

BOHR INEQUALITIES FOR UNIMODULAR BOUNDED FUNCTIONS ON SIMPLY CONNECTED DOMAINS

MOLLA BASIR AHAMED, VASUDEVARAO ALLU, AND HIMADRI HALDER

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$ be the class of analytic functions in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. The classical Bohr's inequality states that if a power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ converges in \mathbb{D} and $|f(z)| < 1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n \leq 1 \text{ for } r \leq \frac{1}{3}$$

and the constant $1/3$ cannot be improved. The constant $1/3$ is known as Bohr radius. In this paper, we study Bohr phenomenon for analytic as well as harmonic mappings on simply connected domains. We prove several sharp results on improved Bohr radius for analytic functions as well as for harmonic mappings on simply connected domains.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a simply connected domain containing the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ be the class of analytic functions f in Ω such that $|f(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \Omega$. The Bohr radius B_Ω for the class $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$B_\Omega := \sup \left\{ r \in (0, 1) : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n \leq 1 \text{ for all } f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega), z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{B}_\Omega$ given by $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in \mathbb{D} , its associated majorant series defined by $M_f(r) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n$. For $\Omega = \mathbb{D}$, it is proved that $B_{\mathbb{D}} = 1/3$, which is the classical Bohr radius. The classical result of Bohr [20], which inspired a lot in the recent years is in the following form after subsequent improvements due to M. Riesz, I. Schur, and N. Wiener.

Theorem 1.1. [20] *If $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then*

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n \leq 1 \text{ for } |z| = r \leq \frac{1}{3}.$$

The radius $1/3$ cannot be improved.

It is important to note that if $|f(z)| \leq 1$ in \mathbb{D} and $|f(z^*)| = 1$ for some z^* in \mathbb{D} , then $f(z)$ reduces to a unimodular constant. Thus, we restrict our attention to

File: Ahamed-Allu-Halder-P4.tex, printed: 2022-2-4, 13.11

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45, 30C50, 30C80.

Key words and phrases. Unimodular bounded functions, analytic functions, harmonic mappings; Simply connected domains, improved Bohr inequality, Bohr radius.

$|f(z)| < 1$. It is worth to point out that if $|a_0|$ in the equality (1.2) is replaced by $|a_0|^2$, then the radius $1/3$ could be replaced by $1/2$. Furthermore, if $a_0 = 0$ in Theorem 1.1, then the sharp radius can be improved to $1/\sqrt{2}$. In a more convenient way, we can demonstrate these facts that a minor change in the coefficients gives different sharp radius, and thus, sharp coefficient estimates which play a vital role to obtain the sharp radius. Therefore, proofs of these results and the inequality (1.2) relied on the sharp coefficient inequalities which may be obtained as an application of Pick's invariant form of Schwarz's lemma for $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{D})$

$$|f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 - |f(z)|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In particular, $|f'(0)| = |a_1| \leq 1 - |f(0)|^2 = 1 - |a_0|^2$ and hence from this, the sharp inequality $|a_n| \leq 1 - |a_0|^2$ follows for $n \geq 1$.

Studying the Bohr inequalities in recent years become an interesting topic of research in functions of one as well as several complex variables. The notion of Bohr inequality has been generalized to several complex variables, to planar harmonic mappings, to polynomials, to solutions of elliptic partial differential equations, and more abstract settings. In 1997, Boas and Khavinson [19] extended the Bohr inequality (1.2) to several complex variables by finding multidimensional Bohr radius. For more interesting aspects of Bohr inequalities in this particular direction, we refer the reader to [6, 7, 17, 19]. For various forms of Bohr inequalities we refer to (see [25, 26]). Many interesting multidimensional analogue of improved Bohr inequality have been obtained by Liu *et al.* (see [37]). Recently, Bohr inequalities for functions defined in a simply connected domain have been developed by Evdoridis *et al.* [23] (also see [4]). For various research works on Bohr inequality as stated above, we suggest the reader glance through the articles [5, 12, 14] and the references therein.

Let $\mathbb{D}(a, r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - a| < r\}$. For $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, we consider the disk Ω_γ defined by

$$\Omega_\gamma := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| z + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \right| < \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that Ω_γ always contains the unit disk \mathbb{D} . The following coefficient estimates for class $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_\gamma)$ are required to prove some of our results.

Lemma 1.3. [23] For $\gamma \in [0, 1)$, let

$$\Omega_\gamma := \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : \left| z + \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \right| < \frac{1}{1 - \gamma} \right\},$$

and let f be an analytic function in Ω_γ , bounded by 1, with the series representation $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in the unit disk \mathbb{D} . Then

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

In 2010, the notion of classical Bohr inequality $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n \leq 1$ has been generalized by Fournier and Ruscheweyh [24] to the class $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_\gamma)$. More precisely,

Theorem 1.4. [24] For $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, let $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_\gamma)$, with $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in \mathbb{D} . Then,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n \leq 1 \text{ for } r \leq \rho := \frac{1+\gamma}{3+\gamma}.$$

Moreover, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \rho^n = 1$ holds for a function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_\gamma)$ if, and only if, $f(z) = c$ with $|c| = 1$.

In 2010, Fournier and Ruscheweyh [24] proved the following result.

