

A Shapley-Folkman lemma for lattice polytopes

Abstract Some occurrences of n can be replaced by $n - 1$ in a special case of the Shapley-Folkman lemma.

David Handelman

The Shapley-Folkman lemma (not to be confused with the S-F *theorem*, which is a consequence of the lemma) asserts the following. Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a collection of m subsets of \mathbf{R}^n , with $n < m$, and let K_i denote the convex hull of S_i . Given x in the Minkowski sum, $\sum K_i$ (the set of sums $\sum k_i$ with each $k_i \in K_i$), there exists a subset $T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with $|T| = n$ such that

$$x = z + \sum_{s \in T^c} y_s,$$

where $z \in \sum_T K_t$, and each $y_s \in S_s$ with s running over T^c . Moreover, the cardinality of T cannot in general be reduced to $n - 1$.

If we apply this to the special case $S = S_1 = S_2 = \dots = S_m \subset \mathbf{Z}^n$ with S finite, and $K = \text{cvx} S$, then we have a result for lattice polytopes (a *lattice polytope* in \mathbf{R}^n is the convex hull of a finite set of lattice points (elements of \mathbf{Z}^n)). Specifically,

$$(1) \quad mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + (m - n)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n).$$

To translate this to English (or any other language), we are using the convention that if A and B are subsets of an abelian group, then $A + B$ is the set of sums $\{a + b \mid a \in A; b \in B\}$, and if k is a positive integer, then kA denotes $A + A + \dots + A$ (k times), that is, the set of sums of k elements of A (despite the notation, kA is not generally the same as the set of multiples by k of elements of A , unless A is convex). So what this says is that if x belongs to the convex hull of mS (which is mK) and is a lattice point, then it admits a decomposition $x = z + y$, where $y \in (m - n)S$ and z is a lattice point in nK . This follows immediately from the statement of the Shapley-Folkman lemma (Theorem 1 below): we obtain a decomposition $x = z + \sum_{s=1}^{m-n} y_s$ with $y_s \in S$ for all s , and $z \in nK$. But then $z = x - \sum_{s=1}^{m-n} y_s$, so is itself a lattice point. This argument was given by me in answer to a *MathOverflow* question, [MO].

In Theorem 3, we obtain a proof of a result that seems to have been in the æther, but for which I cannot find a reference. Specifically, all occurrences of nonsuperscripted n in (1) can be replaced by $n - 1$. This despite the fact that the original S-F result cannot be so improved. In other words, we will show that if $m \geq n - 1$, and S is a finite subset of \mathbf{Z}^n , and $K = \text{cvx} S$, then

$$(2) \quad mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n - 1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + (m - n + 1)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n).$$

Also, $n - 1$ is sharp, in the obvious sense. We will also look at some consequences for lattice polytope classification (as in [H1, H3]) using S-F and its slight improvement.

THEOREM 1 (SHAPLEY-FOLKMAN LEMMA [WI]) Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be subsets of \mathbf{R}^n with $m > n$. For each $x \in \text{cvx} \sum_{i=1}^m S_i$, there exists an n -element subset $T \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, an element $x_0 \in \text{cvx} \sum_T S_i$, and $x_i \in S_i$ for all $i \notin T$ such that $x = x_0 + \sum_{T^c} x_i$.

Remark. Consistent with our notation, $\sum_{i=1}^m S_i$ means the set of sums of m elements, one from each of the S_i .

A lattice polytope is *empty* if it contains no lattice points other than its extreme points. If a lattice polytope is a simplex, it will be referred to as a *lattice simplex*. If K is a lattice polytope in \mathbf{R}^n , then it is empty precisely when $K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ consists of the extreme points of K , the set of which we denote $\partial_e K$. A polytope $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ has *interior* if it contains a nonempty open ball of \mathbf{R}^n .

AMS(MOS) classification: 52B20, 52C07; key words and phrases: lattice simplex, empty polytope, Shapley-Folkman lemma, solid polytope

LEMMA 2 Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be an empty lattice simplex, and suppose that $n \geq 2$. Then for all $x \in nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$, there exists $z \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ such that $x - z \in (n - 1)K$.

Remark. Alternatively, $nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n - 1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$.

Proof. We reduce immediately to the case that K contain interior (that is, K has $n + 1$ extreme points) by reducing to the affine span. By translation, we may assume the extreme points are $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n; 0\}$. Write $x = \sum \alpha_i v_i$ with $0 \leq \alpha_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\sum \alpha_i \leq n$. If $\sum \alpha_i \leq n - 1$, then $z = 0$ will suffice.

So we may assume that $\sum \alpha_i > n - 1$. If any of the α_i are at least as large as 1, then we can take z to be the corresponding v_i . So may assume that all α_i are less than 1.

