

VARIANTS OF THE JAMES TREE SPACE

S. A. ARGYROS, A. MANOUSSAKIS, AND P. MOTAKIS

ABSTRACT. Recently, W. Cuellar Carrera, N. de Rancourt, and V. Ferenczi introduced the notion of d_2 -hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces, i.e., non-Hilbertian spaces that do not contain the direct sum of any two non-Hilbertian subspaces. They posed the question of the existence of such spaces that are ℓ_2 -saturated. Motivated by this question, we define and study two variants $JT_{2,p}$ and JT_G of the James Tree space JT . They are meant to be classical analogues of a future space that will affirmatively answer the aforementioned question.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Part I. The $JT_{2,p}$-space	6
I.1. The definition of the norm of the space $JT_{2,p}$	7
I.2. ℓ_p -sequences in $JT_{2,p}$.	9
I.3. The ℓ_p vector of a block sequence	12
I.4. Hilbertian subspaces of $JT_{2,p}$	18
Part II. The JT_G-space	23
II.1. The Schreier families and repeated averages	25
II.2. The definition of the generalized JT -space	26
II.3. The space JT_G	27
II.4. Upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimates	32
II.5. The ℓ_2 -vector of a block sequence	36
II.6. Upper ℓ_2 -estimates in JT_G .	38
II.7. Hilbertian subspaces of JT_G .	43
References	48

INTRODUCTION

The present work is the first part of two papers which have as a goal the definition of the Banach space \mathcal{X}_{eh} with extremely heterogeneous structure. As it happens in several exotic constructions, there are classical or semi-classical structures describing locally the global properties of the spaces. For example, in the case of Hereditarily Indecomposable spaces (i.e., Banach spaces with no unconditional basic sequence) the summing basis of c_0 is a classical analogue while the B. Maurey and H. P. Rosenthal weakly null basic sequence with no unconditional subsequence [30] is the semi-classical example which is closer to the construction of the first H.I. space by W.T. Gowers and B. Maurey [25]. Another example of such a relation is the James Tree space [27], which is the classical example reflecting the structure of the subspaces of the famous Gowers Tree space [22]. To some extent the two James Tree spaces presented in the paper can be viewed as the classical and semi-classical

examples related to the space \mathcal{X}_{eh} . More precisely, the space \mathcal{X}_{eh} is a non-Hilbertian space which satisfies the following two contradicting properties.

- a) It is ℓ_2 -saturated and every Hilbertian subspace is a complemented one.
- b) Every two non-Hilbertian subspaces Y, Z of \mathcal{X}_{eh} satisfy

$$\text{dist}(S_Y, S_Z) = \inf\{\|y - z\| : y \in S_Y, z \in S_Z\} = 0.$$

These two properties show that \mathcal{X}_{eh} is an ℓ_2 saturated d_2 -H.I. space, a class of Banach spaces defined recently by W. Cuellar Carrera, N. de Rancourt and V. Ferenczi in [16]. Our aim in the present paper is to present James Tree spaces containing ℓ_2 and satisfying the property that every Hilbertian subspace is complemented. Thus, the first space $JT_{2,p}$ ($2 < p < \infty$) is a non Hilbertian reflexive space with an unconditional basis such that the following properties hold.

- (1) Every subspace is either Hilbertian or contains ℓ_p .
- (2) Every Hilbertian subspace is complemented.

The space $\ell_2 \oplus \ell_p$ ($p \neq 2$) also satisfies labeldichotomyproperty and (1) and (2) while, as it was pointed out to us by W.B. Johnson, the space $L_p(0, 1)$ ($2 < p < \infty$) satisfies (2). The significant point of $JT_{2,p}$ is that, as we will explain later, it reflects the structure of non-Hilbertian subspaces of \mathcal{X}_{eh} .

The second example JT_G is a tree space satisfying the following properties.

- (1) The space JT_G is non reflexive.
- (2) Every subspace either contains c_0 or it is Hilbertian.
- (3) Every Hilbertian subspace is a complemented one.

In particular, the set G will impose the whole ℓ_2 structure in the space \mathcal{X}_{eh} . In the last part of the introduction we discuss in more detail the structures of the spaces $JT_{2,p}$ and JT_G .

Let us point out that the understanding of classical structures related to a desired exotic one is sometimes a necessary and important step in the process of applying state-of-the-art technology to the construction of spaces with saturated norms. In some cases, like the present one, this step is not immediate.

The cornerstone of our study is the classical James Tree space JT . It was presented in 1974 by R. C. James as the first example of a separable space that does not contain ℓ_1 and has non-separable dual ([27]). Its norm is defined on the unit vector basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of c_{00} . Throughout this paper we will consider two partial orders on \mathbb{N} , denoted by $\prec_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\prec_{\mathcal{T}}$, compatible with the usual one and such that $(\mathbb{N}, \prec_{\mathcal{D}})$ is a dyadic tree and $(\mathbb{N}, \prec_{\mathcal{T}})$ is an infinitely branching tree. As usual, by σ we will denote the branches of the tree (i.e. the maximal linear ordered subsets of the tree) and by s the segments of the tree. To every segment s of \mathcal{D} we associate the functional $s : c_{00} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $s(x) = \sum_{i \in s} x(i)$. The James Tree space is the completion of c_{00} with the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (s_k(x))^2 \right)^{1/2} : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ are disjoint segments of } \mathcal{D} \right\}.$$

We list the basic properties of JT .

- The unit vector basis of c_{00} is a boundedly complete basis of JT .
- The space JT is ℓ_2 -saturated.
- The dual JT^* of JT is non-separable.
- The second dual $JT^{**} = JT \oplus \ell_2(\mathcal{B})$.

Here, \mathcal{B} denotes the set of branches of \mathcal{D} and it has the cardinality of the continuum.

A great number of authors have studied the space JT . In 1981 I. Amemiya and T. Ito proved that every normalized weakly null sequence in JT has an ℓ_2 subsequence ([2]). The proof of this result plays a particularly important role in our work. In 1977 J. Hagler used techniques developed for JT to study spaces of continuous functions on certain topological spaces ([26]). In 1984 G. A. Edgar

and R. F. Wheeler ([17]) and in 1987 W. Schachermayer ([31]) studied topological properties of JT . In 1988 R. E. Brackebusch ([15]) studied JT on general trees \mathcal{T} . In 1989 S. F. Bellenot R. G. Haydon, and E. Odell introduced and studied variants of JT that are somewhat similar to those studied herein. In 1985 N. Ghoussoub and B. Maurey ([21]) studied the space JT^∞ , i.e., a James Tree space defined on the infinitely branching countable tree of infinite height. They showed in particular that the natural preduals of JT and JT^∞ are not isomorphic. There is an extreme structure related to James tree space and this is the famous Gowers tree space X_{GT} [22], which answers in the negative direction the classical problem whether every Banach space contains either a reflexive subspace or a subspace with an unconditional basis. The Gowers tree space is a separable Banach space not containing ℓ_1 such that every infinite dimensional subspace has non-separable dual. An extensive study of Gowers Tree type spaces was conducted by the first author, A. Arvanitakis, and A. Tolias in [6]. Among other examples, they construct such a space X with the property $X^{**} = X \oplus \ell_2(\mathbb{R})$.

One of the major appeals of JT is that it is the archetypal specimen for spaces that do not contain ℓ_1 , are separable, and have non-separable dual. Let us list some additional properties of the basis $(e_n)_n$ of $X = JT$ that are particularly relevant to this feature.

- (i) For every \prec_D -incomparable infinite subset M of \mathbb{N} , the sequence $(e_n)_{n \in M}$ is weakly null.
- (ii) For every \prec_D -branch σ the sequence $(e_n)_{n \in \sigma}$ is non-trivial w -Cauchy, i.e., there exists $x_\sigma^{**} \in X^{**} \setminus X$ so that $w^*\text{-}\lim_{n \in \sigma} e_n = x_\sigma^{**}$.
- (iii) The family $(x_\sigma^{**})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}}$ is unconditional.

For $X = JT$, in (i) the sequence $(e_n)_{n \in M}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 and in (iii) the collection $(x_\sigma^{**})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of the non-separable Hilbert space $\ell_2(\mathcal{B})$. It follows, e.g., from a Baire Category argument that any space containing a sequence that satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii) must have non-separable dual. The intrinsic connection between the basis of JT and the generic Banach space that contains no copy of ℓ_1 and has non-separable dual is made especially clear by a result of the first author, P. Dodos and V. Kanellopoulos from 2008 ([7]).

Theorem 1 ([7]). For a separable Banach space X that does not contain ℓ_1 the following assertions are equivalent.

- The space X has non-separable dual.
- The space X contains a sequence $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that satisfies (i), (ii), and (iii).

The above theorem yields that every subspace of the Gowers Tree space contains a tree structure similar to the basis of the James tree space.

A different example of a separable space not containing ℓ_1 and having non-separable dual was later discovered by J. Lindenstrauss and C. Stegall in [28]. The authors modestly gave their example the name James function space and denoted it by JF . A detailed study of the subspaces of JT and JF can be found in the papers [4],[5] of D. Apatsidis, the first author, and V. Kanellopoulos and in the paper [10] of the first two authors and M. Petrakis where the James function space is defined and studied with domain subsets of \mathbb{R}^n .

In 2002 W.T. Gowers, based on his famous dichotomy [23],[24], proposed a classification program concerning the structure of the subspaces of a Banach space. Important contributions to the program have been made by V. Ferenczi and C. Rosendal [18],[19], [20]. Recently, in [16], W. Cuellar Carrera, N. de Rancourt and V. Ferenczi initiated a theory of classifying Banach spaces with respect to the properties of their subspaces restricted within a predetermined class. The class of

non-Hilbertian spaces is of particular interest. The following definition of W. Cuelar Carrera, N. de Rancourt and V. Ferenczi is the foremost motivating concept behind this work.

Definition 2 ([16]). A Banach space is called d_2 -hereditarily indecomposable (or d_2 -HI) if it is non-Hilbertian and it does not contain the direct sum of two non-Hilbertian spaces.

One of the restricted dichotomy theorems from [16] states that a non-Hilbertian Banach space contains a non-Hilbertian subspace that either has an unconditional finite dimensional decomposition or is d_2 -HI. The list of currently known d_2 -HI spaces is brief. It contains X and $X \oplus \ell_2$, for X HI, and an HI-sum of Hilbert spaces defined by the first author and Th. Raikoftsalis in [12]. Noteworthily, all these spaces have HI subspaces. The following question serves as the launch pad of our investigation.

Question 3 ([16]). Does there exist an ℓ_2 -saturated d_2 -HI Banach space?

Our final purpose is to provide an example of such a space. In particular there exists a space \mathcal{X}_{eh} satisfying the following properties:

- (a) It is reflexive and non-Hilbertian.
- (b) It is ℓ_2 -saturated.
- (c) It does not contain the direct sum of two non-Hilbertian spaces.
- (d) Every unconditional sequence in the space is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 .
- (e) Every Hilbertian subspace is complemented in the space.
- (f) Every infinite dimensional subspace Y is quasi-prime, i.e., it admits a unique decomposition $Y \oplus \ell_2$.

More precisely, \mathcal{X}_{eh} is a reflexive d_2 -HI space that is ℓ_2 -saturated.

We now turn our attention to the first variant of the James Tree space that is studied here, i.e., the space $JT_{2,p}$, for $2 < p < \infty$. This is a space with an unconditional basis that satisfies (a) and (e). It is defined using a compatible partial order $\prec_{\mathcal{T}}$ on \mathbb{N} which induces an infinitely branching tree $\mathcal{T} = (\mathbb{N}, \prec_{\mathcal{T}})$. The space $JT_{2,p}$ is the completion of c_{00} with the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x|_{s_k}\|_{\ell_2}^p \right)^{1/p} : n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ are disjoint segments of } \mathcal{T} \right\}.$$

The fundamental properties of the basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $JT_{2,p}$ are the following.

- (I) For every $\prec_{\mathcal{T}}$ -branch σ of \mathcal{T} , $(e_n)_{n \in \sigma}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 .
- (II) For every $\prec_{\mathcal{T}}$ -incomparable infinite subset M of \mathbb{N} , the sequence $(e_n)_{n \in M}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .

This further mirrors the properties of the space \mathcal{X}_{eh} . In any non-Hilbertian subspace of \mathcal{X}_{eh} there exists a sequence $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that satisfies (I) as well as

- ($\tilde{\text{II}}$) For every $\prec_{\mathcal{T}}$ -incomparable infinite subset M of \mathbb{N} , the sequence $(e_n)_{n \in M}$ is not unconditional.

This means that every subspace of \mathcal{X}_{eh} is either small, i.e., isomorphic to ℓ_2 or it contains a tree basis satisfying (I) and ($\tilde{\text{II}}$).

At the heart of the proof of the properties of $JT_{2,p}$ lies a lemma in the style of Amemiya and Ito for the space JT ([2]) and the concept of ℓ_p -vectors associated to certain weakly null sequences. The behavior of a normalized block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the space $JT_{2,p}$ is characterized by the behavior of $(x_n|_{\sigma})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, for all branches σ or \mathcal{T} . If, for all σ , $\|x_n|_{\sigma}\| \rightarrow 0$ then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . Otherwise, it has a subsequence that

is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . Consequently, every normalized block sequence has a subsequence that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p or of ℓ_2 . Thus, $JT_{2,p}$ is reflexive. For every subspace Y of $JT_{2,p}$ that is isomorphic to ℓ_2 there exist branches $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ of \mathcal{T} so that if we denote by P the canonical basis projection onto the Hilbertian space Z spanned by $(e_n)_{n \in \sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_k}$, then $P|_Y$ is an isomorphism.

After studying the space $JT_{2,p}$ we concentrate on the second variant of James Tree space. For the definition of the space \mathcal{X}_{eh} a norming set W_{eh} is used. Its construction requires the attractors method from [6] (see also [9]). A necessary ingredient is a ground set G . This ground set defines a James Tree variant that we denote by JT_G . Intuitively, in every subspace of \mathcal{X}_{eh} we find a sequence that “attracts” its properties from a block sequence of the basis of JT_G (this is the main function of the attractors methods). Therefore, the space JT_G is an important component that is used directly in the construction of \mathcal{X}_{eh} . The space JT_G falls under a general definition for James Tree spaces that we introduce here. Such general schemes have been considered in the past, e.g., by S.F. Bellenot, R.G. Haydon, and E. Odell in [14] as well as by F. Albiac and N. J. Kalton in [1]. Our version is a combination of the two aforementioned ones. It is based on an unconditional norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ as well as a collection of compatible seminorms $(\|\cdot\|_\sigma)_\sigma$, where σ varies over the branches of the tree \mathcal{T} . This means that for any two branches σ_1, σ_2 , any segment $s \subset \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$, and any $x \in c_{00}$ we have $\|x|_s\|_{\sigma_1} = \|x|_s\|_{\sigma_2}$. We denote this quantity by $\|x\|_s$. The general JT space associated to $\|\cdot\|_*$ and $(\|\cdot\|_\sigma)_\sigma$ is the completion of c_{00} under the norm

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \|x\|_\infty, \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \|x\|_{s_k} e_{\min(s_k)} \right\|_* : n \in \mathbb{N} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \text{and } s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ are disjoint segments of } \mathcal{T} \right\} \right\}.$$

By taking $\|\cdot\|_* = \|\cdot\|_2$ and $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ to be the summing norm we retrieve the norm of JT (technically, of JT^∞). Similarly, for $\|\cdot\|_* = \|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_\sigma = \|\cdot\|_2$ we retrieve $JT_{2,p}$.

Let us discuss how the norm of JT_G is given by this scheme. For each branch σ we define a sequence of functionals $(\phi_n^\sigma)_n$ on c_{00} with successive supports so that $\cup_n \text{supp}(\phi_n^\sigma) = \sigma$. This collection is compatible, in the sense that for any two branches σ_1, σ_2 , segment $s \subset \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\phi_n^{\sigma_1}|_s = \phi_n^{\sigma_2}|_s$. Then for each σ we let

$$W(\sigma) = \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_i \phi_i^\sigma \right) \Big|_E : n \in \mathbb{N}, (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n \in B_{\ell_2^n} \cap \mathbb{Q}^n, \text{ and } E \text{ is an interval of } \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and $\|x\|_\sigma = \sup\{f(x) : f \in W(\sigma)\}$. We also let $\|\cdot\|_{S(2)}$ denote the norm of the 2-convexified Schreier space, i.e., $\|x\|_{S(2)} = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{S}_1} \|x|_F\|_2$. Here, $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{F \subset \mathbb{N} : \#F \leq \min F\}$ is the Schreier family of order one. The space JT_G is the general James Tree space associated to $\|\cdot\|_{S(2)}$ and $(\|\cdot\|_\sigma)_\sigma$.

The specific choice of the functionals ϕ_n^σ is based on the notion of ℓ_2 -repeated averages from [11]. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and maximal \mathcal{S}_n -set F , the ℓ_2 -repeated average $x(n, F)$ is a specific ℓ_2 -convex combination of $(e_i)_{i \in F}$. For each σ we appropriately choose increasing sequences of natural numbers $(m_{n,\sigma})_n, (k_{n,\sigma})_n$. We partition σ into successive sets $(F_{n,\sigma})_n$ so that each $F_{n,\sigma}$ is a maximal $\mathcal{S}_{k_{n,\sigma}}$ set. We define $\phi_n^\sigma = m_{n,\sigma}^{-2} x(k_{n,\sigma}, F_{n,\sigma})$ and $x_n^\sigma = m_{n,\sigma}^2 x(k_{n,\sigma}, F_{n,\sigma})$. Then, $(x_n^\sigma)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 and the map $P_\sigma(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \phi_n^\sigma(x) x_n^\sigma$ defines a norm-one projection.

The space JT_G satisfies similar properties to the ones satisfied by the space $JT_{2,p}$ and the techniques used to prove them are related. As we have mentioned before, the space JT_G satisfies the following main properties.

- The space JT_G is non-reflexive, and thus non-Hilbertian.
- Every Hilbertian subspace of JT_G is complemented.
- Every non-Hilbertian subspace of JT_G contains a copy of c_0 .

The paper is divided into two parts. The first one is devoted to the study of the space $JT_{2,p}$ and the second one to the study of JT_G . At the beginning of each part we have included a short section where we discuss in further detail the properties of each one of the two spaces.

Part I. The $JT_{2,p}$ -space

This part concerns the study of the space $JT_{2,p}$ and it is organized in four sections. The first section is devoted to the definition of the space $JT_{2,p}$ and some basic inequalities of the norm of some vectors, that we use throughout this part.

The second section concerns the study of the weakly null sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every branch σ of the tree $\lim_n \|x_{n|\sigma}\| = 0$. The main result of that section is the following theorem.

Theorem I.1. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in $JT_{2,p}$ such that for every branch σ of \mathcal{T} $\lim_n \|x_{n|\sigma}\| = 0$. Then there exists a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in N}$ of $(x_n)_n$ that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .

In particular, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ which is $(\sqrt[p]{2} + \epsilon)$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .

In order to prove this theorem we provide a refinement of the Amemiya-Ito lemma that enables us to use a gliding hump type argument.

In the third section we study the weakly null sequences that do not satisfy the assumption of the above theorem. This leads us to define the notion of ℓ_p -vectors that can be traced back to [28]. We prove the following.

Proposition I.2. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized weakly null sequence in $JT_{2,p}$. Then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is either isomorphic to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p or it accepts a non-zero ℓ_p -vector.

That means that there exists an at most countable set of branches σ_i , $i \in N$, such that $\lim_k \|x_{n_k|\sigma_i}\| = c_i > 0$ for every $i \in N$, and $\lim_k \|x_{n_k|\tau}\| = 0$ for every branch $\tau \neq \sigma_i$, $i \in N$.

This yields that in the case where the ℓ_p -vector of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ exists and it is not zero then there exists an at most countable set of branches determining the limit behavior of the norm of the elements of the sequence. Using this as well as some stability results of ℓ_p -vectors, which are proved in this section, we get one of the main results of this section, which is the following.

Proposition I.3. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized weakly null sequence in $JT_{2,p}$. Then there exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that admits an upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 4.

The above result yields that every normalized weakly null sequence in $JT_{2,p}$ with a non-zero ℓ_p -vector has a subsequence that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 .

Combining the above we get the following.

Corollary I.4. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized weakly null sequence in $JT_{2,p}$. Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence which is either equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p or equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 .

The above also yields the following.

Corollary I.5. The space $JT_{2,p}$ is reflexive.

The main result of the fourth section concerns the complemented subspaces of $JT_{2,p}$. We prove the following.

Theorem I.6. Let Y be a subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ not containing ℓ_p . Then Y is a complemented subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ isomorphic to ℓ_2 .

To prove this theorem we employ some properties of the ℓ_p -vector of a normalized weakly null sequence to achieve the following.

Proposition I.7. For every subspace Y of $JT_{2,p}$ not containing ℓ_p there exist a finite set of branches $T = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d\}$ and a finite codimensional subspace Y_0 of Y such that the restriction to Y_0 of the natural projection on the subspace $Z_T = \overline{\{e_n : n \in \cup_{i=1}^d \sigma_i\}}$ is an isomorphism.

Since the subspace Z_T is Hilbertian it follows that Y_0 is Hilbertian as well. This yields that the subspace Y is also a Hilbertian and moreover complemented in $JT_{2,p}$.

As a last result of Section 4 we prove that the space $JT_{2,p}$ is isomorphic to the space $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$, where $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$ is the space whose norm is defined as the norm of $JT_{2,p}$ but using the dyadic tree \mathcal{D} instead of the infinitely branching tree \mathcal{T} . The reflexivity of the spaces $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$ and $JT_{2,p}$ implies that the natural preduals of those spaces are isomorphic, in contrast to the result of N. Ghoussoub and B. Maurey who proved that the preduals of JT and JT^∞ are not isomorphic [21].

I.1. THE DEFINITION OF THE NORM OF THE SPACE $JT_{2,p}$

In this section we define the norm of the space $JT_{2,p}$ and we present some of its basic properties. We start with the following notation.

Notation I.1.1. We denote by $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ the set of all positive integers. Let \preceq be a partial order on \mathbb{N} , compatible with the standard order (i.e., $n \leq m$ whenever $n \preceq m$), defining an infinitely branching tree \mathcal{T}_0 without a root. Let \mathcal{T} be the tree we obtain by adjoining to \mathcal{T}_0 the empty set as a root.

A segment is any set of the form $[m, n] = \{k : m \preceq k \preceq n\}$. We shall denote the segments by the letters s, t . An initial segment is a segment having as its first element the root. If s is a segment and I an interval of \mathbb{N} , the restriction of s to I is the segment $s|_I = s \cap I$.

