

On competition indices and periods of multipartite tournaments

JI-HWAN JUNG¹, SUH-RYUNG KIM², AND HYESUN YOON²

¹*Center for Educational Research, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826*

²*Department of Mathematics Education, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826*
jihwanjung@snu.ac.kr, srkim@snu.ac.kr, magisakura@snu.ac.kr

Abstract

In this paper, we compute competition indices and periods of multipartite tournaments. We first show that the competition period of an acyclic digraph D is one and $\zeta(D) + 1$ is a sharp upper bound of the competition index of D where $\zeta(D)$ is the sink elimination index of D . Then we prove that, especially, for an acyclic k -partite tournament D , the competition index of D is $\zeta(D)$ or $\zeta(D) + 1$ for an integer $k \geq 3$. By developing useful tools to create infinitely many directed walks in a certain regular pattern from given directed walks, we show that the competition period of a multipartite tournament with sinks and directed cycles is at most three. We also prove that the competition index of a primitive digraph does not exceed its exponent.

Keywords. m -step competition graph, multipartite tournament, competition index, competition period, sink sequence, exponent of a primitive digraph

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C20, 05C75

1 Introduction

The underlying graph of each digraph in this paper is assumed to be simple unless otherwise mentioned.

Given a digraph D , the *competition graph* $C(D)$ of D has the same vertex set as D and has an edge between vertices u and v if and only if there exists a common prey of u and v in D . If (u, v) is an arc of a digraph D , then we call v a *prey* of u (in D) and call u a *predator* of v (in D). The notion of competition graph is due to Cohen [8] and has arisen from ecology. Competition graphs also have applications in coding, radio transmission, and modeling of complex economic systems. (See [21] and [22] for a summary of these applications.) Various variants of notion of competition graphs have been introduced and

studied (see the survey articles by Kim [18] and Lundgren [19] for the variations which have been defined and studied by many authors since Cohen introduced the notion of competition graph).

The notion of m -step competition graph is one of the important variants and is defined as follows. Given a digraph D and a positive integer m , a vertex y is an m -step prey of a vertex x if and only if there exists a directed walk from x to y of length m . Given a digraph D and a positive integer m , the digraph D^m has the vertex set same as D and has an arc (u, v) if and only if v is an m -step prey of u . Given a positive integer m , the m -step competition graph of a digraph D , denoted by $C^m(D)$, has the same vertex set as D and has an edge between vertices u and v if and only if there exists an m -step common prey of u and v . The notion of m -step competition graph is introduced by Cho *et al.* [7] as a generalization of competition graph. By definition, it is obvious that $C^1(D)$ for a digraph D is the competition graph $C(D)$. Since its introduction, it has been extensively studied (see for example [2, 6, 12, 13, 14, 20, 24]). Cho *et al.* [7] showed that for any digraph D and a positive integer m , $C^m(D) = C(D^m)$.

For the two-element Boolean algebra $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1\}$, \mathcal{B}_n denotes the set of all $n \times n$ (Boolean) matrices over \mathcal{B} . Under the Boolean operations, we can define matrix addition and multiplication in \mathcal{B}_n . A graph G is called the *row graph* of a matrix $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and denoted by $\mathcal{R}(A)$ if the rows of A are the vertices of G , and two vertices are adjacent in G if and only if their corresponding rows have a nonzero entry in the same column of A . This notion was studied by Greenberg *et al.* [11]. As noted in [11], the competition graph of a digraph D is the row graph of its adjacency matrix.

Cho and Kim [5] introduced the notions of competition index and competition period of D for a strongly connected digraph D , and Kim [14] extended these notions to a general digraph D . Consider the graph sequence $C^1(D), C^2(D), C^3(D), \dots, C^m(D), \dots$ for a digraph D . Note that for a digraph D and its adjacency matrix A , the graph sequence $C^1(D), C^2(D), \dots, C^m(D), \dots$ is equivalent to the row graph sequence $\mathcal{R}(A), \mathcal{R}(A^2), \dots, \mathcal{R}(A^m), \dots$. Since the cardinality of the Boolean matrix set \mathcal{B}_n is equal to a finite number 2^{n^2} , there is a smallest positive integer q such that $C^{q+i}(D) = C^{q+r+i}(D)$ equivalently $\mathcal{R}(A^{q+i}) = \mathcal{R}(A^{q+r+i})$ for some positive integer r and all nonnegative integers i . Such an integer q is called the *competition index* of D and is denoted by $\text{cindex}(D)$. For $q = \text{cindex}(D)$, there is also a smallest positive integer p such that $C^q(D) = C^{q+p}(D)$ equivalently $\mathcal{R}(A^q) = \mathcal{R}(A^{q+p})$. Such an integer p is called the *competition period* of D and is denoted by $\text{cperiod}(D)$. Refer to [15, 16, 17] for some results of competition indices and competition periods of digraphs.

Eoh *et al.* [9] studied the m -step competition graphs of orientations of complete bipartite graphs for an integer $m \geq 2$. They introduced a notion of sink sequences of digraphs, which played a key role in the paper. Given a digraph D , we call a vertex of outdegree zero a *sink* in D . We define a nonnegative integer $\zeta(D)$ and sequences

$$(W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)}) \quad \text{and} \quad (D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{\zeta(D)})$$

of subsets of $V(D)$ and subdigraphs of D , respectively, as follows. Let $D_0 = D$ and W_0 be the set of sinks in D . If $W_0 = V(D)$ or $W_0 = \emptyset$, then let $\zeta(D) = 0$. Otherwise, let $D_1 = D_0 - W_0$ and let W_1 be the set of sinks in D_1 . If $W_1 = V(D_1)$ or $W_1 = \emptyset$, then let $\zeta(D) = 1$. Otherwise, let $D_2 = D_1 - W_1$ and let W_2 be the set of sinks in D_2 . If $W_2 = V(D_2)$ or $W_2 = \emptyset$, then let $\zeta(D) = 2$. We continue in this way until we obtain $W_k = V(D_k)$ or $W_k = \emptyset$ for some nonnegative integer k . Then we let $\zeta(D) = k$. By definition, $0 \leq \zeta(D) \leq |V(D)| - 1$. We call $\zeta(D)$ the *sink elimination index* of D , the sequence $(W_0, W_1, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ the *sink sequence* of D , and the sequence $(D_0, D_1, \dots, D_{\zeta(D)})$ the *digraph sequence associated with the sink sequence* of D .

In this paper, we study competition indices and competition periods of multipartite tournaments in terms of sink sequences of digraphs. A *k-partite tournament* is an orientation of a complete k -partite graph for a positive integer k . In particular, if $k \geq 2$, then we call it a *multipartite tournament*.

Two vertices u and v in a digraph D are said to be *strongly connected* if there are directed walks from u to v and from v to u . We say that a digraph D is *strongly connected* if each pair in $V(D)$ is strongly connected. A digraph D is said to be *primitive* if D is strongly connected and the greatest common divisor of lengths of its directed cycles is equal to 1. It is known [4] that if a digraph D is primitive, then there exists a positive integer t such that there is a directed walk of length exactly t from each vertex u to each vertex v (possibly $u = v$). The smallest integer t is called the *exponent of the primitive digraph* D and it is denoted by $\exp(D)$.

