

ON CR SINGULAR CR IMAGES

JIŘÍ LEBL, ALAN NOELL, AND SIVAGURU RAVISANKAR

ABSTRACT. We say that a CR singular submanifold M has a removable CR singularity if the CR structure at the CR points of M extends through the singularity as an abstract CR structure on M . We study such real-analytic submanifolds, in which case removability is equivalent to M being the image of a generic real-analytic submanifold N under a holomorphic map that is a diffeomorphism of N onto M , what we call a CR image. We study the stability of the CR singularity under perturbation, the associated quadratic invariants, and conditions for removability of a CR singularity. A lemma is also proved about perturbing away the zeros of holomorphic functions on CR submanifolds, which could be of independent interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a real-analytic submanifold, and let $T_p^{0,1}M$ be the set of antiholomorphic tangent vectors at $p \in M$, that is, vectors in $\mathbb{C} \otimes T_p M$ that are in the span of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_m}$. If the dimension of $T_p^{0,1}M$ is constant as p varies over M (we assume M is connected), then M is said to be a *CR submanifold*, and the dimension of $T_p^{0,1}M$ is the *CR dimension* of M . The disjoint union $T^{0,1}M$ then becomes a real-analytic vector bundle. Any real hypersurface is a CR submanifold, but submanifolds of higher codimension need not be so. If $T_p^{0,1}M$ is not constant in any neighborhood of $q \in M$, we say q is a *CR singularity*. If $T_p^{0,1}M$ is constant near $q \in M$, then q is a *CR point*. We denote the set of CR points of M as M_{CR} . The purpose of this paper is to study certain CR singularities. Namely:

Definition 1.1. A point q of a real-analytic submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a *removable CR singularity* if there is, locally near q , a real-analytic vector bundle \mathcal{V} on M such that, for every CR point p , the fiber \mathcal{V}_p equals $T_p^{0,1}M$.

If M has only removable CR singularities, we say it is a *CR image*, and we call (M, \mathcal{V}) the *extended abstract CR structure*.

As $p \mapsto \dim T_p^{0,1}M$ is given by the rank of a certain matrix with real-analytic entries, the set of CR singularities is a real-analytic subvariety of M . Furthermore, if M is connected, then the CR dimension of M_{CR} is constant. The vector bundle \mathcal{V} from above defines an abstract CR structure as it satisfies the conditions $\mathcal{V} \cap \bar{\mathcal{V}} = \{0\}$ and $[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}] \subset \mathcal{V}$ on a dense open set, and therefore everywhere. In other words, a CR singularity q is removable if the manifold M is an abstract CR manifold near q whose CR structure equals the CR structure induced by the embedding at CR points. Note that our definition includes CR points as removable CR singularities.

The smallest complex subvariety containing a submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is called the *intrinsic complexification* of N . For CR submanifolds, the intrinsic complexification is a complex

Date: December 3, 2020.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32V05 (Primary), 32V30 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. CR singular, removable CR singularity, CR image.

submanifold. We say a real-analytic submanifold N of \mathbb{C}^n is *generic* if N is CR and the intrinsic complexification of N is (locally) equal to \mathbb{C}^n . In our context, this is equivalent to the standard definition of generic. If the CR dimension of N is d and the real codimension of N in \mathbb{C}^n is k , then N is generic if and only if $k = n - d$. More specifically, the intrinsic complexification is always of dimension $d + k$.

Let M be a CR singular submanifold with a removable CR singularity. The abstract CR manifold (M, \mathcal{V}) is integrable, so it can be (locally) realized as a generic submanifold N in \mathbb{C}^n (where $n = \dim M - \text{CRdim } M$) (see [1, Theorem 2.1.11]). This leads to the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2. *A point q of a real-analytic submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ is a removable CR singularity if and only if there exist a real-analytic generic submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, $n \leq m$, a neighborhood U of N in \mathbb{C}^n , a neighborhood W of q in \mathbb{C}^m , and a holomorphic mapping $F: U \rightarrow W$ such that*

- (i) *The (holomorphic) Jacobian DF is of rank n on a relatively open dense subset of N ,*
- (ii) *$M \cap W = F(N)$,*
- (iii) *$F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image.*

The forward implication is proved by constructing the generic N from (M, \mathcal{V}) , and then extending the natural map $f: N \rightarrow M$ into the intrinsic complexification of N to get a holomorphic map F . On $f^{-1}(M_{CR})$, F will locally be a biholomorphism onto the intrinsic complexification of M (it is a real-analytic CR diffeomorphism of CR submanifolds there). This means that F is of rank n on $f^{-1}(M_{CR})$, which is a relatively open dense subset of N .

Due to the proposition, we may say that M is a CR image of N . As our manifolds are real analytic, we can just think of the embedded N from the proposition as the extended abstract CR structure. CR images were first noticed in Ebenfelt–Rothschild [9] and were studied more generally in Lebl–Minor–Shroff–Son–Zhang [23]. The extended structure is the CR Nash blowup; see Garrity [11].

We consider three main questions regarding CR images. First we study whether, given a CR image, a CR singularity can be perturbed away by keeping the CR structure N fixed and perturbing the embedding F . In Section 4, we find a geometric condition for stability of the CR singularity when $n = m$. In the equidimensional setting, every CR singularity of M is the image under F of a point $p \in N$ where the Jacobian determinant of F vanishes (see Proposition 3.2). We require that the variety where the Jacobian determinant of F vanishes, $Z_{\det DF}$, be “transverse” to the tangent space of N in the following way:

$$H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_{\det DF}. \quad (1)$$

Here, H_p is the set of tangent vectors invariant under multiplication by i , which is a complex vector space, and C_p is the tangent cone. Under these conditions, a CR singularity at $F(p)$ cannot be eliminated by perturbing F , that is, for all G close enough to F , $G(N)$ is CR singular. See Theorem 4.1. So in general a CR singularity cannot be eliminated in this way.

This theorem follows from an interesting result (Lemma 2.1) about perturbing away zeros of holomorphic functions on CR submanifolds. In particular, the transversality condition above guarantees that the zero set cannot be perturbed away. This problem is surprisingly subtle, and a more general version of it leads to difficult questions involving homotopy groups of spheres (see [6]). What we prove is for N as above: We cannot perturb away the zero of

a holomorphic function φ as long as

$$H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_\varphi. \quad (2)$$

Not only can Z_φ be singular, but even if it is a manifold the derivatives of φ could vanish at p . Moreover, this result is not true if φ is only assumed to be real analytic, even if the zero set of φ is a submanifold and transverse to N in the standard way. For example, if φ is a real square, then adding $\epsilon > 0$ eliminates the zero completely. We remark that $H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_\varphi$ in particular implies that φ does not vanish identically on N .

Returning to CR images, in the non-equidimensional setting, we find an inequality in terms of n , m , and the codimension k of N that guarantees that a CR singularity can be perturbed away. In particular, if

$$4n - k < 2(m + 1), \quad (3)$$

then near every point of N there exists G arbitrarily close to F such that $G(N)$ is CR. See Theorem 5.4.

The inequality (3) is sharp: For any $2 \leq k \leq n \leq m$ such that $4n - k \geq 2(m + 1)$, there exist N and F such that $F(N)$ is CR singular and $G(N)$ is CR singular for all small enough perturbations G of F . See Proposition 5.5. In fact, for these dimensions, such an example exists near any CR image.

The second question we consider, in Section 6, concerns the biholomorphic invariants found in [25] for codimension-2 CR images. We show that they are independent of the extended abstract CR structure, that is, we can pair any quadratic invariant with any abstract CR structure. We also show by example that there exist higher-order invariants of the embedding that are independent of the extended abstract CR structure.

Our third question concerns codimension-2 CR singular submanifolds M of \mathbb{C}^3 for which the CR dimension of M_{CR} is 1. See Section 7. The CR structure is given by a single vector field outside of the CR singularities, and we consider the quotients of the two coefficients of this vector field. A necessary and sufficient condition for a CR singularity to be removable is that one of the quotients extend to be real analytic at the singularity. There exist examples of submanifolds with a CR vector field, nonvanishing at the CR singular points, that is C^k , but no better. If such a smooth vector field exists, then there exists a real-analytic one, and the CR singularity is removable. Furthermore, we prove that submanifolds with nonvanishing quadratic invariants (as in the preceding section) that do not correspond to a parabolic Bishop surface and have a small enough CR singular set are not CR images.

CR singular submanifolds were first studied by Bishop [3] in \mathbb{C}^2 . His work was extended in \mathbb{C}^2 by Moser–Webster [28], Moser [27], Kenig–Webster [22], Gong [12], Huang–Krantz [17], Huang–Yin [18], and many others. In dimension higher than 2, the work is more recent. See Dolbeault–Tomassini–Zaitsev [7, 8], Coffman [5], Huang [16], Huang–Yin [19–21], Fang–Huang [10], Burcea [4], Gong–Lebl [13], Gong–Stolovich [14, 15], and the references within.

