

## Real Submanifolds in $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models

Valentin Burcea

ABSTRACT. We consider Special Class of Real-Formal Submanifolds in Complex Spaces, which are derived from Shilov Boundaries of Bounded and Symmetric Domains. In particular, there are studied standard local Equivalence Problems in Complex Analysis by applying Generalized Fischer Decompositions. Then, there are concludes few non-equidimensional Generalizations of the well-known Moser's Theorem.

### 1. Introduction and Main Results

In this paper, we consider a Special Class of Real Submanifolds in Complex Spaces, which are derived from Shilov Boundaries of Bounded and Symmetric Domains of first kind[32],[33], in order to study local Equivalence Problems in Complex Analysis, with motivation provided by the Theorem of Moser[39] and its generalizations from Huang-Yin[30], Gong[20] and the author[10],[11],[13].

The importance of the Real Submanifolds in Complex Spaces is well-known for studies concerning proper holomorphic mappings[15] of the Domains in Complex Spaces. It suffices to study the restrictions of such mappings on the boundaries of these Domains, which are generally Real Submanifolds. In particular, the classification of the proper holomorphic mappings, between unit balls situated in Complex Spaces of different dimensions, is reduced to the study of the CR mappings between hyperquadrics. The concept of normal form is fundamental in this kind of studies[1],[4],[5],[18],[19],[23],[25],[26],[27],[28],[31],[37], where it is generally desired to conclude classifications. In this context, the normal form is desirably a simple form for a geometrical object by changing formally the coordinates. This geometrical object may be a Real Submanifold in a Complex Space, or a mapping, of a given class or formal, between Real Submanifolds in Complex Spaces.

In this paper, we shall consider only formal (holomorphic) mappings. Thus, their convergence is not assumed to exist. The computations are just based on formal expansions derived from the local defining equations. Then, the obtained normal forms are important for the understanding of the following Equivalence Problems:

- the equivalence problem of formal embeddings defined between Model-Manifolds in Complex Spaces of different dimensions;
- the equivalence problem of Real-Formal Submanifolds, which are formally embedded in Model-Manifolds in Complex Spaces of different dimensions;
- the equivalence problem of formal embeddings defined between Real-Formal Submanifolds, which are formally embedded Model-Manifolds in Complex Spaces of different dimensions.

It is important to emphasise that all these Equivalence Problems are studied up to suitable compositions with formal automorphisms of the Model-Manifolds, defining consequently Classes of Equivalence similarly as in [1],[4],[5],[18],[25],[26],[27],[28],[29], [31]. In particular, there are considered  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models, which are just particular cases of our kind of  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Manifolds, introduced as follows:

Let  $(z_{11}, \dots, z_{1N}, \dots, z_{m1}, \dots, z_{mN}, w_{11}, \dots, w_{mm})$  be the coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^{mN+m^2}$ , where  $m, N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Introducing the following matrices

$$(1.1) \quad W = \{w_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}, \quad Z = \{z_{ij}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}},$$

there was considered the following class of Real-Analytic Submanifolds

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{M}_{m,N} : W = Z\bar{Z}^t + \mathcal{O}(3),$$

Then, the author[10] showed that (1.2) is holomorphically equivalent to the following model

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{BSD}_{m,N} : W = Z\bar{Z}^t,$$

if and only if (1.2) is formally equivalent to it.

Clearly, any holomorphic equivalence is a formal (holomorphic) equivalence. On the other hand, it is not true inversely, because the automorphisms group the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model (1.3) contains many non-convergent formal transformations. Then the author[10] approached this Equivalence Problem by applying the arguments of rapid convergence of Moser[39] and Huang-Yin[30], after it was formally established a partial normal form using Generalized Fischer Decompositions[43]. Then, the author[10] showed the convergence of a formal (holomorphic) equivalence, which was suitable normalized using formal automorphisms of the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model (1.3).

In this paper, we work with the following Special Class of Real-Formal Submanifolds:

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{C}^{Nm+m^2} \supset \mathcal{M}_{m,N} : W = Z\bar{Z}^t + \mathcal{O}(3),$$

where we have used the following matrix

$$(1.5) \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1,1} & z_{1,2} & \cdots & z_{1,s} & z_{1,s+1} & \cdots & z_{1,N} \\ z_{2,1} & z_{2,2} & \cdots & z_{2,s} & z_{2,s+1} & \cdots & z_{2,N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{m,1} & z_{m,2} & \cdots & z_{m,s} & z_{m,s+1} & \cdots & z_{m,N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } s \in 1, \dots, N.$$

Motivations come from Andrews-Huang-Ji-Yin[1], Baouendi-Huang[4], Baouendi-Ebenfelt-Huang[5], Faran[18] and Cima-Suffridge[14], Faran-Huang-Ji-Zhang[19], Lebl[23], Hamada[25], Huang[26],[27], Huang-Ji-Xu[29], Huang-Ji[28], Huang-Ji-Yin[31], Ji-Xu[37]. Furthermore, similar studies have been concluded concerning the Classification of CR Embeddings between Shilov Boundaries of Bounded Symmetric Domains by Kim-Zaitsev[35],[36], and also by the author[12].

