
Integrability structures of the generalized Hunter–Saxton equation

Oleg I. Morozov

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We consider integrability structures of the generalized Hunter–Saxton equation. In particular, we obtain the Lax representation with nonremovable spectral parameter, find local recursion operators for symmetries and cosymmetries, generate an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra of higher symmetries, and prove existence of infinite number of cosymmetries of higher order. Further, we give an example of employing the higher order symmetry to constructing exact globally defined solutions for the generalized Hunter–Saxton equation.

Keywords generalized Hunter–Saxton equation · Lax representation · symmetry · cosymmetry · recursion operator · conservation law

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) MSC 35G20 · 35Q60 · 17B50 · 22E70

1 Introduction

The Hunter–Saxton equation

$$u_{tx} = uu_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 \quad (1)$$

was introduced in [12] to describe the nonlinear instability of the director field in the nematic liquid crystal and then has been a subject of thorough investigation. As it was shown in [12], equation (1) admits a Lagrangian formulation with Lagrangian $L = (u_t - uu_x)u_x$. In [13] a bi-Hamiltonian structure, a Lax representation, a nonlocal recursion operator, and a series of conservation laws have been found. A tri-Hamiltonian formulation for (1) was proposed in [27]. Inverse scattering solutions for (1) were constructed in [2]. In [15] it has been proven that equation (1) can be understood as a geodesic equation associated to a right-invariant metric on an appropriate homogeneous space related to the Virasoro group. The pseudo-spherical formulation for equation (1) and quadratic pseudopotentials were proposed and used to find nonlocal symmetries and conservation laws in [31]. In [11], the nonlocal symmetries were used to construct exact solutions and a nonlocal recursion operator for

Oleg I. Morozov
Faculty of Applied Mathematics, AGH University of Science and Technology,
Al. Mickiewicza 30, Cracow 30-059, Poland
E-mail: oimorozov@gmail.com

(1). Nonlocal recursion operators, a fourth order local recursion operator, series of higher symmetries and conservation laws for equation (1) have been constructed in [36], see also [33].

The further discussion of the physical interpretation of equation (1) can be found in [4]. In this paper we consider the generalization

$$u_{tx} = uu_{xx} + \beta u_x^2, \quad \beta \neq 0, \quad (2)$$

of the Hunter–Saxton equation (1). This equation with $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}$ has applications in geometry of Einstein–Weil structures [34, 7], and in hydrodynamics [10]. In [5, 29] a nonlocal transformation was used to construct a general solution for (2). In [25] we have shown that equation (2) is linearizable via the contact transformation $(t, x, u, u_t, u_x) \mapsto (\tilde{t}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{u}, \tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}}, \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}})$ given by the formulae

$$\begin{cases} t = \beta^{-1} \tilde{t}, \\ x = -(\tilde{t} + \tilde{x})^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta}} (\beta (\tilde{t} + \tilde{x}) \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} - \tilde{u}), \\ u = (\tilde{t} + \tilde{x})^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} (\beta (\tilde{t} + \tilde{x}) \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} + (\beta - 1) \tilde{u}), \\ u_t = \beta^2 (\tilde{t} + \tilde{x})^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} (\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} - \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}}), \\ u_x = -(\tilde{t} + \tilde{x})^{-1}. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

This transformation maps (2) to the Euler–Poisson equation

$$\tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}\tilde{x}} = \frac{1}{\beta (\tilde{t} + \tilde{x})} \tilde{u}_{\tilde{t}} + \frac{2(1-\beta)}{\beta (\tilde{t} + \tilde{x})} \tilde{u}_{\tilde{x}} - \frac{2(1-\beta)}{(\beta (\tilde{t} + \tilde{x}))^2} \tilde{u}. \quad (4)$$

In its turn equation (4) is integrable by quadratures via Laplace’s method, [28, § 9.3]. The general solution to (4) combined with the inverse transformation to (3) provides the parametric formula for the general solution to equation (2), see details in [25]. This formula is locally defined and does not give global solutions to (2).

In the present paper we study integrability properties of equation (2). In Section 3 we find the Lax representation for (2) with arbitrary β . We show that this Lax representation includes the non-removable spectral parameter. We study contact symmetries of this equation in Section 4. We show that the Lie algebra of contact symmetries of equation (2) is the semi-direct sum $\mathfrak{s}_4 \ltimes \mathfrak{a}_\infty$ of the four-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}_4 \cong \mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and the infinite-dimensional Abelian ideal \mathfrak{a}_∞ . Then in Section 5 we apply the approach of [17, 18, 19, 22] to find local and nonlocal recursion operators for symmetries of (2). In Section 6 we study the action of local recursion operators to the subalgebra \mathfrak{s}_4 . This action generates a Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{s}_∞ of the algebra of higher symmetries of equation (2). We show that \mathfrak{s}_∞ has an interesting structure of the so-called Lie algebra of matrices of complex size, [9, 30]. Cosymmetries of (2) and recursion operators for cosymmetries are discussed in Section 7. Finally, in Section 8 we use a higher symmetry from \mathfrak{s}_∞ to construct globally defined invariant solutions of equation (2).

To simplify notation, we put $\beta = (\alpha + 2)^{-1}$, $\alpha \neq -2$, so equation (2) gets the form

$$u_{tx} = uu_{xx} + \frac{1}{\alpha + 2} u_x^2. \quad (5)$$

2 Preliminaries

The presentation in this section closely follows [20]–[24], [35]. Let $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\pi: (x^1, \dots, x^n, u^1, \dots, u^m) \mapsto (x^1, \dots, x^n)$, be a trivial bundle, and $J^\infty(\pi)$ be the bundle of

its jets of the infinite order. The local coordinates on $J^\infty(\pi)$ are $(x^i, u^\alpha, u_I^\alpha)$, where $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ are multi-indices, and for every local section $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$ of π the corresponding infinite jet $j_\infty(f)$ is a section $j_\infty(f): \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow J^\infty(\pi)$ such that $u_I^\alpha(j_\infty(f)) = \frac{\partial^{\#I} f^\alpha}{\partial x^I} = \frac{\partial^{i_1+\dots+i_n} f^\alpha}{(\partial x^1)^{i_1} \dots (\partial x^n)^{i_n}}$. We put $u^\alpha = u_{(0, \dots, 0)}^\alpha$. Also, we will simplify notation in the following way, e.g., in the case of $n = 2, m = 1$: we denote $x^1 = t, x^2 = x$ and $u_{(i,j)}^1 = u_{t \dots t x \dots x}$ with i times t and j times x , or $u_{kx}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, for $u_{xx \dots x}$ with k times x .

