

FREDHOLM CONDITIONS FOR OPERATORS INVARIANT WITH RESPECT TO COMPACT LIE GROUP ACTIONS

ALEXANDRE BALDARE, RÉMI CÔME, AND VICTOR NISTOR

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact Lie group acting smoothly on a smooth, compact manifold M , let $P \in \psi^m(M; E_0, E_1)$ be a G -invariant, classical pseudodifferential operator acting between sections of two vector bundles $E_i \rightarrow M$, $i = 0, 1$, and let α be an irreducible representation of the group G . Then P induces a map $\pi_\alpha(P) : H^s(M; E_0)_\alpha \rightarrow H^{s-m}(M; E_1)_\alpha$ between the α -isotypical components. We prove that the map $\pi_\alpha(P)$ is Fredholm if, and only if, P is *transversally α -elliptic*, a condition defined in terms of the principal symbol of P and the action of G on the vector bundles E_i .

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let G be a compact Lie group acting smoothly and isometrically on a smooth, compact riemannian manifold M , let $P \in \psi^m(M; E_0, E_1)$ be a G -invariant, classical pseudodifferential operator acting between sections of two vector bundles $E_i \rightarrow M$, $i = 0, 1$, and let α be an irreducible representation of the group G . Then P induces, by G -invariance, a map

$$(1) \quad \pi_\alpha(P) : H^s(M; E_0)_\alpha \rightarrow H^{s-m}(M; E_1)_\alpha$$

between the α -isotypical components of the corresponding Sobolev spaces of sections. In this short note, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for $\pi_\alpha(P)$ to be Fredholm. Fredholm operators are important in many applications to PDEs and geometry. Our result generalizes to the case of compact Lie groups the results of [3, 4], which dealt with finite groups. We assume the reader is familiar with [4] and here we just explain the main differences from the case of finite groups.

In order to state our result, we need to set up some notation, some already introduced in [3, 4]. As usual, \widehat{G} denotes the set of equivalence classes of irreducible G -modules (or representations, agreeing that all our representations are strongly continuous). In general, if $T : V_0 \rightarrow V_1$ is a G -equivariant linear map of G -modules and $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$, we let $\pi_\alpha(T) : V_{0\alpha} \rightarrow V_{1\alpha}$ denote the induced G -linear map between the α -isotypical components of the G -modules V_i , $i = 0, 1$. Since P is G -invariant, its principal symbol $\sigma_m(P)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}^\infty(T^*M \setminus \{0\}; \text{Hom}(E_0, E_1))^G$. Let G_ξ and G_x denote the isotropy subgroups of $\xi \in T_x^*M$ and $x \in M$, as usual. Then $G_\xi \subset G_x$ acts linearly on the fibers E_{0x} and on E_{1x} . Let \mathfrak{g} denote the Lie algebra of G . Then any $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ defines a canonical vector field Y_M on M . Let then as in [2] the G -transverse cotangent space be given by

$$(2) \quad T_G^*M := \{ \xi \in T^*M \mid \xi(Y_M(\pi(\xi))) = 0, \forall Y \in \mathfrak{g} \}.$$

A.B., R.C., and V.N. have been partially supported by ANR-14-CE25-0012-01 (SINGSTAR). Manuscripts available from <http://www.math.psu.edu/nistor/>.

As before, S^*M denotes the unit cosphere bundle of M . Let $S_G^*M := S^*M \cap T_G^*M$ denote the set of unit covectors in the G -transverse cotangent space T_G^*M . Let then

$$(3) \quad \sigma_m^G(P) : \Omega_M(E) := \{(\xi, \rho) \in S_G^*M \times \widehat{G}_\xi \mid E_{\xi\rho} \neq 0\} \rightarrow \bigcup_{(x,\rho) \in \Omega_M(E)} \text{Hom}(E_{0x\rho}, E_{1x\rho})^{G_\xi},$$

$$\sigma_m^G(P)(\xi, \rho) := \pi_\rho(\sigma_m(P)(\xi)) \in \text{Hom}(E_{0x\rho}, E_{1x\rho})^{G_\xi}, \quad \xi \in (S_G^*M)_x,$$

called the G -principal symbol $\sigma_m^G(P)$ of P .

