

SIMULTANEOUS AVERAGING TO ZERO BY UNITARY MIXING OPERATORS

ABHINAV CHAND, LEONEL ROBERT, AND ARINDAM SUTRADHAR

ABSTRACT. We show that if every element a vector subspace of a C^* -algebra can be averaged to zero by means of unitary mixing operators, then all the elements of the subspace can be simultaneously averaged to zero by a net of unitary mixing operators. Moreover, such subspaces admit a simple description in terms of commutators and kernels of states on the C^* -algebra. We apply this result to center-valued expectations in C^* -algebras with the Dixmier property.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Let $U(A)$ denote its unitary group. We call a linear operator $T: A \rightarrow A$ a unitary mixing (or averaging) operator if it is a convex combination of inner automorphisms of A . That is,

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \text{Ad}_{u_j},$$

where $u_j \in U(A)$ and $t_j \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\sum_{j=1}^n t_j = 1$, and where $\text{Ad}_u(x) = uxu^*$ for all $x \in A$. There is a large literature, going back to Dixmier's approximation theorem for von Neumann algebras, around the averaging of elements of a C^* -algebra by means of unitary mixing operators. The question that we investigate here is that of simultaneously averaging a collection of elements of a C^* -algebra towards the zero element.

Let $S(A)$ denote the states space of A . Let $\text{Max}(A)$ denote the maximal (two-sided) ideals space of A . We prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Let $V \subseteq A$ be a linear subspace. The following are equivalent*

- (i) $V \subseteq \overline{[A, A]}$ and for each maximal ideal $M \in \text{Max}(A)$ there exists $\rho_M \in S(A)$ that factors through A/M and such that $V \subseteq \ker \rho_M$.
- (ii) For each $v \in V$ there exists a sequence of unitary mixing operators $(T_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $T_n v \rightarrow 0$.
- (iii) There exists a net of unitary mixing operators $(T_\lambda)_\lambda$ such that $T_\lambda v \rightarrow 0$ for all $v \in V$.

It is not difficult to derive the equivalence of (i) and (ii) from [NRS18, Theorem 4.7]. Our main contribution here is that (i) implies (iii). Observe that in (i), the selection of states $M \mapsto \rho_M$ may be done only on the maximal ideals M such that

A/M has no bounded traces, for if A/M has a trace, then we are guaranteed the existence of a ρ_M vanishing on V by the fact that $V \subseteq \overline{[A, A]}$.

Recall that a C^* -algebra is said to have the Dixmier property if for each $a \in A$ there exist unitary mixing operators $(T_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $T_n a \rightarrow b \in Z(A)$, where $Z(A)$ denotes the center of A . The above mentioned approximation theorem of Dixmier states that every von Neumann algebra has the Dixmier property. This is not the case, however, for every C^* -algebra (see [ART17]). As an immediate corollary of the previous theorem, we obtain the following:

Corollary 2. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with the Dixmier property. Let $H: A \rightarrow Z(A)$ be a $Z(A)$ -linear, positive, unital map such that*

- (a) $\tau \circ H = \tau$ for all bounded traces τ on A ,
- (b) $H(M) \subseteq M \cap Z(A)$ for all $M \in \text{Max}(A)$.

Then there exists a net of unitary mixing operators $(T_\lambda)_\lambda$ such that $T_\lambda \rightarrow H$ in the point-norm topology.

This result is possibly well known when A is a von Neumann algebra, although we are not aware of a reference for this case. A related theorem of Magajna for weakly central C^* -algebras replaces unitary mixing operators by C^* -convex combinations ([Mag08, Corollary 1.2]). The special case of Corollary 2 when A is simple and traceless is obtained by Zsido in [Zsi00]. We rely on Zsido's result to prove Theorem 1 above.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON MIXING OPERATORS AND DIXMIER SETS

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider the C^* -algebra A^n , i.e., the direct sum of n copies of A . (The norm in A^n is the maximum norm: $\|\mathbf{a}\| = \max \|a_j\|$.) We refer to the elements of A^n as n -tuples. We regard A embedded in A^n as the constant n -tuples.

