

# Switching for Small Strongly Regular Graphs

Ferdinand Ihringer\*

April 14, 2022

## Abstract

We provide an abundance of strongly regular graphs (SRGs) for certain parameters  $(n, k, \lambda, \mu)$  with  $n < 100$ . For this we use Godsil-McKay (GM) switching with a partition of type  $4, n - 4$  and Wang-Qiu-Hu (WQH) switching with a partition of type  $3^2, n - 6$  or  $4^2, n - 8$ . In most cases, we start with a highly symmetric graph which belongs to a finite geometry. To our knowledge, most of the obtained graphs are new.

For all graphs, we provide statistics about the size of the automorphism group. We also find the recently discovered Krčadinac partial geometry, therefore finding a third method of constructing it.

## 1 Introduction

Strongly regular graphs lie on the cusp between highly structured and unstructured. For example, there is a unique strongly regular graph with parameters  $(36, 10, 4, 2)$ , but there are 32548 non-isomorphic graphs with parameters  $(36, 15, 6, 6)$ .  
Peter Cameron, Random Strongly Regular Graphs?

A strongly regular graph (SRG) is a  $k$ -regular graph with  $n$  vertices such that any two adjacent vertices have  $\lambda$  common neighbors, while any two non-adjacent vertices have  $\mu$  common neighbors [13]. The set  $(n, k, \lambda, \mu)$  is called the parameters of an SRG. SRGs are interesting for many reasons. Their existence relates to several combinatorial objects such as Steiner triple systems, quasi-symmetric designs, rank 3 permutation groups, and partial geometries. See [4] for a recent survey. They are also an important class of graphs for isomorphism testing [2, 25], as they are often hard to distinguish, which makes it interesting to have many of SRGs with the same parameters.

Our main aim is to provide an abundance of small SRGs which can be used to test various conjectures in graph theory. For instance, researchers test conjectures by using Spence's collection of SRGs [24]. Sometimes these are later refuted. For instance, see

---

\*Department of Mathematics: Analysis, Logic and Discrete Mathematics, Ghent University, Belgium, ferdinand.ihringer@ugent.be.

[11]. A larger selection of easily accessible SRGs as well as an easy method will hopefully lead to “better” conjectures.

An additional motivation for this paper that the current draft of [4] cites some of the data of this document and we want to provide a proper reference.

Let us recall a special case of Godsil-McKay (GM) switching [10] and Wang-Qiu-Hu (WQH) switching [28].

**Theorem 1** (GM Switching). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph whose vertex set is partitioned as  $C \cup D$ . Assume that the induced subgraph on  $C$  is regular. Suppose that each  $x \in D$  either has 0,  $|C|/2$ , or  $|C|$  neighbours in  $C$ . Construct a new graph  $\bar{\Gamma}$  by switching adjacency and non-adjacency between  $x \in D$  and  $C$  when  $|\Gamma(x) \cap C| = |C|/2$ . Then  $\Gamma$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}$  are cospectral.*

**Theorem 2** (WQH Switching). *Let  $\Gamma$  be a graph whose vertex set is partitioned as  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup D$ . Assume that the induced subgraphs on  $C_1, C_2$ , and  $C_1 \cup C_2$  are regular, and that the induced subgraphs on  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  have the same size and degree. Suppose that each  $x \in D$  either has the same number of neighbors in  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ , or  $\Gamma(x) \cap (C_1 \cup C_2) \in \{C_1, C_2\}$ . Construct a new graph  $\bar{\Gamma}$  by switching adjacency and non-adjacency between  $x \in D$  and  $C_1 \cup C_2$  when  $\Gamma(x) \cap (C_1 \cup C_2) \in \{C_1, C_2\}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}$  are cospectral.*

Cospectral SRGs have the same parameters, so WQH switching applied to an SRG yields an SRG with the same parameters. The aim of this paper is to provide a large collection of SRGs which can be generated by WQH switching with a partition of type  $2^2, n - 4$  or  $3^2, n - 6$ . Note that WQH switching with a partition of type  $2^2, n - 4$  corresponds to Godsil-McKay switching as remarked in [15]. It was shown by Abiad and Haemers [1] (for  $q = 2$ ), and the author [14, 15] (for all  $q$ ) that this technique works for certain families of SRGs. Here we present a more thorough investigation for small parameter sets.

Note that WQH switching was “almost” observed in Definition 3 of [3] by Behbahani, Lam, and Östergård. This led to a similar investigation.

Our results are summarized as follows. Write  $\text{GM}(m)$  (respectively,  $\text{WQH}_\ell(m)$ ) if we apply WQH switching up to  $m$  times with a partition of type  $2^2, n - 4$  (respectively,  $\ell^2, n - 6$ ) to our seed graph. Definitions of the graphs are in the corresponding subsections.

