

# CLUSTERED COLOURING OF GRAPH CLASSES WITH BOUNDED TREEDEPTH OR PATHWIDTH

Sergey Norin<sup>†</sup> Alex Scott<sup>‡</sup> David R. Wood<sup>¶</sup>

*Abstract.* The *clustered chromatic number* of a class of graphs is the minimum integer  $k$  such that for some integer  $c$  every graph in the class is  $k$ -colourable with monochromatic components of size at most  $c$ . We determine the clustered chromatic number of any minor-closed class with bounded treedepth, and prove a best possible upper bound on the clustered chromatic number of any minor-closed class with bounded pathwidth. As a consequence, we determine the fractional clustered chromatic number of every minor-closed class.

## 1 Introduction

This paper studies improper vertex colourings of graphs with bounded monochromatic degree or bounded monochromatic component size. This topic has been extensively studied recently [1–6, 8, 10, 12–21, 23–25]; see [26] for a survey.

A  $k$ -colouring of a graph  $G$  is a function that assigns one of  $k$  colours to each vertex of  $G$ . In a coloured graph, a *monochromatic component* is a connected component of the subgraph induced by all the vertices of one colour.

A colouring has *defect*  $d$  if each monochromatic component has maximum degree at most  $d$ . The *defective chromatic number* of a graph class  $\mathcal{G}$ , denoted by  $\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{G})$ , is the minimum integer  $k$  such that, for some integer  $d$ , every graph in  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $k$ -colourable with defect  $d$ .

A colouring has *clustering*  $c$  if each monochromatic component has at most  $c$  vertices. The *clustered chromatic number* of a graph class  $\mathcal{G}$ , denoted by  $\chi_{\star}(\mathcal{G})$ , is the minimum integer  $k$  such that, for some integer  $c$ , every graph in  $\mathcal{G}$  has a  $k$ -colouring with clustering  $c$ . We shall consider such colourings, where the goal is to minimise the number of colours, without optimising the clustering value.

Every colouring of a graph with clustering  $c$  has defect  $c - 1$ . Thus  $\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \chi_{\star}(\mathcal{G})$  for every class  $\mathcal{G}$ .

The following is a well-known and important example in defective and clustered graph colouring. Let  $T$  be a rooted tree. The *depth* of  $T$  is the maximum number of vertices

---

January 24, 2022

<sup>†</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, McGill University, Montréal, Canada (snorin@math.mcgill.ca). Supported by NSERC grant 418520.

<sup>‡</sup> Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford, U.K. (scott@maths.ox.ac.uk).

<sup>¶</sup> School of Mathematics, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia (david.wood@monash.edu). Supported by the Australian Research Council.

on a root-to-leaf path in  $T$ . The *closure* of  $T$  is obtained from  $T$  by adding an edge between every ancestor and descendant in  $T$ . For  $h, k \geq 1$ , let  $C\langle h, k \rangle$  be the closure of the complete  $k$ -ary tree of depth  $h$ , as illustrated in Figure 1.




Figure 1: The standard example  $C\langle 4, 2 \rangle$ .

It is well known and easily proved (see [26]) that there is no  $(h-1)$ -colouring of  $C\langle h, k \rangle$  with defect  $k-1$ , which implies there is no  $(h-1)$ -colouring of  $C\langle h, k \rangle$  with clustering  $k$ . This says that if a graph class  $\mathcal{G}$  includes  $C\langle h, k \rangle$  for all  $k$ , then the defective chromatic number and the clustered chromatic number are at least  $h$ . Put another way, define the *tree-closure-number* of a graph class  $\mathcal{G}$  to be

$$\text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}) := \min\{h : \exists k C\langle h, k \rangle \notin \mathcal{G}\} = \max\{h : \forall k C\langle h, k \rangle \in \mathcal{G}\} + 1;$$

then

$$\chi_*(\mathcal{G}) \geq \chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{G}) \geq \text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}) - 1.$$

Our main result, Theorem 1 below, establishes a converse result for minor-closed classes with bounded treedepth. First we explain these terms. A graph  $H$  is a *minor* of a graph  $G$  if a graph isomorphic to  $H$  can be obtained from some subgraph of  $G$  by contracting edges. A class of graphs  $\mathcal{M}$  is *minor-closed* if for every graph  $G \in \mathcal{M}$  every minor of  $G$  is in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  is *proper* minor-closed if, in addition, some graph is not in  $\mathcal{M}$ . The *connected treedepth* of a graph  $H$ , denoted by  $\overline{\text{td}}(H)$ , is the minimum depth of a rooted tree  $T$  such that  $H$  is a subgraph of the closure of  $T$ . This definition is a variant of the more commonly used definition of the *treedepth* of  $H$ , denoted by  $\text{td}(H)$ , which equals the maximum connected treedepth of the connected components of  $H$ . (See [22] for background on treedepth.) If  $H$  is connected, then  $\text{td}(H) = \overline{\text{td}}(H)$ . In fact,  $\text{td}(H) = \overline{\text{td}}(H)$  unless  $H$  has two connected components  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  with  $\text{td}(H_1) = \text{td}(H_2) = \text{td}(H)$ , in which case  $\overline{\text{td}}(H) = \text{td}(H) + 1$ . It is convenient to work with connected treedepth to avoid this distinction. A class of graphs has *bounded treedepth* if there exists a constant  $c$  such that every graph in the class has treedepth at most  $c$ .

**Theorem 1.** *For every minor-closed class  $\mathcal{G}$  with bounded treedepth,*

$$\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{G}) = \chi_{\star}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}) - 1.$$

Our second result concerns pathwidth. A *path-decomposition* of a graph  $G$  consists of a sequence  $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ , where each  $B_i$  is a subset of  $V(G)$  called a *bag*, such that for every vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the set  $\{i \in [1, n] : v \in B_i\}$  is an interval, and for every edge  $vw \in E(G)$  there is a bag  $B_i$  containing both  $v$  and  $w$ . Here  $[a, b] := \{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$ . The *width* of a path decomposition  $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  is  $\max\{|B_i| : i \in [1, n]\} - 1$ . The *pathwidth* of a graph  $G$  is the minimum width of a path-decomposition of  $G$ . Note that paths (and more generally caterpillars) have pathwidth 1. A class of graphs has *bounded pathwidth* if there exists a constant  $c$  such that every graph in the class has pathwidth at most  $c$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For every minor-closed class  $\mathcal{G}$  with bounded pathwidth,*

$$\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \chi_{\star}(\mathcal{G}) \leq 2 \text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}) - 2.$$

Theorems 1 and 2 are respectively proved in Sections 2 and 3. These results are best possible and partially resolve a number of conjectures from the literature, as we now explain.