The vector fields

$$D_{x^k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + \sum_{\#I \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m u_{I+1_k}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u_I^\alpha}, \quad k \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

$(i_1, \dots, i_k, \dots, i_n) + 1_k = (i_1, \dots, i_k + 1, \dots, i_n)$, are called *total derivatives*. They commute everywhere on $J^\infty(\pi)$.

The *evolutionary vector field* associated to an arbitrary vector-valued smooth function $\varphi: J^\infty(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is the vector field

$$\mathbf{E}_\varphi = \sum_{\#I \geq 0} \sum_{\alpha=1}^m D_I(\varphi^\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_I^\alpha} \quad (6)$$

with $D_I = D_{(i_1, \dots, i_n)} = D_{x^1}^{i_1} \circ \dots \circ D_{x^n}^{i_n}$. Notice that

$$[\mathbf{E}_\varphi, D_{x^i}] = 0 \quad (7)$$

for any φ and i .

A system of PDEs $F_r(x^i, u_I^\alpha) = 0$ of the order $s \geq 1$ with $\#I \leq s, r \in \{1, \dots, R\}$ for some $R \geq 1$, defines the submanifold $\mathcal{E} = \{(x^i, u_I^\alpha) \in J^\infty(\pi) \mid D_K(F_r(x^i, u_I^\alpha)) = 0, \#K \geq 0\}$ in $J^\infty(\pi)$.

A function $\varphi: J^\infty(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is called a (*generator of an infinitesimal*) *symmetry* of equation \mathcal{E} when $\mathbf{E}_\varphi(F) = 0$ on \mathcal{E} . The symmetry φ is a solution to the *defining system*

$$\ell_{\mathcal{E}}(\varphi) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where $\ell_{\mathcal{E}} = \ell_F|_{\mathcal{E}}$ with the matrix differential operator

$$\ell_F = \left(\sum_{\#I \geq 0} \frac{\partial F_r}{\partial u_I^\alpha} D_I \right).$$

The *symmetry algebra* $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$ of equation \mathcal{E} is the linear space of solutions to (8) endowed with the structure of a Lie algebra over \mathbb{R} by the *Jacobi bracket* $\{\varphi, \psi\} = \mathbf{E}_\varphi(\psi) - \mathbf{E}_\psi(\varphi)$. The *algebra of contact symmetries* $\text{Sym}_0(\mathcal{E})$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$ defined as $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{E}) \cap C^\infty(J^1(\pi))$.

Let the linear space \mathcal{W} be either \mathbb{R}^N for some $N \geq 1$ or \mathbb{R}^∞ endowed with local coordinates $w^s, s \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ or $s \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. Locally, a *differential covering* of \mathcal{E} is a trivial bundle $\tau: J^\infty(\pi) \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow J^\infty(\pi)$ equipped with *extended total derivatives*

$$\tilde{D}_{x^k} = D_{x^k} + \sum_s T_k^s(x^i, u_I^\alpha, w^j) \frac{\partial}{\partial w^s}$$

such that $[\tilde{D}_{x^i}, \tilde{D}_{x^j}] = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ if and only if $(x^i, u_I^\alpha) \in \mathcal{E}$. Define the partial derivatives of w^s by $w_{x^k}^s = \tilde{D}_{x^k}(w^s)$. This yields the system

$$w_{x^k}^s = T_k^s(x^i, u_I^\alpha, w^j) \quad (9)$$

that is compatible iff $(x^i, u_j^\alpha) \in \mathcal{E}$. System (9) is referred to as the *covering equations* or the *Lax representation* of equation \mathcal{E} .

EXAMPLE 1. A differential covering for the Hunter–Saxton equation (1) has been presented in [31]. In a slightly different notation this is defined on $J^\infty(\pi) \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\pi: \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $\pi: (t, x, u) \mapsto (t, x)$, by the vector fields

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{D}_t = D_t + \left(\left(\lambda u + \frac{1}{2} \right) w^2 + u_x w - u u_{xx} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \\ \tilde{D}_x = D_x + (\lambda w^2 - u_{xx}) \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

or by the system of the covering equations

$$\begin{cases} w_t = \left(\lambda u + \frac{1}{2} \right) w^2 + u_x w - u u_{xx}, \\ w_x = \lambda w^2 - u_{xx}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The compatibility condition $(w_t)_x = (w_x)_t$ of this system coincides with equation (1). The τ -vertical parts of the right-hand sides of (10) are linear combinations of the vector fields ∂_w , $w \partial_w$, and $w^2 \partial_w$. These vector fields generate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ referred to as the *universal algebra* of the covering, [23]. \diamond

Consider operator $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\phi$ obtained by replacing D_{x^k} to \tilde{D}_{x^k} in (6). Solutions $\phi = \phi(x^i, u_j^\alpha, w^j)$ to equation $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}_\phi(F) = 0$ are referred to as *shadows of symmetries in the covering* τ , or just *shadows*.

A PDE \mathcal{E} has two important coverings: the tangent covering $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}$ and the cotangent covering $\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{E}$. Their covering equations are given by systems $\ell_\mathcal{E}(q) = 0$ and $\ell_\mathcal{E}^*(p) = 0$, respectively, where $\ell_\mathcal{E}^*$ is the adjoint operator to $\ell_\mathcal{E}$. The local sections of the tangent covering are (generators of) symmetries, while the local sections of the cotangent covering are referred to as *cosymmetries*. The cosymmetries generate conservation laws for equation \mathcal{E} , see discussion in [22, Ch. 1]. and Example 4 below.

EXAMPLE 2. The covering equations for the tangent and cotangent coverings of equation (5) have the form

$$\ell_\mathcal{E}(q) = q_{tx} - u q_{xx} - \frac{2}{\alpha + 2} u_x q_x - u_{xx} q = 0. \quad (12)$$

and

$$\ell_\mathcal{E}^*(p) = p_{tx} - u p_{xx} - \frac{2(\alpha + 1)}{\alpha + 2} (u_x p_x - u_{xx} p) = 0. \quad (13)$$

For $\alpha = 0$ equations (12) and (13) coincide. This property holds for each PDE that admits a Lagrangian formulation, [22, Example 10.1]. \diamond

A *recursion operator for symmetries* of a PDE \mathcal{E} is a Bäcklund autotransformation in the tangent covering $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}$. In other words, this is an operator \mathcal{R} such that

$$\ell_\mathcal{E} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{S} \circ \ell_\mathcal{E} \quad (14)$$

for some operator \mathcal{S} . Likewise, a *recursion operator for cosymmetries* of a PDE \mathcal{E} is a Bäcklund autotransformation in the cotangent covering $\mathcal{T}^*\mathcal{E}$. Taking adjoint operators to both sides of (14) we get

$$\mathcal{R}^* \circ \ell_\mathcal{E}^* = \ell_\mathcal{E}^* \circ \mathcal{S}^*. \quad (15)$$

Therefore operator \mathcal{S}^* is a recursion operator for cosymmetries.

