

# A DIRAC DELTA OPERATOR

JUAN CARLOS FERRANDO

ABSTRACT. If  $T$  is a (densely defined) self-adjoint operator acting on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $I$  stands for the identity operator, we introduce the delta function operator  $\lambda \mapsto \delta(\lambda I - T)$  at  $T$ . When  $T$  is a bounded operator, then  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  is an operator-valued distribution. If  $T$  is unbounded,  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  is a more general object that still retains some properties of distributions. We derive various operative formulas involving  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  and give several applications of its usage.

## 1. THE DELTA FUNCTION $\delta(\lambda I - T)$

The scalar delta ‘function’  $\lambda \mapsto \delta(\lambda - a)$  along with its derivatives were introduced by Paul Dirac in [1], and later in [2, Section 15], although its definition can be traced back to Heaviside. The rigorous treatment of this object in the context of distribution theory is due to Laurent Schwartz [6, 12]. In this paper we extend the definition of  $\delta(\lambda - a)$  from real numbers to self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}) = \varinjlim \mathcal{D}([-n, n])$  the linear space of infinitely differentiable complex-valued functions of compact support, equipped with the inductive limit topology. As usual in physics we shall assume that the scalar product in  $\mathcal{H}$  is anti-linear for the first variable.

If  $T$  is a densely defined self-adjoint operator<sup>1</sup> on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $I$  stands for the identity operator, we define the *delta function operator*  $\lambda \mapsto \delta(\lambda I - T)$  at  $T$  by

$$(1.1) \quad f(T) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda$$

for each  $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ , i. e., for each real-valued continuous function  $f(\lambda)$ . Here  $d\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $\mathbb{R}$ , but the right-hand side of (1.1) is not a true integral. If  $T$  is a bounded operator, we shall see at once that  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  must be regarded as a *vector-valued distribution*, i. e., as a continuous linear map from the space  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  into the locally convex space  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  of the bounded linear operators (endomorphisms) on  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with the strong operator topology [10, 11], whose action on  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  we denote as an integral. If  $T$  is unbounded we shall see that  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  still retains some useful distributional-like properties. The previous equation means

$$(1.2) \quad \langle y, f(T)x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \langle y, \delta(\lambda I - T)x \rangle d\lambda$$

for each  $(x, y) \in D(f(T)) \times \mathcal{H}$ , where  $D(f(T))$  stands for the domain of the self-adjoint operator  $f(T)$ .

Let us recall that if  $T$  is a (densely defined) self-adjoint operator, there is a unique spectral family  $\{E_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$

of self-adjoint operators defined on the whole of  $\mathcal{H}$  that satisfy (i)  $E_\lambda \leq E_\mu$  and  $E_\lambda E_\mu = E_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \leq \mu$ , (ii)  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} E_{\lambda+\epsilon} x = E_\lambda x$ , and (iii)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} E_\lambda x = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E_\lambda x = x$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . The domain  $D(T)$  of  $T$  consists of those  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\lambda|^2 d\|E_\lambda x\|^2 < \infty.$$

In this case, the spectral theorem (cf. [8, Section 107]) and the Borel-measurable functional calculus provide a *self-adjoint* operator  $f(T)$  defined by

$$(1.3) \quad f(T) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) dE_\lambda$$

for each Borel-measurable function  $f(\lambda)$ , whose domain

$$D(f(T)) = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{H} : \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(\lambda)|^2 d\|E_\lambda x\|^2 < \infty \right\}$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Observe that if  $T$  is bounded,  $f(T)$  need not be bounded. Moreover, since  $\lambda \mapsto E_\lambda$  is constant on the set  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(T)$  of  $T$ , an open set in  $\mathbb{R}$ , equation (1.3) tell us that  $f(\lambda)$  need not be defined on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(T)$ .

Thanks to (1.3) the definition of  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  may be extended to Borel-measurable functions by declaring that the equation (1.1) holds for  $(x, y) \in D(f(T)) \times \mathcal{H}$  and each Borel function  $f$ . But, by reasons that will become clear later, we shall restrict ourselves to those Borel functions which are continuous at each point of  $\sigma_p(T)$ . Moreover, working with the real and complex parts, no difficulty arises if the function  $f$  involved in the equation (1.1) is complex-valued (except that  $f(T)$  is no longer a self-adjoint operator whenever  $\text{Im} f \neq 0$ ). Thus, unless otherwise stated, we shall assume that both in (1.1) and (1.3) the function  $f$  is complex-valued. Note that the complex Stieltjes measure  $d\langle E_\lambda x, y \rangle$  need not be  $d\lambda$ -continuous. In what follows we shall denote by  $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$  the linear space over  $\mathbb{C}$  consisting of all complex-valued Borel-measurable functions of one real variable which are continuous on  $\sigma_p(T)$ .

If  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is uniformly bounded and  $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$  at each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . So, if  $T$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{H}$  (equivalently, self-adjoint on the whole of

<sup>1</sup>In what follows  $\sigma(T)$  will denote the *spectrum* of  $T$ . Recall that the *residual spectrum* of a self-adjoint operator  $T$  is empty, so that  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_c(T)$ , where  $\sigma_p(T)$  denotes the *point spectrum* (the eigenvalues) and  $\sigma_c(T)$  the *continuous spectrum* of  $T$ .

