

Perturbation of p-approximate Schauder frames for separable Banach spaces

K. Mahesh Krishna and P. Sam Johnson

Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences
National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK), Surathkal
Mangaluru 575 025, India

Emails: kmaheshak@gmail.com, sam@nitk.edu.in

Date: November 11, 2021

Abstract: Paley-Wiener theorem for frames for Hilbert spaces, Banach frames, Schauder frames and atomic decompositions for Banach spaces are known. In this paper, we derive Paley-Wiener theorem for p-approximate Schauder frames for separable Banach spaces. We show that our results give Paley-Wiener theorem for frames for Hilbert spaces.

Keywords: Frame, Approximate Schauder Frame, Paley-Wiener theorem, Perturbation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 42C15, 47A55.

1. INTRODUCTION

About a century old theorem of Paley and Wiener states that sequences which are close to orthonormal bases for Hilbert spaces are Riesz bases (see Chapter 1, Theorem 13 in [21] and [1]). Since frames are generalizations of Riesz bases, we naturally ask whether a sequence which is close to a frame is a frame? Recall that a sequence $\{\tau_n\}_n$ in a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} over \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}) is said to be a frame for \mathcal{H} if there exist $a, b > 0$ such that

$$a\|h\|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle h, \tau_n \rangle|^2 \leq b\|h\|^2, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Constants a and b are called as lower and upper frame bounds, respectively [12]. First Paley-Wiener theorem (also known as perturbation theorem) of a frame for a Hilbert space is due to Christensen, in 1995, which states as follows.

Theorem 1.1. [8] *Let $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds a and b . If $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{H} satisfies*

$$c := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\tau_n - \omega_n\|^2 < a,$$

then it is a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $a(1 - \sqrt{\frac{c}{a}})^2$ and $b(1 + \sqrt{\frac{c}{b}})^2$.

In a short time after the derivation of Theorem 1.1, Christensen himself generalized Theorem 1.1 and obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.2. [9] *Let $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds a and b . If $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{H} is such that there exist $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{a}} < 1$ and*

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n (\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| \leq \alpha \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \tau_n \right\| + \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{K}, m = 1, \dots,$$

then it is a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $a \left(1 - \left(\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{a}}\right)\right)^2$ and $b \left(1 + \left(\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{b}}\right)\right)^2$.

Casazza and Christensen extended the Theorem 1.2 further in 1997, and obtained the next theorem.

Theorem 1.3. [6] Let $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds a and b . If $\{\omega_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{H} is such that there exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\max\{\alpha + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{a}}, \beta\} < 1$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n(\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| \leq \alpha \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \tau_n \right\| + \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \beta \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \omega_n \right\|, \quad \forall c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{K}, m = 1, \dots,$$

then it is a frame for \mathcal{H} with bounds $a \left(1 - \frac{\alpha + \beta + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{a}}}{1 + \beta}\right)^2$ and $b \left(1 + \frac{\alpha + \beta + \frac{\gamma}{\sqrt{a}}}{1 - \beta}\right)^2$.

After the developments of theories of Banach frames, Schauder frames and atomic decompositions for separable Banach spaces (see [2–4, 14]) Paley-Wiener theorems are derived for Banach frames, Schauder frames and atomic decompositions (see [7, 11, 16, 17, 19, 22]). In this paper, we derive Paley-Wiener theorem for p-ASFs (Theorem 2.4). We show that our result gives Theorem 1.3 for Hilbert spaces (Remark 2.5).

2. PALEY-WIENER THEOREM FOR P-APPROXIMATE SCHAUDER FRAMES

Let \mathcal{X} be a separable Banach space and \mathcal{X}^* be its dual. In the rest of this paper, $\{e_n\}_n$ denotes the standard Schauder basis for $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$, $p \in [1, \infty)$. We now recall the definition of approximate Schauder frames for separable Banach spaces.

Definition 2.1. [13, 20] Let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X} and $\{f_n\}_n$ be a sequence in \mathcal{X}^* . The pair $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is said to be an approximate Schauder frame (ASF) for \mathcal{X} if

$$(1) \quad S_{f, \tau} : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto S_{f, \tau} x := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \tau_n \in \mathcal{X}$$

is a well-defined bounded linear, invertible operator.

Following [18], real $a, b > 0$ satisfying

$$a \|x\| \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) \tau_n \right\| \leq b \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$$

are called as lower ASF bound and upper ASF bound, respectively. There is a particular case of ASFs studied by the authors of this paper which contains many important properties of frames for Hilbert spaces (see [18]).