Let us denote by $\text{Mix}(A)$ the set of unitary mixing operators $T: A \rightarrow A$, as defined in the introduction. We consider unitary mixing operators in $\text{Mix}(A)$ acting on n -tuples coordinatewise: given $T \in \text{Mix}(A)$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A^n$, we write

$$T(\mathbf{a}) = (Ta_1, \dots, Ta_n).$$

Given an n -tuple $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in A^n , let us define

$$D_A(\mathbf{a}) = \overline{\{T\mathbf{a} : T \in \text{Mix}(A)\}}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

which we call the Dixmier set generated by \mathbf{a} relative to A .

The next two lemmas are essentially obtained in [Arc77], but we establish them here in the form that will be needed later on.

Lemma 3 (Cf. [Arc77, Proposition 2.4]). *Each operator in $\text{Mix}(A)$ is a limit in the point-norm topology of a net of unitary mixing operators whose unitaries are exponentials, i.e., operators of the form*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n t_j \text{Ad}_{e^{ih_j}},$$

where $h_j \in A$ is selfadjoint for all j .

Proof. It suffices to approximate Ad_u , with $u \in U(A)$, in the point-norm topology by unitary mixing operators of the form (1). Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq A$. By the Borel functional calculus in A^{**} , there exists a selfadjoint $h \in A^{**}$ such that $u = e^{ih}$. By Kaplansky's density theorem, there exists a bounded net $(h_\lambda)_\lambda$ of selfadjoint elements in A such that $h_\lambda \rightarrow h$ in the ultrastrong* topology. Set $u_\lambda = e^{ih_\lambda}$. Then $u_\lambda x_i u_\lambda^* \rightarrow u x_i u^*$ in the ultrastrong* topology, and thus also in the $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ topology for all i . Thus, $u_\lambda \mathbf{x} u_\lambda^* \rightarrow u \mathbf{x} u^*$ in the $\sigma((A^n)^{**}, (A^n)^*)$ -topology, where we have set $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. It follows, by a standard application of the Hahn-Banach Theorem, that $u \mathbf{x} u^*$ belongs to the norm closure of $\text{co}\{u_\lambda \mathbf{x} u_\lambda^* : \lambda\}$. This yields, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, an operator $T \in \text{Mix}(A)$ of the form (1) and such that $\|T(\mathbf{x}) - u \mathbf{x} u^*\| < \varepsilon$, as desired. \square

Recall that A is said to have the Dixmier property if $D_A(a) \cap Z(A) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in A$, where $Z(A)$ denotes the center of A .

Lemma 4. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with the Dixmier property. Let I be a closed two-sided ideal of A . Let $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$. Then $D_A(\mathbf{a}) \cap Z(A)^n$ is mapped densely onto $D_{A/I}(\pi(\mathbf{a})) \cap Z(A/I)^n$ by the quotient map $\pi: A \mapsto A/I$.*

Proof. We follow arguments from [Arc77] adapted to n -tuples.

Let $\tilde{\mathbf{z}} \in D_{A/I}(\pi(\mathbf{a})) \cap Z(A/I)^n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $\|\tilde{T}\mathbf{a} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\| < \varepsilon$ for some $\tilde{T} \in \text{Mix}(A/I)$. Moreover, by the previous lemma, we may choose \tilde{T} of the form (1). Since the unitaries in \tilde{T} are exponentials, they lift to unitaries in A . In this way we get $T \in \text{Mix}(A)$ such that $\pi T = \tilde{T}$, where $\pi: A \rightarrow A/I$ denotes the quotient map. Set $\mathbf{b} = T(\mathbf{a})$. Then $\|\pi(\mathbf{b}) - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\| < \varepsilon$. By a process of successive averagings by unitary mixing operators, we can find $T_n \in \text{Mix}(A)$ such that $T_n \mathbf{b} \rightarrow \mathbf{z} \in D_A(\mathbf{b}) \cap Z(A)^n$. This is [KR97, Lemma 8.3.4], stated for the von Neumann algebra case, but the proof applies without change to any C^* -algebra with the Dixmier property. Then $\mathbf{z} \in D_A(\mathbf{a})$ and $\|\pi(\mathbf{z}) - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}\| < \varepsilon$, as desired. \square

