

# Degrees in random uniform minimal factorizations

Etienne Bellin \*

CMAP - Ecole Polytechnique

We are interested in random uniform minimal factorizations of the  $n$ -cycle which are factorizations of  $(1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$  into a product of  $n - 1$  transpositions. Our main result is an explicit formula for the joint probability that 1 and 2 appear a given number of times in a uniform minimal factorization. For this purpose, we combine bijections with Cayley trees together with explicit computations of multivariate generating functions.

## 1 Introduction

Consider the cycle permutation  $(1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . A *minimal factorization* is a  $(n - 1)$ -tuple of transpositions  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1})$  such that  $\tau_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ \tau_1 = (1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  the set of all minimal factorizations of the cycle  $(1\ 2\ \dots\ n)$ . Dénes [D659] first showed that  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  has cardinality  $n^{n-2}$  and several bijective proofs followed afterwards (see [Mos89], [GP93], [GY02] and [Bia05]). Minimal factorizations are linked to other combinatorial objects such as non-crossing partitions [Bia97] and parking functions [Bia02] and more general factorizations have deep connections with enumerative geometry (see e.g [ACEH18]).

Let  $(\tau_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{n-1}^{(n)})$  be a random minimal factorization chosen uniformly at random in  $\mathfrak{M}_n$ . The study of the behaviour of such a randomly picked minimal factorization is recent (see [FK18], [FK19] and [The20]) and has a rich probabilistic structure: for instance, it is shown in [The20] that such minimal factorizations have connections with Aldous-Pitman fragmentation of the Brownian continuum random tree. Here we are interested in the law of the number of times 1 and 2 appear in  $(\tau_1^{(n)}, \dots, \tau_{n-1}^{(n)})$ . In [FK19, Corollary 1.2 (iv)] it was obtained that:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{T}_1^{(n)} = i, \mathbb{T}_2^{(n)} = j\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} e^{-2} \left[ \frac{i+j-2}{(i+j-1)!} + \frac{i+j-1}{i!j!} - \frac{i+j-1}{(i+j)!} \right].$$

where  $\mathbb{T}_k^{(n)} = \#\{1 \leq \ell \leq n-1 : \tau_\ell^{(n)}(k) \neq k\}$  is the number of time  $k$  appears in a transposition. We refine this result by finding explicitly the joint distribution for fixed  $n$ :

**Theorem 1.** For  $i, j \geq 1$  and  $n \geq i+j$ :

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\mathbb{T}_1^{(n)} = i, \mathbb{T}_2^{(n)} = j\right) = \frac{n!(n-1)^{n-i-j-1}}{(n-i-j)!(n+1)^{n-1}} \left[ \frac{(i+j-2)(n-1)}{(i+j-1)!(n-i-j+1)} + \frac{i+j-1}{i!j!} - \frac{i+j-1}{(i+j)!} \right]. \quad (1)$$

To show Theorem 1, we explicitly compute the exponential generating function of the (normalized) trivariate generating function  $G_n$  defined by

$$G_n(x, y, z) = n^{n-2} \mathbb{E} \left[ x^{\mathbb{T}_1^{(n)}} y^{\mathbb{T}_2^{(n)}} z^{\mathbb{M}_1^{(n)}} \right]$$

where  $\mathbb{M}_k^{(n)} = \#\{1 \leq \ell \leq n-1 : \tau_1^{(n)}(k) \dots \tau_{\ell-1}^{(n)}(k) \neq \tau_1^{(n)}(k) \dots \tau_\ell^{(n)}(k)\}$  is the number of transpositions that affect the trajectory of  $k$ , and then extract the coefficient  $[x^i y^j] G_n(x, y, 1)$ . To this end, there are 4 main steps. First, using a known bijection between minimal factorizations and Cayley trees, we reformulate the problem in terms of a generating function of a trivariate statistic on Cayley trees (Section 2). To compute this generating function, we actually start by computing another generating function  $F_n$  obtained by changing one of the three statistics (Section 3). This also yields a result of independent interest by confirming a conjecture [Car19] involving distributional symmetries in uniform Cayley trees (Corollary 10). Finally, we show bijectively that  $G_n(x, y, z) = G_n(y, x, z)$  (Section 4.1), and by combining this with the explicit formula of  $F_n$  we get the exponential generating function of  $G_n$  (Section 4.2) and Theorem 1 follows (Section 4.3).

\*etienne.bellin@polytechnique.edu

## 2 Bijection between minimal factorizations and Cayley trees

### 2.1 The bijection

Here we explain how to associate a labeled tree with a minimal factorization which will be an essential tool for us. We refer to [FK19] for details and proofs. Fix an integer  $n \geq 2$ .