$(n, d, c, a)$	#	Type	Seed
(57, 24, 11, 9)	31,490,375	GM(9)	$S(2, 3, 19)$
(63, 30, 13, 15)	13,505,292	GM(5)	$Sp(6, 2)$
(64, 21, 8, 6)	76,323	GM( $\infty$ )	$Bilin(2, 3, 2)$
(64, 27, 10, 12)	8,613,977	GM(5)	$VO^-(6, 2)$
(64, 28, 12, 12)	11,063,360	GM(5)	$VO^+(6, 2)$
(70, 27, 12, 9)	78,900,835	GM(10)	$S(2, 3, 21)$
(81, 24, 9, 6)	7,441,608	WQH <sub>3</sub> (6)	$VNO_4^+(3)$
(81, 30, 9, 12)	16,565,438	WQH <sub>3</sub> ( $\infty$ )	$vLS\ pg(5, 5, 2) \cong VNO_4^-(3)$
(81, 32, 13, 12)	21,392,603	WQH <sub>3</sub> (6)	$Bilin(2, 2, 3)$
(85, 30, 3, 5)	237,787	WQH <sub>4</sub> (5)	$Sp(4, 4)$
(96, 19, 2, 4)	178,040	WQH <sub>4</sub> (6)	$Haemers(4)$
(96, 20, 4, 4)	133,005	WQH <sub>4</sub> (6)	$GQ(5, 3)$
(120, 63, 30, 36)	4,708,637	GM(4)	$NO_8^+(2)$

We provide the number of new graphs after each switching step and the automorphism group sizes for all graphs.

All graphs can be found on the homepage of the author in Nauty's graph6 format: <http://math.ihringer.org/srgs.php>. There we also provide selected versions of the used C program.

## 2 Finding Partitions and Other Technicalities

Our investigation of the individual switching classes itself is very standard. We keep a list of canonical representatives for all graphs which we encounter in our search tree, see [18, §4.2.1]. The canonical representatives are given by McKay's and Piperno's nauty-traces [22]. A tiny self-written C program applies the switching. We also used nauty-traces to calculate the sizes of the automorphism groups.

We use cliquer by Östergård [23] to calculate clique numbers in some cases. In two cases we use the default SRG with the right parameters from Sage [26], relying on Cohen's and Pasechnik's implementation of Brouwer's SRG database [8]. Due to hardware constraints, we usually ended our search at around 10 million SRGs. A particular emphasize was put on parameters (70, 27, 12, 9) as the existence of any partial geometry  $pg(6, 6, 4)$  is open.

Our partition finding method is very simple and is described in the following. Our vertex set is labeled  $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and the adjacency matrix of the graph is  $A$ .

### 2.1 Type $2^2, n - 4$

For WQH switching with a partition of type  $2^2, n - 4$ , we actually implemented GM switching with a partition of type  $4, n - 4$ . The partition  $C \cup D$  has to satisfy these conditions:

- (A) The induced subgraph on  $C$  is regular.
- (B) All  $x \in D$  satisfy  $|\Gamma(x) \cap C| \in \{0, 2, 4\}$ .
- (C) There exists an  $x \in D$  with  $|\Gamma(x) \cap C| = 2$ .

The last condition is not stated in Theorem 1 above, but  $\Gamma = \bar{\Gamma}$  otherwise.

Most of our graphs have no symmetries, so we naively iterate through all 4-tuples  $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)$  with  $c_1 < c_2 < c_3 < c_4$  in a nested loop.

We only check the conditions in the inner loop. We check first for (A) as it (naively) only involves accessing up to  $|C|(|C| - 1) = 12$  entries of  $A$ , while (B) and (C) might access up to  $|D| \cdot |C| = 4(n - 4)$  entries of  $A$ . We tried more sophisticated methods of pruning, but these were bad for performance.

## 2.2 Type $3^2, n - 6$

For WQH switching with a partition of type  $3^2, n - 6$ , the partition  $C_1 \cup C_2 \cup D$  has to satisfy the following conditions:

- (A) The induced subgraph on  $C_1$  is regular with some degree  $k_1$ .
- (B) The induced subgraph on  $C_2$  is regular with degree  $k_1$ .
- (C) The bipartite subgraph between  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  (with the edges of  $\Gamma$ ) is regular.
- (D) All  $x \in D$  satisfy  $|\Gamma(x) \cap C_1| = |\Gamma(x) \cap C_2|$  or  $\Gamma(x) \cap (C_1 \cup C_2) \in \{C_1, C_2\}$ .
- (E) The second case of (D) occurs.

While Theorem 2 asks the induced subgraph in  $C_1 \cup C_2$  to be regular, in light of (A) and (B), testing for (C) suffices and is faster.

We iterate in a nested for-loop through all 6-tuples  $(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6)$  with  $c_1 < c_2 < c_3$  and  $c_1 < c_4 < c_5 < c_6$ . Here  $C_1 = \{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$  and  $C_2 = \{c_4, c_5, c_6\}$ .