Recall also the following. If A and B are compact groups, H is a subgroup of both A and B , and $\text{Hom}_H(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0$, then $\alpha \in \widehat{A}$ and $\beta \in \widehat{B}$ are said H -associated. The group G acts on $\{G_\xi \mid \xi \in T^*M\}$ by $g \cdot G_\xi := G_{g\xi} = gG_\xi g^{-1}$. For $\rho \in \widehat{G}_\xi$ define $g \cdot \rho \in \widehat{G}_{g\xi}$ by $(g \cdot \rho)(h) = \rho(g^{-1}hg)$, for all $h \in G_{g\xi}$. The characterization of Fredholm operators can be reduced to each component of the orbit space M/G , and therefore we can and will assume M/G to be connected. Under this hypothesis there exists a minimal isotropy subgroup K such that any isotropy subgroup contains a subgroup conjugated with K . Furthermore, the set of points $M_{(K)}$ with stabilizer conjugated with K is an open dense submanifold of M called the principal orbit bundle of M , see [13]. Let us fix a minimal isotropy group $K \subset G$ for M and

$$(4) \quad \Omega_M^\alpha := \{(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_M(E) \mid \exists g \in G, g \cdot \rho \text{ and } \alpha \text{ are } K\text{-associated}\}.$$

In the definition of the space Ω_M^α above $g \in G$ is such that $K \subset g \cdot G_\xi$.

Definition 1.1. The α -principal symbol $\sigma_m^\alpha(P)$ of P is $\sigma_m^\alpha(P) := \sigma_m^G(P)|_{\Omega_M^\alpha}$. We shall say that $P \in \psi^m(M; E_0, E_1)^G$ is *transversally α -elliptic* if its α -principal symbol $\sigma_m^\alpha(P)$ is invertible everywhere on its domain of definition.

The transversal 1-ellipticity is related with transversal ellipticity on (singular) foliations [1, 7, 8]. We can now formulate our main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{R}$, $P \in \psi^m(M; E_0, E_1)^G$ and $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$. Then*

$$\pi_\alpha(P) : H^s(M; E_0)_\alpha \rightarrow H^{s-m}(M; E_1)_\alpha$$

is Fredholm if, and only if P is transversally α -elliptic.

For G finite, our main result was proved before [3, 4]. This is the first paper that deals with the non-discrete case. As far as the statement of the result goes, the case non-discrete is different from the discrete case in that $S_G^*M \neq S^*M$. The proof in the non-discrete case, is, however, significantly different from the one in the discrete case. Our results are motivated, in part, by questions in Index Theory and also by the recent improvement [6] and the reference therein. The techniques used in this paper to obtain Fredholm conditions are related also to the ones in [12], used for G -operators, and the ones in [5], used for complexes of operators. See also [8, 11, 15].

We thank Matthias Lesch, Paul-Emile Paradan, and Elmar Schrohe for useful discussions.

2. BACKGROUND MATERIAL

This section is devoted to background material and results. The reader can find more details in [3, 4]. We concentrate only on the material that is significantly

different from the discrete case, for which we refer to [4]. There is no loss of generality to assume that M/G is connected (recall that G is a compact Lie group acting by isometries on a compact Riemannian manifold M). A G -module will be a strongly continuous representation of G .

One of the main differences in the non-discrete case is that we need two versions of induction. Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup and V be an H -module, we define, as usual, the continuous induced representation by

$$(5) \quad c_0\text{-Ind}_H^G(V) := \mathcal{C}(G, V)^H = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(G, V) \mid f(gh^{-1}) = hf(g)\}.$$

Assume that V is a Hilbert space and that the representation is unitary. Then we let the *hilbertian induced representation* $L^2\text{-Ind}_H^G(V)$ be the completion of $c_0\text{-Ind}_H^G(V)$ with respect to the induced norm. Then G acts by left translation on $c_0\text{-Ind}_H^G(V)$ and $L^2\text{-Ind}_H^G(V)$. Our proofs use Frobenius reciprocity for both types of induction.

Let us summarize two important properties of the G -transversal spaces T_G^*M and S_G^*M .