$\mathcal{H}$ ) it turns out that  $f_n(T) \rightarrow f(T)$  in the strong operator topology [3, 10.2.8 Corollary]. Therefore, in this case  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  is an  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued distribution.

As all integrals considered so far are over  $\sigma(T)$ , we have

$$(1.4) \quad \delta(\lambda I - T) = \mathbf{0} \quad \forall \lambda \notin \sigma(T).$$

Also  $\delta(-\lambda I + T) = \delta(\lambda I - T)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . On the other hand, if  $\mu \in \sigma_p(T)$  and  $y$  is an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\mu$ , clearly

$$(1.5) \quad \delta(\lambda I - T)y = \delta(\lambda - \mu)y$$

for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . In the particular case when  $T_a$  is the linear operator defined on  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $T_a x = ax$  for a fixed  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $T_a$  is a self-adjoint linear operator with  $\sigma(T_a) = \sigma_p(T_a) = \{a\}$ . In this case  $\delta(\lambda I - T_a)x = \delta(\lambda - a)x$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , i. e.,  $\delta(\lambda I - T_a) = \delta(\lambda - a)I$ .

Since equality  $\langle f(T)^\dagger y, x \rangle = \langle y, f(T)x \rangle$  holds for all  $x, y \in D(f(T))$  and each  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , we may infer that

$$\langle y, \delta(\lambda I - T)x \rangle = \langle \delta(\lambda I - T)y, x \rangle$$

holds (in a ‘distributional’ sense) for all  $x, y \in D(T)$ . This suggests that in certain sense  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  may be regarded (possibly for almost all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ) as a Hermitian operator on  $D(T)$ .

Let us also point out that as equation (1.1) holds for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , in a distributional sense we have

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{d}{d\lambda} \langle y, E_\lambda x \rangle = \langle y, \delta(\lambda I - T)x \rangle$$

If  $\lambda \mapsto Y(\lambda - \mu)$  denotes the unit step function at  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , given by  $Y(\lambda - \mu) = 0$  if  $\lambda < \mu$  and  $Y(\lambda - \mu) = 1$  if  $\lambda \geq \mu$ , since  $E_\lambda = Y(\lambda I - T)$  for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , formally

$$(1.7) \quad dE_\lambda/d\lambda = Y'(\lambda I - T).$$

So, from (1.6) and (1.7) we get  $Y'(\lambda I - T) = \delta(\lambda I - T)$ .

**Proposition 1.** *If  $T$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R})$ , then*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \delta'(\lambda I - T) d\lambda = -f'(T).$$

The same equality holds if  $T$  is unbounded but  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ .

If  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(\lambda)|^2 \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(\lambda)|^2 dE_\lambda.$$

where the latter equality is the definition of  $|f(T)|^2$ . So, we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $T$  is self-adjoint and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , then*

$$\langle f(T)y, f(T)x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(\lambda)|^2 \langle y, \delta(\lambda I - T)x \rangle d\lambda$$

for every  $x, y \in D(f(T))$ .

*Proof.* We adapt a classic argument. Indeed, for every  $x, y \in D(f(T))$  we have

$$\langle f(T)y, f(T)x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \overline{f(\lambda)} d\langle f(T)y, E_\lambda x \rangle.$$

Since  $E_\mu E_\lambda = E_\mu$  whenever  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , and  $\langle E_\lambda y, x \rangle$  does not depend on  $\mu$ , by splitting the integral we get

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\mu) d\langle E_\mu y, E_\lambda x \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} f(\mu) d\langle y, E_\mu x \rangle,$$

where clearly the first integral is  $\langle f(T)y, E_\lambda x \rangle$ . Plugging  $d\langle f(T)y, E_\lambda x \rangle$  into (1.8), we are done.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** *Under the same conditions of the previous theorem, the equality*

$$(1.9) \quad \|f(T)x\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |f(\lambda)|^2 \langle x, \delta(\lambda I - T)x \rangle d\lambda$$

holds for every  $x \in D(f(T))$ .

**Proposition 4.** *If  $T$  is self-adjoint and  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a uniformly bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , then  $f_n(T)x \rightarrow f(T)x$  for every  $x \in D(T)$ .*

*Proof.* This is a straightforward consequence of preceding corollary and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.  $\square$

This proposition holds in particular if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ . Hence, even in the unbounded case,  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  behaves as a vector-valued distribution-like object.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto g(\lambda, \mu)$  be a function defined on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $g(\lambda, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g(\cdot, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . If the parametric integral*

$$f(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda, \mu) d\mu$$

is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$  and makes sense if we replace  $\lambda$  by a self-adjoint operator  $T$ , the value of the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda, \mu) \delta(\lambda I - T) d\mu d\lambda$$

does not depend on the integration ordering.

