

Component factors in $K_{1,r}$ -free graphs *

Guowei Dai¹, Zanbo Zhang², Xiaoyan Zhang¹

¹ School of Mathematical Science & Institute of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210023, P.R. China.

² School of Statistics & Mathematics, and Institute of Artificial Intelligence & Deep Learning, Guangdong University of Finance & Economics, Guangzhou 510630, P.R. China.

Abstract. A graph is said to be $K_{1,r}$ -free if it does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,r}$. An \mathcal{F} -factor is a spanning subgraph H such that each connected component of H is isomorphic to some graph in \mathcal{F} . In particular, H is called an $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor of G if $\mathcal{F} = \{P_2, P_3\}$; H is called an \mathcal{S}_n -factor of G if $\mathcal{F} = \{K_{1,1}, K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, \dots, K_{1,n}\}$, where $n \geq 2$. A spanning subgraph of a graph G is called a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq k}$ -factor of G if its each component is isomorphic to a path of order at least k , where $k \geq 2$. A graph G is called a \mathcal{F} -factor covered graph if there is a \mathcal{F} -factor of G including e for any $e \in E(G)$. In this paper, we give a minimum degree condition for a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph to have an \mathcal{S}_n -factor and a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor, respectively. Further, we obtain sufficient conditions for $K_{1,r}$ -free graphs to be $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor, $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor or $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graphs. In addition, examples show that our results are sharp.

Key words. minimum degree, $K_{1,r}$ -free, \mathcal{S}_n -factor, $\mathcal{P}_{\geq k}$ -factor, $\mathcal{P}_{\geq k}$ -factor covered graph.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 05C70, 05C38

1. Introduction

We consider only finite simple graph, unless explicitly stated. We refer to [5] for the notation and terminologies not defined here. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph, where $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the vertex set and the edge set of G , respectively. A subgraph H of G is called a spanning subgraph of G if $V(H) = V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. A subgraph H of G is called an induced subgraph of G if every pair of vertices in H which are adjacent in G are also adjacent in H . For $v \in V(G)$, we use $d_G(v)$ and $N_G(v)$ to denote the degree of v and the set of vertices adjacent to v in G , respectively. For $S \subseteq V(G)$,

* This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11871280, 11971349 and U1811461), the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 2020B1515310009) and Qinglan Project of Jiangsu Province.

Correspondence to: Xiaoyan Zhang (e-mail: royxyzhang@gmail.com, zhangxiaoyan@njnu.edu.cn)

we write $N_G(S) = \cup_{v \in S} N_G(v)$. We use $\delta(G)$ to denote the minimum degree of a graph G . We denote by K_m the complete graph of order m .

A graph of order $r + 1$ is called $K_{1,r}$ with center vertex u if it has the vertex set $\{u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r\}$ and edge set $\{uu_1, uu_2, \dots, uu_r\}$, where r is an integer with $r \geq 2$. We use \mathcal{S}_n to denote the set $\{K_2, K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, \dots, K_{1,n}\}$, where $n \geq 2$. For an integer $r \geq 3$, we say that a graph G is $K_{1,r}$ -free if G does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,r}$. In particular, a graph is said to be claw-free if it is $K_{1,3}$ -free.

For a family of connected graphs \mathcal{F} , a spanning subgraph H of a graph G is called an \mathcal{F} -factor of G if each component of H is isomorphic to some graph in \mathcal{F} . In particular, H is called an \mathcal{S}_n -factor of G if $\mathcal{F} = \{K_2, K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}, \dots, K_{1,n}\}$. A spanning subgraph H of a graph G is called a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq k}$ -factor of G if every component of H is isomorphic to a path of order at least k . For example, a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor means a graph factor in which every component is a path of order at least three. A graph G is called a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq k}$ -factor covered graph if there is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq k}$ -factor of G covering e for any $e \in E(G)$.

Since Tutte proposed the well known Tutte 1-factor theorem [18], there are many results on graph factors [2, 3, 8, 9, 19], \mathcal{S}_n -factors [7, 13, 14, 22], and $\mathcal{P}_{\geq k}$ -factors in claw-free graphs and cubic graphs [4, 12, 15]. More results on graph factors can be found in the survey papers and books in [2, 17, 24]. We use $\omega(G)$, $i(G)$ to denote the number of components and isolated vertices of a graph G , respectively. For $X \subseteq V(G)$, let $G[X]$ be the subgraph of G induced by X and define $G - X := G[V(G) - X]$. Akiyama, Avis and Era [1] proved the following theorem, which is a criterion for a graph to have a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor.