In this paper, there are constructed normal forms with adding ingredients from [10] and [12] in the light of [1],[4],[5],[18],[25],[26],[27],[28],[29],[31]. In particular, we consider  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models defined by (1.5) as follows

$$(1.6) \quad \mathbb{C}^{Nm+m^2} \supset \mathcal{BSD}_{m,N} : W = Z\bar{Z}^t.$$

Let  $m, m', \tilde{m}, \tilde{m}', N, N', \tilde{N}, \tilde{N}' \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . The main result is the following:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let a formal embedding  $H : \mathcal{BSD}_{m,N} \rightarrow \mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'}$  such that*

$$(1.7) \quad m \leq m', N \leq N' \text{ with } N' - m' < 2(N - m).$$

*Then, there exist  $\clubsuit \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'})$  and  $\spadesuit \in \text{Aut}_0(\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N})$  such that*

$$(1.8) \quad \clubsuit \circ H \circ \spadesuit : Z = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \cdots & z_{1N} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \cdots & z_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{m1} & z_{m2} & \cdots & z_{mN} \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \cdots & z_{1N} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \cdots & z_{2N} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{m1} & z_{m2} & \cdots & z_{mN} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is obtained just a class of equivalence, which is defined by (1.8), regardless that this case (1.7) is analogous to the classical cases studied by Faran[18] and Cima-Suffridge[14], Lebl[23] and Reiter[40]. It is an interesting contrast, regardless of its simplicity. Recalling ideas from [13], it is a helpful result in order to provide the following application:

We say that the Real Submanifold  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{N}}$  is (formally holomorphically) embeddable in the  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Model  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}$  when there exist formal (holomorphic) embeddings of  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{N}}$  into  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}$ . Then, we write as follows

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{N}} \mapsto \mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}.$$

Of course, it remains to study the uniqueness of such (formal) embeddings, but we shall observe that two such embeddings are always unique up to suitable compositions with automorphisms of the corresponding  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models, in the case described as follows. We obtain

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Assume  $m \leq m', N \leq N', \tilde{m} \leq m, \tilde{N} \leq N, \tilde{m}' \leq m', \tilde{N}' \leq N'$  such that (1.7) is satisfied in all cases, and such that it holds the following diagram*

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^{Nm+m^2} \supset \mathcal{BSD}_{m,N} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'} \subset \mathbb{C}^{N'm'+m'^2} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{N}\tilde{m}+\tilde{m}\tilde{m}} \supset \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{N}} & & \mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m}',\tilde{N}'} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{N}'\tilde{m}'+\tilde{m}'\tilde{m}'} \end{array}.$$

*Then  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{N}}$  is embedded into  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m}',\tilde{N}'}$  according to the standard linear embedding. Moreover, any formal embedding from  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{N}}$  into  $\mathcal{M}_{\tilde{m}',\tilde{N}'}$  is equivalent the standard linear embedding.*

These results may be seen as non-equidimensional versions of The Theorem of Moser[39]. Their proofs are based on recognizing Generalized Fischer Decompositions[43] applied as in [10] on homogeneous terms extracted from each entry of the matrix local defining equations. Then, there are considered normalizations by using suitable compositions with formal automorphisms of the corresponding  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models.

**1.1. Acknowledgements.** I apologize to Prof. X. Gong, and especially Prof. X. Huang, for the moments when I was not able to control myself. I have been wrongly interpreted what I had heard in The Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil. Meanwhile, I realized more about my mistakes and that the responsible people are from Rio de Janeiro.

Special Thanks to Karen O'Doherty, recalling a Scholarship received when I was working at The School of Mathematics, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland. Special Thanks also to Science Foundation of Ireland.

## 2. Settings

**2.1. Coordinates.** Let  $(z_{11}, \dots, z_{mN}, w_{11}, \dots, w_{mm})$  be the coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^{mN+m^2}$  according to (1.1). Recalling thus settings from [10] and [12], we consider throughout this paper the following notations and natural identifications

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} W &:= \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & \dots & w_{1m} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & \dots & w_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ w_{m1} & w_{m2} & \dots & w_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (w_{11}, w_{12}, \dots, w_{1m}, w_{21}, w_{22}, \dots, w_{2m}, \dots, w_{m1}, w_{m2}, \dots, w_{mm}), \\ Z &:= \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} & \dots & z_{1N} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & \dots & z_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{m1} & z_{m2} & \dots & z_{mN} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (z_{11}, z_{12}, \dots, z_{1N}, z_{21}, z_{22}, \dots, z_{2N}, \dots, z_{m1}, z_{m2}, \dots, z_{mN}). \end{aligned}$$

We consider also by [12] the following notations and natural identifications

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} J &:= \begin{pmatrix} j_{11} & j_{12} & \dots & j_{1m} \\ j_{21} & j_{22} & \dots & j_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ j_{m1} & j_{m2} & \dots & j_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (j_{11}, j_{12}, \dots, j_{1m}, j_{21}, j_{22}, \dots, j_{2m}, \dots, j_{m1}, j_{m2}, \dots, j_{mm}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m^2}, \\ I &:= \begin{pmatrix} i_{11} & i_{12} & \dots & i_{1N} \\ i_{21} & i_{22} & \dots & i_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ i_{m1} & i_{m2} & \dots & i_{mN} \end{pmatrix} \equiv (i_{11}, i_{12}, \dots, i_{1N}, i_{21}, i_{22}, \dots, i_{2N}, \dots, i_{m1}, i_{m2}, \dots, i_{mN}) \in \mathbb{N}^{Nm}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, according to (2.1) and (2.2), the lengths of the multi-indexes  $J \in \mathbb{N}^{m^2}$  and  $I \in \mathbb{N}^{Nm}$  are defined as follows