*Proof.* Since  $g(\cdot, \mu) \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , one has

$$g(T, \mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda, \mu) \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda,$$

which implies

$$f(T) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda, \mu) \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda \right\} d\mu.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  we have

$$f(T) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} g(\lambda, \mu) d\mu \right\} \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda,$$

for  $(x, y) \in D(T) \times \mathcal{H}$ . So, the proposition follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *If  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ , then*

$$(1.10) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \delta(\lambda I - T^2) d\lambda =$$

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \left\{ \delta(\sqrt{\lambda}I - T) - \delta(\sqrt{\lambda}I + T) \right\} f(\lambda) d\lambda$$

if  $\lambda > 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , both members acting on  $D(T^2)$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $T^2 \geq 0$ . Hence  $\sigma(T^2) \subseteq [0, +\infty)$ , which implies that  $\delta(\lambda I - T^2) = \mathbf{0}$  if  $\lambda < 0$ . Since  $T^2$  is a self-adjoint operator, for  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \delta(\lambda I - T^2) d\lambda = f(T^2)$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(\lambda)}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \delta(\sqrt{\lambda}I - T) d\lambda = \int_0^{+\infty} f(\mu^2) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu$$

whereas, using that  $\delta(-\mu I + T) = \delta(\mu I - T)$ , we have

$$-\int_0^{+\infty} \frac{f(\lambda)}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \delta(\sqrt{\lambda}I + T) d\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^0 f(\mu^2) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu$$

So, the right-hand side of (1.10) coincides with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\mu^2) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu = f(T^2)$$

since  $\mu \mapsto f(\mu^2)$  is a Borel function.  $\square$

If we denote by  $L(\mathcal{H})$  the linear space of all linear endomorphisms on  $\mathcal{H}$ , the next theorem summarize some previous results.

**Theorem 7.** *If  $T$  is a densely defined self-adjoint operator on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , there is an  $L(\mathcal{H})$ -valued linear map  $\delta_T$  on  $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , whose action on  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$  we denote by*

$$\langle \delta_T, f \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda,$$

such that  $\langle \delta_T, f \rangle = f(T)$ . If  $\{f_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$  is uniformly bounded and  $f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$ , with  $f \in \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  then  $\langle \delta_T, f_n \rangle x \rightarrow \langle \delta_T, f \rangle x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . If  $T$  is bounded,  $\delta_T$  is an  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued distribution, so  $\langle \delta_T, f \rangle$  is a bounded operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . In addition  $\delta(\lambda I - T) = \mathbf{0}$  if  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$  and  $\langle y, \delta(\lambda I - T)x \rangle = \langle \delta(\lambda I - T)y, x \rangle$  for  $x, y \in D(T)$ .

## 2. EXPLICIT FORM OF $\delta(\lambda I - T)$

If  $Q$  is a vector-valued distribution, the *Fourier transform* of  $Q$  is defined as the vector valued distribution  $\mathcal{F}Q$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\langle \mathcal{F}Q, f \rangle = \langle Q, \mathcal{F}f \rangle$ . As usual, we denote by  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  the inverse Fourier transform.

**Theorem 8.** *If  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator, the identity*

$$(2.1) \quad \delta(\lambda I - T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{it(\lambda I - T)} dt$$

holds for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and the action  $f(T)$  of  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  on  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$f(T) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f(\lambda)}{2\pi} e^{it(\lambda I - T)} d\lambda \right\} dt.$$

*Proof.* Setting  $\delta_T(\lambda) = \delta(\lambda I - T)$  observe that

$$(\mathcal{F}\delta_T)(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-itT}.$$

Indeed, if  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}\delta_T, f \rangle &= \langle \delta_T, \mathcal{F}f \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda) \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda \\ &= (\mathcal{F}f)(T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{-itT} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(2.2) \quad \delta_T = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-itT} \right\}.$$

Functionally, the action of  $\delta_T$  on  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  by means of equation (2.2) becomes

$$(2.3) \quad \langle \delta_T, f \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-itT}, (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(t) \right\rangle$$

Consequently, we have

$$\langle \delta_T, f \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f(\mu)}{2\pi} e^{it(\mu I - T)} d\mu \right\} dt$$

with the order of the integration as stated.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *If  $e^{-itT}x = x(t)$ , for  $x \in D(T)$  one has*

$$\delta(\lambda I - T)x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\lambda t} x(t) dt$$

and if  $x \in D(f(T))$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$f(T)x = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t} d\lambda \right\} x(t) dt.$$

**Remark 10.** Consider the one-parameter unitary group  $\{U(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  generated by the self-adjoint operator  $T$ , that is,  $U(t) = \exp(-itT)$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the Fourier transform, equation (2.2) can be written as

$$(2.4) \quad \delta(\lambda I - T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(U)(\lambda).$$

So, equation (2.3) reads as

$$(2.5) \quad f(T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(t) U(t) dt.$$

In what follows we shall compute the spectral family  $\{E_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$  for some useful self-adjoint operators of Quantum Mechanics by means of the delta  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$ . Nonetheless, although  $E_\lambda = Y(\lambda I - T)$ , the identification

$$Y(\lambda I - T) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Y(\lambda - \mu) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu$$

might be not well-defined because  $\mu \mapsto Y(\lambda - \mu)$  has a jump discontinuity at  $\mu = \lambda$ . Indeed, if  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$  and  $x$  is an eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$ , then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Y(\lambda - \mu) \delta(\mu I - T)x d\mu = \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda} \delta(\mu - \lambda) d\mu \right\} x$$

and the right-hand integral makes no sense (see [4] for a useful discussion). If  $\lambda \notin \sigma_p(T)$  we define

$$(2.6) \quad E_\lambda = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Y(\lambda - \mu) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu$$

If  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\sigma_p(T)$ , then  $(\mu \mapsto Y(\lambda - \mu)) \notin \mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ . In order to define  $E_\lambda$  we enlarge a little the interval of integration by considering the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\lambda+\epsilon} \delta(\mu - \lambda) d\mu$$

for small  $\epsilon > 0$ . So, if  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$  we define

$$(2.7) \quad E_\lambda = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{\lambda+\epsilon} Y(\lambda + \epsilon - \mu) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu.$$

The limit is well-defined since  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} E_{\lambda+\epsilon} = E_\lambda$  pointwise on  $\mathcal{H}$ . In the particular case when  $\lambda$  belongs to  $\sigma_d(T)$ , the discrete part of  $\sigma_p(T)$ ,  $\lambda$  is isolated in  $\sigma_p(T)$ .