Discarding partial tuples early is advisable: Write  $\tilde{C}_m = \{c_i : i \leq m\}$ . We discard  $\tilde{C}_3 = C_1$  if it does not satisfy (A). We discard  $\tilde{C}_5$ , if we can no longer satisfy (B). This is very straightforward: If the induced subgraph on  $C_1 = \tilde{C}_3$  is complete, then we test for  $A_{c_4, c_5} = 1$ . If the induced subgraph is empty, then we test for  $A_{c_4, c_5} = 0$ . We also discard  $\tilde{C}_5$  in the following two cases which derive from (C): if  $|\Gamma(c_4) \cap \tilde{C}_3| \neq |\Gamma(c_5) \cap \tilde{C}_3|$ ; if  $||\Gamma(c_i) \cap \{c_4, c_5\}| - |\Gamma(c_j) \cap \{c_4, c_5\}|| > 1$  for any  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . In the inner loop, we test in this order: (C), (B), (D), (E).

For the case  $4^2, n - 8$  we wrote a generic program which follows the spirit of the pruning rules above.

### 3 SRGs

#### 3.1 Very Small Parameters

SRGs with very small parameters are discussed in [3]. For instance, there are at least 342 SRGs with parameters  $(49, 18, 7, 6)$  and one has a GM switching class of size 175.

#### 3.2 SRG(57,24,11,9)

Note that there is a one-to-one correspondence between Steiner triple systems and SRGs derived from a Steiner triple system [17, 25]. In particular, the complete classification of Steiner triple systems of order 19 [17] yields a large amount of SRGs with parameters  $(57, 24, 11, 9)$ . All of our graphs might be included in the 11,084,874,829 SRGs of [17]. Similarly to [15, Theorem 4] one can see that certain cycle switches of designs (see [16]) can be interpreted as WQH switchings. In particular, the so-called Pasch switching corresponds to WQH switching with a partition of type  $2^2, n - 4$ , that is GM switching with a partition of type  $4, n - 4$ . To our knowledge, this was first observed in [3]. There it is also observed that GM switching leads to non-geometric SRGs. The authors of [3] only found 338,536 SRGs by GM switching which is surprisingly small compared to our number.

The number of graphs after applying GM switching up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
New	1	9	102	829	5,408	31,409	171,607	913,192	4,826,290	25,541,528
Total	1	10	112	941	6,349	37,758	209,365	1,122,557	5,948,847	31,490,375

The automorphism group sizes are as follows.

$ G $	1	2	3	6	9
SRGs	31,489,648	468	255	1	3

#### 3.3 SRG(63, 30, 13, 15)

Let us give a short description of the collinearity graph of  $Sp(2d, q)$ : Vertices are 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{2d}$ . Two 1-dimensional subspaces are adjacent if they are perpendicular with respect to the bilinear form  $x_1y_2 - x_2y_1 + \dots + x_{2d-1}y_{2d} - x_{2d}y_{2d-1}$ . For  $(d, q) = (3, 2)$ , this graph has the desired parameters. WQH switching works for  $Sp(2d, q)$ , see [1] for  $q = 2$  and [14, 15] for the general case.


Some basic facts about  $Sp(6, 2)$ : It has an automorphism group of size 1,451,520, clique number 7 and coclique number 7. SRGs with the same parameters as  $Sp(6, 2)$  have spectrum  $(30, 3^{35}, -5^{27})$ , clique number at most 7 and coclique number at most 9. More details on  $Sp(6, 2)$  can be found in [4, §10.21].

The number of graphs after applying GM switching up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5
New	1	2	52	3,275	254,097	13,247,865
Total	1	3	55	3,330	257,427	13,505,292

The automorphism group sizes are as follows.

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	8,226,588	18	3	192	28	4,608	1
2	4,428,326	20	1	256	32	1,451,520	1
3	531	24	107	288	2		
4	648,049	32	45,390	320	1		
5	5	36	4	384	10		
6	501	40	1	512	3		
8	135,468	48	54	576	2		
9	1	64	1,605	640	1		
10	8	96	50	768	2		
12	241	128	130	1,344	1		
16	18,136	160	6	1,536	3		



The number of cliques of size 7. We also found graphs in  $\mathcal{F}$  with no cliques of size 6, but these examples are not reached in five steps.

Cls	SRGs	Cls	SRGs	Cls	SRGs	Cls	SRGs
0	24	18	530,185	36	19,761	54	3
1	54	19	1,214,285	37	77,842	55	2,060
2	202	20	497,876	38	10,441	56	2
3	2,837	21	1,015,163	39	100,499	57	29
4	2,574	22	433,987	40	3,668	59	227
5	15,844	23	1,052,324	41	24,189	60	3
6	15,519	24	346,865	42	1,490	61	13
7	76,236	25	705,665	43	32,343	62	2
8	53,325	26	263,539	44	404	63	198
9	199,053	27	699,321	45	6,777	67	29
10	131,289	28	180,134	46	215	71	69
11	436,005	29	400,493	47	14,592	79	7
12	246,544	30	120,076	48	72	87	6
13	694,608	31	420,594	49	1,560	103	1
14	379,654	32	67,720	50	30	135	1
15	1,025,530	33	178,932	51	2,918		
16	480,205	34	40,152	52	22		
17	1,087,195	35	191,629	53	181		

While a partial geometry of type  $pg(6, 4, 3)$  is known, none of the 29,017 graphs with at least 45 cliques belongs to a partial geometry.