Theorem 1.5. [24] Let Ω be a simply connected domain which contains the unit disk \mathbb{D} and let

$$(1.6) \quad \lambda := \lambda(\Omega) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega), n \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{|a_n|}{1 - |a_0|^2} : a_0 \neq f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D} \right\}.$$

Then $1/(1+2\lambda) \leq \mathcal{B}_\Omega$ and the equality $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| (1/(1+2\lambda))^n = 1$ holds for a function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ if, and only if, $f \equiv c$ with $|c| = 1$.

A complex-valued function f in a simply connected domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is called a harmonic in Ω if it satisfies the Laplace equation $\Delta f = 4f_{z\bar{z}} = 0$. It is known that each harmonic mapping f has a canonical representation of the form $f = h + \bar{g}$ where h and g are analytic in a simply connected domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and this representation is unique up to an additive constant. A locally univalent function f is sens-preserving if its Jacobian $J_f(z) > 0$ in Ω , where J_f is defined by $J_f(z) = |h'(z)|^2 - |g'(z)|^2$. Every harmonic function f in \mathbb{D} has the following representation

$$(1.7) \quad f(z) = h(z) + \overline{g(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n + \overline{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n} \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In the recent years, harmonic extensions of the classical Bohr radius have been extensively studied by several authors and we refer the reader to glance through the articles [22, 28, 33, 35, 36].

2. MAIN RESULTS

Before we state an improved version of Theorem 1.4 for class $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_\gamma)$, we prove the following lemma. Let $Q(w)$ be a polynomial defined by

$$(2.1) \quad Q(w) = c_1 w + c_2 w^2 + \dots + c_m w^m \text{ for } c_j \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $g : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ be an analytic function and $\gamma \in \mathbb{D}$ be such that $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (z - \gamma)^n$ for $|z - \gamma| < 1 - |\gamma|$. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \rho^n + Q\left(\frac{S_\rho}{\pi}\right) \leq 1 \text{ for } \rho \leq \rho_0 = \frac{1 - |\gamma|^2}{3 + |\gamma|},$$

where S_ρ denotes the area of the image of the disk $\mathbb{D}(\gamma; \rho(1 - |\gamma|))$ under the mapping g and the non-negative real coefficients c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m of the polynomial $Q(w)$ given

by (2.1) satisfy

$$8c_1 \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^2 + 24c_2 \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^4 + \cdots + 8(2m-1)c_m \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^{2m} = 1.$$

In view of Lemma 2.2, we obtain the following improved version of Theorem 1.4. In deed, with an additional polynomial $Q(S_{r(\gamma-1)}/\pi)$ with the majorant series of f , we prove that the sum is still less than or equals to 1 for the radius $r_0 = (1+\gamma)/(3+\gamma)$. More precisely,

Theorem 2.3. For $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, let $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_\gamma)$ with $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in \mathbb{D} , then we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + Q\left(\frac{S_{r(\gamma-1)}}{\pi}\right) \leq 1 \text{ for } r \leq r_0 = \frac{1+\gamma}{3+\gamma},$$

where the coefficients of $Q(w)$ connected by the relations

$$8c_1 \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^2 + 24c_2 \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^4 + \cdots + 8(2m-1)c_m \left(\frac{3}{8}\right)^{2m} = 1.$$

Furthermore, the quantities c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m and $(1+\gamma)/(3+\gamma)$ can not be improved.

Let $P(w)$ be a polynomial of degree m defined by

$$(2.4) \quad P(w) = k_1 w + k_2 w^2 + \cdots + k_m w^m, \text{ where } k_j = \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{1+2\lambda}\right)^{2j}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Our next aim is to prove a more general version of Theorem 2.3, where an improved Bohr inequality is obtained for the analytic functions defined on a simply connected domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 2.5. Let Ω be a simply connected domain containing the unit disk \mathbb{D} and $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ with $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then for the polynomial $P(w)$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + P\left(\frac{S_r}{\pi}\right) \leq 1 \text{ for } r \leq \frac{1}{1+2\lambda},$$

where S_r denotes the area of the image of the disk $\mathbb{D}(0, r)$ under the mapping f and $P(w)$ is a polynomial given by (2.4). The equality $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + P(S_r/\pi) = 1$ holds for the function $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ if, and only if, f is an unimodular function i.e., $f \equiv c$ with $|c| = 1$.

Next, we show that if we replace $|a_n|$ by $|a_n| + \beta|a_n|^2$ in Theorem 2.5, then we obtain the same radius $1/(1+2\lambda)$ as we obtained in Theorem 2.5.

Theorem 2.6. Let Ω be a simply connected domain containing the unit disk \mathbb{D} and $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ with $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then for $0 \leq \beta \leq 1/\lambda$,

$$|a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n| + \beta|a_n|^2\right) r^n \leq 1 \text{ for } r \leq \frac{1}{1+2\lambda}.$$

The equality $|a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n| + \beta |a_n|^2 \right) (1/(1+2\lambda))^n = 1$ holds for a function $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ if, and only if, $f \equiv c$ with $|c| = 1$.

By refining the coefficients of functions in the class $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, we obtain the following interesting result.

