

# On geometric Brauer groups and Tate-Shafarevich groups

Yanshuai Qin

December 7, 2021

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Tate conjecture and Brauer group . . . . .	2
1.2	Main theorems . . . . .	2
1.3	Notation and Terminology . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Preliminary reductions</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	The Kummer sequence . . . . .	4
2.2	Reduce to the vanishing of Galois cohomology . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.2</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	The spreading out trick . . . . .	6
3.2	Compatible system of $G_k$ -modules . . . . .	8
3.3	Proof of Theorem 1.2 . . . . .	10
<b>4</b>	<b>Torsions of cohomology of varieties over finite fields</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Alteration . . . . .	10
4.2	$\ell$ -independence . . . . .	12
<b>5</b>	<b>Proof of Theorem 1.3</b>	<b>13</b>
5.1	Theorem 1.2 for non-compact varieties . . . . .	13
5.2	Weil restriction . . . . .	15
5.3	Proof of Theorem 1.3 . . . . .	16

# 1 Introduction

For any noetherian scheme  $X$ , the *cohomological Brauer group*

$$\mathrm{Br}(X) := H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathrm{tor}}$$

is defined to be the torsion part of the étale cohomology group  $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ .

## 1.1 Tate conjecture and Brauer group

Let us first recall the Tate conjecture for divisors over finitely generated fields.

**Conjecture 1.1.** (*Conjecture  $T^1(X, \ell)$* ) *Let  $X$  be a projective and smooth variety over a finitely generated field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \geq 0$ , and  $\ell \neq p$  be a prime number. Then the cycle class map*

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \longrightarrow H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1))^{G_k}$$

*is surjective.*

By a well-known result (see Proposition 2.1),  $T^1(X, \ell)$  is equivalent to the finiteness of  $\mathrm{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\ell)$ .

## 1.2 Main theorems

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective variety over a finitely generated field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Assuming that  $\mathrm{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$  is finite for some prime  $\ell \neq p$ , then  $\mathrm{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\mathrm{non-}p)$  is finite.*

In the case that  $K$  is finite, the above theorem was proved by Tate [Tat3, Thm. 5.2] and Lichtenbaum [Lic]. The general case was proved by Cadoret-Hui-Tamagawa [CHT]. Skorobogatov-Zarkhin [SZ1, SZ2] proved the finiteness of  $\mathrm{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\mathrm{non-}p)$  for abelian varieties and K3 surfaces ( $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ ). In this paper, we will give an elementary proof of the theorem based on the idea of Tate and Lichtenbaum's proof for the case over finite fields.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a smooth irreducible variety over a finite field of characteristic  $p > 0$  with function field  $K$ . Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over  $K$ . Define*

$$\mathrm{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A) := \mathrm{Ker}(H^1(K, A) \longrightarrow \prod_{s \in \mathcal{Y}^1} H^1(K_s^{sh}, A)),$$

*where  $\mathcal{Y}^1$  denotes the set of points of codimension 1 and  $K_s^{sh}$  denotes the quotient field of a strict local ring at  $s$ . Assuming that  $\mathrm{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A)(\ell)$  is finite for some prime  $\ell \neq p$ , then  $\mathrm{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A)(\mathrm{non-}p)$  is finite.*

In the case that  $K$  is a global function field, the above theorem was proved by Tate [Tat3, Thm. 5.2] and Schneider [Sch]. In [Qin2], we proved that the finiteness of  $\mathrm{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A)(\ell)$  for a single  $\ell$  is equivalent to the BSD conjecture for  $A$  over  $K$  formulated by Tate (cf. [Tat1]).

## 1.3 Notation and Terminology

### Fields

By a *finitely generated field*, we mean a field which is finitely generated over a prime field. For any field  $k$ , denote by  $k^s$  the separable closure. Denote by  $G_k = \text{Gal}(k^s/k)$  the absolute Galois group of  $k$ .

### Henselization

Let  $R$  be a noetherian local ring, denote by  $R^h$  (resp.  $R^{sh}$ ) the henselization (resp. strict henselization) of  $R$  at the maximal ideal. If  $R$  is a domain, denote by  $K^h$  (resp.  $K^{sh}$ ) the fraction field of  $R^h$  (resp.  $R^{sh}$ ).

### Varieties

By a *variety* over a field  $k$ , we mean a scheme which is separated and of finite type over  $k$ .

For a smooth proper geometrically connected variety  $X$  over a field  $k$ , we use  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}^0$  to denote the identity component of the Picard scheme  $\text{Pic}_{X/k}$ .

### Cohomology

The default sheaves and cohomology over schemes are with respect to the small étale site. So  $H^i$  is the abbreviation of  $H_{\text{ét}}^i$ .

### Brauer groups

For any noetherian scheme  $X$ , denote the *cohomological Brauer group*

$$\text{Br}(X) := H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}.$$

### Abelian group

For any abelian group  $M$ , integer  $m$  and prime  $\ell$ , we set

$$M[m] = \{x \in M \mid mx = 0\}, \quad M_{\text{tor}} = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} M[m], \quad M(\ell) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} M[\ell^n],$$

$$M \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell} = \varprojlim_n M/\ell^n, \quad T_{\ell}M = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}/\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}, M) = \varprojlim_n M[\ell^n], \quad V_{\ell}M = T_{\ell}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}.$$

For any torsion abelian group  $M$  and prime number  $p$ , we set

$$M(\text{non-}p) = \bigcup_{p \nmid m} M[m].$$

A torsion abelian group  $M$  is of *cofinite type* if  $M[m]$  is finite for any positive integer  $m$ . We use  $M_{div}$  to denote the maximal divisible subgroup of  $M$ . For a group  $G$ , we use  $|G|$  to denote the order of  $G$ .

### Acknowledgements:

I would like to thank Xinyi Yuan and Weizhe Zheng for important communications.