### 3.4 SRG(64,21,8,6)

See §3.10 for a description of the graph  $Bilin(2, 3, 2)$  which has the right parameters. The search in [3] found more than 500,000 SRGs, while the GM switching class of  $Bilin(2, 3, 2)$  has only size 76,323, therefore we omit any further details. We calculated the index chromatic number of a random subset of size 1000, but failed to find a counterexample to the conjecture in [7], namely that the chromatic index of an SRG with  $n$  even is always  $k$  unless the SRG is the Petersen graph.

### 3.5 SRG(64,27,10,12)

Let us give a short description of the graph  $VO^-(2d, q)$ . Let  $Q(x) = \alpha x_1^2 + \beta x_1 x_2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{2d}^2$  such that  $\alpha x_1^2 + \beta x_1 x_2 + x_2^2$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For  $q = 2$ , we can choose  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$ . The vertices of  $VO^-(2d, q)$  are the vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_q^{2d}$ . Two vertices  $x, y$  are adjacent if  $Q(x - y) = 0$ . The graph  $VO^-(6, 2)$  has an automorphism group of size 3,317,760. More details on  $VO^-(6, 2)$  can be found in [4, §10.25].

The number of graphs after applying GM switching up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5
New	1	2	43	2,116	158,036	8,453,779
Total	1	3	46	2,162	160,198	8,613,977

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	4,799,279	18	1	128	338	768	6
2	2,962,488	20	6	144	2	1,024	4
3	379	24	119	160	6	1,152	2
4	681,960	32	37,068	192	31	1,536	1
5	4	40	1	256	68	3,072	2
6	453	48	68	288	2	4,096	1
8	111,971	64	2,640	320	1	4,608	2
10	1	72	3	384	16	6,144	1
12	362	80	1	512	16	73,728	1
16	16,612	96	59	640	1	3,317,760	1

The graph  $VO^-(6, 2)$  is known to be  $(K_5 - e)$ -free and its complement is  $(K_7 - e)$ -free. Therefore, it is a witness for the Ramsey number  $R(K_5 - e, K_7 - e) \geq 65$ , see [4, §10.25]. In fact,  $R(K_5 - e, K_7 - e) = 65$ . Among the 8,613,977 in our collection, it is the only graph with that property. Indeed, it includes only 8  $K_5$ -free graphs for which the complement is  $K_7$ -free.

### 3.6 SRG(64,28,12,12)

The graph  $VO^+(2d, q)$  can be constructed the same way as  $VO^-(2d, q)$  from the preceding section, but with  $(\alpha, \beta)$  chosen such that  $\alpha x_1^2 + \beta x_1 x_2 + x_2^2$  is reducible over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For  $q = 2$ , we can choose  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 0)$ . The graph  $VO^+(6, 2)$  has an automorphism group of size 2,580,480. More details on  $VO^+(6, 2)$  can be found in [4, §10.26].

The number of graphs after applying GM switching up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5
New	1	1	52	2,680	201,883	10,858,742
Total	1	3	55	2,735	204,618	11,063,360

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:



$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	6,419,836	18	1	128	380	3,072	2
2	3,522,363	20	6	144	2	4,096	2
3	363	24	123	168	1	6,144	1
4	872,266	32	64,629	192	23	24,576	1
5	1	36	1	256	75	2,580,480	1
6	336	40	1	384	14		
8	160,568	48	74	512	19		
9	1	64	3,061	576	2		
10	1	72	5	768	4		
12	326	80	1	1,024	4		
16	18,812	96	50	1,536	4		

### 3.7 SRG(70,27,12,9)

Note that as for parameters (57, 24, 11, 9), the classification of Steiner triple systems of order 21 [19, 20] is another source for a large number of SRGs with parameters (70, 27, 12, 9). We assume that our list of 78,900,835 SRGs is mostly disjoint to the 13,168,639 SRGs in [19] and the 83,003,869 SRGs in [20] as the extra conditions in [19, 20] appear to be rather restrictive.

The seed graph belongs to a Steiner triple system on 21 points and has an automorphism group of size 126.

The number of graphs after applying GM switching up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
New	1	1	7	85	775	6,094	43,397	286,285	1,799,283	10,976,064	65,788,843
Total	1	2	9	94	869	6,963	50,360	336,645	2,135,928	13,111,992	78,900,835

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	78,899,457	3	460	21	1
2	911	6	5	126	1

The complement of an SRG with parameters (70, 27, 12, 9) can be the point graph of a partial geometry of type  $pg(6, 6, 4)$ . An SRG belonging to such a partial geometry would have at least 70 cocliques of size 7 which pairwise meet in at most one vertex. In the following, we list the number of the cocliques of size 7.