Theorem 2.7. *Let Ω be a simply connected domain containing the unit disk \mathbb{D} and $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ with $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2\lambda(1+|a_0|)} + \frac{2(1+\lambda)r}{3(1-r)} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 r^{2n} \leq 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq \frac{1}{1+2\lambda}.$$

The equality

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \left(\frac{1}{1+2\lambda} \right)^n + \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2\lambda(1+|a_0|)} + \frac{2(1+\lambda)}{3 \cdot 2\lambda} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \left(\frac{1}{1+2\lambda} \right)^{2n} = 1$$

holds for a function $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ if, and only if, f is an unimodular function.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1.7) be harmonic in Ω_γ , with $|h(z)| + |g(z)| \leq 1$ in Ω_γ . Then*

$$|a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n| + |b_n| \right) r^n \leq 1 \quad \text{for } |z| = r \leq r_0 := \frac{1+\gamma}{3+\gamma}.$$

Theorem 2.9. *Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1.7) be harmonic in Ω_γ , with $|h(z)| + |g(z)| \leq 1$ in Ω_γ . Then*

$$\sqrt{|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2} r^n \leq 1 \quad \text{for } |z| = r \leq r_0 := \frac{1+\gamma}{3+\gamma}.$$

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\gamma \in [0, 1)$. Then it is easy to see that $z \in \mathbb{D}_\gamma := \mathbb{D}(\gamma; 1-\gamma)$ if, and only if, $w = (z-\gamma)/(1-\gamma) \in \mathbb{D}$. Then we have

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n (1-\gamma)^n \phi^n(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \phi^n(z) := G(\phi(z))$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}_\gamma$, where $b_n = \alpha_n (1-\gamma)^n$. A simple computation shows that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{S_\rho}{\pi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Area} \left(G(\mathbb{D}(0, \rho)) \right) \leq (1-|b_0|^2)^2 \frac{\rho^2}{(1-\rho^2)^2} = (1-|\alpha_0|^2)^2 \frac{\rho^2}{(1-\rho^2)^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \rho^n \leq \frac{1-|\alpha_0|^2}{1+\gamma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\rho}{1-\gamma} \right)^n = \frac{1-|\alpha_0|^2}{1+\gamma} \left(\frac{\rho}{1-\gamma-\rho} \right).$$

In view of (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\alpha_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_n| \rho^n + Q \left(\frac{S_\rho}{\pi} \right) \\ &= |\alpha_0| + \frac{(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)\rho}{(1 + \gamma)(1 - \gamma - \rho)} + \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \left(\frac{(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)\rho}{(1 - \rho^2)} \right)^{2j} \\ &= 1 + \Psi_1^\gamma(\rho), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Psi_1^\gamma(\rho) = \frac{(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)\rho}{(1 + \gamma)(1 - \gamma - \rho)} + \sum_{j=0}^m c_j \left(\frac{(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)\rho}{(1 - \rho^2)} \right)^{2j} - (1 - |\alpha_0|).$$

Let $G_m(\rho)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G_m(\rho) &= \left(\frac{c_{m-1}}{c_m} \right) \frac{\rho^{2m-2}}{(1 - \rho^2)^{2m-2}(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)^{2m-2}} + \cdots + \left(\frac{c_{m-j}}{c_m} \right) \frac{\rho^{2m-2j}}{(1 - \rho^2)^{2m-2j}(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)^{2j}} \\ &+ \cdots + \left(\frac{c_1}{c_m} \right) \frac{\rho^2}{(1 - \rho^2)^2(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)^{2m-2}} + \frac{\rho^{2m}}{(1 - \rho^2)^{2m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can write $\Psi_1^\gamma(\rho)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^\gamma(\rho) &= \frac{1 - |\alpha_0|^2}{2} \left(1 + 2c_m(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)^{2m-2}G_m(\rho) - \frac{2}{1 + |\alpha_0|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\lambda(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)^3} \left(\frac{2\rho}{(1 + \gamma)(1 - \gamma - \rho)} - 1 \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We suppose that $\rho \leq \rho_0$. Then it is easy to see that $\Psi_1^\gamma(\rho)$ is an increasing function and hence $\Psi_1^\gamma(\rho) \leq \Psi_1^\gamma(\rho_0)$, where

$$\frac{2\rho_0}{(1 + \gamma)(1 - \gamma - \rho_0)} = 1$$

which is equivalent to

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{3 + \gamma}.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\Psi_1^\gamma(\rho_0) = \frac{1 - |\alpha_0|^2}{2} \left(1 + 2F_m(|\alpha_0|) - \frac{2}{1 + |\alpha_0|} \right) := \frac{1 - |\alpha_0|^2}{2} J(|\alpha_0|),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_m(|\alpha_0|) &= c_m(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)^{2m-1}A^{2m}(\gamma) + c_{m-1}(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)^{2m-3}A^{2m-1}(\gamma) + \cdots \\ &\quad + c_{m-j}(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)^{2m-2j-1}A^{2j-1}(\gamma) + \cdots + c_1(1 - |\alpha_0|^2)A^2(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

$$J(x) = 1 + 2F_m(x) - \frac{2}{1 + x} \text{ for } x \in [0, 1] \text{ and}$$

$$A(\gamma) = \frac{(3 + \gamma)(1 - \gamma^2)}{(3 + \gamma)^2 - (1 - \gamma^2)^2}.$$

We note that $A(\gamma) > 0$ for $\gamma \in [0, 1)$. In order to show that $\Psi_1^\gamma(\rho_0) \leq 0$, it is enough to show that $J(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ so that $\Psi_1^\gamma(\rho_0) \leq 0$. Further, a simple computation shows that

$$J(0) = 2c_m A^{2m}(\gamma) + 2c_{m-1} A^{2m-1}(\gamma) + \cdots + c_{m-j} A^{2j-1}(\gamma) + \cdots + c_1 A^2(\gamma) - 1$$

and $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1^-} J(x) = 0$.