## 2 Preliminary reductions

### 2.1 The Kummer sequence

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over a field  $k$ . Let  $\ell$  be a prime different from  $\text{char}(k)$ , then the exact sequence of  $G_k$ -representations*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{NS}(X_{k^s})/\ell^n \longrightarrow H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{k^s})[\ell^n] \longrightarrow 0$$

*is split for sufficient large  $\ell$ . And for any  $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$ , the exact sequence of  $G_k$ -representations*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{NS}(X_{k^s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \longrightarrow H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1)) \longrightarrow V_{\ell}\text{Br}(X_{k^s}) \longrightarrow 0$$

*is split. Taking  $G_k$ -invariant, there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{NS}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_{\ell} \longrightarrow H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1))^{G_k} \longrightarrow V_{\ell}\text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k} \longrightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $d$  denote the dimension of  $X$ . Let  $Z_1(X_{k^s})$  denote the group of 1-cycles on  $X_{k^s}$ , it admits a natural  $G_k$  action. Since  $\tau$ -equivalence is same as the numerical equivalence for divisors (cf., e.g. SGA 6 XIII, Theorem 4.6), thus the intersection pairing

$$\text{NS}(X_{k^s})_{\mathbb{Q}} \times Z_1(X_{k^s})_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

is left non-degenerate. Since  $\text{NS}(X_{k^s})$  is finitely generated, so there exists a finite dimensional  $G_k$  invariant subspace  $W$  of  $Z^1(X_{k^s})_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that the restriction of the intersection pairing to  $\text{NS}(X_{k^s})_{\mathbb{Q}} \times W$  is left non-degenerate. Since  $G_k$ -actions factor through a finite quotient of  $G_k$ , we can choose  $W$  such that the pairing is actually perfect. Let  $W_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$  denote the subspace of  $H^{2d-2}(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(d-1))$  generated by cycle classes of  $W$ . Then the restriction of

$$H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1)) \times H^{2d-2}(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(d-1)) \longrightarrow H^{2d}(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(d)) \cong \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}$$

to  $\text{NS}(X_{k^s})_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \times W_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}$  is also perfect. So we have

$$H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_{\ell}(1)) = \text{NS}(X_{k^s})_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}} \oplus W_{\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}}^{\perp}.$$

This proves the second claim.

There exists a finitely generated  $G_k$  invariant subgroup  $W_0$  of  $Z^1(X_{k^s})$  such that  $W = W_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore, there exists a positive integer  $N$ , such that the base change of the pairing  $\text{NS}(X_{k^s}) \times W_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[N^{-1}]$  is perfect. So for any  $\ell \nmid N$ , the intersection pairing

$$\text{NS}(X_{k^s})/\ell^n \times W_0/\ell^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n$$

is perfect. Since it is compatible with

$$H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \times H^{2d-2}(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(d-1)) \rightarrow H^{2d}(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(d)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n.$$

Thus we have

$$H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)) = \text{NS}(X_{k^s})/\ell^n \oplus (W_0/\ell^n)^\perp.$$

This proves the first claim. □

## 2.2 Reduce to the vanishing of Galois cohomology

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over a finitely generated field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Assuming that  $\text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$  is finite for some prime  $\ell \neq p$ , by [Qin2, Cor. 1.7],  $\text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$  is finite for all primes  $\ell \neq p$ . Thus, to prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove  $\text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}[\ell] = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$  under the assumption of the finiteness of  $\text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$ . By Proposition 2.1, for  $\ell \gg 0$ , there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{NS}(X_{K^s})/\ell)^{G_K} \rightarrow H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell(1))^{G_K} \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}[\ell] \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that  $(\text{NS}(X_{K^s})/\ell)^{G_K} \rightarrow H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell(1))^{G_K}$  is surjective for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Consider the following exact sequence of  $\ell$ -adic sheaves on  $X$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \xrightarrow{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/\ell(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

It gives a long exact sequence

$$H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \xrightarrow{\ell} H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell(1)) \rightarrow H^3(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))[\ell] \rightarrow 0.$$

By a theorem of Gabber (cf. [Gab]),  $H^3(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))[\ell] = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Thus, for  $\ell \gg 0$ , there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))/\ell \cong H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell(1)).$$

Assuming that  $\text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$  is finite, by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow (\text{NS}(X_{K^s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{G_K} \rightarrow H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_K} \rightarrow T_\ell \text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K},$$

we have

$$(\text{NS}(X_{K^s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{G_K} \cong H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_K}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that the natural map

$$H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_K} / \ell \longrightarrow (H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) / \ell)^{G_K}$$

is surjective for  $\ell \gg 0$ . By Gabber's theorem (cf. [Gab]),  $H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))[\ell] = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Thus, for  $\ell \gg 0$ , there is an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_K} \xrightarrow{\ell} H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_K} \longrightarrow (H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) / \ell)^{G_K} \\ \longrightarrow H_{cts}^1(G_K, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)))[\ell] \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the question is reduced to show

$$H_{cts}^1(G_K, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)))[\ell] = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0$$

under the assumption of the finiteness of  $\text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$ .