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |I| &= i_{11} + i_{12} + \dots + i_{1N} + i_{21} + i_{22} + \dots + i_{2N} + \dots + i_{m1} + i_{m2} + \dots + i_{mN}, \\ |J| &= j_{11} + j_{12} + \dots + j_{1m} + j_{21} + j_{22} + \dots + j_{2m} + \dots + j_{m1} + j_{m2} + \dots + j_{mm}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, according to (2.1) and (2.2), we write as follows

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} W^J &= w_{11}^{j_{11}} w_{12}^{j_{12}} \dots w_{1m}^{j_{1m}} w_{21}^{j_{21}} w_{22}^{j_{22}} \dots w_{2m}^{j_{2m}} \dots w_{m1}^{j_{m1}} w_{m2}^{j_{m2}} \dots w_{mm}^{j_{mm}}, \\ Z^I &= z_{11}^{i_{11}} z_{12}^{i_{12}} \dots z_{1N}^{i_{1N}} z_{21}^{i_{21}} z_{22}^{i_{22}} \dots z_{2N}^{i_{2N}} \dots z_{m1}^{i_{m1}} z_{m2}^{i_{m2}} \dots z_{mN}^{i_{mN}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we move forward in order to establish the general settings:

**2.2. General Settings.** Let  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$  and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ . Then, we use again a standard notation

$$\langle a, b \rangle := a_1 \overline{b_1} + \dots + a_s \overline{b_s} + a_{s+1} \overline{b_{s+1}} + \dots + a_N \overline{b_N}, \quad \text{where } s \in 1, \dots, N.$$

In order to study the entries of the matrix defining equations according to the strategy from [12], we use the following  $\mathcal{B}SD$ -Model

$$(2.5) \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle & \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle l_1, l_m \rangle \\ \langle l_2, l_1 \rangle & \langle l_2, l_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle l_2, l_m \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle l_m, l_1 \rangle & \langle l_m, l_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle l_m, l_m \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have been using the following notations

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} l_1 &:= (z_{11}, z_{12}, \dots, z_{1N}), \\ l_2 &:= (z_{21}, z_{22}, \dots, z_{2N}), \\ &\vdots \\ l_m &:= (z_{m1}, z_{m2}, \dots, z_{mN}), \end{aligned}$$

which are just the lines, or equivalently the row vectors, of the matrix  $Z$  defined in (1.1).

**2.3. Fischer Decompositions.** Before going further, we recall the following notation of Fischer from Shapiro[43]:

$$(2.7) \quad P^* = \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|=k_0 \\ I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{mN}}} \overline{p_{I,J}} \frac{\partial^{k_0}}{\partial Z^{I_1} \partial \overline{Z}^{I_2}}, \quad \text{if } P(Z, \overline{Z}) = \sum_{\substack{|I_1|+|I_2|=k_0 \\ I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{mN}}} p_{I,J} Z^{I_1} \overline{Z}^{I_2}, \quad k_0 \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $\mathbb{H}_k$  is the space of all homogeneous polynomials of degree  $k$  in  $Z$ , we recall also the Fischer inner product[43] defined as follows

$$(2.8) \quad \langle Z^{I_1}; Z^{I_2} \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{cases} 0, & I_1 \neq I_2, \\ I_1! & I_1 = I_2, \end{cases} \quad \text{where } I_1, I_2 \in \mathbb{N}^{Nm},$$

where  $Z$  is defined by (2.1).

Now, we consider Generalized Fischer Decompositions in order to move forward. In particular, we reformulate the first part of Lemma 2.1 from [10] as follows:

We define

$$\mathcal{J}_p = \left\{ J \in \mathbb{N}^{Nm}; |J| = p \right\}.$$

Then, we have

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $P(Z, \overline{Z})$  be a bihomogeneous polynomial of bidegree  $(p, n)$  in  $(Z, \overline{Z})$  with  $p \geq n$ . Then, uniquely there exist polynomials*

$$\{Q_J(Z)\}_{J \in \mathcal{J}_n} \text{ and } R(Z, \overline{Z}),$$

such that

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} P(Z, \overline{Z}) &= \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_n} Q_J(Z) \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle^{j_{11}} \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle^{j_{12}} \dots \langle l_1, l_m \rangle^{j_{1m}} \dots \langle l_m, l_1 \rangle^{j_{m1}} \langle l_m, l_2 \rangle^{j_{m2}} \dots \langle l_m, l_m \rangle^{j_{mm}} + R(Z, \overline{Z}), \\ R(Z, \overline{Z}) &\in \bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{J}_n} \ker \left( \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle^{j_{11}} \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle^{j_{12}} \dots \langle l_1, l_m \rangle^{j_{1m}} \dots \langle l_m, l_1 \rangle^{j_{m1}} \langle l_m, l_2 \rangle^{j_{m2}} \dots \langle l_m, l_m \rangle^{j_{mm}} \right)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now, before going forward, we reformulate the second part Lemma 2.1 from [10] as follows:

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $P'(Z, \overline{Z})$  is a bihomogeneous polynomial of bidegree  $(p, n)$  in  $(Z, \overline{Z})$  with  $p < n$ . Then, uniquely there exist polynomials*

$$\left\{ Q_J^{(j)}(Z) \right\}_{\substack{J \in \mathcal{J}_{p-1} \\ j=1, \dots, N}} \text{ and } R'_j(Z, \overline{Z}), \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, N,$$

such that it holds

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} P'(Z, \overline{Z}) &= z_{jj} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}_{p-1}} \overline{\left( Q_J^{(j)}(Z) \right)} \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle^{j_{11}} \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle^{j_{12}} \dots \langle l_1, l_m \rangle^{j_{1m}} \dots \langle l_m, l_1 \rangle^{j_{m1}} \langle l_m, l_2 \rangle^{j_{m2}} \dots \langle l_m, l_m \rangle^{j_{mm}} + R'_j(Z, \overline{Z}), \\ R'_j(Z, \overline{Z}) &\in \bigcap_{J \in \mathcal{J}_{p-1}} \ker \left( z_{jj} \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle^{j_{11}} \langle l_1, l_2 \rangle^{j_{12}} \dots \langle l_1, l_m \rangle^{j_{1m}} \dots \langle l_m, l_1 \rangle^{j_{m1}} \langle l_m, l_2 \rangle^{j_{m2}} \dots \langle l_m, l_m \rangle^{j_{mm}} \right)^*, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .

Now, we are ready to move forward:

### 3. Formal (Holomorphic) Mappings Between BSD-Models

**3.1. Local Equations.** Let the BSD-Model defined as follows

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbb{C}^{mN+m^2} \supset \mathcal{BSD}_{m,N} : W = Z\overline{Z}^t.$$

Let another BSD-Model defined as follows

$$(3.2) \quad \mathbb{C}^{m'N'+m'^2} \supset \mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'} : W' = Z'\overline{Z}'^t.$$

Now, we take a formal (holomorphic) embedding denoted as follows

$$(Z', W') = (F(Z, W), G(Z, W)),$$

that embeds the Model  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}$ , which is defined by (3.1), into the Model  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'}$ , which is defined by (3.2), obtaining the following equation

$$(3.3) \quad G(Z, W) = (F(Z, W)) \overline{(F(Z, W))^t},$$

where  $W$  satisfies (3.1), according to the identifications from (2.1).

We move forward:

**3.2. Formal Expansions.** We consider by (2.1) the following formal expansions

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} F(Z, W) &= \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}(Z, W) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{1,1}(Z, W) & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{1,2}(Z, W) & \dots & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{1,N'}(Z, W) \\ \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{2,1}(Z, W) & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{2,2}(Z, W) & \dots & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{2,N'}(Z, W) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{m',1}(Z, W) & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{m',2}(Z, W) & \dots & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}^{m',N'}(Z, W) \end{pmatrix}, \\ G(Z, W) &= \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}(Z, W) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{1,1}(Z, W) & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{1,2}(Z, W) & \dots & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{1,m'}(Z, W) \\ \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{2,1}(Z, W) & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{2,2}(Z, W) & \dots & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{2,m'}(Z, W) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{m',1}(Z, W) & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{m',2}(Z, W) & \dots & \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}^{m',m'}(Z, W) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G_{k,l}(Z, W)$  and  $F_{k,l}(Z, W)$  are matrices with homogeneous polynomials of bidegree  $(k, l)$  in  $(Z, W)$  on their entries, for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then, combining (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain by (3.3) the following equation

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{k,l \geq 0} G_{k,l}(Z, Z\overline{Z}^t) = \left( \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}(Z, Z\overline{Z}^t) \right) \overline{\left( \sum_{k,l \geq 0} F_{k,l}(Z, Z\overline{Z}^t) \right)^t}.$$

In order to make computations using (3.5), this mapping (3.4) is the subject of the following normalizations:

**3.3. Application of the Normalization Procedures from [12].** Firstly, we observe that

$$G_{0,0}(Z) = 0, \quad F_{0,0}(Z) = 0,$$

because the above formal embedding (3.4) does not have constant terms. Then, according to standard linear algebra arguments used also in [10], we can assume that we have

$$(3.6) \quad G_{0,1}(W) = \begin{pmatrix} W & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to the procedures related to Lemma 2.1 from [12].

Continuing as in [7],[10], we collect the terms of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  in  $(Z, \bar{Z})$  in (3.5) respecting the identifications from (2.1). By compositions with suitable linear automorphisms of the Models  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}$  and  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'}$ , we can assume that

$$(3.7) \quad F_{1,0}(Z) = \begin{pmatrix} Z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to the procedures related to Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 from [12].

We move forward:

**3.4. Further Notations.** In order to make relevant computations, we rewrite components from (3.4) as follows

$$(3.8) \quad F_{k,l}(Z, W) = \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathbb{N}^{mN}, |I|=k-1 \\ J \in \mathbb{N}^{m^2}, |J|=l}} f_{k,l}^{I,J} Z^I W^J, \quad G_{k,l}(Z, W) = \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathbb{N}^{mN}, |I|=k \\ J \in \mathbb{N}^{m^2}, |J|=l}} g_{k,l}^{I,J} Z^I W^J, \quad \forall k, l \in \mathbb{N},$$

according to the following notations

$$(3.9) \quad f_{k,l}^{I,J} = \begin{pmatrix} f_{k,l}^{1,1} & f_{k,l}^{1,2} & \cdots & f_{k,l}^{1,N'} \\ f_{k,l}^{2,1} & f_{k,l}^{2,2} & \cdots & f_{k,l}^{2,N'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{k,l}^{m',1} & f_{k,l}^{m',2} & \cdots & f_{k,l}^{m',N'} \end{pmatrix}_{I,J}, \quad g_{k,l}^{I,J} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{k,l}^{1,1} & g_{k,l}^{1,2} & \cdots & g_{k,l}^{1,m'} \\ g_{k,l}^{2,1} & g_{k,l}^{2,2} & \cdots & g_{k,l}^{2,m'} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{k,l}^{m',1} & g_{k,l}^{m',2} & \cdots & g_{k,l}^{m',m'} \end{pmatrix}_{I,J}.$$

with respect to the corresponding indices considered in (3.8).