Lemma 2.1. *Let H be a closed subgroup of G and S be a H -manifold. Then $T_G^*(G \times_H S) \cong G \times_H T_H^*S$. Moreover, The subset $S_G^*M_{(K)}$ is dense in S_G^*M .*

Let $A_M := \mathcal{C}(S_G^*M; \text{End}(E))$. Then $\text{Prim}(A_M^G)$, the primitive ideal spectrum of A_M^G , identifies with the set $\Omega_M(E)/G$. See also [9]. Explicitly, for any $\sigma \in A_M^G$ and $(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_M^G(E)$ (see Equation (3)), we define

$$(6) \quad \pi_{(\xi, \rho)}(\sigma) := \pi_\rho(\sigma(\xi)) = \sigma(\xi)|_{E_{\xi\rho}}.$$

Then the map $\chi : \Omega_M(E) \rightarrow \text{Prim}(A_M^G)$ given by $\chi(\xi, \rho) = \ker \pi_{(\xi, \rho)}$ induces a bijection $\chi_0 : \Omega_M(E)/G \rightarrow \text{Prim}(A_M^G)$.

3. PRIMITIVE IDEALS AND THE PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $\overline{\psi^0}(M, E)$ (respectively $\overline{\psi^{-1}}(M, E)$) be the norm closure of the algebra of compactly supported classical, order zero (respectively, order -1) pseudodifferential operators on M . Let K be our fixed minimal isotropy group, let $\alpha \in \widehat{G}$, and let π_α the restriction morphism to the α -isotypical component $L^2(M; E)_\alpha$ of $L^2(M; E)$, see Equation (1). As for the discrete case, the most important (and technically difficult) part is the identification of the quotient $\pi_\alpha(\overline{\psi^0}(M; E)^G)/\pi_\alpha(\overline{\psi^{-1}}(M; E)^G)$.

As in [2], the map $\mathcal{C}(S^*M; \text{End}(E))^G \rightarrow \pi_\alpha(\overline{\psi^0}(M; E)^G)/\pi_\alpha(\overline{\psi^{-1}}(M; E)^G)$ descends to a surjective map

$$(7) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^\alpha : A_M^G := \mathcal{C}(S_G^*M; \text{End}(E))^G \rightarrow \pi_\alpha(\overline{\psi^0}(M, E)^G)/\pi_\alpha(\overline{\psi^{-1}}(M, E)^G).$$

Since the map $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^\alpha$ is surjective, the question of determining the quotient algebra $\pi_\alpha(\overline{\psi^0}(M, E)^G)/\pi_\alpha(\overline{\psi^{-1}}(M; E)^G)$ is equivalent to the question of determining the ideal $\ker(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^\alpha) \subset A_M^G$. In turn, this ideal will be determined by solving the following problem:

Problem 1. *Let $A_M^G := \mathcal{C}(S_G^*M; \text{End}(E))^G$, as before. Identify the closed subset*

$$(8) \quad \Xi^\alpha(E) := \text{Prim}(A_M^G / \ker(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^\alpha)) \subset \text{Prim}(A_M^G).$$

3.1. Calculation on the principal orbit bundle. By tensoring with α^* , we can assume that $\alpha = \mathbf{1}$, the trivial representation. Let $x_0 \in M_{(K)}$ with $G_{x_0} = K$, let $U \subset (T_G^*M)_{x_0}$ be a slice at x_0 , let and $W = G \exp_{x_0}(U) \cong G/K \times U$ be a tube around x_0 . Let

$$(9) \quad 0 \neq \eta \in E_{x_0}^K, \quad \xi \in (S_K^*U)_{x_0} = S_{x_0}^*U, \quad \text{and} \quad f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U), \quad f(x_0) = 1,$$

