

ON FINITE SUMS OF PROJECTIONS AND DIXMIER'S AVERAGING THEOREM FOR TYPE II₁ FACTORS

XINYAN CAO, JUNSHENG FANG AND ZHAOLIN YAO

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{M} be a type II₁ factor and let τ be the faithful normal tracial state on \mathcal{M} . In this paper, we prove that given an $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $X = X^*$, then there is a decomposition of the identity into $N \in \mathbb{N}$ mutually orthogonal nonzero projections $E_j \in \mathcal{M}$, $I = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j$, such that $E_j X E_j = \tau(X) E_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. Equivalently, there is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}$ with $U^N = I$ and $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} X U^j = \tau(X) I$. As the first application, we prove that a positive operator $A \in \mathcal{M}$ can be written as a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} if and only if $\tau(A) \geq \tau(R_A)$, where R_A is the range projection of A . This result answers affirmatively Question 6.7 of [9]. As the second application, we show that if $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $X = X^*$ and $\tau(X) = 0$, then there exists a nilpotent element $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ such that X is the real part of Z . This result answers affirmatively Question 1.1 of [4]. As the third application, we show that for $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{M}$, there exist unitary operators $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k U_i^* X_j U_i = \tau(X_j) I$, $\forall 1 \leq j \leq n$. This result is a stronger version of Dixmier's averaging theorem for type II₁ factors.

1. INTRODUCTION

Which positive bounded linear operators on a separable Hilbert space can be written as strong sums of projections? If the underlying space is finite dimensional, then a characterization of such operators was obtained by Fillmore [6]: a finite-dimensional operator is the sum of projections if and only if it is positive, it has an integer trace and the trace is greater than or equal to its rank.

The same question can be asked relative to a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} . This question was first asked by Kaftal, Ng and Zhang in [10]. In [10], they developed beautiful techniques and addressed the question completely for positive operators in type I and type III factors. They proved the following results. Let \mathcal{M} be a type I factor. Given $A \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Denote by $A_+ = (A - I)\chi_A(1, \|A\|)$ the excess part of A and by $A_- = (I - A)\chi_A(0, 1)$ the defect part of A . Then A is a strong sum of projections if and only if either $\text{Tr}(A_+) = \infty$ or $\text{Tr}(A_-) \leq \text{Tr}(A_+) < \infty$ and $\text{Tr}(A_+) - \text{Tr}(A_-) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let \mathcal{M} be a type III factor. Then $A \in \mathcal{M}$ is a strong sum of projections if and only if either $\|A\| > 1$ or A is a projection. For type II factors, they proved that if A is diagonalizable, then A is a strong sum of projections if and only if $\tau(A_+) \geq \tau(A_-)$. The condition $\tau(A_+) \geq \tau(A_-)$ is necessary even when A is not diagonalizable. Recall that a positive operator A is said to be diagonalizable if $A = \sum \gamma_j E_j$ for some $\gamma_j > 0$ and mutually

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L10, 46L36.

Key words and phrases. finite sums of projections, Dixmier's averaging theorem, type II factors.

The authors are supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.12071109) and a start up funding from Hebei Normal Univeristy.

orthogonal projections $\{E_j\}$ in \mathcal{M} . In [2], we proved that if \mathcal{M} is a type II factor, $A \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $\tau(A_+) \geq \tau(A_-)$, then A can be written as the sum of a finite or infinite collection of projections.

A natural question is the following: which positive operators in a factor von Neumann algebra can be written as finite sums of projections? This question is much more interesting and difficult. Note that the question is even open for positive operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. One of the main goals of this paper is to study this question in type II₁ factors.

As reported in a survey article [[23], Theorem 4.12] by Wu quoting unpublished joint work of Choi and Wu in 1988, they proved that positive operators with essential norm greater than 1 are finite sums of projections. This result is presented together with other related results in their recent paper [[3], Theorem 2.2]. The special case of αI with $\alpha > 1$ follows also from a delicate analysis which characterizes the numbers α for which αI is a sum of at least n projections (see in [16] and several other papers.)

[13] presented a characterization of strict sums of projections in the multiplier algebras of σ -unital purely infinite simple C^* -algebras and [11] provided sufficient conditions for operators to be finite sums of projections in the multiplier algebras of σ -unital purely infinite simple C^* -algebras.

In [9], H. Halpern, V. Kaftal, P. Ng and S. Zhang provided some sufficient conditions and also a necessary condition for a positive operator can be written as a finite sum of projections. For a type II₁ factor, they showed a sufficient condition for a positive diagonalizable operator A to be a finite sum of projections is $\tau(A_+) > \tau(A_-)$ or equivalently $\tau(A) > \tau(R_A)$.

In this paper, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem). *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor and let $X = X^* \in \mathcal{M}$. Then*

- (1) *there is a decomposition of the identity into $N \in \mathbb{N}$ mutually orthogonal nonzero projections E_j , $I = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j$, for which $E_j X E_j = \tau(X) E_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$;*
- (2) *there is a finite dimensional abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$E_{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}}(X) = \tau(X)I,$$

where $E_{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}}$ is the conditional expectation from \mathcal{M} onto $\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}$;

- (3) *there is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}$ with*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} X U^j = \tau(X)I;$$

- (4) *there is a unitary operator $W \in \mathcal{M}$ with $W^N = I$ and*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} W^{*j} X W^j = \tau(X)I.$$

This result is inspired by [3]. To prove this theorem, we develop some new techniques in this paper. On the other hand, several results, e.g., Proposition 2.3, Corollary 2.5, Lemma 2.8 and Lemma 6.1, in [9] play important roles in the proof.

We mention the following results as applications of the main theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor and $A \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then A is a finite sum of projections if and only if $\tau(A) \geq \tau(R_A)$.*

The above theorem answers affirmatively Question 6.7 of [9]. The following theorem answers affirmatively Question 1.1 in [4].

Theorem 1.3. *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor and $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $X = X^*$ and $\tau(X) = 0$. Then there exists a nilpotent element $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $X = \operatorname{Re}Z$, i.e., X is the real part of a nilpotent element Z .*

The following theorem is a stronger version of Dixmier's averaging theorem for type II₁ factors.

Theorem 1.4. *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor and let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{M}$. Then there exists unitary operators $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k U_i^* X_j U_i = \tau(X_j)I, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 below is devoted to some definitions and lemmas which will be very useful. In section 3, we prove the main theorem stated as above. As applications, we prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. In section 4, we give some properties of majorization and provide the third application of the main theorem.

We refer to [3, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19] for interesting results on the related topics. We refer to [15, 20, 21, 22] for the basic knowledge of von Neumann algebras.

Notation. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we will denote by $[x]$ the smallest integer $n \geq x$.

Throughout this paper, (\mathcal{M}, τ) is a type II₁ factor with the faithful normal tracial state τ . E, F, E', F', p, q will denote projections in \mathcal{M} . \mathcal{M}^+ is the set of positive operators in \mathcal{M} . \mathbb{N} denotes the set of non-negative integers and \mathbb{N}^* is the set of strictly positive integers.