**Example 11.** *The spectral family of the (up to a sign) one-dimensional Quantum Mechanics momentum operator of the free particle  $P = iD$ , where  $D\varphi = \varphi'$ , acting on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R})$  is given by*

$$(E_\lambda \varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i\lambda(s-x)}}{s-x} \varphi(s) ds$$

for every regular compactly supported  $\varphi \in D(P)$ .

*Proof.* As is well-known  $P$  is a self-adjoint operator with  $D(P) = H^{2,1}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\sigma_c(P) = \mathbb{R}$ . Since

$$(e^{-itP} \varphi)(x) = (e^{tD} \varphi)(x) = \varphi(x+t)$$

for a regular enough  $\varphi \in D(P)$ , by Corollary 9 we have

$$\{\delta(\mu I - P) \varphi\}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\mu t} \varphi(x+t) dt.$$

Note that the integral of the right-hand side does exist because  $\varphi$  has compact support.

According to the definition of  $E_\lambda$  for the continuous spectrum and keeping in mind the order of integration as indicated in Corollary 9, one has

$$\{E_\lambda \varphi\}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Y(\lambda - \mu) e^{i\mu t} \varphi(x+t) d\mu dt.$$

So, since

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Y(\lambda - \mu) e^{i\mu t} d\mu = \mathcal{F}(Y)(t) \cdot e^{i\lambda t},$$

bearing in mind the distributional relation

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{F}(Y)(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( \delta(t) + \frac{1}{i\pi} \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{t} \right),$$

we get

$$\{E_\lambda \varphi\}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varphi(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i\lambda(s-x)}}{s-x} \varphi(s) ds$$

where the last integral must be understood in Cauchy's principal value sense.  $\square$

**Example 12.** *The spectral family of the one-dimensional Quantum Mechanics kinetic energy term of the free particle, corresponding to the Laplace operator  $T = -D^2$  on  $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $D^2\varphi = \varphi''$ , is given by*

$$(E_\lambda \varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{i\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos(\lambda(s-x)) - 1}{s-x} \varphi(s) ds$$

for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $E_\lambda = \mathbf{0}$  whenever  $\lambda < 0$ , where  $\varphi$  is a regular function with compact support belonging to  $D(T)$ .

*Proof.* In this case  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator with  $\sigma(T) = [0, +\infty)$ . Since  $T = (iD)^2$ , according to (1.10) we have

$$\delta(\lambda I - T) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \left\{ \delta(\sqrt{\lambda}I - iD) - \delta(\sqrt{\lambda}I + iD) \right\}$$

regarded as a functional on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$  through  $d\lambda$ -integration over  $[0, +\infty)$ . Plugging

$$(\delta(\mu I \mp iD) \varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i\mu t} \varphi(x \pm t) dt$$

into the previous expression and keeping in mind the correct order of integration, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty f(\lambda) (\delta(\lambda I - T) \varphi)(x) d\lambda = \\ & \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}t}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} [\varphi(x+t) - \varphi(x-t)] d\lambda dt \end{aligned}$$

for every  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ . By the definition of  $E_\lambda$  if  $\lambda > 0$  and the fact that  $\delta(\mu I - T) = \mathbf{0}$  whenever  $\mu < 0$ , we have

$$(E_\lambda \varphi)(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} Y(\lambda - \mu) (\delta(\mu I - T) \varphi)(x) d\mu.$$

Working out the penultimate integral with  $\mu$  instead of  $\lambda$  and  $f(\mu) = Y(\lambda - \mu)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Y(\lambda - \mu) \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\mu}t}}{\sqrt{\mu}} [\varphi(x+t) - \varphi(x-t)] d\mu dt \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_0^\lambda \frac{e^{i\sqrt{\mu}t}}{\sqrt{\mu}} d\mu \right\} [\varphi(x+t) - \varphi(x-t)] dt \end{aligned}$$

for  $\lambda > 0$ . So, by setting  $u = \sqrt{\mu}$  we get

$$(E_\lambda \varphi)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{dt}{2\pi} [\varphi(x+t) - \varphi(x-t)] \int_0^\lambda e^{iut} du.$$

Now we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\lambda e^{iut} du = (1 - e^{i\lambda t}) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(Y)(t),$$

so, using that  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(Y(v)) = \mathcal{F}(1 - Y(v))(t)$  as well as equation (2.8), we get