3 Lax representation

Based on Example 1 we conjecture that the generalized Hunter–Saxton equation (5) admits a Lax representation with the same universal algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We assume also that the coefficients of the covering equations are functions of u , u_x , and u_{xx} , that is, there exists system

$$\begin{cases} w_t = T_2 w^2 + T_1 w + T_0, \\ w_x = X_2 w^2 + X_1 w + X_0, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

with $T_i = T_i(u, u_x, u_{xx})$ and $X_i = X_i(u, u_x, u_{xx})$ such that (5) coincides with the integrability conditions of (16). Direct computations give such a system:

$$\begin{cases} w_t = \left(\lambda u u_x^\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha+2} \right) w^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha+2} u_x w - u u_{xx}, \\ w_x = \lambda u_x^\alpha w^2 - u_{xx}. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

When $\alpha = 0$, this system coincides with (11). The parameter $\lambda \neq 0$ in both systems (11) and (17) is non-removable. In accordance with [24, §§3.2, 3.6], [16, 14], to prove this assertion it is sufficient to note that symmetry $V = x \partial_x + u \partial_u$ of equation (5) does not admit a lift to a symmetry of system (17). Therefore the action $e^{\varepsilon V}: (t, x, u, u_t, u_x, u_{xx}, w, w_t, w_x) \mapsto (t, e^\varepsilon x, e^\varepsilon u, e^\varepsilon u_t, u_x, e^{-\varepsilon} u_{xx}, w, w_t, e^{-\varepsilon} w_x)$ of operator $e^{\varepsilon V}$ transforms system (17) with $\lambda = 1$ to system

$$\begin{cases} w_t = \left(e^\varepsilon u u_x^\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha+2} \right) w^2 + \frac{2}{\alpha+2} u_x w - u u_{xx}, \\ e^{-\varepsilon} w_x = u_x^\alpha w^2 - e^{-\varepsilon} u_{xx}, \end{cases}$$

which coincides with (17) when $\lambda = e^\varepsilon$.

The map

$$\partial_w \mapsto - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w \partial_w \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w^2 \partial_w \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

rearranges the Lax representation (17) into the matrix form¹ $A_t - B_x = [A, B]$ with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_{xx} \\ \lambda u_x^\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha+2} u_x & u u_{xx} \\ \lambda u u_x^\alpha + \frac{1}{\alpha+2} & -\frac{1}{\alpha+2} u_x \end{pmatrix}.$$

System (17) can be written in the form of the pseudospherical type surface equations

$$\begin{cases} d\omega_1 = \omega_3 \wedge \omega_2, \\ d\omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3, \\ d\omega_3 = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2, \end{cases}$$

with

$$\omega_1 = \frac{2}{\alpha+2} u_x dx,$$

$$\omega_2 = \left(u u_{xx} + \frac{1}{\alpha+2} + \lambda u u_x^\alpha \right) dt + (u_{xx} + \lambda u_x^\alpha) dx,$$

$$\omega_3 = \left(u u_{xx} + \frac{1}{\alpha+2} - \lambda u u_x^\alpha \right) dt + (u_{xx} - \lambda u_x^\alpha) dx,$$

see discussion of the pseudospherical type equations in [32, 6, 31, 11] and references therein.

¹ this form of a Lax representation is called *the zero curvature representation*

4 Contact symmetries

The Lie algebra $\text{Sym}_0(\mathcal{E})$ of contact symmetries of equation (5) is generated by functions²

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{0,0} &= xu_x - u, \\ \phi_{1,0} &= u_t, \\ \phi_{1,1} &= -2tu_t - (\alpha + 2)xu_x + \alpha u, \\ \phi_{1,2} &= -t^2u_t - (\alpha + 2)txu_x + \alpha tu - (\alpha + 2)x,\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

and the family of solutions $U = U(t, u_x)$ to the linear PDE

$$U_{tu_x} = -\frac{1}{\alpha + 2}u_x^2 U_{u_x u_x} - u_x U_{u_x} + U.\tag{19}$$

The commutator table of $\text{Sym}_0(\mathcal{E})$ is given by equations

$$\begin{aligned}\{\phi_{0,0}, \phi_{1,i}\} &= 0, \\ \{\phi_{0,0}, U\} &= U, \\ \{\phi_{1,0}, \phi_{1,1}\} &= 2\phi_{1,0}, \\ \{\phi_{1,0}, \phi_{1,2}\} &= -\phi_{1,1}, \\ \{\phi_{1,0}, U\} &= -U_t, \\ \{\phi_{1,1}, \phi_{1,2}\} &= 2\phi_{1,2}, \\ \{\phi_{1,1}, U\} &= 2tU_t - 2u_x U_{u_x} - \alpha U, \\ \{\phi_{1,2}, U\} &= t^2U_t - \alpha tU - (2tu_x + \alpha + 2)U_{u_x}, \\ \{U, \tilde{U}\} &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

This table implies that the contact symmetry algebra of (5) is the semi-direct sum $\text{Sym}_0(\mathcal{E}) = \mathfrak{s}_4 \ltimes \mathfrak{a}_\infty$ of the four-dimensional subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_4 = \langle \phi_{0,0}, \phi_{1,0}, \phi_{1,1}, \phi_{1,2} \rangle$ that is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and the infinite-dimensional Abelian ideal \mathfrak{a}_∞ spanned by solutions to (19).