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let  $X$  be a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over a finitely generated field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Assuming that  $\text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$  is finite for all prime  $\ell \neq p$ , we will show

$$(1) \quad H_{cts}^1(G_K, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)))[\ell] = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

#### 3.1 The spreading out trick

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be an affine smooth geometrically connected variety over a finite field  $k$  with function field  $K$ . Let  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a smooth projective morphism with a generic fiber  $X$  geometrically connected over  $K$ . Let  $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$  be a prime. Then the following statements are true.*

(a) *The spectral sequence*

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^q \pi_* \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$$

*degenerates at  $E_2$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ .*

(b) *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H_{cts}^1(K, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))) \longrightarrow \prod_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} H_{cts}^1(K_y^{sh}, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))).$$

(c) *For  $\ell \gg 0$ , we have*

$$H_{cts}^1(K, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)))[\ell] \cong H^1(\mathcal{Y}, R^2 \pi_* \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))[\ell].$$

(d) *There is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))_{G_k} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k}.$$

*Proof.* To prove (a), we will use Deligne's Lefschetz criteria for degeneration of spectral sequences (cf. [Del1, Prop. 2.4]). Let  $u \in H^2(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  be the  $\ell$ -adic chern class of the ample line sheaf  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  associated to the projective morphism  $\pi$ . It suffices to check  $u$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$  satisfies the Lefschetz condition. Since  $R^q\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$  is lisse for all  $q$ , by the proper base change theorem, it suffices to check the Lefschetz condition for a single fiber of  $\pi$  over a closed point  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Thus, we might assume that  $\mathcal{Y} = \text{Spec } k$ . Let  $m$  denote  $\dim(\mathcal{X})$ . By Gabber's Theorem [Gab, §6], the Lefschetz morphism

$$H^{m-i}(\mathcal{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H^{m+i}(\mathcal{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(i+1))$$

induced by  $u^i$  is an isomorphism for  $0 \leq i \leq m$  and  $\ell \gg 0$ . This proves the first claim.

For (b), let  $j : \text{Spec } K \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be the generic point. Since  $\pi$  is smooth and proper,  $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)$  is a locally constant sheaf for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus, we have

$$R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1) \cong j_*j^*R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1).$$

By the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\mathcal{Y}, R^qj_*j^*R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\text{Spec } K, j^*R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)),$$

we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}, j_*j^*R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \longrightarrow H^1(K, j^*R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Y}, R^1j_*j^*R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)).$$

Thus, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Y}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \longrightarrow H^1(K, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1))) \longrightarrow \prod_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} H^1(K_y^{sh}, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1))).$$

Taking limit, we get (b).

For (c), it suffices to show that the third term of the sequence in (b) has no torsion for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Since  $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)$  is lisse, the action of  $\text{Gal}(K^s/K_y^{sh})$  on  $H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  is trivial. Thus,

$$H_{cts}^1(K_y^{sh}, H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))) = \text{Hom}_{cts}(\text{Gal}(K^s/K_y^{sh}), H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))).$$

So the claim follows from the fact  $H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))[\ell] = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ .

(d) follows from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{cts}^p(G_k, H^q(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\mathcal{Y}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$$

and the fact  $H_{cts}^1(G_k, M) = M_{G_k}$ . □

### 3.2 Compatible system of $G_k$ -modules

By Lemma 3.1 (c) and (d), to prove (1), it suffices to show

$$H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))_{G_k}[\ell] = 0 \text{ and } H^1(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k}[\ell] = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

Let  $k$  be a finite field of characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $I$  be the set of primes different from  $p$ . Let  $F \in G_k$  be the geometric Frobenius element. Let  $M = (M_\ell, \ell \in I)$  be a family of finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ -modules equipped with continuous  $G_k$ -actions. We say that  $M = (M_\ell, \ell \in I)$  is a *compatible system of  $G_k$ -modules* if there exists a polynomial  $P(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$  with  $P(1) \neq 0$  such that  $(F-1)P(F)$  kills all  $M_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Let  $N = (N_\ell, \ell \in I)$  be a family of  $G_k$ -modules. We say that  $N$  is a system of submodules (resp. quotient modules) of  $M$  if  $N_\ell$  is a  $G_k$ -submodule (resp.  $G_k$ -quotient modules) of  $M_\ell$  for all  $\ell \in I$ . It is obvious that a system of submodules (resp. quotient modules) of a compatible system of  $G_k$ -modules is also a compatible system.

We will show that  $H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)), \ell \in I$  and  $H^1(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)), \ell \in I$  are compatible systems of  $G_k$ -modules.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a compatible system of  $G_k$ -modules and  $f_\ell : M_\ell^{G_k} \rightarrow (M_\ell)_{G_k}$  be the map induced by the identity. Then  $f$  has a finite kernel and a finite cokernel and*

$$|\text{Ker}(f_\ell)| = |\text{Coker}(f_\ell)| \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

Moreover, if 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $F$  on  $M_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  for all  $\ell \in I$ , then

$$|M_\ell^{G_k}| = |(M_\ell)_{G_k}| < \infty \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\theta_\ell$  denote the endomorphism  $F - 1$  on  $M_\ell$  and  $\theta_\ell \otimes 1$  denote the corresponding endomorphism of  $M_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Write  $\det(T - \theta_\ell \otimes 1) = T^{\rho_\ell} R_\ell(T)$  with  $R_\ell(0) \neq 0$ . By the assumption, there exists a polynomial  $P(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$  with  $P(1) \neq 0$  such that  $(F-1)P(F)$  kills all  $M_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$ . Thus, the generalized 1-eigenspace of  $F$  on  $M_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is equal to  $\text{Ker}(F - 1)$ . Thus,  $\rho_\ell = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(\text{Ker}(\theta_\ell))$ . By [Tat3, §5, Lem. z.4],  $f_\ell$  has a finite kernel and a finite cokernel and

$$|\text{Ker}(f_\ell)|/|\text{Coker}(f_\ell)| = |R_\ell(0)|_\ell.$$

$R_\ell(0)$  is equal to the product of roots of  $R_\ell(T)$  and all roots of  $R_\ell(T)$  are roots of  $P(T+1)$ . Since all roots of  $P(T+1)$  have  $\ell$ -adic absolute values 1 for  $\ell \gg 0$ , so  $|R_\ell(0)|_\ell = 1$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . This completes the proof of the first statement.