Now, we are ready to move forward:

#### 4. Formal (Holomorphic) Embeddings of Real Submanifolds into $\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}$

**4.1. Local Equations.** Let  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{N} + \tilde{m}^2}$  be a Real-Formal Submanifold defined near  $p = 0$  as follows

$$(4.1) \quad M: \tilde{W} = \tilde{Z} \bar{\tilde{Z}}_{\tilde{s}}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}), \quad \text{where } \tilde{s} \in 1, \dots, \tilde{N},$$

where we have used the following matrices

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) := \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{k,l}^{1,1}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) & \varphi_{k,l}^{1,2}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) & \cdots & \varphi_{k,l}^{1,m}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) \\ \varphi_{k,l}^{2,1}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) & \varphi_{k,l}^{2,2}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) & \cdots & \varphi_{k,l}^{2,m}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \varphi_{k,l}^{m,1}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) & \varphi_{k,l}^{m,2}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) & \cdots & \varphi_{k,l}^{m,m}(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}}) \end{pmatrix},$$

having homogeneous polynomials of bidegree  $(k, l)$  in  $(\tilde{Z}, \bar{\tilde{Z}})$  on its entries, for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k + l \geq 3$ .

Now, we assume that there exists a formal (holomorphic) embedding denoted as follows

$$(Z', W') = \left( \tilde{F}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}), \tilde{G}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \right),$$

that embeds the Real Submanifold  $M$ , which is defined by (4.1), into the Model  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}$ , which is defined by (3.1), obtaining the following equation

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{G}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \left( \tilde{F}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \right) \overline{\left( \tilde{F}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \right)^t},$$

where  $\tilde{W}$  satisfies (4.1), according to the identifications from (2.1).

We move forward:

**4.2. Formal Expansions.** We write by (2.1) the following formal expansions

$$(4.4) \quad \tilde{F}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}), \quad \tilde{G}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{G}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}),$$

where  $\tilde{G}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$  and  $\tilde{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$  are matrices with homogeneous polynomials of bidegree  $(k, l)$  in  $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$  on their entries, for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Their definitions are derived directly from (3.4) on each entry of the previous matrices from (4.4). Then, combining (4.2) and (4.4), we obtain by (4.3) the following equation

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{G}_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z} \overline{\tilde{Z}}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \overline{\tilde{Z}}) \right) = \left( \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z} \overline{\tilde{Z}}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \overline{\tilde{Z}}) \right) \right)^t$$

$$\sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \overline{\tilde{Z}}) \left( \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z} \overline{\tilde{Z}}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \overline{\tilde{Z}}) \right) \right)^t.$$

In order to make computations using (4.5), this mapping (4.4) is the subject of the following normalizations:

**4.3. Application of the Normalization Procedures from [12].** Firstly, we observe that

$$\tilde{G}_{0,0}(\tilde{Z}) = 0, \quad \tilde{F}_{0,0}(\tilde{Z}) = 0,$$

because the above formal embedding (4.4) does not have constant terms. Then, according to standard linear algebra arguments used also in [10], we can assume that

$$(4.6) \quad \tilde{G}_{0,1}(\tilde{W}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{W} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to the procedures related to Lemma 2.1 from [12].

Continuing as in [7],[10], we collect the terms of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  in  $(\tilde{Z}, \overline{\tilde{Z}})$  in (4.5) respecting the identifications from (2.1). By compositions with suitable linear automorphisms of the Models  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}$  and  $\mathcal{BSD}_{\tilde{m},\tilde{N}}$ , we can assume that

$$(4.7) \quad \tilde{F}_{1,0}(\tilde{Z}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to the procedures related to Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 from [12].

Now, we are ready to make computations:

**4.4. Computations.** In order to move forward, we rewrite components from (4.4) as follows

$$(4.8) \quad \tilde{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{I} \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{N}}, |\tilde{I}|=k-1 \\ \tilde{J} \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{m}^2}, |\tilde{J}|=l}} \tilde{f}_{k,l}^{\tilde{I},\tilde{J}} \tilde{Z}^{\tilde{I}} \tilde{W}^{\tilde{J}}, \quad \tilde{G}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{I} \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{m}\tilde{N}}, |\tilde{I}|=k \\ \tilde{J} \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{m}^2}, |\tilde{J}|=l}} \tilde{g}_{k,l}^{\tilde{I},\tilde{J}} \tilde{Z}^{\tilde{I}} \tilde{W}^{\tilde{J}}, \quad \forall k, l \in \mathbb{N},$$

where we have used the analogous notations to (3.4).

Now, we apply Lemma 2.4 from [12] together with the normalizations described by Proposition 2.3 from [10], and we obtain that any two such formal embeddings differs by a suitable composition with formal automorphisms of the corresponding  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models. Here, the analogue of the classical geometrical rank is vanishing because of the condition (1.7).

## 5. Formal (Holomorphic) Embeddings of Real Submanifolds into $\mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'}$

**5.1. Local Equations.** Let  $M' \subset \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{m}'\tilde{N}'+\tilde{m}'^2}$  be a Real-Formal Submanifold defined near  $p = 0$  as follows

$$(5.1) \quad M' : \tilde{W}' = \tilde{Z}' \overline{\tilde{Z}'}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \overline{\tilde{Z}'}),$$

where the matrices  $\varphi'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \overline{\tilde{Z}'})$  is defined similarly as in (4.2), for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k+l \geq 3$ .