2. PERTURBING AWAY ZEROS OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON CR SUBMANIFOLDS

We first take the promised detour on perturbing away zeros of holomorphic functions on CR submanifolds. More precisely, we give conditions when this perturbation is not possible.

As we mentioned before, any abstract real-analytic CR structure on an abstract real-analytic manifold is (locally) integrable (see [1, Theorem 2.1.11]). Thus, as far as local results are concerned, we may always just study generic submanifolds in \mathbb{C}^n if we are studying real-analytic CR manifolds.

Suppose that $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a real-analytic generic submanifold and φ is a holomorphic function that is zero somewhere on N . The question we wish to study in this section is: Can φ be perturbed to move the zero off of N ? If we think of the zero set of φ , the set Z_φ , then the idea that comes to mind is transversality. If N and Z_φ meet transversally, that is, if their tangent spaces at the intersection span the tangent space of \mathbb{C}^n , then perturbing Z_φ as a complex submanifold cannot eliminate the intersection. However, we are interested in perturbing not Z_φ but the function φ .

If N and Z_φ were both complex analytic, then a result like this would simply boil down to Rouché's theorem, where the "transversality" condition would simply be that Z_φ does not contain N .

Interestingly, as long as the tangent cone of Z_φ and the complex tangent space of N are "transverse" in the right sense, then again zeros cannot be perturbed away. The examples after the proof of Lemma 2.1 show why some transversality condition is necessary. Recall that the *tangent cone* $C_p X$ of a complex subvariety $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ at $p \in X$ is defined as the set of vectors v such that there are a sequence $\{p_j\}$ in X converging to p and a sequence of complex numbers $\{a_j\}$ such that

$$v = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} a_j(p_j - p). \quad (4)$$

We regard $C_p X$ as a subspace of the tangent space of \mathbb{C}^n at p . If φ is holomorphic near p , it is not hard to see (e.g., [30, Chapter 7, Theorem 4A]) that the tangent cone of Z_φ at p is given by the vanishing of the lowest-order terms of the expansion of φ at p . If X is a complex submanifold near p , the tangent cone is simply the tangent space at p . The *complex tangent space* $H_p N$ of a CR submanifold is precisely the set of tangent vectors of N invariant under multiplication by i . As $H_p N$ has a natural complex structure, we regard $H_p N$ as a complex vector space. If N is a complex submanifold, then $T_p N = H_p N$, and we regard $T_p N$ as a complex vector space. Transversality using the complex tangent space $H_p N$ is sometimes called CR transversality, although we require a somewhat different notion.

The transversality condition we will consider is the following:

$$H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_\varphi. \quad (5)$$

To justify this condition note that if $d\varphi \neq 0$ and Z_φ is a submanifold, then $C_p Z_\varphi$ is the tangent space and is $(n-1)$ -dimensional. Suppose for a moment that N is a complex submanifold. Then $H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_\varphi$ simply means standard transversality: $\text{span}\{T_p N, T_p Z_\varphi\} = T_p \mathbb{C}^n$, as at least one (complex) direction of $T_p N$ is not in $T_p Z_\varphi$, and we need only one more direction.

Note that if $H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_\varphi$, then necessarily $H_p N$ must be of positive dimension, that is, N must be of CR dimension at least 1.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a connected real-analytic generic submanifold, $p \in N$, φ is a holomorphic function defined in a neighborhood of p , and $\varphi(p) = 0$. If*

$$H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_\varphi, \quad (6)$$

then for any neighborhood W of p there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that whenever $\psi: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic and $\|\varphi - \psi\|_W < \epsilon$, then ψ vanishes somewhere on $N \cap W$.

To prove this lemma, we find a continuous family of analytic discs attached to N shrinking to p such that one of them intersects Z_φ . Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. By a $C^{1,\alpha}$ *analytic disc* $\Delta: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ attached to N we mean that Δ is $C^{1,\alpha}$, is holomorphic in \mathbb{D} , and satisfies $\Delta(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset N$.

By a *continuous family* Δ_t for $t \in [0, 1]$ we mean that $\Delta_t(\xi)$ is continuous as a function of $(\xi, t) \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \times [0, 1]$. Below $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary but fixed.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a connected real-analytic generic submanifold, $p \in N$, φ is a holomorphic function defined in a neighborhood of p , and $\varphi(p) = 0$. Suppose*

$$H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_\varphi. \quad (7)$$

Then there exists a continuous family of $C^{1,\alpha}$ analytic discs $\Delta_t: \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ attached to N , for $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $\Delta_0 \equiv p$, Δ_t is nonconstant for $t > 0$, and $\varphi \circ \Delta_t$ has an isolated zero in \mathbb{D} for all sufficiently small positive t . Thus, $\Delta_t(\mathbb{D})$ intersects Z_φ for such t .

Proof. We will use the fact that the set of $C^{1,\alpha}$ analytic discs attached to N is a smooth Banach submanifold; see the work of Tumanov [29] and Baouendi-Rothschild-Trépreau [2]. For reference we use the results as given in Baouendi-Ebenfelt-Rothschild [1].

Assume $p = 0$, and suppose N is given in coordinates $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^k$ by $\text{Im } w = r(z, \bar{z}, \text{Re } w)$ where $dr(0) = 0$, so $H_0 N$ can be identified with $\{w = 0\}$. After a linear change of coordinates that preserves $\{w = 0\}$, we can assume that the z_1 -axis, that is, the line given by $w = 0$ and $z_2 = \dots = z_d = 0$, does not lie in $C_0 Z_\varphi$. By restricting to the (z_1, w_1, \dots, w_k) -space, we can just assume that the CR dimension of N is exactly 1, that is, $d = 1$ above.

By Theorem 6.5.4 in [1] (see also Theorem 6.2.12), we can find a continuous family Δ_t of $C^{1,\alpha}$ analytic discs attached to N of the form $\Delta_t(\xi) = (t\xi, g_t(\xi)) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^k$ such that $\text{Re } g_t(1) = 0$ for all t and $g_0 \equiv 0$ (the limiting disc is constant). In fact, Δ_t and hence $g_t(\xi)$ can be chosen to be smooth as a function of (t, ξ) . As $g_0 \equiv 0$, $g_t(\xi) = th_t(\xi)$ for some smooth h . As Δ_t is attached to N , for $u \in \partial\mathbb{D}$

$$\text{Im } g_t(u) = r(tu, t\bar{u}, \text{Re } g_t(u)) = r(tu, t\bar{u}, t \text{Re } h_t(u)) = t^2 \gamma_t(\xi) \quad (8)$$

for some smooth γ . So $\text{Im } h_0 \equiv 0$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}$, and consequently by the maximum principle $\text{Im } h_0 \equiv 0$ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Hence, $\text{Re } h_0$ must be constant. Given that $\text{Re } g_t(1) = 0$ for all t , we have that $\text{Re } h_0(1) = 0$, and so $\text{Re } h_0 \equiv 0$. In other words, $g_t(\xi) = t^2 q_t(\xi)$ for some smooth q holomorphic in ξ . That is, the w in the analytic disc vanishes to second order. (Another, perhaps more straightforward but less elementary, way to see this fact is to consider the tangent space at the constant disc of the Banach submanifold of analytic discs attached to N .)

Write φ as

$$\varphi(z, w) = P(z) + R(z, w) + E(z, w), \quad (9)$$

where $P + R$ is the initial homogeneous polynomial (consisting of the lowest-order terms of φ at 0) and $R(z, 0) \equiv 0$ (every monomial in R depends on w). The zero set of $P + R$ is the tangent cone of Z_φ at 0. As $C_0 Z_\varphi$ does not include $\{w = 0\}$, we must have that P is not identically zero; without loss of generality, $P(z) = z^\ell$. Plug Δ_t into φ :

$$\varphi \circ \Delta_t(\xi) = t^\ell \xi^\ell + R(t\xi, t^2 q_t(\xi)) + E(t\xi, t^2 q_t(\xi)) = t^\ell \xi^\ell + t^{\ell+1} H(t, \xi), \quad (10)$$

for some smooth H holomorphic in ξ . We divide by t^ℓ and apply Rouché's theorem to find that $\varphi \circ \Delta_t(\xi)$ must have a zero in \mathbb{D} for all small enough positive t . In other words, the analytic disc Δ_t intersects Z_φ for all small enough positive t . \square

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Apply Lemma 2.2 to find the family of discs. Then for some $t_0 > 0$ the function $\varphi \circ \Delta_t$ has an isolated zero in \mathbb{D} when $0 < t \leq t_0$. Because the discs shrink down to p , by making t_0 smaller we may assume in addition that $\Delta_t(\overline{\mathbb{D}}) \subset W$ for all $t \leq t_0$.

As Δ_{t_0} is nonconstant, by Rouché's theorem there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for every ψ holomorphic in W with $\|\varphi - \psi\|_W < \epsilon$, $\psi \circ \Delta_{t_0}$ has an isolated zero in \mathbb{D} .