For the second statement, under the assumption,  $f_\ell$  is a map between two finite groups. Then the claim follows from the first statement and the following exact sequence of finite abelian groups

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(f_\ell) \longrightarrow M_\ell^{G_k} \longrightarrow (M_\ell)_{G_k} \longrightarrow \text{Coker}(f_\ell) \longrightarrow 0.$$

□



**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that  $\text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K}(\ell)$  is finite for all  $\ell \in I$ . Then  $H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ ,  $\ell \in I$  is a compatible system of  $G_k$ -modules. Moreover,*

$$H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))_{G_k}[\ell] = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $K'$  denote  $Kk^s$ . Since  $R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)$  is lisse, we have

$$H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) = H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))^{G_{K'}}.$$

By Proposition 2.1, there is a split exact sequences of  $G_k$ -representations

$$0 \longrightarrow (\text{NS}(X_{K^s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{G_{K'}} \longrightarrow H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))^{G_{K'}} \longrightarrow V_\ell \text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_{K'}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Write  $W_\ell$  for  $H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))^{G_{K'}}$ . By the assumption,  $V_\ell \text{Br}(X_{K^s})^{G_K} = 0$ . Thus,  $W_\ell^{G_K}$  is equal to  $(\text{NS}(X_{K^s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell)^{G_K}$  which is a direct summand of  $W_\ell$  as  $G_k$ -representations. This implies that the generalized 1-eigenspace of  $F$  on  $W_\ell$  is equal to  $\text{Ker}(F-1)$ . By Lemma 3.1 (a),  $W_\ell$  is a subquotient of  $H^2(\mathcal{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$ . By Proposition 4.3 below, there exists a non-zero polynomial  $P(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$  such that  $P(F)$  kills all  $W_\ell$ . Write  $P(T) = (T-1)^m P_1(T)$  with  $P_1(1) \neq 0$ . Then  $(F-1)P_1(F)$  kills all  $W_\ell$ . This proves the first claim.

For the second claim, set  $M_\ell = H^0(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ .  $M_\ell \cong H^2(X_{K^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_{K'}}$ , so  $(M_\ell)_{\text{tor}} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Let  $f_\ell : M_\ell^{G_k} \rightarrow (M_\ell)_{G_k}$  be the map in Lemma 3.2. By Lemma 3.2,  $\text{Ker}(f_\ell)$  is a finite subgroup of  $M_\ell$ , thus  $\text{Ker}(f_\ell) = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . By Lemma 3.2, this implies  $\text{Coker}(f_\ell) = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . So  $f_\ell$  is an isomorphism for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Thus,  $(M_\ell)_{G_k}[\ell] \cong M_\ell^{G_k}[\ell] = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . □

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $H^1(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  as in Lemma 3.1. Then*

$$H^1(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k}[\ell] = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

*Proof.* Write  $H_\ell^3$  for  $H^3(\mathcal{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$  in Lemma 3.1 (a). And let  $0 \subseteq (H_\ell^3)^3 \subseteq (H_\ell^3)^2 \subseteq (H_\ell^3)^1 \subseteq (H_\ell^3)^0 = H_\ell^3$  be the filtration induced by the spectral sequence in Lemma 3.1 (a). Since the spectral sequence degenerates at  $E_2$ , we have

$$H^1(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}, R^2\pi_*\mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \cong (H_\ell^3)^1 / (H_\ell^3)^2.$$

By Proposition 4.3 below,  $H_\ell^3, \ell \in I$  is a compatible system of  $G_k$ -modules. Thus,  $(H_\ell^3)^1, \ell \in I$  and  $(H_\ell^3)^2, \ell \in I$  are also compatible systems of  $G_k$ -modules. Since  $H_\ell^3 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} \mathbb{Q}_\ell$  is of weight  $\geq 1$ , 1 is not an eigenvalue for  $F$ . By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$|((H_\ell^3)^2)_{G_k}| = |((H_\ell^3)^2)^{G_k}| \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

By Theorem 4.4 below,

$$(H_\ell^3)^{G_k} = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

It follows that

$$((H_\ell^3)^2)^{G_k} = ((H_\ell^3)^1)^{G_k} = (H_\ell^3)^{G_k} = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

Thus,

$$((H_\ell^3)^2)_{G_k} = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

By the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow ((H_\ell^3)^2)^{G_k} \longrightarrow ((H_\ell^3)^1)^{G_k} \longrightarrow ((H_\ell^3)^1/(H_\ell^3)^2)^{G_k} \longrightarrow ((H_\ell^3)^2)_{G_k},$$

we can conclude

$$((H_\ell^3)^1/(H_\ell^3)^2)^{G_k} = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

□

### 3.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4 completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

## 4 Torsions of cohomology of varieties over finite fields

In this section, we will study the torsion part of  $l$ -adic cohomology for smooth varieties over finite fields.

### 4.1 Alteration

By de Jong's alteration theorem (cf. [deJ]), every integral variety  $X$  over an algebraic closed field  $k$  admits a proper and generic finite morphism  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X$  such that  $X_1$  is an open subvariety of a smooth projective connected variety over  $k$ . The alteration theorem will allow us to reduce questions about general non-compact smooth varieties to smooth varieties with smooth projective compactification.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  be a proper and generic finite morphism between smooth irreducible varieties over an algebraic closed field  $k$ . Let  $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$  be a prime and  $d$  denote  $[K(Y) : K(X)]$ . Then the kernels of the pullbacks*

$$H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \longrightarrow H^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \text{ and } H_c^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \longrightarrow H_c^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n)$$

are killed by  $d$  for all integers  $i \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $m$  denote the dimension of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is smooth and irreducible, there is a perfect Poincaré duality pairing

$$H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n) \times H_c^{2m-i}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(m)) \longrightarrow H_c^{2m}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(m)).$$

Since the pullback  $f^*$  map is compatible with the above pairing, it suffices to show that the kernel of the pullback map

$$H_c^{2m}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(m)) \longrightarrow H_c^{2m}(Y, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(m))$$

is killed by  $d$ . Thus, without loss of generality, we can shrink  $X$  such that  $f$  is finite flat. Then the above map can be identified with the multiplication by  $d$

$$\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n$$

through trace maps for  $X$  and  $Y$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth variety over an algebraic closed field  $k$ . Then, for  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ ,*

$$H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)_{\text{tor}} = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

