

TOEPLITZ MATRICES ACTING ON THE ℓ^2 -SPACE OF AN IMPRIMITIVITY BIMODULE

BEATRIZ ABADIE

ABSTRACT. We give a definition of Toeplitz matrix acting on the ℓ^2 -space of an imprimitivity bimodule X over a C^* -algebra A . We characterize the set of Toeplitz matrices as the closure in a certain topology of the image of the left regular representation of the crossed product $A \rtimes X$.

If X is an imprimitivity bimodule over a C^* -algebra A , the right Hilbert A -module $\ell^2(X) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X^{\otimes n}$ provides a natural generalization of the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$. The main problem in generalizing the definition of Toeplitz matrix to this setting is that the ij^{th} entry of the matrix associated to an operator acting on $\ell^2(X)$ is an adjointable operator from $X^{\otimes j}$ to $X^{\otimes i}$, so one has to make sense of the condition $[T]_{ij} = [T]_{i-1, j-1}$ characterizing classical Toeplitz matrices. However, it seems natural to identify the creation operators $T_\eta^j : X^{\otimes j} \rightarrow X^{\otimes i}$ and $T_\eta^{j-1} : X^{\otimes j-1} \rightarrow X^{\otimes i-1}$, given by tensoring by $\eta \in X^{\otimes i-j}$. By making use of the notion of multiplier of an imprimitivity bimodule discussed by Echterhoff and Raeburn in [ER], we show that there is a unique A -bimodule isomorphism from the set of right adjointable maps $\mathcal{L}_R(X^{\otimes j}, X^{\otimes i})$ to $\mathcal{L}_R(X^{\otimes j-1}, X^{\otimes i-1})$ that takes T_η^j to T_η^{j-1} . This result enables us to define Toeplitz matrices acting on $\ell^2(X)$.

We then turn to the characterization of those operators on $\ell^2(X)$ that are associated to Toeplitz matrices. In order to do that, we consider the crossed product $A \rtimes X$ discussed in [AEE] and its left regular representation Λ on $\ell^2(X)$, a canonical representation that agrees with the usual left regular representation for crossed products by an automorphism. Let σ be the initial topology on $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(X))$ induced by the family of seminorms $\mathcal{F} = \{p_v : v \in X^{\otimes j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $p_v(T) = \|T(v\delta_j)\|$. Theorem 3.4 characterizes the set of Toeplitz matrices as the σ -closure of the image of the left regular representation on $\ell^2(X)$ of the crossed product $A \rtimes X$ defined in [AEE].

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we briefly expose the background on imprimitivity bimodules and their multipliers. We refer the reader to, for instance, [RW], [La], or [Rf] for further results and constructions.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L08, Secondary 46L55.
Keywords: Imprimitivity bimodules, Toeplitz matrices.

Let A be a C^* -algebra. A *right inner product A -module* is a complex vector space X that is a right A -module satisfying the condition

$$\lambda(xa) = (\lambda x)a = x(\lambda a) \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, x \in X, \text{ and } a \in A,$$

together with a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_R : X \times X \longrightarrow A$ such that

- (1) $\langle x, \lambda y + \mu z \rangle_R = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle_R + \mu \langle x, z \rangle_R$,
- (2) $\langle x, ya \rangle_R = \langle x, y \rangle_R a$,
- (3) $\langle y, x \rangle_R = \langle x, y \rangle_R^*$,
- (4) $\langle x, x \rangle_R \geq 0$
- (5) $\langle x, x \rangle_R = 0$ only if $x = 0$.

A right Hilbert A -module consists of an inner product A -module X that is complete in the norm

$$\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle_R\|^{1/2}.$$

A right Hilbert A -module X is said to be full if the ideal

$$\text{span}\{\langle x, y \rangle_R : x, y \in X\}$$

is dense in A .

Let X and Y be right Hilbert A -modules. A function $T : X \longrightarrow Y$ is *adjointable* if there is a function $T^* : Y \longrightarrow X$ such that

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_R = \langle x, T^*y \rangle_R \text{ for all } x \in X, y \in Y.$$

Adjointable maps turn out to be bounded linear A -module maps. Throughout this work, we will denote with $\mathcal{L}_R(X, Y)$ the set of right adjointable operators from X to Y .