It is easy to see that $A(\gamma) = (f_1 \circ f_2)(\gamma)$, where $f_1(\rho) = \rho/(1 - \rho^2)$ and $f_2(\gamma) = (1 - \gamma^2)/(3 + \gamma)$. Since $A'(\gamma) = f_1'(f_2(\gamma))f_2'(\gamma)$ and

$$(3.3) \quad f_2'(\gamma) = - \left(\frac{\gamma^2 + 6\gamma + 1}{(3 + \gamma)^2} \right) < 0,$$

we show that $f_1(\rho)$ is an increasing function of ρ in $(0, 1)$, and f_2 is a decreasing function of γ in $[0, 1)$ so that $A(\gamma)$ is a decreasing function of γ in $[0, 1)$, with $A(0) = 3/8$ and $A(1) = 0$. It can be seen that each $A^{2j}(\gamma)$ is a decreasing function on $[0, 1)$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Therefore, we have

$$A^{2j}(\gamma) \leq A^{2j}(0) = \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^{2j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Since $x \in [0, 1]$, a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} x(1+x)^2 A^2(\gamma) &\leq 4 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^2 \\ x(1+x)^2 (1-x^2)^2 A^4(\gamma) &\leq 4 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^4 \\ &\vdots \\ x(1+x)^2 (1-x^2)^{2m-2} A^{2m}(\gamma) &\leq 4 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} J'(x) &= \frac{2}{(1+x)^2} \left(1 - 2c_1 x(1+x)^2 A^2(\gamma) - 6c_2 x(1+x)^2 (1-x^2)^2 A^4(\gamma) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \cdots - 2(2m-1)c_m x(1+x)^2 (1-x^2)^{2m-2} A^{2m}(\gamma) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{(1+x)^2} \left(1 - \left(8c_1 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^2 + 24c_2 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^4 + \cdots + 8(2m-1)c_m \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^{2m} \right) \right) \\ &\geq 0, \quad \text{if } 8c_1 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^2 + 24c_2 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^4 + \cdots + 8(2m-1)c_m \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^{2m} \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $J(x)$ is an increasing function in $[0, 1]$ for

$$8c_1 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^2 + 24c_2 \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^4 + \cdots + 8(2m-1)c_m \left(\frac{3}{8} \right)^{2m} \leq 1.$$

Hence, $J(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$ and $\gamma \in [0, 1)$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. From (1.6), we have

$$(3.4) \quad |a_n| \leq \lambda(1 - |a_0|^2) \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

A simple calculation using (3.4) shows that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{S_r}{\pi} &= \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{|z|<r} |f'(z)|^2 dx dy \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2 r^{2n} \\ &\leq \lambda^2 (1 - |a_0|^2)^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n r^{2n} \\ &= \lambda^2 (1 - |a_0|^2) \left(\frac{r}{1 - r^2} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $r \leq 1/(1 + 2\lambda)$, it is easy to see that

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{r}{1 - r^2} \leq \frac{1 + 2\lambda}{4(1 + \lambda)\lambda}.$$

In view of (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + P \left(\frac{S_r}{\pi} \right) &= |a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{1 + 2\lambda} \right)^{2j} \left(\frac{S_r}{\pi} \right)^j \\ &= 1 - \frac{(1 - |a_0|^2)}{16^m} \left(\frac{16^m}{1 + |a_0|} - \frac{16^m}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^m 16^{m-j} (1 - |a_0|^2)^{2j-1} \right) \\ &:= 1 - \frac{(1 - |a_0|^2)}{16^m} J_1(|a_0|), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_1(x) = \frac{16^m}{1 + x} - \frac{16^m}{2} - \sum_{j=1}^m 16^{m-j} (1 - x^2)^{2j-1}.$$

In particular, we have

$$J_1(0) = \frac{16^m}{2} - \frac{16^m - 1}{15} = \frac{13}{30} 16^m - 1 > 0 \text{ and } J_1(1) = 0.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_1'(x) &= -\frac{16^m}{(1 + x)^2} + 2x \sum_{j=1}^m 16^{m-j} (1 - x^2)^{2j-2} \\ &\leq -\frac{16^m}{4} + 2 \frac{16^m - 1}{16 - 1} \\ &= -\left(\frac{7}{60} 16^m + \frac{2}{15} \right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $J_1(x)$ is a decreasing function in $[0, 1]$ and hence $J_1(x) \geq J_1(1) = 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + P\left(\frac{S_r}{\pi}\right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq \frac{1}{1+2\lambda}.$$