Theorem 1. (Akiyama, Avis and Era [2]) *A graph G has a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor if and only if $i(G - X) \leq 2|X|$ for all $X \subseteq V(G)$.*

Amahashi, Kano [3] and Las Vergnas [16] gave independently two necessary and sufficient conditions for a graph to have an \mathcal{S}_n -factor, which is a generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. (Amahashi and Kano [3]; Las Vergnas [16]) *Let n be an integer with $n \geq 2$. Then a graph G has an \mathcal{S}_n -factor if and only if $i(G - X) \leq n|X|$ for all $X \subseteq V(G)$, or $|S| \leq n|N_G(S)|$ for every independent set S of G .*

In this paper, we investigate the existence of \mathcal{S}_n -factors in $K_{1,r}$ -free graphs, and obtain a minimum degree condition for a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph to have an \mathcal{S}_n -factor as following.

Theorem 3. *Let r, n be two integers with $r \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$, and let G be a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph. If $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \rfloor$, then G has an \mathcal{S}_n -factor.*

Remark 1 *The minimum degree condition in Theorem 3 is best possible. To see this, we construct a graph $G = (r - 1)K_1 \vee G_1$, where $G_1 \cong K_{\lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \rfloor - 1}$ and $r \geq 3, n \geq 2$ are two*

integers. It is obvious that $\delta(G) = \lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \rfloor - 1$ and G is $K_{1,r}$ -free. We choose $X = V(G_1)$, and so $|X| = \lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \rfloor - 1$. Thus, we have

$$i(G - X) = r - 1 > n \times \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) = n|X|.$$

In view of Theorem 12, G has no \mathcal{S}_n -factor.

Since the graph P_k , $k \geq 4$ itself has a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor, it is easy to verify that a graph has a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor if and only if it has a $\{K_2, K_{1,2}\}$ -factor. Let $n = 2$, then by Theorem 3, we can obtain the the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 4 *Let r be an integer with $r \geq 3$, and let G be a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph. If $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$, then G has a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor.*

Kaneko [10] introduced the concept of a sun and gave a characterization for a graph with a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor. It is perhaps the first characterization of graphs which have a path factor not including P_2 . Recently, Kano et al. [11] presented a simpler proof for Kaneko's theorem [10].

A graph H is called factor-critical if $H - \{v\}$ has a 1-factor for each $v \in V(H)$. Let H be a factor-critical graph and $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. By adding new vertices $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ together with new edges $\{v_i u_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ to H , the resulting graph is called a sun. Note that, according to Kaneko [10], we regard K_1 and K_2 also as a sun, respectively. Usually, the suns other than K_1 are called big suns. It is called a sun component of $G - X$ if the component of $G - X$ is isomorphic to a sun. We denote by $sun(G - X)$ the number of sun components in $G - X$.

Theorem 5. *(Kaneko [10]) A graph G has a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor if and only if $sun(G - X) \leq 2|X|$ for all $X \subseteq V(G)$.*

From Theorem 5, Kaneko [10] immediately deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 6 *(Kaneko [10]) Every claw-free graph with minimum degree at least 2 has a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor.*

We extend Corollary 6 and obtain a minimum degree condition for a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph admitting a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor.

Theorem 7. *Let r be an integer with $r \geq 3$, and let G be a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph. If $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then G has a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor.*

Remark 2 *The minimum degree condition in Theorem 7 is best possible. To see this, we construct a graph $G = (r - 1)K_2 \vee K_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1}$, where $r \geq 3$ is an integer. It is obvious that*

$\delta(G) = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ and G is $K_{1,r}$ -free. We choose $X = V(K_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1})$, and so $|X| = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor - 1$. Thus, we have

$$\text{sun}(G - X) = r - 1 > 2 \times \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \right) = 2|X|.$$

In view of Theorem 5, G has no $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor.

Zhang and Zhou [25] proposed the concept of path-factor covered graphs, which is a generalization of matching covered graphs. They also obtained a characterization for $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor and $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graphs, respectively.