CoCls	SRGs	CoCls	SRGs	CoCls	SRGs	CoCls	SRGs
4	9	22	3,441,902	40	600,491	58	108
5	26	23	4,097,976	41	426,302	59	69
6	101	24	4,693,209	42	297,407	60	38
7	416	25	5,175,736	43	203,004	61	13
8	1,165	26	5,509,532	44	136,577	62	10
9	3,248	27	5,668,239	45	88,848	63	4
10	8,476	28	5,640,194	46	57,586	64	6
11	19,938	29	5,436,783	47	36,770	65	2
12	43,282	30	5,082,314	48	22,903	66	2
13	86,276	31	4,612,777	49	13,991	68	2
14	162,550	32	4,074,374	50	8,570	69	1
15	287,714	33	3,491,432	51	5,205	70	2
16	477,322	34	2,922,707	52	3,170		
17	749,488	35	2,382,507	53	1,790		
18	1,115,548	36	1,893,952	54	1,018		
19	1,585,748	37	1,468,602	55	601		
20	2,146,052	38	1,111,984	56	348		
21	2,778,104	39	826,135	57	179		

We found only two graphs with an sufficient amount of cliques. One has an automorphism group of size 6, one of size 1. Both have at most 16 cliques which pairwise meet in at most one vertex. Hence, we do not obtain a  $pg(6, 6, 4)$ .

### 3.8 SRG(81,24,9,6)

A nice geometric graph with the given parameters can be obtained as follows. Let  $Q(x) = x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$ . The vertices are the vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_3^4$ . Two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if  $Q(x - y) = 1$ . This graph is also known as  $VNO^+(4, 3)$  and has an automorphism group of size 93,312.

The number of graphs after applying WQH switching with a partition of type  $3^2, n-6$  up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
New	1	2	31	596	15,183	377,270	7,048,525
Total	1	3	34	630	15,813	393,083	7,441,608

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	7,213,765	18	664	108	18	1,944	1
2	62,221	24	20	144	1	93,312	1
3	154,705	27	27	162	6		
4	635	36	96	216	4		
6	7,228	48	5	324	3		
8	6	54	56	432	1		
9	1,820	72	4	486	1		
12	311	81	5	972	4		

### 3.9 SRG(81,30,9,12)

Van Lint and Schrijver discovered a partial geometry of type  $pg(5, 5, 2)$  [27], the vL-S partial geometry. The point graph of this partial geometry is an SRG with parameters  $(81, 30, 9, 12)$ . Recently, a second partial geometry of the same type was discovered by Krčadinac [21] and, almost at the same time, by Crnković, Švob and Tonchev [9]. More details on  $VNO^-(4, 2)$  can be found in [4, §10.29].

We can describe the SRG derived from the vL-S geometry as follows. Let  $Q(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2$ . The vertices are the vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_3^4$ . Two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  are adjacent if  $Q(x - y) = 1$ . This graph is also known as  $VNO_4^-(3)$ .

The number of graphs after applying WQH switching with a partition of type  $3^2, n-6$  up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
New	1	2	21	144	1,249	12,560	107,665	691,650
Total	1	3	24	168	1,417	13,977	121,642	813,292

$i$	8	9	10	11	12
New	2,957,467	7,041,075	4,892,852	835,010	25,742
Total	3,770,759	10,811,834	15,704,686	16,539,696	16,565,438

Further applications of the switching operation do not yield more graphs. The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	964	16	4	81	12	3,888	1
2	474	18	1,828	108	48	116,640	1
3	3,704,564	24	42	144	3		
4	172	27	308	162	12		
6	58,460	36	48	216	7		
8	6	48	5	324	6		
9	2,998	54	164	432	2		
12	622	72	3	972	5		

Note that our seed graph, the point graph of the vL-S partial geometry has an automorphism group of size 116,640. By comparing automorphism group sizes, we see that our list cannot contain all of the 14 SRGs described in [9].

A partial geometry  $pg(5, 5, 2)$  necessarily has at least 81 cliques of size 6 which pairwise meet in at most one vertex. Our search produced 38 SRGs with sufficiently many cliques of size 6. Only the one corresponding to the vL-S partial geometry and the Krčadinac partial geometry actually are point graphs of partial geometries. Hence, we rediscover the Krčadinac partial geometry via a third method. The distance between the vL-S partial geometry and the Krčadinac partial geometry is 6 WQH switchings with  $|C_1| = 3$ .