Then either $\psi(p) = 0$, in which case we are done, or for some $0 < t < t_0$ the function $\psi \circ \Delta_t$ has a zero on $\partial\mathbb{D}$ (again by Rouché). As $\Delta_t(\partial\mathbb{D}) \subset N$, it follows that ψ vanishes on $N \cap W$. \square

Example 2.3. First, let us show that φ being holomorphic is necessary. Let (z, w) be the coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 , and consider $N \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ given by $\text{Im } w = 0$. The CR dimension of N is 1, and H_0N can be identified with the complex line $w = 0$. Take φ to be the (non-holomorphic) function defined by $\varphi(z, w) = |z|^2$. Let $X = Z_\varphi$ be the zero set of φ . The manifold X meets N transversally, so perturbing X as a submanifold would not get rid of the intersection with N . However, perturbing φ using $\psi(z, w) = |z|^2 + \epsilon$ for $\epsilon > 0$ gets rid of all of the zeros of φ (whether they are on N or not). That is, $Z_\psi = \emptyset$.

Example 2.4. Another instructive example is to consider the case when the CR dimension of N is 0. Then Z_φ clearly cannot be “transverse” in our sense as $H_0N = \{0\}$. It may seem possible to replace the transversality requirement by having T_0N and C_0Z_φ span $T_0\mathbb{C}^n$ (taking either the real span or the complex span). But consider $N = \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and the holomorphic function $\varphi(z, w) = z^2 + w^2$. The vectors in T_0N and C_0Z_φ span $T_0\mathbb{C}^n$ as a real vector space. However, $\psi = \varphi + \epsilon$ has no zeros on N for $\epsilon > 0$.

More generally, we can consider the zero set of a \mathbb{C}^ν -valued mapping φ . First, if the derivative is of full rank, then the desired result is simply the standard result on transversality. The simple version of Lemma 2.1 is the obvious one.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is open, $N \subset U$ is a connected real-analytic submanifold, $p \in N$, $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\nu$ is a holomorphic mapping such that $D\varphi$ is of rank ν in U , $\varphi(p) = 0$, and as real submanifolds Z_φ and N are transverse at p , that is,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{T_p Z_\varphi, T_p N\} = 2n, \quad \text{or equivalently,} \quad \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{T_p Z_\varphi, T_p N\} = T_p \mathbb{C}^n. \quad (11)$$

Then for any neighborhood W of p there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, whenever $\psi: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\nu$ is holomorphic and $\|\varphi - \psi\|_W < \epsilon$, then ψ vanishes somewhere on $N \cap W$.

Proof. We can apply the standard result on real transversality: Note that, as $D\varphi$ is of rank ν , for a small enough perturbation ψ of φ , by Cauchy's estimates the derivative $D\psi$ is still of rank ν on some slightly smaller neighborhood, and Z_ψ is a submanifold. \square

We can also ask when we can generically perturb away the zero. We will not use the following proposition, although in Section 5 we will prove an analogous result for removable CR singularities.

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is open, $N \subset U$ is a connected real-analytic submanifold of positive codimension, $p \in N$, $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\nu$ is a holomorphic mapping, $\varphi(p) = 0$, the rank of $D\varphi$ is ν on a dense open subset of U , and*

$$\nu \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} N. \quad (12)$$

Let $W \subset\subset U$ be a relatively compact neighborhood of p and $\delta > 0$. Then there exists a holomorphic map $\psi: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\nu$ such that $\|\varphi - \psi\|_W < \delta$, $\psi(p) = 0$, and the zero set of ψ cannot be perturbed away near p . That is, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every neighborhood $W' \subset W$ of p , if $h: W' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\nu$ is holomorphic and $\|h - \psi\|_{W'} < \epsilon$, then h vanishes somewhere on $N \cap W'$.

Proof. At points where the rank of $D\varphi$ is ν , the level sets of φ are complex submanifolds of complex dimension $n - \nu$. As ν is at most $\frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} N$ by hypothesis, at such points the real codimension of a level set of φ is at most $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} N$, and the real codimension of N is of course $2n - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} N$. Thus, if we consider the function

$$\psi(z) = \varphi(Az + z_0) + c \quad (13)$$

for a unitary A near the identity I , small $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and small $c \in \mathbb{C}^\nu$, we can ensure that Z_ψ is a complex submanifold of complex dimension $n - \nu$ through p such that the manifolds Z_ψ and N are transverse as real submanifolds. We can do this with arbitrarily small $\|A - I\|$, z_0 , and c , and hence we can do so on any relatively compact W . We finish by applying Proposition 2.5. \square

3. CR IMAGES

In the following, we consider a holomorphic map $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$, where $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is open, and we assume that $N \subset U$ is a real-analytic generic submanifold. We suppose that $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image (as a real-analytic mapping), and we write $M = F(N)$ for this image. As noted in the introduction, any CR image M can be written (locally) in this way. We suppose that the holomorphic derivative DF is generically of rank n . By this we mean that it is of rank n on an open dense subset of U , or equivalently (as N is generic) on a relatively open dense subset of N . As we will see, this requirement ensures that the CR dimension of M_{CR} is the same as the CR dimension of N .

Example 3.1. Let us see what happens if DF is not of rank n generically. Let (z, w) be coordinates on \mathbb{C}^2 . Consider $N = \{z = \bar{w}\}$ and $F(z, w) = (z, 0)$. The CR dimension of N is 0, and the CR dimension of $F(N)$ is 1.

The condition that DF is generically of rank n means that N is the realization of M with the CR structure extended across the CR singularities.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a connected real-analytic generic submanifold, $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a neighborhood of N , $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ is a holomorphic map such that $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image $M = F(N)$, and DF is generically of rank n . Then:*

- (i) *The CR dimension of M_{CR} is the same as the CR dimension of N .*
- (ii) *Let $p \in N$. The submanifold M is CR singular at $F(p)$ if and only if DF is not of rank n at p .*

In particular, note that if N is a hypersurface, then DF is of rank n on N if $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism. For any $p \in N$, the space $T_p^{0,1}N$ has dimension $n - 1$, so $T_{F(p)}^{0,1}M$ has dimension at least $n - 1$ as well (e.g., [24, Proposition 2.6]). There is only one more real dimension left in $T_{F(p)}M$, so the dimension of $T_{F(p)}^{0,1}M$ must be $n - 1$. In other words, the inverse of $F|_N$ on M is a CR diffeomorphism, and F is actually biholomorphic onto the intrinsic complexification of M . To get interesting CR images, then, one must look at submanifolds of higher codimension.

Proof. Suppose that DF is of rank n at $p \in N$. Then locally F takes a neighborhood W of p in \mathbb{C}^n biholomorphically onto an n -dimensional submanifold of \mathbb{C}^m . Thus $M = F(N)$ near $F(p)$ is a CR submanifold of the same CR dimension as N . Hence, the image $F(W)$ is the intrinsic complexification of M near p .

As DF is generically of rank n in U , it is of rank n on an open dense subset of N because N is generic. The set M_{CR} is open and dense in M , so the CR dimension of M_{CR} is equal to the CR dimension of N .

Conversely, suppose that M is CR at $F(p) = q$. The inverse of $F|_N$ near q is a CR diffeomorphism and has a unique extension as a biholomorphism to a neighborhood of q in the intrinsic complexification of M near q . But the inverse of this biholomorphism must agree with F near p , and hence F near p has rank n . \square

In the equidimensional case $m = n$, we can relate the CR dimensions using the transversality condition we introduced.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a connected real-analytic generic submanifold, $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a neighborhood of N , $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic map such that $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image $M = F(N)$, $\det DF$ vanishes at some $p \in N$, and*

$$H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_{\det DF}. \quad (14)$$

Then:

- (i) *The CR dimension of M_{CR} is the same as the CR dimension of N .*
- (ii) *Let $p' \in N$. The submanifold M is CR singular at $F(p')$ if and only if $\det DF$ vanishes at p' .*

Proof. As $H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_{\det DF}$ implies that $\det DF$ does not vanish identically on N , DF must be of rank n generically on N . Therefore, the conclusions follow from Proposition 3.2. \square

4. PERTURBING AWAY SINGULARITIES IN EQUIDIMENSIONAL CASE

The first question we ask is whether we can perturb F to eliminate a CR singularity. We first prove that generically we cannot when $m = n$ and when the CR dimension of N is positive. We do so by finding a geometric obstruction to this perturbation.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a connected real-analytic generic submanifold, $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a neighborhood of N , $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a holomorphic map such that $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image $M = F(N)$, and $\det DF$ vanishes at some $p \in N$. Suppose*

$$H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_{\det DF}. \quad (15)$$

Then for every neighborhood W of p there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and a neighborhood $\widetilde{W} \subset W$ of p such that, whenever $G: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is holomorphic and $\|F - G\|_W < \epsilon$, then $G|_{N \cap \widetilde{W}}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image, and $\det DG$ vanishes somewhere on $N \cap \widetilde{W}$, but not identically on $N \cap \widetilde{W}$. In particular, $G(N \cap \widetilde{W})$ is a CR singular submanifold, and the CR dimensions of N and $\left(G(N \cap \widetilde{W})\right)_{CR}$ (and M_{CR}) are equal.