Definition 2.2. [18] An ASF $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ for \mathcal{X} is said to be a p -ASF, $p \in [1, \infty)$ if both the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_f : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \theta_f x &:= \{f_n(x)\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \text{ and} \\ \theta_\tau : \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) \ni \{a_n\}_n \mapsto \theta_\tau \{a_n\}_n &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \tau_n \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

are well-defined bounded linear operators.

In order to derive Paley-Wiener theorem for p-ASFs, we need a generalization of result of Hilding [15].

Theorem 2.3. [5, 6] Let \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} be Banach spaces, $U : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a bounded invertible operator. If a bounded linear operator $V : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is such that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$ with

$$\|Ux - Vx\| \leq \alpha \|Ux\| + \beta \|Vx\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X},$$

then V is invertible and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\beta}\|Ux\| &\leq \|Vx\| \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\beta}\|Ux\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \\ \frac{1-\beta}{1+\alpha}\frac{1}{\|U\|}\|y\| &\leq \|V^{-1}y\| \leq \frac{1+\beta}{1-\alpha}\|U^{-1}\|\|y\|, \quad \forall y \in \mathcal{Y}.\end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, the standard Schauder basis for $\ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ is denoted by $\{e_n\}_n$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . Assume that a collection $\{\tau_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{X} and a collection $\{g_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{X}^* are such that there exist $r, s, t, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\max\{\alpha + \gamma\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|, \beta, s\} < 1$ and*

$$(2) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m (f_n - g_n)(x)e_n \right\| \leq r \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m f_n(x)e_n \right\| + t\|x\| + s \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m g_n(x)e_n \right\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, m = 1, \dots,$$

$$(3) \quad \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n(\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| \leq \alpha \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \tau_n \right\| + \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \beta \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \omega_n \right\|, \quad \forall c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{K}, m = 1, \dots$$

Then $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} with bounds

$$\frac{1 - (\alpha + \gamma\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|)}{(1 + \beta)\|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \beta}\|\theta_\tau\| + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \beta} \right) \left(\frac{1 + r}{1 - s}\|\theta_f\| + \frac{t}{1 - s} \right).$$

Proof. For $m = 1, \dots$, for each $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{K}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m g_n(x)e_n \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m (f_n - g_n)(x)e_n \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m f_n(x)e_n \right\| \\ &\leq (1 + r) \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m f_n(x)e_n \right\| + s \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m g_n(x)e_n \right\| + t\|x\|\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \omega_n \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n(\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \tau_n \right\| \\ &\leq (1 + \alpha) \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \tau_n \right\| + \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \beta \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \omega_n \right\|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m g_n(x)e_n \right\| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-s} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m f_n(x)e_n \right\| + \frac{t}{1-s}\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, m = 1, \dots$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \omega_n \right\| \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\beta} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \tau_n \right\| + \frac{\gamma}{1-\beta} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{K}, m = 1, \dots$$

Therefore θ_g and θ_ω are well-defined bounded linear operators with

$$\|\theta_g\| \leq \frac{1+r}{1-s}\|\theta_f\| + \frac{t}{1-s}, \quad \|\theta_\omega\| \leq \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\beta}\|\theta_\tau\| + \frac{\gamma}{1-\beta}.$$

Now Equation (3) gives

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| \leq \alpha \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \tau_n \right\| + \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \beta \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n \omega_n \right\|, \quad \forall \{c_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}).$$

That is

$$(4) \quad \|\theta_\tau\{c_n\}_n - \theta_\omega\{c_n\}_n\| \leq \alpha\|\theta_\tau\{c_n\}_n\| + \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \beta\|\theta_\omega\{c_n\}_n\|, \quad \forall \{c_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}).$$

By taking $\{c_n\}_n = \{f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x)\}_n = \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x$ in Equation (4), we get

$$\|\theta_\tau \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x - \theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x\| \leq \alpha\|\theta_\tau \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x\| + \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \beta\|\theta_\omega \theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - S_{g,\omega} S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x\| &\leq \alpha\|x\| + \gamma\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x\| + \beta\|S_{g,\omega} S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x\| \\ &\leq (\alpha + \gamma\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|)\|x\| + \beta\|S_{g,\omega} S_{f,\tau}^{-1}x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\max\{\alpha + \gamma\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|, \beta\} < 1$, we can use Theorem 2.3 to get the operator $S_{g,\omega} S_{f,\tau}^{-1}$ is invertible and

$$\|(S_{g,\omega} S_{f,\tau}^{-1})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1 + \beta}{1 - (\alpha + \gamma\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|)}.$$