Ossona de Mendez et al. [24] studied the defective chromatic number of minor-closed classes. For a graph  $H$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_H$  be the class of  $H$ -minor-free graphs (that is, not containing  $H$  as a minor). Ossona de Mendez et al. [24] proved the lower bound,  $\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{M}_H) \geq \overline{\text{td}}(H) - 1$  and conjectured that equality holds.

**Conjecture 3** ([24]). *For every graph  $H$ ,*

$$\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{M}_H) = \overline{\text{td}}(H) - 1.$$

Conjecture 3 is known to hold in some special cases. Edwards et al. [10] proved it if  $H = K_t$ ; that is,  $\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{M}_{K_t}) = t - 1$ , which can be thought of as a defective version of Hadwiger's Conjecture; see [25] for an improved bound on the defect in this case. Ossona de Mendez et al. [24] proved Conjecture 3 if  $\overline{\text{td}}(H) \leq 3$  or if  $H$  is a complete bipartite graph. In particular,  $\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{M}_{K_{s,t}}) = \min\{s, t\}$ .

Norin et al. [23] studied the clustered chromatic number of minor-closed classes. They showed that for each  $k \geq 2$ , there is a graph  $H$  with treedepth  $k$  and connected treedepth  $k$  such that  $\chi_{\star}(\mathcal{M}_H) \geq 2k - 2$ . Their proof in fact constructs a set  $\mathcal{X}$  of graphs in  $\mathcal{M}_H$  with bounded pathwidth (at most  $2k - 3$  to be precise) such that  $\chi_{\star}(\mathcal{X}) \geq 2k - 2$ . Thus the upper bound on  $\chi_{\star}(\mathcal{G})$  in Theorem 2 is best possible.

Norin et al. [23] conjectured the following converse upper bound (analogous to Conjecture 3):

**Conjecture 4** ([23]). *For every graph  $H$ ,*

$$\chi_{\star}(\mathcal{M}_H) \leq 2\overline{\text{td}}(H) - 2.$$

While Conjectures 3 and 4 remain open, Norin et al. [23] showed in the following theorem that  $\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{M}_H)$  and  $\chi_{\star}(\mathcal{M}_H)$  are controlled by the treedepth of  $H$ :

**Theorem 5** ([23]). *For every graph  $H$ ,  $\chi_{\star}(\mathcal{M}_H)$  is tied to the (connected) treedepth of  $H$ . In particular,*

$$\overline{\text{td}}(H) - 1 \leq \chi_{\star}(\mathcal{M}_H) \leq 2^{\overline{\text{td}}(H)+1} - 4.$$

Theorem 1 gives a much more precise bound than Theorem 5 under the extra assumption of bounded treedepth.

Our third main result concerns fractional colourings. For real  $t \geq 1$ , a graph  $G$  is *fractionally  $t$ -colourable with clustering  $c$*  if there exist  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_s \subseteq V(G)$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in [0, 1]$  such that<sup>1</sup>:

- Every component of  $G[Y_i]$  has at most  $c$  vertices,
- $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \leq t$ ,
- $\sum_{i:v \in Y_i} \alpha_i \geq 1$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ .

The *fractional clustered chromatic number*  $\chi_{\star}^f(\mathcal{G})$  of a graph class  $\mathcal{G}$  is the infimum of  $t > 0$  such that there exists  $c = c(t, \mathcal{G})$  such that every  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  is fractionally  $t$ -colourable with clustering  $c$ .

*Fractionally  $t$ -colourable with defect  $d$*  and *fractional defective chromatic number*  $\chi_{\Delta}^f(\mathcal{G})$  are defined in exactly the same way, except the condition on the component size of  $G[Y_i]$  is replaced by “the maximum degree of  $G[Y_i]$  is at most  $d$ ”.

The following theorem determines the fractional clustered chromatic number and fractional defective chromatic number of any proper minor-closed class.

**Theorem 6.** *For every proper minor-closed class  $\mathcal{G}$ ,*

$$\chi_{\Delta}^f(\mathcal{G}) = \chi_{\star}^f(\mathcal{G}) = \text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}) - 1.$$

This result is proved in Section 4.

We now give an interesting example of Theorem 6.

**Corollary 7.** *For every surface  $\Sigma$ , if  $\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}$  is the class of graphs embeddable in  $\Sigma$ , then*

$$\chi_{\Delta}^f(\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}) = \chi_{\star}^f(\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}) = 3.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $C\langle 3, k \rangle$  is planar for all  $k$ . Thus  $\text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}) \geq 4$ . Say  $\Sigma$  has Euler genus  $g$ . It follows from Euler’s formula that  $K_{3,2g+3} \notin \mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}$ . Since  $K_{3,2g+3} \subseteq C\langle 4, 2g+3 \rangle$ , we have  $C\langle 4, 2g+3 \rangle \notin \mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}$ . Thus  $\text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}) = 4$ . The result follows from Theorem 6.  $\square$

In contrast to Corollary 7, Dvořák and Norin [8] proved that  $\chi_{\star}(\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}) = 4$ . Note that Archdeacon [2] proved that  $\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{G}_{\Sigma}) = 3$ ; see [5] for an improved bound on the defect.

<sup>1</sup> If  $c = 1$ , then this corresponds to a (proper) fractional  $t$ -colouring, and if the  $\alpha_i$  are integral, then this yields a  $t$ -colouring with clustering  $c$ .

## 2 Treedepth

Say  $G$  is a subgraph of the closure of some rooted tree  $T$ . For each vertex  $v \in V(T)$ , let  $T_v$  be the maximal subtree of  $T$  rooted at  $v$  (consisting of  $v$  and all its descendants), and let  $G[T_v]$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $V(T_v)$ .

The *weak closure* of a rooted tree  $T$  is the graph  $G$  with vertex set  $V(T)$ , where two vertices  $v, w \in V(T)$  are adjacent in  $G$  whenever  $v$  is a leaf of  $T$  and  $w$  is an ancestor of  $v$  in  $T$ . As illustrated in Figure 2, let  $W\langle h, k \rangle$  be the weak closure of the complete  $k$ -ary tree of height  $h$ .




Figure 2: The weak closure  $W\langle 4, 2 \rangle$ .