Cls	SRGs	Cls	SRGs	Cls	SRGs	Cls	SRGs	Cls	SRGs
0	1,461,188	16	37	32	47	50	14	81	10
1	60	17	11	33	412	51	1	84	3
2	145	18	39,752	34	15	52	2	90	14
3	1,163,023	19	2	35	4	54	276	98	2
4	92	20	48	36	2,262	56	17	102	1
5	17	21	15,331	37	1	57	10	108	4
6	553,360	22	39	38	24	58	1	126	1
7	19	23	8	39	956	59	2	162	1
8	43	24	8,321	40	38	60	18		
9	354,325	25	2	42	625	63	30		
10	60	26	13	43	18	65	2		
11	12	27	5,104	44	18	66	31		
12	129,865	28	35	45	408	70	8		
13	13	29	1	46	2	72	54		
14	54	30	2,840	47	3	76	4		
15	31,309	31	12	48	273	78	6		

### 3.10 SRG(81, 32, 13,12)

The graph  $Bilin(2, m-2, q)$ ,  $n \geq 4$ , can be described as follows. The vertices are the set of all 2-spaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^m$  which are disjoint to a fixed  $(m-2)$ -space. Two 2-spaces are adjacent if their meet is a 1-space. This yields an SRG. For  $n = 4$  and  $q = 3$ , its parameters are  $(81, 32, 13, 12)$  and it has an automorphism group of size 186,624.

The number of graphs after applying WQH switching with a partition of type  $3^2, n-6$  up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
New	1	2	41	963	29,120	841,699	20,520,777
Total	1	3	44	1,007	30,127	871,826	21,392,603

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	20,082,770	24	74	108	27	186,624	1
2	286,231	27	163	144	4		
3	961,829	32	3	162	3		
4	6,108	36	182	216	9		
6	45,007	48	8	288	1		
8	206	54	111	324	5		
9	4,971	64	1	432	2		
12	1,225	72	7	486	1		
16	16	81	5	972	6		
18	3,623	96	3	3,888	1		

### 3.11 SRG(85, 20, 3, 5)

See §3.3 for a description of the graph  $Sp(4, 4)$ . It has an automorphism group of size 1,958,400. The number of graphs after applying WQH switching with a partition of type  $4^2, n - 8$  up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5
New	1	1	16	442	12,303	225,024
Total	1	2	18	460	12,763	237,787

Van Dam and Guo provide 127,433 graphs with parameters  $(120, 63, 30, 36)$  in [12]. The list of graphs here shares precisely 3,501 entries with their list.

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	100,064	20	3	192	1
2	116,790	24	23	240	1
3	87	32	51	288	1
4	19,268	36	1	384	3
5	2	48	8	768	1
6	29	64	15	1,280	1
8	1,167	72	2	1,536	1
12	47	96	8	2,304	1
16	206	128	5	1,958,400	1

### 3.12 SRG(96, 19, 2, 4)

See [5, §8.A] for a construction of graphs of type Haemers( $q$ ). Note that even for fixed  $q$ , this does not uniquely determine the graph. Our seed graph has an automorphism group of size 9,216. The number of graphs after applying WQH switching with a partition of type  $4^2, n - 8$  up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
New	1	2	17	160	1,680	17,578	158,602
Total	1	3	20	180	1,860	19,438	178,040

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	122,184	18	2	144	1
2	43,093	24	33	192	5
3	122	32	144	256	3
4	9,605	36	1	288	1
6	41	48	25	384	1
8	2,113	64	38	768	3
9	1	72	1	1,024	1
12	78	96	13	1,536	1
16	510	128	19	9,216	1

### 3.13 SRG(96, 20, 4, 4)

Our seed graph is the point graph of the unique generalized quadrangle of order  $(5, 3)$  and has a group of size 138,240. The number of graphs after applying WQH switching with a partition of type  $4^2, n - 8$  up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
New	1	2	13	95	949	10,773	121,172
Total	1	3	16	111	1,060	11,833	133,005

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	18,759	20	1	128	139	1,024	4
2	56,510	24	47	144	2	1,152	1
3	18	32	1,351	192	10	1,536	6
4	38,277	48	53	240	1	3,072	4
6	34	54	1	256	59	7,680	2
8	12,673	64	463	384	8	138,240	1
12	51	72	2	512	17		
16	4,475	80	1	640	1		
18	1	96	24	768	9		

### 3.14 SRG(120, 63, 30, 36)

Our seed graph is the graph  $NO_8^+(2)$ . Its vertices are the non-singular points of  $\mathbb{F}_2^8$  with respect to a non-degenerate quadratic form of hyperbolic type. Our seed graph has an automorphism group of size 348,364,800.