**Definition 2.** For  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}_n$ , we define a labeled tree  $\mathcal{F}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1})$  with  $n$  vertices labeled from 1 to  $n$  where an edge labeled  $l$  is drawn between the vertices labeled  $a$  and  $b$  if and only if  $\tau_l = (a, b)$  (see figure 1 for an example).




Figure 1: Representation of  $\mathcal{F}$  on the left and  $\mathcal{E}$  on the right when  $n = 10$  for the minimal factorization  $((9\ 10), (7\ 9), (1\ 5), (2\ 5), (3\ 5), (8\ 9), (4\ 5), (1\ 6), (1\ 7))$  of  $(1 \dots 10)$ . The double circle represents the root of the tree  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Clearly  $\mathcal{F}$  is injective since a minimal factorization can be easily read on its associated tree. Actually the tree  $\mathcal{F}$  gives too much information, indeed it is still possible to retrieve the associated minimal factorization when we erase the vertex-labels and keep only the edge-labels. More precisely we have:

**Definition 3.** If  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}_n$ , then we construct a rooted, edge-labeled tree  $\mathcal{E}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1})$  by doing the following on the tree  $\mathcal{F}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1})$ :

- We root the tree at the vertex labeled 1.
- We erase all the vertex-labels (and keep only the edge-labels).

**Proposition 4.** The map  $\mathcal{E}$  gives a bijection between the set  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  and the set  $\mathcal{C}'_n$  of rooted trees with  $n - 1$  edges labeled from 1 to  $n - 1$ .

The set  $\mathcal{C}'_n$  is clearly in bijection with the set  $\mathcal{C}_n$  of Cayley trees with  $n$  vertices (*i.e.* trees with  $n$  vertices labeled from 1 to  $n$ ). Indeed if  $t \in \mathcal{C}_n$  we create  $\alpha(t) \in \mathcal{C}'_n$  by rooting the tree  $t$  at the vertex labeled 1, then by pulling all the vertex-labels (except 1 which is erased from  $\alpha(t)$ ) towards the root into the nearest edge. We then subtract 1 from all the labels (see figure 2 for an example). The map  $\alpha$  is clearly a bijection.




Figure 2: A tree  $t \in \mathcal{C}_6$  on the left transformed into  $\alpha(t) \in \mathcal{C}'_6$  on the right by pulling the vertex-labels towards the root and subtracting 1.

In particular  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  has the same cardinality as the set of Cayley trees with  $n$  vertices  $\mathcal{C}_n$  which is known to be  $n^{n-2}$ . This explains the renormalizing term in the definition of  $G_n$ . In the article [FK19] the authors

give an explicit algorithm to find a minimal factorization back from its associated tree  $\mathcal{E}$ . We explain this algorithm right now because it will be essential for what follows.

Let  $t \in \mathcal{C}'_n$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_n$  such that  $t = \mathcal{E}(f)$ . To recover  $f$  from  $t$ , we will recover  $\mathcal{F}(f)$  (from which it is immediate to find  $f$  back). For this purpose, we will gradually assign the vertex-labels  $1, 2, \dots, n$  to  $t$  as they can be found in  $\mathcal{F}(f)$ . More precisely, let us describe an algorithm **Next** that takes the tree  $t$  and an integer  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  as arguments and assigns the vertex-label  $k+1$  to  $t$  if the vertex-label  $k$  has already been assigned in  $t$  (if  $k$  is not assigned yet **Next**( $t, k$ ) does nothing). The algorithm starts from the vertex  $v_0$  of  $t$  labeled  $k$ . Then it follows the longest possible path of edges  $e_1, \dots, e_\ell$  in  $t$  such that:

- $e_1, \dots, e_\ell$  is a *path of edges* meaning that for all  $0 < i < \ell$ ,  $e_i$  and  $e_{i+1}$  share a common vertex  $v_i$ .
- $e_1$  is the edge with smallest label adjacent to  $v_0$ .
- For all  $0 < i < \ell$ ,  $e_{i+1}$  has the smallest label among the edges adjacent to  $v_i$  having a label greater than  $e_i$ 's label.

Starting from  $v_0$  and following the path  $e_1, \dots, e_\ell$  leads to the vertex of  $t$  which then gets the label  $k+1$ . We also initiate the algorithm with **Next**( $t, 0$ ) which gives label 1 to the root of  $t$ .