Set $y = \sum (1 - \alpha_i) v_i$. As $\sum (1 - \alpha_i) = n - \sum \alpha_i < 1$, we have that $y \in K$. Since $x + y = \sum v_i \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, we have that $y \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. Hence $y \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = \partial_e K$. Thus y is one of the extreme points, say v_j . But the barycentric decomposition is unique (since K is a simplex), and thus $\alpha_j = 0$ and all the other α_i are 1. This entails $\sum \alpha_i = n - 1$, a contradiction. •

THEOREM 3 Let K be a lattice polytope in \mathbf{R}^n , and let $m \geq n - 1$ be an integer. For all $x \in mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$, there exist $x_j \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m - n + 1$ and $x_0 \in (n - 1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ such that $x = \sum_{j=0}^{m-n+1} x_j$.

Remark. Alternatively, $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n - 1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + (m - n + 1)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$.

Proof. Let $S = K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$, so that $K = \text{cvx } S$. The argument given in the second paragraph of this paper yields, via the S-F lemma, that if $x \in mK$, there is a decomposition $x = z + \sum_1^{m-n} y_s$ where $z \in nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ and all $y_s \in S$. Hence it suffices to prove the result in the special case that $m = n$, i.e., $x \in nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ entails there exists $x_1 \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ such that $x - x_1 \in (n - 1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$.

To this end, we may triangulate K by empty n -simplices in the obvious way; so $K = \cup K_\alpha$ where each K_α is an empty n -simplex. Then $x/n \in K$, so there exists α such that $x/n \in K_\alpha$, and so $x \in nK_\alpha \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$. By Theorem 3, we can decompose $x = x_1 + z$ where $x_1 \in K_\alpha \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ and $z \in (n - 1)K_\alpha \subset (n - 1)K$. As z is a difference of lattice points, $z \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ as well, and we are done. •

This is similar to, but not identical with, an old/recent result of Victoria Powers and Bruce Reznick [PR], which in connection with quadratic forms, shows that lattice points in $2K$ can be represented as a sum of distinct lattice points each in K , if $K = mK'$ for some integer $m \geq n - 1$ and lattice polytope K' ([R] uses projective dimension, so some translation will be necessary).

It is relatively easy to construct examples showing the result is sharp, at least in one sense. In the following, e_i denotes the i th standard column (and we only use the first $n - 1$ of them).

EXAMPLE 4 Let $n \geq 4$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a(i); d) \in \mathbf{Z}^{1 \times n}$ with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$; $0 < a(i) < d$; and $\sum a(i) < d$. Form $S = \{0; e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}; \mathbf{a}^T\}$ and set $K = \text{cvx } S$ in $\mathbf{R}^{n \times 1} = \mathbf{R}^n$. Then K is a lattice simplex with interior, and the column $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ is an element of $(n - 1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ that cannot be represented in the form $\sum x_i$ where $x_i \in (n - 2)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$.

Remark. In particular, since $0 \in K$, we have $aK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n \subset bK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ whenever $a \leq b$. Thus there are no decompositions such as $nK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n - i)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + iK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ if $2 \leq i \leq n - 2$, or $(n - 1)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = (n - 2)K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n + K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$. This can be rephrased in terms of lattice cones. Form $\cup_{i=1}^\infty (iK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$. Then $\mathbf{1}$ is at atom in this cone (a nonzero minimal element), and it does not belong to any iK for $i < n - 1$.

Remark. We can easily arrange that K be empty as well; for example, this holds if $1 \in \{a(i)\}$ and the content of the remaining $a(i)$ s is 1.

Proof. We see that $\mathbf{1} = \sum (1 - a(i)/d) e_i + \mathbf{a}/d$, and this decomposition (modulo the coefficient of zero) is unique, since K is a simplex. The sum of the coefficients is $n - 1 - (\sum a(i) - 1)/d > n - 2$ (since $\sum a(i) < d$). Thus $\mathbf{1} \in (n - 1)K \setminus (n - 2)K$. We see that if a lattice point in the cone $\cup_i (iK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n) \subset (\mathbf{Z}^n)^+$ has its bottom coordinate nonzero, then all of its coordinates must be strictly positive. Hence $\mathbf{1}$ is an atom in the cone, and the result follows from $\mathbf{1}$ not belonging to any iK with $i < n - 1$. •

Local solidity

A lattice polytope K in \mathbf{R}^n is *projectively faithful* [H1] if the set of differences $K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n - K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$ generates the standard copy of \mathbf{Z}^n as an abelian group. We say that K is *solid* (op.cit.) if for all m , $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ (it suffices that this be true for all $m \leq n - 1$, by an application of Theorem 3).