where $S_K^*U = S^*U$, since K acts trivially on U by minimality. We define then $s_\eta \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W; E)^G$ and $e_t \in \mathcal{C}(W)^G$ by $s_\eta(g \exp_{x_0}(y)) := f(y)g\eta$ and $e_t(g \exp_{x_0}(y)) := e^{it\langle y, \xi \rangle}$ that is, they are the G -invariant functions extending the functions $y \mapsto f(y)\eta$ and $y \mapsto e^{it\langle y, \xi \rangle}$ by G -invariance via $W = G \exp_{x_0}(U)$, where $y \in U \subset T_{x_0}U$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let us notice that, if we let Φ_K^G denote the Frobenius isomorphism, then $s_\eta := \Phi_K^G(f\eta)$ and $e_t = \Phi_K^G(e^{it\langle \bullet, \xi \rangle})$. Using oscillatory testing techniques, see, for instance [10, 14], we obtain.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $E_{x_0}^K \neq 0$. Then, for every $P \in \psi^0(M; E)$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(e_t s_\eta)(x_0) = \sigma_0(P)(\xi)\eta$. In particular, if $P \in \psi^0(M; E)^G$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \pi_1(P)(e_t s_\eta)(x_0) = \sigma_0(P)(\xi)\eta =: \pi_{(\xi, \mathbf{1})}(\sigma_0(P))\eta.$$

Moreover, $\pi_{(\xi, \mathbf{1})} \in \Xi^1(E)$. Equivalently, $\chi(\Omega_{M_{(K)}}^1(E)) \subset \Xi^1(E)$.

Above $M_{(K)}$, we also have the opposite inclusion.

Theorem 3.2. *We have $\chi_0(\Omega_{M_{(K)}}^1(E)/G) = \Xi^1(E) \cap \text{Prim}(A_{M_{(K)}}^G)$. In other words,*

$$\Xi_0^1(E) := \Xi^1(E) \cap \text{Prim}(A_{M_{(K)}}^G) = \{\ker(\pi_{(\xi, \mathbf{1}_{G_\xi})}) \mid \xi \in S_G^*M_{(K)}, E_\xi^{G_\xi} \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Notice that $\{\ker(\pi_{(\xi, \mathbf{1}_{G_\xi})}) \mid \xi \in S_G^*M_{(K)}, E_\xi^{G_\xi} \neq 0\} \subset \chi_0(\Omega_{M_{(K)}}^1(E)/G)$. Reciprocally, by conjugation, we can assume that $\ker(\pi_{(\xi, \rho)}) \in \chi_0(\Omega_{M_{(K)}}^1(E)/G)$ is such that $G_\xi = K$ and then $\text{Hom}_K(\rho, \mathbf{1}_G) \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\rho = \mathbf{1}_K \in \hat{K}$. Proposition 3.1 states that $\chi(\Omega_{M_{(K)}}^1(E)) \subset \Xi^1(E)$, and hence $\chi(\Omega_{M_{(K)}}^1(E)) \subset \Xi_0^1(E)$. Let $\chi(\xi, \rho) = \ker(\pi_{(\xi, \rho)}) \in \Xi_0^1(E)$, by definition this means:

- $(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_M(E)$ and $\xi \in S_G^*M_{(K)}$;
- $\pi_{(\xi, \rho)}$ is as defined in Equation (6); and, most importantly,
- $\pi_{(\xi, \rho)}|_{\ker(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^1)} = 0$.

We need to prove that $(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_{M_{(K)}}^1(E)$. As before, we can assume that $G_\xi = K$ and therefore we only need to prove that $E_\xi^K \neq 0$ and $\rho = \mathbf{1}_K$. Since $\pi(\xi) := x_0 \in M_{(K)}$, we can replace M with the tube W as before. We shall prove that $E_\xi^K \neq 0$ by contradiction. Indeed, if $E_{x_0}^K = 0$, then $L^2(W; E)^G = 0$, by induction, and hence $\ker(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_W^1) = A_W^G$. But this is not possible since $\ker(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_W^1) \subset \ker(\pi_{(\xi, \rho)})$ and A_W^G is not a primitive ideal.

We shall prove that $\rho = \mathbf{1}_K$ by contradiction, as well. Assume hence that $\mathbf{1}_K \neq \rho \in \hat{K}$. Let p_ρ be the projection onto the isotypical component corresponding to ρ in $\text{End}(E_\xi)^K \simeq \text{End}(E_x)^K$. We have $p_\rho \neq 0$ since $(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_M(E)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U)$ be equal to 1 near x_0 and extend fp_ρ to a G -invariant element $\Phi_K^G(fp_\rho) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; \text{End}(E))^G \subset \overline{\psi^0}(M, E)^G$ via $W = G \exp(U)$. We have