That the CR dimension of N and M_{CR} are equal follows from Proposition 3.3.

Proof. The proof is simply an application of Lemma 2.1, using Cauchy's estimates to get a bound for $\|\det F - \det G\|_{\widetilde{W}}$. The neighborhood \widetilde{W} may be chosen small enough so that any such $G|_{N \cap \widetilde{W}}$ is a diffeomorphism. Note that $H_p N \not\subset C_p Z_{\det DF}$ implies that $\det DF$ does not vanish identically on N , so neither will $\det DG$ for small enough ϵ by Cauchy's estimates. Then the conclusion on CR dimensions follows as in the proof of Proposition 3.3. \square

Example 4.2. The transversality condition in the theorem is not a necessary condition, only sufficient: Let $N = \mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, and let $F(x, y) = (x + iy, x^2 + y^2)$. The image $F(N)$ is the elliptic Bishop surface $w = |z|^2$. It is well known that an elliptic CR singularity is stable under perturbation, so F may not be perturbed to eliminate the singularity. However, in this case $H_0N = \{0\}$, so the transversality condition is not satisfied.

Example 4.3. Let us consider an example where the CR singularity can be perturbed away and the transversality condition is not satisfied. Start again with $N = \mathbb{R}^2$, and let $F(x, y) = (x + iy, x^2)$. The image $F(N)$ is $w = (\operatorname{Re} z)^2$, which is CR singular (a parabolic Bishop surface). Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and let $G(x, y) = (x + iy, x^2 + i\epsilon x)$. Clearly G is arbitrarily close to F , yet the Jacobian determinant of G is $-i(2x + i\epsilon)$, which is never zero on $N = \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence, $G(N)$ is a CR submanifold at all points.

By letting $N = \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$, we create an example of positive CR dimension. In this case we let $F(x, y, \xi) = (x + iy, \xi, x^2)$ and (for $\epsilon > 0$) $G(x, y, \xi) = (x + iy, \xi, x^2 + i\epsilon x)$. In this case H_0N is the ξ -direction, that is, it can be identified with the complex line $\{x = 0, y = 0\}$. The tangent cone $C_0Z_{\det DF}$ is given (as a subset of \mathbb{C}^3) by $\{-i2x = 0\} = \{x = 0\}$, so $H_0N \subset C_0Z_{\det DF}$. Again, $G(N)$ is a CR submanifold at all points.

5. PERTURBING AWAY SINGULARITIES IN GENERAL

We start the discussion of the non-equidimensional case with several instructive examples.

Example 5.1. Let $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{C}^3$ be our coordinates. Let M be given by

$$w_1 = |z|^6, \quad w_2 = |z|^4. \quad (16)$$

The submanifold M is CR singular, with an isolated CR singularity at the origin (we will see why in a moment). The submanifold M at CR points is not generic. The intrinsic complexification of M is the variety given by $w_1^2 = w_2^3$. In particular, the intrinsic complexification is singular, so there is no biholomorphic change of coordinates on \mathbb{C}^3 that realizes M as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^3$.

Let $F: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ be given by

$$F(x, y) = (x + iy, (x^2 + y^2)^3, (x^2 + y^2)^2). \quad (17)$$

Let $N = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then $F(N) = M$, and $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism onto M . The derivative matrix is

$$DF = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 6x(x^2 + y^2)^2 & 6y(x^2 + y^2)^2 \\ 4x(x^2 + y^2) & 4y(x^2 + y^2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

The three subdeterminants are $6(x^2 + y^2)^2(y - ix)$, $4(x^2 + y^2)(y - ix)$, and 0. Restricted to $N = \mathbb{R}^2$, these have a common zero exactly at 0, so DF (as a holomorphic map on \mathbb{C}^2) is of rank 2 on N except at 0. By Proposition 3.2, the origin in \mathbb{C}^3 is the only CR singular point of M .

For a small $\epsilon > 0$, consider the perturbation of F given by

$$G(x, y) = (x + iy, (x^2 + y^2)^3 + \epsilon x, (x^2 + y^2)^2). \quad (19)$$

Then

$$DG = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & i \\ 6x(x^2 + y^2)^2 + \epsilon & 6y(x^2 + y^2)^2 \\ 4x(x^2 + y^2) & 4y(x^2 + y^2) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

The three subdeterminants are $6(x^2 + y^2)^2(y - ix) - i\epsilon$, $4(x^2 + y^2)(y - ix)$, and $4y\epsilon(x^2 + y^2)$. Restricted to $N = \mathbb{R}^2$, these have no common zeros, so $G(N)$ is a CR submanifold, and it is an arbitrarily close perturbation of M in our sense.

Example 5.2. We can expand on the previous example by defining M in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^\nu$ by

$$w_1 = |z|^{p_1}, \quad \dots, \quad w_\nu = |z|^{p_\nu}, \quad (21)$$

for some numbers p_1, \dots, p_ν . The intrinsic complexification of this submanifold is defined by $w_j^{p_k} = w_k^{p_j}$. For the proper choice of p_1, \dots, p_ν , this subvariety is not contained in any proper nonsingular hypersurface. This means that we can't biholomorphically "reduce the dimension" and study M in a smaller dimension.

The example also shows that the biholomorphic equivalence class of M is not an intrinsic property of M if our information consists of the spaces $T_p^{0,1}M$. For this submanifold, $T_p^{0,1}M$ is $\{0\}$ except at the origin, where its complex dimension is 1. This is in fact true for every CR singular 2-dimensional submanifold with an isolated CR singularity.

Example 5.3. Define $N \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ by $z_1 = \bar{z}_3$. This is a generic real-analytic submanifold of codimension 2. Let $F: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ be defined by

$$F(z) = (z_1, z_2, z_3^2, z_2 z_3). \quad (22)$$

Then $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism. Also, DF is generically of rank 3, and $F(N)$ has a CR singularity at the origin (and only at the origin), as we will see shortly. We claim that, for any neighborhood U of the origin in \mathbb{C}^3 , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $G: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ is a holomorphic map and $\|F - G\|_U < \epsilon$, then DG is not of rank 3 at some point of $N \cap U$. Thus, the CR singularity cannot be perturbed away.

Compute

$$DF = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2z_3 \\ 0 & z_3 & z_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

Let $z = x + iy$. The tangent space of N at 0 is spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}|_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}|_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}|_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}|_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}|_0 - \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}|_0$. There are four 3×3 subdeterminants of DF : $2z_3, z_2, -2z_3^2$, and 0. Thus, the submanifold S where DF is of rank less than 3 is of codimension 2, and S (as a variety) has two of the subdeterminants, $2z_3$ and z_2 , as generators at 0. We will apply Proposition 2.5. Define $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ by $\varphi(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (2z_3, z_2)$, that is, using the first two subdeterminants of DF . Then $Z_\varphi = S$, $D\varphi$ is of rank 2, and the (real) tangent space of Z_φ at 0 is spanned by $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}|_0, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}|_0$. Thus, as real submanifolds, Z_φ and N are transverse at 0. Now let G be a holomorphic map from a neighborhood of 0 to \mathbb{C}^4 . The subvariety of points where DG is of rank less than 3 has codimension at most 2, as it is the pullback via the components of DG of the subvariety of 4×3 matrices not of full rank, and the codimension of that subvariety is 2. Let ψ be the map into \mathbb{C}^2 given by the first two subdeterminants of DG (corresponding to the definition of φ). For some ball U centered at the origin, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, if G is ϵ -close to F , then via Cauchy's estimates Z_ψ is still a connected submanifold of a slightly smaller ball \tilde{U} . But Z_ψ is generated at 0 by perturbations of $2z_3$ and z_2 , so Z_ψ is a submanifold of codimension 2. As the subvariety of points where DG is of rank less than 3 has codimension at most 2, it must agree with Z_ψ on \tilde{U} . We can apply Proposition 2.5 to get that ψ has a zero on $N \cap \tilde{U}$, and therefore DG is not of rank 3 at some point of $N \cap \tilde{U}$.

If the codimension $m - n$ of the map is large enough, the CR singularity can be perturbed away. In fact, we find the sharp bound on the codimension.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a connected real-analytic generic submanifold of codimension k , let U be a neighborhood of N , and let $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ be a holomorphic map such that $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism and DF is generically of rank n . Suppose that*

$$4n - k < 2(m + 1). \quad (24)$$

Then for every $p \in N$ there exists a neighborhood W of p such that, for every $\epsilon > 0$, the following holds: There is a holomorphic map $G: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\|F - G\|_W < \epsilon$, $G|_{N \cap W}$ is a diffeomorphism, and DG is of rank n on $N \cap W$. In other words, $G(N \cap W)$ is a CR submanifold.

