

PATHS OF GIVEN LENGTH IN TOURNAMENTS

ASHWIN SAH, MEHTAAB SAWHNEY, AND YUFEI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We prove that every n -vertex tournament has at most $n(n/2)^k$ directed k -edge paths.

What is the maximum possible number of directed k -edge paths in an n -vertex tournament? We are interested in the regime of fixed k and large n . The expected number of directed k -edge paths in a uniform random n -vertex tournament is $n(n-1)\cdots(n-k)/2^k = (1+o(1))n(n/2)^k$. In this short note we show that one cannot do better, thereby confirming an unpublished conjecture of Jacob Fox, Hao Huang, and Choongbum Lee.

Theorem 1. *Every n -vertex tournament has at most $n(n/2)^k$ directed k -edge paths.*

On the other hand, the transitive tournament minimizes the number of k -edge paths among n -vertex tournaments. Indeed, a folklore result says that every tournament contains a directed Hamilton path, so every $(k+1)$ -vertex subset contains a directed k -edge path. Hence every n -vertex tournament contains at least $\binom{n}{k+1}$ directed k -edge paths, with equality for a transitive tournament.

Here is a “proof by picture” of Theorem 1. A more detailed proof is given later.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bullet \rightarrow \bullet)^{-1} \left(\overbrace{\bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet}^{k \text{ edges}} \right)^2 &\leq \overbrace{\bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet}^{k-1 \text{ edges}} && \text{Cauchy-Schwarz} \\
 &\leq \overbrace{\bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet}^{k-2 \text{ edges}} && 2ab \leq a^2 + b^2 \\
 &\leq \overbrace{\bullet \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bullet}^{k-2 \text{ edges}} \cdot \frac{1}{4} && a(1-a) \leq 1/4 \\
 &\cdots \text{Iterating} \cdots \\
 &\leq \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \cdot \frac{1}{4^{k-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us mention some related problems and results. The most famous open problem with this theme is Sidorenko’s conjecture [7, 10], which says that for a fixed bipartite graph H , among graphs of a given density, quasirandom graphs minimize H -density. For recent progress on Sidorenko’s conjecture see [5, 6].

Zhao and Zhou [13] determined all directed graphs that have constant density in all tournaments—they are all disjoint unions of trees that are each constructed in a recursive manner, as conjectured by Fox, Huang, and Lee. As discussed at the end of [13], it would be interesting to characterize directed graphs H where is the H -density in tournaments maximized by the quasirandom tournament (such H is called *negative*), and likewise when “maximized” is replaced by “minimized” (such H is called *positive*). Our main result here is that all directed paths are negative. It would be interesting to see what happens for other edge-orientations of a path. Starting with a negative (resp. positive) digraph, one can apply the same recursive construction as in [13] to produce additional negative

Sah and Sawhney were supported by NSF Graduate Research Fellowship Program DGE-1745302. Zhao was supported by NSF Award DMS-1764176, the MIT Solomon Buchsbaum Fund, and a Sloan Research Fellowship.

(resp. positive) digraphs, namely by taking two disjoint copies of the digraph and adding a single edge joining a pair of twin vertices.

The problem of maximizing the number of directed k -cycles in a tournament is also interesting and not completely understood. Recently, Grzesik, Král', Lovász, and Volec [9] showed that quasirandom tournaments maximize the number of directed k -cycles whenever k is not divisible by 4. On the other hand, when k is divisible by 4, quasirandom tournaments do not maximize the density of directed k -cycles. The maximum directed k -cycle density is known for $k = 4$ [3, 4] and $k = 8$ [9] but open for all larger multiples of 4. See [9] for discussion.

A related problem is determining the maximum number $P(n)$ of Hamilton paths in a tournament (the problem for Hamilton cycles is related). By considering the expected number of Hamilton paths in a random tournament, one has $P(n) \geq n!/2^{n-1}$ —this result, due to Szele [11], is considered the first application of the probabilistic method. This lower bound has been improved by a constant factor [1, 12]. Alon [2] proved a matching upper bound of the form $P(n) \leq n^{O(1)}n!/2^{n-1}$ (also see [8] for a later improvement).

Now we prove Theorem 1, which, by a standard graph limit argument, is equivalent to the following analytic statement.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $f : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is an integrable function satisfying $f(x, y) + f(y, x) \leq 1$ for all $x, y \in [0, 1]$. Then for all positive integers k ,*

$$\int_{[0,1]^{k+1}} f(x_0, x_1)f(x_1, x_2) \cdots f(x_{k-1}, x_k) dx_0 \cdots dx_k \leq 2^{-k}.$$

Remark. For $k \geq 2$, the proof shows that equality holds if and only if $\int_{[0,1]} f(x, y)dy = 1/2$ for almost all x , corresponding to limits of regular tournaments.