The number of graphs after applying GM switching with a partition of type  $2^2, n-4$  up to  $i$  times:

$i$	0	1	2	3	4
New	1	2	55	8,166	4,700,413
Total	1	3	58	8,224	4,708,637

The sizes of the automorphism groups of the occurring graphs:

$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs	$ G $	SRGs
1	3,008,218	36	15	576	9	4,608	4
2	1,199,122	48	755	640	1	6,144	4
3	748	60	2	720	1	9,216	1
4	345,860	64	11,962	768	96	12,288	1
6	1,934	72	14	1,024	45	15,360	1
8	93,313	96	333	1,152	5	23,040	1
9	5	128	8,530	1,536	47	24,576	2
12	3,039	144	9	1,920	2	36,864	3
16	25,106	192	112	2,048	8	110,592	1
18	15	256	1,334	2,304	4	348,364,800	1
20	1	288	6	2,688	1		
24	1,828	384	610	3,072	10		
32	5,323	512	194	4,096	1		

## 4 Future Work

It might be very fruitful to use switching to optimize SRGs for a certain parameter. For instance, switching embeds naturally in a threshold accepting algorithm. Note that for Steiner triple systems, one can find a similar suggestion in [16].

Our investigation is incomplete in at least two ways. Firstly, we might not have checked all known SRGs with less than 100 vertices for the considered switchings. Secondly, surely there exist SRGs for some of the parameters which are at the time of writing unknown. Here is a list of all sets of parameters for which SRGs are known, but we failed at finding a graph for which our switching works. Note that we did not investigate

parameter sets which are completely classified.

(37, 18, 8, 9),	(41, 20, 9, 10),	(45, 22, 10, 11),	(49, 24, 11, 12),
(50, 21, 8, 9),	(53, 26, 12, 13),	(65, 32, 15, 16),	(70, 27, 12, 9),
(73, 36, 17, 18),	(81, 40, 19, 20),	(82, 36, 15, 16),	(89, 44, 21, 22)
(97, 48, 23, 24),	(99, 48, 22, 24).		

**Acknowledgement** The author is supported by a postdoctoral fellowship of the Research Foundation - Flanders (FWO). The author would like to thank Andries Brouwer and Gordon Royle for comments and suggestions on earlier drafts of this paper.

## A Ambiguous Seed Graphs

Here we list the used seed graphs for the less beautiful seed graphs.

### A.1 SRG(57,24,11,9)

```
x'MjkWRlZLZHuJY^J]~NvkT?^_KB[S1_LAimY_Wxy_WFSGj'M_zopIn|?ZeaYYMo{Ceu
NC\Lap{\_}????~{LiEiOKMaiaLQISj?taGIsd[cIMQLRoHMSMq['wg@@PUDl@xpcG[p
@|QlDCSeedCiHOrJ_y00wdzLASGw'zhrE_OjhCwlACKySW?Q@| [ouOBOBtuPrHaFGHu0
eb?sYB[ob'K0e_u@rbE@jGHoMY[@p{ _deCTgBbe_qqCVgBb_]E@rK{?????~??~
```

### A.2 SRG(70,27,12,9)

```
~?@EQd_pJPwdUi{chWU'w]W^hm'Xt?}pX^HYwRu\G}WF~~sLX?Sdp?kd_HmLGAtS'BFT
WGdYLGqAy[HaIpe]?NADaiCLQcX0c}p0_'Z{aaCI^eGbh1GXCRtqcF_@}?N{RWiY[QPf
Eal' _???F~~{TDQGdcAIbKCXAAd0a' [cBUgGSMe?ss[_EIOakhi?cW'SqET?'ESzpHe@
?havWEX_oEWWXURAHe_ChDpg_rw?YNoo?pwK?oxkKQeABD[PqQLHADAYakGXOCHOI}V@
Dg?'i@^BgJQ_GbqIIV@RD@UooE?@hQ_|h_tH?ASl?zU0y?R@PhOJ_FeoBGITgCw@xr
?eAa?^JUORW@cDG@{ueAMW?F'tgC\KCMg?F'ySAiqAVS?Bow@{c?{o~????????~??~w
```

### A.3 SRG(96,19,2,4)

```
~?@_????CA?[_K?C?G?S_Ao???q@cACo?W?AA??00??oEHC_WQCCGOGGCO_?Ew?CQ?d?P
_G[?S?d?@0?s?ScY??D' J??@EGE@OPG'GDE?@o??@OD@_'A_GaP@PC??a_aG_?OSH'IA?
Q@CGSGAOC?WBD?S?GWCg_g?Q?CgOPJ?OAEgAKoCCSAH?OgGGgHCAD?cO_CPG@_W_OG_oA
OAGDCO@C?'C?oa?__Pg@?0?oCC'OG@?C__'?AG'?AC?x?cD@?CA@WB?wKe?K?Ka@__aK
g?SaGQGAaQ_DAEEM??_~_?GOAQC'I@?C_@cAQDA_??EECKe?W?WKKA_SI?@G?AQOWD
@_?E?B??N~??????F}????????@} @Qp0?00a?K?GUcW?00a?H?CoCS?@0aaG_CE@D??DAI
Ga?0Aa_PC@@CY?@?II@GC@Cw?C?C'X?'GCU????CHQOGWCS_???G?????????F~~?BBo
@_?oK?w_AGPGPa?_0@?QDAHCPDG?'?C?SD@_??@~w?????Ko?I????AYeT@CB@0????XU
Y_ '@GO@~_?????@xw?@oOIOOB_Gp?QG?000h?OF?aP?QG@?IR?E_GQA?OGK?_Ap_CgGO_
_OCH?_DG_h0'?G?gA_@??UGGdAOA?I?a?0?EPPPCG@O' ???iG?BDDAO_D?c??AgO????B
```