$$\pi_{(\xi, \rho)}(\Phi_K^G(fp_\rho)) = p_\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(\Phi_K^G(fp_\rho)) = \Phi_K^G(fp_\rho)p_{\mathbf{1}_G} = 0,$$

by construction, because $p_{1_G}(y) = p_{1_K}$ for any $y \in U$ and $\rho \neq 1_K$. Therefore, $\Phi_K^G(fp_\rho) \in \ker(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^1)$ and that $\pi_{(\xi,\rho)}(\Phi_K^G(fp_\rho)) \neq 0$, which contradicts our assumption that $\pi_{(\xi,\rho)}|_{\ker(\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^1)} = 0$. Hence $\rho = 1_K$. \square

3.2. Calculation for singular (ξ, ρ) .

3.2.1. *A particular neighborhood of (ξ, ρ) .* Let H be a closed subgroup of G and V a vector space on which H acts by isometries. Let $W = G \times_H V$ and assume that $E = G \times_H (V \times E_0)$ for some H -module E_0 . Let $(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_W(E)$ be our fixed element. Then here $S^*W = G \times_H (V \times S_x^*W) = G \times_H (V \times S)$, where $S \subset (\text{Lie}(G)/\text{Lie}(H))^* \times V^*$ is the unit sphere. We assume that $x = \pi(\xi) = H(e, 0)$. Thus $\xi \in (S_x^*W)_x = (G \times_H S_x^*V)_x = S_0^*V$ and $\rho \in \widehat{G}_\xi$ is an irreducible representation that appears in $E_0 = E_\xi = E_x$ (by the definition of $\Omega_W(E)$). We can assume that $K \subset G_\xi$. Let, as before, p_ρ denote the projection onto the ρ -isotypical component, as before 2. Let $F_\xi := \{\rho' \in \widehat{G}_\xi, \rho' \subset E_0 \text{ and } \rho'^K \neq 0\}$ and $p_K := \bigoplus_{\rho' \in F_\xi} p_{\rho'} \in \text{End}(E_0)^{G_\xi}$ and $p_{\xi,K} = \text{Id}_{E_0} - p_K$. The extension \tilde{q} to the sphere S_x^*W will not be constant. We thus define first $p \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S_x^*W; \text{End}(E))^{G_\xi} \simeq \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S_x^*W; \text{End}(E_0))^{G_\xi}$ such that $p(\xi) = p_{\xi,K}$. Let $\overline{U}_\xi \subset U_\xi \subset T_\xi(S_x^*W) \cap \text{Lie}(H)^\perp =: (T_H S_x^*W)_\xi$ be slices at ξ for the action of H on the sphere S_x^*W . Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(U_\xi)$ be ≥ 0 and equal to 1 on U'_ξ . We then define $p \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S_x^*W; \text{End}(E))^H \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(S_x^*W; \text{End}(E_0))^H$ by

$$(10) \quad p(g \exp(\eta)) := \begin{cases} f(\eta) g p_{\xi,K} g^{-1}, & \text{for } \eta \in U_\xi, g \in H \\ 0, & \text{outside the tube } H \exp_\xi(U_\xi). \end{cases}$$

Using the fact that E and S^*W are trivial on V , we then extend p to an element $\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^*W|_V; \text{End}(E))^H \simeq \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^*W \times V; \text{End}(E_0))^H$ that is independent of the coordinate V and then we finally further extend this element by G -invariance to an element q in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(S^*W; \text{End}(E))^G$, i.e.,

$$q := \Phi_H^G(\tilde{p}) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(S^*W; \text{End}(E))^G, \quad \text{where } \tilde{p}(y, \zeta) := p(\zeta), \zeta \in S_x^*W.$$

Finally, let $h \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W, [0, 1])^G$ be a function equal to 1 on a G -invariant neighborhood of (x, ξ) and let $\tilde{q} = hq \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(S^*W, \text{End}(E))^G$.