A branch is a maximal segment. We shall denote the branches by the letters σ, τ . If σ is a branch and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\sigma_{>n}$ the set $\sigma_{>n} = \{k \in \sigma : k > n\}$. For a branch σ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we shall call the set $\sigma_{>n}$ a final segment.

Also by $\sigma_{<n}$ we shall denote the initial segment $\sigma_{<n} = \{k \in \sigma : k < n\}$. In a similar manner, we define the initial segment $\sigma_{\leq n}$ and the final segment $\sigma_{\geq n}$.

Two final segments $\sigma_{1,>n_1}, \sigma_{2,>n_2}$ are said to be incomparable if their first elements are incomparable. Let us note that

- a) if $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l$ are distinct branches then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the final segments $\sigma_{1,>k}, \dots, \sigma_{l,>k}$ are incomparable.
- b) if $(s_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of initial segments there exist a subsequence $(s_n)_{n \in L}$ and an initial segment or branch s such that $s_n \xrightarrow[n \in L]{} s$ in the pointwise topology.

If M is an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} we denote by $[M]$ the set of the infinite subsets of M .

For $x \in c_{00}$ and a segment s of the tree we define $x|_s$ be the restriction of x to s , i.e., $x|_s = \sum_{n \in s} x(n)e_n$.

Given a Banach space X , by B_X we denote the unit ball of X and by S_X its the unit sphere. If X has a Schauder basis $(e_n)_n$, then for every $x \in X$ with $x = \sum_n a_n e_n$ we denote by $\text{supp}(x)$ the support of x , i.e. $\text{supp}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : a_n \neq 0\}$.

For a given sequence $(a_n)_n \in \ell_p$, its conjugate sequence is the sequence $(b_n)_n \in S_{\ell_q}$ such that $\sum_n a_n b_n = \|(a_n)_n\|_{\ell_p}$.

In general, we follow [29] for standard notation and terminology concerning Banach space theory.

I.1.1. The norm of the space $JT_{2,p}$. For $x \in c_{00}$ and a segment s we define

$$\|x\|_s = \|x|_s\|_{\ell_2} = \left(\sum_{i \in s} x(i)^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Definition I.1.2. Let $p \in (2, \infty)$. On $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ we define the following norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &= \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \|x\|_{s_k}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} : s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ are pairwise disjoint segments, } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i \in s_k} x(i)^2 \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} : s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ are pairwise disjoint segments, } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The completion of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ with respect to the above norm is denoted by $JT_{2,p}$.

Let us observe, for later use, that (e_n) is a 1-unconditional and boundedly complete basis of $JT_{2,p}$. Also it is easy to see that for every segment s and $x \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ it holds $\|x\|_s = \|x|_s\|$.

I.1.2. An alternative definition of the norm. For every branch σ of \mathcal{T} we set

$$B_\sigma^* = \left\{ \sum_{i \in s} a_i e_i^* : s \text{ is a segment of } \sigma \text{ and } (a_i)_{i \in s} \in B_{\ell_2} \right\}.$$

For $\phi \in B_\sigma^*$ we say that ϕ is supported by the segment s if $\phi = \sum_{i \in s} a_i e_i^*$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \cup \{B_\sigma^* : \sigma \text{ branch of } \mathcal{T}\}$.

We set

$$W = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \phi_i : \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \leq 1, \phi_i \in \mathcal{K} \text{ and are supported by disjoint segments, } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

It follows easily that

- (1) W is symmetric and closed under projections on intervals.
- (2) W is a norming set of $JT_{2,p}$ i.e.

$$\|x\| = \sup \{ \phi(x) : \phi \in W \}.$$

Remark I.1.3. i) For $x \in JT_{2,p}$ and disjoint segments s_1, \dots, s_n

$$\|x\|^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \|x\|_{s_i}^p.$$

ii) Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $x \in JT_{2,p}$ with $\|x\| = 1$ and $s_i, i \in I$, be pairwise disjoint segments, with the property that $\|x\|_{s_i} \geq \varepsilon$ for every $i \in I$. Then i) yields that $\#I \leq 1/\varepsilon^p$.

iii) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in \mathcal{K}$ that are supported by pairwise disjoint segments, $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in JT_{2,p}$ it holds that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \phi_i(x) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^q \right)^{1/q} \|x\|.$$

iv) If x_1, \dots, x_n is a finite block sequence and σ is a branch then

$$\|x_{1|\sigma} + \dots + x_{n|\sigma}\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_{i|\sigma}\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Lemma I.1.4. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_d$ be distinct branches of \mathcal{T} and $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the final segments $\sigma_{i, > \rho}$ are incomparable. Then for a finitely supported vector $x \in JT_{2,p}$ such that $\rho < \text{supp}(x) \subset \cup\{\text{supp } \sigma_i : i \leq d\}$ it holds that

$$\|x\| = \|x_{|\sigma_1} + \dots + x_{|\sigma_d}\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \|x_{|\sigma_i}\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. The incomparability of the final segments $\sigma_{i, \geq \min \text{supp } x}$, $i \leq d$, yields

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \|x_{|\sigma_i}\|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|x_{|\sigma_1} + \dots + x_{|\sigma_d}\|$$

For the reverse inequality, let us observe that for any segment s with $\rho < \min s$ there exists at most one $i \leq d$ such that $s \cap \sigma_i \neq \emptyset$. Let s_1, \dots, s_k be pairwise disjoint segments. Since $\min \text{supp}(x) > \rho$ we may assume that $s_i > \rho$ for every i . For $i \leq d$ set $J_i = \{l \leq k : x_{|\sigma_i \cap s_l} \neq 0\}$. Then from the above observation,

$$\sum_{l=1}^k \|x_{|s_l}\|^p = \sum_{l=1}^k \left\| \left(\sum_{i=1}^d x_{|\sigma_i} \right)_{|s_l} \right\|^p = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{l \in J_i} \| (x_{|\sigma_i})_{|s_l} \|^p \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \|x_{|\sigma_i}\|^p.$$

The above inequality implies $\|x_{|\sigma_1} + \dots + x_{|\sigma_d}\| \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \|x_{|\sigma_i}\|^p \right)^{1/p}$. \square

I.2. ℓ_p -SEQUENCES IN $JT_{2,p}$.

It follows easily from the definition of the norm that for any subsequence $(e_{k_n})_n$ of the basis such that $(k_n)_n$ are incomparable nodes of the tree, the subspace $[e_{k_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}]$ is isometric to ℓ_p and in particular $(e_{k_n})_n$ is 1-equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . In this section we provide a complete characterization of the normalized block sequences which are equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . More precisely we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem I.2.1. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be normalized block sequence in $JT_{2,p}$ such that $\lim_n \|x_n|_\sigma\| = 0$ for every branch σ of \mathcal{T} . Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_n)_n$ that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . In particular for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ which is $(\sqrt[p]{2} + \epsilon)$ -equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .

The proof of the theorem relies on a refinement of the well known result due to I. Amemiya and T. Ito [2], that every normalized weakly null sequence in JT contains a subsequence which is 2-equivalent to the usual basis of ℓ_2 , which appeared in [8]. We break up the proof of the theorem into several lemmas and we start with the following Ramsey-type result.

Lemma I.2.2. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in $JT_{2,p}$ such that $\lim_n \|x_n|_\sigma\| = 0$ for every branch σ . Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$ such that for every segment s with $\min s \leq n_0$ there exists at most one $n \in L$ with the property that $\|x_n|_s\| \geq \epsilon$.

Proof. Set $[p_n, q_n] = \text{ran}(x_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If the conclusion is false, using Ramsey's theorem we may assume that there exists $L \in [\mathbb{N}]^\infty$ such that, for every pair $m < n$ in L , there exists a segment $s_{m,n}$ such that $\min s_{m,n} \leq n_0$, $\|x_m|_{s_{m,n}}\| \geq \epsilon$ and $\|x_n|_{s_{m,n}}\| \geq \epsilon$. For every segment $s_{m,n}$ we denote by $\bar{s}_{m,n}$ the unique initial segment determined by $s_{m,n}$.

Claim 1 : For every $n \in L$ it holds $\#\{\bar{s}_{m,n}|_{[1,p_n]} : m \in L, m < n\} \leq \lceil \epsilon^{-p} \rceil$.

Proof of Claim 1. If $\#\{\bar{s}_{m,n}|_{[1,p_n]} : m \in L, m < n\} > \lceil \epsilon^{-p} \rceil$ for some $n \in L$, it follows that there exist at least $\lceil \epsilon^{-p} \rceil + 1$ pairwise disjoint segments $\bar{s}_{m,n}|_{[p_n,q_n]}$ such that $\|x_n|_{\bar{s}_{m,n}|_{[p_n,q_n]}}\| \geq \epsilon$. This contradicts item (ii) of Remark I.1.3.

For every $n \in L$, let $\{\bar{s}_{m,n}|_{[1,p_n]} : m \in L, m < n\} = \{s_{n,1}, \dots, s_{n,\mu(n)}\}$ with $\mu(n) \leq \lceil \epsilon^{-p} \rceil = \mu$. Set

$$L_i^n = \{m \in L : m < n \text{ and } \|x_m|_{s_{n,i}}\| \geq \epsilon\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq \mu(n)$$

and $L_i^n = \emptyset$, for $\mu(n) < i \leq \mu$. Notice that $\{m \in L : m < n\} = \cup_{i=1}^{\mu} L_i^n$ for all $n \in L$. Passing to a further subsequence we may assume that, for every $1 \leq i \leq \mu$, $(L_i^n)_{n \in M}$ converges point-wise and we denote that limit by L_i . Then it is easy to see that $L = \cup_{i=1}^{\mu} L_i$ and hence some L_{i_0} is an infinite subset of L . Moreover for every $n \in M$ and for all $m < n$, $m \in L_{i_0}$, there exists a segment s_{n,i_0} such that $\|x_m|_{s_{n,i_0}}\| \geq \epsilon$. Then there exists $P \in [M]^\infty$ such that $(s_{n,i_0})_{n \in P}$ converges point-wise to a branch or an initial segment.

Claim 2: $(s_{n,i_0})_{n \in P}$ converges to a branch.

Proof of Claim 2. On the contrary, assume that there exists an initial segment s_0 such that $s_{n,i_0} \xrightarrow{P \ni n} s_0$. Since \mathcal{T} is an infinitely branching tree we get $P_0 \in [P]^\infty$ such that the segments $s_{n,i_0}|_{(\max s_0, +\infty)}$, $n \in P_0$ are incomparable. Then for every $m \in L_{i_0}$ with $\min \text{supp}(x_m) > \max(s_0)$ it follows that $\|x_m|_{(s_{n,i_0}-s_0)}\| \geq \epsilon$ for infinitely many $n \in P_0$. This contradicts item (ii) of Remark I.1.3.

Let σ be the branch such that $s_{n,i_0} \xrightarrow{P \ni n} \sigma$. It follows that $\|x_n|_\sigma\| \geq \epsilon$ for infinitely many n . This yields a contradiction and completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma I.2.3. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence, such that $\lim_n \|x_n|_\sigma\| = 0$ for every branch σ . Let $q_n = \max \text{supp}(x_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist an increasing sequence $(n_k)_k$ in \mathbb{N} and a decreasing sequence $(\epsilon_k)_k$ of positive reals such that

- (1) For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every segment s with $\min s \leq q_{n_k}$ there exists at most one $k' > k$ such that $\|x_{n_{k'}}|_s\| \geq \epsilon_k$.
- (2) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n_k} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} (i+1)\epsilon_i < \epsilon$.

Proof. Let $(\delta_n)_n$ be a sequence of positive reals such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \epsilon$. We will construct $(n_k)_k$ and $(\epsilon_k)_k$ by induction as follows. We set $n_1 = 1$ and $L_1 = \mathbb{N}$ and choose ϵ_1 such that $q_1 2\epsilon_1 < \delta_1$. Suppose that $n_1 < \dots < n_k$, $\epsilon_1 > \dots > \epsilon_k$ and $L_1 \supset \dots \supset L_k$ have been chosen such that for every $j \leq k$,

- (i) For every segment s with $\min s \leq q_{n_j}$ there exists at most one $n \in L_{j+1}$ such that $\|x_n|_s\| \geq \epsilon_j$,
- (ii) $q_{n_j}(j+1)\epsilon_j < \delta_j$
- (iii) $q_{n_m} \sum_{i=m}^j (i+1)\epsilon_i < \delta_m$ for every $m \leq j$.

Choose $n_{k+1} \in L_k$ with $n_{k+1} > n_k$ and $\epsilon_{k+1} < \epsilon_k$ such that

$$q_{n_{k+1}}(k+2)\epsilon_{k+1} < \delta_{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad q_{n_m} \sum_{i=m}^{k+1} (i+1)\epsilon_i < \delta_m \text{ for all } m \leq k.$$

Using Lemma I.2.2 we get $L_{k+1} \in [L_k]^\infty$ such that for every segment s with $\min s \leq q_{k+1}$ there exist at most one $n \in L_{k+1}$ with $\|x_n|_s\| \geq \epsilon_{k+1}$.

It is easy to see that $(n_k)_k$ and $(\epsilon_k)_k$ are as desired. \square

Lemma I.2.4. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $(\epsilon_n)_n$ be a decreasing sequence of positive reals and $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $q_n = \max \text{supp } x_n$. Assume also that

- i) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every segment s with $\min s \leq q_n$ there exists at most one $m > n$ such that $\|x_{m|s}\| \geq \varepsilon_n$ and
 ii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (i+1)\varepsilon_i < \varepsilon$.

Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every choice of scalars a_1, \dots, a_n we have that

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| \leq (\sqrt[p]{2} + \varepsilon) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof. Let us first observe that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every segment s with $q_{n-1} < \min s \leq q_n$, the following hold due to i).

- iii) $\#\{i > n : \|x_{i|s}\| \geq \varepsilon_n\} \leq 1$.
 iv) $\#\{i > n : \varepsilon_k \leq \|x_{i|s}\| < \varepsilon_{k-1}\} \leq k$ for every $k > n$.

Now for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists $\phi_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j \phi_{i,j} \in W$ supported by $\text{ran}(x_i)$ such that $\phi_i(x_i) = \|x_i\|$. If $(b_i)_{i=1}^n$ is the conjugate sequence of $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ w.r the ℓ_p -norm, it follows

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| \geq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \phi_i \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i \|x_i\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For the reverse inequality, let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m \in \mathcal{K}$ supported by pairwise disjoint segments s_1, \dots, s_m , and b_1, \dots, b_m reals with $\sum_{j=1}^m b_j^q \leq 1$. For given $1 \leq j \leq m$, we will denote by

- v) $i_{j,1}$ the unique $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $q_{i-1} < \min s_j \leq q_i$,
 vi) $i_{j,2}$ the unique, if there exists, $i_{j,1} < i \leq n$ such that $\|x_{i|s_j}\| \geq \varepsilon_{i_{j,1}}$.

We set $G_i = \{j \leq m : i_{j,1} = i\}$ and we have that $\{1, \dots, m\} = \cup_{i=1}^n G_i$. We also set $J_i = G_i \cup \{j \leq m : i_{j,2} = i\}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. It follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\sum_{j=1}^m b_j \phi_j \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^m b_j \phi_j \left(\sum_{i \geq i_{j,1}}^n a_i x_i \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j| \left(|a_{i_{j,1}}| \|x_{i_{j,1}|s_j}\| + |a_{i_{j,2}}| \|x_{i_{j,2}|s_j}\| + \sum_{i_{j,1} < i \neq i_{j,2}} |a_i| \|x_{i|s_j}\| \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(|a_i| \sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j| \|x_{i|s_j}\| + \sum_{j \in G_i} |b_j| \sum_{i < l \neq i_{j,2}} |a_l| \|x_{l|s_j}\| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Note that by iii), each j appears in at most two J_i , so

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j|^q \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^m |b_j|^q. \quad (2)$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j| \|x_{i|s_j}\| &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j| \|x_{i|s_j}\| \right)^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \sqrt[q]{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ by (2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

To get an upper bound for the remaining term of (1) notice that iv) implies

$$\sum_{i < l \neq i_{j,2}} |a_l| \|x_{l|s_j}\| < \max_k |a_k| \sum_{l=i}^{\infty} (l+1)\varepsilon_l \text{ for any } j \in G_i.$$

Using that $\#G_i \leq q_i$ and ii) we get,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in G_i} |b_j| \sum_{l > i, l \neq i_{j,2}} |a_l| \|x_{l|s_j}\| < \max_k |a_k| \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \sum_{l \geq i} (l+1) \epsilon_l \leq \epsilon \max_k |a_k|. \quad (4)$$

Combining (3) and (4) we get the upper ℓ_p -estimation. \square

Proof of Theorem I.2.1. Since $\|x_n|_\sigma\| \xrightarrow{n} 0$ for every branch σ , passing to a subsequence we may assume that (1) and (2) of Lemma I.2.3 holds. Lemma I.2.4 yields that this subsequence is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . \square

Remark I.2.5. Let us note that the converse of Theorem I.2.1 holds, i.e., if $(x_n)_n$ is a normalized block sequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p then $\lim_n \|x_n|_\sigma\| = 0$ for every branch. Indeed, if $\|x_{n_k|_\sigma}\| \geq \delta$ for infinitely many k , then

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k} \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m a_k x_{n_k|_\sigma} \right\| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_k^2 \|x_{n_k|_\sigma}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \delta \left(\sum_{k=1}^m a_k^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $2 < p$ it follows that $(x_n)_n$ cannot be equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p , a contradiction

I.3. THE ℓ_p VECTOR OF A BLOCK SEQUENCE

In this section we introduce the ℓ_p vector of a block sequence. This is a critical concept that will be used repeatedly in the main results of this part.

Definition I.3.1. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in $JT_{2,p}$. A decreasing sequence $(c_i)_{i \in N}$, where N is either an initial finite interval of \mathbb{N} or the set of the natural numbers, is said to be the ℓ_p -vector of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with associated set of branches the set $\mathcal{B} = (\sigma_i)_{i \in N}$, if $(c_i)_{i \in N} \in B_{\ell_p}$,

$$\lim_n \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\| = c_i > 0 \text{ for every } i \in N \text{ and } \lim_n \|x_n|_\sigma\| = 0 \text{ for every } \sigma \neq \sigma_i, i \in N.$$

If $\lim_n \|x_n|_\sigma\| = 0$ for every branch σ , then the ℓ_p -vector of $(x_n)_n$ is the zero one and the associated set of branches \mathcal{B} is the empty one.

Proposition I.3.2. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in $JT_{2,p}$. Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ either has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p or has a subsequence accepting an ℓ_p -vector.

The proof relies on the following lemma due to Lindenstrauss-Stegall [28], whose proof is presented for sake of completeness.

Lemma I.3.3 ([28]). Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in $JT_{2,p}$. Then there exist a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an at most countable set of distinct branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in N}$ such that

$$\lim_n \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\| = c_i > 0 \text{ for every } i \in N \text{ and } \lim_n \|x_n|_\sigma\| = 0 \text{ for every } \sigma \neq \sigma_i, i \in N.$$

Moreover $(c_i)_{i \in N} \in B_{\ell_p}$ and the sequence $(c_i)_{i \in N}$ may be chosen to be decreasing.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$. From the definition of the norm it follows that for every choice of distinct branches $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ it holds that

$$\limsup_n \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\|^p \leq \sup_n \|x_n\|^p \leq 1. \quad (5)$$

Combining (5) and Remark I.1.3 (ii) we get that for every subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ of $(x_n)_n$ there exist at most $k \in [0, \epsilon^{-p}]$ distinct branches $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ such that $\lim_{n \in L} \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\| = c_i \geq \epsilon$ and for every branch $\sigma \neq \sigma_j$ it holds $\limsup_{n \in L} \|x_n|_\sigma\| < \epsilon$.

Let $\epsilon_j \searrow 0$. Applying the above for $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots$ we get $L_1 \supset L_2 \supset \dots \supset L_j \supset L_{j+1} \supset \dots$ and $\sigma_{j,1}, \dots, \sigma_{j,k_j}$ distinct branches, $k_j \in [0, \epsilon_j^{-p}]$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$\lim_{n \in L_j} \|x_n|_{\sigma_{j,k}}\| = c_{j,k} \geq \epsilon_j \text{ for all } k \leq k_j \text{ and}$$

$$\limsup_{n \in L_j} \|x_n|_{\sigma}\| < \epsilon_j \quad \forall \sigma \neq \sigma_{i,k}, k = 1, \dots, k_i, i = 1, \dots, j.$$

Reordering $(c_{j,k})_k$ we get $c_{j-1, k_{j-1}} > c_{j,1} \geq \dots \geq c_{j, k_j}$. The inductive choice stops in the case we cannot find $L \in [L_j]$ and a branch σ such that $\lim_{n \in L} \|x_n|_{\sigma}\| > 0$. Enumerate the $c_{j,k} \neq 0$ and the associated $\sigma_{j,k}$, as $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ respectively, following the natural order of $c_{j,k}$, $k \leq k_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Let $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{\sigma_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a diagonal subsequence of $(x_n)_{n \in L_j}$. Once we show that $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_{\ell_p}$ we have the result for $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and \mathcal{B}_0 . Assume that $\sum_i |c_i|^p > 1$. Then $\sum_{i \in F} |c_i|^p > 1$ for some finite set F . Since the branches $(\sigma_i)_i$ are distinct there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the final segments $\sigma_{i, > \rho}, i \in F$ are incomparable. Then,

$$\lim_{n \in L} \|x_n\|^p \geq \lim_{n \in L} \sum_{i \in F} \|x_n|_{\sigma_{i, > \rho}}\|^p \geq \sum_{i \in F} c_i^p > 1 \quad \text{a contradiction.}$$

□

Proof of Proposition I.3.2. If $\lim_n \|x_n|_{\sigma}\| = 0$ for every branch σ then the ℓ_p -vector of $(x_n)_n$ is the zero vector. Moreover from Theorem I.2.1 it follows that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . Assume that it does not hold that $\lim_n \|x_n|_{\sigma}\| = 0$ for every branch σ . From the previous lemma we get a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ of (x_n) , an at most countable of distinct branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a decreasing sequence $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_{\ell_p}$ such that

$$\lim_n \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\| = c_i > 0 \text{ for every } i \text{ and } \lim_n \|x_n|_{\sigma}\| = 0 \text{ for every } \sigma \neq \sigma_i, i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It follows that $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ has $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as ℓ_p -vector with associated set of branches the set $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. □

Remark I.3.4. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $JT_{2,p}$ having $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as an ℓ_p -vector and let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches.