Left Hilbert A -modules are defined analogously, by considering left A -modules and by replacing conditions 1) and 2) above with

- 1') $\langle \lambda x + \mu y, z \rangle_L = \lambda \langle x, z \rangle_L + \mu \langle y, z \rangle_L$,
- 2') $\langle ax, y \rangle_L = a \langle x, y \rangle_L$.

If X and Y are left Hilbert A -modules, left adjointable maps from X to Y are defined analogously to the right case. The set of left adjointable operators from X to Y will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}_L(X, Y)$.

Let A and B be C^* -algebras. An $A - B$ bimodule X is an $A - B$ imprimitivity bimodule if it is a full left Hilbert A -module and a full right Hilbert B -module such that

$$\langle x, y \rangle_L z = x \langle y, z \rangle_R,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. There is no ambiguity regarding the norm in X in that case, since (see, for instance, [BMS, Remark 1.9])

$$\|\langle x, x \rangle_L\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle_R\|$$

for all $x \in X$.

Remark 1.1. *As was shown in [BMS, Remark 1.9], if X is an $A - B$ imprimitivity bimodule, then*

$$(1) \quad \langle xb, y \rangle_L = \langle x, yb^* \rangle_L \text{ and } \langle ax, y \rangle_R = \langle x, a^*y \rangle_R.$$

for all $x, y \in X, a \in A$, and $b \in B$.

First notice that, if $z \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, yb^* \rangle_L z &= x \langle yb^*, z \rangle_R \\ &= x (\langle z, yb^* \rangle_R)^* \\ &= x (\langle z, y \rangle_R b^*)^* \\ &= xb \langle y, z \rangle_R \\ &= \langle xb, y \rangle_L z. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $a = \langle x, yb^* \rangle_L - \langle xb, y \rangle_L$, then $az = 0$ for all $z \in X$.

Finally,

$$aa^* = a(\langle yb^*, x \rangle_L - \langle y, xb \rangle_L) = \langle ayb^*, x \rangle_L - \langle ay, xb \rangle_L = 0,$$

which shows that $a = 0$. An analogous reasoning proves the second equation in (1).

The *dual of an $A - B$ imprimitivity bimodule X* was defined in [Rf, 6.17] as the $B - A$ imprimitivity bimodule \tilde{X} consisting of the conjugate vector space of X with the structure given by

$$b\tilde{x} = \widetilde{xb^*}, \tilde{x}a = \widetilde{a^*x}, \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle_L = \langle x, y \rangle_R, \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle_R = \langle x, y \rangle_L,$$

where $a \in A, b \in B$, and \tilde{x} denotes the element $x \in X$ viewed as an element of the dual bimodule \tilde{X} .

Given an $A - B$ imprimitivity bimodule X and a $B - C$ imprimitivity bimodule Y , the *tensor product $X \otimes Y$* is the $A - C$ imprimitivity bimodule obtained by completing the left inner product A -module and right inner product C -module consisting of the algebraic tensor product $X \otimes_{B \text{ alg}} Y$ with inner products given on simple tensors by

$$\langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle_L = \langle x_1 \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle_L, x_2 \rangle_L \text{ and } \langle x_1 \otimes y_1, x_2 \otimes y_2 \rangle_R = \langle y_1, \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_R y_2 \rangle_R.$$

We now recall the notions of multiplier algebra and multiplier bimodule. A *multiplier m of a C^* -algebra A* is a pair $m = (L, R)$, where L and R are linear maps from A to itself such that

$$L(ab) = L(a)b, R(ab) = aR(b), \text{ and } aL(b) = R(a)b,$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Every $a \in A$ gives rise to a multiplier (L_a, R_a) , where L_a and R_a are left and right multiplication by a , respectively. The set $M(A)$ of multipliers of A can be endowed with the structure of a C^* -algebra [Mu, 2.1.5] in which A sits as an ideal via the identification mentioned above. Besides ([Mu, 3.1.8]), if B is a C^* -algebra containing A as an ideal, there is a unique homomorphism from B to $M(A)$ that is the identity on A .

In [ER, Definition 1.1], Echterhoff and Raeburn define the notion of the multiplier bimodule of an imprimitivity bimodule. A *multiplier of an*

$A - B$ *imprimitivity bimodule* Y consists of a pair $m = (m_A, m_B)$, where $m_A : A \rightarrow Y$ is A -linear, $m_B : B \rightarrow Y$ is B -linear, and

$$m_A(a)b = am_B(b),$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B$.