It is easy to see that the equality

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \left(\frac{1}{1+2\lambda}\right)^n + P\left(\frac{S_{\frac{1}{1+2\lambda}}}{\pi}\right) = 1$$

holds for the function f of the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ if, and only if, $f \equiv c$ with $|c| = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.6. From (1.6), we know that

$$|a_n| \leq \lambda(1 - |a_0|^2) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Then for $r \leq 1/(1+2\lambda)$, a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad |a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n| + \beta |a_n|^2 \right) r^n &\leq |a_0| + \left(\lambda(1 - |a_0|^2) + \beta \lambda^2 (1 - |a_0|^2)^2 \right) \frac{r}{1-r} \\ &\leq |a_0| + \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{2} (1 + \lambda\beta(1 - |a_0|^2)) \\ &:= 1 - \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{2} F_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_1(x)$ is defined by

$$F_1(x) = \frac{2}{1+x} - 1 - \lambda\beta(1 - x^2).$$

Since $0 \leq \beta \leq 1/\lambda$, it follows that $F_1(0) = 1 - \lambda\beta \geq 0$ and $F_1(1) = 0$. Our aim is to show that $F_1(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. It is easy to see that

$$F_1'(x) = -\frac{2}{(1+x)^2} + 2\beta\lambda x \leq -2 + 2\beta\lambda \leq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, $F_1(x)$ is a decreasing function on $[0, 1]$ and hence we have $F_1(x) \geq F_1(1) = 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. In view of (3.7), we obtain

$$|a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n| + \beta |a_n|^2 \right) r^n \leq 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq \frac{1}{1+2\lambda}.$$

It is easy to see that the equality

$$|a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(|a_n| + \beta |a_n|^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{1+2\lambda}\right)^n = 1$$

holds for the function f of the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ if, and only if, $f \equiv c$ with $|c| = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.7. From (1.6), we have

$$|a_n| \leq \lambda(1 - |a_0|^2) \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

Then for $r \leq 1/(1 + 2\lambda)$, a computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(r) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{2\lambda(1 + |a_0|)} + \frac{2(1 + \lambda)r}{3(1 - r)} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 r^{2n} \\ &\leq |a_0| + \lambda(1 - |a_0|^2) \frac{r}{1 - r} + \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{2\lambda(1 + |a_0|)} + \frac{2(1 + \lambda)r}{3(1 - r)} \right) \lambda^2(1 - |a_0|^2)^2 \frac{r^2}{1 - r^2} \\ &\leq |a_0| + \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{2} + \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{2\lambda(1 + |a_0|)} + \frac{2(1 + \lambda)r}{3(1 - r)} \right) \frac{\lambda}{4(1 + \lambda)} (1 - |a_0|^2)^2 (1 + |a_0|)^2 \\ &= 1 - \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1 + |a_0|}{2} - \left(\frac{1}{4(1 + |a_0|)} + \frac{1}{6} \right) (1 - |a_0|)^2 (1 + |a_0|) \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{2} F_2(|a_0|), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_2(x)$ is defined by

$$F_2(x) = \frac{2}{1 + x} - 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2(1 + x)} + \frac{1}{3} \right) (1 - x)^2 (1 + x).$$

In order to prove that $B_1(r) \leq 1$, it is enough to show that $F_2(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$.





FIGURE 1. Graph of $F_2(x)$ in $[0, 1]$.

Clearly, $F_2(1) = 0$ and

$$F_2(0) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2(1 + x)} + \frac{1}{3} \right) \geq \frac{7}{12} > 0.$$

A simple calculation shows that for $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$F_2'(x) = -\frac{2}{(1 + x)^2} + \frac{(1 - x)^2}{4(1 + x)} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2(1 + x)} + \frac{1}{3} \right) (3x^2 - 2x - 1) < 0.$$

FIGURE 2. The graph of the function $F_2'(x)$ in $[0, 1]$.

Therefore, the function $F_2(x)$ is a decreasing function and hence we have $F_2(x) \geq F_2(1) = 0$. As $F_2(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$ and we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2\lambda(1+|a_0|)} + \frac{2(1+\lambda)r}{3(1-r)} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 r^{2n} \leq 1 \text{ for } r \leq \frac{1}{1+2\lambda}.$$

Now the equality

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \left(\frac{1}{1+2\lambda} \right)^n + \left(\frac{1+\lambda}{2\lambda(1+|a_0|)} + \frac{2(1+\lambda)}{3 \cdot 2\lambda} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \left(\frac{1}{1+2\lambda} \right)^{2n} = 1$$

holds for a function $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ if, and only if, $f \equiv c$ with $|c| = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega_\gamma)$ and $g(z) = f((z-\gamma)/(1-\gamma))$. Then it is easy to see that $g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{D})$ and

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(1-\gamma)^n} (z-\gamma)^n.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|}{(1-\gamma)^n} \rho^n + Q \left(\frac{S_\rho}{\pi} \right) \leq 1 \text{ for } \rho \leq \frac{1-\gamma^2}{3+\gamma}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \left(\frac{\rho}{(1-\gamma)} \right)^n + Q \left(\frac{S_\rho}{\pi} \right) \leq 1 \text{ for } \rho \leq \frac{1-\gamma^2}{3+\gamma}.$$

Set $\rho = r(1-\gamma)$, then in view of (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + Q \left(\frac{S_{r(1-\gamma)}}{\pi} \right) \leq 1 \text{ for } r \leq \frac{1+\gamma}{3+\gamma}.$$