Equation (19) has solutions of the form $\psi(A) = Au_x + A'$. These functions generate a sub-ideal $\mathfrak{b}_\infty \subsetneq \mathfrak{a}_\infty$. The action of \mathfrak{s}_4 on \mathfrak{b}_∞ is given by equations

$$\begin{aligned}\{\phi_{0,0}, \psi(A)\} &= \psi(A), \\ \{\phi_{1,0}, \psi(A)\} &= \psi(-A_t), \\ \{\phi_{1,1}, \psi(A)\} &= \psi(2tA_t - (\alpha + 2)A), \\ \{\phi_{1,2}, \psi(A)\} &= \psi(t^2A_t - (\alpha + 2)tA).\end{aligned}$$

This action has the following reformulation: the vector space \mathbb{A} of smooth functions $A = A(t)$ has a \mathfrak{s}_4 -module structure

$$\rho: \mathfrak{s}_4 \times \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}, \quad \rho: (\phi, A) \mapsto \phi \cdot A\tag{20}$$

defined by formulae

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{0,0} \cdot A &= A, & \phi_{1,1} \cdot A &= 2tA_t - (\alpha + 2)A, \\ \phi_{1,0} \cdot A &= -A_t, & \phi_{1,2} \cdot A &= t^2A_t - (\alpha + 2)tA.\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

REMARK 1. The problem to find all the local symmetries of the form $U(t, u_x)$ is as hard as the problem to find all solutions to equation (5), since equation (19) is contact-equivalent to (5). The proof of this statement mimics the proof of contact equivalence of equations (5) and (4) presented in [25]. \diamond

² We carried out computations of generators of contact symmetries, their commutator tables, shadows of symmetries, and cosymmetries in the Jets software [1].

5 Recursion operators

In this section we use the methods of [17, 18, 19, 22] to find local and nonlocal recursion operators for symmetries of equation (5).

To construct local recursion operators of first order we search for shadows of symmetries of the form

$$\sigma = Q_1 q_t + Q_2 q_x + Q_3 q, \quad Q_i = Q_i(t, x, u, u_t, u_x, u_{tt}, u_{xx}),$$

where q is a solution to (12). Direct computations then give the following shadows:

$$\sigma_0 = -q_t + \frac{E}{u_{xx}} q_x,$$

$$\sigma_1 = 2t q_t - 2 \frac{tE + u_x}{u_{xx}} q_x - \alpha q,$$

$$\sigma_2 = t^2 q_t - \frac{t^2 E + 2t u_x + \alpha + 2}{u_{xx}} q_x - \alpha t q,$$

where E is the right hand side of equation (5). Therefore we have

PROPOSITION 1. *Differential operators*

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = -D_t + \frac{E}{u_{xx}} D_x, \tag{22}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = 2t D_t - 2 \frac{tE + u_x}{u_{xx}} D_x - \alpha, \tag{23}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = t^2 D_t - \frac{t^2 E + 2t u_x + \alpha + 2}{u_{xx}} D_x - \alpha t \tag{24}$$

provide local recursion operators for symmetries of \mathcal{E} .

Proof follows from the general results of [22], or from identities

$$[\ell_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{R}_0] = -\frac{u_x(u_x u_{xxx} - (\alpha + 4)u_{xx}^2)}{(\alpha + 2)u_{xx}} \ell_{\mathcal{E}},$$

$$[\ell_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{R}_1] = \frac{2u_x((t u_x + \alpha + 2)u_{xxx} - (\alpha + 4)t u_{xx}^2)}{(\alpha + 2)u_{xx}^2} \ell_{\mathcal{E}},$$

$$[\ell_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{R}_2] = \frac{(t u_x + \alpha + 2)^2 u_{xxx} - (\alpha + 4)t^2 u_x u_{xx}^2}{(\alpha + 2)u_{xx}^2} \ell_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

□

Notice that the local recursion operators have the following commutator table:

$$[\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_1] = 2\mathcal{R}_0, \quad [\mathcal{R}_0, \mathcal{R}_2] = -\mathcal{R}_1, \quad [\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2] = 2\mathcal{R}_2, \tag{25}$$

in other words, they constitute the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

To find nonlocal recursion operators for symmetries we consider the Whitney product of the tangent covering (12) and the cotangent covering given by equation (13). Then Green's formula

$$(q \ell_{\mathcal{E}}^*(p) - p \ell_{\mathcal{E}}(q)) dt \wedge dx = d(q p_x) \wedge dx$$

$$+dt \wedge d \left(p q_t - u p q_x - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} p u_x - u p_x \right) q \right)$$

provides the canonical conservation law [22, p. 22]

$$\begin{cases} S_t = p q_t - u p q_x - \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+2} p u_x - u p_x \right) q, \\ S_x = q p_x. \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

In particular, substituting for the solution $p = u_x^{-2}$ of (13) into (26) defines nonlocality s_1 by equations

$$\begin{cases} s_{1,t} = \frac{q_t - u q_x}{u_x^2} - \frac{2(\alpha+2) u u_{xx} - \alpha u_x^2}{(\alpha+2) u_x^3} q, \\ s_{1,x} = -2 \frac{u_{xx}}{u_x^2} q. \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Likewise, the cosymmetry $p = u_x^{\alpha+1}$ defines the nonlocality s_2 by system

$$\begin{cases} s_{2,t} = u_x^\alpha (u_x (q_t - u q_x) + (\alpha(\alpha+2)^{-1} u_x^2 + (\alpha+1) u u_{xx}) q), \\ s_{2,x} = (\alpha+1) u_x^\alpha u_{xx} q. \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

We obtain four shadows of symmetries in the tangent covering of the form $\sigma = Q_1 q_t + Q_2 q_x + Q_3 q + Q_4 s_1 + Q_5 s_2$ with nontrivial functions Q_4 and Q_5 :

$$\sigma_4 = u_x s_1 - \frac{t u_x + \alpha + 2}{(\alpha+2) u_x} q,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_5 = (t u_x + 1) s_1 + \frac{1}{(\alpha+2)^2} t^3 q_t - \frac{t^3 u_x E + 3 t u_x (t u_x + \alpha + 2) + (\alpha+2)^2}{(\alpha+2)^2 u_x u_{xx}} q_x \\ - \frac{(2\alpha+1) t^2 u_x^2 + (\alpha+2)^2 (t u_x + 1)}{(\alpha+2)^2 u_x^2} q, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sigma_6 = u_x^{-\alpha-2} s_2 - \frac{t u_x + \alpha + 3}{(\alpha+2) u_x} q,$$

$$\sigma_7 = \frac{(\alpha+4) t u_x + (\alpha+2)^2}{(\alpha+2)^2 u_x^{\alpha+3}} s_2 + \frac{t u_x + 1}{\alpha+2} s_1 - \frac{(\alpha+3) (t u_x + \alpha + 2)^2}{(\alpha+2)^3 u_x^2} q.$$