In Section 2, we deal with acyclic multipartite tournaments. We show that the competition period of an acyclic digraph D is one and $\zeta(D) + 1$ is a sharp upper bound of the competition index of D (Theorem 2.3). Especially, it turns out that the competition index of an acyclic k -partite tournament D is $\zeta(D)$ or $\zeta(D) + 1$ for an integer $k \geq 3$ (Theorem 2.8). In Section 3, we handle multipartite tournaments with sinks and directed cycles. We introduce types of a directed walk and types of a vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ where $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ is the sink sequence of a multipartite tournament D with sinks and directed cycles, and then show that each vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ is of Type 1 or Type 2 (Theorem 3.8). We show that the existence of (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1 or Type 2 of a certain length for a vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ and $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ guarantees the existence of a (u, w) -directed walk of length m in D for infinitely many integers m in a specific form (Lemmas 3.4-3.7). By integrating these results, we show that the competition period of a multipartite tournament with sinks and directed cycles is at most three (Theorem 3.9). In Section 4, we show that the competition index of a primitive digraph is at most its exponent (Theorem 4.2). In Section 5, we take care of it to eventually compute the competition period and competition index of a tournament with sinks (Theorem 5.4).

For a positive integer n , we denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by $[n]$ for simplicity.

2 Acyclic multipartite tournaments

In this section, we compute the competition period and competition index of an acyclic multipartite tournament.

Proposition 2.1 ([9]). *For a digraph D , the following are equivalent.*

- (i) D is acyclic.
- (ii) $W_{\zeta(D)} = V(D_{\zeta(D)}) \neq \emptyset$.
- (iii) $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)} W_i = V(D)$.

The following lemma is a stronger version of Proposition 2.3 given by Eoh *et al.* [9] in the sense that, regarding the set \mathcal{L} of lengths of directed walks with an initial vertex in W_i , $\max \mathcal{L} \leq i$ is replaced with $\mathcal{L} = \{0, \dots, i\}$. As a matter of fact, their proof asserted this stronger version.

Lemma 2.2 (Restatement of Proposition 2.3 in [9]). *Let D be a digraph with $\zeta(D) \geq 1$ and $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ be the sink sequence of D . Then, for each $i = 0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 1$ and each vertex v in W_i , among the directed walks starting from v , there exist directed walks of lengths $0, \dots, i$ and no directed walks of length greater than i . Furthermore, if D is acyclic, then the statement is true for even $i = \zeta(D)$.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let D be an acyclic digraph and $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ be its sink sequence. Then, for $\zeta(D) \geq 1$, we have the following:*

- (i) $C^m(D)$ is an empty graph for any integer $m > \zeta(D)$;
- (ii) $\text{cperiod}(D) = 1$;
- (iii) if $|W_i| = 1$ for some integer $i \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 1\}$, then $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$ is the union of the complete graph with the vertex set $W_{\zeta(D)}$ and the empty graph with the vertex set $V(D) \setminus W_{\zeta(D)}$;
- (iv) $\text{cindex}(D) \leq \zeta(D) + 1$ where the equality holds if $|W_{\zeta(D)}| > |W_i|$ for some integer $i \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 1\}$.

Proof. Take an integer $m > \zeta(D)$. Since D is acyclic, $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)} W_i = V(D)$ by Proposition 2.1 and so no vertex in D has an m -step prey in D by Lemma 2.2. Therefore $C^m(D)$ is an empty graph and so the statement (i) is true. Then, by the definition of competition period, the statement (ii) is immediately true.

To show the statement (iii), suppose that $|W_i| = 1$ for some integer $i \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 1\}$. Let $W_i = \{w\}$. Since every vertex in W_j has at least one out-neighbor in W_{j-1} for each integer $1 \leq j \leq \zeta(D)$, there is a directed walk of length $\zeta(D) - i$ from v to w for each

vertex $v \in W_{\zeta(D)}$. Moreover, there is a directed walk of length i from w to a vertex $u \in W_0$. By concatenating those directed walks, we obtain a directed walk of length $\zeta(D)$ from each vertex in $W_{\zeta(D)}$ to u . Thus $W_{\zeta(D)}$ forms a clique in $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$. By Proposition 2.1(iii), every vertex in $V(D) \setminus W_{\zeta(D)}$ belongs to W_j for some $j \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 1\}$. Therefore every vertex in $V(D) \setminus W_{\zeta(D)}$ is isolated in $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$ by Lemma 2.2. Thus the statement (iii) is true.

The inequality $\text{cindex}(D) \leq \zeta(D) + 1$ immediately follows from (i). To figure out when the equality holds, suppose that $|W_{\zeta(D)}| > |W_i|$ for some integer $i \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 1\}$. As we have observed above, there are at least $|W_{\zeta(D)}|$ directed walks starting from distinct vertices in $W_{\zeta(D)}$ to a vertex in W_i . Since $|W_{\zeta(D)}| > |W_i|$, there are at least two directed walks terminating at the same vertex in W_i by the pigeonhole principle. Then the origins of those directed walks form a clique in $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$. Thus $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$ is not an empty graph. Hence, by the definition of competition index and (i), $\text{cindex}(D) = \zeta(D) + 1$. \square

It is easy to check that an acyclic multipartite tournament has sink elimination index $\zeta(D) \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.4. *For an integer $k \geq 2$, let D be an acyclic k -partite tournament with a k -partition (V_1, \dots, V_k) . Then $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ is the sink sequence of D if and only if $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ is a partition of $V(D)$ satisfying the following:*

- (i) for each $i = 0, \dots, \zeta(D)$, W_i is a subset of a partite set of D ;
- (ii) if there is an arc from a vertex in W_j to a vertex in W_i for some $i, j \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D)\}$, then $i < j$ and W_i and W_j are included in different partite sets.
- (iii) for each $i = 0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 1$, there is an arc from each vertex in W_{i+1} to each vertex in W_i .

Proof. Suppose that $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ is the sink sequence of D . Let $(D_0, \dots, D_{\zeta(D)})$ be the digraph sequence associated with it. Suppose, to the contrary, that there are two vertices $u, v \in W_i$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D)\}$ such that $u \in V_p$ and $v \in V_q$ with $p \neq q$. Since D is a k -partite tournament, (u, v) or (v, u) is an arc in D . Without loss of generality, we may assume that (u, v) is an arc in D . By the definition of D_i , (u, v) is an arc in D_i , which contradicts the assumption that $u \in W_i$. Thus, for each integer $0 \leq i \leq \zeta(D)$, $W_i \subseteq V_s$ for some $s \in [k]$ and so the statement (i) is true.

Suppose there is an arc from a vertex W_j to a vertex W_i for some $i, j \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D)\}$. Then, by the definition of sink sequence, $i < j$. By (i), $W_i \subseteq V_p$ and $W_j \subseteq V_q$ for some $p, q \in [k]$. Since an arc goes from W_j to W_i , $p \neq q$ and so the statement (ii) is true.

By definition, W_i and W_{i+1} are not empty sets for each $i = 0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 1$, so there exists an arc from a vertex in W_{i+1} to a vertex in W_i . Therefore by (ii), W_i and W_{i+1} are included in different partite sets. Since D is a multipartite tournament, there is an arc from each vertex in W_{i+1} to each vertex in W_i . Hence (iii) is true and so the ‘only if’ part is valid.