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^\lambda e^{iut} du = (1 - e^{i\lambda t}) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left( \delta(t) - \frac{1}{i\pi} \text{p.v.} \frac{1}{t} \right)$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} (E_\lambda \varphi)(x) = & \\ & -\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varphi(s)}{s-x} ds + \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\cos(\lambda(s-x))}{s-x} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

where the integrals are understood in Cauchy's principal value sense.  $\square$

**Example 13.** *Spectral family of the (up to a sign) one-dimensional Quantum Mechanics momentum operator  $S$  for a bounded particle on  $\mathcal{H} = L_2[-\pi, \pi]$  with domain*

$$\{\varphi \in L_2[-\pi, \pi] : \varphi' \in L_2[-\pi, \pi], \varphi(-\pi) = \varphi(\pi)\}$$

As is well-known this is a self-adjoint operator with discrete spectrum  $\sigma(S) = \mathbb{Z}$  whose eigenfunction system  $\{\varphi_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , with  $\varphi_n(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-inx}$ , are the solutions of the eigenvalue problem  $i\varphi' = \lambda\varphi$  with  $\varphi(-\pi) = \varphi(\pi)$ . So, for  $\varphi \in D(S)$  we have  $\varphi \stackrel{L_2}{=} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \varphi_n$  with

$$c_n = \langle \varphi, \varphi_n \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi(x) e^{inx} dx$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\sigma(S) = \sigma_d(S)$ , recalling the definition of the operator  $E_\lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \sigma_d(S)$ , clearly we have

$$(E_\lambda \varphi)(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Y(\lambda + \epsilon - \mu) (\delta(\mu I - S) \varphi)(x) d\mu$$

for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . So, the fact that  $E_\lambda$  is a bounded operator yields

$$E_\lambda \varphi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n E_\lambda \varphi_n$$

Using that  $\delta(\mu I - S) e^{-inx} = \delta(\mu - n) e^{-inx}$  and that  $Y(\lambda + 0 - n) = Y(\lambda - n)$ , we get

$$(E_\lambda \varphi)(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{c_n}{\sqrt{2\pi}} Y(\lambda - n) e^{-inx} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \leq [\lambda]} \frac{e^{-inx}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}.$$

**Remark 14.** Since in the previous example  $S$  is bounded on  $\mathcal{H} = L_2[-\pi, \pi]$ , the delta operator  $\delta(\lambda I - S)$  should be regarded as a continuous endomorphism as well. In this case

$$\delta(\lambda I - S) \varphi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \delta(\lambda - n) \varphi_n.$$

**Example 15.** The one-dimensional Quantum Mechanics position operator on  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ . This operator is defined on  $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R})$  by  $(Q\varphi)(x) = x\varphi(x)$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Clearly  $\sigma_c(Q) = \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi \in D(Q)$  if  $(x \mapsto x\varphi(x)) \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, it is clear that

$$\{\exp(it(\lambda I - Q)) \varphi\}(x) = e^{i(\lambda - x)t} \varphi(x).$$

So we have

$$(\delta(\lambda I - Q) \varphi)(x) = \delta(\lambda - x) \varphi(x).$$

Hence, in this case we can write

$$\{E_\lambda \varphi\}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Y(\lambda - \mu) \delta(\mu - x) \varphi(x) d\mu$$

Therefore, if  $\lambda \neq x$  we get

$$\{E_\lambda \varphi\}(x) = Y(\lambda - x) \varphi(x).$$

**Example 16.** Explicit form of  $\delta(\lambda I - M)$  for the Hermitian matrix of  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^3$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* In this case  $M = PJ_M P^{-1}$  with  $\sigma(M) = \{-1, 2\}$  and

$$J_M = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using (2.1) together with the fact that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i(\lambda - \rho)t} dt = 2\pi \delta(\lambda - \rho),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\lambda I - M) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} P \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(it(\lambda I - J_M)) dt \right\} P^{-1} \\ &= P \begin{bmatrix} \delta(\lambda + 1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta(\lambda + 1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \delta(\lambda - 2) \end{bmatrix} P^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the spectral family and the projection operator onto the eigenspace  $\ker(M + I)$ . Clearly

$$E_\lambda = P \begin{bmatrix} Y(\lambda + 1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Y(\lambda + 1) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Y(\lambda - 2) \end{bmatrix} P^{-1}$$

for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\lambda_1 = -1$ , the orthogonal projection  $P_{\lambda_1}$  onto  $\ker(I + M)$  is

$$P_{\lambda_1} = \frac{1}{3} P \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} P^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

since  $P_{\lambda_1} = E_{\lambda_1} - E_{\lambda_1 - 0} = E_{\lambda_1}$ .  $\square$

**Example 17.** Consider a compact self-adjoint operator  $K$  acting on a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  which does not admit the eigenvalue zero. Let  $\{u_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a Hilbert basis of  $\mathcal{H}$  with its corresponding sequence of real eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $|\lambda_{i+1}| \leq |\lambda_i|$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us compute the action of the operator  $(\lambda I - K)^{-1}$  on any  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and the operator  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , we can write  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_i \rangle u_i$ . Since  $(\lambda I - K)^{-1}$  is a bounded operator whenever  $\lambda \notin \sigma(K)$ , we have

$$(\lambda I - K)^{-1} x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_i \rangle \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu} \delta(\mu I - K) u_i$$

so we obtain the classic series

$$(\lambda I - K)^{-1} x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda - \mu_i} \langle x, u_i \rangle u_i.$$

For the solution of the equation  $(I - zK)x = y$  with  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  we get the Schmidt series

$$x = (I - zK)^{-1} y = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - z\mu_i} \langle y, u_i \rangle u_i$$

whenever  $z^{-1} \notin \sigma(T)$ . On the other hand, since  $\delta(\lambda I - K)$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}$  as a continuous endomorphism, equation

$$\delta(\lambda I - K)x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle x, u_i \rangle \delta(\lambda - \mu_i) u_i.$$

holds for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ .  $\square$

If  $T$  is an unbounded self-adjoint operator then  $D(T) \neq \mathcal{H}$  and  $D(T^n)$  becomes smaller as  $n$  grows. So, the following result, makes sense only if the operator  $T$  is bounded.