To show the sharpness of the result, we consider the following function

$$f_a(z) = \frac{a - \gamma - (1 - \gamma)z}{1 - a\gamma - a(1 - \gamma)z} \text{ for } z \in \Omega_\gamma \text{ and } a \in (0, 1).$$

Define $\phi_1 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ by $\phi_1(z) = (a - z)/(1 - az)$ and $\phi_2(z) : \Omega_\gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ by $\phi_2(z) = (1 - \gamma)z + \gamma$. Then, the function $f_a = \phi_1 \circ \phi_2$ maps Ω_γ univalently onto \mathbb{D} . A simple computation shows that

$$f_a(z) = \frac{a - \gamma - (1 - \gamma)z}{1 - a\gamma - a(1 - \gamma)z} = C_0 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n z^n \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $a \in (0, 1)$ and

$$C_0 = \frac{a - \gamma}{1 - a\gamma} \text{ and } C_n = \frac{1 - a^2}{a(1 - a\gamma)} \left(\frac{a(1 - \gamma)}{1 - a\gamma} \right)^n.$$

A simple computation using (3.9) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| r^n + Q \left(\frac{S_{r(1-\gamma)}}{\pi} \right) \\ &= \frac{a - \gamma}{1 - a\gamma} + \left(\frac{1 - a^2}{1 - a\gamma} \right) \frac{(1 - \gamma)r}{1 - a\gamma - ar(1 - \gamma)} + \frac{c_1 r^2 (1 - a^2)^2 (1 - \gamma)^4}{((1 - a\gamma)^2 - a^2 r^2 (1 - \gamma)^4)^2} \\ & \quad + \frac{c_2 r^4 (1 - a^2)^4 (1 - \gamma)^8}{((1 - a\gamma)^2 - a^2 r^2 (1 - \gamma)^4)^4} + \cdots + \frac{c_m r^{2m} (1 - a^2)^{2m} (1 - \gamma)^{4m}}{((1 - a\gamma)^2 - a^2 r^2 (1 - \gamma)^4)^{2m}} \\ & := 1 - (1 - a)\Phi_1^\gamma(r), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1^\gamma(r) &= -\frac{(1 + a)(1 - \gamma)r}{(1 - a\gamma - ar(1 - \gamma))(1 - a\gamma)} - \frac{c_1 r^2 (1 - a)(1 + a)^2 (1 - \gamma)^4}{((1 - a\gamma)^2 - a^2 r^2 (1 - \gamma)^4)^2} \\ & \quad - \frac{c_2 r^4 (1 - a)^3 (1 + a)^4 (1 - \gamma)^8}{((1 - a\gamma)^2 - a^2 r^2 (1 - \gamma)^4)^4} - \frac{c_m r^{2m} (1 - a)^{2m-1} (1 + a)^{2m} (1 - \gamma)^{4m}}{((1 - a\gamma)^2 - a^2 r^2 (1 - \gamma)^4)^{2m}} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{1 - a} \left(\frac{a - \gamma}{1 + a\gamma} - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is not difficult to show that $\Phi_1^\gamma(r)$ is strictly decreasing function of r in $(0, 1)$. Therefore, for $r > r_0 = (1 + \gamma)/(3 + \gamma)$, we have $\Phi_1^\gamma(r) < \Phi_1^\gamma(r_0)$. An elementary calculation shows that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \Phi_1^\gamma(r_0) = -\frac{2r_0}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - r_0)} + \frac{1 + \gamma}{1 - \gamma} = 0.$$

Therefore $\Phi_1^\gamma(r) < 0$ for $r > r_0$. Hence $1 - (1 - a)\Phi_1^\gamma(r) > 1$ for $r > r_0$, which shows that r_0 is the best possible. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1.7) be harmonic in Ω_γ with $|h(z)| + |g(z)| \leq 1$ in Ω_γ . Let

$$(3.10) \quad \psi_1(z) = h(z) + \epsilon g(z),$$

where ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$) be arbitrary. Note that ψ_1 is analytic in Ω_γ and $|\psi_1(z)| \leq 1$ in Ω_γ . From (3.10), we have

$$\psi_1(z) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) z^n \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In view of Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad |a_n + \epsilon b_n| \leq \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Since ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$) is arbitrary, we have

$$(3.12) \quad |a_n + b_n| \leq \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

A simple computation using (3.12) shows that

$$(3.13) \quad |a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) r^n \leq |a_0| + \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n = |a_0| + \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{r}{1 - r} \right).$$

Therefore, the inequality (3.13) is less than or equal to 1, provided

$$|a_0| + \frac{1 - |a_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{r}{1 - r} \right) \leq 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq r_0 := \frac{1 + \gamma}{3 + \gamma}.$$

Hence, we have

$$|a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) r^n \leq 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq r_0 := \frac{1 + \gamma}{3 + \gamma}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.9. Let $f = h + \bar{g}$ given by (1.7) be harmonic in Ω_γ with $|h(z)| + |g(z)| \leq 1$ in Ω_γ . Consider the function

$$(3.14) \quad \psi_2(z) = h(z) + \epsilon g(z),$$

where ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$) is arbitrary. Note that ψ_2 is analytic in Ω_γ and $|\psi_2| \leq 1$ in Ω_γ . From the equation (3.10), we have

$$\psi_2(z) = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) z^n \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