Conversely, consider a partition $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ of $V(D)$ satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii). By (ii), every vertex in W_0 is a sink of D . Suppose that there is a sink v of D in $V(D) \setminus W_0$. Then, since $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\zeta(D)} W_j = V(D)$, $v \in W_i$ for some integer $i \in [\zeta(D)]$. By (iii), W_i and W_{i-1} are included in distinct partite sets. Since D is a multipartite tournament and (ii) is true, there is an arc from v to a vertex in W_{i-1} , which contradicts the choice of v . Therefore W_0 is the set of sinks in D . By applying a similar argument to W_1 of the subdigraph D_1 induced by $V(D) \setminus W_0$, we may show that W_1 is the set of sinks of D_1 . Inductively, we may show that W_i is the set of sinks of the subdigraph of D induced by $V(D) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} W_j$ for $i = 2, \dots, \zeta(D)$. Since $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ is a partition of $V(D)$, we may conclude that $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ is the sink sequence of D and so the ‘if’ part is true. \square

Corollary 2.5. *For an integer $k \geq 2$, let D be an acyclic k -partite tournament with a k -partition (V_1, \dots, V_k) . If $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ is the sink sequence of D , then $\zeta(D) \geq k - 1$ where the equality holds if and only if W_i is a partite set of D for each $i = 0, \dots, \zeta(D)$;*

Proof. Since D is acyclic, $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)} W_i = V(D)$ by Proposition 2.1. By Theorem 2.4(i), W_i is a subset of a partite set of D for each integer $0 \leq i \leq \zeta(D)$. If $\zeta(D) < k - 1$, then there exists a partite set not containing W_i for any $i \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D)\}$, which is impossible. Thus $\zeta(D) \geq k - 1$. It is obvious that $\zeta(D) = k - 1$ if and only if $\{W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)}\} = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$. \square

If D is an acyclic k -partite tournament for an integer $k \geq 3$, then $\zeta(D) \geq 2$ by Corollary 2.5 and we have the following result.

Corollary 2.6. *Let D be an acyclic k -partite tournament for an integer $k \geq 3$ and let $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ be the sink sequence of D . Then there is an integer $i \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 2\}$ such that W_i and W_{i+2} are included in different partite sets.*

Proof. Let (V_1, \dots, V_k) be a k -partition of D . By Theorem 2.4(iii), W_0 and W_1 are included in different partite sets. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $W_0 \subseteq V_1$ and $W_1 \subseteq V_2$. Suppose, to the contrary, that W_i and W_{i+2} are included in the same partite set for each integer $0 \leq i \leq \zeta(D) - 2$. Then

$$\bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq \zeta(D)/2} W_{2i} \subseteq V_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq (\zeta(D)-1)/2} W_{2i+1} \subseteq V_2.$$

Therefore $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)} W_i \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$. Since $k \geq 3$, $V_3 \neq \emptyset$. Thus $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)} W_i \subsetneq V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3 \subseteq V(D)$, which contradicts Proposition 2.1(iii). \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let D be an acyclic k -partite tournament for an integer $k \geq 3$ and let $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ be the sink sequence of D . For each integer $1 \leq s \leq \zeta(D)$, if there exist integers $p, q \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ with $p > q$ such that W_p and W_q are included in different partite sets, then there exists a directed path of length $s - p + q + 1$ from each vertex in W_s to each vertex in W_0 .*

Proof. Fix $s \in \{1, \dots, \zeta(D)\}$. Suppose that there exist integers $p, q \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ with $p > q$ such that W_p and W_q are included in different partite sets. Take vertices $u \in W_s$ and $x \in W_0$. Now we take two vertices $v \in W_p$ and $w \in W_q$ so that $u = v$ if $p = s$ and $w = x$ if $q = 0$. Since D is a multipartite tournament, every vertex in W_{i-1} is an out-neighbor of each vertex in W_i for each integer $1 \leq i \leq \zeta(D)$. Therefore, there exist a (u, v) -directed path P of length $s - p$ and a (w, x) -directed path Q of length q . Since W_p and W_q are included in different partite sets, v and w are linked by an arc. Then, by Theorem 2.4(ii), (v, w) is an arc of D . Thus $P \rightarrow Q$ is a (u, x) -directed path of length $(s - p) + 1 + q$. Since u and x were arbitrarily chosen, the statement is true. \square

We recall that if D is an acyclic k -partite tournament for an integer $k \geq 3$, then $\zeta(D) \geq 2$.

Theorem 2.8. *For an integer $k \geq 3$, let D be an acyclic k -partite tournament with the sink sequence $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$. Then the following are true:*

- (i) $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$ is the union of the complete graph with the vertex set $W_{\zeta(D)}$ and the empty graph with the vertex set $V(D) \setminus W_{\zeta(D)}$;
- (ii) $C^{\zeta(D)-1}(D)$ is the union of the complete graph with the vertex set $W_{\zeta(D)} \cup W_{\zeta(D)-1}$ and the empty graph with the vertex set $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-2} W_i$;
- (iii) if $|W_{\zeta(D)}| \geq 2$, then $\text{cindex}(D) = \zeta(D) + 1$, otherwise $\text{cindex}(D) = \zeta(D)$.

Proof. Take a vertex $z \in W_0$. By Theorem 2.4(iii), W_0 and W_1 are included in different partite sets. Then, since $0 < 1 \leq \zeta(D) - 1$, by Lemma 2.7, there exist

- (a) a directed path of length $\zeta(D)$ from any vertex in $W_{\zeta(D)}$ to z and
- (b) a directed path of length $\zeta(D) - 1$ from any vertex in $W_{\zeta(D)-1}$ to z .

By (a), $W_{\zeta(D)}$ forms a clique in $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$. By Lemma 2.2, every vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ is isolated in $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$. By Proposition 2.1, $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i = V(D) \setminus W_{\zeta(D)}$ and so the statement (i) is true.

Since D is a k -partite tournament with $k \geq 3$, there is an integer $i \in \{0, \dots, \zeta(D) - 2\}$ such that W_i and W_{i+2} are included in different partite sets by Corollary 2.6. Thus, by Lemma 2.7, there is a directed path of length $\zeta(D) - 1$ from each vertex in $W_{\zeta(D)}$ to z . Hence, by (b), z is a $(\zeta(D) - 1)$ -step common prey of each vertex in $W_{\zeta(D)} \cup W_{\zeta(D)-1}$ and so $W_{\zeta(D)} \cup W_{\zeta(D)-1}$ forms a clique in $C^{\zeta(D)-1}(D)$. By Lemma 2.2, every vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-2} W_i$ is isolated in $C^{\zeta(D)-1}(D)$ and so, by Proposition 2.1, the statement (ii) is true.

Suppose $|W_{\zeta(D)}| \geq 2$. Then, by the statement (i), $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$ is not empty. Since $C^m(D)$ is empty for each integer $m > \zeta(D)$ by Theorem 2.3(i), $\text{cindex}(D)$ is $\zeta(D) + 1$. Now suppose $|W_{\zeta(D)}| \leq 1$. Then, since D is acyclic, $|W_{\zeta(D)}| = 1$ and so, by the statements (i) and (ii), $C^{\zeta(D)}(D)$ is empty and $C^{\zeta(D)-1}(D)$ is not empty, respectively. Since $C^m(D)$ is empty for each integer $m > \zeta(D)$ by Theorem 2.3(i), $\text{cindex}(D)$ is $\zeta(D)$. Therefore the statement (iii) is true. \square

3 Multipartite tournaments with sinks and directed cycles

If a digraph D is acyclic, then $C^m(D)$ is empty for any integer $m > \zeta(D)$ and so the competition period of D is 1 (Theorem 2.3). In addition, Cho and Kim [5] showed that a digraph without sinks has competition period 1. In this vein, this section studies the competition period of a multipartite tournament having a sink and a directed cycle. By the way, Eoh *et al.* [9] showed that if $C^M(D)$ is an empty graph for a digraph D and a positive integer M , then so is $C^m(D)$ for any positive integer $m \geq M$. Therefore, if $C^M(D)$ is an empty graph for a digraph D and a positive integer M , then the competition period of D is 1. As a matter of fact, in the case of a multipartite tournament D , having a sink and a directed cycle guarantees that $C^m(D)$ is not empty for every positive integer m by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *If a multipartite tournament D has a sink and a directed cycle, then $C^m(D)$ is not empty for every positive integer m .*