**Theorem 18.** *In general, if  $T$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator, one has*

$$(2.9) \quad \delta(\lambda I - T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\delta^{(n)}(\lambda)}{n!} T^n$$

which is the Taylor series of  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  at  $\lambda I$ .

*Proof.* Developing the operator function  $\exp(itT)$ , which is well-defined by the spectral theorem, we get

$$\delta(\lambda I - T) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\lambda t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} T^n dt,$$

so that, formally interchanging the sum and the integral, we may write

$$\delta(\lambda I - T) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathcal{F}\{(it)^n\}(\lambda)}{n!} T^n.$$

Using the fact that

$$\mathcal{F}\{(it)^n\}(\lambda) = (-1)^n \sqrt{2\pi} \delta^{(n)}(\lambda)$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain (2.9).  $\square$

### 3. THE RESOLVENT OPERATOR AND $\delta(\lambda I - T)$

Recall that the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$  of a (densely defined) self-adjoint operator on a complex Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  contained in  $\mathbb{R}$  (see for instance [9, 3.2]). If  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$ , i.e., if  $z$  is a regular point of  $T$ , and

$$\mathcal{R}(z, T) = (zI - T)^{-1}$$

denotes the *resolvent* operator of  $T$  at  $z$  (see [7, Definition 8.2]), the function  $\lambda \mapsto (z - \lambda)^{-1}$  is continuous on  $\sigma(T)$ . The resolvent is well-defined over  $\mathcal{H}$ , so it is a bounded normal operator. If  $z \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(T)$  then  $\mathcal{R}(z, T)$  is even self-adjoint. From (1.1) it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}(z, T) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{z - \lambda} \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda$$

which is the *integral form of the resolvent* of  $T$ . So, by considering the complex-valued function  $f(\lambda) = (z - \lambda)^{-1}$  with  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$  and using the fact that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda - z}\right)(t) = \sqrt{2\pi} i e^{izt} Y(t)$$

then, according to (2.5), for  $\text{Im } z > 0$  we have

$$(zI - T)^{-1} = -i \int_0^{\infty} e^{izt} U(t) dt.$$

From here, it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}(z, iT) = i\mathcal{R}(iz, -T) = (\mathcal{L}U^{-1})(z)$$

if  $\text{Im } z > 0$ , where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Laplace transform. This is the *Hille-Yosida theorem* which relates the resolvent with the one-parameter group of unitary transformations  $\{U(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  generated by the self-adjoint operator  $T$ .

If  $T$  is a bounded self-adjoint operator,  $\gamma$  is a closed Jordan contour that encloses  $\sigma(T)$  and  $f(z)$  is holomorphic inside the connected region surrounded by the path  $\gamma$ , the Dunford integral formula asserts that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathcal{R}(z, T) dz = f(T).$$

In [13] is pointed out that  $(2\pi i)^{-1} \mathcal{R}(z, T)$  can be considered as the indicatrix of a vector-valued distribution with values in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . Dunford integral formula is easily obtained by using the  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  operator since, if we apply the Proposition 5 with  $g(\lambda, \mu) = f(z(\mu))(z(\mu) - \lambda)^{-1}$ , where  $z(\mu) = \gamma(\mu)$  and  $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathcal{R}(z, T) dz &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - \lambda} dz \right\} \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda \\ &= 2\pi i \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda = 2\pi i f(T). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 19.** *Derivation of the orthogonal projection operator onto  $\ker(M + I)$  of the Hermitian matrix  $M$  of the Example 16 by the resolvent technique.* We must compute

$$P_{\lambda_1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z+1|=1} \mathcal{R}(z, M) dz.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\mathcal{R}(z, M) = \frac{1}{z^2 - z - 2} \begin{bmatrix} z - 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & z - 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & z - 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using that

$$\int_{|z+1|=1} \frac{\{1, z - 1\}}{(z + 1)(z - 2)} dz = \left\{ -\frac{2\pi i}{3}, \frac{4\pi i}{3} \right\}$$

we reproduce the result we got earlier.