For a selfadjoint element $A \in \mathcal{M}$, χ_A will denote the (projection-valued) spectral measure of A and R_A the range projection of A .

2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

Definition 2.1 (Definition 2.2 in [9]). An operator algebra \mathcal{M} has constants N_0 and V_0 if every selfadjoint operator $a \in \mathcal{M}$ can be decomposed into a real linear combination $a = \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} \alpha_j p_j$ of N_0 projections $p_j \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_0} |\alpha_j| \leq V_0 \|a\|.$$

The existence and the estimates of the constants N_0 and V_0 for II₁ factors are given in Theorem 1-3 in [7]. If \mathcal{M} is of type II₁ factor, then $N_0 = 12$ and $V_0 = 14$.

Definition 2.2. A linear combination is called positive combinations of projections if a positive operator is finite linear combination of projections with positive coefficients.

Proposition 2.3 (Proposition 2.3 in [9]). *Let \mathcal{M} be a type II_1 factor. Assume that $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ is invertible. Then a is a positive combination of $N_0 + \lceil V_0(\|a\| \|a^{-1}\| - 1) \rceil + 1$ projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Definition 2.4. A positive operator a such that $a \geq \nu R_a$ for some $\nu > 0$ is invertible in the reduced algebra $R_a \mathcal{M} R_a$. We call such an element *locally invertible*.

Lemma 2.5 (Lemma 2.4 in [9]). *Let $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then a is a positive combination of projections in \mathcal{M} if and only if a is a positive combination of projections in $R_a \mathcal{M} R_a$.*

Corollary 2.6 (Corollary 2.5 in [9]). *Let $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and assume that it is locally invertible, i.e., $a \geq \nu R_a$ for some $\nu > 0$. Then a is a positive combination of $N_0 + \lceil V_0(\frac{\|a\|}{\nu} - 1) \rceil + 1$ projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Lemma 2.7 (Lemma 2.8 in [9]). *Let e and f be orthogonal projections in \mathcal{M} with $e \prec f$. Let $b = be = eb$, $d = df = fd$ be positive elements in \mathcal{M} such that $d \geq \nu f$ for some $\nu > 0$. Then $a := b + d$ is a positive combination of projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Lemma 2.8 (Lemma 6.1 in [9]). *Let e and f be mutually orthogonal projections in \mathcal{M} , $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and $\mu > 0$, and $a := (1 + \mu)e + (1 - \lambda)f$. If $\mu\tau(e) > \lambda\tau(f)$, then a is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Lemma 2.9 (Lemma 3.2 in [2]). *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II_1 factor and let $a \in \mathcal{M}^+$ such that $\tau(a) = 1$. Then a is a strong sum of projections.*

3. MAIN THEOREM

The following lemma is motivated by Proposition 1.5 of [3] and its proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1.5 of [3]. We provide a complete proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $X \in \mathcal{M}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *There is a decomposition of the identity into $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ mutually orthogonal nonzero projections E_j , $I = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j$, for which $E_j X E_j = \tau(X) E_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$;*
- (2) *There is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}$ with*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} X U^j = \tau(X) I.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Set $A := \frac{X}{\tau(X)}$, then $\tau(A) = 1$. By hypothesis, there are mutually orthogonal nonzero projections E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N such that $\sum_{k=1}^N E_k = I$ and $\sum_{k=1}^N E_k A E_k = I$. Set $U := \sum_{k=1}^N e^{\frac{2\pi k i}{N}} E_k$. Then

$$U^* = \sum_{k=1}^N e^{-\frac{2\pi k i}{N}} E_k = U^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} A U^j &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \sum_{k,l=1}^N e^{\frac{2\pi i(l-k)j}{N}} E_k A E_l \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k,l=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i(l-k)j}{N}} \right) E_k A E_l \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^N E_k A E_k = I
 \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i(l-k)j}{N}} = 0$ for $k \neq l$. These show that U is the required operator.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Assume that U is the unitary operator with $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} A U^j = I$. Then

$$A - U^{*N} A U^N = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} A U^j - \sum_{j=1}^N U^{*j} A U^j = N I - U^* \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} A U^j \right) U = 0.$$

This implies that $A U^N = U^N A$. Let $f(e^{i\theta}) = e^{\frac{i\theta}{N}}$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ and let $W = f(U^N)^{-1} U$. Then W is a unitary operator. Since U commutes with U^N , $W^N = (f(U^N)^{-1} U)^N = f(U^N)^{-N} U^N = U^{-N} U^N = I$. Then the spectrum of W consists of eigenvalues from the set $\{e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{N}} : 1 \leq k \leq N\}$. Choose $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N \in \mathcal{M}$ to be spectral projections of W such that $\sum_{k=1}^N E_k = I$ and $W = \sum_{k=1}^N e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{N}} E_k$. As A commutes with U^N , we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} W^{*j} A W^j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} f(U^N)^j A f(U^N)^{-j} U^j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} A U^j = I.$$

Since $W E_k = e^{\frac{2k\pi i}{N}} E_k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq N$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N E_k \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} W^{*j} A W^j \right) E_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} (e^{-\frac{2jk\pi i}{N}} E_k) A (e^{\frac{2jk\pi i}{N}} E_k) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} E_k A E_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^N E_k A E_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1). □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}^+$, $\tau(A) = 1$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) A is the sum of N nonzero projections;
- (2) There is a decomposition of the identity into N mutually orthogonal nonzero projections E_j , $I = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j$, for which $\sum_{j=1}^N E_j A E_j = I$;
- (3) There is a finite dimensional abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$E_{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}}(A) = I,$$

where $E_{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}}$ is the conditional expectation from \mathcal{M} onto $\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}$ preserving τ ;

(4) *There is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}$ with*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} A U^j = I.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have (2) \Leftrightarrow (4).

(2) \Rightarrow (1). $\sum_{j=1}^N E_j A E_j = I$ is equivalent to $E_j A E_j = E_j$ for each $1 \leq j \leq N$. Let $P_j = A^{1/2} E_j A^{1/2}$ for $1 \leq j \leq N$. Then P_j is a projection for $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $A = \sum_{j=1}^N P_j$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2). Let $A = \sum_{j=1}^N P_j$, where $\{P_j\}$ are nonzero projections. Then $1 = \tau(A) = \sum_{j=1}^N \tau(P_j)$. We decompose the identity $I = \sum_{j=1}^N F_j$ into N mutually orthogonal projections $F_j \sim P_j$. Now choose partial isometries $W_j \in \mathcal{M}$ with $P_j = W_j^* W_j$ and $F_j = W_j W_j^*$. Denote $B := \sum_{j=1}^N W_j$, then

$$B^* B = \left(\sum_{j=1}^N W_j \right)^* \left(\sum_{j=1}^N W_j \right) = \sum_{i,j=1}^N W_i^* W_j = \sum_{j=1}^N W_j^* W_j = \sum_{j=1}^N P_j = A.$$

Let $B = V A^{1/2}$. Then $B B^* = V A V^*$. Since \mathcal{M} is a II_1 factor, V can be chosen to be a unitary operator. Moreover, $F_j B = W_j$ for every j , thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^N F_j V A V^* F_j = \sum_{j=1}^N F_j B B^* F_j = \sum_{j=1}^N W_j W_j^* = \sum_{j=1}^N F_j = I.$$

