

Cubes and adjoints of cross-polytopes

J. Lawrence ^{*}, I.P. Silva [†]

February 9, 2022

Abstract

We describe a bijection between oriented cubes and adjoints of cross-polytopes. This correspondence is used to prove that the real affine cube is, up to reorientation in the same class, the unique oriented cube that is realizable. Moreover, its underlying matroid is, up to isomorphism, the unique combinatorial cube that is realizable over \mathbb{R} .

Keywords. ± 1 -vectors, representability over the reals, matroids, oriented matroids, combinatorial cubes, cross-polytopes.

Math. Subject Classification. 05B35, 52B40, 52C40, 52C07.

1 Introduction

We consider $C^n := \{-1, 1\}^n$. The real cube is the oriented matroid of the affine dependencies of C^n over \mathbb{R} and will be denoted $\mathbf{Q}_n := \text{Aff}(C^n)$.

Oriented cubes were introduced in [10] as a combinatorial approach, via oriented matroids, to linear/affine dependencies of $(0, 1)$ -vectors over the reals. Oriented cubes are oriented matroids over C^n that contain as signed circuits the smallest signed circuits of the real cube - the rectangles -

^{*}**Jim Lawrence**, Department of Mathematical Sciences. George Mason University. Fairfax, VA 22030, U.S.A. **E-mail:** lawrence@gmu.edu

[†]**Ilda P. Silva**, Departamento de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, PORTUGAL. **E-mail:** ipsilva@fc.ul.pt

and as signed cocircuits the smallest signed cocircuits of the real cube, the signed cocircuits complementary to the facets and to the skew facets.

So far the real cube is the unique known example of an oriented cube. The unicity of the real cube would mean a purely combinatorial characterization of the linear and affine dependencies *over the reals* of the ± 1 vectors.

We point out that results of E. Mayhew et al. [8], see also [4], prove that characterizing real representability/orientability of matroids in terms of excluded minors would be essentially useless.

The cross-polytope, \mathbf{O}_n , is the oriented matroid of the affine dependencies of the set $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \cup \{-e_1, \dots, -e_n\}$ of points of \mathbb{R}^n , where $e_i := i$ -th vector of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n . The cross-polytope is a polytope obtained by a sequence of series extensions of a point with n -elements. It is a graphic matroid. In this paper we describe the relationship between combinatorial cubes and adjoints of the cross-polytope. The main results are: Theorem 3.1 to 3.3.

Roughly speaking, Theorem 3.1 identifies oriented cubes and adjoints of the cross-polytope. Theorems 3.2. and 3.3 establish that the real cube is, up to isomorphism, the unique cube whose underlying matroid is representable over \mathbb{R} and whose orientation is representable over \mathbb{R} .

The results are organized in two sections. The next section, section 2, is devoted to general properties of the adjoints and the extension lattice of the cross-polytope. The main result is Theorem 2.1 which yields that, up to isomorphism \mathbf{O}_n has a unique representable adjoint. A concise description of this canonical adjoint of the cross-polytope is given in Proposition 2.1. Section 3 is used in the proof of the main Theorems.

We assume that the reader is acquainted with matroids and oriented matroids. We suggest [9] as a reference for matroid theory and [2] for oriented matroids.

We shall be using oriented matroid standard definitions and notation of [2].

Notation. Given a set E , signed subsets or sign vectors, $X \in \{+, -, 0\}^E$, of E will be denoted as ordered pairs $X = (X^+, X^-)$ where $X^+ := \{e \in E : X(e) = +\}$, $X^- := \{e \in E : X(e) = -\}$ and $X^0 := \{e \in E : X(e) = 0\}$. The support of X is $\underline{X} = X^+ \cup X^-$ and X is said to be a signed set complementary to X^0 .

For every $A \subseteq E$, $X(A)$ denotes the restriction of X to A .

Given an oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(E)$, its underlying matroid will be denoted $\underline{\mathcal{M}}$.

Given $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{L}in(E)$ and $\mathcal{A}ff(E)$, denote, respectively, the oriented matroids of the linear and of the affine dependencies of E over \mathbb{R} .