- i) For every $\lambda \neq 0$ the sequence $(\lambda x_n)_n$ has $(|\lambda|c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as an ℓ_p -vector and associated set of branches the branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- ii) It is easy to check that the ℓ_p -norm of $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies $\|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p} \leq \liminf \|x_n\|$.
- iii) Setting $T_0 = \cup\{\sigma_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $y_n = x_n - x_n|_{T_0}$ it follows that $\lim_n \|y_n|_{\sigma}\| = 0$ for every branch σ . In the case where $\limsup_n \|y_n\| > 0$ passing to a subsequence we may assume that $0 < c \leq \|y_n\| \leq 1$ for every n . From Theorem I.2.1 we get a subsequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .

For the rest of Part I, we will assume that a non-zero ℓ_p -vector is an infinitely supported vector of ℓ_p . The cases that the ℓ_p -vector is finitely supported are treated in similar manner and in most cases the proofs are simpler.

Lemma I.3.5. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized weakly null sequence with a non-zero ℓ_p -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let \mathcal{B} be the associated set of branches. Then, if $(y_n)_n$ is a block sequence such that $\text{supp}(y_n) \subset \text{supp}(x_n)$ for every n and $\lim_n \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$, the sequence $(y_n)_n$ also has $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as an ℓ_p -vector with the same set of associated branches.

Proof. The proof is an immediate consequence of the triangle inequality and the fact that $\|x|_s\| \leq \|x\|$ for every segment s . □

Lemma I.3.6. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence with a non-zero ℓ_p -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Let $(I_k)_k$ be an increasing sequence of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} . Then, there exist $n_k \nearrow +\infty$ and $(l_k)_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $(1 - \epsilon_k)c_i \leq \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k)c_i$ for every $i \in I_k$ and $n \geq n_k$,
- (ii) the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l_k}, i \in I_k$ are incomparable and $\text{minsupp} x_{n_k} > l_k$.

In particular, setting $\mathcal{B}_{I_k} = \cup\{\sigma_i : i \in I_k\}$ the following holds:

$$\lim_k \|x_{n_k}|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}\| = \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p} \text{ and } \lim_k \|(x_{n_k} - x_{n_k}|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}})|_{\sigma}\| = 0 \text{ for every branch } \sigma.$$

Moreover the sequence $(x_{n_k} - x_{n_k}|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}})_k$ admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 2. In particular if it does not converges to zero in norm it is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .

Proof. Using the definition of the ℓ_p -vector, we choose inductively $n_k \nearrow +\infty$ and $(l_k)_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that (i) and (ii) holds. Set $\mathcal{B}_{I_k} = \cup\{\sigma_i : i \in I_k\}$ and $\bar{x}_{n_k} = x_{n_k}|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}$. From (ii) and Lemma I.1.4 it follows that

$$\|\bar{x}_{n_k}\| = \left(\sum_{i \in I_k} \|x_{n_k}|_{\sigma_i}\|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Using (i) and that $\#I_k \nearrow +\infty$ it follows that

$$(1 - \epsilon_k) \left(\sum_{i \in I_k} c_i^p \right)^{1/p} \leq \|\bar{x}_{n_k}\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k) \left(\sum_{i \in I_k} c_i^p \right)^{1/p} \Rightarrow \|\bar{x}_{n_k}\| \xrightarrow[k]{} a.$$

Note also that $(\bar{x}_{n_k})_k$ has as ℓ_p -vector the $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the same set of associated branches.

Indeed it readily follows that for every σ_l , $\lim_k \|\bar{x}_{n_k}|_{\sigma_l}\| = c_l$. If $\sigma \neq \sigma_l$ for every l , then from the definition of the ℓ_p -vector it follows,

$$\|\bar{x}_{n_k}|_{\sigma}\| \leq \|x_{n_k}|_{\sigma}\| \xrightarrow[k]{} 0.$$

Let $y_{n_k} = x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k}$.

Claim. $\|y_{n_k}|_{\sigma}\| \rightarrow 0$ for every branch σ .

Indeed if $\sigma = \sigma_l$ for some l , then $y_{n_k}|_{\sigma_l} = 0$ for every $k > l$. If $\sigma \neq \sigma_l$ for every l then

$$\|y_{n_k}|_{\sigma}\| = \|(x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k})|_{\sigma}\| \leq \|x_{n_k}|_{\sigma}\| \xrightarrow[k]{} 0$$

from the properties of the ℓ_p -vector.

In the case where $\limsup \|y_{n_k}\| > 0$, from Theorem I.2.1 passing to a subsequence we may assume that $(y_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . If $\lim \|y_{n_k}\| = 0$ passing to a subsequence we get that $(y_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 2.

From the above we get that the subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ satisfies the conclusion of the Lemma. \square

Lemma I.3.7. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence having a non-zero ℓ_p -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Let $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a block sequence of $(x_n)_n$ such that $y_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k x_k$ and $(a_k)_{k \in F_n} \in S_{\ell_2}$. Then, $(y_n)_n$ has $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as an ℓ_p -vector with the same set of associated branches.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$ for every i . From the definition of the ℓ_p -vector it follows that there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x_n|_{\sigma}\| < \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

From the above inequality and Remark I.1.3 (iv) it follows, using that $(a_k)_{k \in F_n} \in S_{\ell_2}$,

$$\|y_n|_{\sigma}\| = \left\| \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k x_k|_{\sigma} \right\| = \left(\sum_{k \in F_n} a_k^2 \|x_k|_{\sigma}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

This yields that $\lim_n \|y_n|_\sigma\| = 0$. We show now that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds $\lim_n \|y_n|_{\sigma_i}\| = c_i$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n(i) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$c_i - \epsilon \leq \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\| \leq c_i + \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n(i). \quad (6)$$

Using once more Remark I.1.3 (iv) and (6) it follows,

$$c_i - \epsilon \leq \|y_n|_{\sigma_i}\| = \left(\sum_{k \in F_n} a_k^2 \|x_k|_{\sigma_i}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_i + \epsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n(i).$$

From the above it follows that $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as ℓ_p -vector with the same set of associated branches. \square

We show now that we can choose a subsequence with the additional property the norm of the ℓ_2 -averages converges to the ℓ_p -norm of the ℓ_p -vector. For the proof we shall use the following elementary lemma concerning elements of ℓ_p .

Lemma I.3.8. For every $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_{\ell_p}$ there exists a strictly increasing sequence $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of initial segments of \mathbb{N} such that setting $d_k = (c_n)_{n \in I_k \setminus I_{k-1}}$, $I_0 = \emptyset$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the following holds:

For every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \|d_k\|_{\ell_p} \leq \epsilon \|(c_n)_n\|_{\ell_p}.$$

Proof. Let $A = \|(c_n)_n\|_{\ell_p}$ and $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$, $\epsilon_0 = 1$, such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} \epsilon_n < 1$. Inductively choose a strictly increasing sequence $(I_k)_k$ of initial segments of \mathbb{N} such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_k} |c_n|^p < \epsilon_k^p A^p.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \epsilon_k < \epsilon$. Then

$$\|d_k\|_{\ell_p} \leq \epsilon_{k-1} A \Rightarrow \sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} \|d_k\|_{\ell_p} \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \epsilon_k A \leq \epsilon A. \quad \square$$

Lemma I.3.9. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence with an ℓ_p -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p} = a \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that setting $w_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_m}} \sum_{i \in F_m} x_{n_i}$, with $F_1 < F_2 < \dots$ and $\#F_m \nearrow +\infty$, we have that $\|w_m\| \xrightarrow{m} a$ and $(w_m)_m$ admits $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as an ℓ_p -vector with the same set of associated branches as $(x_n)_n$.

Proof. From Lemma I.3.7 and Remark I.3.4(ii) we have that $a \leq \liminf \|w_n\|$ for any sequence $(w_n)_n$ of ℓ_2 -averages of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. So it is enough to find a subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ such that for any sequence $(w_n)_n$ of successive ℓ_2 -averages of increasing length of the subsequence, it holds $\limsup \|w_n\| \leq a$. Let $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the initial segments of \mathbb{N} we get from Lemma I.3.8. Let also $(x_{n_k})_k$ be a subsequence of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying the conclusion of Lemma I.3.6 for the intervals I_k . Set $\bar{x}_{n_k} = x_{n_k}|_{\mathcal{B}_k}$ and $y_{n_k} = x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k}$ where $\mathcal{B}_{I_k} = \cup\{\sigma_i : i \in I_k\}$. Let $F_1 < F_2 < \dots$ be successive subsets of \mathbb{N} such that $\#F_m \nearrow +\infty$ and $w_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_m}} \sum_{i \in F_m} x_{n_i}$. Define

$$z_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_m}} \sum_{i \in F_m} y_{n_i} \text{ and } u_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F_m}} \sum_{i \in F_m} \bar{x}_{n_i}.$$

Since $(y_{n_i})_i$ is dominated by the unit vector basis of ℓ_p it follows that $\lim_m \|z_m\| = 0$. We show that $\limsup_m \|u_m\| \leq a$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\epsilon_{m_0} < \epsilon/4$ and $\sum_{k=m_0+1}^{\infty} \|d_k\|_{\ell_p} < (\epsilon/4) \cdot a$.

Let $F_m = \{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_d\}$ with $\min F_m > m_0$. It follows that $m_0 < k_i$ and hence $1 + \epsilon_{k_i} < 1 + \epsilon/4$ for every $i \leq d$. Using that $I_{k_r} = \cup_{j=1}^r I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}$, ($I_{k_0} = \emptyset$), and that the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l_k}$, $i \in I_k$ are incomparable, we get that

$$\bar{x}_{n_{k_1}} = \sum_{i \in I_{k_1}} x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} \text{ and } \bar{x}_{n_{k_r}} = \sum_{i \in I_{k_1}} x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} + \sum_{j=2}^r \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}} \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i}, \quad r \geq 2.$$

The above analysis yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^d \bar{x}_{n_{k_r}} &= \sum_{r=1}^d \sum_{i \in I_{k_1}} x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} + \sum_{r=2}^d \sum_{j=2}^r \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}} \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} \\ &= \sum_{i \in I_{k_1}} \sum_{r=1}^d x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}} \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \sum_{r=j}^d x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Since $(x_{n_k})_k$ satisfies properties (i) and (ii) of Lemma I.3.6, for every $i \in I_\ell \setminus I_{\ell-1}$, $\ell \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}$ we get from Remark I.1.3 (iv) that

$$\left\| \sum_{r=j}^d x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} \right\| = \left(\sum_{r=j}^d \|x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq c_i (1 + \epsilon_{k_j}) \sqrt{\#F_m}.$$

Note that for every $\ell \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}$ the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l_{k_j}}$, $i \in I_\ell \setminus I_{\ell-1}$ are incomparable and $l_{k_j} < \text{minsupp} x_{n_{k_r}}$ for every $r \geq j$. Hence using the above inequality, Lemma I.1.4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i \in I_\ell \setminus I_{\ell-1}} \sum_{r=j}^d x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} \right\| &= \left(\sum_{i \in I_\ell \setminus I_{\ell-1}} \left\| \sum_{r=j}^d x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_j}) \sqrt{\#F_m} \left(\sum_{i \in I_\ell \setminus I_{\ell-1}} c_i^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#F_m}} \sum_{r=1}^d \bar{x}_{n_{k_r}} \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#F_m}} \left(\left\| \sum_{i \in I_{k_1}} \sum_{r=1}^d x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} \right\| + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}} \left\| \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \sum_{r=j}^d x_{n_{k_r} | \sigma_i} \right\| \right) \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I_{k_1}} c_i^p \right)^{1/p} + \sum_{j=2}^d \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}} (1 + \epsilon_{k_j}) \left(\sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} c_i^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_1}) \left(\sum_{i \in I_{k_1}} c_i^p \right)^{1/p} + (1 + \epsilon_{k_1}) \sum_{l > k_1} \left(\sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} c_i^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) a + \left(1 + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\right) \frac{\epsilon}{4} a \leq (1 + \epsilon) a. \end{aligned}$$

From the above we get that $\limsup_m \|u_m\| \leq a$.

We have that $w_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#F_m}} \sum_{k_i \in F_m} x_{n_{k_i}} = z_m + u_m$. Using that $\lim_m \|z_m\| = 0$ and $\limsup_m \|u_m\| \leq a$ it follows that $\limsup_m \|w_m\| \leq a$ and hence $\lim_m \|w_m\| = a$.

This complete the proof that $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the desired subsequence. \square

Lemma I.3.10. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence having $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_p}$ as an ℓ_p -vector and $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated branches. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there

exist an initial segment I_0 of \mathbb{N} and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that setting $B_{I_0} = \{\sigma_i : i \in I_0\}$ it holds $\|x_n|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_0}^c}\| < \epsilon$ for every $n \geq n_0$.

Proof. Let $I_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be an initial segment of \mathbb{N} such that $\sum_{i \in I_0} c_i^p > 1 - \epsilon/2$. Let $\delta > 0$ such that $1 - \epsilon \leq (1 - \delta)^p(1 - \epsilon/2)$. Chose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$c_i(1 - \delta) \leq \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\| \leq c_i(1 + \delta) \text{ for every } i \in I_0 \text{ and every } n \geq n_0$$

and the final segments $\sigma_{i, \geq n_0}, i \in I_0$ be incomparable. Then for every $n \geq n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n\|^p &\geq \|x_n|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_0}^c}\|^p + \sum_{i \in I_0} \|x_n|_{\sigma_i}\|^p \\ &\geq \|x_n|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_0}^c}\|^p + \sum_{i \in I_0} c_i^p(1 - \delta)^p \\ &\geq \|x_n|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_0}^c}\|^p + (1 - \delta)^p(1 - \epsilon/2) \\ &\Rightarrow \|x_n|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_0}^c}\|^p \leq 1 - (1 - \delta)^p(1 - \epsilon/2) \leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition I.3.11. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence in $JT_{2,p}$. Then there exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ that admits an upper ℓ_2 estimate with constant 4.

Proof. Let us observe that in the case that there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ such that $\lim \|x_{n_k}|_{\sigma}\| = 0$ for every branch σ then it follows from Theorem I.2.1 that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a subsequence that admits upper ℓ_p -estimate with constant $\sqrt[p]{2} + \epsilon$ and hence admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 4. Hence, it suffices to prove the proposition in the case $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a non-zero ℓ_p -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated branches. Let $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$ such that $\epsilon_1 < 1/3$. Let also $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of the strictly increasing initial segments of \mathbb{N} we get from Lemma I.3.8 for $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying also $\sum_{k > 1} \|d_k\|_{\ell_p} < \|(c_i)_i\|_{\ell_p}/2$. From Lemma I.3.6 for the sequence of the intervals I_k , we get $n_k \nearrow +\infty$ and $(l_k)_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $(1 - \epsilon_k)c_l \leq \|x_{n_k}|_{\sigma_l}\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k)c_l$ for every $l \in I_k$
- (ii) the final segments $\sigma_{l, > l_k}, l \in I_k$ are incomparable and $\text{minsupp}(x_{n_k}) > l_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}_{I_k} = \cup\{\sigma_l : l \in I_k\}$, $\bar{x}_{n_k} = x_{n_k}|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}$ and $y_{n_k} = x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k}$. From Lemma I.3.6 we have that $(y_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 2.

We show that the subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 4. Since $x_{n_k} = \bar{x}_{n_k} + y_{n_k}$ it is enough to show that $(\bar{x}_{n_k})_k$ also admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 2. Let b_1, \dots, b_m real numbers. We show that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m b_k \bar{x}_{n_k} \right\| \leq 4 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \|(c_i)_i\|_{\ell_p}.$$

Let $k \leq m$ and $l \in I_k$. Remark I.1.3 (iv) yields that,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=k}^m b_i \bar{x}_{n_i}|_{\sigma_l} \right\| = \left(\sum_{i=k}^m b_i^2 \|\bar{x}_{n_i}|_{\sigma_l}\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Combining the above equality with (i) we get that for every $l \in I_k$,

$$\left(\sum_{i=k}^m b_i^2 \right)^{1/2} c_l(1 - \epsilon_k) \leq \left\| \sum_{i=k}^m b_i \bar{x}_{n_i}|_{\sigma_l} \right\| \leq c_l(1 + \epsilon_k) \left(\sum_{i=k}^m b_i^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (9)$$

By the choice of \bar{x}_{n_k} we have that $z = \sum_{k=1}^m b_k \bar{x}_{n_k}$ is supported by the branches $\sigma_i, i \in I_m$. Moreover (ii) yields that the final segments $\sigma_{l, \geq \text{minsupp}(\bar{x}_{n_j})}, l \in I_j$ are incomparable. Hence using that $I_k = \cup_{j=1}^k I_j \setminus I_{j-1}, I_0 = \emptyset$, we get

$$\bar{x}_{n_1} = \sum_{i \in I_1} x_{n_1 | \sigma_i} \text{ and } \bar{x}_{n_k} = \sum_{l \in I_1} x_{n_k | \sigma_l} + \sum_{j=2}^k \sum_{l \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}} x_{n_k | \sigma_l}, k \geq 2.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m b_k \bar{x}_{n_k} = \sum_{l \in I_1} \sum_{k=1}^m b_k x_{n_k | \sigma_l} + \sum_{j=2}^m \sum_{l \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}} \sum_{k=j}^m b_k x_{n_k | \sigma_l}. \quad (10)$$

For any j and any vector $\sum_{l \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}} \sum_{k=j}^m b_k x_{n_k | \sigma_l}$ from (ii) and Lemma I.1.4 using (9) we get ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{l \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}} \sum_{k=j}^m b_k x_{n_k | \sigma_l} \right\| &\leq \left(\sum_{l \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}} \left\| \sum_{k=j}^m b_k x_{n_k | \sigma_l} \right\|^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{l \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}} \left(\sum_{k=j}^m b_k^2 \right)^{p/2} c_l^p (1 + \epsilon_j)^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Combining (10) and (11) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m b_k \bar{x}_{n_k} \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{l \in I_1} \sum_{k=1}^m b_k \bar{x}_{n_k | \sigma_l} \right\| + \sum_{j=2}^m \left\| \sum_{l \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}} \sum_{k=j}^m b_k \bar{x}_{n_k | \sigma_l} \right\| \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon_1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{l \in I_1} c_l^p \right)^{1/p} + \sum_{j=2}^m \left(\sum_{l \in I_j \setminus I_{j-1}} \left(\sum_{k=j}^m b_k^2 \right)^{p/2} c_l^p (1 + \epsilon_j)^p \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} (1 + \epsilon_1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p} \\ &\leq 2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary I.3.12. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized weakly null sequence in $JT_{2,p}$. Then $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence which is either equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p or equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 .

Indeed if $(x_n)_n$ does not contain a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p then from Theorem I.2.1 we get that there exists a branch σ such that $\limsup_n \|x_n | \sigma\| > 0$. Therefore there exists a subsequence that dominates the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 and from Proposition I.3.11 we may assume also that admits an upper ℓ_2 -estimate.

Corollary I.3.13. The space $JT_{2,p}$ is reflexive.

I.4. HILBERTIAN SUBSPACES OF $JT_{2,p}$

In this section we provide a characterization of the subspaces of $JT_{2,p}$ which are isomorphic to ℓ_2 and we show that each such subspace is complemented in $JT_{2,p}$.

We start with the following lemma.

Lemma I.4.1. Let $(\sigma^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequence of distinct branches. There exist $M \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $(l_n)_{n \in M} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the final segments $\sigma^n_{> l_n}, n \in M$, are incomparable.

Proof. Passing to subsequence we get $M \in [\mathbb{N}]$ such that $(\sigma^n)_{n \in M}$ converges to τ where τ is either a branch or an initial finite segment.

Assume first that τ is a branch. Inductively, using that $(\sigma^n)_{n \in M}$ converges to τ , we choose $d_1 < l_1 < d_2 < l_2 < \dots$ such that $d_k, l_k \in \tau$ and $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M, n_k \nearrow +\infty$ satisfying

- (i) $\sigma_{\leq d_k}^n = \tau_{\leq d_k}$ for every $n \geq n_k$
- (ii) the final segments $\sigma_{> l_k}^{n_k}, \tau_{> l_k}$ are incomparable.

It is easy to check that the final segments $\sigma_{> l_k}^{n_k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ are incomparable.

Assume now that τ is a finite initial segment and $t_0 = \max \tau$. Let $\{t_l : l \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the immediate successors of t_0 . Since $(\sigma^n)_{n \in M}$ converges to τ it follows that for every l there exist at most finite n such that $t_l \in \sigma^n$. Using this we choose inductively $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ such that $t_l \notin \sigma^{n_k}$ for every $l < k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that the final segments $\sigma_{> t_0}^{n_k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ are incomparable. \square

Lemma I.4.2. Let $F_n = \{\sigma_1^n, \sigma_2^n, \dots, \sigma_{k_n}^n\}$ be a sequence of disjoint finite families of branches each family consisting of distinct branches and $k_n \nearrow +\infty$. Then, there exist $M \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $(l_m)_{m \in M} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds:

for every $m < m_1 < m_2 \in M$ and $k \leq k_m$, the final segments $\sigma_{k, > l_{m_1}}^{m_1}, \sigma_{k, > l_{m_2}}^{m_2}$ are incomparable.

Proof. Let $m_0 = 1, M_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Inductively, using Lemma I.4.1 we choose $M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \dots \supset M_j \supset \dots$ such setting $m_j = \min M_j, m_{j-1} < m_j$ for every $j \geq 1$, the following holds:

for every $k \in \{k_{m_{j-2}} + 1, \dots, k_{m_{j-1}}\}, k_{m_{j-1}} = 0$, there exists $(l_k^m)_{m \in M_j} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\text{the final segments } \sigma_{k, > l_k^m}^m, m \in M_j \text{ are incomparable.} \quad (12)$$

For every j choose $l_{m_j} > \max\{l_{m_{j-1}}, l_k^{m_j} : k \in \{k_{m_{j-2}} + 1, \dots, k_{m_{j-1}}\}\}$.

We show that $(m_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(l_{m_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the conclusion. Let $m_j < m_{j_1} < m_{j_2}$ and $k \leq k_{m_j}, k \in \{k_{m_{i-1}} + 1, \dots, k_{m_i}\}$ for some $i \leq j$. By (12) we have that the final segments $\sigma_{k, > l_k^m}^m, m \in M_{i+1}$ are incomparable. Since $i \leq j < j_1 < j_2$ it follows that $M_{i+1} \supset M_{j_1} \supset M_{j_2}$ and for $p = 1, 2, l_{m_{j_p}} \geq l_{m_{i+1}} \geq l_k^{m_{i+1}}$ for every $k \in \{k_{m_{i-1}} + 1, \dots, k_{m_i}\}$. This implies that the final segments $\sigma_{k, > l_{m_{j_1}}}^{m_{j_1}}, \sigma_{k, > l_{m_{j_2}}}^{m_{j_2}}$ are incomparable. \square

Remark I.4.3. In the general case, for an arbitrary sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of finite families of branches there exists a subsequence $(F_{n_k})_k$ such that either $\#F_{n_k} \nearrow +\infty$ or $\#F_{n_k} = \rho$ for every k . In the later case the previous lemma is still valid and the proof is simpler.