Proof. Suppose that a k -partite tournament D for an integer $k \geq 2$ has a sink x and a directed cycle $C := v_0v_1 \cdots v_{l-1}v_0$ for some integer $l \geq 3$. Let (V_1, \dots, V_k) be a k -partition of D . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x \in V_1$. Since V_1 is a partite set of D , there exist at least two vertices of $V(C) \setminus V_1$. Let v_i and v_j be vertices of $V(C) \setminus V_1$ for some two distinct integers $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$. Since D is a k -partite tournament and x is a sink, (v_i, x) and (v_j, x) are arcs of D . Then v_i and v_j are adjacent in $C(D)$. Since v_i and v_j are on C , v_{i-m+1} and v_{j-m+1} are adjacent in $C^m(D)$ for every positive integer m (all the subscripts are reduced to modulo l). Hence $C^m(D)$ is not empty for every positive integer m . \square

In the following, we shall show that if a multipartite tournament D has a sink and a directed cycle, then the competition period of D is at most three, which is our main result. To do so, we need several theorems and lemmas.

Theorem 3.2 ([10]). *Let D be a k -partite tournament with $k \geq 3$. Then D contains a directed cycle of length 3 if and only if there exists a directed cycle in D which contains vertices from at least three partite sets.*

Given a digraph D , we call a directed cycle of length at least 4 in D , a *directed hole* if it is an induced subdigraph of D .

We note that a directed hole of length 4 in a multipartite tournament D is of the form $v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_0$ such that $\{v_0, v_2\} \subseteq X$ and $\{v_1, v_3\} \subseteq Y$ for some distinct partite sets X and Y of D .

Given a multipartite tournament D , if a directed walk contains vertices which induce a directed cycle of length 3 in D (resp. a directed hole of length 4 in D), then we say that it is of *Type 1* (resp. *Type 2*).

Lemma 3.3. *Let D be a multipartite tournament of order $n \geq 3$. Then any directed walk of length at least n in D is of Type 1 or Type 2.*

Proof. Let Q be a directed walk of length at least n in D . Since the length of Q is greater than or equal to the number of vertices of D , Q contains a directed cycle $C := u_0 \rightarrow u_1 \rightarrow u_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow u_{l-1} \rightarrow u_0$ for an integer $l \geq 3$.

Case 1. There are at least 3 vertices on C which belong to distinct partite sets in D . We may apply Theorem 3.2 to the multipartite tournament induced by $V(C)$ to conclude that there is a directed cycle of length 3 all of whose vertices are on C . Then it is easy to check that Q is of Type 1.

Case 2. There exist two distinct partite sets X and Y in D such that $V(C) \subseteq X \cup Y$. Then l is even, so $l \geq 4$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that a vertex on C with an even index belongs to X and a vertex on C with an odd index belongs to Y . Since D is a k -partite tournament, there is an arc between u_i and u_{l-i-1} for each integer $0 \leq i \leq l/2 - 1$. Since $(u_{l-1}, u_0) \in A(D)$ and C is a directed cycle, (u_i, u_{l-i-1}) is an arc in D for some $i \in \{1, \dots, l/2 - 1\}$. We may regard i as the smallest index among $1, \dots, l/2 - 1$ such that (u_i, u_{l-i-1}) is an arc in D . Then $(u_{l-i}, u_{i-1}) \in A(D)$ and $C' := u_{i-1} \rightarrow u_i \rightarrow u_{l-i-1} \rightarrow u_{l-i} \rightarrow u_{i-1}$ is a directed hole of length 4 in D . Thus Q is of Type 2. \square

We note that a multipartite tournament has a sink if and only if the sink elimination index is greater than or equal to one. In the following five results prior to our main result, we examine lengths of directed walks from a vertex in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ to a vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ where $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ is the sink sequence of a multipartite tournament D with a directed cycle and $\zeta(D) \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let D be a multipartite tournament. Suppose that there exists a (u, v) -directed walk of Type 1 of length ℓ for some vertices u and v . Then there is a (u, v) -directed walk of length $\ell + 3m$ for each nonnegative integer m .*

Proof. Let Z be a (u, v) -directed walk of length ℓ that contains three vertices which induce a directed cycle C of length 3 in D . Let x be a vertex on C , Z_1 be a (u, x) -section of Z , and Z_2 be the (x, v) -section of Z obtained by cutting Z_1 away from Z . We may assume that the sequence representing C starts at x . Then, for a nonnegative integer m , we may create a (u, v) -directed walk of length $\ell + 3m$ in such a way that we traverse Z_1 , C as many as m times, and then Z_2 . \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let D be a multipartite tournament with the sink elimination index $\zeta(D) \geq 1$ and the sink sequence $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$. For a vertex u , suppose that there exists a (u, w) -directed walk Z of Type 2 of length ℓ for some vertex w such that (i) w belongs to $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ or (ii) there exists a directed hole of length 4 such that its four vertices are on Z and w does not belong to any of two partite sets which the four vertices belong to. Then there exists a positive integer N such that for each integer $m \geq N$, there is a (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell + 2m$.*

Proof. Since Z is of Type 2, there are four vertices on Z which induce a directed hole $H := v_0 \rightarrow v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow v_3 \rightarrow v_0$ of length 4 in D . Then there exist two distinct partite sets X and Y of D such that $\{v_0, v_2\} \subseteq X$ and $\{v_1, v_3\} \subseteq Y$. If the case (ii) of the lemma statement happens, we may assume that H is the hole mentioned in the case. Let Z_1 be a (u, v_0) -section of Z , and Z_2 be the (v_0, w) -section of Z obtained by cutting Z_1 away from Z . We denote the lengths of Z_1 and Z_2 by ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , respectively.

Case 1. There exists a vertex z on Z_2 which does not belong to $X \cup Y$. Let Z_3 be a (v_0, z) -section of Z_2 , and Z_4 be the (z, w) -section of Z_2 obtained by cutting Z_3 away from Z_2 . We denote the lengths of Z_3 and Z_4 by ℓ_3 and ℓ_4 , respectively. We consider the two subcases: $(v_i, z) \in A(D)$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$; there is an arc (z, v) for some vertex v on H . Suppose $(v_i, z) \in A(D)$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and fix a positive integer α . Then, traverse the directed walk Z_1 , go around H as many times as desired, depart it at an appropriate vertex on H to reach z by an arc, and traverse Z_4 . This creates a (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell_1 + \alpha + \ell_4$.

Now suppose that there is an arc (z, v) for some vertex v on H . Let D^* be the subgraph of D induced by $V(Z_3) \cup V(H)$. Then D^* contains vertices from at least three partite sets. Since D^* is a multipartite tournament, D^* contains a directed cycle C of length 3 by Theorem 3.2. Moreover, the directed walk Z_3 , the arc (z, v) , and (v, v_0) -section of H form a closed directed walk Q in D^* . Then $V(Q) \cup V(H) = V(D^*)$ and $V(Q) \cap V(H) \neq \emptyset$, so D^* is strongly connected. Since D^* contains the directed cycles C of length 3 and H of length 4, we may conclude that D^* is primitive. Thus, for any $\beta \geq \exp(D^*)$, there is a (v_0, z) -directed walk of length β and so there is a (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell_1 + \beta + \ell_4$.

Since $\ell_1 + \ell_4 \leq \ell$ and α and β were arbitrarily chosen among the positive integers bounded below, we have shown in both subcases that there exists a sufficiently large N such that there is a (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell + 2m$ for each integer $m \geq N$.