2 cross-polytopes and their adjoints

2.1 The oriented matroid \mathbf{O}_n

The n -cross-polytope, denoted \mathbf{O}_n , is the oriented matroid of affine dependencies of the set of $2n$ -points of the real affine space defined by $E := \{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \cup \{-e_1, \dots, -e_n\}$ where $e_i := i$ -th vector of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

In order to describe explicitly this oriented matroid \mathbf{O}_n we need some notation.

We denote by $[n]$ the set $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ and by $[n]'$ $:= \{1', \dots, n'\}$ a copy of $[n]$. Subsets of $[n]$ will be denoted A, B, \dots and subsets $[n]'$ by A', B', \dots .

For every subset $A \subseteq [n]$, the set $A' := \{i' : i \in A\}$ is the corresponding subset of $[n]'$. For every subset $A' \subseteq [n]'$, the set $A := \{i : i' \in A'\}$ is the corresponding subset of $[n]$.

Definition 2.1 The n -cross-polytope is the oriented matroid $\mathbf{O}_n = O_n([n] \cup [n]')$, \mathcal{C} whose family of *signed circuits* \mathcal{C} is defined as:

$$(C). \quad \mathcal{C} = \{\pm R_{ij} = (\{i, j\}, \{i', j'\}) \mid 1 < i < j < n\}.$$

\mathbf{O}_n is an oriented matroid of rank $n + 1$. Its *hyperplanes* are the subsets of $[n] \cup [n]'$ of the following two types:

type 1) *the LV-facets* $H_A = A \cup ([n] \setminus A)'$, $A \subseteq [n]$.

type 2) *the interior hyperplanes* $H_{i' i} = ([n] \setminus i) \cup ([n]' \setminus i')$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The family \mathcal{D} of *signed cocircuits* of \mathbf{O}_n are the following signed subsets on $[n] \cup [n]'$, the complements of the two types of hyperplanes:

type 1) the positive set $X_{A'} = (([n] \setminus A) \cup A', \emptyset)$ and its opposite, for every $A \subset [n]$.

type 2) $Y_i = (\{i\}, \{i'\})$ and its opposite, for every $i \in [n]$.

$$(CC) \quad \mathcal{D} := \{\pm Y_i\}_{i \in [n]} \cup \{\pm X_{A'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n]}$$

Actually, the oriented matroid \mathbf{O}_n is a graphic matroid and therefore it has a unique class of orientations [3].

2.2 The canonical adjoint $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_n$ of \mathbf{O}_n

Let $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{D})$, be an oriented matroid and \mathcal{D} its family of signed cocircuits. The adjoints and the extension lattice of \mathcal{M} are usually described in terms of signed vectors over a subset $\mathcal{D}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ satisfying the condition: $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \uplus -\mathcal{D}_1$ (see [2] for the general definitions).

We describe and consider adjoints and the extension lattice of the cross-polytope *with respect to the family \mathcal{D}_1* defined by:

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \{Y_i\}_{i \in [n]} \cup \{X_{A'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n]}$$

An (*oriented*) *adjoint* of \mathbf{O}_n , with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 , is an oriented matroid $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1)$, over the set \mathcal{D}_1 , satisfying the following two conditions:

- (1) $r(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1)) = r(\mathbf{O}_n) = n + 1$.
- (2) The family $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of signed cocircuits of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ contains the following $2n$ signed subsets of \mathcal{D}_1 :

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}[i] = (\{X_{A'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n] \setminus i} \cup Y_i, \emptyset), \quad \forall i \in [n] \text{ and}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}[i'] = (\{X_{A' \cup i'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n] \setminus i}, Y_i), \quad \forall i' \in [n]'.$$

Remark. In what follows we shall denote by \tilde{Y} , \tilde{H}_i , $\tilde{H}_{i'}$ the following subsets of \mathcal{D}_1 :

$$\tilde{Y} := \{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}, \quad \tilde{H}_i := \mathcal{X}[i]^0 = \{X_{A' \cup i'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n] \setminus i} \cup (\tilde{Y} \setminus Y_i) \text{ and } \tilde{H}_{i'} := \mathcal{X}[i']^0 = \{X_{A'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n] \setminus i} \cup (\tilde{Y} \setminus Y_i).$$

By definition of adjoint \tilde{H}_i and $\tilde{H}_{i'}$ are hyperplanes of every adjoint. Actually (Proposition 2.2.2) \tilde{Y} is also a hyperplane of every adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n .