Proposition I.4.4. Let Y be a subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ with the property that for every finite set of branches τ_1, \dots, τ_d there exists a normalized weakly null sequence $(y_n)_n$ of Y with associated ℓ_p -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_p}$ such that $\lim_n \|y_n|_{\cup_{i=1}^d \tau_i}\| = 0$. Then ℓ_p embeds in Y .

Proof. Let $(\epsilon_k)_k$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \epsilon_k < 1$. Using the assumption of the lemma, we get a normalized weakly null sequence $(y_n^1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y with ℓ_p -vector $(c_i^1)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_p}$ and associated set of branches $(\sigma_i^1)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. From Lemma I.3.5 we get a block sequence $(x_n^1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ having the same ℓ_p -vector and associated set of branches with $(y_n^1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. From Lemma I.3.10 we get an initial interval I_1 of \mathbb{N} and $n(1) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that setting $B_{I_1} = \{\sigma_i^1 : i \in I_1\}$ it holds,

$$\|(c_i^1)_{i \notin I_1}\|_{\ell_p} < \epsilon_1 \text{ and } \|x_n^1 - x_n^1|_{B_{I_1}}\| < \epsilon_n \text{ for every } n \geq n(1).$$

Moreover from the definition of the ℓ_p -vector we may assume

$$c_i^1(1 - \epsilon_1) \leq \|x_n^1|_{\sigma_i^1}\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_1)c_i^1 \text{ for every } n \geq n(1) \text{ and every } \sigma_i^1, i \in I_1.$$

Set $T_1 = \mathcal{B}_{I_1}$. Continuing, inductively we choose an array of normalized weakly null sequences $(y_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ of Y with ℓ_p -vector $(c_i^k)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_p}$ and associated set of branches $(\sigma_i^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an array of block sequences $(x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\|y_n^k - x_n^k\| < \epsilon_n \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and every } k, \quad (13)$$

satisfying the following:

For every k , there exist $n(k) \in \mathbb{N}$ and an initial segment $I_k (\supseteq I_{k-1})$ of \mathbb{N} such that setting $\mathcal{B}_{I_k} = \{\sigma_i^k : i \in I_k\}$, the following holds

- (i) $\lim_n \|y_n^k|_{Z_k}\| = 0$ where $Z_k = \cup_{i \leq k-1} \mathcal{B}_{I_k}$ ($Z_1 = \emptyset$).
- (ii) $\|(c_i^k)_{i \notin I_k}\|_{\ell_p} < \epsilon_k$.
- (iii) For every k and every $\sigma_i^k \in \mathcal{B}_{I_k}$ it holds

$$c_i^k(1 - \epsilon_k) \leq \|x_n^k|_{\sigma_i^k}\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k)c_i^k \text{ for every } n \geq n(k). \quad (14)$$

- (iv) $\|x_n^k - x_n^k|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}\| < \epsilon_n$ for every $n \geq n(k)$.

Let us observe that from (i),(iv) and (13) it follows that the sets of branches $\mathcal{B}_{I_k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are pairwise disjoint. Applying Lemma I.4.2 we get $K \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $(l_k)_k$ such that

$$\forall k < k_1 < k_2 \in K \text{ and every } i \in I_k \quad (15)$$

the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l_{k_1}}^{k_1}, \sigma_{i, > l_{k_2}}^{k_2}$ are incomparable.

For every $k_j \in K$ choose $x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j} \in (x_n^{k_j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, n_{k_j} > \max\{n_{k_{j-1}}, n(k_j)\}$, such that

- (v) $p_j = \min \text{supp } x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j} > l_{k_j}$ and
- (vi) the final segments $\sigma_{i, \geq p_j}^{k_j}, i \in I_{k_j}$ are incomparable.

Let us observe, using that the ℓ_p -vectors are decreasing sequences, that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } i(\epsilon) \text{ such that } c_i^k < \epsilon \text{ for every } i \geq i(\epsilon) \text{ and every } k. \quad (16)$$

Set $z_j = x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j}|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{k_j}}}, k_j \in K$.

Claim: For every branch σ it holds that $\lim_j \|z_j|_{\sigma}\| = 0$.

Proof of Claim. On the contrary assume that there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $J \in [\mathbb{N}]$ such that $\|z_j|_{\sigma}\| > \epsilon$ for every $j \in J$. Choose $j_0 \in J$ such that $i(\epsilon/2) < \max I_{k_{j_0}}$, where $i(\epsilon/2)$ is given by (16).

From (iv) we get that there exists a unique $i(k_j) \in I_{k_j}$ such that $\sigma \cap \sigma_{i(k_j), \geq p_j}^{k_j} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\text{supp}(z_j) \subset \cup\{\sigma_{i, \geq p_j} : i \in I_{k_j}\}$ we get

$$\epsilon < \|z_j|_{\sigma}\| = \|x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j}|_{\sigma \cap \sigma_{i(k_j)}^{k_j}}\| \text{ for a unique } i(k_j) \in I_{k_j}. \quad (17)$$

Since $1 + \epsilon_k < 2$ for every k , (iii) yields that

$$\epsilon < \|x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j}|_{\sigma \cap \sigma_{i(k_j)}^{k_j}}\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_j})c_{i(k_j)} \leq 2c_{i(k_j)} \Rightarrow i(k_j) \leq i(\epsilon/2) \text{ by (16)}.$$

Passing to a further subsequence we may assume that $i(k_j) = l \leq i(\epsilon/2)$ for every j . For $j_0 < j_1 < j_2$ (17) yields $\sigma \cap \sigma_{l, \geq p_{j_i}}^{k_{j_i}} \neq \emptyset$ and this leads to a contradiction since $p_{j_i} > l_{k_{j_i}}$ and by (15) the final segments $\sigma_{l, > l_{k_{j_i}}}^{k_{j_i}}, i = 1, 2$ are incomparable \square .

By Theorem (I.2.1) we get that $(z_j)_j$ has a subsequence $(z_j)_{j \in J_0}$ equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . We show now that the sequence $(y_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j})_{j \in J_0} \subset Y$ has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . By (13) and (iv) we get that

$$\|y_{n_{k_j}}^m - x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j}\| < \epsilon_{n_{k_j}} \text{ and } \|x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j} - z_j\| < \epsilon_{n_{k_j}} \text{ for every } j \in J_0.$$

Passing to a further subsequence if it is necessary and using that $(n_{k_j})_{j \in J_0}$ is strictly increasing sequence, we get that $(y_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j})_{j \in J_0}$ is equivalent to $(z_j)_{j \in J_0}$ and hence to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .

A more careful inspection of the previous proof shows that ℓ_p embeds in Y once we have an array of normalized block sequences with certain properties whose the ℓ_p -vector is not necessarily normalized.

Proposition I.4.5. Let $(x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an array of seminormalized block sequences having non-zero ℓ_p -vectors $(c_i^k)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with associated set of branches $(\sigma_i^k)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$ such that there exists a strictly increasing sequence $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} satisfying the following

- (1) The sets $\mathcal{B}_{I_k} = \{\sigma_i^k : i \in I_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are disjoint.
- (2) For every k, n , it holds that

$$\|x_n^k|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}\| > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \|x_n^k - x_n^k|_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}\| < \epsilon_n.$$

Then, there exists a sequence $(x_{n_j}^{k_j})_j \subset \{x_n^k : n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p .

Sketch of the proof. The proof follows the arguments of the proof of Proposition I.4.4. For every k passing to a subsequence we may assume that (14) holds. Also by the assumptions we have that (iv) of the proof of Proposition I.4.4 holds. By (1) the sets \mathcal{B}_{I_k} , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are disjoint and thus we may apply Lemma I.4.2 to get $K \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $(l_k)_{k \in K}$ such that (15) holds. Continuing as in the proof of Proposition I.4.4 we get the subsequence $(x_{n_j}^{k_j})_j$ which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_p . \square

Proposition I.4.6. Let τ_1, \dots, τ_d be a finite set of branches and Y be a subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ which contains a normalized weakly null sequence $(y_n)_n$ such that $\lim_n \|y_n|_{\cup \tau_i}\| = 0$ and its ℓ_p -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ has norm $\|(c_i)_i\|_{\ell_p} = a \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists a normalized weakly null sequence $(w_n)_n$ in Y having an ℓ_p -vector $(d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_p}$ and the same associated set of branches as $(y_n)_n$ and moreover $\lim_n \|w_n|_{\cup \tau_i}\| = 0$.

Proof. From Lemma I.3.5 we may assume that $(y_n)_n$ is equivalent to a block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. From Lemma I.3.9 we get a subsequence $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that if $(z_m)_m$ is a sequence of successive ℓ_2 -averages of $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of increasing length, $z_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#F_m}} \sum_{i \in F_m} x_{n_i}$, then $(z_m)_m$ has the same ℓ_p -vector and associated set of branches with $(y_n)_n$ and moreover $\|z_m\| \rightarrow a$.

We show that $\lim_m \|z_m|_{\cup \tau_i}\| = 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\lim_n \|x_n|_{\cup \tau_i}\|$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x_n|_{\cup \tau_i}\| < \frac{\epsilon}{d} \text{ for every } n \geq n_0.$$

For every branch τ_j we have that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#F_m}} \sum_{i \in F_m} x_{n_i}|_{\tau_j} \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\#F_m}} \left(\sum_{i \in F_m} \|x_{n_i}|_{\tau_j}\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{d} \text{ for every } m > n_0.$$

From the triangle inequality we get $\lim_m \|z_m|_{\cup_{j=1}^d \tau_j}\| = 0$. Set $w_m = a^{-1}z_m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Using that $(x_n)_n$ is equivalent to $(y_n)_n$, by standard perturbation arguments, passing if necessary to a further subsequence, we get the desired weakly null sequence in Y . \square

We state now a lemma, probably a standard one, whose proof is presented for the sake of completeness, which will be used in the proof of the main result of this section.

Lemma I.4.7. Let X be a Banach space, $Z \subseteq Y$ be subspaces of X such that Z is a finite codimensional subspace of Y and also is complemented in X . Then Y is also complemented in X .

Proof. Let $P : X \rightarrow Z$ be a bounded projection and $Y = Z \oplus F$ where $F = \text{span}\{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$, $y_i \in S_Y$. Choose $y_i^* \in Y^*$ such that $y_i^*(y_i) = 1$ and $y_i^*(z + \lambda y_j) = 0$ for every $z \in Z$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $j \neq i$. Denote by \hat{y}_i^* a norm-preserving extension of y_i^* to X . Note that $W = \bigcap_{i=1}^d \ker(\hat{y}_i^*) \supset Z$ so $P|_W : W \rightarrow Z$ is a projection. Defining $P_Y : X = W \oplus F \rightarrow Y = Z \oplus F$ by $P_Y(w + f) = P|_W(w) + f$ we have that P_Y is a projection on Y . \square

We proceed now to the main result of this section.

Theorem I.4.8. Let Y be a subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ not containing ℓ_p . Then, Y is a complemented subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ isomorphic to ℓ_2 .

To prove the theorem we shall need the following proposition.

Proposition I.4.9. Let Y be a subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ not containing ℓ_p . There exist a finite set of branches τ_1, \dots, τ_d and a finite codimensional subspace Y_0 of Y such that the bounded projection $P(y) = y|_T$, $T = \cup\{\tau_i : i \leq d\}$ restricted to Y_0 is an isomorphism.

Proof. Assume that the conclusion does not hold. Then for every finite set of branches $T = \{\tau_i : i \leq d\}$ and a finite codimensional subspace Y_0 of Y , the restriction of P to Y_0 is not an isomorphism. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ set $H_k = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker(e_i^*)$ and $Y_k = Y \cap H_k$. Then inductively we choose normalized vectors $x_k \in Y_k$ such that $\|x_k|_T\| < k^{-1}$. It follows that the sequence $(x_k)_k$ is a normalized weakly null sequence and $\lim_k \|x_k|_T\| = 0$. Moreover the ℓ_p -associated vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(x_n)_n$ has norm $\|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_p} = a > 0$. From the above and Proposition I.4.6 we get that the assumptions of Proposition I.4.4 holds. It follows that ℓ_p embeds in Y a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem I.4.8. From the previous proposition we get a finite codimensional subspace Y_0 of Y and a finite set of branches $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ such that the bounded projection $P|_{Y_0}(y) = y|_T$ is an isomorphism. Let $Z = [e_n : n \in T]$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the final segments $\tau_{i, > n_0}$, $i \leq d$, are incomparable. We have that $Z = (Z \cap [e_n : n \leq n_0]) \oplus (Z \cap [e_n : n > n_0])$. The finite dimensional subspace $Z \cap [e_n : n \leq n_0]$ is isomorphic to ℓ_2^k and also from Lemma I.1.4 we get that $Z \cap [e_n : n > n_0]$ isomorphic to $\sum_{i=1}^d \ell_2 \oplus \ell_2 (\simeq \ell_2)$. It follows that Z is isomorphic to ℓ_2 . Since Y_0 embeds in Z and Z is Hilbert space, it holds that Y_0 is a complemented subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ isomorphic to ℓ_2 .

Since $Y = Y_0 \oplus G$, G finite dimensional it follows that Y is also a Hilbert space. From Lemma I.4.7 we get that Y is complemented in $JT_{2,p}$ and this completes the proof. \square

Proposition I.4.10. If $JT_{2,p} = Z \oplus \ell_2$ then Z is isomorphic to $JT_{2,p}$.

Proof. Let $P : JT_{2,p} \rightarrow \ell_2$ be a bounded projection. It is enough to prove that Z contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to ℓ_2 . Indeed if Z_0 is such a subspace and $Z = W \oplus Z_0$ then,

$$Z \simeq W \oplus \ell_2 \simeq (W \oplus \ell_2) \oplus \ell_2 \simeq Z \oplus \ell_2 = JT_{2,p}.$$

To prove that Z contains a complemented subspace isomorphic to ℓ_2 we start with the following claim.

Claim: For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a finite number of branches $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ such that $\limsup_{k \in \sigma_i} \|P(e_k)\| > \epsilon$ for every $i \leq k$.

Assume that we have prove the claim. Then it follows that there exists an at most countable set of branches $\sigma_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$ it holds $\lim_{k \in \sigma} \|P(e_k)\| = 0$.

Let $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$ for every i . Then we have that $[e_k : k \in \sigma]$ is isometric to ℓ_2 and for every $k, e_k = P(e_k) + (I - P)(e_k) = P(e_k) + z_k, z_k \in Z$. Since $\lim_{k \in \sigma} \|P(e_k)\| = 0$ passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\sum_k \|e_k - z_k\| < 1$ and hence $(z_k)_k \simeq (e_k)_k$. It follows that $[z_k : k \in \mathbb{N}]$ is a complemented subspace of Z isomorphic to ℓ_2 .

Proof of the Claim. On the contrary assume that for some $\epsilon > 0$ there exist infinitely many branches $\sigma_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\limsup_{k \in \sigma_n} \|P(e_k)\| > \epsilon$.

Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\sigma_n \rightarrow \tau$, where τ is a branch or an initial segment. Assume that τ is a branch. The case τ is a finite initial segment is similar. We show the existence of a sequence of incomparable nodes $(t_n)_n$ such that $\|P(e_{t_n})\| > \epsilon$. We follow the arguments in the proof of Lemma I.4.1. We choose inductively initial segments $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq \dots$ of $\tau, n_j \nearrow +\infty$ and $l_j \nearrow +\infty$ such that

- (3) $\sigma_{n|I_j} = \tau|_{I_j}$ for every every $n \geq n_j$,
- (4) the final segments $\sigma_{n, > l_j}, n = 1, \dots, n_j$ are incomparable, $l_j < \max I_{j+1}$,
- (5) $t_j \in \sigma_{n_j}, t_j > l_l$ and $\|P(e_{t_j})\| \geq \epsilon$

Is easy to check that the nodes $t_j, j \in \mathbb{N}$ are incomparable.

Since $(t_j)_j$ are incomparable it follows that the subspace $X = [e_{t_j} : j \in \mathbb{N}]$ is isometric to ℓ_p . The same holds for the subspace $X_L = [e_{t_j} : j \in L]$ for every $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$. Since $(e_{t_j})_j$ is w-null, passing to a subsequence we may assume also that $(P(e_{t_j}))_j$ is a seminormalized block sequence.

So $P|_X : \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_2$ and by Pitt's theorem $P|_X$ is compact. This leads to a contradiction since $(P(e_{t_j}))_j$ does not have convergence subsequence. \square

Remark I.4.11. N. Ghoussoub and B. Maurey [21], using an infinitely branching tree \mathcal{T}_∞ , defined the James tree space JT^∞ . This space is defined as the James tree space, using the tree \mathcal{T}_∞ instead of the dyadic tree. They showed that the preduals of these spaces are not isomorphic, by proving that the predual of JT^∞ fails the PCP, while it is well known that the predual of JT satisfies the PCP.

Let $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$ be the space which is defined as $JT_{2,p}$ but using the dyadic tree \mathcal{D} instead of an infinitely branching tree. We prove that these spaces are isomorphic.

Proposition I.4.12. The spaces $JT_{2,p}$ and $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. We show that the conditions of Pelczynski's decomposition method holds. First we observe that $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $JT_{2,p}$ and also $JT_{2,p}$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$. Indeed, taking a dyadic subtree \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{T} it readily follows that the subspace $[e_t : t \in \mathcal{T}]$ of $JT_{2,p}$ is isometric to $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$, and also is complemented in $JT_{2,p}$. For the reverse embedding it is well known the there exists a subtree \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{D} such that considering the partial order induced by \mathcal{D} is an infinitely branching tree. It readily follows that the subspace $[e_t : t \in \mathcal{T}]$ of $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$ is isometric to $JT_{2,p}$ and also is complemented in $JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$.

Let us also observe that the space $JT_{2,p}$ is isomorphic to $(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \oplus JT_{2,p})_p$. Indeed if $S_\emptyset = \{t_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ then it holds that the subspace $[e_t : t_i \preceq t]$ is isomorphic to $JT_{2,p}$. Since the nodes of S_\emptyset are incomparable it follows that $JT_{2,p} \simeq (\sum_{i=1}^\infty \oplus JT_{2,p})_p$. Hence the assumptions of Pelczynski's decomposition method holds and therefore $JT_{2,p} \simeq JT_{2,p}^{\mathcal{D}}$. \square

Part II. The JT_G -space

This part concerns the study of the space JT_G and is organized in seven sections. In the first one we recall the definition of the $(2, n)$ -averages and some of their basic

properties. In the second section we give the definition of the generalized James Tree space and show how the spaces JT , JT^∞ , $JT_{2,p}$ fall under this definition. In the third section we obtain the space JT_G by specifying for every branch σ the norm $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ and the external norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ which appear in the definition of the generalized James Tree space. For every branch σ the norm $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ is determined by a sequence of functionals $(\phi_n^\sigma)_n$. Each functional ϕ_n^σ is supported by a segment s_n^σ of the branch σ which is a maximal element of the Schreier family $\mathcal{S}_{k_n^\sigma}$. To each ϕ_n^σ we associate a normalized vector x_n^σ also supported by s_n^σ , satisfying $\phi_n^\sigma(x_n^\sigma) = 1$. For every branch σ of the tree we define the projection $P_\sigma = \sum_n \phi_n^\sigma(\cdot)x_n^\sigma$ which is an essential tool in the study of the behavior of weakly null sequences in the space JT_G . We show that for every finite set of incomparable final segments $\sigma_{1,>k}, \dots, \sigma_{d,>k}$ the norm of the projection $\sum_{i \leq d} P_{\sigma_{i,>k}}$ is one. Let us note that the usage of the functionals ϕ_n^σ to define the norms $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ yields that the basis of the space is not unconditional and hence the above inequality is not immediate. The fourth section concerns the study of weakly null sequences $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every branch σ of the tree $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(x_n)\| = 0$. In particular we prove the following.

Proposition II.1. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized weakly null sequence in JT_G such that $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(x_n)\| = 0$, for every branch $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which admits an upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate with constant 3.

This is the analogue of Proposition I.3.11 for the space $JT_{2,p}$ and its proof uses a refinement of the Amemiya-Ito lemma in a similar manner. As a consequence we get that the space JT_G is not reflexive. The following is proved.

Proposition II.2. Every normalized block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(x_n)\| = 0$ for every branch σ has a further block sequence that is 2-equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 .

This yields the following.

Corollary II.3. The space JT_G is not reflexive. In particular, for every infinite subset N of \mathbb{N} the subspace X_N of JT_G generated by the subsequence $(e_n)_{n \in N}$ of the basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains a subspace isomorphic to c_0 .

In the fifth section we define ℓ_2 -vectors which are analogous to ℓ_p -vectors which appeared in the first part. They are defined in a similar manner with the usage of the projections P_σ . We prove the following.

Proposition II.4. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G . Then, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ either has a subsequence admitting an upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate or it has a subsequence accepting a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector.

We also prove some properties of ℓ_2 -vectors.

In Section six we prove two of the main properties of the space JT_G . More precisely we show the following.

Proposition II.5. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G . Then there exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ that admits an upper ℓ_2 estimate with constant 9.

We also prove the following proposition for sequences admitting a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector which is analogous to the result of the first part for sequences admitting a non-zero ℓ_p -vector.

Proposition II.6. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized weakly sequence admitting a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 .

This also yields the following.

Corollary II.7. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G . Then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is either equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 or c_0 embeds in the subspace generated by that subsequence.

In the final section, i.e., Section seven, we study the Hilbertian subspaces of JT_G . We prove the following.

Theorem II.8. Let Y be a subspace of JT_G such that every normalized weakly null sequence has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . Then Y is a complemented subspace of JT_G isomorphic to ℓ_2 .

To prove the above theorem we employ some properties of the ℓ_2 -vector of a normalized weakly null sequence to obtain the following.

Proposition II.9. Let Y be a subspace of JT_G such that every normalized weakly null sequence has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . Then, there exist a finite set of branches $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ and a finite codimensional subspace Y_0 of Y such that the bounded projection P_T , restricted on Y_0 is an isomorphism.

Combining the above we obtain the following.

Corollary II.10. Let Y be a subspace of JT_G . Then either Y is Hilbertian or c_0 is isomorphic to a subspace of Y . In particular every reflexive subspace of JT_G is Hilbertian and complemented.

II.1. THE SCHREIER FAMILIES AND REPEATED AVERAGES

In this section we recall the definition of the Schreier families $(\mathcal{S}_n)_n$ as well the definition of repeated averages and some of their basic properties, which will be essential in the sequel.