Now, we assume that there exists a formal (holomorphic) embedding denoted as follows

$$(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}') = (\tilde{F}'(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}), \tilde{G}'(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})),$$

that embeds the Real Submanifold  $M'$ , which is defined by (5.1), into the Model  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'}$ , which is defined by (3.2), obtaining the following equation

$$(5.2) \quad \tilde{G}'(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}') = (\tilde{F}'(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}')) \overline{(\tilde{F}'(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}'))}^t,$$

where  $\tilde{W}'$  satisfies (5.1), according to the identifications from (2.1).

We move forward:

**5.2. Formal Expansions.** We write by (2.1) the following formal expansions

$$(5.3) \quad \tilde{F}'(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}') = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}'), \quad \tilde{G}'(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}') = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{G}'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}'),$$

where  $\tilde{G}'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}')$  and  $\tilde{F}'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}')$  are matrices with homogeneous polynomials of bidegree  $(k,l)$  in  $(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}')$  on their entries, for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Their definitions are derived directly from (3.4) on each entry of the previous matrices from (5.3).

Then, combining (4.2) and (5.3), we obtain by (5.2) the following equation

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{G}'_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}', \tilde{Z}' \overline{\tilde{Z}'}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \overline{\tilde{Z}'}) \right) = \left( \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}'_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}', \tilde{Z}' \overline{\tilde{Z}' }^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \overline{\tilde{Z}'}) \right) \right)^t \left( \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \overline{\tilde{Z}'}) \right).$$

In order to make computations using (5.4), this mapping (5.3) is the subject of the following normalizations:

**5.3. Application of the Normalization Procedures from [12].** Firstly, we observe that

$$\tilde{G}'_{0,0}(\tilde{Z}') = 0, \quad \tilde{F}'_{0,0}(\tilde{Z}') = 0,$$

because the above formal embedding (5.3) does not have constant terms. Then, according to standard linear algebra arguments used also in [10], we can assume that we have

$$(5.5) \quad \tilde{G}'_{0,1}(\tilde{W}') = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{W}' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to the procedures related to Lemma 2.1 from [12].

Continuing as in [7],[10], we collect the terms of bidegree  $(1,1)$  in  $(\tilde{Z}', \overline{\tilde{Z}'})$  in (5.4) respecting the identifications from (2.1). By compositions with suitable linear automorphisms of the Models  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'}$  and  $\mathcal{BSD}_{\tilde{m}',\tilde{N}'}$ , we can assume that

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{F}'_{1,0}(\tilde{Z}') = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Z}' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to the procedures related to Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 from [12].

Now, we are ready to make computations:

**5.4. Computations.** In order to move forward, we rewrite components from (5.3) as follows

$$(5.7) \quad \tilde{F}'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}') = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{I}' \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{m}'\tilde{N}'}, |\tilde{I}'|=k-1 \\ \tilde{J}' \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{m}'\tilde{m}'}, |\tilde{J}'|=l}} \tilde{f}'_{k,l} \tilde{Z}'^{\tilde{I}'} \tilde{W}'^{\tilde{J}'}, \quad \tilde{G}'_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}') = \sum_{\substack{\tilde{I}' \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{m}'\tilde{N}'}, |\tilde{I}'|=k \\ \tilde{J}' \in \mathbb{N}^{\tilde{m}'\tilde{m}'}, |\tilde{J}'|=l}} \tilde{g}'_{k,l} \tilde{Z}'^{\tilde{I}'} \tilde{W}'^{\tilde{J}'}, \quad \forall k, l \in \mathbb{N},$$

where we have used the analogous notations to (3.4).

Now, we proceed as previously. We move forward:

## 6. Formal Embeddings from $\mathcal{BSD}_{m,N}$ into $\mathcal{BSD}_{m',N'}$

**6.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1.** It is clear.

## 7. Formal Embeddings Between Real Submanifolds Embedded into $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models

**7.1. Local Equations.** We consider a formal embedding

$$(\tilde{Z}', \tilde{W}') = \left( \tilde{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}), \tilde{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \right),$$

without constant terms, that embeds the Real Submanifold  $M$ , which is defined by (4.1), into the Real Submanifold  $M'$ , which is defined by (5.1), obtaining the following equation

$$(7.1) \quad \tilde{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \left( \tilde{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \right) \overline{\left( \tilde{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \right)^t} + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi'_{k,l} \left( \tilde{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}), \overline{\left( \tilde{\tilde{F}}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \right)^t} \right),$$

where  $\tilde{W}$  satisfies (4.1), according to the identifications from (2.1).

**7.2. Formal Expansions.** We write by (2.1) the following formal expansions

$$(7.2) \quad \tilde{F}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) \quad \tilde{G}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}) = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{G}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}),$$

where  $\tilde{G}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$  and  $\tilde{F}_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$  are matrices with homogeneous polynomials of bidegree  $(k, l)$  in  $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$  on their entries, where  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Their definitions are derived directly from (3.4) on each entry of the previous matrices.