Proof. The proof follows the general logic of the proof of Theorem 10.8 in the first edition of Lee [26]. Define $G(z) = F(z) + Az$ for a small $m \times n$ matrix A . Then $DG(z) = DF(z) + A$. We want to pick A such that $DG(z)$ is of rank n for all $z \in N$. For $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, consider the mapping $\varphi_j: N \times M_{j, m \times n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $\varphi_j(z, B) = B - DF(z)$, where $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is the complex manifold of $m \times n$ matrices and $M_{j, m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is the complex submanifold of the matrices of rank j . If there exists A in the complement of $\varphi_j(N \times M_{j, m \times n}(\mathbb{C}))$ in $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, then A does the job.

The real dimension of $\varphi_j(N \times M_{j, m \times n}(\mathbb{C}))$ is at most the dimension of N plus the real dimension of $M_{j, m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ (which is of complex codimension $(n - j)(m - j)$). That is,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_j(N \times M_{j, m \times n}(\mathbb{C})) \leq (2n - k) + 2(nm - (n - j)(m - j)). \quad (25)$$

If that dimension is less than the real dimension of $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, which is $2nm$, then A as above exists. That is, A exists when for all $j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$(2n - k) + 2nm - 2(n - j)(m - j) < 2nm. \quad (26)$$

If the inequality holds for $j = n - 1$, then it holds for the smaller j . So plug $j = n - 1$ into the inequality to obtain $4n - k < 2(m + 1)$. As the images $\varphi_j(N \times M_{j, m \times n}(\mathbb{C}))$ are all thin sets in $M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{C})$, we can pick A outside of these sets, arbitrarily close to the zero matrix. \square

The inequality in Theorem 5.4 is sharp. That is, if it is not satisfied we can construct a counterexample. Example 5.3 can be generalized to show that, if $2 \leq k \leq n \leq m$ are integers such that

$$4n - k \geq 2(m + 1), \quad (27)$$

then there exist a connected generic real-analytic submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of codimension k with $0 \in N$, a neighborhood U of N , and a holomorphic map $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ with $F|_N$ a diffeomorphism and DF generically of rank n , having the following property: For every neighborhood W of 0 , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for every holomorphic $G: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ with $\|F - G\|_W < \epsilon$, the derivative DG is not of rank n somewhere on $N \cap W$. In other words, $G(N \cap W)$ is not a CR submanifold.

In fact, analogously to Proposition 2.6, we can prove more. The behavior described above is the generic behavior for $k \geq 2$: Starting with any N and F , if $4n - k \geq 2(m + 1)$, we can perturb F slightly to \tilde{F} in such a way that N and \tilde{F} give a CR singular CR image where the CR singularity cannot be perturbed away by moving \tilde{F} . First, let us show that such an example exists to begin with.

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose $2 \leq k \leq n \leq m$ are integers such that*

$$4n - k \geq 2(m + 1). \quad (28)$$

Then there exist a connected real-analytic generic submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of codimension k with $0 \in N$, a neighborhood U of N , and a holomorphic map $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism and DF is generically of rank n , having the following property: For every neighborhood W of 0 , there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for every holomorphic $G: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ with $\|F - G\|_W < \epsilon$, the derivative DG is not of rank n somewhere on $N \cap W$. In other words, $G(N \cap W)$ is not a CR submanifold.

Proof. The idea behind the construction is purely of counting dimensions. The determinantal variety consisting of all $m \times n$ matrices not of full rank has codimension $m - n + 1$ in the space of $m \times n$ matrices. That means if we have only $m - n + 1$ complex variables that parametrize N , then the set of points of N where a function G is not of rank n should in general be of dimension $2(n - (m - n + 1)) - k$; so, as long as this quantity is nonnegative we should be able to construct an example.

The construction follows the same outline as Example 5.3. Let us first suppose that k is even. We also assume without loss of generality that

$$m = 2n - \frac{k}{2} - 1, \quad (29)$$

as that is the maximal target dimension allowed by the inequality: The whole idea is for the matrix DF to be of rank less than n somewhere on N , and by removing rows we cannot possibly make the rank grow.

Let $\ell = n - \frac{k}{2}$, and let F be given by

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n^2, z_n z_{n-1}, \dots, z_n z_{n-\ell+1}). \quad (30)$$

The derivative is

$$DF = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 2z_n \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_n & z_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & z_n & 0 & z_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

The set of $m \times n$ matrices of rank less than n is of codimension $m - n + 1 = \ell$. We indeed have ℓ subdeterminants, namely $2z_n, z_n z_{n-1}, \dots, z_n z_{n-\ell+1}$, that generate at 0 the submanifold of points where the rank of DF is less than n . Let N be the submanifold defined by

$$z_1 = \bar{z}_n, \quad z_2 = \bar{z}_{n-1}, \quad \dots, \quad z_{k/2} = \bar{z}_{n-k/2+1}. \quad (32)$$

Now $\frac{k}{2} = 2n - m - 1$ and $n - \ell + 1 = 2n - m$, and it is again clear that the two submanifolds are transverse at 0 . The conclusion follows as in Example 5.3 by appealing to Proposition 2.5.

If k is odd, then $\tilde{k} = k + 1$ satisfies the inequality (28). We use \tilde{k} instead of k to construct F and \tilde{N} . By dropping the real (or imaginary) part of the last equation in (32), we obtain

an N of codimension k . The F constructed above is still a diffeomorphism when restricted to N . We conclude as earlier. \square

Now let us show that such an example exists near any starting point.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a connected real-analytic generic submanifold of codimension $k \geq 2$, U a neighborhood of N , and $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ a holomorphic map such that $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism and DF is generically of rank n . Suppose that*

$$4n - k \geq 2(m + 1). \quad (33)$$

Then for every $p \in N$ and every $\delta > 0$, there exist a neighborhood \tilde{U} of p and a holomorphic map $\tilde{F}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\|F - \tilde{F}\| < \delta$, $\tilde{F}|_N$ is a diffeomorphism, $D\tilde{F}$ is generically of rank n , $\tilde{F}(N \cap \tilde{U})$ is CR singular at $\tilde{F}(p)$, and \tilde{F} has the following property: For every neighborhood W of p , with $W \subset \tilde{U}$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for every $G: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$ with $\|\tilde{F} - G\|_W < \epsilon$, the derivative DG is not of rank n somewhere on $N \cap W$. In other words, $G(N \cap W)$ is not a CR submanifold.

Proof. The key idea is this: Whether the singularity can be eliminated by perturbing a map depends only on the quadratic terms of the map. In fact, we want to ensure that the $n \times n$ subdeterminants of $D\tilde{F}$ generate the ideal of the complex submanifold defined by $\text{rank } D\tilde{F} < n$ and that this submanifold is of the maximum possible codimension, that is, the codimension of the set of $m \times n$ matrices of rank less than n . But the $n \times n$ subdeterminants of $D\tilde{F}$ depend only on terms of order 2 or less. With regard to N , to make the construction in Proposition 5.5 work we only care about transversality at p , and we can make $T_p N$ the same as in the proposition. Let X be the set of coefficients of order 2 or less (the 2-jet space) for \tilde{F} such that the $n \times n$ subdeterminants of $D\tilde{F}$ do not generate a submanifold of the same codimension as the space of $m \times n$ matrices, or generate submanifolds that are not transverse to N at p . This set is a (real) subvariety of the 2-jet space. Also, X is not the entire 2-jet space: In Proposition 5.5 we have demonstrated one point of the 2-jet space that is not in X . So the complement of X is open and dense, and we are finished. \square

For $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ of codimension 2, we can eliminate the singularity in $n + 1$ dimensions as long as we start with an equidimensional map and compose it with the natural embedding $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, that is, if the last component of the resulting map is zero. In fact, we have the following general statement.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $N \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a connected real-analytic generic submanifold of codimension 2ℓ or $2\ell + 1$, U a neighborhood of N , and $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ a holomorphic map such that $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism and DF is generically of rank n . Consider $F \oplus 0: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$. Then for every $p \in N$ there exists a neighborhood W of p such that, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is a holomorphic map $G: W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$ such that $\|G - F \oplus 0\|_W < \epsilon$, $G|_{N \cap W}$ is a diffeomorphism, and DG is of rank n on $N \cap W$. In other words, $G(N \cap W)$ is a CR submanifold.*

Note that if $\ell = 0$ (e.g., N is a hypersurface), then F is actually a local biholomorphism already. In the proof we assume that $1 \leq \ell < n$.