Recall that p_{1_G} is defined for any $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W, \text{End}(E))$ by $(p_{1_G}s)(z) = \int_G g(s(g^{-1}z))dg$, and that above $W_{(K)}$ this defines a bundle morphism $\mathbb{P} := p_{1_G}|_{W_{(K)}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W_{(K)}, \text{End}(E))$. More precisely, we have $\mathbb{P}(y) = p_{1_{G_y}}$, for $y \in W_{(K)}$. Then $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(W_{(K)}; \text{End}(E))^G$ is a smooth map of projections.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\chi(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_W(E)$ with $K \subset G_\xi$. Assume that $\rho^K = 0$. Then*

- (i) $\pi_{(\xi,\rho)}(\tilde{q}) = p_\rho \neq 0$.
- (ii) $\pi_{(\zeta, 1_{G_\zeta})}(\tilde{q}) = 0$, for all $\zeta \in S^*M_{(K)}$.
- (iii) $\mathbb{P}\tilde{q}|_{S^*M_{(K)}} = 0$.
- (iv) $V_{\tilde{q}} = \{(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_M(E) \mid \pi_{(\xi,\rho)}(q) \neq 0\}$ is an open neighbourhood of $\chi(\xi, \rho)$ and $V_{\tilde{q}} \cap \Xi_0^1(E) = \emptyset$. In particular, $\chi(\xi, \rho) \notin \overline{\Xi_0^1(E)}$.

Proof. Let us notice first that $p_\rho \in \text{End}(E_\xi)^{G_\xi} = \text{End}(E_0)^{G_\xi}$ is non zero because $\chi(\xi, \rho) \in \Omega_W(E)$ implies that $\rho \subset E_\xi$, by definition. (i) Since $\rho^K = 0$ we get that $\rho \notin F_\xi$. Therefore, for any $\rho' \in F_\xi$, $p_{\rho'}p_\rho = 0$. This gives that $\pi_{(\xi,\rho)}(\tilde{q}) = p_\rho - \bigoplus_{\rho' \in F_\xi} p_{\rho'}p_\rho = p_\rho = \text{Id}_{E_{\xi\rho}} \in \text{End}(E_{\xi\rho})$. (ii) In view of the support of \tilde{q} and its G -invariance, this reduces to $p_{\xi,K}p_{1_K} = p_{1_K}p_{\xi,K} = 0$ because $E_\xi^K = \bigoplus_{\rho' \in F_\xi} E_{\xi\rho'}^K$.

and therefore $p_K p_{1_K} = p_{1_K}$. (iii) This is just another formulation of (ii). (iv) It is standard that the set $V_{\tilde{q}}$ is open, see for example [4]. By Theorem 3.2 $\Xi_0^1(E) = \{\ker(\pi_{(\zeta, 1_{G_\zeta})}), \zeta \in S_G^* W_{(K)}, E_\zeta^{G_\zeta} \neq 0\}$ and then (2) implies (3). \square

3.2.2. *Density of Ξ_0^1 in Ξ^1 .* We freely use the notations from the previous section.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that $(\xi, \rho) \notin \overline{\Xi_0^1(E)}$ and that $K \subset G_\xi$. Then*

- (i) $\rho^K = 0$, in particular $\Omega_M^1(E)/G = \overline{\Xi_0^1(E)} = \overline{\Omega_{M(K)}^1(E)}/G$ and
- (ii) there is $\tilde{Q} \in B_W^G$ such that $\sigma_0(\tilde{Q}) = \tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{Q}|_{L^2(W, E)^G} = 0$.

Proof. (i) We already showed in Theorem 3.2 that $\Xi_0^1(E) = \Omega_{W(K)}^1(E)/G$. Since $\ker(\pi_{(\xi, \rho)})$ is maximal ($A_M^G / \ker(\pi_{(\xi, \rho)}) = \text{End}(E_{\xi\rho})^{G_\xi} = M_k(\mathbb{C})$ since $\rho \in \widehat{G}_\xi$), there is $\sigma \in A_M^G$ such that $\pi_{(\xi, \rho)}(\sigma) = \text{Id}$ and the associated neighbourhood $V_\sigma = \{(\eta, \rho'), \pi_{(\eta, \rho')}(\sigma) \neq 0\}$ does not intersect $\overline{\Xi_0^1(E)} = \overline{\Omega_{M(K)}^1(E)}$. Assume $\rho^K \neq 0$ and let $\zeta_n \in S_G^* W_{(K)}$ be a convergent sequence to ξ using Lemma 2.1 and write $\sigma(\zeta_n)|_{E_{\xi\rho}} = \sigma(\zeta_n)|_{E_{\xi\rho}^K} \oplus \sigma(\zeta_n)|_{(E_{\xi\rho}^K)^\perp}$. But $E_{\xi\rho}^K \subset E_\xi^K$ and $\sigma(\zeta_n)|_{E_\xi^K} = \pi_{(\zeta_n, 1)}(\sigma) = 0$. Therefore, $\pi_{(\xi, \rho)}(\sigma) = \lim \sigma(\zeta_n)|_{E_{\xi\rho}}$ is not invertible, a contradiction.