Like in the case of C^* -algebras, $y \in Y$ can be viewed as the multiplier (y_A, y_B) , where $y_A(a) = ay$ and $y_B(b) = yb$, for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. By means of this identification, the set $M(Y)$ of multipliers of Y can be made into an $A - B$ bimodule, by setting

$$am = m_A(a) \text{ and } mb = m_B(b),$$

for all $a \in A, b \in B$, and $m = (m_A, m_B) \in M(Y)$.

Proposition 1.2 in [ER] characterizes $M(Y)$ up to isomorphism as the $A - B$ bimodule satisfying the following two properties:

- (1) $M(Y)$ contains a copy of the bimodule Y such that $AM(Y) \subset Y$ and $M(Y)B \subset Y$.
- (2) If M is an $A - B$ bimodule satisfying (1), then there is a unique $A - B$ bimodule homomorphism from M to $M(Y)$ that is the identity on Y .

2. ADJOINTABLE MAPS AS MULTIPLIERS

Let Y and Z be an $A - B$ and a $B - C$ imprimitivity bimodule, respectively. For $y_0 \in Y$ and $z_0 \in Z$, we denote by $T_{y_0}^Z \in \mathcal{L}_R(Z, Y \otimes Z)$ and $R_{z_0}^Y \in \mathcal{L}_L(Y, Y \otimes Z)$ the creation operators defined by

$$(2) \quad T_{y_0}^Z(z) = y_0 \otimes z \text{ and } R_{z_0}^Y(y) = y \otimes z_0.$$

It is well known, and easy to check, that the maps $y \mapsto T_y^Z$ and $z \mapsto R_z^Y$ are isometric, and that

$$(T_{y_0}^Z)^*(y \otimes z) = \langle y_0, y \rangle_R z \quad \text{and} \quad (R_{z_0}^Y)^*(y \otimes z) = y \langle z, z_0 \rangle_L,$$

for all $y, y_0 \in Y$ and $z, z_0 \in Z$.

We will also be making use of the equation

$$(3) \quad (R_z^Y)^*(\eta) \otimes w = \eta \langle z, w \rangle_R,$$

for all $z, w \in Z$ and $\eta \in Y \otimes Z$.

By virtue of the continuity of both sides in Equation 3, it suffices to check it for η in the algebraic tensor product $Y \otimes_{alg} Z$.

If $\eta = \sum_i y_i \otimes z_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (R_z^Y)^*(\eta) \otimes w &= \sum_i y_i \langle z_i, z \rangle_L \otimes w = \sum_i y_i \otimes \langle z_i, z \rangle_L w = \\ &= \sum_i y_i \otimes z_i \langle z, w \rangle_R = \eta \langle z, w \rangle_R. \end{aligned}$$

Notation 2.1. Let Y and Z be an A – C and a B – C imprimitivity bimodule, respectively. Throughout this work, we will view $\mathcal{L}_R(Z, Y)$ as an A – B bimodule for the actions

$$(a \cdot \phi)(z) = a\phi(z) \quad \text{and} \quad (\phi \cdot b)(z) = \phi(bz),$$

for $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $z \in Z$, and $\phi \in \mathcal{L}_R(Z, Y)$.

Proposition 1.3 in [ER] identifies the multiplier bimodule $M(Y)$ of an A – B imprimitivity bimodule Y with $\mathcal{L}_R(B, Y)$, with the A – B bimodule structure established in Notation 2.1 and the copy of Y obtained via the map $y \mapsto T_y^B \in \mathcal{L}_R(B, Y)$, where $T_y^B(b) = yb$ for $b \in B$ and $y \in Y$. The following theorem generalizes that result, which follows when one takes $Z = B$.

Theorem 2.2. Let Y and Z be an A – B and a B – B imprimitivity bimodule, respectively. Then the A – B bimodule $\mathcal{L}_R(Z, Y \otimes Z)$, provided with the copy of Y given by $T_Y = \{T_y^Z : y \in Y\}$, is isomorphic to $M(Y)$.

Proof. Let M denote the A – B bimodule $\mathcal{L}_R(Z, Y \otimes Z)$. First note that the map $y \rightarrow T_y^Z$ is an A – B bimodule homomorphism:

$$(T_y^Z \cdot b)(z) = T_y^Z(bz) = y \otimes bz = yb \otimes z = T_{yb}^Z(z),$$

and

$$(a \cdot T_y^Z)(z) = aT_y^Z(z) = ay \otimes z = T_{ay}^Z(z),$$

for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $y \in Y$, and $z \in Z$.