Note that  $W\langle h, k \rangle$  is a proper subgraph of  $C\langle h, k \rangle$  for  $h \geq 3$ . On the other hand, Norin et al. [23] showed that  $W\langle h, k \rangle$  contains  $C\langle h, k - 1 \rangle$  as a minor for all  $h, k \geq 2$ . Therefore Theorem 1 is an immediate consequence of the following lemma.

**Lemma 8.** *For all  $d, k, h \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $c = c(d, k, h) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for every graph  $G$  with treedepth at most  $d$ , either  $G$  contains a  $W\langle h, k \rangle$ -minor or  $G$  is  $(h - 1)$ -colourable with clustering  $c$ .*

*Proof.* Throughout this proof,  $d, k$  and  $h$  are fixed, and we make no attempt to optimise  $c$ .

We may assume that  $G$  is connected. So  $G$  is a subgraph of the closure of some rooted tree of depth at most  $d$ . Choose a tree  $T$  of depth at most  $d$  rooted at some vertex  $r$ , such that  $G$  is a subgraph of the closure of  $T$ , and subject to this,  $\sum_{v \in V(T)} \text{dist}_T(v, r)$  is minimal. Suppose that  $G[T_v]$  is disconnected for some vertex  $v$  in  $T$ . Choose such a vertex  $v$  at maximum distance from  $r$ . Since  $G$  is connected,  $v \neq r$ . By the choice of  $v$ , for each child  $w$  of  $v$ , the subgraph  $G[T_w]$  is connected. Thus, for some child  $w$  of  $v$ , there is no edge in  $G$  joining  $v$  and  $G[T_w]$ . Let  $u$  be the parent of  $v$ . Let  $T'$  be obtained from  $T$  by deleting the edge  $vw$  and adding the edge  $uw$ , so that  $w$  is a child of  $u$  in  $T'$ . Note that  $G$  is a subgraph of the closure of  $T'$  (since  $v$  has no neighbour in  $G[T_w]$ ). Moreover,

$\text{dist}_{T'}(x, r) = \text{dist}_T(x, r) - 1$  for every vertex  $x \in V(T_w)$ , and  $\text{dist}_{T'}(y, r) = \text{dist}_T(y, r)$  for every vertex  $y \in V(T) \setminus V(T_w)$ . Hence  $\sum_{v \in V(T')} \text{dist}_{T'}(v, r) < \sum_{v \in V(T)} \text{dist}_T(v, r)$ , which contradicts our choice of  $T$ . Therefore  $G[T_v]$  is connected for every vertex  $v$  of  $T$ .

Consider each vertex  $v \in V(T)$ . Define the *level*  $\ell(v) := \text{dist}_T(r, v) \in [0, d - 1]$ . Let  $T_v^+$  be the subtree of  $T$  consisting of  $T_v$  plus the  $vr$ -path in  $T$ , and let  $G[T_v^+]$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $V(T_v^+)$ . For a subtree  $X$  of  $T$  rooted at vertex  $v$ , define the *level*  $\ell(X) := \ell(v)$ .

A *ranked graph* (for fixed  $d$ ) is a triple  $(H, L, \preceq)$  where:

- $H$  is a graph,
- $L : V(H) \rightarrow [0, d - 1]$  is a function,
- $\preceq$  is a partial order on  $V(H)$  such that  $L(v) < L(w)$  whenever  $v \prec w$ .

Here and throughout this proof,  $v \prec w$  means that  $v \preceq w$  and  $v \neq w$ . Up to isomorphism, the number of ranked graphs on  $n$  vertices is at most  $2^{\binom{n}{2}} d^n 3^{\binom{n}{2}}$ . For a vertex  $v$  of  $T$ , a ranked graph  $(H, L, \preceq)$  is said to be *contained in*  $G[T_v^+]$  if there is an isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $H$  to some subgraph of  $G[T_v^+]$  such that:

- (A) for each vertex  $v \in V(H)$  we have  $L(v) = \ell(\phi(v))$ , and
- (B) for all distinct vertices  $v, w \in V(H)$  we have that  $v \prec w$  if and only if  $\phi(v)$  is an ancestor of  $\phi(w)$  in  $T$ .

Say  $(H, L, \preceq)$  is a ranked graph and  $i \in [0, d - 1]$ . Below we define the  *$i$ -splice* of  $(H, L, \preceq)$  to be a particular ranked graph  $(H', L', \preceq')$ , which (intuitively speaking) is obtained from  $(H, L, \preceq)$  by copying  $k$  times the subgraph of  $H$  induced by the vertices  $v$  with  $L(v) > i$ . Formally, let

$$\begin{aligned} V(H') &:= \{(v, 0) : v \in V(H), L(v) \in [0, i]\} \cup \\ &\quad \{(v, j) : v \in V(H), L(v) \in [i + 1, d], j \in [1, k]\}. \\ E(H') &:= \{(v, 0)(w, 0) : vw \in E(H), L(v) \in [0, i], L(w) \in [0, i]\} \cup \\ &\quad \{(v, 0)(w, j) : vw \in E(H), L(v) \in [0, i], L(w) \in [i + 1, d], j \in [1, k]\} \cup \\ &\quad \{(v, j)(w, j) : vw \in E(H), L(v) \in [i + 1, d], L(w) \in [i + 1, d], j \in [1, k]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define  $L'((v, j)) := L(v)$  for every vertex  $(v, j) \in V(H')$ . Now define the following partial order  $\preceq'$  on  $V(H')$ :

- $(v, j) \preceq' (v, j)$  for all  $(v, j) \in V(H')$ ;
- if  $v \prec w$  and  $L(v), L(w) \in [0, i]$ , then  $(v, 0) \prec' (w, 0)$ ;
- if  $v \prec w$  and  $L(v) \in [0, i]$  and  $L(w) \in [i + 1, d]$ , then  $(v, 0) \prec' (w, j)$  for all  $j \in [1, k]$ ; and
- if  $v \prec w$  and  $L(v), L(w) \in [i + 1, d]$ , then  $(v, j) \prec' (w, j)$  for all  $j \in [1, k]$ .

Note that if  $(v, a) \prec' (w, b)$ , then  $a \leq b$  and  $v \prec w$  (implying  $L(v) < L(w)$ ). It follows that  $\prec'$  is a partial order on  $V(H')$  such that  $L'((v, a)) < L'((w, b))$  whenever  $(v, a) \prec' (w, b)$ . Thus  $(H', L', \preceq')$  is a ranked graph.