Hence the operator $S_{g,\omega} = (S_{g,\omega} S_{f,\tau}^{-1})S_{f,\tau}$ is invertible. Therefore $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a p-ASF for \mathcal{X} . We get the frame bounds from the following calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{g,\omega}^{-1}\| &\leq \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|S_{f,\tau} S_{g,\omega}^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|(1 + \beta)}{1 - (\alpha + \gamma\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|)} \quad \text{and} \\ \|S_{g,\omega}\| &\leq \|\theta_\omega\| \|\theta_g\| \leq \left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \beta} \|\theta_\tau\| + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \beta} \right) \left(\frac{1 + r}{1 - s} \|\theta_f\| + \frac{t}{1 - s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.5. Theorem 1.3 is a corollary for Theorem 2.4. In particular, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are corollaries for Theorem 2.4. Indeed, let $\{\tau_n\}_n$ be a frame for \mathcal{H} . We define

$$f_n : \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto f_n(h) := \langle h, \tau_n \rangle \in \mathbb{K}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $\theta_f = \theta_\tau$ and $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is a 2-approximate frame for \mathcal{H} . We also define

$$g_n := f_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then condition (2) holds trivially. Theorem 2.4 now says that $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a p-ASF for \mathcal{X} . To prove Theorem 1.3, it now suffices to prove that $\{\omega_n\}_n$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} . Since $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a p-ASF for \mathcal{X} , it follows that θ_ω is surjective. Theorem 5.4.1 in [10] now says that $\{\omega_n\}_n$ is a frame for \mathcal{H} .

Corollary 2.6. Let q be the conjugate index of p . Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p-ASF for \mathcal{X} . Assume that a collection $\{\tau_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{X} and a collection $\{g_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{X}^* are such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n - g_n\| < \infty$ and

$$\lambda := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\tau_n - \omega_n\|^p < \frac{1}{\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|^p}.$$

Then $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} with bounds $\frac{1-\lambda^{1/p}\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|}{\|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|}$ and $(\|\theta_\tau\| + \lambda^{1/p})(\|\theta_f\| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n - g_n\|)$.

Proof. Take $r = 0, s = 0, t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n - g_n\|, \alpha = 0, \beta = 0, \gamma = \lambda^{1/p}$. Then $\max\{\alpha + \gamma\|\theta_f S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\|, \beta, s\} < 1$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m (f_n - g_n)(x) e_n \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|f_n - g_n\| \right) \|x\| \leq t \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, m = 1, \dots,$$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n (\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^m \|\tau_n - \omega_n\|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{K}, m = 1, \dots.$$

By using Theorem 2.4 we now get the result. \square

We next derive stability result which does not demand maximum condition on parameters α and γ .

Theorem 2.7. Let $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ be a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . Assume that a collection $\{\tau_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{X} and a collection $\{g_n\}_n$ in \mathcal{X}^* are such that there exist $r, s, t, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\max\{\beta, s\} < 1$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m (f_n - g_n)(x) e_n \right\| \leq r \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m f_n(x) e_n \right\| + t \|x\| + s \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m g_n(x) e_n \right\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, m = 1, \dots,$$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n (\tau_n - \omega_n) \right\| \leq \alpha \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \tau_n \right\| + \gamma \left(\sum_{n=1}^m |c_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \beta \left\| \sum_{n=1}^m c_n \omega_n \right\|, \quad \forall c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{K}, m = 1, \dots.$$

Assume that one of the following holds.

- (1) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\|f_n - g_n\| \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| + \|g_n\| \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}(\tau_n - \omega_n)\|) < 1$.
- (2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\|f_n - g_n\| \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\omega_n\| + \|f_n\| \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1}(\tau_n - \omega_n)\|) < 1$.
- (3) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\|(f_n - g_n)S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|\tau_n\| + \|g_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|\tau_n - \omega_n\|) < 1$.
- (4) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\|(f_n - g_n)S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|\omega_n\| + \|f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|\tau_n - \omega_n\|) < 1$.

Then $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a p -ASF for \mathcal{X} . Moreover, an upper bound is

$$\left(\frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \beta} \|\theta_\tau\| + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \beta} \right) \left(\frac{1 + r}{1 - s} \|\theta_f\| + \frac{t}{1 - s} \right).$$

Proof. Following the initial lines in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we see that θ_g and θ_ω are well-defined bounded linear operators. We now consider four cases.

Assume (1). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n - g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n\| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n - g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n\| + \|g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n - g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n\| \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|(f_n - g_n)(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n\| + \|g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} (\tau_n - \omega_n)\| \right\} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n - g_n\| \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n\| + \|g_n\| \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1} (\tau_n - \omega_n)\| \right\} \right) \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the operator $S_{f,\tau}^{-1} S_{g,\omega}$ is invertible.

Assume (2). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n - g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n\| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \tau_n - f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n\| + \|f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n - g_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n\| \right\} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} (\tau_n - \omega_n)\| + \|(f_n - g_n)(x) S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n\| \right\} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n\| \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1} (\tau_n - \omega_n)\| + \|f_n - g_n\| \|S_{f,\tau}^{-1} \omega_n\| \right\} \right) \|x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the operator $S_{f,\tau}^{-1} S_{g,\omega}$ is invertible.