Let $E_j = V^* F_j V$. Then we have (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Take \mathcal{B} to be the algebra generated by $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^N$. Since $E_{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j A E_j$. Then, by hypothesis, (3) follows.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Let $E_1, E_2, \dots, E_N \in \mathcal{B}$ be the minimal projections of \mathcal{B} such that $\sum_{j=1}^N E_j = I$. Then $E_{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}}(A) = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j A E_j = I$. □

Remark 3.3. Note that in the above Lemma, (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is true for $N = +\infty$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $E \in \mathcal{M}$ be a projection. Then there is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} E U^j = \tau(E) I.$$

Proof. The case $E = 0, I$ being trivial, we assume that $0 < E < I$. Then $0 < \tau(E) < 1$ and $\frac{1}{\tau(E)} > 1$. Set $A := \frac{1}{\tau(E)} E$. By Lemma 2.8, A is a sum of $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ nonzero projections in \mathcal{M} . Since $\tau(A) = 1$, then by Lemma 3.2, there is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} E U^j = \tau(E) I.$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Let E and F be mutually orthogonal projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) , $\mu \geq 0$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, and $A := (1 + \mu)E + (1 - \lambda)F$. If $\mu\tau(E) \geq \lambda\tau(F)$, then A is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. By considering $(E + F)\mathcal{M}(E + F)$, we may assume that $E + F = I$. By Lemma 2.8, if $\mu\tau(E) > \lambda\tau(F)$, then A is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} . So we may assume that $\mu\tau(E) = \lambda\tau(F)$. Notice that $A = (1 + \mu)E + (1 - \lambda)F = (1 + \mu)E + (1 - \lambda)(I - E)$ and $\tau(A) = 1 + \mu\tau(E) - \lambda\tau(I - E) = 1$. By Lemma 3.4, there is a unitary operator U and $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} E U^j = \tau(E)I$. Thus

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} A U^j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} (I - \lambda I + (\mu + \lambda)E) U^j = I - \lambda I + (\mu + \lambda)\tau(E)I = I.$$

So by Lemma 3.2, A is a sum of N nonzero projections in \mathcal{M} . □

The following lemma is inspired by [8].

Lemma 3.6. *Let E, F be two nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . Then there are projections E_1, E_2, F_1, F_2 in \mathcal{M} satisfying*

- (1) $E_1 + E_2 = E, F_1 + F_2 = F$;
- (2) $\tau(E_1) = \tau(E_2) = \frac{1}{2}\tau(E), \tau(F_1) = \tau(F_2) = \frac{1}{2}\tau(F)$;
- (3) $E_1 \perp F_1, E_2 \perp F_2$.

Proof. By the relative position of two projections in a type II₁ factor (see page 306-308 of [22]), we can write

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} P & & & \\ & Q & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} P & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & R & \\ & & & \begin{pmatrix} h^2 & hk \\ hk & k^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

where P, Q, R are projections, $h \geq 0, k \geq 0$ and $h^2 + k^2 = I$. h, k are contained in a diffuse abelian von Neumann subalgebra \mathcal{A} . Hence there is a projection $e \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\tau_I(e) = \frac{1}{2}$. Let $P_1, P_2, Q_1, Q_2, R_1, R_2$ be projections satisfying

- (1) $P_1 + P_2 = P, Q_1 + Q_2 = Q, R_1 + R_2 = R$;
- (2) $\tau(P_1) = \tau(P_2) = \frac{1}{2}\tau(P), \tau(Q_1) = \tau(Q_2) = \frac{1}{2}\tau(Q), \tau(R_1) = \tau(R_2) = \frac{1}{2}\tau(R)$.

Set

$$E_1 = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & & & \\ & Q_1 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 & & & \\ & Q_2 & & \\ & & 0 & \\ & & & \begin{pmatrix} I - e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} P_2 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & R_1 & \\ & & & \begin{pmatrix} (I-e)h^2 & (I-e)hk \\ (I-e)hk & (I-e)k^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} P_1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & R_2 & \\ & & & \begin{pmatrix} eh^2 & ehk \\ ehk & ek^2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then E_1, E_2, F_1, F_2 satisfy the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let E, F be two nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) and let $X = (1 + \mu)E + (1 - \lambda)F$, where $\mu \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. If $\mu\tau(E) \geq \lambda\tau(F)$, then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. To avoid triviality, assume that $\mu > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. By Lemma 3.6, there are projections $E_1, E_2, F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying

- (1) $E_1 + E_2 = E, F_1 + F_2 = F$;
- (2) $\tau(E_1) = \tau(E_2) = \frac{1}{2}\tau(E), \tau(F_1) = \tau(F_2) = \frac{1}{2}\tau(F)$;
- (3) $E_1 \perp F_1, E_2 \perp F_2$.

Set $X_1 := (1 + \mu)E_1 + (1 - \lambda)F_1$ and $X_2 := (1 + \mu)E_2 + (1 - \lambda)F_2$. By the hypothesis,

$$\mu\tau(E_1) = \frac{1}{2}\mu\tau(E) \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda\tau(F) = \lambda\tau(F_1);$$

$$\mu\tau(E_2) = \frac{1}{2}\mu\tau(E) \geq \frac{1}{2}\lambda\tau(F) = \lambda\tau(F_2).$$

So by Lemma 3.5, X_1 and X_2 are finite sums of projections in \mathcal{M} , respectively, and $X = X_1 + X_2$ is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} . \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let $E_i, F_j, 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq M$ be nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) and let $X = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 + \mu_i)E_i + \sum_{j=1}^M (1 - \lambda_j)F_j$, where $\mu_i \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i\tau(E_i) \geq \sum_{j=1}^M \lambda_j\tau(F_j)$, then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. (1) If $\mu_1\tau(E_1) = \lambda_1\tau(F_1)$, then by Lemma 3.7, $(1 + \mu_1)E_1 + (1 - \lambda_1)F_1$ is a finite sum of projections and $\sum_{i=2}^N \mu_i\tau(E_i) \geq \sum_{j=2}^M \lambda_j\tau(F_j)$.

(2) If $\mu_1\tau(E_1) > \lambda_1\tau(F_1)$, then there exists a nonzero projection $E'_1 \leq E_1$ such that $\mu_1\tau(E'_1) = \lambda_1\tau(F_1)$. Hence $(1 + \mu_1)E'_1 + (1 - \lambda_1)F_1$ is a finite sum of projections and $\sum_{i=2}^N \mu_i\tau(E_i) + \mu_1\tau(E_1 - E'_1) \geq \sum_{j=2}^M \lambda_j\tau(F_j)$.

(3) If $\mu_1\tau(E_1) < \lambda_1\tau(F_1)$, then there exists a nonzero projection $F'_1 \leq F_1$ such that $\mu_1\tau(E_1) = \lambda_1\tau(F'_1)$. Hence $(1 + \mu_1)E_1 + (1 - \lambda_1)F'_1$ is a finite sum of projections and $\sum_{i=2}^N \mu_i\tau(E_i) \geq \sum_{j=2}^M \lambda_j\tau(F_j) + \lambda_1\tau(F_1 - F'_1)$.