### 4. THE $\delta(\lambda I - T)$ OPERATOR AS A LIMIT

As  $\mu \mapsto (\lambda \pm i\epsilon - \mu)^{-1}$  is continuous, for self-adjoint  $T$

$$\begin{aligned} &((\lambda - i\epsilon)I - T)^{-1} - ((\lambda + i\epsilon)I - T)^{-1} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda - i\epsilon - \mu} - \frac{1}{\lambda + i\epsilon - \mu} \right) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ , Proposition 5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f(\lambda)}{2\pi i} \left\{ ((\lambda - i\epsilon)I - T)^{-1} - ((\lambda + i\epsilon)I - T)^{-1} \right\} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \frac{\epsilon}{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + \epsilon^2} d\lambda \right\} \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since in the sense of distributions

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda - i\epsilon - \mu} - \frac{1}{\lambda + i\epsilon - \mu} \right) \rightarrow \delta(\lambda - \mu)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) \frac{\epsilon}{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + \epsilon^2} d\lambda \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda) \delta(\lambda - \mu) d\lambda$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ . Hence, if  $g_n$  is defined by the left-hand side  $\mu$ -parametric integral with  $\epsilon = 1/n$ , then  $g_n \rightarrow f$  pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}$ . So, if  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $T$  is bounded (hence with  $\sigma(T)$  compact), as can be easily checked  $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a uniformly bounded sequence of continuous functions, with  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|g_n\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ , that converges pointwise on  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $f$ . Thus, by [3, 10.2.8 Corollary] one has  $g_n(T) \rightarrow f(T)$  in the strong operator topology, that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\lambda) \frac{\epsilon}{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + \epsilon^2} d\lambda \right\} \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu \\ & \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\mu) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu \end{aligned}$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  in the strong operator topology of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . Therefore, if  $T$  is bounded and  $f \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{f(\lambda)}{2\pi i} \left\{ ((\lambda - i\epsilon)I - T)^{-1} - ((\lambda + i\epsilon)I - T)^{-1} \right\} d\lambda$$

goes to  $f(T)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ . This proves that for bounded  $T$

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} ((\lambda - i\epsilon)I - T)^{-1} - ((\lambda + i\epsilon)I - T)^{-1}$$

coincides with  $\delta(\lambda I - T)$  as an  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued distribution.

## 5. UNITARY EQUIVALENCE OF $\delta(\lambda I - T)$

**Theorem 20.** *If  $T$  is a self-adjoint operator defined on the whole of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exist a finite measure  $\mu$  on the Borel sets of the compact space  $\sigma(T)$  and a linear isometry  $U$  from  $L_2(\sigma(T), \mu)$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$U^{-1} \delta(\lambda I - T) U = \delta(\lambda I - Q)$$

where  $(Q\varphi)(x) = x\varphi(x)$  is the position operator.

*Proof.* According to [5] there exist a finite measure  $\mu$  on the Borel sets of the compact space  $\sigma(T)$  and a linear isometry  $U$  from  $L_2(\sigma(T), \mu)$  onto  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$(U^{-1}TU)\varphi = Q\varphi$$

for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_2(\sigma(T), \mu)$ . So, since  $U^{-1}TU$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $L_2(\sigma(T), \mu)$ , we have

$$U^{-1} \delta(\lambda I - T) U = \delta(\lambda I - U^{-1}TU) = \delta(\lambda I - Q)$$

as stated.  $\square$

**Remark 21.** *For such linear isometry  $U$  the equation*

$$(U^{-1} \delta(\lambda I - T) U \varphi)(x) = \delta(\lambda - x) \varphi(x)$$

holds for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}_2(\sigma(T), \mu)$ .

## 6. COMMUTATION RELATIONS

Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two self-adjoint operators defined on the whole of  $\mathcal{H}$  for which equations  $[S, [S, T]] = [T, [S, T]] = \mathbf{0}$  hold. In this case

$$[-itS, [-itS, -isT]] = it^2s [S, [S, T]] = \mathbf{0}$$

and the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(\lambda I - S) \delta(\mu I - T) = \\ & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(t\lambda + s\mu)} e^{-itS} e^{-isT} dt ds = \\ & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(t\lambda + s\mu)} e^{\frac{-st}{2}[S, T]} e^{-i(tS + sT)} dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, since  $[T, [T, S]] = [S, [T, S]] = \mathbf{0}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta(\mu I - T) \delta(\lambda I - S) = \\ & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(t\lambda + s\mu)} e^{\frac{-st}{2}[T, S]} e^{-i(tT + sS)} dt ds \\ & = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{i(t\lambda + s\mu)} e^{\frac{st}{2}[S, T]} e^{-i(tS + sT)} dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

So, using that

$$\exp\left(\frac{ist}{2}i[S, T]\right) - \left(-\frac{ist}{2}i[S, T]\right) = 2i \sin\left(\frac{ist}{2}[S, T]\right)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [\delta(\lambda I - S), \delta(\mu I - T)] = \\ & \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sin\left(\frac{ist}{2}[S, T]\right) e^{i(t\lambda + s\mu)} e^{-i(tS + sT)} dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

For position  $Q$  and momentum  $P$  of a one-dimensional particle, one has  $\mathcal{H} = L_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $[Q, P] = i\hbar I$ . Therefore  $[Q, [Q, P]] = [P, [Q, P]] = \mathbf{0}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & [\delta(\lambda I - Q), \delta(\mu I - P)] = \\ & \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sin\left(-\frac{st}{2}\hbar\right) e^{i(t\lambda + s\mu)} e^{-i(tQ + sP)} dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 8, if  $[\delta(\lambda I - S), \delta(\mu I - T)]$  acts on  $f(\lambda) = \lambda$ , formally we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda [\delta(\lambda I - S), \delta(\mu I - T)] d\lambda = \\ & \frac{i}{2\pi^2} \int \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \sin\left(\frac{ist}{2}[S, T]\right) \\ & \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda e^{it\lambda} d\lambda \right\} e^{is\mu} e^{-i(tS + sT)} dt ds. \end{aligned}$$