Theorem 8. (Zhang et al. [25]) *Let G be a connected graph. Then G is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph if and only if $i(G - S) \leq 2|S| - \varepsilon_1(S)$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, where $\varepsilon_1(S)$ is defined by*

$$\varepsilon_1(S) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \text{ and } S \text{ is not an independent set;} \\ 1 & \text{if } S \text{ is a nonempty independent set and there exists} \\ & \text{a nontrivial component of } G - S; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 9. (Zhang et al. [25]) *Let G be a connected graph. Then G is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph if and only if $\text{sun}(G - S) \leq 2|S| - \varepsilon_2(S)$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, where $\varepsilon_2(S)$ is defined by*

$$\varepsilon_2(S) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } S \neq \emptyset \text{ and } S \text{ is not an independent set;} \\ 1 & \text{if } S \text{ is a nonempty independent set and there exists a} \\ & \text{non - sun component of } G - S; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The first author of this paper has verified that a claw-free graph G is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph if $\delta(G) \geq 2$ [6]. We extend the above result and obtain a minimum degree condition for a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph being a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph.

Theorem 10. *Let r be an integer with $r \geq 3$, and let G be a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph. If $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then G is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph.*

Remark 3 *The minimum degree condition in Theorem 10 is best possible. In order to show this, we construct a graph $G = (r - 1)K_1 \vee K_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$, where $r \geq 3$ is an integer. It is obvious that $\delta(G) = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ and G is $K_{1,r}$ -free. We choose $S = V(K_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor})$, and so $|S| = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$. Note that $\varepsilon_1(S) = 2$. Thus, we have*

$$i(G - S) = r - 1 > 2 \times \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor - 2 = 2|S| - \varepsilon_1(S).$$

In terms of Theorem 8, G is not a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph.

The first author of this paper has also verified that a claw-free graph G is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph if $\delta(G) \geq 3$ [6]. We extend the above result and obtain a minimum degree condition for a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph being a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph.

Theorem 11. *Let r be an integer with $r \geq 3$, and let G be a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph. If $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 2$, then G is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph.*

Remark 4 *The minimum degree condition in Theorem 11 is best possible. In order to show this, we construct a graph $G = (r-1)K_2 \vee K_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor}$, where $r \geq 3$ is an integer. It is obvious that $\delta(G) = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1$ and G is $K_{1,r}$ -free. We choose $S = V(K_{\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor})$, and so $|S| = \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$. Note that $\varepsilon_2(S) = 2$. Thus, we have*

$$\text{sun}(G - S) = r - 1 > 2 \times \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor - 2 = 2|S| - \varepsilon_2(S).$$

In terms of Theorem 9, G is not a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph.

Let $n = 2$, then $\mathcal{S}_n = \{P_2, P_3\}$. The following is a main result in [20] and [23].

Theorem 12. *Let G be a connected graph. G is a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graph if and only if $i(G - S) \leq 2|S| - \varepsilon_3(S)$ for all $S \subseteq V(G)$, where $\varepsilon_3(S)$ is defined by*

$$\varepsilon_3(S) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if nonempty set } X \text{ is not independent;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that a graph is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph not equivalent to a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graph. For example, $P := x_1x_2x_3x_4$ is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph and it has no $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor to cover edge x_2x_3 . We give a sufficient condition for a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph to be a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graph.

Theorem 13. *Let r be an integer with $r \geq 3$, and let G be a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph. If $\delta(G) \geq \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + 1$, then G is a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graph.*

Remark 5 *The minimum degree condition in Theorem 13 is best possible. In order to show this, we construct a graph $G = (r-1)K_1 \vee K_{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil}$, where $r \geq 3$ is an integer. It is obvious that $\delta(G) = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$ and G is $K_{1,r}$ -free. We choose $S = V(K_{\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil})$, and so $|S| = \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil$. Note that $\varepsilon_3(S) = 3$. Thus, we have*

$$i(G - S) = r - 1 > 2 \times \left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil - 3 = 2|S| - \varepsilon_3(S).$$

In terms of Theorem 12, G is not a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graph.

