

Maximizing the number of maximal independent sets of a fixed size

Chunwei Song*, Bowen Yao††

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 05C35, 05C69, 05D99, 05C31

Keywords: maximal independent set, extremal graph, disjoint union, Turán graph, maximal cliques, maximal independence polynomial

Abstract

For a fixed graph G , a maximal independent set is an independent set that is not a proper subset of any other independent set. P. Erdős, and independently, J. W. Moon and L. Moser (*Israel J. Math.*, 3 (1965): 23–28), and R. E. Miller and D. E. Muller (*IBM Res. Rep.*, (1960): RC-240), determined the maximum number of maximal independent sets in a graph on n vertices, as well as the extremal graphs. In this paper we maximize the number of maximal independent sets of a fixed size for all graphs of order n and determine the extremal graphs. Our result generalizes the classical result.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we consider finite simple connected graphs. Let $G = (V(G), E(G)) = (V, E)$ be such a graph with vertex set V and edge set E . Below are some graph theory concepts and notation needed in this paper. Readers are suggested to refer to [1], [2] or [13] for terminologies not specified here.

An independent set (or stable set) of G is a set of pairwise nonadjacent vertices. In order for a set of vertices $U \subseteq V$ to be a *maximal independent set* (abbr. *MIS*) of G , we require the set U to (1) be independent, and (2) have no *strictly super* independent set W such that $U \subseteq W \subseteq V$. The set of neighbors of $v \in V$ is denoted by $N(v)$, or if necessary by $N_G(v)$. $G' = (V', E')$ is an *induced subgraph* of G if $V' \subseteq V$ and E' consists of all edges $uv \in E$ with $u, v \in V'$. We usually write $G' := G[V']$.

A k -partite graph is a graph whose graph vertices can be partitioned into k disjoint sets so that no two vertices within the same set are adjacent. A k -partite graph is said to be complete if every pair of graph vertices in the k sets are adjacent. The *Turán graph* $T_{n,k}$ is the complete k -partite graph with n vertices whose partite sets differ in size by at most one [1]. We also define $G_1 + G_2$ as the graph consisting of the disjoint union of two graphs G_1 and G_2 . The Turán graph $T_{n,k}$ and the operation of disjoint union will be useful in the extremal graph structure described in our main theorem (Theorem 1.1).

Given G , let $i_t(G)$ be the number of independent sets of size t in G and let $i(G) = \sum_{t \geq 0} i_t(G)$ be the total number of independent sets. While there have been many extremal results on $i(G)$ and $i_t(G)$ over various families of graphs (see e.g. [4, 6, 5, 12, 16]), it makes sense to investigate parallel theories on the MIS's, the independent sets that are not covered by bigger ones. Let $i_t^{max}(G)$ be the number of maximal independent sets of size t in G and let $i^{max}(G) = \sum_{t \geq 0} i_t^{max}(G)$ be the total number of maximal independent sets. For arbitrary graphs G on n vertices, P. Erdős (see [3]), and independently, Moon and Moser [11], and Miller and Muller [10] determined $i^{max}(G)$ as well as the extremal graphs.

*School of Mathematical Sciences & LMAM, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R. China
csong@math.pku.edu.cn, byao@pku.edu.cn

†The authors were partially supported by NSF of China grant #11771246.

Nonetheless, studies on the number of maximal independent sets seem to be less adequate (see for instance [3, 9, 8, 14, 15]).

The *maximal independence polynomial* is defined by [7]

$$I_{\max}(G; x) := \sum_{U: U \text{ is an MIS of } G} x^{|U|}.$$

By definition, $i_t^{\max}(G) = [x^t]I_{\max}(G; x)$, where by usual convention $[x^k]f(x)$ represents the coefficient of x^k in the polynomial or series $f(x)$.

In this note we maximize $i_t^{\max}(G)$ graphs G on n vertices.

Theorem 1.1 *Assume $n = qt + r$, where $0 \leq r < t$. For all graphs G on n vertices, we have*

$$i_t^{\max}(G) \leq q^{t-r}(q+1)^r. \quad (1.1)$$

Furthermore, let $H = (t-r)K_q + rK_{q+1}$, i.e. disjoint union of t cliques of the specified orders, then H is the unique extremal graph.