Then, combining (4.2) and (7.2), we obtain by (7.1) the following equation

$$(7.3) \quad \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{G}_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}\tilde{Z}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}) \right) = \left( \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}\tilde{Z}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}) \right) \right) \left( \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}\tilde{Z} + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}) \right) \right)^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi'_{k,l} \left( \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \tilde{F}_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}\tilde{Z}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}) \right), \left( \tilde{F}_{k,l} \left( \tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}\tilde{Z}^t + \sum_{k+l \geq 3} \varphi_{k,l}(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z}) \right) \right)^t \right).$$

In order to make computations using (7.3), this mapping (7.2) is the subject of the following normalizations:

**7.3. Application of the Normalization Procedures from [12].** Firstly, we observe that

$$\tilde{G}_{0,0}(\tilde{Z}) = 0, \quad \tilde{F}_{0,0}(\tilde{Z}) = 0,$$

because the above formal embedding (7.2) does not have constant terms. Then, according to standard linear algebra arguments used also in [10], we can assume that we have

$$(7.4) \quad \tilde{G}_{0,1}(\tilde{W}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{W} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to the procedures related to Lemma 2.1 from [12].

Continuing as in [7],[10], we collect the terms of bidegree  $(1, 1)$  in  $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{Z})$  in (7.3) respecting the identifications from (2.1). By compositions with suitable linear automorphisms of the Models  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m', N'}$  and  $\mathcal{BSD}_{\tilde{m}', \tilde{N}'}$ , we can assume that

$$(7.5) \quad \tilde{F}_{1,0}(\tilde{Z}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{Z} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

according to the procedures related to Propositions 2.2 and 2.3 from [12].

It is just an exercise to understand that the linear changes of coordinates concerning (3.6), (3.7), (4.6), (4.7), (5.5), (5.6), (7.4), (7.5) are compatible. More exactly, the restriction of the change of coordinates concerning (3.6) and (3.7) provide also (7.4) and (7.5) in the light of the assumed existent embeddings of  $M$  into  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m, N}$  and of  $M'$  into  $\mathcal{BSD}_{m', N'}$ , being also compatible with the linear changes of coordinates related to (4.6), (4.7) and (5.5), (5.6). This fact shows some kind of compatibility existent between the Real Submanifolds existent in the diagrams (1.9) and the other diagram. This compatibility, which is present at the first degree level, may be detected at the higher degree levels. Then, the proof of Theorem 1.2 follows easily.

## References

- [1] Andrews, J.; Huang, X.; Ji, S.; Yin, W.— Mapping  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{B}^{3n-3}$ . *Communications in Analysis and Geometry* **24** (2016), no. 2, 270 – 300.
- [2] Baouendi, M.S.; Rothschild, L.P.— Mappings of real algebraic hypersurfaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1995), 997 – 1015.
- [3] Baouendi, M.S.; Rothschild, L.P.; Zaitsev, D. — Equivalences of real submanifolds in complex space. *J. Diff. Geom.* **59** (2001), 301 – 351.
- [4] Baouendi, M.S.; Huang, X. — Super-Rigidity for holomorphic mappings between hyperquadrics with positive signature. *J. Diff. Geom.* **69** (2005), no. 2, 379 – 398.
- [5] Baouendi, M.S.; Ebenfelt, P. ;Huang, X. — Holomorphic mappings between hyperquadrics with small signature difference *American J. Math.* **133** (2011), no. 6, 1633 – 1661.
- [6] Bishop, E. — Differentiable Manifolds In Complex Euclidian Space. *Duke Math. J.* **32** (1965), no. 1, 1–21.
- [7] Burcea, V. — A normal form for a 2-codimensional submanifold  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  near a CR singularity. *Adv. in Math.* **243** (2013), 262 – 295.
- [8] Burcea, V. — On a family of analytic discs attached to a real submanifold  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$ , *Methods and Applications of Analysis* **20**, 1, (2013), 69 – 78. (with Erratum Submitted for Publication)
- [9] Burcea, V. — Normal Forms and Degenerate CR Singularities. *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations* **61** (2016), 9, 1314 – 1333.
- [10] Burcea, V. — Real Submanifolds in Complex Spaces, *Acta Mathematica Sinica-English Series* **33** (2017), no. 1, 1 – 20. (with Corrigendum to be Submitted for Publication)
- [11] Burcea, V. — CR Singularities and Generalizations of Moser's Theorem I, Preprint which is Repaired.
- [12] Burcea, V. — Formal Holomorphic Embeddings Between  $\mathcal{BSD}$ -Models, Preprint.
- [13] Burcea, V. — CR Singularities and Generalizations of Moser's Theorem II, Preprint.
- [14] Cima, J.; Suffridge, T.J. — Proper holomorphic mappings from the two-ball to the three-ball. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **311**, (1989), no. 1, 227 – 239.
- [15] D'Angelo, J. P. — Proper holomorphic mappings, positivity conditions, and isometric imbedding, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **40** (2003), no. 3, 1 – 30.
- [16] Della Sala, G.—Local equivalence problem for Levi flat hypersurfaces. *Proc. of The A.M.S.* **139** (2011), no. 7, 2449 – 2461.
- [17] Ebenfelt, P.; Huang, X.; Zaitsev, D.— The equivalence problem and rigidity for hypersurfaces embedded into hyperquadrics. *American J. Math.* **127** (2005), no. 1, 169 – 191.
- [18] Faran, J. — Maps from the two ball to the three ball. *Inv. Math.* **68** (1982), no. 3, 441 – 475.
- [19] Faran, J.; Huang, X.; Ji, S.; Zhang, Y.— Rational and polynomial maps between balls. *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly* **6** (2010), no. 3, 829 – 842.
- [20] Gong, X. — On the convergence of normalizations of real analytic surfaces near hyperbolic complex tangents. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, **69** (1994), no. 1, 549 – 574.
- [21] Gong, X. — Existence of real analytic surfaces with hyperbolic complex tangent that are formally, but not holomorphically equivalent to quadrics. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **53** (2004), no. 1, 83 – 95.
- [22] Gong, X.; Lebl, J.— Normal forms for CR singular codimension-two Levi-flat submanifolds. *Pacific J. Math* **275** (2015), no. 1, 115 – 165.
- [23] Lebl, J.—Normal Forms, Hermitian Operators and CR maps of spheres and hyperquadrics. *Michigan Math. J.* **60** (2011), no. 3, 603 – 628.
- [24] Hamada, H.— Monomial proper maps between balls of different dimensions. *Bull. Kyushu Kyoritsu Univ. Fac. Engin.* **15** (1991), 41 – 43.
- [25] Hamada, H. — Rational proper holomorphic maps from  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{B}^{2n}$ . *Math. Ann.* **331** (2005), no. 3, 693 – 711.
- [26] Huang, X. — On a linearity problem for proper holomorphic maps between balls in complex spaces of different dimensions. *J. Differential Geom.* **51** (1999), no 1, 13-33.
- [27] Huang, X.—On a semi-rigidity property for holomorphic maps. *Asian. J. Math.* **7** (2003), no. 4, 463 – 492.
- [28] Huang, X.; Ji, S. — Mapping  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{B}^{2n-1}$ . *Invent. Math.* **145** (2001), no. 2, 219-250.