Proof. Let $g_0(x) = 1$. For each $t \geq 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} g_t(y) &= \int_{[0,1]^{t-1}} f(x_0, x_1)f(x_1, x_2) \cdots f(x_{t-2}, x_{t-1})f(x_{t-1}, y)dx_0 \cdots dx_{t-1} \\ &= \int_{[0,1]} g_{t-1}(x_{t-1})f(x_{t-1}, y) dx_{t-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We have, for each $t \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]^2} g_t(y)^2 f(y, z)dydz &= \int_{[0,1]^4} g_{t-1}(x)f(x, y)g_{t-1}(x')f(x', y)f(y, z)dx dx' dy dz \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]^4} \left(\frac{g_{t-1}(x)^2 + g_{t-1}(x')^2}{2} \right) f(x, y)f(x', y)f(y, z)dx dx' dy dz \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^4} g_{t-1}(x)^2 f(x, y)f(x', y)f(y, z)dx dx' dy dz \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]^2} g_{t-1}(x)^2 f(x, y) \left(\int_{[0,1]} f(x', y) dx' \right) \left(\int_{[0,1]} (1 - f(z, y)) dz \right) dx dy \\ &= \int_{[0,1]^2} g_{t-1}(x)^2 f(x, y)g_2(y)(1 - g_2(y))dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_{[0,1]^2} g_{t-1}(x)^2 f(x, y)dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

where the final inequality uses $a(1 - a) \leq 1/4$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Iterating, and using $g_0(x) = 1$, we deduce that

$$\int_{[0,1]^2} g_{k-1}(y)^2 f(y, z)dydz \leq \frac{1}{2^{2(k-1)}} \int_{[0,1]^2} f(x, y)dx dy \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}} \int_{[0,1]^2} (f(x, y) + f(y, x))dx dy \leq \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}}.$$

Finally, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, the LHS of the inequality in the theorem statement is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[0,1]^2} g_{k-1}(x_{k-1})f(x_{k-1}, x_k)dx_{k-1}dx_k \\ & \leq \left(\int_{[0,1]^2} g_{k-1}(x_{k-1})^2 f(x_{k-1}, x_k)dx_{k-1}dx_k \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{[0,1]^2} f(x_{k-1}, x_k)dx_{k-1}dx_k \right)^{1/2} \leq 2^{-k}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The above proof also gives the following stability result.

Theorem 3. *An n -vertex tournament satisfying*

$$\sum_{v \in [n]} \left| \text{indeg } v - \frac{n}{2} \right| \geq \epsilon n^2$$

has at most $(1 - 2\epsilon^2)n(n/2)^k$ directed k -edge paths.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 1 actually demonstrates that the path density satisfies

$$\int_{[0,1]} g_k(y)dy \leq 2^{-k+3/2} \left(\int_{[0,1]} g_2(x)(1 - g_2(x))^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

By symmetry between $f(x, y)$, $f(y, x)$, the same holds with $g_2(x)^2(1 - g_2(x))$ in the integrand. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1]} g_k(y)dy & \leq 2^{-k+3/2} \left(\int_{[0,1]} g_2(x)(1 - g_2(x))^2 dx \right)^{1/4} \left(\int_{[0,1]} g_2(x)^2(1 - g_2(x)) dx \right)^{1/4} \\ & \leq 2^{-k+1} \left(\int_{[0,1]} g_2(x)(1 - g_2(x))^2 + g_2(x)^2(1 - g_2(x)) dx \right)^{1/2} \\ & = 2^{-k} \left(1 - \int_{[0,1]} (1 - 2g_2(x))^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq 2^{-k} \left(1 - \left(\int_{[0,1]} |1 - 2g_2(x)| dx \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq 2^{-k} (1 - 4\epsilon^2)^{1/2} \leq 2^{-k} (1 - 2\epsilon^2). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgments. We thank David Conlon, Jacob Fox, Hao Huang, and Alexander Sidorenko for helpful comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ilan Adler, Noga Alon, and Sheldon M. Ross, *On the maximum number of Hamiltonian paths in tournaments*, Random Structures Algorithms **18** (2001), 291–296.
- [2] Noga Alon, *The maximum number of Hamiltonian paths in tournaments*, Combinatorica **10** (1990), 319–324.
- [3] Lowell W. Beineke and Frank Harary, *The maximum number of strongly connected subtournaments*, Canad. Math. Bull. **8** (1965), 491–498.
- [4] Umberto Colombo, *Sui circuiti nei grafi completi*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (3) **19** (1964), 153–170.
- [5] David Conlon, Jeong Han Kim, Choongbum Lee, and Joonkyung Lee, *Some advances on Sidorenko’s conjecture*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **98** (2018), 593–608.
- [6] David Conlon and Joonkyung Lee, *Sidorenko’s conjecture for blow-ups*, arXiv:1809.01259.
- [7] Paul Erdős and Miklós Simonovits, *Supersaturated graphs and hypergraphs*, Combinatorica **3** (1983), 181–192.
- [8] Ehud Friedgut and Jeff Kahn, *On the number of Hamiltonian cycles in a tournament*, Combin. Probab. Comput. **14** (2005), 769–781.
- [9] Andrzej Grzesik, Daniel Král’, László Miklos Lovász, and Jan Volec, *Cycles of a given length in tournaments*, arXiv:2008.06577.
- [10] Alexander Sidorenko, *A correlation inequality for bipartite graphs*, Graphs Combin. **9** (1993), 201–204.
- [11] Tibor Szele, *Kombinatorische Untersuchungen über den gerichteten vollständigen Graphen*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok **50** (1943), 223–256.
- [12] N. C. Wormald, *Tournaments with many Hamilton cycles*, manuscript <https://users.monash.edu.au/~nwormald/papers/hamtourn.pdf>.
- [13] Yufei Zhao and Yunkun Zhou, *Impartial digraphs*, Combinatorica, to appear.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139, USA
Email address: {`asah,msawhney,yufeiz`}@mit.edu