The compositions of signed cocircuits $\pm\tilde{X}[e]$, $e \in [n] \cup [n']$ are the *principal covectors of an (any) adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n* .

There are oriented matroids with no adjoint, however every realizable oriented matroid has at least one realizable adjoint.

Notice that in general, different realizations may produce non-isomorphic realizable adjoints.

We use the canonical realization of the cross-polytope to define a canonical adjoint of the cross-polytope. We then prove, Theorem 2.1, that, up to isomorphism, this is the unique realizable adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n .

Definition 2.2 (*Canonical representation of \mathbf{O}_n and canonical adjoint $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_n$*)

Let $E_n = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n and consider the subsets $V_n := \{(e_i, 1)\}_{i \in [n]}$ and $V'_n := \{(-e_i, 1)\}_{i \in [n]}$ of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Clearly

$$\mathbf{O}_n := \text{Aff}(E_n \cup -E_n) \simeq \text{Lin}(V_n \cup V'_n)$$

The two types of hyperplanes of the oriented matroid $\text{Lin}(V \cup V')$ are defined analytically by:

- 1) For every $i = 1, \dots, n$, $H_{ii'} : (e_i, 0) \cdot (x, x_{n+1}) = 0$.
- 2) For every $A \subseteq [n]$, denoting by v_A the vector of \mathbb{R}^n defined by $v_A(i) = -1$ for $i \in A$ and $v_A(i) = 1$ for $i \in [n] \setminus A$,
 $H_A : (v_A, 1) \cdot (x, x_{n+1}) = 0$.

Consider $\tilde{V} := \{(e_i, 0)\}_{i \in [n]} \cup \{(v_A, 1)\}_{A \subseteq [n]} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let $f : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ be the bijection defined by $f((e_i, 0)) = Y_i$, $\forall i \in [n]$ and $f((v_A, 1)) = X_{A'}$, $\forall A' \subseteq [n]$. The *canonical adjoint $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_n = \tilde{\mathbf{O}}_n(\mathcal{D}_1)$* , of \mathbf{O}_n with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 , is the oriented matroid over \mathcal{D}_1 obtained as image of $\text{Lin}(\tilde{V})$ by the correspondence f .

The next Proposition gives a useful characterizations of the canonical adjoint that will be used latter.

Proposition 2.1 (*Affine representations of $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_n$ of \mathbf{O}_n*) *Let $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}$ be the canonical adjoint of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 .*

Let $B = (y_1, \dots, y_n, b)$ be an affine basis of \mathbb{R}^n with a distinguished vertex b . For every subset $A \subseteq [n]$ denote by $b_A := \frac{1}{|A|+1}(b + \sum_{i \in A} y_i)$ the barycenter of the face $F_A := \text{conv}(b \cup \{y_i\}_{i \in A})$ of the simplex $\text{conv}(B)$.

1. The canonical adjoint $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{n}}$ of $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{n}}$ is isomorphic, via the correspondence $f : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $f(Y_i) = y_i$, $f(X_{A'}) = b_{[n] \setminus A}$, to the acyclic oriented matroid $Aff(\{y_i\}_{i \in [n]} \cup \{b_A\}_{A \subseteq [n]})$.
2. For every $c \in \text{int}(\text{conv}(B))$ there is a unique affine representation $f_c : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{n}}$ satisfying the following condition:

$$f_c(y_i) = y_i, \quad f_c(X_{[n]'}) = b \quad \text{and} \quad f_c(X_{\emptyset}) = c.$$

The representation f_c is extended to every $X_{A'}$, $A \subseteq [n]$, defining $f_c(X_A) = S_A \cap F_{[n] \setminus A}$, where $F_{[n] \setminus A} := \text{conv}(\{y_i\}_{i \in [n] \setminus A} \cup b)$, and S_A is the affine space of \mathbb{R}^n defined by $S_A := \text{aff}(\{y_i\}_{i \in A} \cup c)$.