By induction, we have that X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} . \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $E_i, 1 \leq i \leq K$, be nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) and let $X = \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i E_i$, where $\alpha_i \geq 0$, such that $\tau(X) = 1$. Then KX is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. Since

$$K = \tau(KX) = \sum_{i=1}^K (K\alpha_i)\tau(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^K \tau(E_i) + \sum_{i=1}^K (K\alpha_i - 1)\tau(E_i) \leq K + \sum_{i=1}^K (K\alpha_i - 1)\tau(E_i),$$

we have $\sum_{i=1}^K (K\alpha_i - 1)\tau(E_i) \geq 0$. Without loss of generality, assume that

$$KX = \sum_{i=1}^N (1 + \mu_i)E_i + \sum_{j=1}^M (1 - \lambda_j)F_j,$$

where $\mu_i \geq 0, 0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1$ and $N + M = K$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_i \tau(E_i) \geq \sum_{j=1}^M \lambda_j \tau(F_j)$. By Lemma 3.8, KX is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} . □

Remark 3.10. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Set $x_1 := x\chi_x[1, +\infty)$, $x_2 := x\chi_x[0, 1)$, $p := \chi_x[1, +\infty)$ and $q := \chi_x[0, 1)$, then $x = x_1 + x_2$. To avoid triviality, we may assume that $x_1, x_2 \neq 0$. Notice that

$$x_1 \geq p, \quad x_2 \leq q.$$

Thus $\tau_p(x_1) := 1 + \mu \geq 1$ and $\tau_q(x_2) := 1 - \lambda \leq 1$. So by Lemma 2.9, $\frac{x_1}{1+\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i$ for some projections $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in $p\mathcal{M}p$ and $\frac{x_2}{1-\lambda} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j$ for some projections $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in $q\mathcal{M}q$. Therefore,

$$x = x_1 + x_2 = (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j.$$

The following three lemmas show that $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{q_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ have very nice properties.

Lemma 3.11. *Let q_j be as in Remark 3.10. Then $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$, $(1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \leq \bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j$.*

Proof. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $(1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \leq (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j = x_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j &= \left(\bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \right) \left((1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \right) \left(\bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \right) \\ &\leq \left(\bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \right) x_2 \left(\bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \right) \\ &\leq \left(\bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \right) q \left(\bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.12. *Let p_i be as in Remark 3.10. Then $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$, $(1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i \geq \bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i$.*

Proof. Set $z_1 := \frac{x_1}{1+\mu}$. Then $\tau(z_1) = 1$. By Lemma 2.9, Remark 3.3, and (2) \Rightarrow (1) of the proof of Lemma 3.2, $p_i = z_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i z_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for some mutually orthogonal projections f_i . Set projection $f := \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} f_i \leq p$, then we have that

$$(1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i = (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} z_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i z_1^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f_i x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} = x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $f x_1 f \geq f p f \geq f$, $\sigma(f x_1 f) \subseteq \{0\} \cup [1, +\infty)$. As $x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $f x_1 f$ are unitary equivalent, then $\sigma(x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq \{0\} \cup [1, +\infty)$. Since $x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}}$ restricted to $R_{(x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}})} H$ is injective,

$$\sigma \left(x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_{R_{(x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}})} H} \right) \subseteq [1, +\infty). \text{ Since } R(x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) = R(\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i) = \bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i, (1+\mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i = x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} f x_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i.$$

□

Lemma 3.13. *Let p_i and q_j be as in Remark 3.10. Then we have the following properties.*

- (1) $p = \chi_x[1, +\infty) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i$;
- (2) $q = \chi_x[0, 1) = \bigvee_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j$;
- (3) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(p_i) = \tau(\bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i)$;
- (4) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(q_j) = \tau(\bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} q_j)$.

Proof. We only give the proofs of (1) and (3). The proofs of (2) and (4) are similar.

(1). Since $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i)x_1 = x_1$, then $\chi_x[1, +\infty) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i$. As $p_i \leq \chi_x[1, +\infty)$ for all i , thus $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i \leq \chi_x[1, +\infty)$. So $\chi_x[1, +\infty) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i$.

(3). By (1), we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_p(p_i) = \tau_p \left(\frac{x_1}{1+\mu} \right) = 1 = \tau_p(\chi_x[1, +\infty)) = \tau_p \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i \right).$$

If $\tau(\bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i) < \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(p_i)$ for some N , then $\tau_p(\bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i) < \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \tau_p(p_i)$. Therefore,

$$1 = \tau_p \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i \right) \leq \tau_p(p_1) + \cdots + \tau_p(p_N) + \tau_p \left(\bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} p_i \right) < \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_p(p_i) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Then we have (3).

□

Remark 3.14. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}^+$ with $\tau(x) \geq 1$. Set $x_1 := x\chi_x[1, +\infty)$, $x_2 := x\chi_x[0, 1)$, $p := \chi_x[1, +\infty)$ and $q := \chi_x[0, 1)$, then $x = x_1 + x_2$.

Case 1: $\tau(x) = 1$. If $\tau_p(x_1) = 1$, then $x_1 = p$ since $x_1 \geq p$. In this case, $\tau_q(x_2) = 1$ and $x_2 = q$. Thus $x = p + q$ is a finite sum of projections. So in the following we will assume that $\mu > 0$ and therefore $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Then we have that $\tau_p(\frac{x_1}{1+\mu}) = 1$ and $\tau_q(\frac{x_2}{1-\lambda}) = 1$. Since $\tau(x) = 1$,

$\tau(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i) = \tau(p)$ and $\tau(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j) = \tau(q)$, we have

$$1 = \tau(x) = \tau(x_1 + x_2) = (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(p_i) + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(q_j) = 1 + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(p_i) - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(q_j).$$

Thus

$$\mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(p_i) = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(q_j).$$

Case 2: $\tau(x) > 1$. Since $\tau(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i) = \tau(p)$ and $\tau(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j) = \tau(q)$, similarly, we have

$$\mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(p_i) > \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(q_j).$$

The idea of the proof of the following lemma comes from the proof of Lemma 2.7 in [9].

Lemma 3.15. *Let $X = (1 + \mu)E + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$, where $\mu > 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and $E, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . If $E, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy the following conditions*

- (1) $E \perp (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i)$;
- (2) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i) \leq \tau(E)$;
- (3) $(1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$,

then $X = \sum_{i=1}^K \alpha_i E_i$, where $\alpha_i \geq 0$ and E_i are some projections in \mathcal{M} . Furthermore, $K \leq 15 + \lceil \frac{28}{\mu} \rceil$.