Lemma 5. *Let A be a von Neumann algebra. Then $D_A(\mathbf{a})$ has the following central convexity property: $z\mathbf{b} + (1-z)\mathbf{c} \in D_A(\mathbf{a})$ for all $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in D_A(\mathbf{a})$ and $0 \leq z \leq 1$ in $Z(A)$.*

Note: This lemma is true in any C^* -algebra, but we only prove here the von Neumann algebra case, as it is all that will be needed later on.

Proof. For $n = 1$ and a selfadjoint, this is [NRS18, Lemma 3.4]. The same proof holds in this case with the obvious modifications.

Let $0 \leq z \leq 1$ be a central. Using Borel functional calculus, we can write z as a norm limit of elements of the form $\sum_{k=1}^N t_k e_k$, where the $(e_k)_{k=1}^N$ are central pairwise orthogonal projections adding up to 1, and $t_k \in [0, 1]$ for all k . It thus suffices to assume that z is exactly of this form.

We have $\mathbf{b} \in D_A(\mathbf{a})$ if and only if $e_k \mathbf{b} \in D_{e_k A}(e_k \mathbf{a})$ for all k . This holds since unitary mixing operators on $A \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^N e_k A$ are of the form $\bigoplus_{k=1}^N T_k$, with $T_k \in \text{Mix}(e_k A)$ for all k . Since $e_k z$ is a scalar multiple of e_k (the unit of $e_k A$), cutting down by each

e_k reduces the proof to the case that z is a scalar. In this case, the result is simply the convexity of $D_A(\mathbf{a})$. \square

In the next section we make essential use of the Zsido's Approximation Lemma from [Zsi00]:

Lemma 6. *Let A be a simple C^* -algebra without bounded traces. Let $\rho \in S(A)$. Then the operator $A \ni a \mapsto \rho(a)1 \in A$ is in the point-norm closure of $\text{Mix}(A)$.*

3. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND COROLLARY 2

Let us introduce some notation: We denote by $T(A)$ the set of tracial states of A . Given an ideal $I \subseteq A$, we denote by $S(A)_I$ the states on A that vanish on I , i.e., that factor through A/I . Given a state $\rho \in S(A)$ and $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$ we evaluate ρ on \mathbf{a} coordinatewise:

$$\rho(\mathbf{a}) = (\rho(a_1), \dots, \rho(a_n)) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

We regard \mathbb{C}^n endowed with the maximum norm. In this way, $A^n \ni \mathbf{a} \mapsto \rho(\mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ has norm 1.

We shall deduce the results stated in the introduction from the following result, of independent interest:

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in A^n$. Let $r \geq 0$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) $\inf\{\|\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(\mathbf{a}_i)\| : T_i \in \text{Mix}(A)\} \leq r$.
- (ii) *The following conditions hold:*
 - (a) $\|\sum_{i=1}^m \tau(\mathbf{a}_i)\| \leq r$ for all $\tau \in T(A)$,
 - (b) for each ideal $M \in \text{Max}(A)$ there exist states $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m \in S(A)_M$ such that $\|\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i(\mathbf{a}_i)\| \leq r$.

Proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\tau \in T(A)$. Since $\tau \circ T = \tau$ for all $T \in \text{Mix}(A)$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \tau(\mathbf{a}_i) = \tau\left(\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(\mathbf{a}_i)\right)$$

for all $T_i \in \text{Mix}(A)$. Since τ is a state, it is clear that (i) implies that the left hand side of the equation above has norm at most r . (Recall that we've endowed \mathbb{C}^n with the maximum norm.) This proves (a).