Case 2. Every vertex on Z_2 belongs to $X \cup Y$. Then the case (i) of the lemma statement happens, that is, $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$. Let $j = 0$ if $w \in Y$ and $j = 1$ if $w \in X$. Then $2m + j + 1$ and ℓ_2 have the same parity. For, ℓ_2 is odd if $w \in Y$ and ℓ_2 is even if $w \in X$ since $v_0 \in X$ and Z_2 is a (v_0, w) -directed walk whose vertices belong to $X \cup Y$. Furthermore, w and any of v_j, v_{j+2} belong to distinct partite sets. Since $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ and $\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3\} \subseteq V(D_{\zeta(D)})$, there are arcs (v_j, w) and (v_{j+2}, w) in D . Now let

$$\Theta_i = Z_1 \rightarrow H_i \rightarrow H' \rightarrow w$$

where H' denotes the (v_0, v_j) -section of H and H_i means the directed walk obtained by going around H i times, for a nonnegative integer i . Then Θ_i is a (u, w) -directed walk in D . For a nonnegative integer i , we denote by Λ_i the directed walk obtained from Θ_i by replacing the arc (v_j, w) with the directed path $v_j \rightarrow v_{j+1} \rightarrow v_{j+2} \rightarrow w$. Then the lengths of Θ_i and Λ_i are $\ell_1 + 4i + j + 1$ and $\ell_1 + 4i + j + 3$, respectively, for each nonnegative integer i . Accordingly, we have shown that there is a (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell_1 + 2m + j + 1$ for each nonnegative integer m . Since $2m + j + 1$ and ℓ_2 have the same parity, we have

actually shown that there exists (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell + 2m$ for each nonnegative integer m . \square

Let p_1, \dots, p_t be positive integers with $\gcd(p_1, \dots, p_t) = 1$. The *Frobenius number* of p_1, \dots, p_t is the largest integer b for which the Frobenius equation

$$p_1x_1 + \dots + p_tx_t = b$$

has no nonnegative integer solution (x_1, \dots, x_t) . The number b is denoted by $F(p_1, \dots, p_t)$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let D be a multipartite tournament with the sink elimination index $\zeta(D) \geq 1$ and the sink sequence $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$. For a vertex $u \in V(D_{\zeta(D)})$, suppose that there exists a (u, w) -directed walk of length ℓ for some vertex $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ such that its sequence contains both a directed cycle of length 3 and a directed hole of length 4. Then there exists a positive integer N such that for each integer $m \geq N$, there is a (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell + m$.*

Proof. Let Z be a (u, w) -directed walk of length ℓ whose sequence contains a directed cycle C of length 3 and a directed hole H of length 4. If (i) the sequence of C appears before that of H on Z , then there exists a vertex on H such that, on Z , all the vertices on C appear before it and all the vertices on H appear after it. We denote such a vertex by x . Suppose that (ii) the sequence of H appears before that of C on Z . Since the vertices on H belong to two distinct partite sets and the vertices on C belong to three distinct partite sets, there exists a vertex y on C which does not belong to any of two partite sets which contain the vertices on H .

Now we denote by v the vertex x if (i) happens, and the vertex y if (ii) occurs. Let Z_1 be a (u, v) -section of Z , and Z_2 be the (v, w) -section of Z obtained by cutting Z_1 away from Z . We denote the lengths of Z_1 and Z_2 by ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , respectively. Fix a nonnegative integer t . Since $F(2, 3) = 1$, there exist nonnegative integers m_1, m_2 such that $t = 3m_1 + 2m_2 - 2$.

Consider the case (i). Then $v = x$. Since Z_1 is a (u, v) -directed walk of Type 1, by Lemma 3.4, there exists a (u, v) -directed walk Q_1 of length $\ell_1 + 3m_1$. Since Z_2 is a (v, w) -directed walk of Type 2, by Lemma 3.5, there exists a positive integer N' such that there is a (v, w) -directed walk Q_2 of length $\ell_2 + 2(m_2 + N')$. Then $Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ is a (u, w) -directed walk of length

$$(\ell_1 + 3m_1) + (\ell_2 + 2(m_2 + N')) = \ell + 2N' + 2 + (3m_1 + 2m_2 - 2) = \ell + 2N' + 2 + t.$$

Consider the case (ii). Then $v = y$. By Lemma 3.5, there exists a positive integer N'' such that there is a (u, v) -directed walk Q'_1 of length $\ell_1 + 2(m_2 + N'')$. By applying Lemma 3.4 to the (v, w) -directed walk $C \rightarrow Z_2$, there exists a (v, w) -directed walk Q'_2 of length $3 + \ell_2 + 3m_1$. Then $Q'_1 \rightarrow Q'_2$ is a (u, w) -directed walk of length

$$(\ell_1 + 2(m_2 + N'')) + (3 + \ell_2 + 3m_1) = \ell + 2N'' + 5 + (3m_1 + 2m_2 - 2) = \ell + 2N'' + 5 + t.$$

Let

$$N = \begin{cases} 2N' + 2, & \text{if } v = x; \\ 2N'' + 5, & \text{if } v = y. \end{cases}$$

Then, since t was chosen as an arbitrary nonnegative integer, we have shown that, for each integer $m \geq N$, there is a (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell + m$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let D be a multipartite tournament with a directed cycle, the sink elimination index $\zeta(D) \geq 1$, and the sink sequence $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$. For a vertex $u \in V(D_{\zeta(D)})$, suppose that there exist a (u, w_1) -directed walk Q_1 of Type 1 and a (u, w_2) -directed walk Q_2 of Type 2 for some vertices $w_1, w_2 \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$. Then there exists a positive integer N such that there is a (u, w) -directed walk of length ℓ for each vertex $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ and any integer $\ell \geq N$.*

Proof. Take $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$. Let C be a directed cycle of length 3 in D induced by three vertices in Q_1 and H be a directed hole of length 4 in D induced by four vertices in Q_2 . Then there are three distinct partite sets of D to which the vertices on C belong. Since the vertices on H belong to two distinct partite sets, there must be an arc linking a vertex x on C and a vertex y on H . Moreover, there exist an arc from a vertex y' on H to w and an arc from a vertex x' on C to w since $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ and the vertices on directed cycles belong to $V(D_{\zeta(D)})$.

If $(x, y) \in A(D)$ (resp. $(y, x) \in A(D)$), then a (u, x) -section of Q_1 , C starting at x , the arc (x, y) , H starting at y , the (y, y') -section of H , and the arc (y', w) (resp. a (u, y) -section of Q_2 , H starting at y , the arc (y, x) , C starting at x , the (x, x') -section of C , and the arc (x', w)) form a (u, w) -directed walk Z_w whose sequence contains both a directed cycle of length 3 and a directed hole of length 4. Then there exists a positive integer N_w such that, for each integer $m \geq N_w$, there is a (u, w) -directed walk of length $\ell_w + m$ by Lemma 3.6 where ℓ_w is the length of Z_w . If we denote by N the maximum of such integers $\ell_w + N_w$, there exists a (u, w) -directed walk of length ℓ for each vertex $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ and each integer $\ell \geq N$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let D be a multipartite tournament with a directed cycle, the sink elimination index $\zeta(D) \geq 1$, and the sink sequence $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$. Then, for each vertex $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$, one of the following properties is true:*

- (i) *for every vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$, there is a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1;*
- (ii) *for every vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$, there is a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 2.*

Proof. Suppose that D has n vertices and fix vertex $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$. Since D has a directed cycle, $n \geq 3$. We fix $u \in V(D_{\zeta(D)})$. Since $D_{\zeta(D)}$ has no sinks, there is a directed walk Q_u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ of length at least n starting at u . Since $D_{\zeta(D)}$ is a subdigraph of D , Q_u is a directed walk in D . By Lemma 3.3, Q_u is of Type 1 or Type 2. Then Q_u contains

vertices which induce a directed cycle C_u in D which has a length 3 or is a directed hole of length 4. Since the vertices on C_u belong to at least two distinct partite sets, there must be a vertex y_u on C_u which belongs to a partite set distinct from the one to which w belongs. Since D is a multipartite tournament, there must be an arc linking y_u and w . Yet, $w \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ implies $(y_u, w) \in A(D)$. Then the (u, y_u) -section of Q_u , C_u starting at y_u , and the arc (y_u, w) form a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1 or Type 2 in D . Thus we have shown that

(\star) for every vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$, there is a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1 or Type 2.