*Proof.* In the case that  $X$  is smooth and projective over  $k$ , this is Gabber's theorem. We might assume that  $X$  is irreducible. Then  $X$  admits an alteration  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $Y$  is an open subvariety of a smooth projective connected variety  $\bar{Y}$ . By Lemma 4.1, the kernel of

$$H^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^i(Y, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$$

is killed by the degree of  $f$  and therefore, is injective for  $\ell \gg 0$ . So it suffices to prove the claim for  $Y$ . For  $i = 0$ , the claim is obvious. Let  $Z$  denote  $\bar{Y} - Y$  and  $Z_i$  denote the smooth locus of irreducible components of  $Z$  with codimension 1 in  $\bar{Y}$ . By the theorem of purity (cf. [Fuj]), there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^0(Z_i, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(-1)).$$

Since the first and the third term are torsion-free for  $\ell \gg 0$ , so  $H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)_{\text{tor}} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . This proves the claim for  $i = 1$ . For  $i = 2$ , consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \rightarrow H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \rightarrow T_\ell \text{Br}(Y) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the third term is always torsion free, it suffices to show that  $\text{Pic}(Y) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is torsion free for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Let  $a : \text{Pic}(\bar{Y}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y)$  be the restriction map. Since  $\text{Pic}^0(\bar{Y})$  is  $\ell$ -divisible for all  $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$ , so  $a(\text{Pic}^0(\bar{Y}))$  is also  $\ell$ -divisible. Thus

$$\text{Pic}(Y) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_\ell = \text{Pic}(Y) / a(\text{Pic}^0(\bar{Y})) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_\ell.$$

Since  $\text{NS}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y) / a(\text{Pic}^0(\bar{Y}))$  is surjective,  $\text{Pic}(Y) / a(\text{Pic}^0(\bar{Y}))$  is a finitely generated abelian group. Thus, the torsion part of  $\text{Pic}(Y) / a(\text{Pic}^0(\bar{Y})) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is isomorphic to the  $\ell$ -primary torsion part of  $\text{Pic}(Y) / a(\text{Pic}^0(\bar{Y}))$ , which is zero for  $\ell \gg 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4.2 $\ell$ -independence

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $X$  be a separated scheme of finite type over a finite field  $k$ . Let  $F \in G_k$  be the geometric Frobenius element. Then there exists a non-zero polynomial  $P(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$  such that  $P(F)$  kills  $H_c^i(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  for all primes  $\ell \neq \text{char}(k)$  and  $i \geq 0$ . And the same claim holds for  $H^i(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$  when  $X$  is smooth over  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the second claim follows from the first one and Poincaré duality. For the first claim, in the case that  $X$  is smooth proper over  $k$ , this is a consequence of Deligne's theorem in Weil I. For the general case, we will prove it by induction on the dimension of  $X$ . Firstly, we note that replacing  $k$  by a finite extension will not change the question and we can assume that  $X$  is integral. Let  $U$  be the regular locus of  $X$  and  $Y = X - U$ . There is a long exact sequence

$$H_c^i(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_c^i(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_c^i(Y_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_c^{i+1}(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

By induction, the claim is true for  $Y$ . Thus, we can assume that  $X$  is smooth. Let  $X_1 \rightarrow X$  be an alteration such that  $X_1$  admits a smooth projective compactification. By Lemma 4.1, the pullback map

$$H_c^i(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_c^i((X_1)_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)$$

is injective. It suffices to prove the claim for  $X_1$ . Thus, we can assume that  $X$  is an open subvariety of smooth projective irreducible variety  $\bar{X}$  over  $k$ . Let  $Y$  denote  $\bar{X} - X$ . Consider the long exact sequence

$$H_c^{i-1}(Y_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_c^i(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_c^i(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell) \longrightarrow H_c^i(Y_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell).$$

By induction, the claim is true for  $Y$ . Since the claim is true for  $\bar{X}$ , it follows that the claim holds for  $X$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth variety over a finite field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Then*

$$H^3(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k} = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

*Proof.* Note that replacing  $k$  by a finite extension will not change the question. Thus, we can assume that  $X$  is geometrically connected. There is an alteration (extending  $k$  if necessary)  $X_1 \rightarrow X$  such that  $X_1$  is an open subvariety of a smooth projective geometrically connected variety over  $k$ . By Lemma 4.1, the pullback map

$$H^3(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H^3((X_1)_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$$

is injective for  $\ell \gg 0$ . So it suffices to prove the claim for  $X_1$ . Thus, we can assume that  $X$  is open subvariety of a smooth projective geometrically connected variety  $\bar{X}$  over  $k$ . There is an open subset  $U$  of  $\bar{X}$  such that  $U$  contains  $X$  with  $Y = U - X$  a smooth divisor in  $U$  and  $Z = \bar{X} - U$  is of codimension  $\geq 2$  in  $\bar{X}$ . There is an exact sequence

$$H_Z^3(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H^3(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H^3(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H_Z^4(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)).$$

By the theorem of purity (cf. [Fuj]),  $H_Z^3(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) = 0$ . By the theorem of semi-purity (cf. [Fuj]), removing a close subset of codimension  $\geq 3$  in  $\bar{X}$  from  $Z$  will not change these groups. So we can assume that  $Z$  is smooth and of pure codimension 2 in  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Then, by the theorem of purity,

$$H_Z^4(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \cong H^0(Z_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(-1)) \cong \oplus \mathbb{Z}_\ell(-1).$$

It follows

$$H^3(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k} \cong H^3(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k}.$$