2. Proof of Theorem 3

By contradiction, suppose that Theorem 3 is not hold. Then, there exists a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph G such that $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \rfloor$, and G has no \mathcal{S}_n -factor. It is easy to find that G has a connected component G' such that G' has no \mathcal{S}_n -factor. Note that G' is also a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph and $\delta(G') \geq \lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \rfloor \geq 1$. By Theorem 12, there exists a subset $X \subseteq V(G')$ such that $i(G' - X) > n|X|$. In terms of the integrality of $i(G' - X)$, we obtain that

$$i(G' - X) \geq n|X| + 1. \quad (1)$$

Claim 2.1 $X \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose $X = \emptyset$, then $i(G') = i(G' - X) \geq n|X| + 1 = 1$. Since G' is a connected graph, we obtain that G' is a isolated vertex, which contradicts the minimum degree of G' . This completes the proof of Claim 2.1. \square

By Claim 2.1, $X \neq \emptyset$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$, then $|X| = k$. Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ be the set of isolated vertices of $G' - X$. Then, by (1), we have that

$$i(G' - X) = m \geq nk + 1. \quad (2)$$

Next, we construct a bipartite subgraph F of G' such that $V(F) = V(X) \cup V(Y)$ and $x_i y_j \in E(F)$ if and only if $x_i y_j \in E(G')$ for any $i \in [1, k]$, $j \in [1, m]$. Since $\delta(G') \geq \lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \rfloor$, we have $d_X(y_j) \geq \lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \rfloor$ for any $j \in [1, m]$. By (2), we can derive that

$$|X| \geq d_X(y_j) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \right\rfloor,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |E(F)| &= \sum_{j=1}^m d_F(y_j) \\ &\geq m \times \left\lfloor \frac{r+n-2}{n} \right\rfloor \\ &\geq (nk + 1) \times \frac{r-1}{n} \\ &= kr + \frac{r-1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$\frac{|E(F)|}{|X|} \geq \frac{k(r-1) + \frac{r-1}{n}}{k} = r - 1 + \frac{r-1}{nk} > r - 1. \quad (3)$$

By pigeonhole principle and (3), there exists $x_i \in X$ such that $d_F(x_i) \geq r$. Let $J = N_F(x_i) \cap Y$, then $G'[\{x_i\} \cup J] \cong K_{1,|J|}$. Since G' is $K_{1,r}$ -free, $|J| < r$, which is a contradiction to that $|J| = d_F(x_i) \geq r$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

3. Proof of Theorem 7

By contradiction, suppose that Theorem 7 is not hold. Then, there exists a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph G such that $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1$, and G has no $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor. It is easy to find that G has a connected component G' such that G' has no $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor. Note that G' is also a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph and $\delta(G') \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq 2$. By Theorem 5, there exists a subset $X \subseteq V(G')$ such that $\text{sun}(G' - X) > 2|X|$. In terms of the integrality of $\text{sun}(G' - X)$, we obtain that

$$\text{sun}(G' - X) \geq 2|X| + 1. \quad (4)$$

Claim 3.1 $X \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose $X = \emptyset$, then $\text{sun}(G') = \text{sun}(G' - X) \geq 2|X| + 1 = 1$. On the other hand, $\text{sun}(G') \leq \omega(G') = 1$ since G' is a connected graph. So, we obtain that G' is a big sun, which contradicts the minimum degree of G' . This completes the proof of Claim 3.1. \square

By Claim 3.1, $X \neq \emptyset$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\}$, then $|X| = k$. Let $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\}$ be the set of sun components of $G' - X$. Then, by (4), we have that

$$\text{sun}(G' - X) = m \geq 2k + 1. \quad (5)$$

For any $i \in [1, m]$, as C_i is a sun component, there exists $y_i \in V(C_i)$ such that $d_{C_i}(y_i) \leq 1$. Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$. Then we construct a bipartite subgraph F of G' such that $V(F) = V(X) \cup V(Y)$ and $x_i y_j \in E(F)$ if and only if $x_i y_j \in E(G')$ for any $i \in [1, k]$, $j \in [1, m]$. Note that for any $j \in [1, m]$, we have $d_{C_j}(y_j) \leq 1$. Thus, for any $j \in [1, m]$,

$$d_F(y_j) = d_{G'}(y_j) - d_{C_j}(y_j) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor. \quad (6)$$