Now by applying successively **Next** to  $t$  we can label all the vertices of  $t$  in order to find back  $\mathcal{F}(f)$ . More precisely we have the following definition and Proposition:

**Definition 5.** For  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $t \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ , we denote by  $\text{Find}_k(t)$  the tree obtained by applying successively **Next**( $t, 0$ ) then **Next**( $t, 1$ ), **Next**( $t, 2$ ),  $\dots$ , **Next**( $t, k-1$ ) to  $t$ .

For example, applying  $\text{Find}_{10}$  to the tree on the right of figure 1 gives back the vertex-labels on the left of figure 1.

**Proposition 6.** For  $t = \mathcal{E}(f) \in \mathcal{C}'_n$ :

$$\text{Find}_n(t) = \mathcal{F}(f).$$

## 2.2 Reformulation in terms of Cayley trees

In order to prove Theorem 1, we start with rewriting the generating function  $G_n$  defined in the Introduction in terms of Cayley trees. To this purpose we introduce some notation. For  $A$  a subset of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{C}_A$  the set of trees with  $|A|$  vertices labeled in a one-to-one manner with the elements of  $A$ . Notice that if  $A = \{1, \dots, n\}$  then  $\mathcal{C}_A = \mathcal{C}_n$  is the set of *Cayley trees* with  $n$  vertices. If  $t \in \mathcal{C}_A$  and  $i \in A$ , we denote by  $\text{deg}_i(t)$  the degree of the vertex  $i$  (where "the vertex  $i$ " has to be understood as "the vertex labeled  $i$ ") in the tree  $t$ . Also, similarly to the algorithm **Next** (introduced in the previous Section) we consider a certain path in  $t$  starting from  $i$ , namely:

**Definition 7.** For  $t \in \mathcal{C}_A$  and  $i \in A$  we consider the longest path of vertices, starting from  $i$ , such that each vertex of the path has the smallest label among the ones that are both adjacent to the previous vertex on the path and have a greater label than the label of the previous vertex on the path. We denote by  $L_i(t)$  the set of vertices composing this path but without including the first one which is the vertex  $i$  (see figure 3 for an example).

**Definition 8.** For  $t \in \mathcal{C}_n$  we denote by  $\text{deg}'_2(t)$  the degree, in  $t$ , of the last vertex of the path  $L_1(t)$  (see figure 3 for an example).

Recall from Section 2.1 the bijection  $\mathcal{E}$  between  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  and  $\mathcal{C}'_n$  as well as a bijection  $\alpha$  from  $\mathcal{C}_n$  to  $\mathcal{C}'_n$  (illustrated in figure 2). Notice that if  $f \in \mathfrak{M}_n$  and  $t = \alpha \circ \mathcal{E}(f)$  then

$$(\mathbb{T}_1(f), \mathbb{T}_2(f), \mathbb{M}_1(f)) = (\text{deg}_1(t), \text{deg}'_2(t), |L_1(t)|).$$

where  $\mathbb{T}_i(f)$  is the number of times  $i$  appears in  $f$  and  $\mathbb{M}_j(f)$  is the number of transpositions in  $f$  that affect the trajectory of  $j$ . The last identity allows us to reformulate the definition of  $G_n$  for  $n \geq 2$ :

$$G_n(x, y, z) = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{C}_n} x^{\text{deg}_1(t)} y^{\text{deg}'_2(t)} z^{|L_1(t)|}. \quad (2)$$

In the next Section, in order to compute  $G_n$ , we introduce another generating function  $F_n$  whose definition is similar to (2) except that  $\text{deg}'_2$  is replaced with  $\text{deg}_2$ .




Figure 3: Example of a tree  $t \in \mathfrak{C}_A$  with  $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12\}$ . The path  $L_1(t) = \{3, 4, 8\}$  starting from 1 is represented in thick red. Here  $\deg'_2(t) = \deg_8(t) = 3$ .

### 3 First generating function on Cayley trees

For  $n \geq 2$  we define the generating function:

$$F_n(x, y, z) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{C}_n} x^{\deg_1(t)} y^{\deg_2(t)} z^{|L_1(t)|}.$$

By convention we also set for  $n \geq 1$ :

$$F_n(x, 1, 1) = F_n(x) = \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{C}_n} x^{\deg_1(t)} = x(n-1+x)^{n-2}.$$

The last equality is a well known result on Cayley trees. Actually, it turns out we have an explicit formula for  $F_n(x, y, z)$ .