Since  $H^3(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  is of pure weight 1, so  $H^3(\bar{X}_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k}$  is a torsion group and therefore, vanishes for  $\ell \gg 0$  by Gabber's theorem. Thus, the claim holds for  $U$ . There is an exact sequence

$$H_Y^3(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H^3(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \xrightarrow{a_\ell} H^3(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H_Y^4(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)).$$

By the theorem of purity,  $H_Y^4(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \cong H^2(Y_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ . Thus,  $H_Y^4(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k} \cong H^2(Y_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)^{G_k}$  is a torsion abelian group and therefore, vanishes for  $\ell \gg 0$  by Proposition 4.2. Thus, it suffices to prove  $\text{Im}(a_\ell)^{G_k} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Since  $H^3(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))$  is of weight  $\geq 1$ , by Proposition 4.3,  $H^3(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)), \ell \in I$  and  $\text{Im}(a_\ell), \ell \in I$  are compatible systems of  $G_k$ -modules. Let  $M$  be one of these systems. By Lemma 3.2, the vanishing of  $M_\ell^{G_k}$  and  $(M_\ell)_{G_k}$  are equivalent for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Since  $H^3(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ , we have  $H^3(U_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))_{G_k} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Thus,  $\text{Im}(a_\ell)_{G_k} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . It follows that  $\text{Im}(a_\ell)^{G_k} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

### 5.1 Theorem 1.2 for non-compact varieties

To prove Theorem 1.3, we need a version of Theorem 1.2 for non-compact smooth varieties over finite fields.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth irreducible variety over a finite field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Assuming that  $X$  admits a finite flat alteration  $X_1 \rightarrow X$  such that  $X_1$  is an open subvariety of a smooth projective variety over  $k$ , then the natural map*

$$\text{Br}(X)(\text{non-}p) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\text{non-}p)$$

*has a cokernel of finite exponent.*

*Proof.* Let  $f : X_1 \rightarrow X$  be an alteration as in the assumption. One can define a canonical norm map  $\text{Nm} : f_* \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  such that the composition

$$\mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow f_* \mathbb{G}_m \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

is equal to the multiplication by  $[K(X_1) : K(X)]$ . Then  $\text{Nm}$  induces a natural map

$$\text{Nm} : H^2(X_1, \mathbb{G}_m) = H^2(X, f_* \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

By definition, the composition of Nm and  $f^*$

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{f^*} H^2(X_1, \mathbb{G}_m) \xrightarrow{\text{Nm}} H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$$

is the multiplication by  $[K(X_1) : K(X)]$ . It follows that Nm has a cokernel of finite exponent. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Br}(X_1) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}((X_1)_{k^s})^{G_k} \\ \downarrow \text{Nm} & & \downarrow \text{Nm} \\ \text{Br}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k} \end{array}$$

The diagram commutes (cf., e.g., [ABBG, Prop. 2.2]). Since all vertical maps have cokernels of finite exponent, it suffices to show the first row has a cokernel of finite exponent up to  $p$ -torsion. Thus, we can assume that  $X$  is an open subvariety of a smooth projective variety  $\bar{X}$ . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Br}(\bar{X})(\text{non-}p) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(\bar{X}_{k^s})^{G_k}(\text{non-}p) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Br}(X)(\text{non-}p) & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\text{non-}p) \end{array}$$

It is well-known that the first row has a cokernel of finite exponent (cf. [Yua]). By [Qin1, Prop. 2.3], the second column has a cokernel of finite exponent. Thus, the second row has a cokernel of finite exponent. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth geometrically connected variety over a finite field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Assuming that  $\text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\ell)$  is finite for some prime  $\ell \neq p$ , then  $\text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\text{non-}p)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* By [Qin2, Thm. 3.5],  $\text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\ell)$  is finite for all  $\ell \neq p$ . By [Qin1, Prop. 2.3], shrinking  $X$  will not change the question. Thus, we can assume that  $X$  satisfies the assumption in Lemma 5.1. So the natural map  $\text{Br}(X)(\ell) \rightarrow \text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\ell)$  is surjective for  $\ell \gg 0$ . It suffices to show that  $\text{Br}(X)(\ell)$  is divisible for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Br}(X)/\ell^n \longrightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n(1)) \longrightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{G}_m)[\ell^n] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking limit, we get an injection

$$\text{Br}(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \hookrightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)).$$

Since  $\text{Br}(X)$  is a torsion abelian group of cofinite type, we have

$$\text{Br}(X) \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}_\ell \cong \text{Br}(X)(\ell) / (\text{Br}(X)(\ell))_{\text{div}}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show  $H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))_{\text{tor}} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H_{\text{cts}}^p(G_k, H^q(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$$

and the vanishing of  $E_2^{p,q}$  for  $p \geq 2$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))_{G_k} \longrightarrow H^3(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow H^3(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))^{G_k} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Theorem 4.4, the third term vanishes for  $\ell \gg 0$ . It suffices to show

$$H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))_{G_k}[\ell] = 0 \text{ for } \ell \gg 0.$$

By [Qin2, Lem. 3.4], there is a split exact sequence of  $G_k$ -representations

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(X_{k^s}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_\ell \longrightarrow H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1)) \longrightarrow V_\ell \text{Br}(X_{k^s}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Notice that  $H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))_{\text{tor}} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$  by Lemma 4.2. Set  $M_\ell = H^2(X_{k^s}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(1))$ , by a same argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.3,  $M = (M_\ell, \ell \in I)$  is a compatible system of  $G_k$ -modules. By the exact same argument of the proof of the second claim in Lemma 3.3, we have  $(M_\ell)_{\text{tor}} = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* It is possible that Theorem 5.2 still holds for any finitely generated field  $k$  of positive characteristic. The tricky part is to show the  $\ell$ -independence of the finiteness of  $\text{Br}(X_{k^s})^{G_k}(\ell)$ . Assuming resolution of singularity for varieties over fields of positive characteristic, then Theorem 5.2 can be derived directly from Theorem 1.2.