For  $\ell \in [0, d-1]$ , let

$$N_\ell := (d+1)(h-1)(k+1)^{d-1-\ell}.$$

For each vertex  $v$  of  $T$ , define the *profile* of  $v$  to be the set of all ranked graphs  $(H, L, \preceq)$  contained in  $G[T_v^+]$  such that  $|V(H)| \leq N_{\ell(v)}$ . Note that if  $v$  is a descendant of  $u$ , then the profile of  $v$  is a subset of the profile of  $u$ . For  $\ell \in [0, d-1]$ , if  $N = N_\ell$  then let

$$M_\ell := 2^{2^{\binom{N}{2}}} d^N 3^{\binom{N}{2}}.$$

Then there are at most  $M_\ell$  possible profiles of a vertex at level  $\ell$ .

We now partition  $V(T)$  into subtrees. Each subtree is called a *group*. (At the end of the proof, vertices in a single group will be assigned the same colour.) We assign vertices to groups in non-increasing order of their distance from the root. Initialise this process by placing each leaf  $v$  of  $T$  into a singleton group. We now show how to determine the group of a non-leaf vertex. Let  $v$  be a vertex not assigned to a group at maximum distance from  $r$ . So each child of  $v$  is assigned to a group. Let  $Y_v$  be the set of children  $y$  of  $v$ , such that the number of children of  $v$  that have the same profile as  $y$  is in the range  $[1, k-1]$ . If  $Y_v = \emptyset$  start a new singleton group  $\{v\}$ . If  $Y_v \neq \emptyset$  then merge all the groups rooted at vertices in  $Y_v$  into one group including  $v$ . This defines our partition of  $V(T)$  into groups. Each group  $X$  is *rooted* at the vertex in  $X$  closest to  $r$  in  $T$ . A group  $Y$  is *above* a distinct group  $X$  if the root of  $Y$  is on the path in  $T$  from the root of  $X$  to  $r$ .

The next claim is the key to the remainder of the proof.

**Claim 1.** *Let  $uv \in E(T)$  where  $u$  is the parent of  $v$ , and  $u$  is in a different group to  $v$ . Then for every ranked graph  $(H, L, \preceq)$  in the profile of  $v$ , the  $\ell(u)$ -splice of  $(H, L, \preceq)$  is in the profile of  $u$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(H, L, \preceq)$  is in the profile of  $v$ , there is an isomorphism  $\phi$  from  $H$  to some subgraph of  $G[T_v^+]$  such that for each vertex  $x \in V(H)$  we have  $L(x) = \ell(\phi(x))$ , and for all distinct vertices  $x, y \in V(H)$  we have that  $x \prec y$  if and only if  $\phi(x)$  is an ancestor of  $\phi(y)$  in  $T$ .

Since  $u$  and  $v$  are in different groups, there are  $k$  children  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  of  $u$  (one of which is  $v$ ) such that the profiles of  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  are equal. Thus  $(H, L, \preceq)$  is in the profile of each of  $y_1, \dots, y_k$ . That is, for each  $j \in [1, k]$ , there is an isomorphism  $\phi_j$  from  $H$  to some subgraph of  $G[T_{y_j}^+]$  such that for each vertex  $x \in V(H)$  we have  $L(x) = \ell(\phi_j(x))$ , and for all distinct vertices  $x, y \in V(H)$  we have that  $x \prec y$  if and only if  $\phi_j(x)$  is an ancestor of  $\phi_j(y)$  in  $T$ .

Let  $(H', L', \preceq')$  be the  $\ell(u)$ -splice of  $(H, L, \preceq)$ . We now define a function  $\phi'$  from  $V(H')$  to  $V(G[T_u^+])$ . For each vertex  $(x, 0)$  of  $H'$  (thus with  $x \in V(H)$  and  $L(x) \in [0, \ell(u)]$ ), define  $\phi'((x, 0)) := \phi(x)$ . For every other vertex  $(x, j)$  of  $H'$  (thus with  $x \in V(H)$  and  $L(x) \in [\ell(u) + 1, d-1]$  and  $j \in [1, k]$ ), define  $\phi'((x, j)) := \phi_j(x)$ .

We now show that  $\phi'$  is an isomorphism from  $H'$  to a subgraph of  $G[T_u^+]$ . Consider an edge  $(x, a)(y, b)$  of  $H'$ . Thus  $xy \in E(H)$ . It suffices to show that  $\phi'((x, a))\phi'((y, b)) \in$

$E(G[T_u^+])$ . First suppose that  $a = b = 0$ . So  $L(x) \in [0, \ell(u)]$  and  $L(y) \in [0, \ell(u)]$ . Thus  $\phi'((x, a)) = \phi(x)$  and  $\phi'((y, b)) = \phi(y)$ . Since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism to a subgraph of  $G[T_v^+]$ , we have  $\phi(x)\phi(y) \in E(G[T_v^+])$ , which is a subgraph of  $G[T_u^+]$ . Hence  $\phi'((x, a))\phi'((y, b)) \in E(G[T_u^+])$ , as desired. Now suppose that  $a = 0$  and  $b \in [1, k]$ . Thus  $\phi'((x, a)) = \phi(x)$  and  $\phi'((y, b)) = \phi_b(y)$ . Moreover, both  $\ell(\phi(x))$  and  $\ell(\phi_b(x))$  equal  $L(x) \in [0, \ell(u)]$ . There is only vertex  $z$  in  $T_v^+$  with  $\ell(z)$  equal to a specific number in  $[0, \ell(u)]$ . Thus  $\phi'((x, a)) = \phi(x) = \phi_b(x) (= z)$ . Since  $\phi_b$  is an isomorphism to a subgraph of  $G[T_{y_b}^+]$ , we have  $\phi_b(x)\phi_b(y) \in E(G[T_{y_b}^+])$ , which is a subgraph of  $G[T_u^+]$ . Hence  $\phi'((x, a))\phi'((y, b)) \in E(G[T_u^+])$ , as desired. Finally, suppose that  $a = b \in [1, k]$ . Thus  $\phi'((x, a)) = \phi_a(x)$  and  $\phi'((y, b)) = \phi_b(y) = \phi_a(y)$ . Since  $\phi_a$  is an isomorphism to a subgraph of  $G[T_{y_a}^+]$ , we have  $\phi_a(x)\phi_a(y) \in E(G[T_{y_a}^+])$ , which is a subgraph of  $G[T_u^+]$ . Hence  $\phi'((x, a))\phi'((y, b)) \in E(G[T_u^+])$ , as desired. This shows that  $\phi'$  is an isomorphism from  $H'$  to a subgraph of  $G[T_u^+]$ .