**Proposition 9.** For  $n \geq 3$ ,

$$F_n(x, y, z) = xyz \left[ x(n-2+x)^{n-3} \left( 1 - \frac{yz}{z+y-1} \right) + (x+y+z+n-3)^{n-3} \left( n-2+yz + \frac{xyz}{z+y-1} \right) \right]. \quad (3)$$

Formula (3) still holds in the cases  $(y=1, n=2)$  and  $(y=z=1, n=1)$ . Proposition 9 implies that  $F_n$  is symmetric in  $y$  and  $z$  thus we have the following Corollary which confirms a conjecture made by Caraceni [Car19]:

**Corollary 10.** Let  $T_n$  be a random uniform Cayley tree with  $n$  vertices, then  $(\deg_1(T_n), |L_1(T_n)|, \deg_2(T_n))$  and  $(\deg_1(T_n), \deg_2(T_n), |L_1(T_n)|)$  have the same law.

It would be very interesting to obtain a direct bijective proof of Corollary 10. Formula (3) with  $y=1$  was first conjectured in [FK19, Conjecture 1.4] and was proved by O. Angel & J. Martin [AM19]. Below, we give Angel and Martin's proof of the case  $y=1$  which will be useful to deduce the general case.

*Proof of Proposition 9 for  $y=1$ .* We show by induction on  $n \geq 2$  that  $F_n(x, 1, z) = xzf_n(x+z)$  where  $f_n$  is a real-valued function. It is obviously true for  $n=2$  with  $f_2=1$ . Suppose that is true for all  $2 \leq k \leq n-1$  with  $n \geq 3$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}_n$  the set of couples  $(A, B)$  with  $A$  and  $B$  two subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $A \cup B = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $1 \in A$  and  $n \in B$ . Let  $t \in \mathfrak{C}_n$ . Set the vertex 1 to be the root of  $t$ . Consider cutting the tree  $t$  by removing the edge between the vertex  $n$  and its parent to end up with two trees  $t_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_A$  and  $t_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_B$  for some  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{P}_n$ . Actually given two trees  $t_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_A$  and  $t_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_B$  with  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{P}_n$  there are  $|A|$  distinct ways to attach  $t_2$  to  $t_1$  by joining the vertex  $n+1$  of  $t_2$  to one of  $t_1$ 's vertices to obtain a tree  $t \in \mathfrak{C}_n$ . With this in mind we can decompose the quantity  $F_n(x, 1, z)$  depending on where  $t_2$  is attached to  $t_1$ :

$$F_n(x, 1, z) = xz + \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \mathcal{P}_n \\ |A| > 1}} \sum_{\substack{t_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_A \\ t_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_B}} (|A|-2)x^{\deg_1(t_1)} z^{|L_1(t_1)|} + x^{\deg_1(t_1)+1} z^{|L_1(t_1)|} + x^{\deg_1(t_1)} z^{|L_1(t_1)|+1}. \quad (4)$$

The first term corresponds to the case where  $t_1$  has only 1 vertex (i.e.  $|A| = 1$ ). If  $|A| > 1$  then the vertex 1 and the last vertex of the path  $L_1(t_1)$  are distinct in  $t_1$  and we have to consider three cases: 1) we attach  $t_2$  to a vertex of  $t_1$  which is neither 1, nor the last vertex of  $L_1(t_1)$ . 2) We attach  $t_2$  to the vertex 1. 3) We attach  $t_2$  to the last vertex of  $L_1(t_1)$ . We then have:

$$F_n(x, 1, z) = xz + \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \binom{n-2}{a-1} F_a(x, 1, z)(a-2+x+z).$$

By induction we conclude that:

$$F_n(x, 1, z) = xz + xz \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \binom{n-2}{a-1} f_a(x+z)(a-2+x+z).$$

So  $F_n(x, 1, z)/(xz)$  depends only on  $x+z$ , thus induction is shown. We then just need to take  $z = 1$  and use the case  $y = z = 1$  to conclude.  $\square$

To prove the general case of Proposition 9 we will use the particular cases  $y = 1$  and  $z = 1$ . We will also use the following Abel's binomial Theorem [Rio79, p. 18].

**Proposition 11.** *For every integer  $n \geq 0$  the following identity holds:*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x(x-kz)^{k-1} (y+kz)^{n-k} = (x+y)^n.$$

Three useful variants can be deduced from this identity.