Let v be an extreme point of the projectively faithful lattice polytope K , and let $K_v = K - v$, that is, translate K so that v goes to 0. Let C_v denote the lattice cone $\cup_{m \geq 1} m(K_v \cap \mathbf{Z})$. We say C_v is *unperforated* (or *normal*, or *integral*, ...) if whenever $x \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ and there exists a positive integer m such that $mx \in C_v$, then $x \in C_v$ (C_v has no holes, as a subset of \mathbf{Z}^n). If for every extreme point v , C_v has no holes, then K is *locally solid* (op.cit.; this corresponds to the toric variety being normal [O]). It is also equivalent to R_P being integrally closed in its field of fractions, where P is a Laurent polynomial in n variables with only positive coefficients, such that $K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = \text{Log } P$ [H1; III.2 and III.8A]. In what follows, we adopt the notation of [H1].

LEMMA 5 Let $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a projectively faithful lattice polytope. The following are equivalent.

- (a) K is locally solid;
- (b) there exists $m \geq n - 1$ such that $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$;
- (c) for all but finitely many m , $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$.

Proof. (b) implies (c) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

(c) implies (a). Let v be a vertex, and form C_v ; we may obviously assume $v = 0$ (only to simplify notation); then $K \subset 2K \subset 3K \subset \dots$. If x belongs to the convex hull of C_v , then $x \in mK$ for some m . If $m \geq n - 1$, then $x \in m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ by hypothesis; if $m < n - 1$, then $mK \subset (n - 1)K$, and so $x \in (n - 1)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$. So in either case, $x \in C_v$.

(a) implies (b). We may, as usual, assume that $0 \in K$. Form R_P [H1; section I] where $P = \sum_{w \in K \cap \mathbf{Z}^d} x^w$. As K is locally solid, it follows ([H1; III.2]) that R_P is integrally closed in its field of fractions.

Given $w \in pK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$, the element x^w/P^p is thus integral: by Carathéodory's theorem, there exists an affinely independent subset $\{v(i)\}_{i=0}^n$ of $\partial_e K$ such that $w = \sum \lambda_i v_i$ with $\lambda_i \geq 0$ for all i , and $\sum \lambda_i = p$; from affine independence, it follows that the solution is unique, and since all of v_i and w are lattice points, all the λ_i are rational. Hence there exists a positive integer a such that all $a\lambda_i$ are nonnegative integers (some may be zero). Hence $(x^w/P^p)^a \in R_P$, so x^w/P^p is integral over R_P .

By [H1; III.2], $x^w/P^p \in R_P$. But this entails the existence of a positive integer N_w such that $w + N_w(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n) \subset (p + N_w)(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$, and it follows that this holds with N_w replaced by any larger integer. Let $m = p + \max_{w \in mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n} N_w$. Then for all $w \in pK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$, we have $w + m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n) \in m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$. Now set $p = n - 1$.

Pick $z \in mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n$. We may write $z = w + (m - (n - 1))(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ by Theorem 3; this yields $z \in m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ immediately. •

Two questions Let K be a projectively faithful lattice polytope.

- 1 If K is locally solid, then is it solid?
- 2 If $mK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = m(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ for some m such that $n/2 < m < n - 1$, then is K locally solid?

The first was suggested in [H1; p 35]. For the second, there is a class of weird examples given in [H3; p 180ff]. For every $n \geq 6$, there exists a projectively faithful lattice polytope $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $iK \cap \mathbf{Z}^n = i(K \cap \mathbf{Z}^n)$ for all $i \leq n/2$, but for no $i > n/2$.

References

- [H1] D Handelman, *Positive polynomials, convex polytopes, and a random walk problem*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1082 (1986) Springer-Verlag 133 + x.
- [H2] D Handelman, *Positive polynomials and product type actions of compact groups*, *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society* 310 (1985) 79 + xi.
- [H3] D Handelman, *Effectiveness of an affine invariant for indecomposable integral polytopes*, *J Pure & App Algebra* 66 (1990) 165–184.
- [MO] D Handelman, <https://mathoverflow.net/questions/204200/integer-decomposition-of-dilated-integral-polytopes/321660#321660>, MathOverFlow answer.
- [O] T Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry: An introduction to toric varieties*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Folge, Band 15*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1988.
- [PR] V Powers & B Reznick, *A note on mediated simplices*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1909.11008.pdf>
- [Wi] Shapley-Folkman lemma, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shapley%E2%80%93Folkman_lemma, Wikipedia.

Mathematics Department, University of Ottawa, Ottawa ON K1N 6N5, Canada; dehsg@uottawa.ca