Proof. Suppose that $DF|_p$ is of rank r . After a local holomorphic change of coordinates, write $DF|_p$ as

$$DF|_p = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

As $F|_N$ is a diffeomorphism and N is of real dimension $2n - 2\ell$ or $2n - 2\ell - 1$, we have that $r \geq n - \ell$. By the implicit function theorem, there exists a holomorphic change of coordinates in some small neighborhood W of p such that $p = 0$ and

$$F(z) = (z_1, \dots, z_r, *, \dots, *). \quad (35)$$

Thus,

$$DF = \begin{bmatrix} I_{n-\ell} & 0 \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \quad (36)$$

in all of W . For a small $\epsilon \neq 0$, the map defined on W in the new coordinates by $G = F \oplus (\epsilon z_{n-\ell+1}, \dots, \epsilon z_n)$ is close to $F \oplus 0$ in W , and $G|_{N \cap W}$ is a diffeomorphism. Also, one of the $n \times n$ subdeterminants of DG is ϵ^ℓ , which is nonzero. Thus, DG is of rank n on $N \cap W$, and $G(N \cap W)$ is a CR submanifold. \square

6. QUADRATIC TERMS FOR A CODIMENSION-2 CR IMAGE

In [25, Corollary 7.2] the authors proved the following.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$, $n \geq 2$, be the coordinates and, near the origin, let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a codimension-2 submanifold given by*

$$w = \rho(z, \bar{z}) = z^* A z + \overline{z^t B z} + z^t C z + E(z, \bar{z}), \quad (37)$$

where ρ is real analytic, A, B, C are complex $n \times n$ matrices, B and C are symmetric, and E is $O(\|z\|^3)$. If M is a CR image, then the following hold.

(a) $\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} A^* \\ B \end{bmatrix} \leq 1.$

(b) Near the origin, M is biholomorphically equivalent to exactly one of the following forms:

- (1) $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3),$
- (2) $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + O(\|z\|^3),$
- (3) $w = |z_1|^2 + a \bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3), a \geq 0,$
- (4) $w = \bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3),$
- (5) $w = O(\|z\|^3).$

Furthermore, examples exist for all five cases.

The purpose of this section is to show that, given any real-analytic CR structure of codimension-2 type, we can realize it as a codimension-2 CR image with any one of the five types of quadratic terms. Therefore, the extended CR structure of such a CR image is, as a biholomorphic invariant, independent of the quadratic terms, and vice-versa.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}$, $n \geq 2$, be the coordinates, and let $Q(z, \bar{z})$ be one of the five types of quadratic terms from Theorem 6.1. That is, Q is one of the following:*

- (1) $Q(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^2,$
- (2) $Q(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}_1 z_2,$
- (3) $Q(z, \bar{z}) = |z_1|^2 + a \bar{z}_1^2, a \geq 0,$
- (4) $Q(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}_1^2,$
- (5) $Q(z, \bar{z}) = 0.$

Let $N \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a connected real-analytic generic codimension-2 submanifold, and let $p \in N$.

Then there exist a neighborhood U of p , a holomorphic mapping $F: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, and a real-analytic submanifold $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ defined by

$$w = Q(z, \bar{z}) + O(\|z\|^3) \quad (38)$$

such that $F(p) = 0$, $F(N \cap U) \subset M$, DF is of rank $n + 1$ on a dense open subset of $N \cap U$, and $F|_{N \cap U}$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image.

Proof. First we assume that Q is one of the types (1) through (4). Suppose $p = 0$, and let N be defined near 0 in coordinates $(\zeta, \omega) \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$\operatorname{Im} \omega_1 = \rho_1(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \quad \operatorname{Im} \omega_2 = \rho_2(\zeta, \bar{\zeta}), \quad (39)$$

where ρ_1 and ρ_2 are $O(\|\zeta\|^2)$. The only barred variable in Q is \bar{z}_1 , so we write $Q(z, \bar{z}) = Q(z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_1)$. Define F near 0 by

$$z_1 = \omega_1 + i\omega_2, \quad (40)$$

$$z_j = \zeta_{j-1}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n, \quad (41)$$

$$w = Q(\omega_1 + i\omega_2, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{n-1}, \omega_1 - i\omega_2). \quad (42)$$

It is not hard to check that $F|_N$ is locally near 0 a diffeomorphism onto its image and that DF is of rank $n + 1$ on a dense open subset of N near 0. So a CR image M exists. That M is of the right form follows from the form of the map.

If Q is of type (5), for the map F defined by the preceding construction DF does not have the desired rank. We simply modify the construction of F and replace $Q(z, \bar{z}_1)$ by $\tilde{Q}(z, \bar{z}_1) = \bar{z}_1^3$ when we define the last component of F , so $w = (\omega_1 - i\omega_2)^3$. \square

Thus, the type of the quadratic part Q and the extended abstract CR structure of M are independent biholomorphic invariants. There are also higher-order invariants. Let us give an example.

Example 6.3. Consider M_1 defined by $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2$ and M_2 defined by $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^3$, as submanifolds of \mathbb{C}^3 . Both of these are CR images of $N = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^2$: The first is the image of $(\zeta, x, y) \mapsto (x + iy, \zeta, (x - iy)\zeta)$, and the second is the image of $(\zeta, x, y) \mapsto (x + iy, \zeta, (x - iy)\zeta + (x - iy)^3)$. Note that M_1 and M_2 have the same quadratic part $\bar{z}_1 z_2$; however, they are not equivalent under a biholomorphic change of variables. This is already evident by comparing the linear terms of a holomorphic mapping. Consider near 0 a holomorphic mapping

$$(a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3 w + \text{h.o.t.}, \quad b_1 z_1 + b_2 z_2 + b_3 w + \text{h.o.t.}, \quad c_1 z_1 + c_2 z_2 + c_3 w + \text{h.o.t.}) \quad (43)$$

that takes M_2 into M_1 , where h.o.t. denotes the higher-order terms. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1 z_1 + c_2 z_2 + c_3(\bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^3) + \text{h.o.t.} \\ &= \overline{(a_1 z_1 + a_2 z_2 + a_3(\bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^3) + \text{h.o.t.})} (b_1 z_1 + b_2 z_2 + b_3(\bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^3) + \text{h.o.t.}) \\ &= (\bar{a}_1 \bar{z}_1 + \bar{a}_2 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{a}_3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + \bar{a}_3 \bar{z}_1^3 + \overline{\text{h.o.t.}}) (b_1 z_1 + b_2 z_2 + b_3 \bar{z}_1 z_2 + b_3 \bar{z}_1^3 + \text{h.o.t.}). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Note that the higher-order terms (h.o.t.) are all holomorphic (no bars). We must have $c_1 = c_2 = 0$. We also must have $c_3 = 0$ as there is no way we can construct a \bar{z}_1^3 on the right side. So this mapping is not a biholomorphism.

A natural question therefore is to find all of the higher-order invariants. However, the higher-order terms of the defining function combine the extended abstract CR structure (the

submanifold N) and invariants of the CR singular embedding. So part of the question is to disentangle the two.

Question 6.4. *What are the higher-order biholomorphic invariants of codimension-2 CR singular CR images?*

7. CODIMENSION-2 CR IMAGES IN \mathbb{C}^3

In the preceding sections, we studied CR images by writing them as diffeomorphic images of CR submanifolds under maps holomorphic on the ambient space. In this section, we return to the intrinsic viewpoint: A CR image has only removable CR singularities. We study in a specific setting the extent to which the CR structure near a CR singularity determines whether the singularity is removable.

Throughout this section, we let M be a connected real-analytic codimension-2 submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 , and we assume that the CR dimension of M_{CR} is 1. After a biholomorphic change of coordinates, M can be written locally near a CR singularity as

$$M : w = Q(z, \bar{z}) + E(z, \bar{z}), \quad (45)$$

where $0 \in M$ is a CR singularity, Q is quadratic, and $E = O(\|z\|^3)$. Let $\rho = Q + E$. Treating z as the coordinates on M , we have that $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$ is spanned by

$$L = \rho_{\bar{z}_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \rho_{\bar{z}_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \quad (46)$$

For $q \in M$, let \mathcal{V}_q be the closure of $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$ in $T^{0,1}\mathbb{C}^3$ restricted to the fiber at q , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V}_q = \overline{T^{0,1}M_{CR}}^{T^{0,1}\mathbb{C}^3} \Big|_q. \quad (47)$$

Note that if q is a CR point of M , then $\mathcal{V}_q = T_q^{0,1}M_{CR}$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let M be as above. If p is a removable CR singularity of M , then \mathcal{V}_p is a complex line, meaning a one-dimensional complex vector subspace of $T_p^{0,1}\mathbb{C}^3$.*

Proof. As $p \in M$ is a removable CR singularity, \mathcal{V} is a real-analytic vector bundle near p . The CR dimension of M_{CR} is 1, so \mathcal{V}_p is a complex line. \square

The following example shows that the converse of the above proposition is false, even if \mathcal{V}_q is a complex line for all $q \in M$.

Example 7.2. Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ be given by

$$w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_2^3. \quad (48)$$

The following vector field spans $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$:

$$L = 3\bar{z}_2^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \quad (49)$$

The set of CR singularities of M is $\{z_2 = 0\}$, which coincides with the set where L vanishes.

Let $p \in M$ be a CR singularity. The fiber \mathcal{V}_p is computed as a set of (topological) limits in $T^{0,1}\mathbb{C}^3$. The vector field L divided by z_2 extends continuously through the set of CR singularities, and $\mathcal{V}_p = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \Big|_p$, a complex line.