**Corollary 12.** *For every integer  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Variant 1} \quad & \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x+k)^{k-1} (y-k)^{n-k} = \frac{(x+y)^n}{x}; \\ \text{Variant 2} \quad & \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x+k)^k (n-k+y)^{n-k-1} = \frac{(x+y+n)^n}{y}; \\ \text{Variant 3} \quad & \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (x+k)^{k-1} (n-k+y)^{n-k-1} = \frac{x+y}{xy} (x+y+n)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Taking  $z = -1$  in Abel's binomial formula gives the first variant. Doing the change of index  $k \rightarrow n-k$  and the change of variables  $y \rightarrow x+n$  and  $x \rightarrow y$  in variant 1 gives variant 2. To get variant 3 we begin by differentiating variant 1 with respect to  $y$ , so we have:

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (n-k)(x+k)^{k-1} (n-k+y)^{n-k-1} = n \frac{(x+y+n)^{n-1}}{x}.$$

Denote by  $A$  the left side of variant 3 which we want to compute, then:

$$nA - n \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n-1}{k-1} (x+k)^{k-1} (n-k+y)^{n-k-1} = n \frac{(x+y+n)^{n-1}}{x}.$$

By doing the change of index  $k \rightarrow k+1$  in the last sum and using variant 2 for the resulting sum we get:

$$nA - n \frac{(x+y+n)^{n-1}}{y} = n \frac{(x+y+n)^{n-1}}{x}.$$

The expression of  $A$  can be deduced from the last display and thus variant 3 is shown.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 9 in the general case.* Assume  $n \geq 3$ . Once again we will use a "tree-cutting" argument but instead of cutting at vertex  $n$ , we cut at vertex 2. More precisely, we denote by  $\mathcal{Q}_n$  the set of all couples  $(A, B)$  with  $A$  and  $B$  two subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $A \cup B = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $1 \in A$  and  $2 \in B$ . Once again, we decompose the quantity  $F_n(x, y, z)$  depending on where  $t_2$  is attached to  $t_1$ :

$$F_n(x, y, z) = \sum_{(A,B) \in \mathcal{Q}_n} \sum_{\substack{t_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_A \\ t_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_B}} \left[ (|A| - 1) x^{\deg_1(t_1)} y^{\deg_2(t_2)+1} z^{|L_1(t_1)|} + x^{\deg_1(t_1)+1} y^{\deg_2(t_2)+1} z^{|L_2(t_2)|+1} \right].$$

The first term appearing after the sums corresponds to attaching  $t_2$  to a vertex which is not 1 in  $t_1$  and the second term corresponds to attaching  $t_2$  to the vertex 1. We then have:

$$F_n(x, y, z) = \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \binom{n-2}{a-1} (a-1)yF_a(x, 1, z)F_{n-a}(y) + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-2}{a-1} xyzF_a(x)F_{n-a}(y, 1, z).$$

Now we can use the cases  $y = 1$  and  $y = z = 1$  to replace the occurrences of  $F_n$  in the last display. Let's compute the first sum which we call  $A_n(x, y, z)$ , afterwards we will compute the second one,  $B_n(x, y, z)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(x, y, z) &= \sum_{a=2}^{n-1} \binom{n-2}{a-1} (a-1)xy^2z(a-2+x+z)^{a-2}(n-a-1+y)^{n-a-2} \\ &= (n-2) \sum_{a=0}^{n-3} \binom{n-3}{a} xy^2z(a+x+z)^a(n-a-3+y)^{n-a-4} \\ &= (n-2)xyz(x+y+z+n-3)^{n-3}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality comes from the fact that  $(a-1)\binom{n-2}{a-1} = (n-2)\binom{n-3}{a-2}$ . The last equality comes from variant 2 of Abel's binomial formula. Now for  $B_n(x, y, z)$  we need to be careful and isolate the case  $a = n-1$  because formula (3) doesn't apply in the case ( $y = 1, n = 1$ ).

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(x, y, z) - x^2yz(x+n-2)^{n-3} &= \sum_{a=0}^{n-3} \binom{n-2}{a} x^2y^2z^2(a+x)^{a-1}(n-a-3+y+z)^{n-a-3} \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{n-4} \binom{n-3}{a} x^2y^2z^2(a+1+x)^a(n-a-4+y+z)^{n-a-4} \\ &\quad + \sum_{a=0}^{n-3} \binom{n-3}{a} x^2y^2z^2(a+x)^{a-1}(n-a-3+y+z)^{n-a-3}. \\ &= \frac{x^2y^2z^2}{y+z-1} [(x+y+z+n-3)^{n-3} - (x+n-2)^{n-3}] \\ &\quad + xy^2z^2(x+y+z+n-3)^{n-3}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality comes from the fact that  $\binom{n-2}{a} = \binom{n-3}{a-1} + \binom{n-3}{a}$ . The last one comes from variants 1 and 2 of Abel's binomial Theorem. The desired formula follows easily.  $\square$

## 4 A second generating function on Cayley trees and Proof of Theorem 1

The goal now is to compute the exponential generating function of  $G_n$ :

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{G_{n+1}(x, y, z)}{n!} t^n.$$

Then by identifying coefficients in formula (6), we will be able to prove Theorem 1. The first step is to establish a symmetry property of  $G_n$  with a bijective approach.