We now verify property (A) for  $(H', L', \preceq')$ . For each vertex  $(x, 0)$  of  $H'$  (thus with  $x \in V(H)$  and  $L(x) \in [0, \ell(u)]$ ) we have  $L'((x, 0)) = L(x) = \ell(\phi(x)) = \ell(\phi'((x, 0)))$ , as desired. For every other vertex  $(x, j)$  of  $H'$  (thus with  $x \in V(H)$  and  $L(x) \in [\ell(u) + 1, d - 1]$  and  $j \in [1, k]$ ) we have  $L'((x, j)) = L(x) = \ell(\phi_j(x)) = \ell(\phi'((x, j)))$ , as desired. Hence property (A) is satisfied for  $(H', L', \preceq')$ .

We now verify property (B) for  $(H', L', \preceq')$ . Consider distinct vertices  $(x, a), (y, b) \in V(H')$ . First suppose that  $a = 0$  and  $b = 0$ . Then  $(x, a) \prec' (y, b)$  if and only if  $x \prec y$  if and only if  $\phi(x)$  is an ancestor of  $\phi(y)$  in  $T$  if and only if  $\phi'((x, a))$  is an ancestor of  $\phi'((y, b))$  in  $T$ , as desired. Now suppose that  $a = 0$  and  $b \in [1, k]$ . Then  $(x, a) \prec' (y, b)$  if and only if  $x \prec y$  if and only if  $\phi(x)$  is an ancestor of  $\phi_b(y)$  in  $T$  if and only if  $\phi'((x, a))$  is an ancestor of  $\phi'((y, b))$  in  $T$ , as desired. Now suppose that  $a = b \in [1, k]$ . Then  $(x, a) \prec' (y, b)$  if and only if  $x \prec y$  if and only if  $\phi_a(x)$  is an ancestor of  $\phi_b(y)$  in  $T$  if and only if  $\phi'((x, a))$  is an ancestor of  $\phi'((y, b))$  in  $T$ , as desired. Finally, suppose that  $a, b \in [1, k]$  and  $a \neq b$ . Then  $(x, a)$  and  $(y, b)$  are incomparable under  $\prec'$ , and  $\phi'((x, a))$  and  $\phi'((y, b))$  in  $T$  are unrelated in  $T$ , as desired. Hence property (B) is satisfied for  $(H', L', \preceq')$ .

So  $\phi'$  is an isomorphism from  $H'$  to a subgraph of  $G[T_u^+]$  satisfying properties (A) and (B). Thus  $(H', L', \preceq')$  is contained in  $G[T_u^+]$ , as desired. Since  $(H, L, \preceq)$  is in the profile of  $v$ , we have  $|V(H)| \leq (d+1)(h-1)(k+1)^{h-\ell(v)}$ . Since  $|V(H')| \leq (k+1)|V(H)|$  and  $\ell(u) = \ell(v) - 1$ , we have  $|V(H')| \leq (d+1)(h-1)(k+1)^{h+1-\ell(v)} = (d+1)(h-1)(k+1)^{h-\ell(u)}$ . Thus  $(H', L', \preceq')$  is in the profile of  $u$ .  $\square$

The proof now divides into two cases. If some group  $X_0$  is adjacent in  $G$  to at least  $h-1$  other groups above  $X_0$ , then we show that  $G$  contains  $W\langle h, k \rangle$  as a minor. Otherwise, every group  $X$  is adjacent in  $G$  to at most  $h-2$  other groups above  $X$ , in which case we show that  $G$  is  $(h-1)$ -colourable with bounded clustering.



## Finding the Minor

Suppose that some group  $X_0$  is adjacent in  $G$  to at least  $h-1$  other groups  $X_1, \dots, X_{h-1}$  above  $X_0$ . We now show that  $G$  contains  $W\langle h, k \rangle$  as a minor; refer to Figure 3. For  $i \in [1, h-1]$ , since  $X_i$  is above  $X_0$ , the root  $v_i$  of  $X_i$  is on the  $v_0 r$ -path in  $T$ . Without loss of generality,  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{h-1}$  appear in this order on the  $v_0 r$ -path in  $T$ . For  $i \in [1, h-1]$ , let  $w_i$  be a vertex in  $X_i$  adjacent to some vertex  $z_i$  in  $X_0$ ; since  $G$  is a subgraph of the closure of  $T$ ,  $w_i$  is on the  $v_0 r$ -path in  $T$ . For  $i \in [0, h-2]$ , let  $u_i$  be the parent of  $v_i$  in  $T$  (which exists since  $v_{h-2} \neq r$ ). So  $u_i$  is not in  $X_i$  (but may be in  $X_{i+1}$ ). Note that  $v_0, u_0, w_1, v_1, u_1, \dots, w_{h-2}, v_{h-2}, u_{h-2}, w_{h-1}, v_{h-1}$  appear in this order on the  $v_0 r$ -path in  $T$ , where  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{h-1}$  are distinct (since they are in distinct groups).




Figure 3: Construction of a  $W\langle 4, k \rangle$  minor (where  $u_i$  might be in  $X_{i+1}$ ).

Let  $P_j$  be the  $z_j r$ -path in  $T$  for  $j \in [1, h-1]$ . Let  $H_0$  be the graph with  $V(H_0) := V(P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_{h-1})$  and  $E(H_0) := \{z_j w_j : j \in [1, h-1]\}$ . Define the function  $L_0 : V(H_0) \rightarrow [0, d-1]$  by  $L_0(x) := \ell(x)$  for each  $x \in V(H_0)$ . Define the partial order  $\preceq_0$  on  $V(H_0)$ , where  $x \prec_0 y$  if and only if  $x$  is ancestor of  $y$  in  $T$ . Thus  $(H_0, L_0, \preceq_0)$  is a ranked graph. By construction,  $(H_0, L_0, \preceq_0)$  is contained in  $G[T_{v_0}^+]$ . Since  $H_0$  has less than  $(d+1)(h-1)$  vertices,  $H_0$  is in the profile of  $v_0$ . For  $i = 0, 1, \dots, h-2$ , let  $(H_{i+1}, L_{i+1}, \prec_{i+1})$  be the  $\ell(u_i)$ -splice of  $(H_i, L_i, \prec_i)$ .