If $0 \in M$ were a removable CR singularity, then, by [23], there would exist a real-analytic function on M which is CR on M_{CR} and not the restriction of a holomorphic function. However, [25, Example 3.3] shows that this is not possible. Hence, 0 is not a removable CR singularity of M .

In Proposition 7.6, we give conditions on M under which the CR singularity is not removable. One of these conditions is the exclusion of M “corresponding to” a parabolic Bishop surface. Informally, this means that the quadratic model of M given by $w = Q$ is not equivalent to a parabolic Bishop surface (see Definition 7.5). The following two examples show that, for submanifolds corresponding to a parabolic Bishop surface, the removability of the CR singularity depends on the error term E and hence on higher-order invariants of M . (In fact, whether \mathcal{V}_p is a complex line also depends on E .) For a related discussion, see Example 6.3 and Question 6.4.

Example 7.3. Consider $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ defined by

$$w = \rho(z, \bar{z}) = z_1 \bar{z}_1 + \frac{\bar{z}_1^2}{2} + \frac{i}{2}(z_1 \bar{z}_1^2 + 2\bar{z}_1 z_2 \bar{z}_2). \quad (50)$$

This submanifold corresponds to a parabolic Bishop surface, and $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$ is spanned by

$$L = i\bar{z}_1 z_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - (z_1 + \bar{z}_1 + iz_1 \bar{z}_1 + iz_2 \bar{z}_2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \quad (51)$$

The only CR singularity of M is 0. Note that $\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ does not vanish on M_{CR} and $\rho_{\bar{z}_2}/\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ does not have a limit as $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0\} \ni z \rightarrow 0$. Hence, \mathcal{V}_0 is not a complex line, and therefore the CR singularity is not removable.

The next example concerns a submanifold that corresponds to a parabolic Bishop surface with a removable CR singularity at $p = 0$ (so \mathcal{V}_0 is a complex line).

Example 7.4. Let $M \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ be defined by $w = \rho(z, \bar{z}) = Q(z, \bar{z}) + E(z, \bar{z})$, with

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}(z_1 + \bar{z}_1)^2 \text{ and} \quad (52)$$

$$E = -z_1^2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2^2 - iz_1^2 z_2^4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^4 + \frac{i}{3} z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^3 + iz_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + iz_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2 + \frac{1}{3} z_1^2 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2^6 - \frac{1}{2} z_2^4 \bar{z}_2^4. \quad (53)$$

Note that

$$\rho_{\bar{z}_1} = z_1 + \bar{z}_1 - 2z_1^2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 - iz_1^2 z_2^4 \bar{z}_2^4 + i(z_1^2 \bar{z}_1^2 + z_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2) \text{ and} \quad (54)$$

$$\rho_{\bar{z}_2} = -2z_1^2 z_2^2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 - 4iz_1^2 z_2^4 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^3 + 2iz_2^2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + 2iz_1 z_2^2 \bar{z}_2 + 2z_1^2 z_2^6 \bar{z}_2^5 - 2z_2^4 \bar{z}_2^3 \quad (55)$$

$$= 2iz_2^2 \bar{z}_2 \rho_{\bar{z}_1}. \quad (56)$$

Thus, $\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ has an isolated zero at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$, and $\rho_{\bar{z}_2}/\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ extends to be real analytic near 0. We see that 0 is an isolated CR singularity of M , and $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$ is spanned by

$$L = 2iz_2^2 \bar{z}_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \quad (57)$$

Hence, $0 \in M$ is a removable CR singularity. The extended abstract CR structure of M near 0 is given by $N \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ defined by

$$w = \bar{z}_1 + iz_2^2 \bar{z}_2^2. \quad (58)$$

We now define the notion of M “corresponding to” a parabolic Bishop surface.

Definition 7.5. Let M be as above, that is, given in the form (45). We say that Q (or M) corresponds to a parabolic Bishop surface if there exists an invertible complex linear map $T: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ such that

$$\bar{\partial}Q = T^*((\zeta_1 + \bar{\zeta}_1) d\bar{\zeta}_1) \quad (59)$$

where (z, \bar{z}) and $(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$ are coordinates for $\text{domain}(T)$ and $\text{range}(T)$ respectively, and T^* is the pullback.

Since M is defined by $w = \rho(z, \bar{z}) = Q(z, \bar{z}) + E(z, \bar{z})$, we could absorb or introduce holomorphic functions of z in ρ by a biholomorphic change of coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$. In light of this, it is not difficult to see that Q corresponds to a parabolic Bishop surface if and only if there exist holomorphic coordinates $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ in which

$$Q(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{2} (z_1 + \bar{z}_1)^2. \quad (60)$$

The following proposition describes conditions on M under which a CR singularity is not removable. One of these conditions is $\bar{\partial}Q \neq 0$. This means that Q is not a holomorphic function of z or, equivalently, that there do not exist holomorphic coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ in which $Q \equiv 0$. So, the condition $\bar{\partial}Q \neq 0$ translates to the quadratic model of M , defined by $w = Q$, having a CR singularity at 0.

Proposition 7.6. *Let M be as above, that is, given in the form (45), with 0 a CR singularity of M . Suppose that $\bar{\partial}Q \neq 0$, Q does not correspond to a parabolic Bishop surface, and the real dimension (as a variety) of the CR singularities, near 0, is less than 2. Then 0 is not a removable CR singularity of M .*

Proof. Suppose M satisfies the hypothesis of this proposition. We argue by contradiction. Assume 0 is a removable CR singularity of M . Then, by a previous result of the authors (see Theorem 6.1), M is biholomorphically equivalent, near the origin, to exactly one of the following forms:

- (1) $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3)$,
- (2) $w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + O(\|z\|^3)$,
- (3) $w = |z_1|^2 + a\bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3)$, $a \geq 0$,
- (4) $w = \bar{z}_1^2 + O(\|z\|^3)$,
- (5) $w = O(\|z\|^3)$.

Cases (1) and (2): Suppose M is defined by

$$w = \rho(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \epsilon \bar{z}_1^2 + E(z, \bar{z}) \quad (61)$$

where $\epsilon \in \{0, 1\}$. Then $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$ is spanned by

$$L = \rho_{\bar{z}_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \rho_{\bar{z}_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} = E_{\bar{z}_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - (z_2 + 2\epsilon \bar{z}_1 + E_{\bar{z}_1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \quad (62)$$

Since 0 is a removable CR singularity, we must have that $\rho_{\bar{z}_2} = E_{\bar{z}_2}$ vanishes on

$$S = \{(z, \rho(z, \bar{z})) \in M : \rho_{\bar{z}_1} = z_2 + 2\epsilon \bar{z}_1 + E_{\bar{z}_1} = 0\}. \quad (63)$$

So, the set of CR singularities of M is S , and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} S = 2$ because the linear parts of $\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ and $\overline{\rho_{\bar{z}_1}}$ are linearly independent.

Case (3) is argued similarly when $a \neq 1/2$. If $a = 1/2$, then Q corresponds to a parabolic Bishop surface.

Case (4) is handled along the same lines as cases (1) and (2).

Case (5) means $\bar{\partial}Q \equiv 0$. □

It is clear from the discussion so far that, for the CR singularity $0 \in M$, \mathcal{V}_0 is a complex line if and only if

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{\bar{z}_1}}{\rho_{\bar{z}_2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{\bar{z}_2}}{\rho_{\bar{z}_1}} \quad \text{exists,} \quad (64)$$

since M_{CR} has CR dimension 1 and $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$ is spanned by

$$L = \rho_{\bar{z}_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \rho_{\bar{z}_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2}. \quad (65)$$

The limit considered above is topological. So, when 0 is a removable CR singularity of M , the CR structure on M_{CR} extends to an abstract topological CR structure \mathcal{V} on M . A necessary and sufficient condition for the singularity to be removable is that one of the quotients be real analytic.

Proposition 7.7. *Let M be as above, that is, given in the form (45), with 0 a CR singularity of M . Then this CR singularity is removable if and only if either*

$$\frac{\rho_{\bar{z}_1}}{\rho_{\bar{z}_2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\rho_{\bar{z}_2}}{\rho_{\bar{z}_1}} \quad (66)$$

is (extends to) a real-analytic function near the origin.

Proof. Suppose 0 is a removable CR singularity. Then, near 0, there exists a nonvanishing real-analytic vector field

$$\tilde{L} = a \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} + b \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \quad (67)$$

that spans $T^{0,1}M$ at CR points. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $b \equiv -1$. At least one of $\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ and $\rho_{\bar{z}_2}$ is nonzero at CR points, and at those points

$$\rho_{\bar{z}_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \rho_{\bar{z}_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \quad (68)$$

spans $T^{0,1}M$ (which is of dimension 1). Since \tilde{L} also spans $T^{0,1}M$ at CR points, $\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ cannot be identically zero. Thus,

$$\frac{\rho_{\bar{z}_2}}{\rho_{\bar{z}_1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_1} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_2} \quad (69)$$

must be equal to \tilde{L} at all points where $\rho_{\bar{z}_1} \neq 0$. Then $\rho_{\bar{z}_2}/\rho_{\bar{z}_1} = a$ at these points, so $\rho_{\bar{z}_2}/\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ extends to be real analytic near the origin.