The Schreier families form an increasing sequence of families of finite subsets of the natural numbers and they first appeared in [3]. They are inductively defined in the following manner. Set

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{F \subset \mathbb{N} : \#F \leq \min(F)\}$$

and if \mathcal{S}_n has been defined, set

$$\mathcal{S}_{n+1} = \{F \subset \mathbb{N} : F = \cup_{i=1}^d F_i, \text{ where } F_1 < \dots < F_d \in \mathcal{S}_n \text{ and } d \leq \min(F_1)\}.$$

The Schreier families $(\mathcal{S}_n)_n$ are regular families, i.e. hereditary, spreading and compact.

II.1.1. (2, n)-averages. We recall the definition of repeated averages, introduced in [11], and some of their basic properties. The n -repeated averages $\alpha_k(n, L)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are defined as elements of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ as follows:

For $n = 0$ we set $\alpha_k(0, L) = e_{l_k}$ where $L = (l_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Assume that $\alpha_k(n, L)$ have been defined for some n , every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$. Let $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$. We define $\alpha_k(n+1, L)$ as follows: Let $l_1 = \min L$. We define

$$\alpha_1(n+1, L) = \frac{1}{l_1}(a_1(n, L) + \alpha_2(n, L) + \dots + \alpha_{l_1}(n, L))$$

and $a_k(n+1, L) = a_1(n+1, L_k)$, where $L_k = L \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{supp } a_i(n+1, L)$.

The following properties were established in [11],[13].

Lemma II.1.1. For every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$ it holds that

- (1) $\alpha_k(n, L)$ is a convex block of the standard basis $(e_n)_n$ of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ and $L = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{supp } a_k(n, L)$.
- (2) $\text{supp } \alpha_1(n, L)$ is the maximal initial segment of L contained in \mathcal{S}_n ,
- (3) $\alpha_k(n, L) \in S_{\ell_1}^+$ $\alpha_k(n, L)(i_1) \geq \alpha_k(n, L)(i_2) > 0$ for every $i_1 < i_2 \in \text{supp } \alpha_k(n, L)$
- (4) For every $G \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$, $\sum_{i \in G} \alpha_1(n, L)(i) < \frac{3}{l_1}$, $l_1 = \min L$,

- (5) If $L, M \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $\text{supp } \alpha_k(n, L) = \text{supp } \alpha_k(n, M)$ for $k \leq m$, then $\alpha_k(n, L) = \alpha_k(n, M)$ for $k \leq m$.

Definition II.1.2. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a block sequence and $p_n = \text{minsupp}(x_n)$. A vector $x = \sum_{i \in F} a_i x_i$ is said to be an $(2, n)$ -average if $\sum_{i \in F} a_i^2 e_{p_i} = a_1(n, L)$ of some $L \subset (p_n)_{n \in F}$.

From the definition and (4) of Lemma II.1.1 it follows that if $x = \sum_{i \in F} a_i e_{p_i}$ is an $(2, n)$ -average then for every $G \in \mathcal{S}_{n-1}$ we have that $(\sum_{i \in G \cap F} a_i^2)^{1/2} < (3/p_{\min F})^{1/2}$.

Notation II.1.3. Let L be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we set

$$s_k(n, L) = \text{supp } \alpha_k(n, L).$$

It readily follows that $(s_k(n, L))_k$ is a partition of L .

The following proposition will be very useful in the sequel and is easily proved by induction.

Proposition II.1.4. a) Let L_1, L_2 be infinite subsets of \mathbb{N} and s be an initial segment of both L_1 and L_2 . If $\max s \in s_k(n, L_1)$ then

$$s_j(n, L_1) = s_j(n, L_2) \text{ for every } j < k \quad \text{and} \quad s_k(n, L_1)|_s = s_k(n, L_2)|_s.$$

b) Let F, G be maximal elements of \mathcal{S}_n so that $F \cap G$ is an initial segment of both F and G . Let also $\sum_{k \in F} a_k e_k$ and $\sum_{k \in G} b_k e_k$ be the $(2, n)$ -averages supported by F and G respectively. Then, for every $k \in F \cap G$, we have $a_k = b_k$.

The Schreier space $S^{(1)}$ is the completion of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ under the norm given by the formula

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \right\|_{S^{(1)}} = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i \in F} |a_i| : F \in \mathcal{S}_1 \right\}.$$

The 2-convexification of $S^{(1)}$ is denoted by $S^{(2)}$ and it is the completion of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ under the norm

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i \right\|_{S^{(2)}} = \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i \in F} a_i^2 \right)^{1/2} : F \in \mathcal{S}_1 \right\}.$$

II.2. THE DEFINITION OF THE GENERALIZED JT -SPACE

In this section we provide the definition of a variety of generalized James tree spaces. Varieties of the James tree space have been given in the pasts and our definition can be seen as combination of the two more general ones, the one of Bellenot, Haydon Odell, [14] and the one of Albiac and Kalton [1].

Notation II.2.1. As in the definition of $JT_{2,p}$ we consider a partial order \prec on the set of natural numbers compatible with the usual order, defining an infinitely branching tree \mathcal{T}_0 without a root and terminal nodes. Let \mathcal{T} be the tree we obtain by adjoining to \mathcal{T}_0 the empty set as a root. We shall denote by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ the set of the branches of the tree.

II.2.1. Definition of the general JT -space. For every branch $\sigma = (k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathcal{T} let $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ denotes a norm such that the sequence $(e_{k_n})_n$ is a bimonotone basis of the completion of $c_{00}(\sigma)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$, i.e. the norms of the projections on the segments of σ are uniformly bounded by 1.

Definition II.2.2. The family of the norms $(\|\cdot\|_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})}$ is said to be tree compatible if for every segment s and every pair of distinct branches σ_1, σ_2 such that $s \subset \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ we have that

$$\|x\|_{\sigma_1} = \|x\|_{\sigma_2} \text{ for all } x \text{ such that } \text{supp}(x) \subset s.$$

If $(\|\cdot\|_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})}$ is a family of tree compatible norms then for every segment s of \mathcal{T} we define

$$\|x\|_s = \|x|_s\|_\sigma \text{ where } \sigma \text{ is a branch such that } s \subset \sigma.$$

The tree compatibility of the norms implies that $\|\cdot\|_s$ is well defined. Let $\|\cdot\|_*$ be a 1-unconditional norm on $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$. The general JT -space corresponding to $(\|\cdot\|_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})}$, $\|\cdot\|_*$ is defined to be the completion of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ under the norm

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \|x\|_\infty, \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \|x\|_{s_i} e_{\min(s_i)} \|_* : s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ are disjoint segments, } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right\}. \quad (18)$$

II.2.2. Examples of generalized JT -spaces.

- (1) The first such example is the space defined by N. Ghoussoub and B. Maurey [21], denoted by JT^∞ . This space is defined as the James tree space [27], using an infinitely branching tree instead of the dyadic tree. Following our definition, let for every branch σ , $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ be the completion of $c_{00}(\sigma)$ under the summing norm. Setting $\|\cdot\|_* = \|\cdot\|_{\ell_2}$, the generalized James tree space JT^∞ is the space we get by the completion of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ using the norm given by (18).
- (2) The second example of generalized JT -space is the space $JT_{2,p}$, $2 < p < +\infty$. For every branch σ let $\|\cdot\|_\sigma = \|\cdot\|_{\ell_2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_* = \|\cdot\|_{\ell_p}$. Then the corresponding generalized JT -space is $JT_{2,p}$.
- (3) Let σ be a branch of \mathcal{T} and let $(\phi_n^\sigma)_n$ be a sequence of successive elements of $c_{00}(\sigma)$ such that $\cup_n \text{supp}(\phi_n^\sigma) = \sigma$ and $\|\phi_n^\sigma\|_\infty \leq 1$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume additionally that $(\phi_n^\sigma)_n$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$, are chosen in such way so they are tree compatible, i.e., if s is a segment of \mathcal{T} and σ_1, σ_2 are distinct branches such that $s \subset \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ then $\phi_{n|s}^{\sigma_1} = \phi_{n|s}^{\sigma_2}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For every σ we set

$$W(\sigma) = \left\{ E \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \phi_i^\sigma : (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n \in B_{\ell_2}, (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n \subset \mathbb{Q}, n \in \mathbb{N}, E \text{ interval of } \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

We define for $x \in c_{00}(\sigma)$

$$\|x\|_\sigma = \sup \{ \phi(x) : \phi \in W(\sigma) \}.$$

Using the tree compatibility of $(\phi_n^\sigma)_n$ it follows that the norms $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ are tree compatible.

Let $\|\cdot\|_*$ be the norm of $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}$. Under these settings the norm of the corresponding generalized James tree space is given by the formula

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \|x\|_\infty, \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \|x\|_{s_i} e_{\min(s_i)} \|_{\mathcal{S}^{(2)}} : s_1, \dots, s_n \text{ are disjoint segments, } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right\}.$$

II.3. THE SPACE JT_G

In this section we are going to define the space JT_G , which is a specific version of the last example. As we have mention in the introduction the norming set of the space JT_G we be an essential component of the norming set of the space \mathcal{X}_{eh} and will have an significant role to the proof of the properties of \mathcal{X}_{eh} .

Let $(m_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}, (n_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two strictly increasing sequences of positive integers such that $m_j \leq n_j$ for every j .

Let $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \cup \{\emptyset\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a strictly increasing function such that $\gamma(\emptyset) < \gamma(n)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let \mathcal{T}_0 be an infinite branching tree with no terminal nodes. We consider the complete, infinitely branching tree \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{T}_0 with the additional property,

$$k(n) = \min \{ k \in \mathbb{N} : k \text{ is an immediate successor of } n \} > (3m_{2\gamma(n)+1}^2)^2. \quad (19)$$

Notation II.3.1. We partition each branch σ of \mathcal{T} into successive segments $s_k^\sigma, k \in \mathbb{N}$. This partition is a more carefully defined version of the one from Notation II.1.3.

Let σ be a branch of \mathcal{T} and $j_1 = \gamma(\emptyset)$. Then s_1^σ is the initial segment of σ which is maximal in $\mathcal{S}_{n_{2j_1+1}}$. Assume we have define $s_1^\sigma, \dots, s_{k-1}^\sigma$. Let $j_k = \gamma(\max s_{k-1}^\sigma)$. We take s_k^σ be the initial segment of $\sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{k-1} s_i^\sigma$ which is maximal in $\mathcal{S}_{n_{2j_k+1}}$.

It readily follows that $s_k^\sigma < s_{k+1}^\sigma$ for every k and that $(s_k^\sigma)_k$ is a partition of σ .

Proposition II.1.4(a) yields that if σ_1, σ_2 are distinct branches and s is the maximal segment such that $s \subset \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ and $\max s \in s_{n_0}^{\sigma_1}$ then

$$s_{n|s}^{\sigma_1} = s_{n|s}^{\sigma_2} \text{ for all } n \leq n_0.$$

In particular $s_n^{\sigma_1} = s_n^{\sigma_2}$ for all $n < n_0$ and $s_{n_0|s}^{\sigma_1} = s_{n_0|s}^{\sigma_2}$.

For a branch σ we define the sequence of pairs $(x_k^\sigma, \phi_k^\sigma)_n$ where

(i) $x_k^\sigma = m_{2j_k+1}^2 \sum_{l \in s_k^\sigma} \beta_l e_l$ where $\sum_{l \in s_k^\sigma} \beta_l e_l$ is the $(2, n_{2j_k+1}^\sigma)$ -basic average supported by the set s_k^σ ,

(ii) $\phi_k^\sigma = m_{2j_k+1}^{-2} \sum_{l \in s_k^\sigma} \beta_l e_l$.

We set

$$W(\sigma) = \left\{ E \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \phi_i^\sigma : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for every } i, (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n \in B_{\ell_2}, E \text{ an interval of } \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Using that the partial order of \mathcal{T} is compatible with the usual order of \mathbb{N} , it follows that for every interval E of \mathbb{N} such that $E \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$ it holds that $E \cap \sigma = s$ is a segment of σ . This yields that

$$W(\sigma) = \left\{ E \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \phi_i^\sigma : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ for every } i, (\lambda_i)_{i=1}^n \in B_{\ell_2}, E \text{ a segment of } \sigma, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ be the norm defined on $c_{00}(\sigma)$ by the formula

$$\|x\|_\sigma = \sup\{f(x) : f \in W(\sigma)\}.$$

It readily follows that $(e_n)_{n \in \sigma}$ is a bimonotone basis for the completion of $c_{00}(\sigma)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$.

We show now that the norms $\|\cdot\|_\sigma, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ are tree compatible. Let σ be branch of \mathcal{T} . For a segment s of σ we set

$$W^\sigma(s) = \{f : f \in W(\sigma) \text{ and } \text{supp}(f) \subset s\}.$$

From Proposition II.1.4b) it follows that if $s \subset \sigma_1 \cap \sigma_2$ and $\max(s) \in s_{n_0}^{\sigma_1}$ then

$$\phi_n^{\sigma_1} = \phi_n^{\sigma_2} \text{ for every } n < n_0 \text{ and } \phi_{n_0|s}^{\sigma_1} = \phi_{n_0|s}^{\sigma_2}.$$

This implies that $W^{\sigma_1}(s) = W^{\sigma_2}(s)$ hence $W^\sigma(s)$ is independent of the branch σ such that $s \subset \sigma$. This yields that the norms $\|\cdot\|_\sigma, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ are tree compatible.

In the rest of this part given a segment s , unless otherwise is stated, t_s will denote the minimum of s , i.e., $t_s = \min s$.

Let $\|\cdot\|_*$ be the norm of the 2-convexification of the Schreier space. The generalized James tree space we get under these settings is denoted JT_G and is the completion of $c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ under the norm

$$\|x\| = \max \left\{ \|x\|_\infty, \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \|x\|_{s_i} e_{t_{s_i}} \right\|_{S(2)} : s_1, \dots, s_n, \text{ are disjoint segments and } \{\min s_i : i \leq n\} \in \mathcal{S}_1 \right\} \right\}.$$

II.3.1. An alternative definition of the norm. We consider the set

$$G = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i f_i : (\beta_i)_{i=1}^d \in B_{\ell_2}, f_i \in W(\sigma_{r_i}) \text{ for some branch } \sigma_{r_i}, \right. \\ \left. \text{supp } f_i \cap \text{supp } f_j = \emptyset \text{ if } i \neq j \text{ and } \{\min \text{supp } f_i : i \leq n\} \in \mathcal{S}_1 \right\}.$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_G$ be the norm

$$\|x\|_G = \sup\{e_n^*(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \vee \sup\{f(x) : f \in G\}.$$

It readily follows that $\|x\| = \|x\|_G$ for any $x \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$.

Remark II.3.2. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following: if $x \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ is such that $\|x\| \leq 1$ and s_1, \dots, s_n are disjoint segments such that $\|x\|_{s_i} \geq \epsilon$ for every $i \leq n$ then $n \leq n(\epsilon)$.

Indeed, assume that there exist s_1, \dots, s_k such that $\|x\|_{s_i} \geq \epsilon$ for every i . Then at least $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ of them are Schreier admissible. Let the \mathcal{S}_1 admissible segments be the $\{s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_d}\}$, $d \geq \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$. It follows:

$$1 \geq \|x\| \geq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^d \|x\|_{s_{i_j}} e_{t_{s_{i_j}}} \right\|_{S^{(2)}} \geq \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \|x\|_{s_{i_j}}^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq d^{1/2} \epsilon.$$

This yields $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor \leq d \leq (1/\epsilon)^2$ and hence $k \leq \lfloor 2/\epsilon^2 \rfloor + 1$.

Lemma II.3.3. For every branch σ and every $x_n^\sigma = m_{2j_n+1}^2 \sum_{j \in s_n^\sigma} \beta_j e_j$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that $\|x_n^\sigma\| = 1$.

Proof. From the definition of the pairs $(x_n^\sigma, \phi_n^\sigma)$ it readily follows that

$$\|x_n^\sigma\| \geq \phi_n^\sigma(x_n^\sigma) = 1.$$

To prove that $\|x_n^\sigma\| \leq 1$, let s be a segment such that $s \cap s_n^\sigma \neq \emptyset$. Using the compatibility of the norms $\|\cdot\|_\sigma, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$, it follows that

$$\|x_n^\sigma\|_s = \|x_n^\sigma\|_{s \cap s_n^\sigma} = \phi_n^\sigma(x_n^\sigma|_{s \cap s_n^\sigma}) = \sum_{j \in s \cap s_n^\sigma} \beta_j^2 \leq \left(\sum_{j \in s \cap s_n^\sigma} \beta_j^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using the above inequality, for every disjoint segments s_1, \dots, s_n such that $\{\min s_i : i \leq n\} \in \mathcal{S}_1$, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_n^\sigma\|_{s_i} e_{t_{s_i}} \right\|_{S^{(2)}} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_n^\sigma\|_{s_i}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in s_i \cap s_n^\sigma} \beta_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 1. \quad (20)$$

Also it holds that $\|x_n^\sigma\|_\infty < 1$. Indeed since $\sum_{j \in s_n^\sigma} \beta_j^2 e_j$ is an $\alpha_1(2, n_{2j_n+1})$ -average from (4) of Lemma II.1.1 we have that $\max\{\beta_j^2 : j \in s_n^\sigma\} \leq \frac{3}{\min s_n^\sigma}$. By the choice of the subtree \mathcal{T} , see (19), we get

$$\max\{\beta_j^2 : j \in s_n^\sigma\} \leq \frac{3}{\min s_n^\sigma} < \frac{3}{9m_{2j_n+1}^4}.$$

From the above inequality we get that $\|x_n^\sigma\|_\infty = m_{2j_n+1}^2 \max\{\beta_j : j \in s_n^\sigma\} \leq 1$. Combining the above inequality with (20) we have that $\|x_n^\sigma\| \leq 1$. \square

Lemma II.3.4. For every $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ the sequence $(x_n^\sigma)_n$ admits an upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 1. In particular, $(x_n^\sigma)_n$ is 1-equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 .

Proof. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, \dots, a_d \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x = \sum_{n=1}^d a_n x_n^\sigma$. Let s be a segment such that $s \cap \text{supp } x \neq \emptyset$. Set $n_1 = \min\{n : s \cap s_n^\sigma \neq \emptyset\}$ and $n_2 = \max\{n : s \cap s_n^\sigma \neq \emptyset\}$. From the compatibility of the norms $\|\cdot\|_\sigma, \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ it follows that $\|x\|_s = \|x|_s\|_\sigma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_s &= \|x|_s\|_\sigma = \sup\{\phi(x|_s) : \phi \in W(\sigma)\} \\ &= (a_{n_1}^2 \left(\sum_{j \in s \cap s_{n_1}^\sigma} \beta_j^2 \right)^2 + \sum_{n=n_1+1}^{n_2-1} a_n^2 + a_{n_2}^2 \left(\sum_{j \in s \cap s_{n_2}^\sigma} \beta_j^2 \right)^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (a_{n_1}^2 \sum_{j \in s \cap s_{n_1}^\sigma} \beta_j^2 + \sum_{n=n_1+1}^{n_2-1} a_n^2 + a_{n_2}^2 \sum_{j \in s \cap s_{n_2}^\sigma} \beta_j^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Let s_1, \dots, s_m disjoint segments such that $m \leq \min s_i$ for every $i \leq m$. Define for every s_i , $n_{i,1}, n_{i,2}$ as we defined n_1, n_2 above.

Setting $C = \{n \leq d : s_n^\sigma \cap s_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } i \leq m\}$, from (21) and the fact that s_i are disjoint segments we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \|x\|_{s_i} e_{t_i} \right\|_{S^{(2)}} &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \|x\|_{s_i}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \left(a_{n_{i,1}}^2 \sum_{j \in s_i \cap s_{n_{i,1}}^\sigma} \beta_j^2 + \sum_{n=n_{i,1}+1}^{n_{i,2}-1} a_n^2 + a_{n_{i,2}}^2 \sum_{j \in s_i \cap s_{n_{i,2}}^\sigma} \beta_j^2 \right) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{n \in C} a_n^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since it holds also that $\|\sum_{n=1}^d a_n x_n^\sigma\|_\infty \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^d a_n^2 \right)^{1/2}$, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^d a_n x_n^\sigma \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^d a_n^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This completes the proof that $(x_n^\sigma)_n$ admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 1.

To see that $(x_n^\sigma)_n$ is 1-equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 , let $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^d \in B_{\ell_2}$ be the ℓ_2 -conjugate sequence of $(a_n)_{n=1}^d$. From the definition of the norm it follows that

$$\|x\| \geq \|x\|_\sigma \geq \sum_{n=1}^d \beta_n \phi_n^\sigma(x) = \sum_{n=1}^d \beta_n a_n = \left(\sum_{n=1}^d a_n^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This completes the proof that $(x_n^\sigma)_n$ is isometric to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . \square

Notation II.3.5. Throughout the rest of Part 2, for a branch σ of \mathcal{T} and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\sigma_{,>k}$ the final segment

$$\sigma_{,>k} = \bigcup_{j=j(k)}^{+\infty} s_j^\sigma \quad \text{where } j(k) = \min\{j : k \leq \min s_j^\sigma\}.$$

Notation II.3.6. For every branch σ of \mathcal{T} we denote by Z_σ the subspace of JT_G generated by the sequence $(x_n^\sigma)_n$.

We also denote by $Z_{\sigma,>k}$ the subspace of JT_G generated by the x_n^σ such that $s_n^\sigma \subset \sigma_{,>k}$.