~?wW?Fo?B\_?K??AHO\_P\_gs?QOA\_??@aOGcDJ?BC@O??O@KE?] ?wB?\_?o?????????????  
?@~~~~

## References

- [1] A. Abiad and W. H. Haemers. Switched symplectic graphs and their 2-ranks. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 81(1):35–41, 2016.
- [2] L. Babai. Isomorphism testing and symmetry of graphs. *Ann. Discrete Math.*, 8:101–109, 1980.
- [3] M. Behbahani, C. Lam, and P. R. J. Östergård. On triple systems and strongly regular graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 119(7):1414–1426, 2012.
- [4] A. E. Brouwer and H. Van Maldeghem. *Strongly Regular Graphs*. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [5] A. E. Brouwer and J. H. van Lint. *Strongly regular graphs and partial geometries*. In *Enumerations and design (Waterloo, Ont., 1982)*, 85–122. Academic Press, Toronto, ON, 1984.
- [6] P. J. Cameron. Random strongly regular graphs? *Discrete Math.*, 273(1-3):103–114, 2003. EuroComb’01 (Barcelona).
- [7] S. Cioabă, K. Guo, and W. H. Haemers. The chromatic index of strongly regular graphs. *Ars Math. Contemp.*, 20(2):187–194, 2021.
- [8] N. Cohen and D. V. Pasechnik. Implementing Brouwer’s database of strongly regular graphs. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 84(1-2):223–235, 2017.
- [9] D. Crnković, A. Švob, and V. D. Tonchev. Strongly regular graphs with parameters  $(81, 30, 9, 12)$  and a new partial geometry  $pg(5, 5, 2)$ . *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 53:253–261, 2021.
- [10] C. D. Godsil and B. D. McKay. Constructing cospectral graphs. *Aequationes Math.*, 25(2-3):257–268, 1982.
- [11] C. Godsil, K. Guo, and T. G. J. Myklebust. Quantum walks on generalized quadrangles. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 24(4):Paper No. 4.16, 6, 2017.
- [12] E. van Dam and K. Guo. Pseudo-Geometric Strongly Regular Graphs with a Regular Point. *arXiv:2204.04755 [math.CO]*, 2022.
- [13] X. L. Hubaut. Strongly regular graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 13(4):357–381, 1975.
- [14] F. Ihringer. A switching for all strongly regular collinearity graphs from polar spaces. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 46(2):263–274, 2017.

- [15] F. Ihringer and A. Munemasa. New Strongly Regular Graphs from Finite Geometries via Switching. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 580:464–474, 2019.
- [16] P. Kaski, V. Mäkinen, and P. R. J. Östergård. The cycle switching graph of the Steiner triple systems of order 19 is connected. *Graphs Combin.*, 27(4):539–546, 2011.
- [17] P. Kaski and P. R. J. Östergård. The Steiner triple systems of order 19. *Math. Comp.*, 73(248):2075–2092, 2004.
- [18] P. Kaski and P. R. J. Östergård. *Classification algorithms for codes and designs*, volume 15 of *Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [19] J. I. Kokkala and P. R. J. Östergård. Kirkman triple systems with subsystems. *Discrete Math.*, 343(9):111960, 8, 2020.
- [20] J. I. Kokkala and P. R. J. Östergård. Sparse Steiner triple systems of order 21. *J. Combin. Des.*, 29(2):75–83, 2021.
- [21] V. Krčadinac. A new partial geometry  $pg(5, 5, 2)$ . *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 183:105–493, 2021.
- [22] B. D. McKay and A. Piperno. Practical graph isomorphism, {II}. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 60(0):94–112, 2014.
- [23] S. Niskanen and P. R. J. Östergård. Cliquer user’s guide, version 1.0. Technical Report Technical Report T48, Communications Laboratory, Helsinki University of Technology, 2003.
- [24] E. Spence. Strongly regular graphs on at most 64 vertices. <http://www.maths.gla.ac.uk/~es/srgraphs.php>, accessed on 2020-11-27.
- [25] D. A. Spielman. Faster isomorphism testing of strongly regular graphs. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-eighth Annual ACM Symposium on the Theory of Computing (Philadelphia, PA, 1996)*, pages 576–584. ACM, New York, 1996.
- [26] The Sage Developers. *SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.0)*, 2020. <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [27] J. H. van Lint and A. Schrijver. Construction of strongly regular graphs, two-weight codes and partial geometries by finite fields. *Combinatorica*, 1(1):63–73, 1981.
- [28] W. Wang, L. Qiu, and Y. Hu. Cospectral graphs, GM-switching and regular rational orthogonal matrices of level  $p$ . *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 563:154–177, 2019.