So, using the distributional equality

$$(6.1) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda e^{it\lambda} d\lambda = \frac{2\pi}{i} \delta'(t)$$

and integrating by parts, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda [\delta(\lambda I - S), \delta(\mu I - T)] d\lambda \\ & = -\frac{i}{2\pi} [S, T] \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s e^{is(\mu I - T)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that a second application of equation (6.1) and a second integration by parts yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \lambda \mu [\delta(\lambda I - S), \delta(\mu I - T)] d\lambda d\mu = \\ & -\frac{i}{2\pi} [S, T] \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} s e^{-isT} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mu e^{is\mu} d\mu \right\} ds = \\ & [S, T] \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \delta(s) \{1 - isT\} e^{-isT} ds = [S, T] \end{aligned}$$

as expected.

## 7. A REMARK ON THE STONE FORMULA

Let  $T$  be a self-adjoint operator densely defined on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $A$  is a Borel set in  $\sigma(T)$ , defining

$$(7.1) \quad E(A) := \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_A(\lambda) dE_\lambda$$

where  $\chi_A$  stands for the characteristic function of  $A$  (which is a bounded Borel function), then  $E$  is an  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued finitely additive and pointwise countably additive measure (i.e., countable additivity under the strong operator topology of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ) on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of Borel subsets of  $\sigma(T)$ . So, if the characteristic function  $\chi_A$  of  $A$  with respect to  $\mathbb{R}$  is continuous on  $\sigma_p(T)$  then

$$E(A) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi_A(\lambda) \delta(\lambda I - T) d\lambda.$$

For  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda - i\epsilon - \mu} - \frac{1}{\lambda + i\epsilon - \mu} \right) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu \right\} d\lambda \\ & = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_a^b \frac{2i\epsilon d\lambda}{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + \epsilon^2} \right\} \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu = \\ & 2i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \arg \tan \left( \frac{b - \mu}{\epsilon} \right) - \arg \tan \left( \frac{a - \mu}{\epsilon} \right) \right\} \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

If the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  the bracketed function is equal to 0 if  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [a, b]$ , equal to  $\pi$  if  $a < \mu < b$  and equal to  $\pi/2$  if  $\mu \in \{a, b\}$ . So, if  $a, b \notin \sigma_p(T)$  so that  $\chi_{(a,b)}$  and  $\chi_{[a,b]}$  both belong to  $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathbb{R})$ , setting

$$g_n(\mu) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_a^b \frac{2in^{-1} d\lambda}{(\lambda - \mu)^2 + n^{-2}}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$f(\mu) := \chi_{(a,b)}(\mu) + \chi_{[a,b]}(\mu),$$

then  $g_n(\mu) \rightarrow f(\mu)$  for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \|g_n\|_\infty \leq 1$  which, according to Proposition 4, implies that  $g_n(T)x \rightarrow f(T)x$  for every  $x \in D(T)$ . In other words

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \left( (\lambda - i\epsilon - T)^{-1} - (\lambda + i\epsilon - T)^{-1} \right) d\lambda \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left( \chi_{(a,b)} + \chi_{[a,b]} \right) \delta(\mu I - T) d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

holds pointwise on the domain  $D(T)$  of  $T$ . Hence, by virtue of (7.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_a^b \left( (\lambda - i\epsilon - T)^{-1} - (\lambda + i\epsilon - T)^{-1} \right) d\lambda = \\ & \frac{1}{2} E((a, b)) + \frac{1}{2} E([a, b]) = E(a, b) + \frac{1}{2} E(a) + \frac{1}{2} E(b) \end{aligned}$$

which is Stone's formula.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Dirac, P. A. M., *The Physical Interpretation of the Quantum Dynamics*, Proc. Royal Soc. London **113** (1927), 621–641.
- [2] Dirac, P. A. M., *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics*, 4th Edition, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1988.
- [3] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J. T., *Linear Operators. Part II: Spectral Theory*, John Wiley, New York, 1988.
- [4] Griffiths, D. and Walborn, S., *Dirac deltas and discontinuous functions*, Am. J. Phys. **67** (1999), 446–446.
- [5] Halmos, P., *What does the spectral theorem say?* Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 241–247.
- [6] Horváth, J., *Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions*, Vol. I, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1966.
- [7] Moretti, V., *Spectral Theory and Quantum Mechanics*, 2nd Edition, Unitext **110**, Springer, 2013.
- [8] Riesz, F., Nagy, B. Sz.-, *Functional Analysis*, Dover Publications, Mineola, 1990.
- [9] Schmüdgen, K., *Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2012.
- [10] Schwartz, L., *Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles. I*, Anal. Inst. Fourier **7** (1957), 1–141.
- [11] Schwartz, L., *Théorie des distributions à valeurs vectorielles. II*, Anal. Inst. Fourier **8** (1958), 1–209.
- [12] Schwartz, L., *Théorie des Distributions*, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [13] Tillmann, H. G., *Vector-valued distributions and the spectral theorem for self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 67–71.

CENTRO DE INVESTIGACIÓN OPERATIVA, UNIVERSIDAD MIGUEL HERNÁNDEZ, E-03202 ELCHE, SPAIN  
Email address: jc.ferrando@umh.es