Let $M \in \text{Max}(A)$ and $\rho \in S(A)_M$. Then $\rho \circ T \in S(A)_M$ for all $T \in \text{Mix}(A)$. Also,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\rho \circ T_i)(\mathbf{a}_i) = \rho\left(\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(\mathbf{a}_i)\right).$$

Passing to the infimum over all $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \text{Mix}(A)$ and using (i), we deduce that

$$\inf\left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i(\mathbf{a}_i)\right\| : \rho_i \in S(A)_M\right\} \leq r.$$

By the compactness of $S(A)_M$ in the weak* topology, this infimum is attained. This proves (b). \square

Before proving (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 7, we use a standard Hahn-Banach/Kaplansky density argument to reduce the proof to the von Neumann algebra case.

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in A^n$. Regard A as a C^* -subalgebra of its bidual A^{**} . Then*

$$\inf\left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(\mathbf{a}_i)\right\| : T_i \in \text{Mix}(A)\right\} = \inf\left\{\left\|\sum_{i=1}^m T_i(\mathbf{a}_i)\right\| : T_i \in \text{Mix}(A^{**})\right\}.$$

Proof. Clearly, the right side is dominated by the left side. Let r denote the number on the left side. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose that $\mathbf{b} = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{b}_i$ is such that $\|\mathbf{b}\| < r + \varepsilon$, with

$$\mathbf{b}_i = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} t_{i,k} u_{i,k} \mathbf{a}_i u_{i,k}^*,$$

where the sum is a convex combination, and where $u_{i,k} \in U(A^{**})$ for all i, k . By Kaplansky's density theorem for unitaries ([GK60, Theorem 2]), there exist (commonly indexed) nets of unitaries $(u_{i,k,\lambda})_\lambda \in U(A)$ such that $u_{i,k,\lambda} \rightarrow u_{i,k}$ in the ultrastrong* topology for $i = 1, \dots, m$. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$ and λ , set

$$\mathbf{b}_{i,\lambda} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} t_{i,k} u_{i,k,\lambda} \mathbf{a}_i u_{i,k,\lambda}^* \in D_A(\mathbf{a}_i).$$

Then $\mathbf{b}_{i,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbf{b}_i$ coordinatewise in the weak* topology $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$, equivalently, in the $\sigma((A^n)^{**}, (A^n)^*)$ topology. Consider the set

$$S = \text{co}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^m \mathbf{b}_{i,\lambda} : \lambda\right\}.$$

This is a convex subset of A^n whose $\sigma((A^n)^{**}, (A^n)^*)$ closure in $(A^{**})^n$ contains \mathbf{b} . It follows that S must intersect the ball $\{\mathbf{x} \in A^n : \|\mathbf{x}\| < r + \varepsilon\}$. For suppose that this is not the case. Then, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists $\boldsymbol{\rho} \in (A^n)^*$ such that $\text{Re}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{x}) < r + \varepsilon$ for all $\|\mathbf{x}\| < r + \varepsilon$, while $\text{Re}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{y}) > r + \varepsilon$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in S$. The first inequality implies that $\|\boldsymbol{\rho}\| \leq 1$ and the second one that $\text{Re}(\boldsymbol{\rho}, \mathbf{b}) \geq r + \varepsilon$. This contradicts that $\|\mathbf{b}\| < r + \varepsilon$. Thus, there exists a convex combination of sums of the form $\sum_{k=1}^m \mathbf{b}_{i,\lambda}$ with norm $< r + \varepsilon$. This yields an element of norm $< r + \varepsilon$ and of the desired form. \square

Proof of Theorem 7 (ii) \Rightarrow (i). The proof proceeds in stages: We first obtain the result in the case that A is a simple C^* -algebra without bounded traces. Next, we deal with the case that A is a von Neumann algebra, which we break-up into the finite and the properly infinite case. Finally, relying on the previous lemma, we deal with the general case.