Lemma II.3.7. Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$ be distinct branches such that $\sigma_{j,>k}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ are incomparable. Then, if $x_j \in Z_{\sigma_j,>k}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, are finitely

supported and $m \leq \text{minsupp} x_j$ for every $j \leq m$ we have that,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \right\| = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Let $x = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j$ and $p \leq s_1, \dots, s_p$ be disjoint segments such that $s_i \cap \text{supp}(x) \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \leq p$. Since the final segments $\sigma_{j,>k}$ are incomparable we get that for every i it holds $s_i \cap \sigma_{j,>k} \neq \emptyset$ for a unique j . Clearly we may assume that $m \leq s_i$ for every $i \leq p$. For every j set $C_j = \{i \leq p : s_i \cap \sigma_{j,>k} \neq \emptyset\}$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i \in C_j} \|x\|_{s_i}^2 = \sum_{i \in C_j} \|x_j\|_{s_i}^2 \leq \|x_j\|^2.$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^p \|x\|_{s_i} e_{t_{s_i}} \right\|_{S^{(2)}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i \in C_j} \|x_j\|_{s_i}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (22)$$

Inequality (22) yields that $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$. Taking the disjoint segments $s_j = \text{ran}(x_j) \cap \sigma_j$, $j \leq m$ we have that $\{\min s_j : j \leq m\} \in \mathcal{S}_1$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \right\| \geq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j\|_{s_j} e_{t_{s_j}} \right\|_{S^{(2)}} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \|x_j\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Combining the above inequality with (22) we have the result. \square

Definition II.3.8. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ we denote by P_σ the projection $P_\sigma : JT_G \rightarrow Z_\sigma$, defined as

$$P_\sigma(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n^\sigma(x) x_n^\sigma.$$

Also, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $P_{\sigma,>j}$ denote the projection

$$P_{\sigma,>j}(x) = \sum_{n=n(j)}^{\infty} \phi_n^\sigma(x) x_n^\sigma \quad \text{where } n(j) = \min\{n : s_n^\sigma \subset \sigma_{>j}\}.$$

Notation II.3.9. Let F be a finite subset of \mathbb{N} , $\mathcal{B}_F = \{\sigma_i : i \in F\}$ a set of branches and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the final segments $\sigma_{i,>k}$, $i \in F$, are incomparable. By $P_{\mathcal{B}_F,>k}$ we shall denote the projection

$$P_{\mathcal{B}_F,>k} = \sum_{i \in F} P_{\sigma_{i,>k}}.$$

Lemma II.3.10. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that $\|P_{\sigma,>j}\| = \|P_\sigma\| = 1$.

Proof. Let $x \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$. We may assume that $\phi_n^\sigma(x) \neq 0$ for at least one n , otherwise trivially holds that $\|P_\sigma(x)\| \leq \|x\|$. Let $I = \{n : \text{supp}(\phi_n^\sigma) \cap \text{supp}(x) \neq \emptyset\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\sigma(x)\| &= \left\| \sum_n \phi_n^\sigma(x) x_n^\sigma \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{n \in I} \phi_n^\sigma(x)^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{by Lemma II.3.4} \\ &\leq \|x\|_{\sigma_j} \leq \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Also it is clear that $\|P_{\sigma,>j}\| \leq \|P_\sigma\|$. Since $\|x_n^\sigma\| = 1$ for every n it follows that $\|P_{\sigma,>j}\| \geq \|P_{\sigma,>j}(x_j^\sigma)\| = 1$. \square

Lemma II.3.11. Let F be a finite subset of \mathbb{N} , $\{\sigma_j : j \in F\}$ be distinct branches and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the final segments $\sigma_{j,>k}$, $j \in F$, are incomparable. Then if $\#F \leq k$ and $x \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ is such that $\text{minsupp}(x) \geq \max\{\min \sigma_{j,>k} : j \in F\}$ we have that

$$\|P_{\mathcal{B}_{F,>k}}(x)\| = \left(\sum_{j \in F} \|P_{\sigma_{j,>k}}(x)\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \|x\|.$$

Proof. Let $x \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\text{minsupp}(x) \geq \max\{\min \sigma_{j,>k} : j \in F\}$. It follows that the vectors $P_{\sigma_j}(x) = P_{\sigma_{j,>k}}(x)$, $j \in F$, satisfies the assumption of Lemma II.3.7. Hence

$$\|P_{\mathcal{B}_{F,>k}}(x)\| = \left(\sum_{j \in F} \|P_{\sigma_{j,>k}}(x)\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let s_1, \dots, s_p be disjoint segments with $p \leq \min s_i$ for every $i \leq p$. Since the final segments $\sigma_{j,>k}$, $j \in F$, are incomparable it follows that for every $i \leq p$ there exists at most one $j \in F$ such that $s_i \cap \sigma_{j,>k} \neq \emptyset$. For every $j \in F$, set $C_j = \{i \leq p : s_i \cap \sigma_{j,>k} \neq \emptyset\}$. For every $i \in C_j$ we have that

$$P_{\sigma_{j,>k}}(x) = \sum_{n \geq n(k)} \phi_n^\sigma(x) x_n^\sigma \Rightarrow P_{\sigma_{j,>k}}(x)|_{s_i} = \sum_{n \geq n(k)} \phi_n^\sigma(x) x_n^\sigma|_{s_i}.$$

Let $I_j = \{n : s_n^\sigma \subset \sigma_{j,>k} \text{ and } s_n^\sigma \cap s_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } i \in C_j\}$. For every $n \in I_j$ and $i \in C_j$ it holds $\phi_n^\sigma(x|_{s_i}) = \sum_{l \in s_i \cap s_n^\sigma} \beta_l^2$. Using the compatibility of the norms $(\|\cdot\|_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})}$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\sigma_{j,>k}}(x)\|_{s_i}^2 &= \|P_{\sigma_{j,>k}}(x)|_{s_i}\|_{\sigma_j}^2 = \sum_{n \in I_j} \phi_n^\sigma(x)^2 \phi_n^\sigma(x|_{s_i})^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in I_j} \phi_n^\sigma(x)^2 \sum_{l \in s_i \cap s_n^\sigma} \beta_l^2. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Let $l_j = \min(\sigma_{j,>k} \cap \text{ran}(x_j))$. Using that the segments s_i , $i \leq p$ are disjoint and (23) it follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in C_j} \|P_{\sigma_{j,>k}}(x)\|_{s_i}^2 &\leq \sum_{n \in I_j} \phi_n^\sigma(x)^2 \sum_{i \in C_j} \sum_{l \in s_i \cap s_n^\sigma} \beta_l^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in I_j} \phi_n^\sigma(x|_{\sigma_{j,\geq l_j}})^2 \leq \|x|_{\sigma_{j,\geq l_j}}\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Finally we set $B = \{j \in F : C_j \neq \emptyset\}$. Note that $\#B \leq k \leq \min \sigma_{j,\geq l_j}$ for every $j \in B$. Combining the above we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \|P_{\mathcal{B}_{F,>k}}(x)\|_{s_i}^2 = \sum_{j \in B} \sum_{i \in C_j} \|P_{\mathcal{B}_{F,>k}}(x)\|_{s_i}^2 \leq \sum_{j \in B} \|x|_{\sigma_{j,\geq l_j}}\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2. \quad (25)$$

Also $\|P_{\mathcal{B}_{F,>k}}(x)\|_\infty \leq \|x\|$. This inequality combined with (25) yields that $\|P_{\mathcal{B}_{F,>k}}\| \leq 1$. In particular $\|P_{\mathcal{B}_{F,>k}}\| = 1$ since $P_{\mathcal{B}_{F,>k}}$ is a projection. \square

II.4. UPPER $S^{(2)}$ -ESTIMATES

In this section we will prove the theorem bellow. By slightly abusing language, we will say that a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a Banach space admits an upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate with constant C , if it is C -dominated by a subsequence of the unit vector basis of $S^{(2)}$.

Theorem II.4.1. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G such that $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(x_n)\| = 0$, for every branch $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which admits an upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate with constant 3.

We start with the following remark.

Remark II.4.2. Note that if for some $f \in W(\sigma)$ and an interval I we have that $|f|_I(x)| \geq \epsilon$ it does not follow that $\|P_\sigma(x)\|$ is necessarily comparable to $|f|_I(x)|$.

For example, let $x_n^\sigma = m_{2j_n+1}^2 \sum_{k \in s_n^\sigma} \beta_k e_k$ and partition $s_n^\sigma = s_1 \cup s_2$ where s_1 is the minimal initial segment of s_n^σ such that $\sum_{k \in s_1} \beta_k^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $I = s_1$ and $x = x_{n|I}^\sigma - x_{n|I^c}^\sigma$. It readily follows that $\phi_{n|I}^\sigma(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ while

$$\|P_\sigma(x)\| = \|\phi_n^\sigma(x)x_n^\sigma\| = |\phi_n^\sigma(x)| \leq 2\beta_{\max s_1}^2 \leq \frac{2}{(\min x_n^\sigma)}.$$

Lemma II.4.3. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$, $f \in W(\sigma)$ and $x \in c_{00}(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\text{supp}(x) \cap \sigma \subset \text{ran}(f)$. Then $|f(x)| \leq \|P_\sigma(x)\|$.

Proof. Let $f = E \sum_{n=1}^d \lambda_n \phi_n^\sigma \in W(\sigma)$. Set $n_1 = \min\{n \leq d : \text{supp}(E\phi_n^\sigma) \cap \text{supp}(x) \neq \emptyset\}$ and $n_2 = \max\{n \leq d : \text{supp}(E\phi_n^\sigma) \cap \text{supp}(x) \neq \emptyset\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= |\lambda_{n_1} E\phi_{n_1}^\sigma(x) + \sum_{n=n_1+1}^{n_2-1} \lambda_n \phi_n^\sigma(x) + \lambda_{n_2} E\phi_{n_2}^\sigma(x)| \\ &= |\lambda_{n_1} \phi_{n_1}^\sigma(x) + \sum_{n=n_1+1}^{n_2-1} \lambda_n \phi_n^\sigma(x) + \lambda_{n_2} \phi_{n_2}^\sigma(x)| \quad \text{since } \text{supp}(x) \cap \sigma \subset \text{supp}(f) \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} \lambda_n \phi_n^\sigma \left(\sum_j \phi_j^\sigma(x) x_j^\sigma \right) \right| = \left| \sum_{n=n_1}^{n_2} \lambda_n \phi_n^\sigma(P_\sigma(x)) \right| \leq \|P_\sigma(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following lemma, which is a variation of I. Amemiya and T.Ito Lemma [2], is analogous to Lemma I.2.2 for the space $JT_{2,p}$.

Lemma II.4.4. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence such that $\lim_n \|P_\sigma x_n\| = 0$ for every branch $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$ such that for every segment s with $\min s \leq n_0$ and every $f \in W(s)$ with $\text{minsupp}(f) \leq n_0$ it holds that $|f(x_n)| < \epsilon$ for all but one $n \in L$.

Proof. Assume that the result does not hold. Then by Ramsey theorem we get $L \in [\mathbb{N}]$ such that for every $m < n \in L$ there exist a segment $s_{m,n}$ with $\min s_{m,n} \leq n_0$ and an $f_{s_{m,n}} \in W(s_{m,n})$ with

$$\text{minsupp} f_{s_{m,n}} \leq n_0, \quad |f_{s_{m,n}}(x_m)| \geq \epsilon \text{ and } |f_{s_{m,n}}(x_n)| \geq \epsilon. \quad (26)$$

Let $p_n = \min \text{supp} x_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every segment $s_{m,n}$ we denote by $\bar{s}_{m,n}$ the unique initial segment determined by $s_{m,n}$.

Using (26) and Remark II.3.2 it follows similarly to Claim 1 of Lemma I.2.2 that for every $n \in L$ we have that

$$\#\{\bar{s}_{m,n|[1,p_n]} : m < n\} = d_n \leq d = \lfloor 2/\epsilon^2 \rfloor + 1.$$

Enumerate for every $n \in L$ the set of the different segments as $\{s_{n,1}, \dots, s_{n,d_n}\}$. Set for $i \leq d_n$,

$$L_i^n = \{m < n : |f_{s_{n,i}}(x_m)| \geq \epsilon\} \quad \text{and } L_i^n = \emptyset \text{ for every } d_n < i \leq d.$$

Passing to a further infinite subset M of L we may assume that $L_i^n \xrightarrow[n \in M]{} L_i$ for every $i \leq d$. Note that for every $n \in L$, $\{m \in L : m < n\} = \cup_{i=1}^d L_i^n$ and hence $L = \cup_{i=1}^d L_i$. Since L is an infinite subset of \mathbb{N} it follows that there exists $i_0 \leq d$ such that L_{i_0} is infinite. Moreover for every $n \in M$ and every $m < n$, $m \in L_{i_0}$, there exists $f_{s_{n,i_0}} \in W(s_{n,i_0})$ with $\text{minsupp}(f_{s_{n,i_0}}) \leq n_0$ such that $|f_{s_{n,i_0}}(x_m)| \geq \epsilon$.

In particular if $n_0 < \text{minsupp}(x_m)$ and $m < n$ it follows that $\text{supp}(x_m) \cap s_{n,i_0} \subset \text{ran}(f_{s_{n,i_0}})$. Lemma II.4.3 yields that

$$\|P_\sigma(x_m)\| \geq \epsilon \text{ for every branch } \sigma \text{ such that } \text{supp}(x_m) \cap s_{n,i_0} \subset \sigma. \quad (27)$$

Passing to further subset P of M we get that $(s_{n,i_0})_{n \in P}$ converges pointwise to an initial segment or a branch. Similarly to Claim 2 of Lemma I.2.2 we get that $(s_{n,i_0})_{n \in P}$ converges to a branch σ . From (27) we get that $\|P_\sigma(x_m)\| \geq \epsilon$ for infinitely many m which yields a contradiction. \square

Lemma II.4.5. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence such that $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(x_n)\| = 0$ for every branch $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Let $q_n = \max \text{supp}(x_n), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist a strictly increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers and a strictly decreasing sequence $(\epsilon_n)_n$ of positive numbers such that

- (1) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for every segment s and $f \in W(s)$ such that $\text{minsupp}(f) \leq q_{n_k}$ there exists at most one $k' > k$ such that $|f(x_{n_{k'}})| \geq \epsilon_k$ and
- (2) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_{n_k} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} (i+1)\epsilon_i < \epsilon$.

The proof of the lemma is identical to the proof of Lemma I.2.3.

Proposition II.4.6. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$ and $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence. Let $q_n = \max \text{supp} x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that

- a) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every segment s and $f \in W(s)$ with $\min f \leq q_n$ there exists at most one $p > n$ such that $|f(x_p)| \geq \epsilon_n$,
- b) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} (i+1)\epsilon_i < \epsilon$.

Then,

- i) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every choice of scalars a_1, \dots, a_n , setting $p_i = \text{minsupp}(x_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ it holds that,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right\| \leq (\sqrt{6} + \epsilon) \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_{p_i} \right\|_{S^{(2)}}.$$

- ii) For every branch σ and every finite subset I of \mathbb{N} we have $\|\sum_{n \in I} \pm x_n\|_\sigma \leq 3$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ be branches and $f_j \in W(\sigma_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$ be disjointly supported functionals with $k \leq \min \text{supp} f_j$ for every j . For every $1 \leq j \leq k$ we denote by $i_{j,1}$ the unique $i \leq n$ such that $q_{i-1} < \text{minsupp} f_j \leq q_i$. By a) we get

for every j there exists at most one $i > i_{j,1}$ such that $|f_j(x_i)| \geq \epsilon_{i_{j,1}}$.

Denote this i , if it exists, by $i_{j,2}$. We set $G_i = \{j \leq k : i_{j,1} = i\}$. It follows that G_i are pairwise disjoint and $\{1, \dots, k\} = \cup_{i=1}^n G_i$. We also set $J_i = G_i \cup \{j \leq k : i_{j,2} = i\}$. It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j f_j \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right) \right| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_j f_j \left(\sum_{i \geq i_{j,1}} a_i x_i \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k |\beta_j| \left(|a_{i_{j,1}}| |f_j(x_{i_{j,1}})| + |a_{i_{j,2}}| |f_j(x_{i_{j,2}})| + \sum_{i_{j,1} < i \neq i_{j,2}} |a_i f_j(x_i)| \right) \\ &= \sum_{i: J_i \neq \emptyset} |a_i| \left(\sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j f_j(x_i)| \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \in G_i} |b_j| \sum_{i < l \neq i_{j,2}} |a_l| |f_j(x_l)|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j f_j(x_i)| \leq \left(\sum_{j \in J_i} b_j^2 \right)^{1/2} \|x_i\|. \quad (28)$$

Also every j can appear in at most two J_i . Moreover this implies that $\{\text{minsupp}x_i : J_i \neq \emptyset\}$ is the union of at most three Schreier sets. Namely if $i_0 = \min\{i \leq n : G_i \neq \emptyset\}$ then

$$\{\text{minsupp}x_i : J_i \neq \emptyset\} = \{\text{minsupp}x_{i_0}\} \cup \{\text{minsupp}x_i : i_0 < i, J_i \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The later set contains at most $2k$ elements after k and hence is the union of at most two Schreier sets. Let

$$\{\text{minsupp}x_i : J_i \neq \emptyset\} = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3, \quad A_1 < A_2 < A_3 \in \mathcal{S}_1$$

with the latter possible empty. Note that setting $p_i = \text{minsupp}x_i$ for every i , for every $q = 1, 2, 3$ it holds,

$$\sum_{i \in A_q} a_i^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i \in A_q} a_i e_{p_i} \right\|_{S^{(2)}}^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_{p_i} \right\|_{S^{(2)}}^2 \quad (29)$$

From Hölder inequality, using that every j can appear in at most two J_i , (28) and (29) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i: J_i \neq \emptyset} |a_i| \left(\sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j f_j(x_i)| \right) &\leq \left(\sum_{i: J_i \neq \emptyset} |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{i: J_i \neq \emptyset} \left(\sum_{j \in J_i} |b_j|^2 \right) \|x_i\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2} \left(\sum_{i: J_i \neq \emptyset} |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \sqrt{2} \left(\sum_{q=1}^3 \sum_{i \in A_q} |a_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{6} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_{p_i} \right\|_{S^{(2)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

It remains to find an upper bound for the term $\sum_{j \in G_i} |b_j| \sum_{i < l \neq i_{j,2}} |a_l| |f_j(x_l)|$. Let us observe that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every segment s and $f \in W(s)$ with $\min s \leq q_n$, setting $E_k = \{p > n : \epsilon_k \leq |f(x_p)| < \epsilon_{k-1}\}$, $k > n$, the following holds due to a)

(c) $\#E_k \leq k$ for every $k > n$.

Using (c) we get,

$$\sum_{i < l \neq i_{j,2}} |a_l| |f_j(x_l)| \leq \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \max_{l \in E_k} |a_l| \sum_{l \in E_k} |f_j(x_l)| \leq \sum_{k=i}^{+\infty} (k+1) \epsilon_k.$$

Combining the above inequality with (b) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in G_i} |b_j| \sum_{i < l \neq i_{j,2}} |a_l| |f_j(x_l)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} q_i \sum_{k=i}^{+\infty} (k+1) \epsilon_k < \epsilon. \quad (31)$$

Combining (31) with (30) we have the result.

ii) Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ and $f \in W(\sigma)$. Following the notation of (i) we denote by i_1 the unique $i \in I$ such that $q_{i-1} < \text{minsupp}(f) \leq q_i$ and by i_2 the unique $i > i_1$ such that $|f(x_i)| \geq \epsilon_{i_1}$, if such an i exists.

From (31) for $\#G_i = 1$ we get that

$$\left| f \left(\sum_{i_1 < i \neq i_2} \epsilon_i x_i \right) \right| \leq 1.$$

It follows that

$$\left| f \left(\sum_{i \in I} \epsilon_i x_i \right) \right| \leq |f(x_{i_1})| + |f(x_{i_2})| + \left| f \left(\sum_{i_1 < i \neq i_2} \epsilon_i x_i \right) \right| \leq 3.$$

□

Proof of Theorem II.4.1. The proof of the Theorem follows combining Lemma II.4.5 and Proposition II.4.6 choosing $\epsilon < 1/10$. \square

Proposition II.4.7. Every normalized block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $P_\sigma(x_n) \xrightarrow{n} 0$ for every branch σ , has a further block sequence that is 2-equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 .

Proof. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence that $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(x_n)\| = 0$ for every branch σ . Using Lemmas II.4.4, II.4.5 and passing to a subsequence we may assume that item (ii) of Proposition II.4.6 holds i.e. for every finite subset I of \mathbb{N} and every choice of signs $\epsilon_n, n \in I$,

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in I} \epsilon_n x_n \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})} = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{n \in I} \epsilon_n x_n \right\|_\sigma : \sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}) \right\} \leq 3.$$

If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not equivalent to the unit vector basis of c_0 we get a block sequence $(y_n)_n$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $y_n = \sum_{i \in I_n} \epsilon_i x_i$ and $\|y_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$. Set $z_n = y_n / \|y_n\|$. Note that since $\|y_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})} \leq 3$ it holds that $\|z_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})} \rightarrow 0$. Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $\sum_{n=k+1}^{+\infty} \|z_n\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})} \leq 1 / \max \text{supp}(z_k)$ for every k . Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_n \in [-1, 1], n \leq p$. We show that $\left\| \sum_{n=1}^p a_n z_n \right\| \leq 2$.

Let $f = \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_j f_j \in W$ with $f_j \in W(\sigma_{r_j})$. Set $n_0 = \min\{n : \text{ran}(z_n) \cap \text{ran}(f_j) \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } j\}$ and notice that $d \leq \max \text{supp}(z_{n_0})$. It follows

$$\left| f_j \left(\sum_{n > n_0} a_n z_n \right) \right| < 1 / \max \text{supp}(z_{n_0}) \Rightarrow \left| f \left(\sum_{n > n_0} a_n z_n \right) \right| < d / \max \text{supp}(z_{n_0}) \leq 1.$$

So we conclude $\left| f \left(\sum_{n=1}^p a_n z_n \right) \right| < \left| f(a_{n_0} z_{n_0}) \right| + \left| f \left(\sum_{n > n_0} a_n z_n \right) \right| \leq 2$ which yields the desired result. \square

Corollary II.4.8. The space JT_G is not reflexive. In particular, for every infinite subset N of \mathbb{N} the subspace X_N of JT_G generated by the subsequence $(e_n)_{n \in N}$ of the basis $(e_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ contains a subspace isomorphic to c_0 .

Indeed, for every sequence $(n_k)_k$ we have that $P_\sigma(e_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ for every σ and from the previous proposition we have the result.

The last result of the section is the following proposition.

Proposition II.4.9. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence such that $\|P_\sigma(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every branch $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Then there exists a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in M}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that for every block sequence $(y_n)_{n \in N}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in M}$, where each y_n is an $(2, n)$ -average of $(x_n)_{n \in M}$, it holds that $\lim_n \|y_n\| = 0$.

Proof. From Theorem II.4.1 we get a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in M}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying upper $\mathcal{S}^{(2)}$ -estimates with constant 3. Let $y_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k x_k$ be an $(2, n)$ -average of $(x_n)_{n \in M}$. From the properties of the repeated averages we get that for every $G \in \mathcal{S}_1$ it holds $\sum_{k \in G} a_k^2 \leq 3/p_n$ where $p_n = \min \text{supp}(x_{\min F_n})$. It follows that

$$\|y_n\| = \left\| \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k x_k \right\| \leq \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{k \in G} a_k^2 \right)^{1/2} : G \in \mathcal{S}_1 \right\} \leq (3/p_n)^{1/2}.$$

The above inequality yields the result. \square

II.5. THE ℓ_2 -VECTOR OF A BLOCK SEQUENCE

In this section we present the definition of the ℓ_2 -vectors, which are analogous to ℓ_p -vectors that appeared in the study of the space $JT_{2,p}$.