(ii) • *Quantization of \tilde{q} .* Cover $W = G \times_H V \rightarrow G/H$ by a finite number of local charts $Y_i \simeq D_i \times V$ centred in $y_i \in Y_i$, where $D_i \subset G/H$ is a local chart. Then E is trivial over Y_i and we have $E|_{Y_i} \simeq D_i \times V \times E_0$. Let (φ_i^2) be a subordinated partition of unity to D_i on G/H (and then on W). Let $\chi_i \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y_i)$ be such that $\chi_i \varphi_i = \varphi_i$. Then let $\psi_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{y_i}^* Y_i)$ be such that $\psi_i(0) = 0$ if $|\eta| < 1/2$ and $\psi_i(\eta) = 1$ whenever $|\eta| \geq 1$. Let for any classical symbol a on Y_i , and $s \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y_i, E)$

$$\text{Op}(a)s(y) := \int_{T_{y_i}^* Y_i} \int_{Y_i} e^{i(y-z)\cdot\eta} a(y, z, \eta) s(z) dz d\eta,$$

where we use the normalized measure $d\eta = (2\pi)^{-\dim W} d\eta_1 \cdots d\eta_{\dim W}$. We shall use this for $a_i(y, z, \eta) := \chi_i(y) \psi_i(\eta) \tilde{q}\left(y, \frac{\eta}{|\eta|}\right) \chi_i(z)$, then set $Q_i := \text{Op}(a_i)$. Now define $Q := \sum_i \varphi_i Q_i \varphi_i$ and $\tilde{Q} := \text{Av}(Q) = \int_G g Q g^{-1} dg$. Then \tilde{Q} is a zero order G -invariant pseudodifferential operator on W representing \tilde{q} because the average map commutes with the principal symbol map.

• *Proof of $\tilde{Q}|_{L^2(W, E)^G} = 0$.* By density, it is sufficient to show that $\tilde{Q}s(y) = 0$ for $y \in W_{(K)}$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W, E)^G$. By Lemma 3.3(iii), we have for $y \in W_{(K)} \cap Y_i$, $z \in Y_i$, and $\eta \in T_{y_i}^* Y_i$ that $\mathbb{P}a_i(y, z, \eta) = 0$, and therefore $\mathbb{P}a_i$ extends in a function $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}a_i}$ identically zero on W .

Thus for any $s \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(Y_i, E)$ and $y \in Y_i \cap W_{(K)}$, we obtain that $\mathbb{P}(y)Q_i(s)(y) = 0$. Thus we get that $\mathbb{P}Qs(y) = 0$, for any $y \in W_{(K)}$ and this in turn gives that $\mathbb{P}Q$ extends to 0 on $L^2(W, E)$. Using the average map, we obtain

$$(11) \quad 0 = \text{Av}(\mathbb{P}Q)s(y) = \mathbb{P}(y) \text{Av}(Q)s(y) = \mathbb{P}(y)\tilde{Q}s(y), \quad \forall y \in W_{(K)}.$$

It follows that $\mathbb{P}\tilde{Q}$ extends to 0 on $L^2(W, E)$. Now, assume that $s \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W, E)^G$ then $\tilde{Q}s \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(W, E)^G$ and therefore by Equation (11) we have

$$(\tilde{Q}s)(y) = \mathbb{P}(y)(\tilde{Q}s)(y) = 0.$$

This implies that $\tilde{Q}|_{L^2(W,E)^G} = 0$ and this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. *The set $\Xi^1(E) := \text{Prim}(A_M^G / \ker(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^1)) \subset \text{Prim}(A_M^G)$ associated to the ideal $\ker(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^1)$ of $A_M^G := \mathcal{C}(S_G^*M; \text{End}(E))^G$ is the closure in $\text{Prim}(A_M^G)$ of the set $\Xi_0^1(E) := \Xi^1(E) \cap \text{Prim}(A_{M(K)}^G)$, where $M(K)$ is the principal orbit bundle of M .*