A is simple and traceless. Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in A^n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By assumption, there exist $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m \in \mathcal{S}(A)$ such that

$$\left\|\sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i(\mathbf{a}_i)\right\| \leq r.$$

By Lemma 6, for each i the map $A \ni a \mapsto \rho_i(a)1 \in A$ is a point-norm limit of unitary mixing operators. Then, letting $T_{i,\lambda} \in \text{Mix}(A)$ be such that $\lim_{\lambda} T_{i,\lambda} = \rho_i 1$, we deduce at once that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m T_{i,\lambda}(\mathbf{a}_i) \right\| < r + \varepsilon,$$

for some λ . This, (ii) implies (i) in this case.

A is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra. Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in A^n$ be n -tuples satisfying condition (b) of Theorem 7 for a given $r \geq 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let M be a maximal ideal of A . Passing to the quotient A/M , which is simple and traceless, we know, as established in the previous paragraph, that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^m D_{A/M}(\pi_M(\mathbf{a}_i)) \right) \cap Z(A/M)^n$$

contains an element of norm less than $r + \varepsilon$ (where $\pi_M: A \rightarrow A/M$ denotes the quotient map). Since $D_A(\mathbf{a}_i) \cap Z(A)^n$ maps densely onto $D_{A/M}(\pi_M(\mathbf{a}_i)) \cap Z(A/M)^n$ (Lemma 4), there exist $\mathbf{z}_i \in D_A(\mathbf{a}_i) \cap Z(A)^n$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \pi_M(\mathbf{z}_i) \right\| < r + \varepsilon.$$

Recall that von Neumann algebras are weakly central, i.e., $\text{Max}(A)$ is homeomorphic to the spectrum of $Z(A)$ via the map $\text{Max}(A) \ni M \mapsto M \cap Z(A) \in \widehat{Z(A)}$. Identifying in this way $\text{Max}(A)$ with $\widehat{Z(A)}$, we can rephrase the inequality above as

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_i(M) \right\| < r + \varepsilon,$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{z}}: \widehat{Z(A)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the Gelfand transform of $\mathbf{z} \in Z(A)$. This inequality is valid in neighborhood \mathcal{U}_M of M :

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_i(M') \right\| < r + \varepsilon,$$

for all $M' \in \mathcal{U}_M$. Since the spectrum of $Z(A)$ is totally disconnected, we can refine the cover $(\mathcal{U}_M)_M$ to a finite partition of $\widehat{Z(A)}$ by clopen sets. We thus obtain central projections $(e_k)_{k=1}^N$, corresponding to these clopen sets, such that $\sum_{k=1}^N e_k = 1$, and for each $k = 1, \dots, N$ there exists $\mathbf{z}_{i,k} \in D_A(\mathbf{a}_i) \cap Z(A)^n$ such that

$$(2) \quad \left\| e_k \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{z}_{i,k} \right\| < r + \varepsilon.$$

Now let

$$\mathbf{z}_i = e_1 \mathbf{z}_{i,1} + \dots + e_N \mathbf{z}_{i,N} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$

By Lemma 5, $z_i \in D_A(\mathbf{a}_i) \cap Z(A)^n$. Further,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \right\| = \max_{1 \leq k \leq N} \left\| e_k \sum_{i=1}^m z_{i,k} \right\| < r + \varepsilon.$$

This proves that (ii) implies (i) in the case that A is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra.

A is a finite von Neumann algebra. Suppose that A is a finite von Neumann algebra. This case follows using standard results on the center-valued trace. Let $E: A \rightarrow Z(A)$ denote the center-valued trace. Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in A^n$. Suppose that $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m$ satisfy condition (a) of Theorem 7 for a given $r \geq 0$. For each point evaluation $\text{ev}_M: Z(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with $M \in \widehat{Z(A)}$, the map $\text{ev}_M \circ E$ is a trace on A . We deduce at once from condition (a) that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m E(\mathbf{a}_i) \right\| \leq r.$$

It thus suffices to show that E is a point-norm limit of unitary mixing operators. Indeed, by [KR97, Lemma 8.3.4], there exists a net $(T_\lambda)_\lambda \in \text{Mix}(A)$ such that $T_\lambda a \in D_A(a) \cap Z(A)$ for all $a \in A$. Moreover, since A is a finite von Neumann algebra, it has the singleton Dixmier property, i.e., $D_A(a) \cap Z(A) = \{E(a)\}$ for all $a \in A$.