For every vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$, if there exist a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1 and a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 2, then the theorem statement is immediately true.

Now suppose that there exists a vertex v in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ such that either there is no (v, w) -directed walk of Type 1 or there is no (v, w) -directed walk of Type 2. Then, by Lemma 3.3, every (v, w) -directed walk of length at least n is of Type 2 or every (v, w) -directed walk of length at least n is of Type 1. We assume the latter. Then, by (\star), there exists a (v, w) -directed walk Z_v of Type 1. Then Z_v contains vertices which induce a directed cycle C of length 3 in D . In the following, we will show that for every vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$, there is a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1. Take a vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$. By (\star), there is a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1 or Type 2. If there is a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1, then there is nothing to prove. Now suppose that there exists a (u, w) -directed walk Z_u of Type 2. Then Z_u contains vertices which induce a directed hole H of length 4 in D and each vertex on H belongs to one of two distinct partite sets of D . Since the vertices on C belong to three distinct partite sets, there must be an arc between a vertex x on C and a vertex y on H . Yet, since no directed walk of Type 2 starting at v exists, (y, x) is an arc in D . Now, a (u, y) -section of Z_u , the arc (y, x) , C starting at x , an (x, w) -section of Z_v form a (u, w) -directed walk of Type 1. Therefore we have shown that (i) is true. By applying a similar argument, one can show that (ii) is true in the former case. \square

Let D be a multipartite tournament with a directed cycle, the sink elimination index $\zeta(D) \geq 1$, and the sink sequence $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$. Then we say that a vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{j-1} W_i$ is of *Type 1* (resp. *Type 2*) if (i) (resp. (ii)) of the above theorem is true. By the same theorem, each vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{j-1} W_i$ is of Type 1 or Type 2.

Theorem 3.9. *If a k -partite tournament D has a sink and a directed cycle for an integer $k \geq 2$, then the competition period of D is at most three. Especially, if $k = 2$, then the competition period of D is at most two.*

Proof. Let D be a k -partite tournament with a sink and a directed cycle for an integer $k \geq 2$. Since D has a sink and a directed cycle, $\zeta(D) \geq 1$. Let $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ be the sink sequence of D and $\mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$. Since D has a directed cycle, $W_{\zeta(D)} = \emptyset$ by Proposition 2.1. By Proposition 3.1, $C^m(D)$ is not empty for every positive integer m . If $u \in \mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$, then u has no m -step prey for any integer $m \geq \zeta(D)$ by Lemma 2.2. Therefore

every vertex in $\mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$ is isolated in $C^m(D)$ for any integer $m \geq \zeta(D)$. Thus it is sufficient to consider the vertices in $V(D_{\zeta(D)})$ when determining the competition period of D .

Suppose that there exist vertices w_1 and w_2 in $\mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$ of Type 1 and Type 2, respectively. Fix $w \in \mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$. Then, by Lemma 3.7, for each vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$, there exists a (u, w) -directed walk of length ℓ for each integer $\ell \geq N_u$ for some positive integer N_u . If we denote by N the maximum of such integers N_u , then there exists a (u, w) -directed walk of length ℓ for each vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ and each integer $\ell \geq N$. Thus, for each integer $m \geq N$, w is an m -step prey of each vertex u in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ and so $V(D_{\zeta(D)})$ forms a clique in $C^m(D)$ and D has the competition period one.

Now it remains to consider the case that every vertex in $\mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$ is only of Type 1 or every vertex in $\mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$ is only of Type 2. We first consider the case in which every vertex in $\mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$ is only of Type 1. Suppose that there are two vertices u_1 and u_2 in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ which are adjacent in infinitely many step competition graphs of D . Then there exist a (u_1, w) -directed walk Z_1 and a (u_2, w) -directed walk Z_2 of the same length $\ell(u_1, u_2) \geq |V(D)|$ for a vertex w in D . If $w \in V(D_{\zeta(D)})$, then u_1 and u_2 are adjacent in $C^m(D)$ for each integer $m \geq \ell(u_1, u_2)$ since $D_{\zeta(D)}$ has no sink. Now suppose that $w \in \mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$. Since $\ell(u_1, u_2) \geq |V(D)|$, each of Z_1 and Z_2 is of Type 1 or Type 2 by Lemma 3.3. By the case assumption, there exist a (u_1, w) -directed walk Z_3 and a (u_2, w) -directed walk Z_4 of Type 1. Then, by considering the following three cases:

- (a) both of Z_1 and Z_2 are of Type 1;
- (b) both of Z_1 and Z_2 are of Type 2;
- (c) Z_1 and Z_2 are of different types

and by applying Lemmas 3.4 and 3.7 to Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 , or Z_4 whichever suitable, we may deduce one of the following:

- (i) for some positive integer $L(u_1, u_2)$ and any integer $m \geq L(u_1, u_2)$, u_1 and u_2 have an $(\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m)$ -step common prey;
- (ii) u_1 and u_2 have an m -step common prey for any integer $m \geq N(u_1, u_2)$ for some positive integer $N(u_1, u_2)$.

Suppose (i) happens and u_1 and u_2 have an $\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m^* + i$ prey for some integer $m^* \geq L(u_1, u_2)$ and some i in $\{1, 2\}$. Then, by repeating the above argument for $\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m^* + i$ instead of $\ell(u_1, u_2)$, we may guarantee the existence of a positive integer $L'(u_1, u_2) \geq L(u_1, u_2)$ such that u_1 and u_2 have an $(\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m^* + i + 3m)$ -step common prey for any integer $m \geq L'(u_1, u_2)$. Now u_1 and u_2 have an $(\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m)$ -step common prey and an $(\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m + i)$ -step common prey for any integer $m \geq L'(u_1, u_2)$. Even if u_1 and u_2 have an $(\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m^{**} + j)$ -step common prey for some $m^{**} \geq L'(u_1, u_2)$ and some $j \in \{1, 2\} \setminus i$, we may apply the same argument to find a positive integer $L''(u_1, u_2) \geq L'(u_1, u_2)$ such that u_1 and u_2 have an $(\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m)$ -step common prey,

an $(\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m + i)$ -step common prey, and an $(\ell(u_1, u_2) + 3m + j)$ -step common prey for any integer $m \geq L''(u_1, u_2)$.