Assume (3). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n - g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n\| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n - g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n\| + \|g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n - g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n\| \right\} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|(f_n - g_n)(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n\| + \|g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) (\tau_n - \omega_n)\| \right\} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|(f_n - g_n) S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|\tau_n\| + \|g_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|\tau_n - \omega_n\| \right\} \right) \|x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the operator $S_{g,\omega} S_{f,\tau}^{-1}$ is invertible.

Assume (4). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| x - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n \right\| &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n - g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n\| \\
&\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \tau_n - f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n\| + \|f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n - g_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n\| \right\} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) (\tau_n - \omega_n)\| + \|(f_n - g_n)(S_{f,\tau}^{-1} x) \omega_n\| \right\} \\
&\leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \|f_n S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|\tau_n - \omega_n\| + \|(f_n - g_n) S_{f,\tau}^{-1}\| \|\omega_n\| \right\} \right) \|x\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the operator $S_{g,\omega} S_{f,\tau}^{-1}$ is invertible.

Hence in each of assumptions we get that $(\{g_n\}_n, \{\omega_n\}_n)$ is a p-ASF for \mathcal{X} . \square

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First author thanks National Institute of Technology Karnataka (NITK) Surathkal for financial assistance.

REFERENCES

- [1] Maynard G. Arsove. The Paley-Wiener theorem in metric linear spaces. *Pacific J. Math.*, 10:365–379, 1960.
- [2] P. G. Casazza, S. J. Dilworth, E. Odell, Th. Schlumprecht, and A. Zsak. Coefficient quantization for frames in Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 348(1):66–86, 2008.

- [3] Pete Casazza, Ole Christensen, and Diana T. Stoeva. Frame expansions in separable Banach spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 307(2):710–723, 2005.
- [4] Peter G. Casazza, Deguang Han, and David R. Larson. Frames for Banach spaces. In *The functional and harmonic analysis of wavelets and frames (San Antonio, TX, 1999)*, volume 247 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 149–182. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.
- [5] Peter G. Casazza and Nigel J. Kalton. Generalizing the Paley-Wiener perturbation theory for Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 127(2):519–527, 1999.
- [6] Peter G. Casazza and Ole Christensen. Perturbation of operators and applications to frame theory. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 3(5):543–557, 1997.
- [7] Dong Yang Chen, Lei Li, and Ben Tuo Zheng. Perturbations of frames. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 30(7):1089–1108, 2014.
- [8] Ole Christensen. Frame perturbations. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(4):1217–1220, 1995.
- [9] Ole Christensen. A Paley-Wiener theorem for frames. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 123(7):2199–2201, 1995.
- [10] Ole Christensen. *Frames and bases: An introductory course*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2008.
- [11] Ole Christensen and Christopher Heil. Perturbations of Banach frames and atomic decompositions. *Math. Nachr.*, 185:33–47, 1997.
- [12] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72:341–366, 1952.
- [13] D. Freeman, E. Odell, Th. Schlumprecht, and A. Zsák. Unconditional structures of translates for $L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Israel J. Math.*, 203(1):189–209, 2014.
- [14] Karlheinz Grochenig. Describing functions: atomic decompositions versus frames. *Monatsh. Math.*, 112(1):1–42, 1991.
- [15] Sven H. Hilding. Note on completeness theorems of Paley-Wiener type. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 49:953–955, 1948.
- [16] P. K. Jain, S. K. Kaushik, and L. K. Vashisht. On perturbation of Banach frames. *Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process.*, 4(3):559–565, 2006.
- [17] Pawan Kumar Jain, Shiv Kumar Kaushik, and Lalit Kumar Vashisht. On stability of Banach frames. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 44(1):73–81, 2007.
- [18] K. Mahesh Krishna and P. Sam Johnson. Towards characterizations of approximate Schauder frame and its duals for Banach spaces, arXiv:2010.10514v1 [math.FA] 20 oct 2020. *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications* (accepted for publication).
- [19] Diana T. Stoeva. Perturbation of frames in Banach spaces. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.*, 5(1):1250011, 15, 2012.
- [20] S. M. Thomas. Approximate Schauder frames for \mathbb{R}^n , Masters Thesis, St. Louis University, St. Louis, MO. 2012.
- [21] Robert M. Young. *An introduction to nonharmonic Fourier series*, volume 93 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., New York-London, 1980.
- [22] Yu Can Zhu and Si Yuan Wang. The stability of Banach frames in Banach spaces. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)*, 26(12):2369–2376, 2010.