A is an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. Suppose now that A is an arbitrary von Neumann algebra. Then there exists a central projection e such that eA is a finite von Neumann algebra, while $(1-e)A$ is properly infinite. Let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m \in A^n$ be n -tuples satisfying (a) and (b) of Theorem 7. Then $e\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, e\mathbf{a}_m$ satisfy (a) relative to eA , and as demonstrated above this implies that $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m T_i \mathbf{a}_i \right\| < r + \varepsilon$ for some $T_1, \dots, T_m \in \text{Mix}(eA)$. On the other hand, $(1-e)\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, (1-e)\mathbf{a}_m$ satisfy condition (b) on $(1-e)A$, and as demonstrated above, $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m T'_i(\mathbf{a}_i) \right\| < r + \varepsilon$ for some $T'_i \in \text{Mix}((1-e)A)$. Since the operators $a \mapsto T_i(ea) + T'_i((1-e)a)$ are unitary mixing operator on A for all i , we again deduce that (ii) implies (i) for an arbitrary von Neumann algebra.

Case of an arbitrary C-algebra.* Let us argue that if A is unitaly embedded in some C*-algebra B , then conditions (a) and (b) are verified relative to B as well. Since every bounded trace on B restricts to a trace on A , we immediately deduce that (a) holds in B . Let $M \subseteq B$ be a maximal ideal of B . By condition (b) applied in A , there exist states $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_m \in S(A)$ that vanish on $A \cap M$ and such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i(\mathbf{a}_i) \right\| \leq r.$$

By the Hahn-Banach theorem, the state induced by ρ_i on $A/(A \cap M)$ extends to a state on B/M . We thus obtain states $\tilde{\rho}_1, \dots, \tilde{\rho}_m \in S(B)_M$ extending ρ_1, \dots, ρ_m . This yields condition (b) relative to B . Let us now regard A as a C*-subalgebra of A^{**} . Since the latter is a von Neumann algebra, we already know that (ii) implies (i) in this case. Applying Lemma 8, we deduce (i). \square

Let us now prove the results stated in the introduction:

Proof of Theorem 1. Let us prove that (i) implies (iii). Let $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a finite subset of V , and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Set $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Then \mathbf{a} satisfies conditions (a) and (b) of Theorem 7 (ii), with $m = 1$ and $r = 0$. We conclude that $\mathbf{0} \in D_A(\mathbf{a})$. Thus, there exists $T_{F,\varepsilon} \in \text{Mix}(A)$ such that $\|T_{F,\varepsilon}a_i\| < \varepsilon$ for all i . The net of operators $(F, \varepsilon) \mapsto T_{F,\varepsilon}$ is then as desired.

It is clear that (iii) implies (ii).

Let us prove that (ii) implies (i). Let V be as in (ii). Observe first that $a - Ta \in [A, A]$ for any $T \in \text{Mix}(A)$. Hence, if $T_n a \rightarrow 0$ for some sequence $T_n \in \text{Mix}(A)$, then $a \in \overline{[A, A]}$. This shows that $V \subseteq \overline{[A, A]}$.

Let M be a maximal ideal. Let $F = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ be a finite subset of V . Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $\mathbf{0} \notin \{\rho(\mathbf{a}) : \rho \in S(A)_M\}$. Then, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem applied to the compact convex set $\{\rho(\mathbf{a}) : \rho \in S(A)_M\}$ and $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $c > 0$ such that

$$\text{Re}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \beta_i\right) \geq c \text{ for all } (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \{\rho(\mathbf{a}) : \rho \in S(A)_M\}.$$