We let $M(u_1, u_2)$ stands for one of $L(u_1, u_2)$, $L'(u_1, u_2)$, $L''(u_1, u_2)$, $N(u_1, u_2)$, whichever appropriate. Let L be the maximum of $M(u_1, u_2)$ over the pairs $\{u_1, u_2\}$ in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ which are adjacent in infinitely many step competition graphs of D (L exists since there are at most $\binom{|V(D_{\zeta(D)})|}{2}$ pairs to consider). Then it is easy to check that $C^{L+i}(D) = C^{L+3+i}(D)$ for each nonnegative integer i , so the competition period of D is 1 or 3. Using Lemma 3.5, one can show that the competition period of D is at most two by a similar argument if every vertex in $\mathcal{U}_{\zeta(D)}$ is of Type 2, from which the ‘especially’ part follows. \square

4 Strongly connected multipartite tournaments

In this section, we study competition indices of strongly connected multipartite tournaments. Cho and Kim [5] showed that a digraph without sinks has competition period 1. In this section, we show that the competition index of a primitive digraph is at most its exponent.

Proposition 4.1. *Let D be a digraph without sinks. If two vertices are adjacent in $C^M(D)$ for a positive integer M , then they are also adjacent in $C^m(D)$ for any positive integer $m \geq M$.*

Proof. Let x and y are adjacent in $C^M(D)$. Then x and y have an M -step common prey z in D . Since D has no sinks, z has an out-neighbor w in D . Then w is an $(M + 1)$ -step common prey of x and y . Hence x and y are adjacent in $C^{(M+1)}(D)$. We may repeat this argument to show that x and y are adjacent in $C^{(M+2)}(D)$. In this way, we may show that x and y are adjacent in $C^m(D)$ for any positive integer $m \geq M$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let D be a primitive digraph. Then*

- (i) $C^m(D)$ is a complete graph for each integer $m \geq \exp(D)$;
- (ii) $\text{cindex}(D) \leq \exp(D)$;
- (iii) $\text{cperiod}(D) = 1$.

Proof. Since we assumed that the underlying graph of each digraph D dealt in this paper is simple, D contains neither a loop nor a directed cycle of length 2. Then, by the hypothesis that D is primitive, $|V(D)| \geq 4$. Now take two distinct vertices u and v in D and let $t = \exp(D)$. Then there exist a (u, w) -directed walk and a (v, w) -directed walk of length t for any vertex w in D . Therefore u and v are adjacent in $C^t(D)$. Since u and v are arbitrarily chosen, $C^t(D)$ is a complete graph. Since D is primitive, D does not contain a sink and so, by Proposition 4.1, the statement (i) is true. By the definitions of cindex and cperiod , the statements (ii) and (iii) are true. \square

Let D be a strongly connected k -partite tournament of order n such that the length of a longest directed cycle is k for an integer $4 \leq k \leq n$ and take two vertices u and v in D . Bondy [3] showed that for an integer $k \geq 3$, a strongly connected k -partite tournament contains a directed cycle of length m for each integer $3 \leq m \leq k$. Therefore D is primitive. On the other hand, Volkmann [23] showed that for an integer $k \geq 3$, every vertex of any strongly connected k -partite tournament with a longest cycle of length k belongs to a directed cycle of length m for each integer $3 \leq m \leq k$. Thus there is a directed cycle C_m of length m which contains the vertex u for each integer $3 \leq m \leq k$. Now, since D is strongly connected, there is a (u, v) -directed walk W_1 of length $l \leq n - 1$ in D . Since $l \leq n - 1$, $F(3, 4, \dots, k) + n - l > F(3, 4, \dots, k)$. Therefore, by concatenating the directed cycles, we obtain a closed directed walk W_2 of length $F(3, 4, \dots, k) + n - l$. Now $W_2 \rightarrow W_1$ is a (u, v) -directed walk of length $F(3, 4, \dots, k) + n$. Since u and v were arbitrarily chosen, $\exp(D) \leq F(3, 4, \dots, k) + n$. It is known that $F(3, 4) = 5$ and $F(3, 4, \dots, k) = 2$ for any integer $k \geq 5$. Thus

$$\exp(D) \leq \begin{cases} 5 + n, & \text{if } k = 4; \\ 2 + n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, by Theorem 4.2(ii), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *Let D be a strongly connected k -partite tournament of order n such that the length of a longest directed cycle is k for an integer $4 \leq k \leq n$. Then*

$$\text{cindex}(D) \leq \begin{cases} 5 + n, & \text{if } k = 4; \\ 2 + n, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

5 Tournaments

A tournament with n vertices, denoted by T_n , is a digraph resulting from orienting the edges of a complete graph K_n . The outdegree of a vertex v_i in T_n is called the score of v_i , denoted by s_i . If the vertices of an n -tournament T_n are labeled v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n so that $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n$, then the sequence (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) is called the *score sequence* of T_n .

Let D be a k -partite tournament with n vertices. Then clearly k is a positive integer with $k \leq n$. One can easily see that $k = n$ if and only if D is a tournament.

Theorem 5.1. [1] *Let D be a tournament with n vertices and (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) be its score sequence. Then $C^m(D)$ is as follows:*

$$(i) \ C^2(D) = \begin{cases} K_{n-2} \cup I_2, & \text{if } s_1 = 0, s_2 = 1; \\ K_{n-1} \cup I_1, & \text{if } s_1 = 0, s_2 \geq 2; \\ K_n \text{ or } K_n - P_2 \text{ or } K_n - P_3, & \text{if } s_1 = 1, s_2 \geq 2; \\ K_n - P_3 \text{ or } K_n - P_4, & \text{if } s_1 = s_2 = 1, s_3 \geq 2; \\ K_n, & \text{if } s_1 \geq 2; \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \ C^3(D) = \begin{cases} K_n, & \text{if either } s_1 = 1, s_2 \geq 2 \text{ or } s_1 \geq 2; \\ K_n - P_2, & \text{if } s_1 = s_2 = 1, s_3 \geq 2; \\ K_n - C_3, & \text{if } s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 1; \end{cases};$$

$$(iii) \ \text{for } m \geq 4, \ C^m(D) = \begin{cases} K_n & \text{if } s_1 = 1, s_2 \geq 2 \text{ or } s_1 = s_2 = 1, s_3 \geq 2 \text{ or } s_1 \geq 2; \\ K_n - C_3, & \text{if } s_1 = s_2 = s_3 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.1 left out the characterization of the m -step competition graph of a tournament with sinks for $m \geq 3$. In this section, we take care of it to eventually compute the competition period and competition index of a tournament with sinks.

Proposition 5.2. *There is at most one sink in any tournament.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there is a tournament D with at least two sinks. Let x and y be sinks of D . Since D is a tournament, one of (x, y) or (y, x) must be in $A(D)$, which is a contradiction. Hence there is at most one sink in any tournament. \square

Corollary 5.3. *Let n be an integer with $n \geq 3$. Then a tournament of order n is acyclic if and only if its sink elimination index is $n - 1$.*

Theorem 5.4. *Let D be a tournament of order $n \geq 2$ with a sink and let $\zeta(D)$ be the sink elimination index of D . Then, for a positive integer m , the following are true:*

(i) $1 \leq \zeta(D) \leq n - 1$ with $\zeta(D) \neq n - 2$. Moreover, for each integer i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $i \neq n - 2$, there exists a tournament with the sink elimination index i ;

(ii) if $1 \leq m < \zeta(D)$, then $C^m(D)$ is the union of the complete graph with vertex set $V(D_{m-1}) \setminus W_{m-1}$ and the empty graph with the vertex set $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} W_i$;

(iii) if $m \geq \zeta(D)$, then $C^m(D)$ is the union of the complete graph with vertex set $V(D_{\zeta(D)})$ and the empty graph with the vertex set $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$;

(iv) $\text{cperiod}(D) = 1$;

(v) $\text{cindex}(D) = \zeta(D)$.