### 4.1 A symmetry result

Before computing the exponential generating function of  $G_n$  we first state a useful symmetry result.

**Proposition 13.** *For all  $n \geq 2$ :*

$$G_n(x, y, z) = G_n(y, x, z).$$

*Proof.* We will prove it by finding a bijection  $\phi$  in  $\mathfrak{M}_n$  which exchanges  $\mathbb{T}_1$  and  $\mathbb{T}_2$  and keeps  $\mathbb{M}_1$  unchanged. For  $1 \leq k \leq n$  we set  $\gamma(k) = 3 - k \pmod n$  so  $\gamma$  is a permutation and  $\gamma^{-1} = \gamma$ . For  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{M}_n$  we define  $\phi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1}) = \gamma \circ \tau_1 \circ \dots \circ \tau_{n-1} \circ \gamma$ . Notice that for any transposition  $\tau = (a b)$ ,  $\gamma \circ \tau \circ \gamma = (\gamma(a) \gamma(b))$

hence  $\phi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1})$  is a product of  $n - 1$  transpositions. To see that  $\phi$  has the expected property, we interpret the action of  $\phi$  on the tree  $\mathcal{F}$ . The tree  $\mathcal{F} \circ \phi$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{F}$  by relabelling the vertex-labels according to the permutation  $\gamma$  and the edge-labels according to the permutation  $i \mapsto n - i$ . Such an edge-relabelling implies that  $\text{Find}_n$  reads through  $\mathcal{E} \circ \phi$  in the exact opposite order than in  $\mathcal{E}$ . Thus we easily check that  $\phi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1})$  sends 2 on 3, 3 on 4,  $\dots$ ,  $n$  on 1 and 1 on 2 so  $\phi(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{n-1})$  is a minimal factorization of  $(1 \dots n)$ .  $\square$

## 4.2 Computation of the exponential generating function of the second generating function

Before computing the exponential generating function of  $G_n$  let's introduce the Lambert  $W$  function (see e.g [CJK97]). It is by definition the solution (in the sense of formal series) of  $W(z)e^{W(z)} = z$ . Using Lagrange inversion, one can show that  $W(z) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{(-n)^{n-1}}{n!} z^n$ . Again, using Lagrange inversion, one can also compute:

$$e^{-rW(-z)} = \left[ \frac{-W(-z)}{z} \right]^r = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{r(n+r)^{n-1}}{n!} z^n \quad (5)$$

with the convention that  $0 \times 0^{-1} = 1$ . These properties of  $W$  will be useful when proving Proposition 14 and Theorem 1.

**Proposition 14.** *The following identity on formal series holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} e^t(y-x) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{G_{n+1}(x, y, z)}{n!} t^n &= \frac{xyz(x-1)}{x+z-1} e^{-yW(-t)} - \frac{xyz(y-1)}{y+z-1} e^{-xW(-t)} \\ &+ \frac{xyz^2(y-x)}{(x+z-1)(y+z-1)} e^{-(x+y+z-1)W(-t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $n \geq 1$ . As in the proof of Proposition 9 in the case  $y = 1$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  the set of couples  $(A, B)$  with  $A$  and  $B$  two subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n+1\}$  such that  $A \cup B = \{1, \dots, n+1\}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $1 \in A$  and  $n+1 \in B$ . Then with a similar "tree-cutting" argument that led to (4) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n+1}(x, y, z) &= xyz \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{C}_n} y^{\deg_1(t)} + \sum_{\substack{(A,B) \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \\ |A| > 1}} \sum_{\substack{t_1 \in \mathfrak{C}_A \\ t_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_B}} \left[ (|A| - 2) x^{\deg_1(t_1)} y^{\deg'_2(t_1)} z^{|L_1(t_1)|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + x^{\deg_1(t_1)+1} y^{\deg'_2(t_1)} z^{|L_1(t_1)|} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + x^{\deg_1(t_1)} y^{\deg_{n+1}(t_2)+1} z^{|L_1(t_1)|+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The first term corresponds to the case where  $t_1$  has only 1 vertex (i.e.  $|A| = 1$ ). If  $|A| > 1$  then the vertex 1 and the last vertex of the path  $L_1(t_1)$  are distinct in  $t_1$  and we have to consider three cases: 1) we attach  $t_2$  to a vertex of  $t_1$  which is neither 1, nor the last vertex of  $L_1(t_1)$ . 2) We attach  $t_2$  to the vertex 1. 3) We attach  $t_2$  to the last vertex of  $L_1(t_1)$ . We then have:

$$G_{n+1}(x, y, z) = xyz F_n(y) + \sum_{a=2}^n \binom{n-1}{a-1} ((a-2)G_a(x, y, z) + xG_a(x, y, z) + yzF_a(x, 1, z)F_{n+1-a}(y)).$$

By Proposition 13, we get:

$$G_{n+1}(x, y, z) = xyz F_n(x) + \sum_{a=2}^n \binom{n-1}{a-1} [(a-2)G_a(x, y, z) + yG_a(x, y, z) + xzF_a(y, 1, z)F_{n+1-a}(x)].$$

Now if we make the difference between the last two equations, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= xyz(F_n(y) - F_n(x)) + (x-y) \sum_{a=2}^n \binom{n-1}{a-1} G_a(x, y, z) \\ &+ z \sum_{a=2}^n \binom{n-1}{a-1} [yF_a(x, 1, z)F_{n+1-a}(y) - xF_a(y, 1, z)F_{n+1-a}(x)] \end{aligned}$$

If  $n \geq 2$ , by using the third variant of Abel's binomial formula and Proposition 9 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=2}^n \binom{n-1}{a-1} F_a(x, 1, z) F_{n+1-a}(y) &= xz \frac{x+y+z-1}{x+z-1} (x+y+z+n-2)^{n-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{xyz(x+n-1)^{n-2}}{y+z-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a=2}^n \binom{n-1}{a-1} F_a(y, 1, z) F_{n+1-a}(x) &= yz \frac{x+y+z-1}{y+z-1} (x+y+z+n-2)^{n-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{xyz(y+n-1)^{n-2}}{x+z-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So, finally,

$$0 = (x-y) \sum_{a=2}^n \binom{n-1}{a-1} G_a(x, y, z) + u_{n-1}(x, y, z),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n-1}(x, y, z) &= \frac{xy^2z(x-1)}{x+z-1} (y+n-1)^{n-2} - \frac{x^2yz(y-1)}{y+z-1} (x+n-1)^{n-2} \\ &\quad + \frac{xyz^2(y-x)(x+y+z-1)}{(x+z-1)(y+z-1)} (x+y+z+n-2)^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Pascal's inversion formula,

$$(y-x)G_{n+1}(x, y, z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} u_k(x, y, z). \quad (7)$$

Now define for  $n \geq 0$  the polynomials  $P_n$  by

$$P_n(u) = \sum_{k=0}^n u \binom{n}{k} (-1)^{n-k} (k+u)^{k-1}. \quad (8)$$

Their exponential generating function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{P_n(u)}{n!} t^n &= \sum_{k \geq 0} ut^k (k+u)^{k-1} \sum_{n \geq k} \binom{n}{k} \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{n!} t^{n-k} \\ &= ue^{-t} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(k+u)^{k-1}}{k!} t^k \\ &= e^{-t-uW(-t)}. \end{aligned}$$

This, combined with (7), readily gives the desired result.  $\square$

### 4.3 Proof of Theorem 1

To simplify notation, for  $n \geq 2$ , set

$$p_{i,j}^n = \mathbb{P}(\deg_1(T_n) = i, \deg_2'(T_n) = j)$$

so that

$$p_{i,j}^n = \frac{1}{n^{n-2}} [x^i y^j] G_n(x, y, 1).$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Fix  $i, j \geq 1$ . We take the formula of Proposition 14 with  $z = 1$  and divide it by  $(y-x)$  to get:

$$\begin{aligned} e^t \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{G_{n+1}(x, y, 1)}{n!} t^n &= \frac{xy}{y-x} \left[ e^{-yW(-t)} - e^{-xW(-t)} \right] + \frac{1}{y-x} \left[ xe^{-xW(-t)} - ye^{-yW(-t)} \right] \\ &\quad + e^{-(x+y)W(-t)}. \end{aligned}$$