By (5) and (6), we can derive that

$$|X| \geq d_F(y_j) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |E(F)| &= \sum_{j=1}^m d_F(y_j) \\ &\geq m \times \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor \\ &\geq (2k + 1) \times \frac{r-1}{2} \\ &= k(r-1) + \frac{r-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$\frac{|E(F)|}{|X|} \geq \frac{k(r-1) + \frac{r-1}{2}}{k} = r-1 + \frac{r-1}{2k} > r-1. \quad (7)$$

By pigeonhole principle and (7), there exists $x_i \in X$ such that $d_F(x_i) \geq r$. Let $I = N_F(x_i) \cap Y$, then $G'[\{x_i\} \cup I] \cong K_{1,|I|}$. Since G' is $K_{1,r}$ -free, $|I| < r$, which is a contradiction to that $|I| = d_F(x_i) \geq r$. This completes the proof of Theorem 7.

4. Proof of Theorem 10

By contradiction, suppose that Theorem 10 is not hold. Then, there exists a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph G such that $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1$, and G is not a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph. It is easy to find that G has a connected component G' such that G' is not a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph. Note that G' is also a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph and $\delta(G') \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1 \geq 2$. By Theorem 8, there exists a subset $S \subseteq V(G')$ such that $i(G' - S) > 2|S| - \varepsilon_1(S)$. In terms of the integrality of $i(G' - S)$, we obtain that $i(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_1(S) + 1$.

We will distinguish two cases below to show that G is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor covered graph.

Case 1. $S = \emptyset$.

In this case, by the definition of $\varepsilon_1(S)$, we have $\varepsilon_1(S) = 0$. It follows easily that

$$i(G') = i(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_1(S) + 1 = 1.$$

Since G' is a connected graph, we obtain that G' is a isolated vertex, which contradicts the minimum degree of G' . This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2. $S \neq \emptyset$.

Let $|S| = k$ and $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$. By the definition of $\varepsilon_1(S)$, we have $\varepsilon_1(S) \leq 2$. It follows easily that

$$i(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_1(S) + 1 \geq 2|S| - 1.$$

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ be the set of isolated vertices of $G' - S$, then $i(G' - S) = m \geq 2k - 1$. Next, we construct a bipartite subgraph H of G' such that $V(H) = V(S) \cup V(X)$ and $s_i x_j \in E(H)$ if and only if $s_i x_j \in E(G')$ for any $i \in [1, k]$, $j \in [1, m]$. Since $\delta(G') \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1$, we have $d_S(x_j) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1$ for any $j \in [1, m]$. Then, we can derive that

$$|S| \geq d_S(x_j) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |E(H)| &= \sum_{j=1}^m d_H(x_j) \\ &\geq m \left(\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 1 \right) \\ &\geq (2k - 1) \times \frac{r + 1}{2} \\ &= kr + \left(k - \frac{r + 1}{2} \right) \\ &\geq kr. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$\frac{|E(H)|}{|S|} \geq \frac{kr}{|S|} = r. \quad (8)$$

By pigeonhole principle and (8), there exists $s_i \in S$ such that $d_H(s_i) \geq r$. Let $J = N_H(s_i) \cap X$, then $G'[\{s_i\} \cup J] \cong K_{1,|J|}$. Since G' is $K_{1,r}$ -free, $|J| < r$, which is a contradiction to that $|J| = d_H(s_i) \geq r$. This completes the proof of Case 2.

Combining Case 1 and Case 2, Theorem 10 is proved.

5. Proof of Theorem 11

By contradiction, suppose that Theorem 11 is not hold. Then, there exists a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph G such that $\delta(G) \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 2$, and G is not a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph. It is easy to find that G has a connected component G' such that G' is not a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph. Note that G' is also a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph and $\delta(G') \geq \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor + 2 \geq 3$. By Theorem 9, there exists a subset $S \subseteq V(G')$ such that $\text{sun}(G' - S) > 2|S| - \varepsilon_2(S)$. In terms of the integrality of $\text{sun}(G' - S)$, we obtain that $\text{sun}(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_2(S) + 1$.

We will distinguish two cases below to show that G is a $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graph.

Case 1. $S = \emptyset$.