Therefore, we can identify the A – B bimodule Y with the closed A – B sub-bimodule T_Y of M .

We now show that $AM \subset T_Y$. Let $a = \langle u \otimes v, u' \otimes v' \rangle_L$, where $u, u' \in Y$ and $v, v' \in Z$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a \cdot \phi)(z) &= \langle u \otimes v, u' \otimes v' \rangle_L \phi(z) \\ &= u \otimes v \langle u' \otimes v', \phi(z) \rangle_R \\ &= u \otimes v \langle \phi^*(u' \otimes v'), z \rangle_R \\ &= u \otimes \langle v, \phi^*(u' \otimes v') \rangle_L z \\ &= T_{u \langle v, \phi^*(u' \otimes v') \rangle_L}^Z(z), \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in Z$. Therefore, $a \cdot \phi \in T_Y$.

It follows that the set $A_0 := \{a \in A : a \cdot \phi \in T_Y\}$ is dense in A . Since T_Y is closed in M and the action of A on M is continuous, we conclude that $A_0 = A$.

We next show that $MB \subset T_Y$. Let $b = \langle u, v \rangle_L$, with $u, v \in Z$, and let $\phi \in M$. If $z \in Z$, then

$$(\phi \cdot b)(z) = \phi(\langle u, v \rangle_L z) = \phi(u \langle v, z \rangle_R) = \phi(u) \langle v, z \rangle_R.$$

If $\phi(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes z_i$, for $y_i \in Y$, $z_i \in Z$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then, by the equation above,

$$(4) \quad (\phi \cdot b)(z) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes z_i \right) \langle v, z \rangle_R = T_{\sum_i y_i \langle z_i, v \rangle_L}^Z(z) = T_{(R_v^Y)^*(\sum_i y_i \otimes z_i)}^Z(z),$$

where R_v^Y is as in Equation (2).

We now show that

$$(5) \quad \phi \cdot b = T_{(R_v^Y)^*(\phi(u))}^Z$$

if $b = \langle u, v \rangle_L$, for $u, v \in Z$. Let η_k be a sequence in the algebraic tensor product $Y \otimes_{alg} Z$ converging to $\phi(u)$. Then, as above,

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi \cdot b)(z) &= \phi(u) \langle v, z \rangle_R \\ &= \lim_k \eta_k \langle v, z \rangle_R \\ &= \lim_k T_{(R_v^Y)^*(\eta_k)}^Z(z) \\ &= T_{(R_v^Y)^*(\phi(u))}^Z(z). \end{aligned}$$

We have thus shown that $\phi \cdot \langle u, v \rangle_L \in T_Y$, for all $u, v \in Z$. Now, a reasoning similar to that above shows that $(\phi \cdot b) \in T_Y$ for all $b \in B$.

The universal property of $M(Y)$ and the identification of $M(Y)$ with $\mathcal{L}_R(B, Y)$ mentioned above yield now an $A - B$ bimodule homomorphism

$$J : M \longrightarrow M(Y)$$

such that $J(T_y^Z) = T_y^B$ for all $y \in Y$.

Let $H : M(Y) \longrightarrow M$ be defined by

$$[H(\phi)](bz) = \phi(b) \otimes z,$$

for all $\phi \in M(Y)$, $b \in B$, and $z \in Z$. Notice that the definition above makes sense, since $H(\phi)$ is the composition of $\phi \otimes \text{id}_Z$ and the canonical isomorphism between Z and $B \otimes Z$.

Besides, H is an $A - B$ bimodule homomorphism:

$$[H(a \cdot \phi)](bz) = (a \cdot \phi)(b) \otimes z = a\phi(b) \otimes z = [a \cdot H(\phi)](z),$$

and

$$[H(\phi \cdot c)](bz) = (\phi \cdot c)(b) \otimes z = \phi(cb) \otimes z = [H(\phi) \cdot c](bz),$$

for all $\phi \in M(Y)$, $a \in A$, $b, c \in B$, and $z \in Z$.

We now show that $H = J^{-1}$. In fact, we have that

$$[H(T_y^B)](bz) = T_y^B(b) \otimes z = yb \otimes z = y \otimes bz = T_y^Z(bz),$$

for all $y \in Y$, $b \in B$, and $z \in Z$. That is, $H(T_y^B) = T_y^Z$ for all $y \in Y$.