From the second equation in (27) we have

$$s_1 = -2 D_x^{-1} \left(\frac{u_{xx}}{u_x^2} q \right),$$

therefore the nonlocal recursion operators

$$-2 u_x D_x^{-1} \circ \frac{u_{xx}}{u_x^2} - \frac{t u_x + \alpha + 2}{(\alpha+2) u_x}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -2 (t u_x + 1) D_x^{-1} \circ \frac{u_{xx}}{u_x^2} + \frac{t^3}{(\alpha+2)^2} D_t - \frac{(2\alpha+1) t^2 u_x^2 + (\alpha+2)^2 (t u_x + 1)}{(\alpha+2)^2 u_x^2} \\ - \frac{t^3 u_x ((\alpha+2) u u_{xx} + u_x^2) + 3 (\alpha+2) t u_x (t u_x + \alpha + 2) + (\alpha+2)^3}{(\alpha+2)^3 u_x u_{xx}} D_x \end{aligned}$$

are associated with shadows σ_4 and σ_5 . In the same way from the second equation in (28) we have

$$s_2 = (\alpha + 1) D_x^{-1} (u_x^\alpha u_{xx} q),$$

therefore shadows σ_6 and σ_7 produce the nonlocal recursion operators

$$(\alpha + 1) u_x^{-\alpha-2} D_x^{-1} \circ u_x^\alpha u_{xx} - \frac{t u_x + \alpha + 3}{(\alpha + 2) u_x}$$

and

$$(\alpha + 1) \frac{(\alpha + 4) t u_x + (\alpha + 2)^2}{(\alpha + 2)^2 u_x^{\alpha+3}} D_x^{-1} \circ u_x^\alpha u_{xx} - 2 \frac{t u_x + 1}{\alpha + 2} D_x^{-1} \circ \frac{u_{xx}}{u_x^2} - \frac{(\alpha + 3) (t u_x + \alpha + 2)^2}{(\alpha + 2)^3 u_x^2}$$

respectively.

6 Higher symmetries

The action of the local recursion operators (22) — (24) on the contact symmetries (18) produces the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{s}_\infty \subset \text{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$. In this section we study the structure of \mathfrak{s}_∞ . We have $\phi_{1,i} = \mathcal{R}_i(\phi_{0,0})$ for $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, hence

$$\mathfrak{s}_\infty = \langle \mathcal{R}^{(p,q,r)}(\phi_{0,0}) \mid p, q, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rangle \quad (29)$$

for

$$\mathcal{R}^{(p,q,r)} = \mathcal{R}_0^p \circ \mathcal{R}_1^q \circ \mathcal{R}_2^r = \underbrace{\mathcal{R}_0 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{R}_0}_{p \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{R}_1 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{R}_1}_{q \text{ times}} \circ \underbrace{\mathcal{R}_2 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{R}_2}_{r \text{ times}}$$

due to (25).

LEMMA. *For every $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathcal{E})$ and $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ there holds*

$$\{\mathcal{R}_i(\phi), \psi(A)\} = \mathcal{R}_i(\{\phi, \psi(A)\}).$$

Proof. Suppose $i = 0$. Denote $W = \mathcal{R}_0(x)$, so $\mathcal{R}_0 = -D_t + W D_x$, and for arbitrary $A = A(t)$ denote $\psi = \psi(A)$ for short. Using (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{R}_0(\phi), \psi\} - \mathcal{R}_0(\{\phi, \psi\}) &= \mathbf{E}_{-D_t(\phi) + W D_x(\phi)}(\psi) - \mathbf{E}_\psi(-D_t(\phi) + W D_x(\phi)) \\ &\quad + D_t(\mathbf{E}_\phi(\psi) - \mathbf{E}_\psi(\phi)) - W D_x(\mathbf{E}_\phi(\psi) - \mathbf{E}_\psi(\phi)) \\ &= A D_x(-D_t(\phi) + W D_x(\phi)) - \mathbf{E}_\psi(w) D_x(\phi) + [\mathbf{E}_\psi, D_t](\phi) - W [\mathbf{E}_\psi, D_x](\phi) \\ &\quad + D_t(A D_x(\phi)) - W A D_x^2(\phi) = (A D_x(W) + A' - \mathbf{E}_\psi(W)) D_x(\phi) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since direct computations give $\mathbf{E}_\psi(W) = A D_x(W) + A'$.

For $i = 1$ and $i = 2$ the proof is similar. \square

In particular, we have (recall notation of (20), (21))

$$\mathcal{R}_i(\psi(A)) = \mathcal{R}_i(\{\phi_{0,0}, \psi(A)\}) = \{\phi_{1,i}, \psi(A)\} = \psi(\phi_{1,i} \bullet A).$$

Combining this with (29) we obtain

PROPOSITION 2. Representation (20), (21) admits a prolongation to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{s}_∞ given by formula

$$\mathcal{R}^{(p,q,r)}(\phi_{0,0}) \cdot A = \underbrace{\phi_{1,0} \cdot (\dots \phi_{1,0} \cdot)}_{p \text{ times}} \underbrace{(\phi_{1,1} \cdot (\dots \phi_{1,1} \cdot)}_{q \text{ times}} \underbrace{(\phi_{1,2} \cdot (\dots \phi_{1,2} \cdot A))}_{r \text{ times}} \dots). \quad (30)$$

□

To show that \mathfrak{s}_∞ has the structure of the so-called *Lie algebra of matrices of the complex size* introduced in [9] and studied in [30], we recall the constructions of the last paper.

Let \mathfrak{d} denote the Lie algebra of differential operators of the form $p_n(t) \partial_t^n + p_{n-1}(t) \partial_t^{n-1} + \dots + p_1(t) \partial_t + p_0(t)$ where $p_k \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ for $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, with the Lie bracket defined by the commutator. For fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ consider the subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(\lambda) \subset \mathfrak{d}$ generated by the differential operators 1,

$$T_0 = -\partial_t, \quad T_1 = 2t \partial_t - \lambda + 1, \quad T_2 = t^2 \partial_t - (\lambda - 1)t. \quad (31)$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))/I_\lambda$, where $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ is the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and I_λ is the ideal in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ generated by the differential operator $2T_2 \circ T_0 + 2T_0 \circ T_2 + T_1 \circ T_1 + (\lambda - 1)^2$.

Comparing (21), (30), and (31), we obtain the following statement:

THEOREM. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}_\infty \subset \text{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}(\alpha + 3)$.

□

The results of [30, § 4.1] yield

COROLLARY. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{s}_∞ has a basis given by symmetries $\phi_{0,0}$, $\phi_{1,0}$, $\phi_{1,1}$, $\phi_{1,2}$, and $\phi_{n,2n-k} = \text{ad}_{\phi_{1,0}}^k(\mathcal{R}_2^n(\phi_{0,0}))$, $n \geq 2$, $k \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$.