Proof. Let $(W_0, \dots, W_{\zeta(D)})$ be the sink sequence of D . By the hypothesis that D has a sink, $W_0 \neq \emptyset$. Then $|W_0| = 1$ by Proposition 5.2. Since $n \geq 2$, $W_0 \neq V(D)$. Thus $\zeta(D) \neq 0$ and so $1 \leq \zeta(D) \leq n - 1$. Now $|W_i| = 1$ for each integer $0 \leq i \leq \zeta(D) - 1$ by Proposition 5.2.

Suppose $\zeta(D) = n - 2$. Then $D_{\zeta(D)}$ is a tournament of order 2, which has a sink and a non-sink, and we reach a contradiction. Therefore $\zeta(D) \neq n - 2$.

To show the ‘moreover’ part of the statement (i), fix i such that $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $i \neq n - 2$. We consider a tournament D' defined by $V(D') = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and $A(D') = \{(v_l, v_k) \mid 1 \leq k < l \leq n\} \setminus \{(v_n, v_{i+1})\} \cup \{(v_{i+1}, v_n)\}$. It is easy to check that $W_{j-1} = \{v_j\}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, i$ and so $\zeta(D') \geq i$. On the other hand, there exists a directed cycle $v_n \rightarrow v_{n-1} \rightarrow v_{n-2} \cdots \rightarrow v_{i+1} \rightarrow v_n$ in D' and so we may conclude that $\zeta(D') = i$. Thus the statement (i) is true.

To show the statements (ii) and (iii), we denote the vertices of D as v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n so that $W_i = \{v_{i+1}\}$ for each integer $0 \leq i \leq \zeta(D) - 1$. Then, for each integer $1 \leq i \leq \zeta(D)$, the length of a longest directed walk with an initial vertex v_i is $i - 1$ by Lemma 2.2. Take a positive integer m . Suppose $1 \leq m < \zeta(D)$. Then, for every vertex v in $V(D_{m-1}) \setminus W_{m-1}$, there is an arc from v to v_m . Concatenating this arc with the directed walk of length $m - 1$ from v_m to v_1 , every vertex in $V(D_{m-1}) \setminus W_{m-1}$ has v_1 as an m -step prey. Since $V(D_{m-1}) \setminus W_{m-1} = V(D) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} W_i$, $V(D_{m-1}) \setminus W_{m-1}$ forms a clique of size $|V(D) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} W_i| = n - m$ in $C^m(D)$. Since no vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} W_i$ has an m -step prey in D by Lemma 2.2, the vertices in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} W_i$ are isolated in $C^m(D)$. Thus the statement (ii) is true.

Suppose $m \geq \zeta(D)$. By (i), $1 \leq \zeta(D) \leq n - 1$ and $\zeta(D) \neq n - 2$. If $\zeta(D) = n - 1$, then there is at most one vertex which has an m -step prey in D and so $C^m(D) = I_n = K_1 \cup I_{n-1}$. Suppose $1 \leq \zeta(D) \leq n - 3$. Then $D_{\zeta(D)}$ is a tournament of order at least 3 and so $W_{\zeta(D)} \neq V(D_{\zeta(D)})$ by Proposition 5.2. By the definition of $\zeta(D)$, $W_{\zeta(D)} = \emptyset$, that is, $D_{\zeta(D)}$ is a tournament without sinks. Thus, for each vertex $v \in D_{\zeta(D)}$, there exists a directed walk X_v in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ of length k from v to a vertex in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ where $k = m - \zeta(D)$. Since $D_{\zeta(D)}$ is a subdigraph of D , X_v is a directed walk of length k in D . By the definition of sink sequence, there is a directed walk of length $\zeta(D)$ from each vertex in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ to v_1 in D . By concatenating those two directed walks, we obtain a (v, v_1) -directed walk of length m for each vertex $v \in D_{\zeta(D)}$ in D . Hence the vertices in $D_{\zeta(D)}$ forms a clique of size $n - \zeta(D)$ in $C^m(D)$. Since no vertex in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ has an m -step prey in D by Lemma 2.2, the vertices in $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\zeta(D)-1} W_i$ are isolated in $C^m(D)$. Therefore the statement (iii) is valid. Thus the statements (iv) and (v) immediately follow from (ii) and (iii). \square

6 Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) (NRF-2017R1E1A1A03070489 and 2016R1A5A1008055) funded by the Korea government(MSIP).

References

- [1] J. H. Ahn. The m -step p -competition graph and the characterization of the m -step competition graph of a tournament. 1999.

- [2] E. Belmont. A complete characterization of paths that are m -step competition graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 159(14):1381–1390, 2011.
- [3] J. A. Bondy. Disconnected orientations and a conjecture of las vergnas. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 2(2):277–282, 1976.
- [4] R. A. Brualdi, H. J. Ryser, et al. *Combinatorial matrix theory*, volume 39. Springer, 1991.
- [5] H. H. Cho and H. K. Kim. Competition indices of digraphs. In *Proceedings of workshop in combinatorics*, volume 99, pages 96–107, 2004.
- [6] H. H. Cho and H. K. Kim. Competition indices of strongly connected digraphs. *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 48(3):637–646, 2011.
- [7] H. H. Cho, S.-R. Kim, and Y. Nam. The m -step competition graph of a digraph. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 105(1):115–127, 2000.
- [8] J. E. Cohen. Interval graphs and food webs: a finding and a problem. *RAND Corporation Document*, 17696, 1968.
- [9] S. Eoh, S.-R. Kim, and H. Yoon. On m -step competition graphs of bipartite tournaments. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 283:199–206, 2020.
- [10] W. D. Goddard, G. Kubicki, O. R. Oellermann, and S. Tian. On multipartite tournaments. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 52(2):284–300, 1991.
- [11] H. J. Greenberg, J. R. Lundgren, and J. S. Maybee. Inverting graphs of rectangular matrices. *Discrete applied mathematics*, 8(3):255–265, 1984.
- [12] G. T. Helleloid. Connected triangle-free m -step competition graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 145(3):376–383, 2005.
- [13] W. Ho. The m -step, same-step, and any-step competition graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 152(1):159–175, 2005.
- [14] H. K. Kim. Competition indices of tournaments. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc*, 45(2):385–396, 2008.
- [15] H. K. Kim. Generalized competition index of a primitive digraph. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 433(1):72–79, 2010.
- [16] H. K. Kim. Characterization of irreducible boolean matrices with the largest generalized competition index. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 466:218–232, 2015.

- [17] H. K. Kim and S. G. Park. A bound of generalized competition index of a primitive digraph. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 436(1):86–98, 2012.
- [18] S.-R. Kim. The competition number and its variants. In *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, volume 55, pages 313–326. Elsevier, 1993.
- [19] J. R. Lundgren. Food webs, competition graphs, competition-common enemy graphs, and niche graphs. In *Applications of Combinatorics and Graph Theory to the Biological and Social Sciences*, pages 221–243. Springer, 1989.
- [20] B. Park, J. Y. Lee, and S.-R. Kim. The m -step competition graphs of doubly partial orders. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 24(6):811–816, 2011.
- [21] A. Raychaudhuri and F. S. Roberts. Generalized competition graphs and their applications. *Methods of Operations Research*, 49:295–311, 1985.
- [22] F. S. Roberts. Competition graphs and phylogeny graphs. *Graph Theory and Combinatorial Biology, Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, 7:333–362, 1999.
- [23] L. Volkmann. Multipartite tournaments: A survey. *Discrete Mathematics*, 307(24):3097–3129, 2007.
- [24] Y. Zhao and G. J. Chang. Note on the m -step competition numbers of paths and cycles. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 157(8):1953–1958, 2009.