathbf{0}} \cdot O(\mathbf{0})$  is  $A'(\mathbf{j}_{A'})$ . One recovers  $A'$  from  $O$ . Here the initial matrix is  $\text{diag}(\lambda) := (\text{diag}(\lambda)|0)$  and the leading term in  $\mathfrak{m}^{A', \mathbf{0}} \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]}$  ( $\lambda = \text{co}(A)$ ) is  $X^{[A]}$ . So one recovers  $A$  from  $\text{diag}(\lambda)$ . Note also that the sequence of actions (see Remark 9.4) in computing  $\mathfrak{m}^{A', \mathbf{0}} \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]}$  move every  $b_{k,l}^i \geq a_{k,l}^i$ ,  $k \neq l$  (equality if  $i = 1$ ), from the diagonal of  $\text{diag}(\lambda)$  to the  $(k, l)^i$ -entry.

First we compute  $\mathfrak{F}_1^0 \mathfrak{F}_2^0 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}^0 \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]}$ . By Lemma 6.1(3),

$$\mathfrak{F}_{n-1}^0 \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} = \mathbf{F}_{n-1}^{(a_{n,n-1}^0)} \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} = \begin{cases} v^a X^{[a_{n,n-1} E_{n,n-1} + \lambda - a_{n,n-1}^0 \mathbf{e}_{n-1}]}, & \text{if } a_{n,n-1}^0 \neq 0; \\ X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(This action moves  $a_{n,n-1}^0$ , if  $\neq 0$ , one step down from the diagonal position.) Now the two factors in  $\mathfrak{F}_{n-2}^0$  will move  $a_{n-1,n-2}^0 + a_{n,n-2}^0$  a step down from the diagonal and then move  $a_{n,n-2}^0$  down by one step. Inductively, we see that

$$\mathfrak{F}_1^0 \mathfrak{F}_2^0 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n-1}^0 \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} = g_{1|0} X^{[A^-]} + (\text{lower terms}_{\preceq_{\text{co}}}),$$

where  $A^-$  is the matrix with all lower triangular entries of  $A^0$  being moved in position from the diagonal.

Now, with a similar notation used in the proof of Theorem 10.1, we have

$$\mathfrak{F}_{1|1}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2|1}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n|1}^1 \cdot X^{[A^-]} = g_{1|1} X^{[A_{1|1}^-]} + (\text{lower terms}_{\preceq_{\text{co}}}),$$

where  $A_{1|1}^-$  has all entries in column 1 of  $A^1$  moved from the diagonal of  $A_{1|0}^-$  in position.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_1^0 \cdot X^{[A_{2|1}^-]} &= g_{2|1} X^{[A_{2|1}^-]} + (\text{lower terms}_{\prec_{\text{co}}}), \\ \mathfrak{F}_{1,2}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,2}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,2}^1 \cdot X^{[A_{2|1}^-]} &= g_{2|2} X^{[A_{2|2}^-]} + (\text{lower terms}_{\prec_{\text{co}}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_{2,1}, g_{2|2} \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $A_{2|1}^-$  is obtained from  $A_{1|1}^-$  by moving  $a_{1,2}^0$  one step upwards from the diagonal, and  $A_{2|2}^-$  is obtained from  $A_{2|1}^-$  by moving  $a_{1,2}^1, a_{2,2}^1, \dots, a_{n,2}^1$  in position from the diagonal.

Continuing this in  $(n-1)$  pairs of steps, we finally reach to the last pair of actions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}_{n-1}^0 \cdot X^{[A_{n-1|n-1}^-]} &= g_{n|n-1} X^{[A_{n-1|n-1}^-]} + (\text{lower terms}_{\prec_{\text{co}}}), \\ \mathfrak{F}_{1,n}^1 \mathfrak{F}_{2,n}^1 \cdots \mathfrak{F}_{n,n}^1 \cdot X^{[A_{n-1|n-1}^-]} &= f_{n|n} X^{[A_{n|n}^-]} + (\text{lower terms}_{\prec_{\text{co}}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_{n|n-1}^- = (A^0 + |\mathbf{c}_n| E_{n,n} | \mathbf{c}_1^1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{n-1}^1, 0)$ ,  $A_{n|n}^- = A$ ,  $g_{n,n-1}, g_{n|n} \in \pm v^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Now, (11.1.1) follows from a similar order preserving property as described in Remark 9.4(2).