Proof. Set $d := (1 + \mu)E$ and $b := (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$, hence $X = b + d$. Notice that by hypothesis (3),

$$\|b\| \leq \left\| \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \right\| \leq 1.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i) \leq \tau(E)$, we can choose a partial isometry $V \in \mathcal{M}$ for which $V^*V = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$ and $E' := VV^* \leq E$. Define the projections

$$q_- := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{\|b\|} & -\left(\frac{b}{\|b\|} - \frac{b^2}{\|b\|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V^* \\ -V \left(\frac{b}{\|b\|} - \frac{b^2}{\|b\|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & E' - \frac{1}{\|b\|} VbV^* \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$q_+ := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b}{\|b\|} & \left(\frac{b}{\|b\|} - \frac{b^2}{\|b\|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} V^* \\ V \left(\frac{b}{\|b\|} - \frac{b^2}{\|b\|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & E' - \frac{1}{\|b\|} VbV^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $q_- + q_+ = \frac{2}{\|b\|}b + 2E' - \frac{2}{\|b\|}VbV^*$, and hence

$$X = b + d = \frac{\|b\|}{2}q_- + \frac{\|b\|}{2}q_+ + d - \|b\|E' + VbV^*.$$

Set $A := d - \|b\|E' + VbV^*$, then $\|A\| \leq \|d\| + \|VbV^*\| \leq 1 + \mu + 1 = 2 + \mu$. Since

$$A = d - \|b\|E' + VbV^* \geq d - \|b\|E' = (1 + \mu)E - \|b\|E' \geq (1 + \mu - \|b\|)E \geq \mu E,$$

it follows by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.5 that the locally invertible element A can be expressed as a positive linear combination of projections in \mathcal{M} no more than

$$N_0 + \lceil V_0 (\|A\| \|A^{-1}\| - 1) \rceil + 1 \leq 12 + \lceil 14 \left(\frac{2 + \mu}{\mu} - 1 \right) \rceil + 1 = 13 + \lceil \frac{28}{\mu} \rceil.$$

So X is a positive combination of $K \leq 15 + \lceil \frac{28}{\mu} \rceil$ projections in \mathcal{M} . \square

Lemma 3.16. *Let $X = (1 + \mu)E + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$, where $\mu > 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and $E, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . If $E, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy the following conditions*

- (1) $E \perp (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i)$;
- (2) $\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i) < \mu \tau(E)$;
- (3) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} F_i \leq \bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} F_i$,

then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Set $\varepsilon := \mu \tau(E) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i)$, then $\varepsilon > 0$ by (2). Since \mathcal{M} is of type II_1 factor, we can decompose $E = E_1 + E_2$ into the sum of two mutually orthogonal projections such that

$$0 < \tau(E_2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(2 + \mu)K},$$

where $K = 15 + \lceil \frac{28}{\mu} \rceil$. As $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i) < +\infty$, then for $\tau(E_2)$, there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\tau(E_2) \geq \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \tau(E_2) > \lambda \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j).$$

Set $Z := (1 + \mu)E_2 + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} F_j$ and $Q := E_2 \vee (\bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} F_j)$. Then by above inequalities,

$$s := \tau_Q(Z) = \frac{\tau(E_2) + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) + \mu \tau(E_2) - \lambda \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j)}{\tau(Q)} \geq 1.$$

Thus by Lemma 3.15, Z can be expressed as a positive linear combination of no more than K projections and so is $\frac{Z}{s}$. Set $\frac{Z}{s} = \sum_{j=1}^K \alpha_j q_j$ for some projections $q_j \in \mathcal{M}$ and positive scalars α_j . Notice that $\tau_Q(\frac{Z}{s}) = 1$. Then by Lemma 3.9, $\frac{KZ}{s} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ for some projections $p_i \in \mathcal{M}$. It implies $KZ = \sum_{i=1}^n s p_i$. If $s > 1$, then by Lemma 2.8, $s p_i$ is a finite sum of projections for every i ; If $s = 1$, then KZ is already a finite sum of projections. So without loss of generality, we can assume that $KZ = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i$ for some projections $f_i \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (1 + \mu)E_1 + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^N F_i + Z \\ &= (1 + \mu)E_1 + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^N F_i + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \\ &= (1 + \mu)E_1 + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^N F_i + \left(1 - (1 - \frac{1}{K}) \right) \sum_{i=1}^m f_i. \end{aligned}$$

Next we will show that

$$\mu\tau(E_1) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(F_i) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{K}\right) \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(f_i) > 0.$$

For if the above inequality is true, then by Lemma 3.8, X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .

As

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(f_i) &= \tau(KZ) = K \left((1 + \mu)\tau(E_2) + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \right) \\ &\leq K \left((1 + \mu)\tau(E_2) + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \right) \\ &\leq K ((1 + \mu)\tau(E_2) + \tau(E_2)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu\tau(E_1) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(F_i) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{K}\right) \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(f_i) \\ &> \mu\tau(E) - \mu\tau(E_2) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i) - \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(f_i) \\ &> \mu\tau(E) - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i) - \mu\tau(E_2) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &> \varepsilon - \frac{\mu\varepsilon}{3(2 + \mu)K} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} > \frac{\varepsilon}{3} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.16, however, there exist some differences. So we give a complete proof.

Lemma 3.17. *Let $X = (1 - \lambda)F + (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, where $\mu > 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and $F, \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . If $F, \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy the following conditions*

- (1) $F \perp (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)$;
- (2) $\lambda\tau(F) < \mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i)$;
- (3) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i \leq (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i$,

then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. By (3), we have $(1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i$ is locally invertible for every N (i.e., invertible in the algebra $(\bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i)\mathcal{M}(\bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i)$), thus $(1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i$ is positive combination of no more than $K_{N+1} := N_0 + \lceil V_0(\|(1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i\| - 1) \rceil + 1$ projections, where $N_0 = 12, V_0 = 14$, by Corollary 2.6. Set $K := N_0 + \lceil V_0(\|(1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\| - 1) \rceil + 1$. As $(1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i \leq (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, we have $K_{N+1} \leq K$ for every N . So $(1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i$ can be expressed as a positive combination of no more than K projections for every N .

Set $\varepsilon := \mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \lambda \tau(F)$, then $\varepsilon > 0$ by (2). As $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) < +\infty$, then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(1+\mu)K}$. Set $E := \bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i$ and $Z := (1+\mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i$. Then by (3), we have $s := \tau_E(Z) = (1+\mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \tau_E(E_i) \geq \tau_E(E) = 1$. Therefore $\tau_E(\frac{Z}{s}) = 1$. Then by Lemma 3.9, $\frac{KZ}{s} = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ for some projections $p_i \in \mathcal{M}$. It implies $KZ = \sum_{i=1}^n s p_i$. If $s > 1$, then by Lemma 2.8, $s p_i$ is a finite sum of projections for every i . If $s = 1$, then KZ is already a finite sum of projections. So without loss of generality, we can assume that $KZ = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i$ for some projections $f_i \in \mathcal{M}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} X &= (1-\lambda)F + (1+\mu) \sum_{i=1}^N E_i + Z \\ &= (1-\lambda)F + (1+\mu) \sum_{i=1}^N E_i + \frac{1}{K} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \\ &= (1-\lambda)F + (1+\mu) \sum_{i=1}^N E_i + \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{K}\right)\right) \sum_{i=1}^m f_i. \end{aligned}$$

Next we will show that

$$\mu \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(E_i) - \lambda \tau(F) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{K}\right) \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(f_i) > 0.$$

For if the above inequality is true, then by Lemma 3.8, X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .

Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \tau(f_i) = \tau(KZ) = K \left((1+\mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(E_i) - \lambda \tau(F) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{K}\right) \sum_{i=1}^m \tau(f_i) \\ &> \mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \lambda \tau(F) - \mu \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \\ &> \varepsilon - \frac{\mu \varepsilon}{3(1+\mu)K} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} > \frac{\varepsilon}{3} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.18. *Let $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$. If $\tau(X) > 1$, then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. By Remark 3.10, Remark 3.14, Lemma 3.11, Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.13, $X = (1+\mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-\lambda)F_j$, where $\mu > 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and E_i, F_j are nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) such that

- (1) $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \perp (\bigvee_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j)$;
- (2) $\mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) > \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j)$;
- (3) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i \leq (1+\mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i$;
- (4) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, (1-\lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} F_j \leq \bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} F_j$.

Set

$$\varepsilon := \mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j),$$

thus $\varepsilon > 0$ by (2). Since $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) < +\infty$, there is a smallest integer n_1 for which $0 < \mu\tau(E_1) - \lambda \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j)$. If $\mu\tau(E_1) - \lambda \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$, then we can decompose $F_{n_1-1} = F'_{n_1-1} + F''_{n_1-1}$ into the sum of two mutually orthogonal projections with $0 < \mu\tau(E_1) - \lambda \sum_{j=n_1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) - \lambda\tau(F'_{n_1-1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Then without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that

$$0 < \mu\tau(E_1) - \lambda \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \mu \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \lambda\tau(F_1) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Set

$$X_1 := (1 + \mu)E_1 + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} F_j;$$

$$X_2 := (1 - \lambda)F_1 + (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} E_i;$$

$$X_3 := (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=2}^M E_i + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=2}^N F_j.$$

Then $X = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$. By Lemma 3.16 and Lemma 3.17, X_1 and X_2 are finite sums of projections. Now, we consider X_3 . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \sum_{i=2}^M \tau(E_i) - \lambda \sum_{j=2}^N \tau(F_j) \\ &= \left(\mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \right) - \left(\mu\tau(E_1) - \lambda \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \right) - \left(\mu \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \lambda\tau(F_1) \right) \\ &> \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} - \frac{\varepsilon}{3} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.8, X_3 is a finite sum of projections. so X is a finite sum of projections. \square

Lemma 3.19. *Let $X = (1 + \mu)E + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$, where $\mu > 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and $E, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . If $E, \{F_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy the following conditions*

- (1) $E \perp (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i)$;
- (2) $\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i) = \mu\tau(E)$;
- (3) $(1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$;
- (4) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_i) = \tau(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i)$,

then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Set $F := \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$. By considering $(E + F)\mathcal{M}(E + F)$, we may assume that $E + F = I$. Thus $\tau(X) = 1$ by (2) and (4). Set $Z := \frac{X-I}{\mu}$, then $\tau(Z) = 0$ and $\tau(I - Z) = 1$. By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} I - Z &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu}\right) F - \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{\mu}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\mu} \left((1 + \mu)(1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i - (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \right) \\ &= (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_F(I - Z) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \tau_F(F) - \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{\mu}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_F(F_i) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\mu} = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 3.18, $I - Z$ is a finite sum of N projections in \mathcal{M} . So by Lemma 3.2, there are nonzero mutually orthogonal projections P_1, \dots, P_N such that $\sum_{i=1}^N P_i = I$ and $P_i(I - Z)P_i = P_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, which implies that $P_i Z P_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Since $X = I + \mu Z$, then we also have $P_i X P_i = P_i(I + \mu Z)P_i = P_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Notice that

$$P_i = P_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i = (X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i)^* (X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i),$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Thus $X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i$ is a partial isometry. So we conclude that $X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q_i$ is a projection for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. As $\sum_{i=1}^N P_i = I$, we have $X = Q_1 + \dots + Q_N$. \square

Lemma 3.20. *Let $X = (1 - \lambda)F + (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$, where $\mu > 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and $F, \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ are nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . If $F, \{E_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ satisfy the following conditions*

- (1) $F \perp (\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)$;
- (2) $\lambda \tau(F) = \mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i)$;
- (3) $\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \leq (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$;
- (4) $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) = \tau(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i)$,

then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Set $E := \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$. By considering $(E + F)\mathcal{M}(E + F)$, we may assume that $E + F = I$. Thus $\tau(X) = 1$ by (2) and (4). Set $Z := \frac{X-I}{\lambda}$, then $\tau(Z) = 0$ and $\tau(I + Z) = 1$. Notice that by (3)

$$\begin{aligned} I + Z &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) E + \left(\frac{1 + \mu}{\lambda}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) E + \frac{1}{\lambda} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i = E \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tau_E(I + Z) &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \tau_E(E) + \left(\frac{1 + \mu}{\lambda}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau_E(E_i) \\
 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right) + \left(\frac{1 + \mu}{\lambda}\right) \tau_E\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \\
 &= 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{1 + \mu}{\lambda} = 1 + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} > 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 3.18, $I + Z$ is a finite sum of N projections in \mathcal{M} . So by Lemma 3.2, there are nonzero mutually orthogonal projections P_1, \dots, P_N such that $\sum_{i=1}^N P_i = I$ and $P_i(I + Z)P_i = P_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, which implies that $P_i Z P_i = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Since $X = I + \lambda Z$, then we also have $P_i X P_i = P_i(I + \lambda Z)P_i = P_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Notice that

$$P_i = P_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i = (X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i)^* (X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i),$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then $X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i$ is a partial isometry. So we conclude that $X^{\frac{1}{2}} P_i X^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q_i$ is a projection for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^N P_i = I$, we have $X = Q_1 + \dots + Q_N$. \square

Lemma 3.21. *Let $X = (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j$, where $\mu > 0$, $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and E_i, F_j are nonzero projections in (\mathcal{M}, τ) . If E_i, F_j satisfy the following conditions*

- (1) $(\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) \perp (\bigvee_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j)$;
- (2) $\mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j)$;
- (3) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \bigvee_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i \leq (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} E_i$;
- (4) $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}, (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} F_j \leq \bigvee_{j=N+1}^{\infty} F_j$,

then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists $N, M \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such that

$$\mu \tau(E_1) = \lambda \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) = \lambda \tau(F_1).$$

Set

$$X_1 := (1 + \mu) E_1 + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} F_j;$$

$$X_2 := (1 - \lambda) F_1 + (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} E_i;$$

$$X_3 := \sum_{i=2}^M (1 + \mu) E_i + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=2}^N F_j.$$

Then $X = X_1 + X_2 + X_3$. By Lemma 3.19 and Lemma 3.20, X_1 and X_2 are finite sums of projections. Now, we consider X_3 . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu \sum_{i=2}^M \tau(E_i) - \lambda \sum_{j=2}^N \tau(F_j) \\ &= \left(\mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \right) - \left(\mu \tau(E_1) - \lambda \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \tau(F_j) \right) - \left(\mu \sum_{i=M+1}^{\infty} \tau(E_i) - \lambda \tau(F_1) \right) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.8, X_3 is a finite sum of projections, so is X . \square