□

EXAMPLE 3. Symmetries $\phi_{2,0}$, \dots , $\phi_{2,4}$ are given by equations

$$\phi_{2,0} = 24(u_{tt} - u_{xx}^{-1} E^2),$$

$$\phi_{2,1} = -24 \left(t u_{tt} - \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 1) u_t - \frac{E(t + u_x)}{u_{xx}} \right),$$

$$\phi_{2,2} = 12 \left(t^2 u_{tt} - (\alpha - 1) t u_t - \frac{E(t^2 E + 2t u_x + \alpha + 2)}{u_{xx}} \right)$$

$$+ 2((\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) x u_x - (\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 8) u),$$

$$\phi_{2,3} = -4t^3 u_{tt} + 6(\alpha - 1)t^2 u_t - 2(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 8) t u + 2(\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) x(t u_x + 1)$$

$$+ 4u_{xx}^{-1} (t^3 E^2 - 3t(t u_x + \alpha + 2)E + (\alpha + 2)u_x),$$

$$\phi_{2,4} = t^4 u_{tt} + 2(\alpha - 1)t^3 u_t - \frac{t^2 E(t^2 E^3 + 2(2t u_x + 3(\alpha + 2))) + (\alpha + 2)(4t u_x + \alpha + 2)}{u_{xx}}$$

$$- (\alpha + 1)(\alpha + 2) t x(t u_x + 2) + (\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 8) t^2 u.$$

◇

REMARK 2. When $\alpha = 0$, the Lie algebra \mathfrak{s}_∞ is a proper subalgebra of $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{E})$. The family of symmetries of third order

$$\eta_m = \frac{u_{xx}^m}{u_x^{4m+7}} ((m+2)u_x u_{xxx} - (2m+3)u_{xx}^2), \quad m \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (32)$$

was found in [36]. We have $\eta_m \notin \mathfrak{s}_\infty$. The action of the local recursion operators \mathcal{R}_i on η_m provides a family of higher symmetries of increasing order. This family is not included in \mathfrak{s}_∞ .

We have no examples of higher symmetries that are not included in \mathfrak{s}_∞ when $\alpha \neq 0$. \diamond

REMARK 3. The local recursion operators \mathcal{R}_i preserve the ideal \mathfrak{a}_∞ , since \mathcal{R}_i map solutions of equation (19) to solutions of the same equation, \diamond

REMARK 4. When $\alpha = 0$, the family of local recursion operators of fourth order $\mathcal{P}_m = \mathcal{P}_{m,1} \circ \mathcal{P}_{m,2} \circ \mathcal{P}_{m,3} \circ D_x$ with

$$\mathcal{P}_{m,1} = D_x + \frac{u_{xxx}}{u_{xx}}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{m,2} = \frac{4u_{xx}^2 - u_x u_{xxx}}{u_x^{4m-5} u_{xx}^{5-m}} D_x + D_x \circ \frac{4u_{xx}^2 - u_x u_{xxx}}{u_x^{4m-5} u_{xx}^{5-m}}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{m,3} = D_x - \frac{u_{xxx}}{u_{xx}}$$

was constructed in [36]. We have $\mathcal{P}_m(\psi(A)) = 0$, hence \mathcal{P}_m is not a linear combination of the recursion operators of the form $\mathcal{R}^{(p,q,r)}$.

We have no examples of local recursion operators that do not belong to the span of $\mathcal{R}^{(p,q,r)}$ when $\alpha \neq 0$. \diamond

7 Cosymmetries

Equations (12) and (13) coincide when $\alpha = 0$, hence in this case cosymmetries are the same as the generators of symmetries. For other values of α we have

PROPOSITION 3. *All the cosymmetries of equation (5) with $\alpha \neq 0$ that belong to $C^\infty(J^1(\pi))$ have the form $\psi = V(t, u_x)$, where functions V are solutions to the PDE*

$$V_{tu_x} + \frac{1}{\alpha+2} u_x^2 V_{u_x u_x} + \frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha+2} u_x V_{u_x} - \frac{2(\alpha+1)}{\alpha+2} V = 0, \quad (33)$$

which is the adjoint equation for (19). \square

Equation (33) is equivalent to equation (5) w.r.t. the pseudogroup of contact transformations on $J^2(\pi)$. The proof of this assertion is similar to the proof of contact equivalence of equations (5) and (4) given in [25]. Therefore the problem to find all solutions to equation (33) is as hard as the problem to find all solutions to equation (5). Nevertheless, we can find some particular solutions of (33). For example, when $V_t = 0$, this equation get the form of a linear ordinary differential equation of second order. The general solution of this ODE is a linear combination with constant coefficients of two fundamental solutions $\psi_1 = u_x^{-2}$ and $\psi_2 = u_x^{\alpha+1}$.

Equation (5) has higher cosymmetries. E.g., a family of cosymmetries of third order is defined by formulae

$$\psi_H = u_{xx}^{-\frac{\alpha+6}{\alpha+4}} u_{xxx} \left(\int H'(z) z^{-\frac{\alpha+6}{\alpha+4}} dz \right) - (\alpha+4) u_x^{\alpha+1} H(z), \quad z = u_{xx} u_x^{-\alpha-4} \quad (34)$$

when $\alpha \neq -4$ and

$$\psi_G = \frac{u_x G'(u_{xx}) u_{xxx} - 2 u_{xx} G(u_{xx})}{u_x^3 u_{xx}} \quad (35)$$

when $\alpha = -4$, where H and G are arbitrary functions of their arguments.

REMARK 5. Since symmetries and cosymmetries coincide when $\alpha = 0$, equation (34) with $\alpha = 0$ provides a family of symmetries of third order for equation (1). This family generalizes (32). Indeed, η_m coincides with $\frac{1}{2} \psi_H$ when $\alpha = 0$ and $H(z) \equiv z^{m+2}$. \diamond

Using (15) we find three local recursion operators for cosymmetries given by equations

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = -\mathcal{R}_0, \quad \mathcal{S}_1 = -\mathcal{R}_1 - 2\alpha, \quad \mathcal{S}_2 = -\mathcal{R}_2 - 2\alpha t. \quad (36)$$

We have $[\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1] = -2\mathcal{S}_0$, $[\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_2] = \mathcal{S}_1$, $[\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2] = -2\mathcal{S}_2$, hence these operators constitute the Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. The representation of this Lie algebra on the space of solutions to equation (33) is given by formulae

$$\mathcal{S}_0(V) = \partial_t V, \quad \mathcal{S}_1(V) = -2t \partial_t V + 2u_x \partial_{u_x} V - \alpha V,$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2(V) = -t^2 \partial_t V + (2t u_x + \alpha + 2) \partial_{u_x} V - \alpha t V.$$

Action of operators (36) on cosymmetries from families (34) or (35) produces cosymmetries of higher order.