Thus, by the claim,  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} \supseteq \mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda$ . Hence,

$$U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} = \mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda.$$

Finally, for  $\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)$ , since

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_i \\ \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r)} \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K}_i \\ \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\mu)]} = \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda(n, r)} \prod_{i=1}^n \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i \\ \lambda_i \end{bmatrix} \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\mu)]} = X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]},$$

it follows that every  $X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} \in U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}}$  and so

$$U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{r}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \cdot X^{[\text{diag}(\lambda)]} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda(n, r)} \mathcal{T}_v(n, r)^\lambda = \mathcal{T}_v(n, r). \quad \square$$

The last assertion of the following result follows from a general construction of the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^{\geq 0}$  from [13] (see also [16, Def. 1.5, Rem. 1.6, Prop.1.7(3)]), since it can be seen easily that  $\mathcal{A}_v(n, k)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^{\geq 0}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$ , as a direct summand of  $\bigoplus_{k=0}^r \mathcal{A}_v(n, k)^{\otimes n}$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{int}}^{\geq 0}$ . For completeness, we include a proof.

- Lemma 11.2.** (1)  $\mathbf{E}_i^{(m)} \mathbf{K}_i = \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{E}_i^{(m-1)} - [m-1] \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{E}_i^{(m)}$ .  
 (2)  $\mathbf{K}_{i+1}^- = \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{K}_i \mathbf{F}_i - \frac{v^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_i - v \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_i^{-1}}{v-v^{-1}} \mathbf{K}_i - v \mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{K}_i + \mathbf{F}_i \mathbf{E}_i \mathbf{K}_i$ .  
 (3) For any  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N} | \mathbb{Z}_2)$  with  $\lambda = \text{ro}(A)$ ,  $\mathbf{K}_i \cdot X^{[A]} = 0$  if  $\lambda_i = 0$ .

*Proof.* Assertions (1) and (2) follow from the proof of [16, Lem. 1.4].

(3) We apply induction on  $i$ . The case for  $i=1$  follows from Lemma 6.1. Assume it is true for  $i \geq 1$ . We prove that  $\mathbf{K}_{i+1}^- \cdot X^{[A]} = 0$  whenever  $\lambda_{i+1} = 0$ .

Let  $m = \lambda_i$ . if  $m = 0$ , the assertion follows from (2) above, since  $\mathbf{F}_i \cdot X^{[A]} = 0 = \mathbf{E}_i \cdot X^{[A]}$ ; see Corollary 6.2 and Lemma 2.3. Assume now  $m > 0$ . By the commutation formula

$$\mathbf{E}_i^{(a)} \mathbf{F}_i^{(b)} = \sum_{t=0}^{\min(a,b)} \mathbf{F}_i^{(b-t)} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_i; 2t - a - b \\ t \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{E}_i^{(a-t)},$$

we can easily deduce that  $E_i^{(m)} F_i^{(m)} .X^{[A]} = X^{[A]}$  and  $E_i^{(m-1)} F_i^{(m)} .X^{[A]} = F_i .X^{[A]}$ , which imply, by (2)&(1),

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^{(m)} K_{\bar{m}} F_i^{(m)} .X^{[A]} &= (E_i K_{\bar{i}} E_i^{(m-1)} - [m-1] K_{\bar{i}} E_i^{(m)}) (F_i^{(m)} .X^{[A]}) \\ &= E_i K_{\bar{i}} E_i .X^{[A]} - [m-1] K_{\bar{i}} .X^{[A]} \\ &= K_{\bar{i+1}} .X^{[A]}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the weight of  $F_i^{(m)} .X^{[A]}$  has zero at the  $i$ th component, so  $K_{\bar{m}} F_i^{(m)} .X^{[A]} = 0$  by induction. Hence,  $K_{\bar{i+1}} .X^{[A]} = 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$  be the queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebra ( $q = v^2$ ) introduced in [9]. We now prove that the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -supermodule  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$  is isomorphic to the regular representation of  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$ .