In this case, by the definition of $\varepsilon_2(S)$, we have $\varepsilon_2(S) = 0$. It follows easily that

$$\text{sun}(G') = \text{sun}(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_2(S) + 1 = 1.$$

On the other hand, $\text{sun}(G') \leq \omega(G') = 1$ since G' is a connected graph. Combining the results above, we obtain that G' is a big sun, which contradicts the minimum degree of G' . This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2. $S \neq \emptyset$.

Let $|S| = k$ and $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$. By the definition of $\varepsilon_2(S)$, we have $\varepsilon_2(S) \leq 2$. It follows easily that

$$\text{sun}(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_2(S) + 1 \geq 2|S| - 1.$$

Let $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\}$ be the set of sun components of $G' - S$, then $\text{sun}(G' - S) = m \geq 2k - 1$. For any $i \in [1, m]$, as C_i is a sun component, there exists $x_i \in V(C_i)$ such that $d_{C_i}(x_i) \leq 1$. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$. Then we construct a bipartite subgraph H of G' such that $V(H) = V(S) \cup V(X)$ and $s_i x_j \in E(H)$ if and only if $s_i x_j \in E(G')$ for any $i \in [1, k]$, $j \in [1, m]$. Note that for any $j \in [1, m]$, we have $d_{C_j}(x_j) \leq 1$. Thus, for any $j \in [1, m]$,

$$d_H(x_j) = d_{G'}(x_j) - d_{C_j}(x_j) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor + 1. \quad (9)$$

By (9), we can derive that

$$|S| \geq d_H(x_j) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
|E(H)| &= \sum_{j=1}^m d_H(x_j) \\
&\geq m \left(\left\lfloor \frac{r}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \\
&\geq (2k-1) \times \frac{r+1}{2} \\
&= kr + \left(k - \frac{r+1}{2} \right) \\
&\geq kr.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$\frac{|E(H)|}{|S|} \geq \frac{kr}{|S|} = r. \quad (10)$$

By pigeonhole principle and (10), there exists $s_i \in S$ such that $d_H(s_i) \geq r$. Let $I = N_H(s_i) \cap X$, then $G'[\{s_i\} \cup I] \cong K_{1,|I|}$. Since G' is $K_{1,r}$ -free, $|I| < r$, which is a contradiction to that $|I| = d_H(s_i) \geq r$. This completes the proof of Case 2.

Combining Case 1 and Case 2, Theorem 11 is proved.

6. Proof of Theorem 13

By contradiction, suppose that Theorem 13 is not hold. Then, there exists a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph G such that $\delta(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil + 1$, and G is not a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graph. It is easy to find that G has a connected component G' such that G' is not a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graph. Note that G' is also a $K_{1,r}$ -free graph and $\delta(G') \geq \left\lceil \frac{r}{2} \right\rceil + 1 \geq 3$. By Theorem 12, there exists a subset $S \subseteq V(G')$ such that $i(G' - S) > 2|S| - \varepsilon_3(S)$. In terms of the integrality of $i(G' - S)$, we obtain that $i(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_3(S) + 1$.

We will distinguish two cases below to show that G is a $\{P_2, P_3\}$ -factor covered graph.

Case 1. $|S| \leq 1$.

In this case, by the definition of $\varepsilon_3(S)$, we have $\varepsilon_3(S) = 0$. It follows easily that

$$i(G') = i(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_3(S) + 1 = 1.$$

Since G' is a connected graph, we obtain that G' is a isolated vertex, which contradicts the minimum degree of G' . This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2. $|S| \geq 2$.

Let $|S| = k$ and $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$. By the definition of $\varepsilon_3(S)$, we have $\varepsilon_3(S) \leq 3$. It follows easily that

$$i(G' - S) \geq 2|S| - \varepsilon_3(S) + 1 \geq 2|S| - 2.$$

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ be the set of isolated vertices of $G' - S$, then $i(G' - S) = m \geq 2k - 2$. Next, we construct a bipartite subgraph H of G' such that $V(H) = V(S) \cup V(X)$ and $s_i x_j \in E(H)$ if and only if $s_i x_j \in E(G')$ for any $i \in [1, k]$, $j \in [1, m]$. Since $\delta(G') \geq \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + 1$, we have $d_S(x_j) \geq \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + 1$ for any $j \in [1, m]$. Then, we can derive that

$$|S| \geq d_S(x_j) \geq \lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + 1,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} |E(H)| &= \sum_{j=1}^m d_H(x_j) \\ &\geq m \left(\lceil \frac{r}{2} \rceil + 1 \right) \\ &\geq (2k - 2) \times \left(\frac{r}{2} + 1 \right) \\ &= kr + 2 \times \left(k - \frac{r}{2} - 1 \right) \\ &\geq kr. \end{aligned}$$