In view of Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$(3.15) \quad |a_n + \epsilon b_n| \leq \frac{1 - |a_0 + \epsilon b_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Since ϵ ($|\epsilon| = 1$) is arbitrary, we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad |a_n - \epsilon b_n| \leq \frac{1 - |a_0 - \epsilon b_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

From (3.15) and (3.16), we obtain

$$(3.17) \quad |a_n + \epsilon b_n| + |a_n - \epsilon b_n| \leq \frac{2 - |a_0 + \epsilon b_0|^2 - |a_0 - \epsilon b_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

It is easy to see that (3.17) is equivalent to

$$(3.18) \quad |a_n + \epsilon b_n| + |a_n - \epsilon b_n| \leq \frac{2(1 - |a_0|^2 - |b_0|^2)}{1 + \gamma} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

From (3.18), we obtain

$$\left(|a_n + \epsilon b_n| + |a_n - \epsilon b_n| \right)^2 \leq \frac{4 \left(1 - |a_0|^2 - |b_0|^2 \right)^2}{(1 + \gamma)^2}$$

which is equivalent to

$$(3.19) \quad |a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2 + |a^2 - e^{i2\theta} b_n^2| \leq \frac{2 \left(1 - |a_0|^2 - |b_0|^2 \right)^2}{(1 + \gamma)^2}.$$

By choosing $\theta = \pi/2 + \arg(a_n) - \arg(b_n)$, it follows from (3.19) that

$$|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2 \leq \frac{\left(1 - |a_0|^2 - |b_0|^2 \right)^2}{(1 + \gamma)^2}$$

and hence

$$(3.20) \quad \sqrt{|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2} \leq \frac{1 - |a_0|^2 - |b_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

A simple computation using (3.20) shows that

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sqrt{|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sqrt{|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2} \right) r^n \\ & \leq |a_0| + \frac{1 - |a_0|^2 - |b_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^n \\ & = |a_0| + \frac{1 - |a_0|^2 - |b_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{r}{1 - r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (3.20) and (3.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\sqrt{|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2}) r^n &\leq \sqrt{|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2} + \frac{1 - |a_0|^2 - |b_0|^2}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{r}{1 - r} \right) \\ &\leq \sqrt{|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2} + \frac{1 - \sqrt{|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2}}{1 + \gamma} \left(\frac{2r}{1 - r} \right) \\ &\leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

if $2r/(1 + \gamma)(1 - r) \leq 1$, that is if $r \leq r_0 = (1 + \gamma)/(3 + \gamma)$. Therefore, we have

$$|a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (|a_n| + |b_n|) r^n \leq 1 \quad \text{for } r \leq r_0 = \frac{1 + \gamma}{3 + \gamma}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\sqrt{|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{|a_n|^2 + |b_n|^2} r^n \leq 1 \quad \text{for } |z| = r \leq r_0 = \frac{1 + \gamma}{3 + \gamma}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgment: The first author is supported by the Institute Post Doctoral Fellowship of IIT Bhubaneswar, India, the second author is supported by SERB-MATRICES, and third author is supported by CSIR, India.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. ABU-MUHANNA, Bohr's phenomenon in subordination and bounded harmonic classes, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **55** (2010), 1071–1078.
- [2] Y. ABU-MUHANNA and R. M. ALI, Bohr's phenomenon for analytic functions into the exterior of a compact convex body, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **379** (2011), 512–517.
- [3] Y. ABU MUHANNA, R. M. ALI, Z. C. NG and S. F. M HASNI, Bohr radius for subordinating families of analytic functions and bounded harmonic mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **420** (2014), 124–136.
- [4] M. B. AHAMED, VASUDEVARAO ALLU and HIMADRI HALDER, The Bohr Phenomenon for analytic functions on simply connected domains, see <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2011.13890.pdf>.
- [5] L. AIZENBERG, Multidimensional analogues of Bohr's theorem on power series, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128** (2000), 1147–1155.
- [6] L. AIZENBERG, A. AYTUNA and P. DJAKOV, Generalization of theorem on Bohr for bases in spaces of holomorphic functions of several complex variables, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **258** (2001), 429–447.
- [7] L. AIZENBERG, Generalization of results about the Bohr radius for power series, *Stud. Math.* **180** (2007), 161–168.
- [8] S. A. ALKHALEEF AH, I. R. KAYUMOV and S. PONNUSAMY, On the Bohr inequality with a fixed zero coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (12) (2019), 5263–5274.
- [9] R. M. ALI, Z. ABDULHADI and Z. C. NG, The Bohr radius for starlike logharmonic mappings, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **61**(1)(2016), 1–14.
- [10] R. M. ALI, R.W. BARNARD and A.YU. SOLYNIN, A note on Bohr's phenomenon for power series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **449** (2017), 154–167.
- [11] R. M. ALI, N. K. JAIN and V. RAVICHANDRAN, Bohr radius for classes of analytic functions, *Results Math.* **74** (2019) 179.
- [12] S. A. ALKHALEEF AH, I.R. KAYUMOV and S. PONNUSAMY, On the Bohr inequality with a fixed zero coefficient, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), 5263–5274.