**Theorem 11.3.** *Maintain the notation above and let*

$$I_r = \text{ann}_{U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)}(\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)) = \{u \in U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \mid u .\mathcal{T}_v(n, r) = 0\}.$$

*Then there is a superalgebra isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r) \cong U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)/I_r. \quad (11.3.1)$$

*Moreover,  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$ , regarded as a  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$ -supermodule, is isomorphic to the regular representation  ${}_{\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)}\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$  of  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$ -module homomorphism

$$\tilde{\rho}_r : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_v(n, r), u \longmapsto u .\mathbf{1}_r.$$

By Lemma 11.1, this homomorphism is surjective. Clearly,  $I_r \subseteq \ker(\rho)$ . Thus, this homomorphism induces an epimorphism

$$\rho_r : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)/I_r \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_v(n, r), u \longmapsto u .\mathbf{1}_r.$$

On the other hand, by [9, Theorem 9.2],  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}(n))/J_r \cong \mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$ , where  $J_r$  is the ideal generated by the elements:

$$K_1 \cdots K_n - v^r, (K_i - 1)(K_i - v) \cdots (K_i - v^r), K_{\bar{i}}(K_i - v) \cdots (K_i - v^r), 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Clearly, the first two generators are in  $I$  and so is the third by Lemma 11.2(3). So  $J_r \subseteq I_r$  and  $\rho_r$  induces an epimorphism.

$$\bar{\rho}_r : \mathcal{Q}_v(n, r) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_v(n, r), u \longmapsto u .\mathbf{1}_r.$$

dimensional comparison forces that  $\bar{\rho}_r$  must be an isomorphism.  $\square$

Let  $\pi_r : U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$  be the quotient morphism. The proof above implies the following. Recall the notation introduced in (3.3.1).

**Corollary 11.4.** *The image under  $\pi_r$  of the set  $\left\{ \mathbf{m}^{A, \mathbf{0}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \text{co}(A) \end{bmatrix} \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r \right\}$  forms a basis for  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$ .*

*Proof.* By the proof of Lemma 11.1, the set  $\{\mathbf{m}^{A',\mathbf{0}} \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \text{co}(A) \end{smallmatrix} \right] \mathbf{1}_r \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r\}$  forms a basis for  $\mathcal{T}_v(n, r)$ . Now the assertion follows from the relation

$$\bar{\rho}_r \left( \left( \pi_r \mathbf{m}^{A',\mathbf{0}} \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \text{co}(A) \end{smallmatrix} \right] \right) \right) = \mathbf{m}^{A',\mathbf{0}} \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \mathbf{K} \\ \text{co}(A) \end{smallmatrix} \right] \mathbf{1}_r.$$

□

For any  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r$ , let  $\psi_A$  be the unique element in  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$  such that  $\psi_A \cdot \mathbf{1}_r = X^{[A]}$ . In other words,  $\psi_A = \bar{\rho}_r^{-1}(X^{[A]})$ . We now use the regular representation of  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$  to get a new presentation for  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$ .

**Theorem 11.5.** *The queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebra  $\mathcal{Q}_v(n, r)$  has a basis*

$$\{\psi_A \mid A \in M_n(\mathbb{N}|\mathbb{Z}_2)_r\},$$

and its generators

$$e_h := \pi_r(\mathbf{E}_h), \quad f_h := \pi_r(\mathbf{F}_h), \quad k_i := \pi_r(\mathbf{K}_i), \quad k_{\bar{1}} := \pi_r(\mathbf{K}_{\bar{1}}) \quad (1 \leq h < n, 1 \leq i \leq n)$$

have the following matrix representations relative to the basis:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & k_i \psi_A = v^{\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (a_{i,j}^0 + a_{i,j}^1)} \psi_A; \\ (2) \quad & e_h \psi_A = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{h+1,j}^0 \neq 0} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^0 + 1] \psi_{(A^0 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j} | A^1)} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{h+1,j}^1 \neq 0} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{E}}^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h,j}^1 + 1] \psi_{(A^0 | A^1 + E_{h,j} - E_{h+1,j})}. \\ (3) \quad & f_h \psi_A = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{h,j}^0 \neq 0} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^+(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^0 + 1] \psi_{(A^0 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j} | A^1)} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{h,j}^1 \neq 0} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{F}}^-(h,j,A)} [a_{h+1,j}^1 + 1] \psi_{(A^0 | A^1 - E_{h,j} + E_{h+1,j})}. \\ (4) \quad & k_{\bar{1}} \psi_A = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{1,j}^1 \neq 0} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j(A^1)} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^+(\bar{1},j,A)} [a_{1,j}^0 + 1] \psi_{(A^0 + E_{1,j} | A^1 - E_{1,j})} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; a_{1,j}^0 \neq 0} (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j(A^1)} v^{\sigma_{\mathbb{K}}^-(\bar{1},j,A)} [a_{1,j}^1 + 1] \psi_{(A^0 - E_{1,j} | A^1 + E_{1,j})}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, for a matrix  $B = (B^0 | B^1)$  with  $b_{i,j}^1 = 2$ ,  $\psi_B = \frac{v-v^{-1}}{v+v^{-1}} \psi_{(B^0 + 2E_{i,j} | B^1 - 2E_{i,j})}$ .