Remark 1.2 *If n is a multiple of 3, (1.1) shows that $i_{\frac{n}{3}}^{\max}(G) \leq 3^{\frac{n}{3}}$ and it implies the main theorem in [11] which says that $i^{\max}(G) \leq 3^{\frac{n}{3}}$ when 3 divides n . If $n = 3k - 1$, (1.1) gives that $i_k^{\max}(G) \leq 2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$. If $n = 3k + 1$, (1.1) gives that $i_k^{\max}(G) \leq 2^2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$ and $i_{k+1}^{\max}(G) \leq 2^2 \cdot 3^{k-1}$. Each case above strengthens a respective case of [11, Theorem 1]. (Note that the celebrated result of [11, Theorem 1] says that each extremal graph actually has maximal independent sets of only one certain size.)*

2 Proof

For convenience we work with the complementary graph, and count cliques instead of independent sets. That is, we show that for all graphs G on $n = qt + r$ vertices, where $0 \leq r < t$, the number of t -maximal cliques in G is no more than $f(n, t) := q^{t-r}(q+1)^r$. Furthermore, the Turán graph $T_{n,t} = K_{q, \dots, q, q+1, \dots, q+1}$ is the unique extremal graph. We achieve this by induction on $n + t$. Keep in mind that the proposed extremal value $f(n, t) = q^{t-r}(q+1)^r$ strictly increases with $n + t$.

Proof. Since the cases that $n < t$ or $t = 1$ are trivial, without loss of generality, we assume that $n \geq t \geq 2$.

Case 1. $r > 0$. We start with the case that is more convenient to phrase and Case 2 will be easier to understand.

Subcase 1a. $r > 0$ and $\delta(G) \geq n - q$. Let v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t be arbitrarily selected. Note that

$$|V - \bigcap_{i=1}^t N(v_i)| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^t (V - N(v_i))| \leq \sum_{i=1}^t |V - N(v_i)| \leq qt < qt + r = n = |V|.$$

Hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^t N(v_i) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., every t vertices in G has a common neighbor. Thus any maximal clique is larger than K_t , so that G has no t -maximal cliques, implying that this subcase needs not be considered in order to maximize $i_t^{\max}(G)$.

Subcase 1b. $r > 0$ and $\delta(G) \leq n - q - 1$. Choose a vertex v such that $d(v) = \delta(G) \leq n - q - 1$.

Let \mathcal{A} be the set of t -maximal cliques in G which contains v , and \mathcal{B} be the set of t -maximal cliques in G which does not contain v .

Every t -maximal clique in \mathcal{B} is a t -maximal clique of $G - \{v\}$. By induction hypothesis, as $n - 1 = qt + r - 1$, $|\mathcal{B}| \leq q^{t-r+1}(q+1)^{r-1}$.

To calculate $|\mathcal{A}|$, consider the subgraph of G induced by the neighbors of v . Every t -maximal clique in \mathcal{A} corresponds to a $(t-1)$ -maximal clique of $G[N(v)]$. As $|V(G[N(v)])| \leq n - q - 1 = qt + r - q - 1 = q(t-1) + r - 1$, inductively, $|\mathcal{A}| \leq q^{(t-1)-(r-1)}(q+1)^{r-1} = q^{t-r}(q+1)^{r-1}$.

Therefore, the total number of t -maximal cliques in G is bounded by

$$|\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{A}| \leq q^{t-r+1}(q+1)^{r-1} + q^{t-r}(q+1)^{r-1} = q^{t-r}(q+1)^r = f(n, t).$$

The above equality holds if and only if the following conditions are simultaneously met.

i). $G - v$ is a t -cliques extremal graph of order $n - 1$. Inductively, this requires $G - v = T_{n-1,t} = K_{q,\dots,q,q+1,\dots,q+1}$ with $t - r + 1$ partite sets of size q and $r - 1$ partite sets of size $q + 1$.

ii). $d(v) = n - q - 1 = qt + r - q - 1 = q(t - r) + (q + 1)(r - 1)$ and $G[N(v)]$ is a $(t - 1)$ -cliques extremal graph of order $n - q - 1$. This requires that $G[N(v)] = T_{n-q-1,t-1} = K_{q,\dots,q,q+1,\dots,q+1}$ with $t - r$ partite sets of size q and $r - 1$ partite sets of size $q + 1$.

Putting i) and ii) together, clearly, the neighbors of v are precisely $t - r$ of the total $t - r + 1$ partite sets of size q and $r - 1$ partite sets of size $q + 1$ in $G - v$. Thus $G = T_{n,t}$ is the unique extremal graph in this case.

Case 2. $r = 0$, so that $n = qt$. The case $r = 0$ is similar to Case 1, with only slight differences in the calculation.