## 5.2 Weil restriction

To reduce Theorem 1.3 to Theorem 5.2, we need a technique of Weil restriction for abelian varieties. Following Milne's paper [Mil2], we will show that the Tate-Shafarevich group for an abelian variety does not change under Weil restriction. In fact,  $L$ -function for an abelian variety does not change under Weil restriction either. So the same idea can give a new proof of Theorem 1.9 in [Qin2].

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be a finite etale morphism between smooth geometrically connected varieties over a finite field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$ . Write  $K$  (resp.  $L$ ) for the function field of  $\mathcal{Y}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Z}$ ). Let  $B$  be an abelian variety over  $L$  and  $A$  be its Weil restriction to  $K$ . Assuming that  $A$  and  $B$  extend to abelian schemes over  $\mathcal{Y}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$  respectively. Then*

$$\text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A)(\ell) \cong \text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(B)(\ell)$$

for any  $\ell \neq p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{A}$ ) denote the etale sheaf on  $\text{Spec } L$  associated to the Galois module  $B(L^s)$  (resp.  $B(K^s)$ ). Let  $f$  denote the induced morphism  $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$ . Then the sheaf  $f_*\mathcal{B}$  is isomorphic to the sheaf  $\mathcal{A}$ . This can be seen by checking the equivalence between Frobenius reciprocity and adjoint property of  $f^*$  and  $f_*$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  (resp.

$\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ ) be an abelian scheme extending  $A \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$  (resp.  $B \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$ ). Let  $i$  (resp.  $j$ ) denote the morphism  $\text{Spec } K \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  (resp.  $\text{Spec } L \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ ). By [Kell1, Thm. 3.3], we have  $\mathcal{A} \cong i_*\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B} \cong j_*\mathcal{B}$ . Thus,  $f_*\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}$ . By [Qin2, Lem. 5.2], we have

$$\text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A)(\ell) \cong H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A})(\ell) \text{ and } \text{III}_{\mathcal{Z}}(B)(\ell) \cong H^1(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{B})(\ell).$$

Since  $f$  is finite, we have  $H^1(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{B}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{Y}, f_*\mathcal{B})$ . Then, the claim follows from

$$H^1(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{B}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{Y}, f_*\mathcal{B}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{A}).$$

□

*Remark 5.5.* By the same argument, we have  $f_*V_\ell\mathcal{B} \cong V_\ell\mathcal{A}$ . Thus,  $f_*V_\ell\mathcal{B}(-1) \cong V_\ell\mathcal{A}(-1)$ . It follows  $L(\mathcal{Y}, V_\ell\mathcal{A}(-1), s) = L(\mathcal{Y}, f_*V_\ell\mathcal{B}(-1), s) = L(\mathcal{Z}, V_\ell\mathcal{B}(-1), s)$ . Since  $A(K) = B(L)$  by definition, so the BSD conjecture for  $A$  is equivalent to the BSD conjecture for  $B$ .

### 5.3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $K = k(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  be a purely transcendental extension of a finite field  $k$ . Let  $A$  be an abelian variety over  $K$ . Then Theorem 1.3 holds for  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Y}$  be a smooth geometrically connected variety over  $k$  with a function field  $K$ . Let  $A^t$  denote  $\text{Pic}_{A/K}^0$ . Then  $A$  can be identified with  $\text{Pic}_{A^t/K}^0$ . By [Qin2, Lem. 5.2], without loss of generality, we can shrink  $\mathcal{Y}$  such that  $A^t$  extends to an abelian scheme  $\pi : \mathcal{A}^t \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ . By [Qin2, Thm. 1.11], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V_\ell\text{Br}(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s})^{G_k} \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(V_\ell\text{Br}(\mathcal{A}_{k^s}^t)^{G_k} \longrightarrow V_\ell\text{Br}(A_{K^s}^t)^{G_K}) \longrightarrow V_\ell\text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since  $T^1(X, \ell)$  holds for rational varieties and abelian varieties (Zarhin's theorem), we have  $V_\ell(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s})^{G_k} = 0$  and  $V_\ell\text{Br}(A_{K^s}^t)^{G_K} = 0$ . Assuming that  $\text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A)(\ell)$  is finite, then  $V_\ell\text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A) = 0$ . Thus,  $V_\ell\text{Br}(\mathcal{A}_{k^s}^t)^{G_k} = 0$ . So  $\text{Br}(\mathcal{A}_{k^s}^t)^{G_k}(\ell)$  is finite. By Theorem 5.2,  $\text{Br}(\mathcal{A}_{k^s}^t)^{G_k}(\ell) = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . In fact, the proof of [Qin2, Thm. 1.11] can imply that there is an exact sequence for  $\ell \gg 0$

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Br}(\mathcal{Y}_{k^s})^{G_k}(\ell) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{Br}(\mathcal{A}_{k^s}^t)^{G_k}(\ell) \longrightarrow \text{Br}(A_{K^s}^t)^{G_K}(\ell)) \longrightarrow \text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}}(A)^{G_k}(\ell) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows that  $\text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}}(A)^{G_k}(\ell) = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . Next, we will show that the natural map

$$\text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}}(A) \longrightarrow \text{III}_{\mathcal{Y}_{k^s}}(A)^{G_k}$$

has a finite kernel. Let  $K'$  denote  $Kk^s$ . There is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(G_k, A(K')) \longrightarrow H^1(K, A) \longrightarrow H^1(K', A).$$

It suffices to show that  $H^1(G_k, A(K'))$  is finite. By Lang-Néron Theorem, the quotient

$$A(K')/\text{Tr}_{K/k}(A)(k^s)$$



is a finitely generated abelian group. Thus,  $H^1(G_k, A(K')/\mathrm{Tr}_{K/k}(A)(k^s))$  is finite. By Lang's theorem,  $H^1(G_k, \mathrm{Tr}_{K/k}(A)(k^s)) = 0$ . Thus,  $H^1(G_k, A(K'))$  is finite. So

$$\mathbb{H}_y(A)(\ell) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}_{y_{k^s}}(A)^{G_k}(\ell)$$

is injective for  $\ell \gg 0$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{H}_y(A)(\ell) = 0$  for  $\ell \gg 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