EXAMPLE 4. Function $\zeta = (u_x u_{xxx} - 2u_{xx}^2) u_x^{-3} u_{xx}^{-1}$ is a cosymmetry of equation (2) for each $\alpha \neq -2$. The related conservation law is

$$\Omega = \frac{u_x u_{xxx} - u_{xx}^2}{u_x^2 u_{xx}} (dx + u dt) - \frac{\ln |u_{xx}| - (\alpha + 4) \ln |u_x|}{\alpha + 2} dt.$$

The simple induction shows that

$$\mathcal{S}_0^k(\zeta) = \frac{u_x^{2(k-1)}}{2^k u_{xx}^{k+1}} u_{(k+3)x} + W_k(u, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots, u_{(k+2)x})$$

for certain functions W_k . Therefore for each $\alpha \neq -2$ equation (5) has cosymmetries of arbitrary higher order. \diamond

8 Invariant solutions

In this section we give an example of implementing higher symmetries for finding globally-defined solutions of the generalized Hunter–Saxton equation. Namely, we construct $\phi_{2,0}$ -invariant solutions to (5). These solutions satisfy the over-determined system that contains (5) and equation

$$u_{tt} = \frac{(u u_{xx} + (\alpha + 2)^{-1} u_x^2)^2}{u_{xx}}.$$

The compatibility conditions for this system get the form

$$u_t = u u_x - \frac{u_x^3}{(\alpha + 2)^2 u_{xx}^3} (u_x u_{xxx} - 2(\alpha + 3) u_{xx}^2), \quad (37)$$

$$u_{xxxx} = \frac{3u_{xxx}^2}{u_{xx}} - \frac{(\alpha + 5)(2u_x u_{xx} u_{xxx} - (\alpha + 4) u_{xx}^3)}{u_x^2}, \quad (38)$$

whence to obtain $\phi_{2,0}$ -invariant solutions of (5) we proceed as follows: first, we find the general solution to ODE (38) in the form $u = S(x, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$. This solution depends on four arbitrary constants c_1, \dots, c_4 . Second, we replace these constants by unknown functions $s_1(t), \dots, s_4(t)$ and substitute the obtained function $u = S(x, s_1(t), s_2(t), s_3(t), s_4(t))$ into equation (37). This yields a system of ODEs that defines functions $s_i(t)$.

Equation (38) has four-dimensional solvable algebra of point symmetries generated by vectors $\partial_x, \partial_u, x \partial_x, u \partial_u$, therefore this equation is integrable by quadratures in accordance

with the Lie–Bianchi theorem [3, § 167], [26, Th. 2.64], [8]. Indeed, substituting for $z = u_x$, $z_x = w(z)$ yields the ODE of second order

$$w_{zz} = \frac{2w_z^2}{w} - \frac{2(\alpha+5)w_z}{z} + \frac{(\alpha+4)(\alpha+5)w}{z^2}.$$

Then we put $w_z = p(z)w$ and reduce the order of this ODE by one:

$$p_z = \left(p - \frac{\alpha+5}{z} \right)^2 - \frac{\alpha+5}{z^2}.$$

New function $r(z) = p(z) - (\alpha+5)z^{-1}$ satisfies the separable ODE $r_z = r^2$. Its general solution $r = (c_0 - z)^{-1}$ provides

$$w_z = \left(\frac{1}{c_0 - z} + \frac{\alpha+5}{z} \right) w$$

and therefore $w = c_1 z^{\alpha+5} (c_0 - z)^{-1}$, which gives

$$u_{xx} = c_1 u_x^{\alpha+5} (c_0 - u_x)^{-1}. \quad (39)$$

While this equation is integrable by quadratures, its general solution is too complicated for arbitrary value of α . We consider case $\alpha = -\frac{7}{2}$ when the formula for the general solution of equation (39) simplifies enough to give explicit expression for the globally-defined $\phi_{2,0}$ -invariant solution of (5). We obtain

$$u = \frac{s_1}{s_3^2} \left((x + s_2)^2 + s_3 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - \frac{s_1}{s_3} (x + s_2)^3 - \frac{3s_1}{2s_3} x + s_4,$$

where $s_1(t), \dots, s_4(t)$ are solutions to system

$$\begin{cases} s_{1,t} = 0, \\ s_{2,t} = \frac{3s_1s_2 + 2s_3s_4}{2s_3}, \\ s_{3,t} = s_1, \\ s_{4,t} = -\frac{3s_1(s_1s_2 + 2s_3s_4)}{4s_3^2}. \end{cases}$$

The general solution of this system

$$s_1 = a_1, \quad s_2 = a_4 + a_3t, \quad s_3 = a_2 + a_1t, \quad s_4 = \frac{2a_2a_3 - a_1(a_3t + 3a_4)}{2(a_2 + a_1t)}, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{R},$$

provides the four-parametric $\phi_{2,0}$ -invariant solution

$$u = \frac{a_1 \left(((x + a_3t + a_4)^2 + a_1t + a_2)^{3/2} - (x + a_3t + a_4)^3 \right)}{(a_1t + a_2)^2} - \frac{3a_1(x + a_4) + a_3(a_1t - 2a_2)}{2(a_1t + a_2)}$$

to equation (5) with $\alpha = -\frac{7}{2}$.

9 Conclusion

The results of the paper can be summarized as follows. We have found the Lax representation with nonremovable spectral parameter for the generalized Hunter–Saxton equation as well as other key features of integrable equations such as recursion operators for symmetries and cosymmetries. We employ the recursion operators to generate the infinite-dimensional

Lie algebra of higher symmetries and then study the structure thereof, in particular we have found the basis of this Lie algebra. We have shown that the higher symmetries from the obtained Lie algebra can be used to construct global exact solutions for the generalized Hunter–Saxton equation. Furthermore, we have employed recursion operators to prove existence of an infinite number of cosymmetries of higher order, which indicates that the space of nontrivial conservation laws of higher order is infinite-dimensional as well.

We hope that the methods used in this paper are applicable to study other properties of the generalized Hunter–Saxton equation related to integrability such as variational symplectic and Poisson structures. We intend to address these issues in our future work.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Faculty of Applied Mathematics of AGH UST statutory tasks within subsidy of Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to I.S. Krasil'shchik for stimulating discussions and invaluable comments.