Lemma 3.22. *Let $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$. If $\tau(X) = 1$, then X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. By Remark 3.10, we can assume that

$$X = (1 + \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i + (1 - \lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q_j,$$

where $\mu > 0, 0 < \lambda \leq 1$ and p_i, q_j satisfy the properties of Lemma 3.11, Lemma 3.12 and Lemma 3.13. Furthermore, we have that

$$1 = \tau(X) = 1 + \mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(p_i) - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(q_j).$$

It implies that $\mu \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tau(p_i) = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \tau(q_j)$. Then by Lemma 3.21, it follows that X is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} . \square

Theorem 3.23. *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II_1 factor, $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $X = X^*$. Then we have the following results.*

- (1) *There is a decomposition of the identity into $N \in \mathbb{N}$ mutually orthogonal nonzero projections E_j , $I = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j$, for which $E_j X E_j = \tau(X) E_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$;*
- (2) *There is a finite dimensional abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$E_{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}}(X) = \tau(X) I;$$

where $E_{\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}}$ is the conditional expectation from \mathcal{M} onto $\mathcal{B}' \cap \mathcal{M}$;

- (3) *There is a unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{M}$ with*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} U^{*j} X U^j = \tau(X) I;$$

- (4) *There is a unitary operator $W \in \mathcal{M}$ with $W^N = I$ and*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} W^{*j} X W^j = \tau(X) I.$$

Proof. We first consider the case $X \geq 0$. Assume that $\tau(X) = s$. It implies that $\tau(\frac{X}{s}) = 1$. Then by Lemma 3.22, $\frac{X}{s}$ is a finite sum of N projections. Thus by Lemma 3.1, there is a unitary operator U with

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} U^{*i} \left(\frac{X}{s} \right) U^i = I.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} U^{*i} X U^i = sI.$$

Now, let X be a self-adjoint operator. Since $-\|X\|I \leq X$, we have $\|X\|I + X \geq 0$. By above argument, there exists a unitary operator U with

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} U^{*i} (\|X\|I + X) U^i = \tau(\|X\|I + X)I = \|X\|I + sI.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} U^{*i} X U^i = sI = \tau(X)I.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2, we have the theorem. □

The following theorem answers affirmatively Question 6.7 in [9].

Theorem 3.24. *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor and $A \in \mathcal{M}^+$. Then A is a finite sum of projections if and only if $\tau(A) \geq \tau(R_A)$.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ”. Let $A = P_1 + \cdots + P_N$ with P_i nonzero projections and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. By Kaplansky's parallelogram law [[15], Theorem, 6.1.7], we have

$$\tau(R_A) = \tau \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^N P_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(P_i) = \tau(A).$$

“ \Leftarrow ”. By considering $R_A \mathcal{M} R_A$, we may assume that $R_A = I$. Then $\tau(A) \geq 1$. By Lemma 3.18 and Lemma 3.22, it implies that A is a finite sum of projections in \mathcal{M} . □

The following theorem answers affirmatively the Question 1.1 in [4].

Theorem 3.25. *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor, $X \in \mathcal{M}$, $X = X^*$ and $\tau(X) = 0$. Then there exists a nilpotent element $Z \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $X = \operatorname{Re}Z$, i.e., X is the real part of a nilpotent element Z .*

Proof. By Theorem 3.23, there are N mutually orthogonal nonzero projections E_j such that $I = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j$ and $E_j X E_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N$. Since X is self-adjoint, we can write

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_{12} & X_{13} & \cdots & X_{1N} \\ X_{12}^* & 0 & X_{23} & \cdots & X_{2N} \\ X_{13}^* & X_{23}^* & 0 & \cdots & X_{3N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{1N}^* & X_{2N}^* & X_{3N}^* & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the decomposition $I = \sum_{j=1}^N E_j$. Set

$$Z := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & X_{12} & X_{13} & \cdots & X_{1N} \\ 0 & 0 & X_{23} & \cdots & X_{2N} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & X_{3N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have that Z is a nilpotent operator with $X = Z + Z^*$. This shows that X is the real part of Z . \square

4. DIXMIER'S AVERAGING THEOREM FOR TYPE II_1 FACTORS

Definition 4.1. A is said to be majorized by B , in notion $A \prec B$, if there are unitary operators $U_1, \dots, U_N \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N U_i^* B U_i = A.$$

Proposition 4.2. *If $A \prec B$ and $B \prec C$, then $A \prec C$.*

Proof. If

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N U_i^* B U_i = A \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M V_j^* C V_j = B,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{NM} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M U_i^* V_j^* C V_j U_i = A.$$

\square

Lemma 4.3. *Set $B := \begin{pmatrix} E_1 B E_1 & \cdots & E_1 B E_n \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ E_n B E_1 & \cdots & E_n B E_n \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to decomposition $I = E_1 + \cdots +$*

E_n . Then $A \prec B$, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 B E_1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & E_n B E_n \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. For the $n \times n$ diagonal matrix. Let the first position is 1 and the other position is 1 or -1 with respect to decomposition $I = E_1 + \dots + E_n$. Then there are 2^{n-1} unitary operators denoted by $U_1, \dots, U_{2^{n-1}}$. It can prove that

$$\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{2^{n-1}} U_i^* B U_i = A.$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *If $(E_{a,b})_{a,b \in B}$ is a self-adjoint system of $n \times n$ matrix units for a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , it is known that $\mathcal{M} \cong M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ for some von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} . In this*

algebra, $A := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A_1+A_2+\dots+A_n}{n} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{A_1+A_2+\dots+A_n}{n} \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & A_n \end{pmatrix} := B$, where $A_i \in \mathcal{N}^+$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. Set $U_1 = I$, $U_i := \begin{matrix} & 1 & 2 & \dots & i & i+1 & \dots & n \\ \begin{matrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ n+2-i \\ n+3-i \\ \vdots \\ n \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{matrix}$, where $i = 2, \dots, n-1$,

and $U_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then it can prove that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n U_i^* B U_i = A.$$

□

Proposition 4.5. *Let $A, B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ and $A \prec B_1$. If B_1 is unitary equivalent with B_2 , then $A \prec B_2$.*

Proof. Since $A \prec B_1$, then by definition, there are unitary operators $U_1, \dots, U_N \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N U_i^* B_1 U_i = A.$$

As B_1 is unitary equivalent with B_2 , then $B_1 = V^* B_2 V$ for some unitary operator V . Thus

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N U_i^* V^* B_2 V U_i = A.$$

□

The following lemma is a generalization of Corollary 7.3 of [1].