Definition II.5.1. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G . A decreasing sequence $(c_i)_{i \in N}$, where N is either an initial finite interval of \mathbb{N} or the set

of the natural numbers, is said to be the ℓ_2 -vector of the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with associated set of branches $\mathcal{B} = (\sigma_i)_{i \in N}$ if

$$\lim_n \|P_{\sigma_i} x_n\| = c_i > 0 \text{ for every } i \in N \text{ and } \lim_n \|P_{\sigma} x_n\| = 0 \text{ for every } \sigma \neq \sigma_i, i \in N.$$

If $\lim_n \|P_{\sigma} x_n\| = 0$ for every branch σ , the ℓ_2 -vector of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the zero one and and the associated set of branches \mathcal{B} is the empty one.

Proposition II.5.2. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G . Then, $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ either has a subsequence admitting an upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate or it has a subsequence accepting a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector.

For the proof we need the following lemma which is a variation of Lemma I.3.3.

Lemma II.5.3. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G such that there exists a branch $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ with $\limsup_n \|P_{\sigma}(x_n)\| = c > 0$. Then there exist a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, an at most countable set of distinct branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in N}$ and a decreasing sequence $(c_i)_{i \in N} \in B_{\ell_2}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \in L} \|P_{\sigma_i} x_n\| = c_i > 0 \text{ for all } i \in N \text{ and } \lim_{n \in L} \|P_{\sigma} x_n\| = 0 \text{ for all } \sigma \neq \sigma_i, i \in N. \quad (32)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma I.3.3. We start with the following observation which is critical for our result. Using Lemma II.3.11 it follows that for every choice of distinct branches $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ we have that

$$\limsup_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|P_{\sigma_i} x_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sup_n \|x_n\| = 1. \quad (33)$$

Combining (33) and Remark II.3.2 we get that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and every subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ there exist at most $k \leq d(\epsilon) = \lfloor 2/\epsilon^2 \rfloor + 1$ distinct branches $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k$ such that $\lim_{n \in L} \|P_{\sigma_i} x_n\| = c_i \geq \epsilon$ and $\limsup_{n \in L} \|P_{\sigma} x_n\| < \epsilon$ for all $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$. Let $\epsilon_j \searrow 0$. Continuing as in Lemma I.3.3, we get a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, an at most countable set of branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in N}$ and a decreasing sequence $(c_i)_{i \in N}$ such that (32) holds.

We show now that $(c_i)_{i \in N} \in B_{\ell_2}$. On the contrary assume that for some finite subset F of \mathbb{N} it holds $\sum_{i \in F} c_i^2 > 1$. Chose $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho > \#F$, such that the final segments $\sigma_{i, > \rho}$, $i \in F$ are incomparable and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $(1 - \epsilon)(\sum_{i \in F} c_i^2)^{1/2} > 1$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $\min \text{supp } x_n > \max\{\min \sigma_{i, > \rho} : i \in F\}$ and $\|P_{\sigma_i}(x_n)\| = \|P_{\sigma_{i, > \rho}}(x_n)\| > (1 - \epsilon)c_i$ for every $i \in F$. Setting $\mathcal{B}_F = \{\sigma_i : i \in F\}$ and using Lemma II.3.11 we get

$$\|x_n\| \geq \|P_{\mathcal{B}_F, > \rho}(x_n)\| = \left(\sum_{i \in F} \|P_{\sigma_{i, > \rho}} x_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq (1 - \epsilon) \left(\sum_{i \in F} c_i^2 \right)^{1/2} > 1$$

a contradiction. \square

Proof of Proposition II.5.2. If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in L}$ with ℓ_2 vector the zero one then Theorem II.4.1 yields the result. Otherwise Lemma II.5.3 yields a subsequence accepting non-zero ℓ_2 -vector. \square

For the rest of Part II, we will assume that a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector is an infinitely supported vector of ℓ_2 . The cases that the ℓ_2 -vector is finitely supported are treated in similar manner and in most cases the proofs are simpler.

Lemma II.5.4. Let $(x_n)_n$ be bounded block sequence admitting a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $\liminf_n \|x_n\| \geq \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2}$.

Proof. Let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the set of the associated branches, I be an initial interval of \mathbb{N} . Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l}$, $i \in I$, are incomparable and $\#I < l$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Using the definition of the ℓ_2 -vector we choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|P_{\sigma_i}(x_n)\| \geq (1 - \epsilon)c_i$ for every $i \in I$ and every $n \geq n_0$. Then for every x_n such that $\text{minsupp}(x_n) \geq \max\{\min \sigma_{i, > l} : i \in I\}$, Lemma II.3.11 yields

$$\|x_n\| \geq \|P_{\mathcal{B}_{I, > l}}(x_n)\| = \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|P_{\sigma_{i, > l}}(x_n)\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq (1 - \epsilon) \left(\sum_{i \in I} c_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since the above inequality holds for any $\epsilon > 0$ and every initial segment of \mathbb{N} we get that $\liminf_n \|x_n\| \geq \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2}$. \square

II.6. UPPER ℓ_2 -ESTIMATES IN JT_G .

In this section we shall prove that every normalized block sequence contains a subsequence which admits an upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 9. We start with some lemmas concerning the ℓ_2 -vectors.

Lemma II.6.1. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence with a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Let $(I_k)_k$ be a strictly increasing sequence of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} and $\epsilon_k \searrow 0$. Then, there exist $n_k \nearrow +\infty$ and $(l_k)_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) $(1 - \epsilon_k)c_i \leq \|P_{\sigma_i}x_n\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k)c_i$ for every $i \in I_k$ and $n \geq n_k$,
- (ii) the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l_k}$, $i \in I_k$ are incomparable, $\#I_k < l_k$, and $\max\{\min \sigma_{i, > l_k} : i \in I_k\} < \text{minsupp}(x_{n_k})$.

In particular, setting $\bar{x}_{n_k} = P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}(x_{n_k})$ the following holds:

$$\lim_k \|\bar{x}_{n_k}\| = \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} \text{ and } \lim_k \|P_{\sigma}(x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k})\| = 0 \text{ for every branch } \sigma.$$

Moreover the sequence $(x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k})_k$ admits an upper $\mathcal{S}_1^{(2)}$ -estimate with constant 6.

Proof. Using the definition of the ℓ_2 -vector and that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a block sequence, we choose inductively $n_k \nearrow +\infty$ and $(l_k)_k \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that (i) and (ii) holds. Let $\bar{x}_{n_k} = P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}(x_{n_k})$. From (ii) and Lemma II.3.7 we get

$$\|\bar{x}_{n_k}\| = \left(\sum_{i \in I_k} \|P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k})\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using (i) it follows that

$$(1 - \epsilon_k) \left(\sum_{i \in I_k} c_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|\bar{x}_{n_k}\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k) \left(\sum_{i \in I_k} c_i^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The above inequalities, using that $\#I_k \nearrow \infty$, yield that $\|\bar{x}_{n_k}\| \xrightarrow[k]{} \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2}$.

Note also that $(\bar{x}_{n_k})_k$ has as ℓ_2 -vector the $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the same set of associated branches. Indeed from (ii) it follows that for every σ_i ,

$$\lim_k \|P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_k})\| = \lim_k \|P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k})\| = c_i.$$

If $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$ for every i , from (ii) we get that for every k there exists at most one $i_k \in I_k$ such that $\sigma \cap \sigma_{i_k, > l_k} \neq \emptyset$. If such i_k exists,

$$P_{\sigma}(\bar{x}_{n_k}) = P_{\sigma}P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}(x_{n_k}) = P_{\sigma}P_{\sigma_{i_k}}(x_{n_k}).$$

Otherwise $P_{\sigma}(\bar{x}_{n_k}) = 0$. It follows that

$$\|P_{\sigma}(\bar{x}_{n_k})\| \leq \|P_{\sigma_{i_k}}(\bar{x}_{n_k})\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k)c_{i_k} \leq 2c_{i_k}. \quad (34)$$

Since for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$, we have that for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\text{there exist at most finitely many } k \text{ such that } i \in I_k \text{ and } \sigma_{i, > l_k} \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset. \quad (35)$$

Combining (35) and (34) it follows that $\lim_k \|P_\sigma \bar{x}_{n_k}\| = 0$ for every $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

We show now that $\lim_k \|P_\sigma(x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k})\| = 0$. If $\sigma = \sigma_i$ for some i , then for every $k > i$, from (ii) we get

$$P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k}) = P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k}) - P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k}) = 0.$$

If $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} P_\sigma(x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k}) &= P_\sigma(x_{n_k}) - P_\sigma P_{\sigma_{i_k}}(x_{n_k}) \Rightarrow \\ \|P_\sigma(x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k})\| &\leq \|P_\sigma(x_{n_k})\| + 2c_{i_k} \xrightarrow[k]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

The moreover part follows from Theorem II.4.1 using that $\|x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k}\| \leq 2$. \square

Definition II.6.2. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence with a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. We say that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is skipped block with respect to the branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ if for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $n \geq i$ there exists $j_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{ran}(x_n) < \text{ran}(\phi_{j_n}^{\sigma_i}) < \text{ran}(x_{n+1})$.

Lemma II.6.3. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence with a non-zero ℓ_2 vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

- a) $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is skipped block with respect to the branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.
- b) for every branch σ_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} a_k P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k}) \right\| = \left(\sum_{k=i}^{\infty} a_k^2 \|P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k})\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. a) Using that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is block sequence it readily follows that for every branch σ_i and every subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ we can choose a further subsequence such that for every k , $\text{ran}(x_{n_k}) < \text{ran} \phi_{l_k}^{\sigma_i} < \text{ran}(x_{n_{k+1}})$ for some l_k . Using a diagonal argument it is easily follows that we can choose a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is skipped block with respect to the branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

b) For every branch σ_i , using that $(x_{n_k})_{k=i}^{\infty}$ is skipped block with respect to the σ_i , we get that $P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k})_{k=i}^{\infty}$ is also skipped block w.r the $(x_j^{\sigma_i})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since by Lemma II.3.4, $(x_j^{\sigma_i})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is 1-equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 it follows that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} a_k P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k}) \right\| = \left(\sum_{k=i}^{\infty} a_k^2 \|P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k})\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

\square

Lemma II.6.4. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence with a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Then there exist a strictly increasing sequence $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} and a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that setting $\bar{x}_{n_k} = P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}(x_{n_k})$ the following holds:

a) for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every block sequence $(\bar{w}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(\bar{x}_{n_k})_k$ such that $\bar{w}_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k \bar{x}_{n_k}$ is an ℓ_2 -convex combination, there exists n_0 such that for every initial interval I of \mathbb{N} containing I_{n_0} there exists $n(I) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n(I)$,

$$\|\bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I}(\bar{w}_n)\| < \epsilon.$$

- b) the sequence $(\bar{x}_{n_k})_k$ admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 3.

Proof. From Lemma I.3.8 we get a strictly increasing sequence $(I_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} such that for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_{n_0}} \left(\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I_{n-1}} c_i^2 \right)^{1/2} < \epsilon/2. \quad (36)$$

In particular we may assume that $\sum_{n > 1} (\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I_{n-1}} c_i^2)^{1/2} < 1$.

Let $\epsilon_k \searrow 0$, $\epsilon_1 < 1$. Using the definition of the ℓ_2 -vector and that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a block sequence, we choose inductively $n_k, l_k \nearrow +\infty$ such that

- (i) $(1 - \epsilon_k)c_i \leq \|P_{\sigma_i} x_n\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k)c_i$ for every $i \in I_k$ and $n \geq n_k$.
- (ii) The final segments $\sigma_{i, > l_k}, i \in I_k$ are incomparable, $\#I_k < l_k$ and $\text{minsupp}(x_{n_k}) > \max\{\min \sigma_{i, > l_k} : i \in I_k\}$.
- (iii) $(x_{n_k})_k$ is skipped block w.r the branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

To prove a) let $\epsilon > 0$ and n_0 be given by (36). Let I be an initial interval of \mathbb{N} containing I_{n_0} and let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_{k_0-1} \subsetneq I \subset I_{k_0}$. Let $n(I) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n(I) \geq k_0$ and $\min F_n > k_0$ for every $n \geq n(I)$.

Let $\bar{w}_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k \bar{x}_{n_k}$ be an ℓ_2 -average of $(\bar{x}_{n_k})_k$, $n \geq n(I)$. Property (ii) yields that for every $\ell \in I$ and every $k_r \in F_n$ it holds that $P_{\sigma_\ell}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) = P_{\sigma_\ell} P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{k_r}, > l_{k_r}}} (x_{n_{k_r}}) = P_{\sigma_\ell}(x_{n_{k_r}})$. Using that for every r ,

$$I_{k_r} = I \cup (I_{k_0} \setminus I) \cup (I_{k_r} \setminus I_{k_0}) = I \cup (I_{k_0} \setminus I) \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^r I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{x}_{n_{k_r}} &= P_{\mathcal{B}_I}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) + P_{\mathcal{B}_{(I_{k_0} \setminus I)}}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) + \sum_{j=1}^r P_{\mathcal{B}_{(I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}})}}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \\ &= P_{\mathcal{B}_I}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) + \sum_{i \in I_{k_0} \setminus I} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) + \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}} \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The above analysis yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I} \bar{w}_n &= \sum_{r=1}^d a_{k_r} P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{k_r}}}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) - \sum_{r=1}^d a_{k_r} P_{\mathcal{B}_I}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^d a_{k_r} \sum_{i \in I_{k_0} \setminus I} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) + \sum_{r=1}^d a_{k_r} \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}} \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I_{k_0} \setminus I} \sum_{r=1}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}} \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

For $l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_{j-1}}$, and any vector $\sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}})$ from the above property (ii) and Lemma II.3.7 we get

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \left\| \sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (39)$$

From property (iii) and Lemma II.6.3b) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \right\| &= \left(\sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r}^2 \|P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}})\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_j}) c_i \left(\sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{using property (i)} \\
&\leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_j}) c_i \quad \text{using that } \sum_{r=1}^d a_{k_r}^2 \leq 1. \quad (40)
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (38),(39) and (40) we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I}(\bar{w}_n)\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{i \in I_{k_0} \setminus I} \sum_{r=1}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \right\| + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_j}} \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \right\| \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{i \in I_{k_0} \setminus I} \sum_{r=1}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \right\| + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{l \in I_{k_j} \setminus I_{k_j}} \left\| \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \sum_{r=j}^d a_{k_r} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_{k_r}}) \right\| \\
&\leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_0}) \left(\sum_{i \in I_{k_0} \setminus I} c_i^2 \right)^{1/2} + \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{l=k_{j-1}+1}^{k_j} (1 + \epsilon_{k_j}) \left(\sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} c_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_0}) \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N} \setminus I_{n_0}} \left(\sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} c_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2 \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \quad \text{by (36)}. \quad (41)
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of a).

b) We have that $\bar{x}_{n_k} = P_{\mathcal{B}_k} x_{n_k}$. As in (37) we get that

$$\bar{x}_{n_k} = \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_k}) \quad (I_0 = \emptyset),$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^d a_k \bar{x}_{n_k} &= \sum_{k=1}^d a_k \sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_k}) \\
&= \sum_{i \in I_1} \sum_{k=1}^d a_k P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_k}) + \sum_{l=2}^d \sum_{i \in I_l \setminus I_{l-1}} \sum_{k=l}^d a_k P_{\sigma_i}(\bar{x}_{n_k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Working as in (41) we get that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^d a_k \bar{x}_{n_k} \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^d a_k^2 \right)^{1/2} (1 + \epsilon_1) 2.$$

This yields the desired result. \square

Proposition II.6.5. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G . Then there exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_n$ that admits upper ℓ_2 estimate with constant 9.

Proof. Let us observe that in the case there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ such that $\lim \|P_{\sigma}(x_{n_k})\| = 0$ for every branch σ it follows from Theorem II.4.1 that there exists a further subsequence that admits upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate with constant 3. Hence it

suffices to prove the proposition in the case where the sequence admits a non-zero ℓ_2 vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated branches. Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is skipped block with respect to the branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$ such that $\sum_n \epsilon_n < 1$. Passing to a further subsequence if necessary we may assume that the conclusion of Lemmas II.6.1 and II.6.4 holds i.e. there exists a strictly increasing sequence $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} such that setting $\bar{x}_{n_k} = P_{\mathcal{B}_k} \bar{x}_{n_k}$ the following holds

- (1) the sequence $(\bar{x}_{n_k})_k$ admits an upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 3,
- (2) setting $y_{n_k} = x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k}$ it holds $P_\sigma(y_{n_k}) \rightarrow 0$ for every branch σ .

From Theorem II.4.1 passing to a further subsequence we may assume that $(y_{n_k})_k$ admits upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate with constant 6. Since $x_{n_k} = \bar{x}_{n_k} + y_{n_k}$ we get that for every b_1, \dots, b_m ,

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m b_k x_{n_k} \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m b_k \bar{x}_{n_k} \right\| + \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m b_k y_{n_k} \right\| \leq 9 \left(\sum_{k=1}^m b_k^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

The above inequality yields that $(x_{n_k})_k$ admits upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 9. \square

Proposition II.6.6. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence admitting non-zero ℓ_2 -vector. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 .

Proof. From Proposition II.6.5 we get a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that admits an upper ℓ_2 -estimate with constant 9 i.e.

$$\left\| \sum_k b_k x_{n_k} \right\| \leq 9 \left(\sum_k b_k^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \forall (b_k)_k. \quad (42)$$

Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ admits a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector there exist a branch σ and $c > 0$ such that $\lim_k \|P_\sigma(x_{n_k})\| = c$. Passing to a further subsequence we may assume that $\|P_\sigma(x_{n_k})\| > c/2$ for every k and that $(x_{n_k})_k$ is skipped w.r. the branch σ . This yields that $(P_\sigma(x_{n_k}))_k$ is also skipped block sequence of $(x_n^\sigma)_n$. Since $(x_n^\sigma)_n$ is isometric to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_k b_k x_{n_k} \right\| &\geq \left\| P_\sigma \left(\sum_k b_k x_{n_k} \right) \right\| = \left\| \sum_k b_k P_\sigma(x_{n_k}) \right\| \\ &= \left(\sum_k b_k^2 \|P_\sigma(x_{n_k})\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{c}{2} \left(\sum_k b_k^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

From (43) and (42) we conclude that $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . \square

Corollary II.6.7. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a normalized block sequence in JT_G . Then there exists a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_k$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is either equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 or c_0 embeds in the subspace generated by that subsequence.

Indeed if $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence admitting a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector then Proposition II.6.6 yields a further subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . On the opposite, Proposition II.4.7 yields that c_0 embeds in the subspace generated by every subsequence of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

II.7. HILBERTIAN SUBSPACES OF JT_G .

In this section we provide a characterization of the subspaces of JT_G which are isomorphic to ℓ_2 and we show that each such subspace is complemented in JT_G . We start with some preparatory lemmas.

Lemma II.7.1. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized block sequence having a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Then, there exists a subsequence $(x_n)_{n \in N}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that if $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a block sequence of $(x_n)_{n \in N}$ consisting of $(2, n)$ averages the following holds:

- a) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an initial interval $I(\epsilon)$ of \mathbb{N} such that for every initial segment I of \mathbb{N} containing $I(\epsilon)$ there exists $n(I) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|w_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I} w_n\| < \epsilon$ for every $n \geq n(I)$,
- b) the sequence $(w_n)_n$ has the same ℓ_2 -vector and associated branches as $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$,
- c) $\|w_n\| \rightarrow \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2}$.

Proof. Passing if necessary to a subsequence we may assume that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is skipped with respect to the branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. From Lemmas II.6.1 and II.6.4 we get sequences $n_k, l_k \nearrow +\infty$, a strictly increasing sequence $(I_k)_k$ of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} and $\epsilon_k \searrow 0$ such that the following holds:

- (i) $(1 - \epsilon_k)c_i \leq \|P_{\sigma_i} x_n\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k)c_i$ for every $i \in I_k$ and $n \geq n_k$,
- (ii) the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l_k}$, $i \in I_k$, are incomparable, $\#I_k < l_k$ and $\text{minsupp}(x_{n_k}) > \max\{\min \sigma_{i, > l_k} : i \in I_k\}$,
- (iii) Setting $\bar{x}_{n_k} = P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}(x_{n_k})$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and for every block sequence $(\bar{w}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $(\bar{x}_{n_k})_k$ such that $\bar{w}_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k \bar{x}_{n_k}$ is an ℓ_2 -convex combination there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every initial segment I of \mathbb{N} containing I_{n_0} there exists $n(I) \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|\bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I}(\bar{w}_n)\| < \epsilon \quad \forall n > n(I).$$

- (iv) Setting $y_{n_k} = x_{n_k} - \bar{x}_{n_k}$ it holds that the sequence $(y_{n_k})_k$ admits upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimation with constant 6.

We choose a block sequence $(w_n)_n$ of $(x_{n_k})_k$ consisting of $(2, n)$ -averages, $w_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k x_{n_k}$. We set $\bar{w}_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k \bar{x}_{n_k}$ and $v_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k y_{n_k}$. Then $w_n = \bar{w}_n + v_n$. Using property (iv) of the sequence $(y_{n_k})_k$ we get as in Proposition II.4.9 that $\|v_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

a) Let $\epsilon > 0$ and I_{n_0} be the initial segment we get from property (iii). Set $I(\epsilon) = I_{n_0}$. We have that for every initial segment I of \mathbb{N} containing I_{n_0} there exists $n(I) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|\bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I} \bar{w}_n\| < \epsilon/2$ for every $n \geq n(I)$. We may also assume that $\|v_n\| < \epsilon/4$ for every $n \geq n(I)$. Then

$$\|w_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I}(w_n)\| \leq \|\bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I} \bar{w}_n\| + \|v_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I} v_n\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2\frac{\epsilon}{4} = \epsilon.$$

b) Let $\sigma \neq \sigma_i$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(w_n)\| \rightarrow 0$. On the contrary assume that for some $\epsilon > 0$ and $M \in [\mathbb{N}]$ it holds $\|P_\sigma(w_n)\| > \epsilon$ for every $n \in M$. Since $\|v_n\| \rightarrow 0$ we may assume that $\|P_\sigma(\bar{w}_n)\| > \epsilon$ for every $n \in M$. Using (iii) we choose an interval I_{n_0} such that $\|\bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(\bar{w}_n)\| < \epsilon/2$. Choose $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l}, \sigma_{i, > l}$, $i \in I_{n_0}$ are incomparable. Choose also $n(\epsilon)$ such that $\text{minsupp}(x_{n_k}) > l$ for every $k \in F_n$ and $n \geq n(\epsilon)$. This yields that $P_\sigma(P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(\bar{w}_n)) = 0$ and hence

$$\epsilon < \|P_\sigma(\bar{w}_n)\| = \|P_\sigma(\bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(\bar{w}_n))\| \leq \|\bar{w}_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(\bar{w}_n)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad \text{a contradiction.}$$

Therefore $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(w_n)\| \rightarrow 0$.