Data availability statement

The author confirms that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The author declares that he has no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval The author declares that he has adhered to the ethical standards of research execution.

References

1. H. Baran, M. Marvan. Jets: A software for differential calculus on jet spaces and diffieties. Available on-line at <http://jets.math.slu.cz>
2. R. Beals, D.H. Sattinger, J. Szmigielski. Inverse scattering solutions of the Hunter–Saxton equation. *Appl. Anal.* **78** (2001), 255–269
3. L. Bianchi. *Lezioni sulla Teoria dei Gruppi Continui Finiti di Trasformazioni*, E. Spoerri, Pisa, 1918
4. A. Bressan, A. Constantin. Global solutions of the Hunter–Saxton equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **37** (2005), 996–1026
5. F. Calogero. A solvable nonlinear wave equation. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **70** (1984), 189–199
6. S.S. Chern, K. Teneblat. Pseudospherical surfaces and evolution equations. *Stud. Appl. Math.* **74** (1986), 55–83
7. V. Dryuma. On the Riemann and Einstein–Weyl geometry in theory of the second order ordinary differential equations. *Bul. Acad. Sti. Rep. Moldova (Phys., Tech.)*, **3** (1999), 95–102; [arXiv:gr-qc/0104095](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0104095)
8. S.V. Duzhin, V.V. Lychagin. Symmetries of distributions and quadrature of ordinary differential equations. *Acta Appl. Math.* **24** (1991), 29–57
9. B.L. Feigin. The Lie algebras $gl(\lambda)$ and cohomologies of Lie algebras of differential operators. *Russian Math. Surveys* **43** (1988), 169–171
10. S.V. Golovin. Group foliation of Euler equations in nonstationary rotationally symmetrical case. *Proc. Inst. Math. of NAS of Ukraine* **50** (2004), Part 1, 110–117
11. P. Górká, E.G. Reyes. The modified Hunter–Saxton equation. *J. Geom. Phys.* **62** (2012), 1793–1809

12. J.K. Hunter, R. Saxton. Dynamics of director fields. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **51** (1991), 1498–1521
13. J.K. Hunter, Y.X. Zheng. On a completely integrable nonlinear hyperbolic variational equation. *Physica D* **79** (1994), 361–386
14. S. Igonin, J. Krasil'shchik. On one-parametric families of Bäcklund transformations. In: T. Morimoto, H. Sato, K. Yamaguchi (eds.), *Lie Groups, Geometric Structures and Differential Equations — One Hundred Years After Sophus Lie*. Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics, **37**, pp. 99–114. Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2002
15. B. Khesin, G. Misiołek. Euler equations on homogeneous spaces and Virasoro orbits. *Adv. Math.* **176** (2003), 116–144
16. J. Krasil'shchik. On one-parametric families of Bäcklund transformations. The Diffiety Institute Preprint Series. – 2000. – Preprint DIPS–1/2000. Available on-line at diffiety.ac.ru
17. I.S. Krasil'shchik, P.H.M. Kersten. Deformations and recursion operators for evolution equations // *Geometry in Partial Differential Equations*, Eds. A. Pràstaro, Th. M. Rassias, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1994, pp. 114–154
18. I.S. Krasil'shchik, P.H.M. Kersten. Graded differential equations and their deformations: a computational theory for recursion operators. *Acta Appl. Math.* **41** (1995), 167–191
19. I.S. Krasil'shchik, P.H.M. Kersten. *Symmetries and Recursion Operators for Classical and Supersymmetric Differential Equations*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000
20. J. Krasil'shchik, A. Verbovetsky. Geometry of jet spaces and integrable systems. *J. Geom. Phys.* **61** (2011), 1633–1674
21. J. Krasil'shchik, A. Verbovetsky, R. Vitolo. A unified approach to computation of integrable structures. *Acta Appl. Math.* **120** (2012), 199–218
22. J. Krasil'shchik, A. Verbovetsky, R. Vitolo. *The Symbolic Computation of Integrability Structures for Partial Differential Equations*. Springer 2017
23. I.S. Krasil'shchik, A.M. Vinogradov. Nonlocal symmetries and the theory of coverings, *Acta Appl. Math.* **2** (1984), 79–86
24. I.S. Krasil'shchik, A.M. Vinogradov. Nonlocal trends in the geometry of differential equations: symmetries, conservation laws, and Bäcklund transformations. *Acta Appl. Math.* **15** (1989), 161–209
25. O.I. Morozov. Structure of symmetry groups via Cartan's method: survey of four approaches. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, **1** (2005), Paper 006, 14 pages
26. P.J. Olver. *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*. Second Edition, Springer 1993
27. P.J. Olver, Ph. Rosenau. Tri-Hamiltonian duality between solitons and solitary wave solutions having compact support, *Phys. Rev. E* **53** (1996), 1900–1906
28. L.V. Ovsianikov. *Group Analysis of Differential Equations*. Academic Press, New York, 1982
29. M.V. Pavlov. The Calogero equation and Liouville-type equations. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **128** (2001), 927–932
30. G. Post, N. van den Hijligenberg. $gl(\lambda)$ and differential operators preserving polynomials. *Acta Appl. Math.* **44** (1996), 257–268
31. E.G. Reyes. The soliton content of the Camassa–Holm and Hunter–Saxton equations. in: A.G. Nikitin, V.M. Boyko, R.O. Popovych (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Symmetry in Nonlinear Mathematical Physics / Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics of the NAS of Ukraine*, **43**, Kyiv, 2002, 201–208
32. R. Sasaki. Soliton equations and pseudospherical surfaces. *Nucl. Phys. B* **154** (1979), 343–357
33. K. Tian, Q.P. Liu. Conservation laws and symmetries of Hunter–Saxton equation: revisited. *Nonlinearity* **29** (2016), 737–755
34. K.P. Tod. Einstein–Weyl spaces and third order differential equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **41** (2000), 5572–5581
35. A.M. Vinogradov, I.S. Krasil'shchik (eds.) *Symmetries and Conservation Laws for Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics* [in Russian], Moscow: Factorial, 2005; English transl. prev. ed.: I.S. Krasil'shchik, A.M. Vinogradov (eds.) *Symmetries and Conservation Laws for Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics*. Transl. Math. Monogr., **182**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999
36. J.P. Wang. The Hunter–Saxton equation: remarkable structures of symmetries and conserved densities. *Nonlinearity* **23** (2010), 2009–2028