*Proof.* This follows immediately from Lemma 6.1 and [11, Lemma 5.1]. □

**Remarks 11.6.** (1) As given in [9, (9.5), (9.8)], the queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebra is the endomorphism algebra of the  $r$ -fold tensor superspace of the natural representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  over the Hecke-Clifford algebra. It is natural to expect that the basis element  $\psi_{(A^0 | A^1)}$  should agree with the linear map  $\phi_{(A^0 | A^1)}$  (up to a signed power of  $v$ ). This identification is crucial to lifting the Schur–Weyl–Sergeev duality to the integral level.

(2) The integral theory developed in the paper has set down some foundation for establishing the theory of polynomial super representations of  $U_v(\mathfrak{q}_n)$  in positive quantum characteristics.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bao, J. Kujawa, Y. Li, W. Wang, *Geometric Schur duality of classical type*, Transf. Groups.
- [2] A.A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig, R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of  $GL_n$* , Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 655–677.
- [3] V. Chari, A. Pressley, *A guide to quantum groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [4] S.-J. Cheng and W. Wang, *Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **144**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [5] B. Deng, J. Du, B. Parshall, J. Wang, *Finite dimensional algebras and quantum groups*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **150**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [6] J. Du, Q. Fu, *Quantum affine  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  via Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. **282** (2015), 23–46.
- [7] J. Du, H. Gu, *A realization of the quantum supergroup  $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$* , J. Algebra **404** (2014), 60–99.
- [8] J. Du, Y. Lin and Z. Zhou, *Polynomial super representations of  $U_q^{res}(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$  at roots of unity*, Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics **51** (2020), 195–235 (electronically published on 2 February, 2019).
- [9] J. Du and J. Wan, *Presenting queer Schur superalgebras*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2015, no. 8, 2210–2272.
- [10] J. Du and J. Wan, *The queer  $q$ -Schur superalgebras*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **105** (2018), 316–346, doi:10.1017/S 1446788717000337.
- [11] J. Du, and Z. Zhou, *The regular representation of  $U_v(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), 111–124 (electronically published on July 30, 2019).
- [12] Z. Fan, Y. Li, *Geometric Schur duality of classical type, II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Ser. B, **2** (2015), 51–92.
- [13] D. Grantcharov, J. Jung, S.-J. Kang and M. Kim, *Highest weight modules over quantum queer superalgebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{q}(n))$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **296** (2010), 827–860.
- [14] D. Grantcharov, J. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and M. Kim, *Quantum queer superalgebra and crystal bases*, Proc. Japan Acad. **86**, Ser. A (2010), 177–182.
- [15] D. Grantcharov, J. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and M. Kim, *Crystal bases for the quantum queer superalgebra and semistandard decomposition tableaux*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2013), 457–489.
- [16] D. Grantcharov, J. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara and M. Kim, *Crystal bases for the quantum queer superalgebra*, J. Euro. Math. Soc. **17** (2015), 1593–1627.
- [17] J. C. Jantzen, *Lectures on Quantum Groups*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. **6**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence R.I, 1996.
- [18] G. Lusztig, *Introduction to quantum groups*, Progress in Math. **110**, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [19] G.I. Olshanski, *Quantized universal enveloping superalgebra of type  $Q$  and a super-extension of the Hecke algebra*, Lett. Math. Phys. **24** (1992), 93–102.
- [20] A. Sergeev, *Tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras  $GL(n, m)$  and  $Q(n)$* , Math. USSR Sbornik **51** (1985), 419–427.

J. D., SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA

*Email address:* j.du@unsw.edu.au

Y. L., SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN 361005, CHINA

*Email address:* ynlin@xmu.edu.cn

Z. Z., COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, HOHAI UNIVERSITY, NANJING, CHINA

*Email address:* zhgzhou@hhu.edu.cn