Let $a = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i$. Then, $0 \notin \{\rho(a) : \rho \in S(A)_M\}$, which in turn implies that $0 \notin D_A(a)$, by the equivalence of (i) and (iii) for the case $n = 1$ ([NRS18, Theorem 4.7]). This contradicts (ii). We have shown that for every finite set $F \subseteq V$ the set $\{\rho \in S(A)_M : \rho(F) = \{0\}\}$ is non-empty. By the compactness of $S(A)_M$ in the weak* topology, we obtain $\rho \in S(A)_M$ such that $\rho(V) = \{0\}$, as desired. \square

Remark 9. If every quotient of A has a bounded trace, then Theorem 1 (i) simply asserts that $V \subseteq \overline{[A, A]}$. In this case, it is not difficult to go from (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) to (iii) by a process of successive averagings towards zero of a given collection of elements: starting with a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n in $\overline{[A, A]}$, we argue by the equivalence of (i) and (ii) that there exists $T_1 \in \text{Mix}(A)$ such that $\|T_1 a_1\| < 1/2$. Since $T_1 a_2 \in \overline{[A, A]}$, we can choose $T_2 \in \text{Mix}(A)$ such that $\|T_2 T_1 a\| < \frac{1}{2^2}$, etc. This simple strategy breaks down when the C*-algebra A has traceless quotients, since after the first step there is no guarantee that $0 \in D_A(T_1 a_2)$.

Proof of Corollary 2. Let $V = \{a - H(a) : a \in A\}$. By the assumption that H preserves traces, $\tau|_V = 0$ for any bounded trace τ . Since $\overline{[A, A]} = \bigcap_{\tau \in \text{T}(A)} \ker \tau$ (see [CP79]), it follows that $V \subseteq \overline{[A, A]}$.

Let M be a maximal ideal and denote by $\pi_M : A \rightarrow A/M$ the quotient map. Since A/M is simple and unital, its center is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} . We may thus regard the restriction of π_M to $Z(A)$ as a homomorphism onto \mathbb{C} . Set $\rho_M = \pi_M \circ H$. Then ρ_M is a state factoring through A/M and such that $\rho_M(a - H(a)) = 0$ for all $a \in A$, i.e., $V \subseteq \ker \rho_M$. It follows by Theorem 1 that there exists a net of unitary mixing operators $(T_\lambda)_\lambda$ such that $T_\lambda(a - H(a)) \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in A$. Since the T_λ s fix the center, we obtain that $T_\lambda a \rightarrow Ha$ for all $a \in A$, as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [Arc77] R. J. Archbold, *An averaging process for C^* -algebras related to weighted shifts*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **35** (1977), no. 3, 541–554.
- [ART17] Robert Archbold, Leonel Robert, and Aaron Tikuisis, *The Dixmier property and tracial states for C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **273** (2017), no. 8, 2655–2718, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2017.06.026. MR3684893
- [CP79] Joachim Cuntz and Gert Kjaergård Pedersen, *Equivalence and traces on C^* -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis **33** (1979), no. 2, 135–164.
- [GK60] James G. Glimm and Richard V. Kadison, *Unitary operators in C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **10** (1960), 547–556. MR115104
- [Hal71] Herbert Halpern, *A generalized dual for a C^* -algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153** (1971), 139–156.
- [KR97] Richard V. Kadison and John R. Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras. Vol. II*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 16, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. Advanced theory; Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.
- [Mag08] Bojan Magajna, *On weakly central C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **342** (2008), no. 2, 1481–1484.
- [NRS18] Ping Wong Ng, Leonel Robert, and Paul Skoufranis, *Majorization in C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **370** (2018), no. 8, 5725–5759.
- [Zsi00] László Zsidó, *A criterion for splitting C^* -algebras in tensor products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 7, 2001–2006.

(Abhinav Chand) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE,
LAFAYETTE, 70506, USA

Email address: `abhinav.chand1@louisiana.edu`

(Leonel Robert) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE,
LAFAYETTE, 70506, USA

Email address: `lrobert@louisiana.edu`

(Arindam Sutradhar) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF LOUISIANA AT LAFAYETTE,
LAFAYETTE, 70506, USA

Email address: `arindam.sutradhar1@louisiana.edu`