- [29] Huang, X.; Ji, S.; Xu, D. — A new gap phenomenon for proper holomorphic mappings from  $\mathbb{B}^n$  into  $\mathbb{B}^N$ . *Math. Research Letters* **13** (2006), no. 4, 515 – 529.
- [30] Huang, X.; Yin, W. — A codimension two CR singular submanifold that is formally equivalent to a symmetric quadric. *Int. Math. Res. Notices* (2009), no. 15, 2789 – 2828.
- [31] Huang, X.; Ji, S.; Yin, W. — The third gap for proper holomorphic maps between balls. *Math. Ann.* **358** (2014), no. 1-2, 115 – 142.
- [32] Kaup, W.; Zaitsev, D. — On symmetric Cauchy-Riemann manifolds. *Adv. Math.* **149** (2000), no. 2, 145 – 181.
- [33] Kaup, W.; Zaitsev, D. — On the CR-structure of compact group orbits associated with bounded symmetric domains. *Invent. Math.* **153** (2003), no. 1, 45 – 104.
- [34] Kolář, M. — Local equivalence of symmetric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , *Trans. of The A.M.S.* **362** (2010), no. 6, 2833 – 2843.
- [35] Kim, S.-Y.; Zaitsev, D. — Rigidity of CR Maps between Shilov Boundaries of Bounded Symmetric Domains. *Inv. Math.* **193** (2013), no. 2, 409 – 437.
- [36] Kim, S.-Y.; Zaitsev, D. — Rigidity of proper holomorphic maps between bounded symmetric domains. *Math. Ann.* **362** (2015), no. 1-2, 639 – 677.
- [37] Ji, S.; Xu, D. — Maps between  $\mathbb{B}^n$  and  $\mathbb{B}^N$  with geometrical rank  $k_0 \leq n - 2$  and minimum  $N$ . *Asian J. Math.* **8** (2004), no. 2, 233 – 258.
- [38] Moser, J.; Webster, S. — Normal forms for real surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  near complex tangents and hyperbolic surface transformations. *Acta Math.* **150** (1983), 255 – 296.
- [39] Moser, J. — Analytic Surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and their local hull of holomorphy. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. Math.* **10** (1985), 397-410.
- [40] Reiter, M. — Classification of holomorphic mappings of hyperquadrics from  $\mathbb{C}^2$  to  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . *J. Geom. Analysis* **26** (2016), no. 2, 1370 – 1414.
- [41] Slapar, M. — Canceling Complex Points in Codimension 2. *Bull. of The Aust. Math. Soc.* **88** (2013), no. 1, 64 – 69.
- [42] Slapar, M. — Modeling Complex Points up to Isotopy. *J. Geom. Anal.* **23** (2013), no. 4, 1932 – 1942.
- [43] Shapiro, H. — Algebraic Theorem of E.Fischer and the holomorphic Goursat problem. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **21** (1989), no. 6, 513 – 537.
- [44] Zaitsev, D. — Normal forms of non-integrable almost CR structures, *Amer. J. Math.* **134** (2012), no.4, 915 – 947.
- [45] Zaitsev, D. — New Normal Forms for Levi-nondegenerate Hypersurfaces. *Several Complex Variables and Connections with PDE Theory and Geometry*. Complex analysis-Trends in Math., Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, (*Special Issue: In the honor of Linda Preiss Rothschild*), pp. 321 – 340, (2010).
- [46] Webster, S.M. — On mapping an  $n$ -ball into an  $(n + 1)$ -ball in complex spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* **81** (1979), no. 1, 267 – 272.
- [47] Webster, S.M. — The Rigidity of C-R Hypersurfaces in a Sphere. *Indiana Univ. J. Math.* **28** (1979), no. 3, 405 – 416.

V. BURCEA: INDEPENDENT

Email address: valentin@maths.tcd.ie