Subcase 2a. $r = 0$ and $\delta(G) \geq n - q + 1$. For any t vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t , as

$$|V - \bigcap_{i=1}^t N(v_i)| = |\bigcup_{i=1}^t (V - N(v_i))| \leq (q - 1)t < |V|,$$

they must have a common neighbor. Thus G has no t -maximal cliques.

Subcase 2b. $r = 0$ and $\delta(G) \leq n - q$. Choose a vertex v such that $d(v) = \delta(G) \leq n - q$.

Define \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} as in Case 1. As $n - 1 = qt - 1 = (q - 1)t + t - 1$, by similar arguments, inductively, $|\mathcal{B}| \leq (q - 1)q^{t-1}$.

On the other hand, as $|V(G[N(v)])| \leq n - q = q(t - 1)$, by induction, we have $|\mathcal{A}| \leq q^{t-1}$.

Thus the total number of t -maximal cliques in G is limited by

$$|\mathcal{B}| + |\mathcal{A}| \leq (q - 1)q^{t-1} + q^{t-1} = q^t = f(n, t).$$

The above extremal value is achieved if and only if the following conditions are simultaneously met.

i). $G - v$ is a t -cliques extremal graph of order $n - 1$. This means $G - v = T_{n-1,t} = K_{q,\dots,q,q-1}$ with $t - 1$ partite sets of size q and 1 partite set of size $q - 1$.

ii). $d(v) = n - q = q(t - 1)$ and $G[N(v)]$ is a $(t - 1)$ -cliques extremal graph of order $n - q$. This requires that $G[N(v)] = T_{n-q,t-1} = K_{q,\dots,q}$ with $t - 1$ partite sets of size q .

Altogether, it is implied that $G = T_{n,t}$ is the unique extremal graph in Case 2 as well. □

Remark 2.1 *Theorem 1.1 says that the Turán graph $T_{n,t} = K_{q,\dots,q,q+1,\dots,q+1}$ is the unique extremal graph of the complementary scenario. That is, $T_{n,t}$ has the maximum number of maximal cliques of size t . Equivalently, $\overline{T_{n,t}} = H = (t - r)K_q + rK_{q+1}$, disjoint union of t cliques of most possibly balanced sizes, is the unique extremal graph that has the maximum number of maximal independent sets of size t .*

References

- [1] Béla Bollobás. *Modern graph theory*, volume 184 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [2] Reinhard Diestel. *Graph theory*, volume 173 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 2000.
- [3] Zoltán Füredi. The number of maximal independent sets in connected graphs. *J. Graph Theory*, 11(4):463–470, 1987.
- [4] David Galvin. Two problems on independent sets in graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 311(20):2105–2112, 2011.
- [5] David Galvin and Yufei Zhao. The number of independent sets in a graph with small maximum degree. *Graphs Combin.*, 27(2):177–186, 2011.

- [6] Wenyang Gan, Po-Shen Loh, and Benny Sudakov. Maximizing the number of independent sets of a fixed size. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 24(3):521–527, 2015.
- [7] Han Hu, Toufik Mansour, and Chunwei Song. On the maximal independence polynomial of certain graph configurations. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 47(7):2219–2253, 2017.
- [8] Jenq-Jong Lin and Min-Jen Jou. The largest number of maximal independent sets in quasi-unicyclic graphs. *Util. Math.*, 111:85–93, 2019.
- [9] Min-Sheng Lin. Counting independent sets and maximal independent sets in some subclasses of bipartite graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 251:236–244, 2018.
- [10] R. E. Miller and D. E. D. E. Muller. A problem of maximum consistent subsets. *IBM Res. Rep. RC-240*, J. T. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY, 1960.
- [11] J. W. Moon and L. Moser. On cliques in graphs. *Israel J. Math.*, 3:23–28, 1965.
- [12] Ashwin Sah, Mehtaab Sawhney, David Stoner, and Yufei Zhao. The number of independent sets in an irregular graph. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 138:172–195, 2019.
- [13] Douglas B. West. *Introduction to graph theory*. Prentice Hall Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 1996.
- [14] Herbert S. Wilf. The number of maximal independent sets in a tree. *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods*, 7(1):125–130, 1986.
- [15] David R. Wood. On the number of maximal independent sets in a graph. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 13(3):17–19, 2011.
- [16] Yufei Zhao. The number of independent sets in a regular graph. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 19(2):315–320, 2010.