It now follows that $JH : M(Y) \longrightarrow M(Y)$ is an $A - B$ bimodule homomorphism that is the identity on Y . We conclude from the universal property of $M(Y)$ that $JH = \text{Id}_{M(Y)}$.

Finally, we prove that $HJ = \text{Id}_M$. First recall that, by Equation (5),

$$\phi \cdot b = \sum_{i=1}^n T_{(R_v^Y)^*(\phi(u))}^Z,$$

if $\phi \in M$ and $b = \langle u, v \rangle_L$, where $u, v \in Z$.

Therefore, if $c \in B$, then

$$(6) \quad (J\phi)(bc) = [(J\phi) \cdot b](c) = [J(\phi \cdot b)](c) = \sum_{i=1}^n T_{(R_v^Y)^*(\phi(u))}^B(c).$$

Then, by Equation (3), for $c \in B$ and $z \in Z$,

$$\begin{aligned} (HJ\phi)(bcz) &= (J\phi)(bc) \otimes z \\ &= T_{(R_v^Y)^*(\phi(u))}^B(c) \otimes z \\ &= \phi(u) \langle v, cz \rangle_R \\ &= \phi(u \langle v, cz \rangle_R) \\ &= \phi(\langle u, v \rangle_L cz) \\ &= \phi(bcz). \end{aligned}$$

for all $c \in B$ and $z \in Z$.

A standard continuity argument completes now the proof. □

3. TOEPLITZ MATRICES

Let X be an imprimitivity bimodule over a C^* -algebra A . In this section we make use of Theorem 2.2 in order to define Toeplitz matrices acting on $\ell^2(X)$. We then describe Toeplitz matrices in terms of the left regular representation of the crossed product $A \rtimes X$ discussed in [AEE].

As usual, if $k < 0$, $X^{\otimes k}$ denotes the Hilbert C^* -bimodule $\tilde{X}^{\otimes -k}$, \tilde{X} being the dual bimodule defined in [Rf]. If $\eta \in X^{\otimes k}$, we denote by T_η^n the operator $T_\eta^{X^{\otimes n}} \in \mathcal{L}_R(X^{\otimes n}, X^{\otimes n+k})$, where we make the usual identifications of $a \otimes x$ with ax , $x \otimes a$ with xa , $\tilde{x} \otimes y$ with $\langle x, y \rangle_R$, and $x \otimes \tilde{y}$ with $\langle x, y \rangle_L$.

By Theorem 2.2, there is a unique $A - A$ bimodule isomorphism

$$\alpha^{n,m} : \mathcal{L}_R(X^{\otimes n}, X^{\otimes m}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_R(X^{\otimes n-1}, X^{\otimes m-1})$$

such that $\alpha^{n,m}(T_\eta^n) = T_\eta^{n-1}$, for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\eta \in X^{\otimes m-n}$.

We denote by $\ell^2(X)$ the right Hilbert C^* -module over A given by

$$\ell^2(X) = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} X^{\otimes k},$$

and by $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(X))$ the space of right adjointable operators on $\ell^2(X)$.

An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(X))$ can be represented by a matrix $[T]$, where $[T]_{ij} \in \mathcal{L}_R(X^{\otimes j}, X^{\otimes i})$ is given by

$$[T]_{ij} = \Pi_i T E_j,$$

for the usual maps $E_k : X^{\otimes k} \longrightarrow \ell^2(X)$ and $\Pi_k : \ell^2(X) \longrightarrow X^{\otimes k}$, defined by $E_k(u) = u\delta_k$ and $\Pi_k f = f(k)$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The automorphisms $\alpha^{n,m}$ defined above yield a natural definition of Toeplitz matrix.