Proof. Since $\Xi^1(E)$ is closed, it is enough to show that if $\ker \pi_{(\xi,\rho)} \notin \overline{\Xi_0^1(E)}$ then $\ker \pi_{(\xi,\rho)} \notin \Xi^1(E)$. Replacing ξ with some $g\xi$, $g \in G$, and M with a tube around $x = \pi(\xi)$, we may assume that $K \subset G_\xi$ and $M = G \times_H V$ as before. From Lemma 3.4 (i), we know that $\rho^K = 0$. Furthermore Lemma 3.4(ii) gives that there is $\tilde{Q} \in \psi^0(M, E)^G$ such that $\sigma_0(\tilde{Q}) = \tilde{q}$ and $\tilde{Q}|_{L^2(M,E)^G} = 0$. Therefore, $\sigma_0(\tilde{Q}) = \tilde{q} \in \ker \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^1$. Since, $\pi_{(\xi,\rho)}(\tilde{q}) \neq 0$ by Lemma 3.3 (i), $\pi_{(\xi,\rho)}$ does not vanish on $\ker(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_M^1)$, which means that $\ker(\pi_{(\xi,\rho)}) \notin \Xi^1(E)$ and this completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Androulidakis and G. Skandalis. Pseudodifferential calculus on a singular foliation. *J. Non-commut. Geom.*, 5(1):125–152, 2011.
- [2] M. Atiyah. *Elliptic operators and compact groups*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 401. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [3] A. Baldare, R. Côme, M. Lesch, and V. Nistor. Fredholm conditions for invariant operators: finite abelian groups and boundary value problems. Max Planck and Arxiv Preprint, 2019, to appear in *J. Operator Theor.*
- [4] A. Baldare, R. Côme, M. Lesch, and V. Nistor. Fredholm conditions for restrictions of invariant pseudodifferential to isotypical components. Max Planck Preprint, February 2020, submitted.
- [5] J. Brüning and M. Lesch. Hilbert complexes. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 108(1):88–132, 1992.
- [6] J. Brüning and K. Richardson. Some remarks on equivariant elliptic operators and their invariants. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.05165*, 2019.
- [7] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [8] C. Debord, J.-M. Lescure, and F. Rochon. Pseudodifferential operators on manifolds with fibred corners. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 65(4):1799–1880, 2015.
- [9] S. Echterhoff and D. Williams. Structure of crossed products by strictly proper actions on continuous-trace algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366(7):3649–3673, 2014.
- [10] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. III*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007. Pseudo-differential operators, Reprint of the 1994 edition.
- [11] S. Melo, T. Schick, and E. Schrohe. Families index for Boutet de Monvel operators. *Münster J. Math.*, 6(2):343–364, 2013.
- [12] A. Savin and E. Schrohe. Analytic and algebraic indices of elliptic operators associated with discrete groups of quantized canonical transformations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 278(5):108400, 45, 2020.
- [13] T. tom Dieck. *Transformation groups*, volume 8 of *De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1987.
- [14] F. Trèves. *Introduction to pseudodifferential and Fourier integral operators. Vol. 1*. Plenum Press, New York-London, 1980. Pseudodifferential operators, The University Series in Mathematics.
- [15] E. van Erp and R. Yuncken. A groupoid approach to pseudodifferential calculi. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 756:151–182, 2019.

Email address: alexandre.baldare@math.uni-hannover.de

INSTITUT FÜR ANALYSIS, WELFENGARTEN 1, 30167 HANNOVER, GERMANY

Email address: remi.come@univ-lorraine.fr

UNIVERSITÉ LORRAINE, 57000 METZ, FRANCE

Email address: `nistor@univ-lorraine.fr`

UNIVERSITÉ LORRAINE, 57000 METZ, FRANCE

URL: `http://www.iecl.univ-lorraine.fr/~Victor.Nistor`