- [13] VASUDEVARAO ALLU and HIMADRI HALDER, Bhor phenomenon for certain subclasses of Harmonic Mappings, see <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.11622.pdf>.
- [14] VASUDEVARAO ALLU and HIMADRI HALDER, Bohr radius for certain classes of starlike and convex univalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **493**(1) (2021), 124519.
- [15] VASUDEVARAO ALLU and HIMADRI HALDER, Bohr inequality for certain harmonic mappings, see <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2009.08683.pdf>.
- [16] A. AYTUNA and P. DJAKOV, Bohr property of bases in the space of entire functions and its generalizations, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **45**(2)(2013), 411–420
- [17] C. BÉNÉTEAU, A. DAHLNER and D. KHAVINSON, Remarks on the Bohr phenomenon, *Compute. Methods Funct. Theory* **4**(1) (2004), 1-19.
- [18] B. BHOWMIK and N. DAS, Bohr phenomenon for subordinating families of certain univalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **462** (2018), 1087–1098.
- [19] H.P. BOAS and D. KHAVINSON, Bohr’s power series theorem in several variables, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc* **125** (1997), 2975–2979.
- [20] H. BOHR, A theorem concerning power series, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* s2-13 (1914), 1–5.
- [21] P. G. DIXON, Banach algebras satisfying the non-unital von Neumann inequality, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **27** (4) (1995), 359–362.
- [22] S. EVDORIDIS, S. PONNUSAMY and A. RASILA, Improved Bohr’s inequality for locally univalent harmonic mappings, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **30** (2019), no. 1, 201—213.
- [23] S. EVDORIDIS, S. PONNUSAMY and A. Rasila, Improved Bohr’s inequality for mappings defined on simply connected domains, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2011.02080.pdf>.
- [24] R. FOURNIER and ST. RUSCHEWEYH, On the Bohr radius for simply connected domains, *Centre de Recherches Mathématiques CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes*, Vol. **51** (2010), 165–171.
- [25] Y. HUANG, M-S. LIU, and S. PONNUSAMY, Refined Bohr-type inequalities with area measure for bounded analytic functions, *Anal. Math. Phys.* **10** (2020), 50.
- [26] A. ISMAGILOV, I. R. KAYUMOV and S. PONNUSAMY, Sharp Bohr type inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **489** (2020), 124147.
- [27] I.R. KAYUMOV, S. PONNUSAMY and N. SHAKIROV, Bohr radius for locally univalent harmonic mappings, *Math. Nachr.* **291** (2018), 1757–1768.
- [28] I.R. KAYUMOV and S. PONNUSAMY, Bohr’s inequalities for the analytic functions with lacunary series and harmonic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **465** (2018), 857–871.
- [29] I.R. KAYUMOV and S. PONNUSAMY, On a powered Bohr inequality, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A*, **44**(2019), 301–310.
- [30] I. R. KAYUMOV and S. PONNUSAMY, Improved version of Bohr’s inequalities, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **358** (5) (2020), 615—620.
- [31] I.R. KAYUMOV and S. PONNUSAMY, On a powered Bohr inequality, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **44** (2019), 301–310.
- [32] A. KAYUMOVA, I. R. KAYUMOV and S. PONNUSAMY, Bohr’s inequality for harmonic mappings and beyond, *Mathematics and Computing*, 245–256, *Commun. Comput. Inf. Sci.*, 834, Springer, Singapore, 2018.
- [33] I. R. KAYUMOV, S. PONNUSAMY and N. SHAKIROV, Bohr radius for locally univalent harmonic mappings, *Math. Nachr* **291** (2018), 1757–1768.
- [34] G. LIU, Z. LIU and S. PONNUSAMY, Refined Bohr inequality for bounded analytic functions, preprint, see <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.08930>.
- [35] Z. H. LIU and S. PONNUSAMY, Bohr radius for subordination and K -quasiconformal harmonic mappings, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **42** (2019), 2151–2168.
- [36] M. S. LIU, S. PONNUSAMY and J. WANG, Bohr’s phenomenon for the classes of Quasi-subordination and K -quasiregular harmonic mappings, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fs. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM* (2020) 114: 115, 15 pp.
- [37] M. S. LIU and S. PONNUSAMY, Multidimensional analogues of refined Bohr’s inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (2020) (to appear).

- [38] S. PONNUSAMY and K-J. WIRTHS, Bohr type inequalities for functions with a multiple zero at the origin, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.06441.pdf>.
- [39] ST. RUSCHEWEYH, Two remarks on bounded analytic functions, *Serdica* **11**(1) (1985), 731–732.

MOLLA BASIR AHAMED, SCHOOL OF BASIC SCIENCE, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BHUBANESWAR, BHUBANESWAR-752050, ODISHA, INDIA.

Email address: mba15@iitbbs.ac.in

VASUDEVARAO ALLU, SCHOOL OF BASIC SCIENCE, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BHUBANESWAR, BHUBANESWAR-752050, ODISHA, INDIA.

Email address: avrao@iitbbs.ac.in

HIMADRI HALDER, SCHOOL OF BASIC SCIENCE, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BHUBANESWAR, BHUBANESWAR-752050, ODISHA, INDIA.

Email address: hh11@iitbbs.ac.in