By induction on  $i$ , using Claim 1 at each step and since  $G[T_{u_i}^+] \subseteq G[T_{v_{i+1}}^+]$ , we conclude that for each  $i \in [0, h-1]$ , the ranked graph  $(H_i, L_i, \preceq_i)$  is in the profile of  $v_i$ . In particular,  $(H_{h-1}, L_{h-1}, \prec_{h-1})$  is in the profile of  $v_{h-1}$ , and  $H_{h-1}$  is isomorphic to a subgraph

of  $G$ . Note that each vertex of  $H_{h-1}$  is of the form  $((\dots(x, d_1), d_2), \dots), d_{h-1})$  for some  $x \in V(H_0)$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \in [0, k]$ . For brevity, call such a vertex  $x\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$ . Note that if  $x = w_j$  for some  $j \in [1, h-1]$ , then  $d_1 = \dots = d_j = 0$  (since  $w_j$  is above  $u_i$  whenever  $i < j$ , and  $(H_{i+1}, L_{i+1}, \prec_{i+1})$  is the  $\ell(u_i)$ -splice of  $(H_i, L_i, \preceq_i)$ ).

For  $x \in V(H_0)$ , let  $\Lambda_x$  be the set of vertices  $x\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  in  $H_{h-1}$ . By construction, no two vertices in  $\Lambda_x$  are comparable under  $\preceq_{h-1}$ . Therefore, by property (B),  $V(T_a) \cap V(T_b) = \emptyset$  for all distinct  $a, b \in \Lambda_x$ . In particular,  $V(T_a) \cap V(T_b) = \emptyset$  for all distinct  $a, b \in \Lambda_{v_0}$ . As proved above,  $G[T_a]$  is connected for each  $a \in V(T)$ . Let  $G'$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by contracting  $G[T_a]$  into a single vertex  $\alpha\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$ , for each  $a = v_0\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle \in \Lambda_{v_0}$ . So  $G'$  is a minor of  $G$ .

Let  $U$  be the tree with vertex set

$$\{\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle : \exists j \in [0, h-1] \ d_1 = \dots = d_j = 0 \text{ and } d_{j+1}, \dots, d_{h-1} \in [1, k]\},$$

where the parent of  $(0, \dots, 0, d_{j+1}, d_{j+2}, \dots, d_{h-1})$  is  $(0, \dots, 0, d_{j+2}, \dots, d_{h-1})$ . Then  $U$  is isomorphic to the complete  $k$ -tree of height  $h$  rooted at  $\langle 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ . We now show that the weak closure of  $U$  is a subgraph of  $G'$ , where each vertex  $\langle 0, \dots, 0, d_{j+1}, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  of  $U$  with  $j \in [1, h-1]$  is mapped to vertex  $w_j\langle 0, \dots, 0, d_{j+1}, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  of  $G'$ , and each other vertex  $\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  of  $U$  is mapped to  $\alpha\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  of  $G'$ . For all  $d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \in [1, k]$  and  $j \in [1, h-1]$  the vertex  $z_j\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  of  $G$  is contracted into the vertex  $\alpha\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  of  $G'$ . By construction,  $z_j\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  is adjacent to  $w_j\langle 0, \dots, 0, d_{j+1}, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  in  $G$ . So  $\alpha\langle d_1, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  is adjacent to  $w_j\langle 0, \dots, 0, d_{j+1}, \dots, d_{h-1} \rangle$  in  $G'$ . This implies that the weak closure of  $U$  (that is,  $W\langle h, k \rangle$ ) is isomorphic to a subgraph of  $G'$ , and is therefore a minor of  $G$ .

### Finding the Colouring

Now assume that every group  $X$  is adjacent in  $G$  to at most  $h-2$  other groups above  $X$ . Then  $(h-1)$ -colour the groups in order of distance from the root, such that every group  $X$  is assigned a colour different from the colours assigned to the neighbouring groups above  $X$ . Assign each vertex within a group the same colour as that assigned to the whole group. This defines an  $(h-1)$ -colouring of  $G$ .

Consider the function  $s : [0, d-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  recursively defined by

$$s(\ell) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell = d-1 \\ (k-1) \cdot M_{\ell+1} \cdot s(\ell+1) & \text{if } \ell \in [0, d-2]. \end{cases}$$

Then every group at level  $\ell$  has at most  $s(\ell)$  vertices. By construction, our  $(h-1)$ -colouring of  $G$  has clustering  $s(0)$ , which is bounded by a function of  $d$ ,  $k$  and  $h$ , as desired.  $\square$

### 3 Pathwidth

The following lemma of independent interest is the key to proving Theorem 2. Note that Eppstein [11] independently discovered the same result (with a slightly weaker bound

on the path length). The decomposition method in the proof has been previously used, for example, by Dujmović, Joret, Kozik, and Wood [7, Lemma 17].

**Lemma 9.** *Every graph with pathwidth at most  $w$  has a vertex 2-colouring such that each monochromatic path has at most  $(w + 3)^w$  vertices.*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $w \geq 1$ . Every graph with pathwidth 1 is a caterpillar, and is thus properly 2-colourable. Now assume  $w \geq 2$  and the result holds for graphs with pathwidth at most  $w - 1$ . Let  $G$  be a graph with pathwidth at most  $w$ . Let  $(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  be a path-decomposition of  $G$  with width at most  $w$ . Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m$  be a maximal sequence such that  $t_1 = 1$  and for each  $i \geq 2$ ,  $t_i$  is the minimum integer such that  $B_{t_i} \cap B_{t_{i-1}} = \emptyset$ . For odd  $i$ , colour every vertex in  $B_{t_i}$  ‘red’. For even  $i$ , colour every vertex in  $B_{t_i}$  ‘blue’. Since  $B_{t_i} \cap B_{t_{i-1}} = \emptyset$  for  $i \geq 2$ , no vertex is coloured twice. Let  $G'$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the uncoloured vertices. By the choice of  $B_{t_i}$ , for  $i \geq 2$  each bag  $B_j$  with  $j \in [t_{i-1} + 1, t_i - 1]$  intersects  $B_{t_{i-1}}$ . Thus  $(B_1 \cap V(G'), \dots, B_n \cap V(G'))$  is a path-decomposition of  $G'$  of width at most  $w - 1$ . By induction,  $G'$  has a vertex 2-colouring such that each monochromatic path has at most  $(w + 3)^{w-1}$  vertices. Since  $B_{t_i} \cup B_{t_{i+2}}$  separates  $B_{t_{i+1}} \cup \dots \cup B_{t_{i+2}-1}$  from the rest of  $G$ , each monochromatic component of  $G$  is contained in  $B_{t_{i+1}} \cup \dots \cup B_{t_{i+2}-1}$  for some  $i \in [0, n - 2]$ . Consider a monochromatic path  $P$  in  $G[B_{t_{i+1}} \cup \dots \cup B_{t_{i+2}-1}]$ . Then  $P$  has at most  $w + 1$  vertices in  $B_{t_{i+1}}$ . Note that  $P - B_{t_{i+1}}$  is contained in  $G'$ . Thus  $P$  consists of up to  $w + 2$  monochromatic subpaths in  $G'$  plus  $w + 1$  vertices in  $B_{t_{i+1}}$ . Hence  $P$  has at most  $(w + 2)(w + 3)^{w-1} + (w + 1) < (w + 3)^w$  vertices.  $\square$