*Proof.* Since  $k$  is perfect, so  $K/k$  is separably generated, i.e. there exist algebraic independent elements  $t_1, \dots, t_m$  in  $K$  such that  $K/k(t_1, \dots, t_m)$  is a finite separable extension. Let  $M$  denote  $k(t_1, \dots, t_m)$ . Let  $B$  be the Weil restriction of  $A$  to  $M$ . By Proposition 5.4, it suffices to prove the claim for  $B$ . By Lemma 5.6, the claim holds for  $B$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [ABBG] Auel, A., Bigazzi, A., Böhning, C., & Graf von Bothmer, H. C. . (2019) Universal Triviality of the Chow Group of 0-cycles and the Brauer Group, International Mathematics Research Notices, Vol. 00, No. 0, pp. 1–18
- [Čes] Česnavičius, K., 2019. Purity for the Brauer group. Duke Mathematical Journal, 168(8), pp.1461-1486.
- [Cha] F. Charles, The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces over finite fields, Invent. Math. 194, 119-145 (2013).
- [CHT] A. Cadoret, C.Y. Hui and A. Tamagawa,  $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$  versus  $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ -coefficients in the Grothendieck-Serre-Tate conjectures. Available at <https://webusers.imj-prg.fr/anna.cadoret/GST.pdf>
- [Con] Conrad, B. (2006). Chow's  $K/k$ -image and  $K/k$ -trace, and the Lang-Néron theorem. Enseignement Mathématique, 52(1/2), 37.
- [deJ] De Jong, A. J. (1996). Smoothness, semi-stability and alterations. Publications Mathématiques de l'Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 83(1), 51-93.
- [Del1] Deligne, P. (1968). Lefschetz's theorem and criteria of degeneration of spectral sequences. Mathematical Publications of the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 35 (1), 107-126.
- [Del2] Deligne, Pierre. "Weil's conjecture: II." IHÉS Mathematical Publications 52 (1980): 137-252.
- [Fu] Fu, Lei. Etale cohomology theory. Vol. 13. World Scientific, 2011.

- [Fuj] Fujiwara, K. (2002). A proof of the absolute purity conjecture (after Gabber). In Algebraic geometry 2000, Azumino (pp. 153-183). Mathematical Society of Japan.
- [Gab] GABBER, O. 1983. Sur la torsion dans la cohomologie  $l$ -adique d'une variété. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 297:179–182.
- [Gro1] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer. I. Algèbres d'Azumaya et interprétations diverses, Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 46–66.
- [Gro2] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer. II. Théorie cohomologique, Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 67–87.
- [Gro3] A. Grothendieck, Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments, Dix Exposés sur la Cohomologie des Schémas, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 88–188.
- [Kel1] Keller T. On the Tate–Shafarevich group of Abelian schemes over higher dimensional bases over finite fields. Manuscripta Mathematica. 2016 May 1;150(1-2):211-45.
- [Kel2] Keller T. On an analogue of the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer for Abelian schemes over higher dimensional bases over finite fields. Documenta Mathematica. 2019;24:915-93.
- [Lic] Lichtenbaum, S. (1983). Zeta-functions of varieties over finite fields at  $s = 1$ . In Arithmetic and geometry (pp. 173-194). Birkhäuser, Boston, MA.
- [Mil1] Milne, James S. Etale cohomology (PMS-33). Vol. 5657. Princeton university press, 1980.
- [Mil2] Milne, J. S. (1972). On the arithmetic of abelian varieties. Inventiones mathematicae, 17(3), 177-190.
- [MP] K. Madapusi Pera, The Tate conjecture for K3 surfaces in odd characteristic, Invent. Math. 201, 625-668 (2015).
- [Ser] Serre JP. Zeta and L functions. In Arithmetical Algebraic Geometry, Proc. of a Conference held at Purdue Univ., Dec. 5-7, 1963 1965. Harper and Row.
- [Qin1] Qin, Y. On the Brauer groups of fibrations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.01324.
- [Qin2] Qin, Y. Comparison of different Tate conjectures. arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.01337.
- [Sch] Schneider, P. (1982). On the conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer about global function fields. Mathematische Annalen , 260 (4), 495-510.
- [Tat1] Tate J. Algebraic cycles and poles of zeta functions. Arithmetical algebraic geometry. 1965:93-110.

- [Tat2] Tate, John. Conjectures on algebraic cycles in  $\ell$ -adic cohomology. *Motives* (Seattle, WA, 1991) 55 (1994): 71-83.
- [Tat3] Tate, J. (1965). On the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer and a geometric analog. *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 9(306), 415-440.
- [SZ1] Skorobogatov, A. N., & Zarkhin, Y. G. (2008). A finiteness theorem for the Brauer group of Abelian varieties and KS surfaces. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 17(3), 481-502.
- [SZ2] Skorobogatov, Alexei N., and Yuri G. Zarhin. A finiteness theorem for the Brauer group of K3 surfaces in odd characteristic. *International Mathematics Research Notices* 2015.21 (2015): 11404-11418.
- [Ulm] D.Ulmer, *Curves and Jacobians over function fields*, *Arithmetic geometry over global function fields*, 2014, pp.281-337.
- [Yua] Yuan, Xinyi. Comparison of arithmetic Brauer groups with geometric Brauer group. arXiv preprint arXiv:2011.12897v2.
- [Zar] Yu.G. Zarhin, Abelian varieties in characteristic  $p$ . *Mat. Zametki* 19 (1976), 393-400; *Math. Notes* 19 (1976), 240-244.