Lemma 4.6. *If $(E_{a,b})_{a,b \in B}$ is a self-adjoint system of $n \times n$ matrix units for a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} , it is known that $\mathcal{M} \cong M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$ for some von Neumann algebra \mathcal{N} . In the algebra $\mathcal{M} \cong M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{N}$,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_n \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_i \in \mathcal{N}^+$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

Proof. First, we consider 2×2 matrix. Write $\begin{pmatrix} A+B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, which is unitary equivalent with $\begin{pmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. By the proof of Lemma 4.3, $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A & A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then by Proposition 4.5, we have $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A+B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Next we will prove that $\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A+B+C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $A, B, C \in \mathcal{N}^+$. Similarly to the above argument.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A+B+C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & C^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is unitary equivalent with

$$\begin{pmatrix} (A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & C^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{pmatrix} A+B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A+B & 0 & (A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}}C^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C^{\frac{1}{2}}(A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (A+B)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & C^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A+B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A+B+C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since by above argument,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A+B & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we prove that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A+B+C & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the same argument we have that

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & A_n \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Proposition 4.7. *Let $A \in \mathcal{M}$ be an injective positive map with dense range. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1)

$$\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

(2)

$$B \prec A.$$

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). By hypothesis, there are unitary operators $U_1, \dots, U_N \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N U_i^* \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U_i = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set $U_i := \begin{pmatrix} U_{11}^i & U_{12}^i \\ U_{21}^i & U_{22}^i \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (U_{21}^i)^* A U_{21}^i = 0$. Since $(U_{21}^i)^* A U_{21}^i \geq 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, N$, then $(U_{21}^i)^* A U_{21}^i = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, N$. Write $(U_{21}^i)^* A U_{21}^i = (U_{21}^i)^* A^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{21}^i$, thus $A^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{21}^i = 0$. As A is injective, it implies that $U_{21}^i = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, N$. Since U_i is a unitary operator, it implies that $(U_{11}^i)^* U_{11}^i = I$ and $U_{11}^i (U_{11}^i)^* + U_{12}^i (U_{12}^i)^* = I$. As \mathcal{M} is finite factor, then $(U_{11}^i)^* U_{11}^i = I$ implies that $U_{11}^i (U_{11}^i)^* = I$. So we have $U_{12}^i = 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, N$. Therefore we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{N} (U_{11}^i)^* A U_{11}^i = B.$$

Then we have (2). □

Corollary 4.8. *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor and $A \in \mathcal{M}$ be an injective positive map with dense range. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tau(A)I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \prec \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

(2)

$$\tau(A)I \prec A.$$

The following theorem is a stronger version of Dixmier's averaging theorem for type II₁ factors.

Theorem 4.9. *Let (\mathcal{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor and let $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{M}$. Then there exist unitary operators $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{M}$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k U_i^* X_j U_i = \tau(X_j)I, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Proof. Clearly, we may assume that $X_i = X_i^*$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For X_1 , by Theorem 3.23, there exist unitary operators V_1, \dots, V_r such that

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r V_i^* X_1 V_i = \tau(X_1)I.$$

Let

$$A = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r V_i^* X_2 V_i.$$

Then $A = A^*$ and $\tau(A) = \tau(X_2)$. By Theorem 3.23, there exist unitary operators W_1, \dots, W_s such that

$$\frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^s W_i^* A W_i = \tau(X_2)I.$$

Now it is easy to see that $W_i V_j$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{rs} \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^r W_i^* V_j^* X_k V_j W_i = \tau(X_k)I, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Now, by induction we have the theorem. □

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, *Majorization, doubly stochastic matrices, and comparison of eigenvalues*. Linear Algebra Appl. 118 (1989), 163-248.
- [2] X. Cao, J. Fang, Z. Yao, *Strong sums of projections in type II factors*. Mathematics Arxiv math.OA/10099v1.
- [3] M.D. Choi and P. Y. Wu, *Sums of orthogonal projections*, J. Funct. Anal. 267(2014), no. 2, 384-404.
- [4] K. Dykema, J. Fang, A. Skripka, *Upper triangular Toeplitz matrices and real parts of quasinilpotent operators*, Indiana. Univ. Math. J. 63 (2014) no. 1, 53-75.
- [5] K. Dykema, D. Freeman, K. Kornelson, D. Larson, M. Ordower, E. Weber, *Ellipsoidal tight frames and projection decompositions of operators*, Illinois J. Math. 48 (2004), 477-489.
- [6] P.A. Fillmore, *On sums of projections*, J. Funct. Anal. 4 (1969), 146-152.

- [7] S. Goldstein, and A. Paszkiewicz, *Linear combinations of projections in von Neumann algebras*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 116, no. 1 (1992), 175-183.
- [8] D. Hadwin, *weak*-limits*, preprint.
- [9] H. Halpern, V. Kaftal, P. W. Ng, and S. Zhang, *Finite sums of projections in von Neumann algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 365(2013), no. 5, 2409-2445
- [10] V. Kaftal, P.W. Ng, and S. Zhang, *Strong sums of projections in von Neumann factors*, J. Funct. Anal, 257 (2009) 2497-2529.
- [11] V. Kaftal, P.W. Ng, and S. Zhang, *Positive combinations and sums of projections in purely infinite simple C*-algebras and their multiplier algebras*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 139(2011), no. 8, 2735-2746.
- [12] V. Kaftal, P.W. Ng, and S. Zhang, *Positive combinations of projections in von Neumann algebras and purely infinite simple C*-algebras*. Science China Mathematics, 54, No. 2(2011),1-10.
- [13] V. Kaftal, P.W. Ng, and S. Zhang, *Projection decomposition in multiplier algebras*. Math. Ann., 352, No. 3 (2012), 543-566.
- [14] V. Kaftal, P.W. Ng, and S. Zhang, *Finite sums of projections in purely infinite simple C*-algebras with torsion K₀*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140(2012), 3219-3227.
- [15] R.V. Kadison, J. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras, II, Pure Appl. Math. (N. Y.), Academic Press, London, 1985.
- [16] S. Kruglyak, V. Rabanovich, and Y. Samolenko, *On sums of projections*. Funct. Anal. and Appl., 36(2002), 182-195.
- [17] S. Kruglyak, V. Rabanovich, and Y. Samolenko, *Decomposition of a scalar matrix into a sum of orthogonal projections*. Lin. Alg. and Applic., 370(2003), 217-225.
- [18] L.W. Marcoux, *Linear spans of projections in certain C*-algebras*. Indiana Univ. Math. J., 51(2002), 753-771.
- [19] Y. Nakamura, *Any Hermitian matrix is a linear combination of four projections*. Linear Algebra Appl., 61(1984), 133-139.
- [20] A. Sinclair, and R. Smith, Finite von Neumann algebras and masas. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 351. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [21] S. Stratila, L. Zsido, Lectures on Von Neumann Algebras, Abacus Press, Bucharest, 1979.
- [22] M. Takesaki, Theory of Operator Algebras, I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [23] P.Y. Wu, *Additive combinations of special operators*. Funct. Anal Oper. Theory., Banach Ctr Publ, Inst Math Polish Acad Sci., 30 (1994), 337-361.

Current address, X. Cao and J. Fang: School of Mathematical Sciences, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050016, China

Current address, Z. Yao: Postdoctoral Research Station of Mathematics, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang, Hebei 050016, China

Email address, X. Cao: caoxinyan123@qq.com

Email address, J. Fang: jfang@hebtu.edu.cn

Email address, Z. Yao: zyao@hebtu.edu.cn