We shall identify the coefficient in front of  $x^i y^j$  (which is a polynomial in  $t$ ) in this formula. On the left of this equality, the coefficient is:

$$e^t \sum_{n \geq 1} p_{i,j}^{n+1} \frac{(n+1)^{n-1}}{n!} t^n.$$

Using the identity  $y^k - x^k = (y-x)(y^{k-1} + y^{k-2}x + \dots + x^{k-1})$  we deduce the coefficient on the right:

$$\frac{(-W(-t))^{i+j}}{i!j!} + \frac{(-W(-t))^{i+j-1}}{(i+j-1)!} + \frac{(-W(-t))^{i+j}}{(i+j)!}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} p_{i,j}^{n+1} \frac{(n+1)^{n-1}}{n!} t^n = e^{-t} \frac{(-W(-t))^{i+j}}{i!j!} + e^{-t} \frac{(-W(-t))^{i+j-1}}{(i+j-1)!} + e^{-t} \frac{(-W(-t))^{i+j}}{(i+j)!}.$$

We now fix  $n \geq i+j$  and identify the coefficient associated with  $t^n$  in the above formula. Fix  $1 \leq \ell \leq n$ . By using formula (5) we obtain:

$$[t^n] e^{-t} (-W(-t))^\ell = \ell \sum_{k=\ell}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \frac{k^{k-1-\ell}}{(k-\ell)!}.$$

Recall the definition of  $P_n$  in (8). Taking  $y = z = 1$  in equation (7) gives:

$$G_{n+1}(x, 1, 1) = F_{n+1}(x) = P_n(x+1) - P_n(1).$$

In particular,

$$P_n^{(\ell)}(x+1) = F_n^{(\ell)}(x) = x \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-1-\ell)!} (n+x)^{n-1-\ell} + \ell \frac{(n-1)!}{(n-\ell)!} (n+x)^{n-\ell},$$

with the convention that  $1/(-1)! = 0$ . On the other hand, by definition of  $P_n$ ,

$$P_n^{(\ell)}(x) = x \sum_{k=\ell+1}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \frac{(k-1)!}{(k-1-\ell)!} (k+x)^{k-1-\ell} + \ell \sum_{k=\ell}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \frac{(k-1)!}{(k-\ell)!} (k+x)^{k-\ell}.$$

We finally obtain:

$$\ell \sum_{k=\ell}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \frac{k^{k-1-\ell}}{(k-\ell)!} = \frac{1}{n!} P_n^{(\ell)}(0) = (n-1)^{n-\ell-1} \frac{\ell-1}{(n-\ell)!}.$$

Formula (1) then follows. □

## References

- [ACEH18] A Alexandrov, G Chapuy, B Eynard, and J Harnad. Weighted Hurwitz numbers and topological recursion: An overview. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 59(8):081102, Aug 2018.
- [AM19] O Angel and J Martin. 14th probability and combinatorics workshop. Private communication, Barbados 2019.
- [Bia97] P Biane. Some properties of crossings and partitions. *Discrete Math.*, 175:41–53, 1997.
- [Bia02] P Biane. Parking functions of types A and B. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 9, 2002.
- [Bia05] P Biane. Nombre de factorisations d'un grand cycle. *Sem. Lothar. Combin.*, 51, 2004/2005.
- [Car19] A Caraceni. 14th probability and combinatorics workshop. Private communication, Barbados 2019.
- [CJK97] R Corless, D Jeffrey, and D Knuth. A sequence of series for the lambert  $w$  function. *ISSAC '97: Proceedings of the 1997 international symposium on Symbolic and algebraic computation*, pages 197–204, 1997.

- [D 59] J D enes. The representation of a permutation as the product of a minimal number of transpositions, and its connection with the theory of graphs. *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutato Int. Kozl.*, 4:63–71, 1959.
- [FK18] V F eray and I Kortchemski. The geometry of random minimal factorizations of a long cycle via biconditioned bitype random trees. *Ann. H. Lebesgue*, 1:149–226, 2018.
- [FK19] V F eray and I Kortchemski. Trajectories in random minimal transposition factorizations. *Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.*, 16:759–785, 2019.
- [GP93] I P Goulden and S Pepper. Labelled trees and factorizations of a cycle into transpositions. *Discrete Math.*, 113:263–268, 1993.
- [GY02] I Goulden and A Yong. Tree-like properties of cycle factorizations. *J. Combinatorial Theory ser. A*, 98:106–117, 2002.
- [Mos89] P Moszkowski. A solution to a problem of denes: a bijection between trees and factorizations of cyclic permutations. *European J. Combin.*, 10:13–16, 1989.
- [Rio79] J Riordan. *Combinatorial Identities*. New York: Wiley, 1979.
- [The20] P Thevenin. A geometric representation of fragmentation processes on stable trees. arXiv:1910.04508, 2020.