Nešetřil and Ossona de Mendez [22] showed that if a graph  $G$  contains no path on  $k$  vertices, then  $\text{td}(G) < k$  (since  $G$  is a subgraph of the closure of a DFS spanning tree with height at most  $k$ ). Thus Lemma 9 implies:

**Corollary 10.** *Every graph with pathwidth at most  $w$  has a vertex 2-colouring such that each monochromatic component has treedepth at most  $(w + 3)^w$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a minor-closed class of graphs, each with pathwidth at most  $w$ . Let  $h$  be the minimum integer such that  $C\langle h, k \rangle \notin \mathcal{G}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Thus  $W\langle h, k + 1 \rangle$  is not a minor of  $G$  (since  $C\langle h, k \rangle$  is a minor of  $W\langle h, k + 1 \rangle$ , as noted above). By Corollary 10,  $G$  has a vertex 2-colouring such that each monochromatic component  $H$  of  $G$  has treedepth at most  $(w + 3)^w$ . Thus  $W\langle h, k + 1 \rangle$  is not a minor of  $H$ . By Lemma 8,  $H$  is  $(h - 1)$ -colourable with clustering  $c((w + 3)^w, k + 1, h)$ . Taking a product colouring,  $G$  is  $(2h - 2)$ -colourable with clustering  $c((w + 3)^w, k + 1, h)$ . Hence  $\chi_{\Delta}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \chi_{\star}(\mathcal{G}) \leq 2h - 2$ .  $\square$

Note that Lemma 9 cannot be extended to the setting of bounded tree-width graphs: Esperet and Joret (see [17, Theorem 4.1]) proved that for all positive integers  $w$  and  $d$  there exists a graph  $G$  with tree-width at most  $w$  such that for every  $w$ -colouring of  $G$  there exists a monochromatic component of  $G$  with diameter greater than  $d$  (and thus with a monochromatic path on more than  $d$  vertices, and thus with treedepth at least  $\log_2 d$ ).

## 4 Fractional Colouring

This section proves Theorem 6. The starting point is the following key result of Dvořák and Sereni [9].<sup>2</sup>

**Theorem 11** ([9]). *For every proper minor-closed class  $\mathcal{G}$  and every  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying the following. For every  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  there exist  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s \subseteq V(G)$  such that:*

- $\text{td}(G[X_i]) \leq d$ , and
- every  $v \in V(G)$  belongs to at least  $(1 - \delta)s$  of these sets.

We now prove a lower bound on the fractional defective chromatic number of the closure of complete trees of given height.

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}_h := \{C\langle h, k \rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $\chi_{\Delta}^f(\mathcal{C}_h) \geq h$ .*

*Proof.* We show by induction on  $h$  that if  $C\langle h, k \rangle$  is fractionally  $t$ -colourable with defect  $d$ , then  $t \geq h - (h - 1)d/k$ . This clearly implies the lemma. The base case  $h = 1$  is trivial.

For the induction step, suppose that  $G := C\langle h, k \rangle$  is fractionally  $t$ -colourable with defect  $d$ . Thus there exist  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_s \subseteq V(G)$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in [0, 1]$  such that:

- every component of  $G[Y_i]$  has maximum degree at most  $d$ ,
- $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \leq t$ , and
- $\sum_{i: v \in Y_i} \alpha_i \geq 1$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ .

Let  $r$  be the vertex of  $G$  corresponding to the root of the complete  $k$ -ary tree and let  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  be the components of  $G - r$ . Then each  $H_i$  is isomorphic to  $C\langle h - 1, k \rangle$ . Let  $J_0 := \{j : r \in Y_j\}$ , and let  $J_i := \{j : Y_j \cap V(H_i) \neq \emptyset\}$  for  $i \in [1, k]$ . Denote  $\sum_{j \in J_i} \alpha_j$  by  $\alpha(J_i)$  for brevity. Thus  $\alpha(J_0) \geq 1$ . For  $i \in [1, k]$ , the subgraph  $H_i$  is  $\alpha(J_i)$ -colourable with defect  $d$ , and thus  $\alpha(J_i) \geq h - 1 - (h - 2)d/k$  by the induction hypothesis. Thus

$$(k - d)\alpha(J_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha(J_i) \geq (k - d) + k(h - 1) - (h - 2)d = kh - (h - 1)d.$$

If  $j \in J_0$  then  $Y_j$  intersects at most  $d$  of  $H_1, \dots, H_k$  (since  $G[Y_j]$  has maximum degree at most  $d$ ). Thus every  $\alpha_j$  appears with coefficient at most  $k$  in the left side of the above inequality, implying

$$(k - d)\alpha(J_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha(J_i) \leq k \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \leq kt.$$

Combining the above inequalities yields the claimed bound on  $t$ . □

<sup>2</sup> Dvořák and Sereni [9] expressed their result in the terms of “treedepth fragility”. The sentence “proper minor-closed classes are fractionally treedepth-fragile” after Theorem 31 in [9] is equivalent to Theorem 11. Informally speaking, Theorem 11 shows that the fractional “treedepth” chromatic number of every minor-closed class equals 1.

*Proof of Theorem 6.* By Lemma 12,

$$\chi_{\star}^f(\mathcal{G}) \geq \chi_{\Delta}^f(\mathcal{G}) \geq \text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}) - 1.$$

It remains to show that  $\chi_{\star}^f(\mathcal{G}) \leq \text{tcn}(\mathcal{G}) - 1$ . Equivalently, we need to show that for all  $h, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , if  $C\langle h, k \rangle \notin \mathcal{G}$  then there exists  $c$  such that every graph in  $\mathcal{G}$  is fractionally  $(h - 1 + \varepsilon)$ -colourable with clustering  $c$ . This is trivial for  $h = 1$ , and so we assume  $h \geq 2$ .

Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy the conclusion of Theorem 11 for the class  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\delta = 1 - \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon/(h-1)}$ . Choose  $c = c(d, k + 1, h)$  to satisfy the conclusion of Lemma 8. We show that  $c$  is as desired.

Consider  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . By the choice of  $d$  there exists  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_s \subseteq V(G)$  such that:

- $\text{td}(G[X_i]) \leq d$ , and
- every  $v \in V(G)$  belongs to at least  $(1 - \delta)s$  of these sets.

Since  $C\langle h, k \rangle \notin \mathcal{G}$ , we have  $W\langle h, k + 1 \rangle \notin \mathcal{G}$ , and by the choice of  $c$ , for each  $i \in [1, s]$  there exists a partition  $(Y_i^1, Y_i^2, \dots, Y_i^{h-1})$  of  $X_i$  such that every component of  $G[Y_i^j]$  has at most  $c$  vertices. Every vertex of  $G$  belongs to at least  $(1 - \delta)s$  sets  $Y_i^j$  where  $i \in [1, s]$  and  $j \in [1, h - 1]$ . Considering these sets with equal coefficients  $\alpha_i^j := \frac{1}{(1-\delta)s}$ , we conclude that  $G$  is fractionally  $\frac{h-1}{1-\delta}$ -colourable with clustering  $c$ , as desired (since  $\frac{h-1}{1-\delta} = h - 1 + \varepsilon$ ).  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

This work was partially completed while SN was visiting Monash University supported by a Robert Bartnik Visiting Fellowship. SN thanks the School of Mathematics at Monash University for its hospitality. Thanks to the referee for several helpful comments.

## References

- [1] NOGA ALON, GUOLI DING, BOGDAN OPOROWSKI, AND DIRK VERTIGAN. [Partitioning into graphs with only small components](#). *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 87(2):231–243, 2003.
- [2] DAN ARCHDEACON. [A note on defective colorings of graphs in surfaces](#). *J. Graph Theory*, 11(4):517–519, 1987.
- [3] NICOLAS BROUTIN AND ROSS J. KANG. [Bounded monochromatic components for random graphs](#). *J. Comb.*, 9(3):411–446, 2018.
- [4] ILKYOO CHOI AND LOUIS ESPERET. [Improper coloring of graphs on surfaces](#). *J. Graph Theory*, 91(1):16–34, 2019.
- [5] LENORE COWEN, WAYNE GODDARD, AND C. ESTHER JESURUM. [Defective coloring revisited](#). *J. Graph Theory*, 24(3):205–219, 1997.
- [6] VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, LOUIS ESPERET, PAT MORIN, BARTOSZ WALCZAK, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Clustered 3-colouring graphs of bounded degree](#). *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 31(1):123–135, 2022.

- [7] VIDA DUJMOVIĆ, GWENAËL JORET, JAKUB KOZIK, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Nonrepetitive colouring via entropy compression](#). *Combinatorica*, 36(6):661–686, 2016.
- [8] ZDENĚK DVOŘÁK AND SERGEY NORIN. [Islands in minor-closed classes. I. Bounded treewidth and separators](#). 2017, arXiv:1710.02727.
- [9] ZDENĚK DVOŘÁK AND JEAN-SÉBASTIEN SERENI. [On fractional fragility rates of graph classes](#). *Electronic J. Combinatorics*, 27:P4.9, 2020.
- [10] KATHERINE EDWARDS, DONG YEAP KANG, JAEHOON KIM, SANG-IL OUM, AND PAUL SEYMOUR. [A relative of Hadwiger’s conjecture](#). *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 29(4):2385–2388, 2015.
- [11] DAVID EPPSTEIN. [Pathbreaking for intervals](#). In *11011110*. 2020.
- [12] LOUIS ESPERET AND GWENAËL JORET. [Colouring planar graphs with three colours and no large monochromatic components](#). *Combin., Probab. Comput.*, 23(4):551–570, 2014.
- [13] PENNY HAXELL, TIBOR SZABÓ, AND GÁBOR TARDOS. [Bounded size components—partitions and transversals](#). *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 88(2):281–297, 2003.
- [14] DONG YEAP KANG AND SANG-IL OUM. [Improper coloring of graphs with no odd clique minor](#). *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 28(5):740–754, 2019.
- [15] KEN-ICHI KAWARABAYASHI. [A weakening of the odd Hadwiger’s conjecture](#). *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 17(6):815–821, 2008.
- [16] KEN-ICHI KAWARABAYASHI AND BOJAN MOHAR. [A relaxed Hadwiger’s conjecture for list colorings](#). *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 97(4):647–651, 2007.
- [17] CHUN-HUNG LIU AND SANG-IL OUM. [Partitioning  \$H\$ -minor free graphs into three subgraphs with no large components](#). *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 128:114–133, 2018.
- [18] CHUN-HUNG LIU AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Clustered coloring of graphs excluding a subgraph and a minor](#). 2019, arXiv:1905.09495.
- [19] CHUN-HUNG LIU AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Clustered graph coloring and layered treewidth](#). 2019, arXiv:1905.08969.
- [20] CHUN-HUNG LIU AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Clustered variants of Hajós’ conjecture](#). *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, 152:27–54, 2019.
- [21] BOJAN MOHAR, BRUCE REED, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Colourings with bounded monochromatic components in graphs of given circumference](#). *Australas. J. Combin.*, 69(2):236–242, 2017.
- [22] JAROSLAV NEŠETŘIL AND PATRICE OSSONA DE MENDEZ. [Sparsity](#), vol. 28 of *Algorithms and Combinatorics*. Springer, 2012.
- [23] SERGEY NORIN, ALEX SCOTT, PAUL SEYMOUR, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Clustered colouring in minor-closed classes](#). *Combinatorica*, 39(6):1387–1412, 2019.
- [24] PATRICE OSSONA DE MENDEZ, SANG-IL OUM, AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Defective colouring of graphs excluding a subgraph or minor](#). *Combinatorica*, 39(2):377–410, 2019.
- [25] JAN VAN DEN HEUVEL AND DAVID R. WOOD. [Improper colourings inspired by Hadwiger’s conjecture](#). *J. London Math. Soc.*, 98:129–148, 2018. arXiv:1704.06536.
- [26] DAVID R. WOOD. [Defective and clustered graph colouring](#). *Electron. J. Combin.*, DS23, 2018. Version 1.