Definition 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}_R(\ell^2(X))$. The matrix $[T]$ is said to be a Toeplitz matrix if $\alpha^{j,i}([T]_{ij}) = [T]_{i-1, j-1}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Example 3.2. Classical Toeplitz matrices

Let $X = \mathbb{C}$ be the $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{C}$ imprimitivity bimodule obtained by letting \mathbb{C} act on itself with left and right multiplication, with inner products

$$\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle_L = \lambda \bar{\mu} \text{ and } \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle_R = \bar{\lambda} \mu.$$

Since conjugation identifies the $\mathbb{C} - \mathbb{C}$ imprimitivity bimodules C and \tilde{C} , $\ell^2(X)$ is the usual Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Besides, $\mathcal{L}_R(X^{\otimes n}, X^{\otimes m}) \simeq \mathcal{L}_R(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ consists of left multiplication by complex numbers, and $\alpha^{n,m} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the matrix $[T]$ associated to an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}_R(\ell^2(X))$ is a Toeplitz matrix if and only if $[T]_{ij} = [T]_{i-1, j-1}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. That is, if and only if $[T]$, viewed as a an operator acting on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, is a Toeplitz matrix in the classical sense.

Example 3.3. *Given an $A - A$ imprimitivity bimodule X , the crossed product $A \rtimes X$ was defined in [AEE, Definition 2.4]. Theorem 2.9 in [AEE] shows that $A \rtimes X$ is the cross-sectional C^* -algebra of a Fell bundle with fibers $\{X^{\otimes n} : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It follows from [FD, VIII.16.12] that $A \rtimes X$ acts on $\ell^2(X)$ via the representation Λ (the left regular representation, following the terminology of [Ex1, 2.3]) given by*

$$[\Lambda_f(\eta)](l) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f(l - k) \otimes \eta(k),$$

for all $\eta \in \ell^2(X)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, and all compactly supported cross-sections $f \in A \rtimes X$.

Therefore,

$$[\Lambda_f]_{ij} = T_{f(i-j)}^j,$$

and $[\Lambda_f]$ is a Toeplitz matrix.

Theorem 3.4. *Let σ be the initial topology on $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(X))$ induced by the family of seminorms $\mathcal{F} = \{p_v : v \in X^{\otimes j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, where $p_v(T) = \|T(v\delta_j)\|$, and let Λ the left regular representation defined in Example 3.3.*

If $R \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(X))$, then $[R]$ is a Toeplitz matrix if and only if $R \in \overline{\Lambda(A \rtimes Z)}^\sigma$.

Proof. Let $R \in \overline{\Lambda(A \rtimes Z)}^\sigma$. Since the set of compactly supported cross-sections is dense in the norm in $A \rtimes X$, we may assume that R is the σ -limit of a net $\{\Lambda_{f_d}\}$, where f_d is a compactly supported cross-section in $A \rtimes X$ for all d . We first assume that $[R]_{ij} = T_{\eta_{ij}}^j$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\eta_{ij} \in X^{\otimes i-j}$.

Then, if $v \in X^{\otimes j}$,

$$[R]_{ij}(v) = [R(v\delta_j)](i) = \lim_d [\Lambda_{f_d}(v\delta_j)](i) = \lim_d f_d(i-j) \otimes v.$$

Therefore,

$$\eta_{ij} \otimes v = \lim_d f_d(i-j) \otimes v,$$

for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in X^{\otimes j}$.

Now, if $v \in X^{\otimes j}$ and $w \in X$, then

$$[R]_{i+1 \ j+1}(v \otimes w) = \lim_d f_d(i-j) \otimes v \otimes w = \eta_{ij} \otimes v \otimes w = T_{\eta_{ij}}^{j+1}(v \otimes w).$$

It follows that $\alpha^{j+1, i+1}([R]_{i+1 \ j+1}) = [R]_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Consequently, $[R]$ is a Toeplitz matrix.

In the general case, since $R \cdot a$ is as above for all $a \in A$, then, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\alpha^{j, i}([R]_{ij} \cdot a) = \alpha^{j, i}([R \cdot a]_{ij}) = [R \cdot a]_{i-1 \ j-1} = [R]_{i-1 \ j-1} \cdot a.$$

Since the maps $\alpha^{j, i}$ are $A - A$ bimodule homomorphisms, this shows that

$$\alpha^{j, i}([R]_{ij}) \cdot a = [R]_{i-1 \ j-1} \cdot a.$$

The result now follows from the fact that, if $\{e_\lambda\}$ is an approximate identity of A , then $S(v) = \lim_\lambda (S \cdot e_\lambda)(v)$ for all $S \in \mathcal{L}(X^{\otimes j}, X^{\otimes i})$, $v \in X^{\otimes j}$, and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now turn to the converse statement. Let $[R]$ be a Toeplitz matrix. Assume first that $[R]$ is such that

$$(7) \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ there exists } u_k \in X^{\otimes k} \text{ such that } [R]_{ij} = T_{u(i-j)}^j.$$

Set $u = \sum_k u_k \delta_k$. If $\{e_\lambda\}$ is an approximate identity of A , then, since $ue_\lambda = R(e_\lambda \delta_0)$, $ue_\lambda \in \ell^2(X)$ and $\|ue_\lambda\|_2 \leq \|R\|$ for all λ . This implies that $u \in \ell^2(X)$.