For the other direction, recall that the vector field (68) spans $T^{0,1}M_{CR}$. If one of the quotients is real analytic, then we can divide and get a nonvanishing real-analytic vector field giving the extended structure. \square

One question is whether we can ask less of the quotient than being real analytic. The following example shows that it is possible for \mathcal{V} to be a C^k bundle but not a C^ℓ bundle for any $\ell > k$. In other words, a CR singularity may be removable in a C^k sense but not a C^ℓ sense.

Example 7.8. Let $k \geq 0$. Consider M given by

$$w = \bar{z}_1 z_2 + \bar{z}_2^{k+3}. \quad (70)$$

Then $\rho_{\bar{z}_2}/\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ is a C^k function near $z = 0$ that vanishes at $z = 0$, but it is not C^ℓ for $\ell > k$.

In contrast, if \mathcal{V} is a C^∞ bundle on M , then it is, in fact, a C^ω bundle. First we need a small lemma.

Lemma 7.9. *Suppose that α and β are two real-analytic functions defined on some connected open set, β is not identically zero, and α/β is smooth, in the sense that there exists a C^∞ function γ such that $\alpha = \gamma\beta$. Then γ is real analytic.*

Proof. Fix a point p . After a rotation we apply the Weierstrass preparation theorem to β ; after dividing by a unit we may, without loss of generality, assume that β is a Weierstrass polynomial. Let $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$ denote the formal power series at p . As formal power series we have

$$\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\gamma}\tilde{\beta}. \quad (71)$$

We can also apply the real-analytic Weierstrass division theorem to find a convergent power series q and a remainder r such that $\alpha = q\beta + r$. Since the series q and r are unique and are the same as if we had done this division formally, we find that $r = 0$ and $q = \tilde{\gamma}$. In particular, the series for $\tilde{\gamma}$ converges. Thus, from the real-analytic division theorem, we find that $\alpha = q\beta$ as functions. Hence, $q = \gamma$ on the set where $\beta \neq 0$, and as that is a thin set we find that $q = \gamma$ on a neighborhood of p . \square

Proposition 7.10. *Let M be as above, that is, given in the form (45), with 0 a CR singularity of M . Suppose there exists a C^∞ bundle \mathcal{V} near 0 that agrees with the CR structure of M_{CR} . Then \mathcal{V} is a C^ω bundle near 0, that is, 0 is a removable CR singularity.*

Proof. Let us work locally near 0. Let \tilde{L} be the vector field (67), but now with a and b being C^∞ . Again assume $b \equiv -1$. As in the proof of Proposition 7.7, we find that $\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$ cannot be identically zero and $\rho_{\bar{z}_2} = a\rho_{\bar{z}_1}$. We apply Lemma 7.9 to find that a is real analytic, and therefore 0 is a removable CR singularity. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Salah Baouendi, Peter Ebenfelt, and Linda Preiss Rothschild, *Real submanifolds in complex space and their mappings*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 47, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999. [MR1668103](#)
- [2] M. S. Baouendi, L. P. Rothschild, and J.-M. Trépreau, *On the geometry of analytic discs attached to real manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **39** (1994), no. 2, 379–405. [MR1267896](#)
- [3] Errett Bishop, *Differentiable manifolds in complex Euclidean space*, Duke Math. J. **32** (1965), 1–21. [MR0200476](#)
- [4] Valentin Burcea, *A normal form for a real 2-codimensional submanifold in \mathbb{C}^{N+1} near a CR singularity*, Adv. Math. **243** (2013), 262–295. [MR3062747](#)
- [5] Adam Coffman, *CR singularities of real fourfolds in \mathbb{C}^3* , Illinois J. Math. **53** (2009), no. 3, 939–981 (2010). [MR2727363](#)
- [6] Adam Coffman and Jiří Lebl, *Removing isolated zeroes by homotopy*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **54** (2019), no. 1, 275–296. [MR4018281](#)
- [7] Pierre Dolbeault, Giuseppe Tomassini, and Dmitri Zaitsev, *On boundaries of Levi-flat hypersurfaces in \mathbb{C}^n* , C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **341** (2005), no. 6, 343–348 (English, with English and French summaries). [MR2169149](#)
- [8] ———, *Boundary problem for Levi flat graphs*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **60** (2011), no. 1, 161–170. [MR2952414](#)
- [9] Peter Ebenfelt and Linda P. Rothschild, *Images of real submanifolds under finite holomorphic mappings*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **15** (2007), no. 3, 491–507. [MR2379802](#)
- [10] Hanlong Fang and Xiaojun Huang, *Flattening a non-degenerate CR singular point of real codimension two*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **28** (2018), no. 2, 289–333. [MR3788205](#)

- [11] Thomas Garrity, *Global structures on CR manifolds via Nash blow-ups*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 281–294. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday. [MR1786491](#)
- [12] Xianghong Gong, *Normal forms of real surfaces under unimodular transformations near elliptic complex tangents*, Duke Math. J. **74** (1994), no. 1, 145–157. [MR1271467](#)
- [13] Xianghong Gong and Jiří Lebl, *Normal forms for CR singular codimension-two Levi-flat submanifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **275** (2015), no. 1, 115–165. [MR3336931](#)
- [14] Xianghong Gong and Laurent Stolovitch, *Real submanifolds of maximum complex tangent space at a CR singular point, I*, Invent. Math. **206** (2016), no. 2, 293–377. [MR3570294](#)
- [15] ———, *Real submanifolds of maximum complex tangent space at a CR singular point, II*, J. Differential Geom. **112** (2019), no. 1, 121–198. [MR3948229](#)
- [16] Xiaojun Huang, *On an n -manifold in \mathbf{C}^n near an elliptic complex tangent*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), no. 3, 669–692. [MR1603854](#)
- [17] Xiaojun Huang and Steven G. Krantz, *On a problem of Moser*, Duke Math. J. **78** (1995), no. 1, 213–228. [MR1328757](#)
- [18] Xiaojun Huang and Wanke Yin, *A Bishop surface with a vanishing Bishop invariant*, Invent. Math. **176** (2009), no. 3, 461–520. [MR2501295](#)
- [19] ———, *A codimension two CR singular submanifold that is formally equivalent to a symmetric quadric*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **15** (2009), 2789–2828. [MR2525841](#)
- [20] ———, *Flattening of CR singular points and analyticity of the local hull of holomorphy I*, Math. Ann. **365** (2016), no. 1–2, 381–399. [MR3498915](#)
- [21] ———, *Flattening of CR singular points and analyticity of the local hull of holomorphy II*, Adv. Math. **308** (2017), 1009–1073. [MR3600082](#)
- [22] Carlos E. Kenig and Sidney M. Webster, *The local hull of holomorphy of a surface in the space of two complex variables*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), no. 1, 1–21. [MR664323](#)
- [23] Jiří Lebl, André Minor, Ravi Shroff, Duong Son, and Yuan Zhang, *CR singular images of generic submanifolds under holomorphic maps*, Ark. Mat. **52** (2014), no. 2, 301–327. [MR3255142](#)
- [24] Jiří Lebl, Alan Noell, and Sivaguru Ravisankar, *On the Levi-flat Plateau problem*, Complex Anal. Synerg. **6** (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 3, 15. [MR4052029](#)
- [25] Jiří Lebl, Alan Noell, and Sivaguru Ravisankar, *A CR singular analogue of Severi’s theorem*. Accepted to Math. Z. Preprint [arXiv:1909.04752](#).
- [26] John M. Lee, *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 218, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003. [MR1930091](#)
- [27] Jürgen K. Moser, *Analytic surfaces in \mathbf{C}^2 and their local hull of holomorphy*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **10** (1985), 397–410. [MR802502](#)
- [28] Jürgen K. Moser and Sidney M. Webster, *Normal forms for real surfaces in \mathbf{C}^2 near complex tangents and hyperbolic surface transformations*, Acta Math. **150** (1983), no. 3–4, 255–296. [MR709143](#)
- [29] A. E. Tumanov, *Extension of CR-functions into a wedge from a manifold of finite type*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **136(178)** (1988), no. 1, 128–139 (Russian); English transl., Math. USSR-Sb. **64** (1989), no. 1, 129–140. [MR945904](#)
- [30] Hassler Whitney, *Complex analytic varieties*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1972. [MR0387634](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078, USA
Email address: lebl@math.okstate.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OK 74078, USA
Email address: noell@math.okstate.edu

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, CENTRE FOR APPLICABLE MATHEMATICS, BENGALURU 560065, INDIA
Email address: sivaguru@tifrbng.res.in