It follows immediately that

$$\frac{|E(H)|}{|S|} \geq \frac{kr}{|S|} = r. \quad (11)$$

By pigeonhole principle and (11), there exists $s_i \in S$ such that $d_H(s_i) \geq r$. Let $J = N_H(s_i) \cap X$, then $G'[\{s_i\} \cup J] \cong K_{1,|J|}$. Since G' is $K_{1,r}$ -free, $|J| < r$, which is a contradiction to that $|J| = d_H(s_i) \geq r$. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 13.

Acknowledgements. We are very grateful for the helpful comments from Professor Zhi-quan Hu, which greatly help to improve the manuscript.

References

1. J. Akiyama, D. Avis, H. Era, On a $\{1,2\}$ -factor of a graph, TRU Math. 16 (1980) 97-102.
2. J. Akiyama, M. Kano, Factors and factorizations of graphs—a survey. J. Graph Theory. 9 (1985) 1-42.
3. A. Amahashi, M. Kano, Factors with given components. Discrete Math. 42 (1982) (1) 1-6.
4. K. Ando, Y. Egawa, A. Kaneko, K.I. Kawarabayashi, H. Matsuda, Path factors in claw-free graphs. Discrete Math. 243 (2002) 195-200.
5. J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph theory with applications. North-Holland, NewYork-Amsterdam-Oxford, (1982).
6. G. Dai, The existence of path-factor covered graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, doi:10.7151/dmgt.2353.

7. Y. Egawa, S. Fujita, K. Ota, $K_{1,3}$ -factors in graphs, *Discrete Math.* **308**, (2008)(24) 5965-5973.
8. Y. Egawa, M. Furuya, K. Ozeki, Sufficient conditions for the existence of a path-factor which are related to odd components, *J. Graph Theory*, 89 (2018) 327-340.
9. A. Kaneko, A. Kelmans, T. Nishimura, On packing 3-vertex paths in a graph. *J. Graph Theory*. 36 (2001) 175-197.
10. A. Kaneko, A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a path factor every component of which is a path of length at least two. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B.* 88 (2003) 195-218.
11. M. Kano, G.Y. Katona, Z. Király, Packing paths of length at least two, *Discrete Math.* 283 (2004) 129-135.
12. M. Kano, C. Lee, K. Suzuki, Path and cycle factors of cubic bipartite graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*. 28 (2008) 551-556.
13. M. Kano, H. Lu and Q. Yu, Component factors with large components in graphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 23 (2010) 385-389.
14. M. Kano and A. Saito, Star-factors with large component. *Discrete Math.* 312 (2012) 2005-2008.
15. K. Kawarabayashi, H. Matsuda, Y. Oda, K. Ota, Path factors in cubic graphs. *J. Graph Theory*. 39 (2002) 188-193.
16. M. Las Vergnas, An extension of Tutte's 1-factor theorem. *Discrete Math.* 23 (1978) (3) 241-255.
17. M.D. Plummer, Perspectives: Graph factors and factorization: 1985-2003: A survey, *Discrete Math.* 307 (2007) 791-821
18. W.T. Tutte, The factors of graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* 4 (1952) 314-328.
19. H. Wang, Path factors of bipartite graphs, *J. Graph Theory*. 18 (1994) 161-167.
20. Q. Yu, On star factor covered graphs, *J. of Math. (PRC)* 11 (1991) (4) 450-454.
21. Q. Yu, Counting the number of star-factors in graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 23 (1997), 65-76.
22. Q. Yu, On barrier sets of star-factors, *Craps Combin.* 6 (1990) (1) 71-76.
23. Q. Yu, C. Chen, On tree-factor covered graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* 2 (1987), 211-218.

24. Q. Yu, G. Liu, Graph Factors and Matching Extensions. Higher Education Press, Beijing (2009).
25. P. Zhang, S. Zhou, Characterizations for $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 2}$ -factor and $\mathcal{P}_{\geq 3}$ -factor covered graphs, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 2067-2076.