Now, given $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_N \in A \rtimes Z$ be defined by $f_N = \sum_{|k| \leq N} u_k \delta_k$. We next show that Λ_{f_N} converges to R in the topology σ .

In fact, if $v \in X^{\otimes j}$, then

$$(R - \Lambda_{f_N})(v\delta_j) = \sum_{|k| > N} (u_k \otimes v) \delta_{k+j}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(R - \Lambda_{f_N})(v\delta_j)\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{|k|>N} \langle u_k \otimes v, u_k \otimes v \rangle_R \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \sum_{|k|>N} \langle v, \langle u_k, u_k \rangle_R v \rangle_R \right\|^2 \\
&= \left\| \langle v, \sum_{|k|>N} \langle u_k, u_k \rangle_R v \rangle_R \right\|^2 \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{|k|>N} \langle u_k, u_k \rangle_R \right\| \|v\|^2 < \epsilon
\end{aligned}$$

from some N on.

For the general case, let $\{e_\lambda\}$ be an approximate identity of A . For each λ , $[R \cdot e_\lambda]$ is a Toeplitz matrix satisfying (7). Thus, for each λ , there is a sequence $\{f_{N,\lambda}\}$ of compactly supported functions in $A \rtimes X$ such that $\lim_N \Lambda_{f_{N,\lambda}}(v\delta_j) = R(e_\lambda v\delta_j)$, for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $v \in X^{\otimes j}$.

Given $\epsilon > 0$ and $v_i \in X^{\otimes j_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, let λ_0 be such that

$$\|v_i - e_{\lambda_0} v_i\| < \epsilon/(2\|R\|) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Now choose N_0 so that

$$\|\Lambda_{f_{N_0,\lambda_0}}(v_i\delta_{j_i}) - R(e_{\lambda_0} v_i\delta_{j_i})\| < \epsilon/2 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(R - \Lambda_{f_{N_0,\lambda_0}})(v_i\delta_{j_i})\| &\leq \|R((v_i - e_{\lambda_0} v_i)\delta_{j_i})\| + \|R(e_{\lambda_0} v_i\delta_{j_i}) - \Lambda_{f_{N_0,\lambda_0}}(v_i\delta_{j_i})\| \\
&< \epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. □

REFERENCES

- [AEE] Abadie, B.; Eilers, S.; Exel, R. *Morita equivalence for crossed products by Hilbert C^* -bimodules*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 350, No. 8, (1998), pp. 3043-3054.
- [ER] Echterhoff, S.; Raeburn, I. *Multipliers of imprimitivity bimodules and Morita equivalence of crossed products*, Math. Scand., 76, (1995), pp. 289-309.
- [BMS] Brown, L.; Mingo, J. and Shen, N. *Quasi-multipliers and embeddings of Hilbert C^* -bimodules*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, **46** (6), pp. 1150-1174.
- [Ex1] Exel, R. *Amenability for Fell bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **492** (1997), 41-73.
- [FD] Fell, J.M.G. and Doran, R.S. *Representations of $*$ -Algebras, Locally Compact Groups, and Banach $*$ -Algebraic Bundles*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vols. 125-126, Academic Press, 1988.
- [La] Lance, C. *Hilbert C^* -modules. A toolkit for operator algebraists*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, **210**, 1995, Cambridge University Press.
- [Mu] Murphy, G. *C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory*, 1990, Academic Press.
- [RW] Raeburn, I.; Williams, D. *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras*, Mathematics Surveys and Monographs, **Vol. 60**, 1998, American Mathematical Society.

TOEPLITZ MATRICES ACTING ON THE ℓ^2 -SPACE OF AN IMPRIMITIVITY BIMODULE

- [Rf] Rieffel, M. *Induced representations of C^* -algebras*, Advances in Mathematics, **13**, 2, (1974), 176-257.

CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA. FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS. IGUÁ 4225, CP 11 400, MONTEVIDEO, URUGUAY.

Email address: `abadie@cmat.edu.uy`