We show now that $\lim_n \|P_{\sigma_i}(w_n)\| = c_i$ for every branch σ_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose a branch σ_i . Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is skipped block with respect to the branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$,

from Lemma II.6.3 we get that for every $n > i$,

$$\|P_{\sigma_i}(w_n)\| = \left\| \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k}) \right\| = \left(\sum_{k \in F_n} a_k^2 \|P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k})\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \xrightarrow{n} c_i$$

since $\|P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k})\| \rightarrow c_i$.

From the above we get that $(w_n)_n$ has ℓ_2 -vector and associated set of branches the same with $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

c) We show that $\lim_n \|w_n\| = \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2}$.

From b) and Lemma II.5.4 we get that $\|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \liminf \|w_n\|$. Assume on the contrary that $\limsup \|w_n\| > \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2}$. Hence passing to a subsequence we may assume that for some $\epsilon > 0$ it holds $\|w_n\| > \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} + \epsilon$ for every n .

From the proof of a) we have an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every initial interval of \mathbb{N} containing I_{n_0} there exists $n(i)$ such that $\|w_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I}(w_n)\| < \epsilon/4$ for every $n \geq n(I)$. It follows that

$$\epsilon + \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} < \|w_n\| \leq \|w_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(w_n)\| + \|P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(w_n)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \|P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(w_n)\|. \quad (44)$$

By (ii) we have that the final segments $\sigma_{i, > l_{n_0}}, i \in I_{n_0}$, are incomparable and $\#I_{n_0} < l_{n_0}$. Choose $k_0 > n_0$ such that

$$\epsilon_{k_0} \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} < \frac{\epsilon}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{minsupp } x_{n_k} > \max\{\min \sigma_{i, > l_{n_0}} : i \in I_{n_0}\} \forall k \geq k_0.$$

Then for every n such that $\min F > k_0$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(w_n)\| &= \left\| \sum_{i \in I_{n_0}} \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k}) \right\| \\ &= \left(\sum_{i \in I_{n_0}} \left\| \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k}) \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{by Lemma II.3.7} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i \in I_{n_0}} \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k^2 \|P_{\sigma_i}(x_{n_k})\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{by Lemma II.6.3} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i \in I_{n_0}} (1 + \epsilon_{k_0})^2 c_i^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_0}) \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} \quad \text{by (i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above inequality with (44) we get

$$\epsilon + \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} < \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \|P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{n_0}}}(w_n)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4} + (1 + \epsilon_{k_0}) \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2}$$

a contradiction. Therefore

$$\|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} \leq \liminf \|w_n\| \leq \limsup \|w_n\| \leq \|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2}$$

and this completes the proof. \square

Lemma II.7.2. Let $(x_n)_n$ be a normalized weakly null sequence with a non-zero ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and let \mathcal{B} be the associated set of branches. Then, if $(y_n)_n$ is a block sequence such that $\lim_n \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$, the sequence $(y_n)_n$ also has $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ as an ℓ_2 -vector and the same set of associated branches as $(x_n)_n$.

Proof. The proof is immediate consequence of the triangle inequality and the fact that $\|P_{\sigma}(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ for every branch σ . \square

Notation II.7.3. Let $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$ and Y be a subspace of JTG . We shall say that a seminormalized block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to a sequence in Y if there exists a normalized weakly null sequence $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y such that $\|y_n - x_n\| < \epsilon_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition II.7.4. Let Y be a subspace of JT_G with the property that for every finite set of branches $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ there exists a seminormalized block sequence $(x_n)_n$ equivalent to a sequence in Y , admitting an ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_2}$ with associated branches $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, satisfying the following

- (1) $\lim_n \|P_T x_n\| = 0$,
- (2) for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an initial interval $I(\epsilon)$ of \mathbb{N} such that for every initial segment I of \mathbb{N} containing $I(\epsilon)$ there exists $n(I) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I} x_n\| < \epsilon$ for every $n \geq n(I)$.

Then, there exists a normalized sequence $(y_n)_n \in Y$ such that $\|P_\sigma(y_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ for every branch σ .

Proof. Let $\epsilon_k \searrow 0$ and $\sum_k \epsilon_k < 1$. From the hypothesis we get a seminormalized block sequence $(x_n^1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ equivalent to a sequence in Y , admitting an ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i^1)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_2}$. Let $(\sigma_i^1)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Choose an initial interval I_1 of \mathbb{N} such that $\|x_n^1 - P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_1}} x_n^1\| < \epsilon_1$ for every $n \geq n(1)$. Using the definition of the ℓ_2 -vector we may also assume that

$$(1 - \epsilon_1)c_i^1 \leq \|P_{\sigma_i^1} x_n^1\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_1)c_i^1 \text{ for every } i \in I_1 \text{ and } n \geq n(1).$$

Set $T_1 = \mathcal{B}_{I_1}$. From the hypothesis we get that there exists a seminormalized block sequence $(x_n^2)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ equivalent to a sequence in Y satisfying $\lim_n \|P_{T_1}(x_n^2)\| = 0$ and admitting an ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i^2)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_2}$. Let $(\sigma_i^2)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Choose an initial interval I_2 of \mathbb{N} , $I_1 \subsetneq I_2$, such that $\|x_n^2 - P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_2}} x_n^2\| < \epsilon_2$ for every $n \geq n(2)$. Using the definition of the ℓ_2 -vector we may also assume that

$$(1 - \epsilon_2)c_i^2 \leq \|P_{\sigma_i^2} x_n^2\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_2)c_i^2 \text{ for every } i \in I_2 \text{ and } n \geq n(2).$$

Set $T_2 = \cup_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{B}_{I_i}$. Continuing we choose inductively block sequences $(x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a strictly increasing sequence $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} and $n(k) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for every k , $(x_n^k)_n$ is equivalent to a sequence in Y , admits an ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i^k)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_2}$ such that if $(\sigma_i^k)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the associated set of branches then

- (i) $\lim_n \|P_{T_{k-1}} x_n^k\| = 0$, where $T_{k-1} = \cup_{l \leq k-1} \mathcal{B}_{I_l}$,
- (ii) $\|x_n^k - P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}} x_n^k\| < \epsilon_k$ for every $n \geq n(k)$,
- (iii) $(1 - \epsilon_k)c_i^k \leq \|P_{\sigma_i^k} x_n^k\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_k)c_i^k$ for every $i \in I_k$ and $n \geq n(k)$.

Properties (i) and (iii) implies that the sets \mathcal{B}_{I_k} are pairwise disjoint. Applying Lemma I.4.2 we get $K \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $(l_k)_{k \in K}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall k < k_1 < k_2 \in K \text{ and every } i \leq \max I_k \\ & \text{the final segments } \sigma_{i, > l_{k_1}}^{k_1}, \sigma_{i, > l_{k_2}}^{k_2} \text{ are incomparable.} \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

For every $k_j \in K$ choose $x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j} \in (x_n^{k_j})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $n_{k_j} > \max\{n_{k_{j-1}}, n(k_j)\}$, such that

- (iv) $p_j = \text{minsupp} x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j} > l_{k_j}$,
- (v) the final segments $\sigma_{i, \geq p_j}^{k_j}, i \in I_{k_j}$ are incomparable.

Let us observe, using that the ℓ_p -vectors are decreasing sequences, that

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } i(\epsilon) \text{ such that } c_i^k < \epsilon \text{ for every } i \geq i(\epsilon) \text{ and every } k. \tag{46}$$

Claim: For every branch σ it holds that $\lim_j \|P_\sigma(x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j})\| = 0$.

Proof of Claim. On the contrary assume that there exist $\epsilon > 0$ and $J \in [\mathbb{N}]$ such that $\|P_\sigma(x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j})\| > \epsilon$ for every $j \in J$. Set $z_j = P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_{k_j}}}(x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the above property (ii) we may assume that $\|P_\sigma z_j\| > \epsilon/2$ for every $j \in J$.

Let $j_0 \in J$ be such that $[1, i(\epsilon/4)] \subset I_{k_{j_0}}$. Then if $j > j_0$ from (iv) and (v) we get that there exists a unique $i(k_j) \in I_{k_j}$ such that $P_\sigma(z_j) = P_\sigma(P_{\sigma_{i(k_j)}^{k_j}} x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j})$. It follows

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2} \leq \|P_\sigma z_j\| = \|P_\sigma(P_{\sigma_{i(k_j)}^{k_j}} x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j})\| \leq (1 + \epsilon_{k_j})c_{i(k_j)}^{k_j} < 2c_{i(k_j)}^{k_j},$$

using the above property (iii) and that $\epsilon_k < 1$ for every k . Since $c_i^{k_j} < \epsilon/4$ for every $i \geq i(\epsilon/4)$, from (46) we get that $i(k_j) < i(\epsilon/4)$. Passing to a subsequence we may assume that $i(k_j) = i < i(\epsilon/4)$ for every j . The above property implies that for $j_0 < j_1 < j_2$ it holds that $\sigma \cap \sigma_{i, > l_{k_{j_1}}^{k_{j_1}}} \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$, a contradiction since by (45) these final segments are incomparable. \square

From the choice of the sequences $(x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that for every j there exists $y_j \in S_Y$ such that $\|x_{n_{k_j}}^{k_j} - y_j\| < \epsilon_{k_j}$. Since $\epsilon_{k_j} \searrow 0$ it follows that $(y_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a normalized sequence in Y such that $\lim_j \|P_\sigma(y_j)\| = 0$ for every branch σ . \square

A more careful inspection of the previous proof shows that ℓ_2 embeds in Y once we have an array of normalized block sequences with certain properties whose the ℓ_2 -vector is not necessarily normalized.

Proposition II.7.5. Let $(x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be an array of normalized block sequences having non-zero ℓ_2 -vectors $(c_i^k)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with associated set of branches $(\sigma_i^k)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, an $\epsilon_n \searrow 0$ and a strictly increasing sequence $(I_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of initial intervals of \mathbb{N} such that

- (1) The sets $\mathcal{B}_{I_k} = \{\sigma_i^k : i \in I_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are disjoint.
- (2) For every k, n , it holds that

$$\|P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}(x_n^k)\| > \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } \|x_n^k - P_{\mathcal{B}_{I_k}}(x_n^k)\| < \epsilon_k.$$

Then, there exists a sequence $(x_{n_j}^{k_j})_j \subset \{x_n^k : n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ satisfying $\lim_j \|P_\sigma(x_{n_j}^{k_j})\| = 0$ for every branch σ .

Sketch of the proof. The proof follows the arguments of the proof of Proposition II.7.4. By the assumptions we have that (ii) of the proof of Proposition II.7.4 holds. For every k passing to a subsequence we may assume that (iii) also holds. By (1) the sets \mathcal{B}_{I_k} , $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are disjoint and thus we may apply Lemma I.4.2 to get $K \in [\mathbb{N}]$ and $(l_k)_{k \in K}$ such that (45) holds. Continuing as in the proof of Proposition II.7.4 we get the subsequence $(x_{n_j}^{k_j})_j$ satisfying $\lim_j \|P_\sigma(x_{n_j}^{k_j})\| = 0$ for every branch σ . \square

Next, we prove the following proposition which is analogous to Proposition I.4.6. The proof we give is almost identical to the proof of Proposition I.4.6 and we present it for sake of completeness.

Proposition II.7.6. Let $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ be a finite set of branches and Y be a subspace of JT_G which contains a normalized weakly null sequence $(y_n)_n$ such that $\lim_n \|P_T y_n\| = 0$, and has an ℓ_2 -vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with norm $\|(c_i)_i\|_{\ell_2} = a \in (0, 1)$. Let $(\sigma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the associated set of branches. Then there exists a normalized weakly null sequence $(w_n)_n$ in Y such that

- a) the sequence $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has an ℓ_2 -vector $(d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in S_{\ell_2}$ with the same set of associated branches as $(y_n)_n$.
- b) $\lim_n \|P_T w_n\| = 0$.
- c) For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an initial interval $I(\epsilon)$ of \mathbb{N} such that for every initial segment I of \mathbb{N} containing $I(\epsilon)$ there exists $n(I) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|w_n - P_{\mathcal{B}_I} w_n\| < \epsilon$ for every $n \geq n(I)$.

Proof. From Lemma II.7.2 we may assume that $(y_n)_n$ is equivalent to a block sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Choose $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the final segments $\tau_{i, > l_0}$, $i \leq d$, are incomparable and $d < l_0$. Passing to a subsequence of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if necessary we may

assume that is skipped block w.r the branches $\tau_i, i \leq d$ and $\text{minsupp}(x_n) > l_0$ for every n . From Lemma II.7.1 passing to a further subsequence we get that for every block sequence $(z_n)_n$ of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where each z_n is a $(2, n)$ -average, $\|z_n\| \rightarrow a$ and moreover $(z_n)_n$ has the same ℓ_2 -vector and set of branches with $(y_n)_n$. Lemma II.7.1 also yields that $(z_n)_n$ satisfies property c).

We show that $\lim_n \|P_T(z_n)\| = 0$. Let $z_n = \sum_{k \in F_n} a_k x_k, n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma II.3.7 we get

$$\|P_T(x_n)\| = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \|P_{\tau_i}(x_n)\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Using the above equality and that $P_T(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|P_{\tau_i}(x_n)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{d}$ for every $i \leq d$ and every $n \geq n_0$. Using that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is skipped block w.r the branches $\tau_i, i \leq d$, it follows

$$\|P_{\tau_i}(\sum_{k \in F_n} a_k x_k)\| = \|\sum_{k \in F_n} a_k P_{\tau_i}(x_k)\| = \left(\sum_{k \in F_n} a_k^2 \|P_{\tau_i}(x_k)\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{d}.$$

From the triangle inequality we get

$$\|P_T(z_n)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \|P_{\tau_i}(\sum_{k \in F_n} a_k x_k)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Hence we have shown that $\lim_n \|P_T(z_n)\| = 0$. Set $v_n = a^{-1}z_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using that $(x_n)_n$ is equivalent to a sequence of Y by standard perturbations arguments, passing if necessary to a subsequence of $(v_n)_n$, we get a sequence $(w_n)_n$ in Y equivalent to $(v_n)_n$. From the above we get that the $(w_n)_n$ satisfies the conclusion. \square

We proceed now to the main result of this section.

Theorem II.7.7. Let Y be a subspace of JT_G such every normalized weakly null sequence has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . Then Y is a complemented subspace of JT_G isomorphic to ℓ_2 .

To prove the theorem we shall need the following proposition.

Proposition II.7.8. Let Y be a subspace of JT_G such every normalized weakly null sequence has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 . Then there exist a finite set of branches $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ and a finite codimensional subspace Y_0 of Y such that the bounded projection P_T , restricted on Y_0 is an isomorphism.

Proof. Assume that the conclusion does not hold. Then for every finite set of branches $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ and every finite codimensional subspace Y_0 of Y the restriction of P_T to Y_0 is not an isomorphism. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ set $H_k = \bigcap_{i=1}^k \ker(e_i^*)$ and $Y_k = Y \cap H_k$. Then inductively we choose normalized vectors $x_k \in Y_k$ such that $\|P_T x_k\| < k^{-1}$. It follows that the sequence $(x_k)_k$ is a normalized weakly null sequence and $\lim_k \|P_T x_k\| = 0$. Moreover our assumption yield that there exists a subsequence of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which admits an ℓ_2 vector $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with norm $\|(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}\|_{\ell_2} = a > 0$. From Proposition II.7.6 we conclude that the assumptions of Proposition II.7.4 are fulfilled. Hence the subspace Y contains a normalized weakly null sequence that admits upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate yielding a contradiction. \square

Proof of Theorem II.7.7. From the above proposition we get a finite codimensional subspace Y_0 of Y and a finite set of branches $T = \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_d\}$ such that the bounded projection $P_T|_{Y_0}$ is an isomorphism. The rest of the proof follows the same steps as the proof of Theorem I.4.8. \square

Combining the above we get the following.

Corollary II.7.9. Let Y be a subspace of JT_G . Then either Y is Hilbertian or c_0 is isomorphic to a subspace of Y . In particular every reflexive subspace of JT_G is Hilbertian and complemented.

Proof. Let Y be a subspace of JT_G such that c_0 does not embeds in Y and $(y_n)_n$ be a normalized weakly null sequence in Y . Passing to a subsequence we may assume that is equivalent to a block basis $(x_n)_n$. By Corollary II.6.7 $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence which is either equivalent to the unit vector of ℓ_2 or c_0 embeds in the subspace generated by the subsequence. The assumption excludes the later case. It follows that every normalized weakly null sequence in Y has a subsequence equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ_2 and hence Theorem II.7.7 yields that Y is Hilbertian and complemented in JT_G . \square

Remark II.7.10. All the results proved for the space JT_G remains valid if we consider a complete subtree of ϕ_n^σ , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ and the corresponding norming set G' . In particular if a complete subtree is well founded then for every normalized weakly null sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $JT_{G'}$ and every branch σ of the subtree it holds $\lim_n \|P_\sigma(x_n)\| = 0$, hence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has a subsequence that admits upper $S^{(2)}$ -estimate. This yields that the space $JT_{G'}$ is c_0 saturated.

REFERENCES

- [1] Albiac, F., Kalton, N. J., *Topics in Banach space theory*, Second edition., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 233. Springer, 2016.
- [2] Amemiya I. and Ito T., *Weakly null sequences in James spaces on trees*, Kodai Math. J. 4 (1981), 418–425.
- [3] Alspach, D. Argyros, S.A., *Complexity of weakly null sequences.*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 321 (1992).
- [4] Apatsidis, D., Argyros, S. A., Kanellopoulos, V. *On the subspaces of JF and JT with non-separable dual*. J. Funct. Anal. 254, No. 3, 632-674 (2008).
- [5] Apatsidis, D., Argyros, S. A., Kanellopoulos, V., *Hausdorff measures and functions of bounded quadratic variation*. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 363, No. 8, 4225-4262 (2011).
- [6] Argyros, S.A., Arvanitakis, A., Toliaas, A., *Saturated extensions, the attractors method and hereditarily James tree spaces.*, Methods in Banach space theory, 1-90, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 337, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [7] Argyros, S. A., Dodos, P., Kanellopoulos, V. *Unconditional families in Banach spaces*, Math. Ann. 341 (2008), no. 1, 15-38
- [8] Argyros, S.A., Georgiou, A., Lagos, A. R., Motakis, P., *Joint spreading models and uniform approximation of bounded operators*, Studia Math. 253, 57-107 (2020).
- [9] Argyros, S. A., Manoussakis, A., Pelczar-Barwacz, A., *On the hereditary proximity to ℓ_1* . J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), no. 5, 1145-1203.
- [10] Argyros, S. A., Manoussakis, A., Petrakis, M., *Function spaces not containing ℓ_1* . Israel J. Math. 135 (2003), 29–81
- [11] Argyros S.A, Mercourakis S. Tsarpalias A., *Convex unconditionality and summability of weakly null sequences* , Isr. J. Math. 107, 157-193 (1998).
- [12] Argyros, S. A., Raikoftsalis, T., *Banach spaces with a unique nontrivial decomposition.*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), no. 10, 3611-3620.
- [13] Argyros, S. A., Toliaas, A., *Methods in the theory of hereditarily indecomposable Banach spaces.*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 170 (2004), no. 806, vi+114 pp
- [14] Bellenot, S. F., Haydon, R., Odell, E., *Quasi-reflexive and tree spaces constructed in the spirit of R. C. James*. Banach space theory (Iowa City, IA, 1987), 19-43, Contemp. Math., 85, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [15] Brackebusch, R. E., *James space on general trees*. J. Funct. Anal. 79 (1988), no. 2, 446-475.
- [16] Cuellar Carera W., de Rancourt N., Ferenczi, V., *Local Banach-space dichotomies and ergodic spaces.*, preprint, arXiv:2005.06458
- [17] Edgar, G., Wheeler, R. F., *Topological properties of Banach spaces*. Pacific J. Math. 115 (1984), no. 2, 317-350.
- [18] Ferenczi V., Rosendal C., *Ergodic Banach spaces*. Adv. Math., 195 (1) (2005), 259-282.
- [19] Ferenczi, V., Rosendal, C., *Banach spaces without minimal subspaces*. J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009), no. 1, 149-193.
- [20] Ferenczi, V., Rosendal, C., *Banach spaces without minimal subspaces-examples*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 62 (2012), no. 2, 439-475.

- [21] Ghoussoub, N., Maurey, B. *G_δ -embeddings in Hilbert space.* J. Funct. Anal. 61 (1985), no. 1, 72-97.
- [22] Gowers, W. T., *A Banach space not containing c_0 , ℓ_1 or a reflexive subspace.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 344 (1994), no. 1, 407-420.
- [23] Gowers, W. T., *A new dichotomy for Banach spaces.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 6 (1996), no. 6, 1083-1093.
- [24] Gowers, W. T., *An infinite Ramsey theorem and some Banach-space dichotomies.*, Ann. of Math. (2) 156 (2002), no. 3, 797-833.
- [25] Gowers, W. T.; Maurey, B., *The unconditional basic sequence problem.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1993), no. 4, 851-874.
- [26] Hagler, J., *Nonseparable "James tree" analogues of the continuous functions on the Cantor set.* Studia Math. 61 (1977), no. 1, 41-53.
- [27] James R.C., *A separable somewhat reflexive Banach space with nonseparable dual,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), 738-743.
- [28] Lindenstrauss, J., Stegall, C. *Examples of separable spaces which do not contain ℓ_1 and whose duals are non-separable,* Studia Math. 54 (1975), 81-103.
- [29] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L. *Classical Banach spaces I: sequence spaces,* Springer Verlag (1977).
- [30] Maurey, B., Rosenthal, H. P. *Normalized weakly null sequence with no unconditional subsequence.*, Studia Math. 61 (1977), no. 1, 77-98.
- [31] Schachermayer, W., *Some more remarkable properties of the James-tree space.* Banach space theory (Iowa City, IA, 1987), 465-496, Contemp. Math., 85, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.

NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS, FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ZOGRAFOU CAMPUS, 157 80, ATHENS, GREECE
Email address: sargyros@math.ntua.gr

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, SCHOOL OF ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING, CAMPUS, 73100 CHANIA
Email address: amanousakis@isc.tuc.gr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, YORK UNIVERSITY, 4700 KEELE STREET, TORONTO, ONTARIO, M3J 1P3, CANADA
Email address: pmotakis@yorku.ca