We will denote by $B(Y_1, \dots, Y_n, X_{[n]})$ any affine representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{n}}$ defined by an affine basis $B = (y_1, \dots, y_n, b)$ and any choice of the interior point $c \in \text{int}(\text{conv}(B))$ that represents X_{\emptyset}

Proof. Consider the canonical representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{n}} \simeq \text{Lin}(\tilde{V})$ where $\tilde{V} := \{(e_i, 0)\}_{i \in [n]} \cup \{(v_A, 1)\}_{A \subseteq [n]} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

1) To obtain a representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{n}}$ described in 1), consider the hyperplane \tilde{H} of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} defined by:

$$H : x_1 + \dots + x_n + (n+2)x_{n+1} = 2.$$

For every $v \in \tilde{V}$ let $v' := \lambda^+ v \cap \tilde{H}$ be the intersection of the positive halfline $\lambda^+ v$, $\lambda^+ \in \mathbb{R}^+$ with the hyperplane H . Consider

$$\tilde{V}' := \{v' \in H : v \in \mathcal{V}\}.$$

By definition of \tilde{V}' , $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{n}} := \text{Lin}(\tilde{V}) \simeq \text{Aff}(\tilde{V}')$.

We leave it to the reader to verify that the points $y_i = (2e_i, 0) = \lambda^+(e_i, 0) \cap H$ and $b = v'_{[n]} := (v_{[n]}, 1) = (-1_{[n]}, 1)$ form an affine basis of the hyperplane (H) and that for every $A \subset [n]$, the halfline $\lambda^+(v_A, 1)$ intersects the hyperplane H in the point $b_A = \frac{1}{n-|A|+1}(b + \sum_{i \in [n] \setminus A} y_i)$, yielding the result.

2) To obtain a representation of $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{n}}$ with $c = \beta b + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i$ with $\beta, \alpha_i > 0$ and $\beta + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$, consider the hyperplane

$$H_c : \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n + (\beta + 1)x_{n+1} = 2\beta$$

As before it is straightforward to prove that for every $v \in \mathcal{V}$ the positive halfline $\lambda^+ v$ intersects H_c . Taking $B = (y_1, \dots, y_n, b)$ with $y_i = \frac{2\beta}{\alpha_i} e_i$, $i =$

$1, \dots, n$, $b = (v_{[n]}, 0)$ and $c = \beta b + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i = \beta(v_\emptyset, 1)$. We conclude from 1) that the point $b_{c_A} := \lambda^+(v_A, 1) \cap H_c$ that satisfies $b_{c_A} := \lambda^+(v_A, 1) \cap H_c = S_A \cap F_{[n] \setminus A}$.

2.3 Extension lattice and properties of the adjoints of \mathbf{O}_n

A *single element extension of a matroid* $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(E)$ is a matroid $\mathcal{M} \cup p$, over the set $E \cup p$, with the same rank as \mathcal{M} such that $(\mathcal{M} \cup p) \setminus p = \mathcal{M}$.

Single element extensions of an oriented matroid are described by *localizations* in \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{D} is the family of signed cocircuits of \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}$ is such that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \uplus -\mathcal{D}_1$, a localization in \mathcal{M} with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 is a signed subset $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^+, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^-) \in \{+, -, 0\}^{\mathcal{D}_1}$ that defines the position of the new point p with respect to the hyperplanes of \mathcal{M} in the following way (for further details see [2]) :

For every $X = (X^+, X^-) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^+$, $(X^+ \cup p, X^-)$ is a signed cocircuit of $\mathcal{M} \cup p$.
 For every $X = (X^+, X^-) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^-$, $(X^+, X^- \cup p)$ is a signed cocircuit of $\mathcal{M} \cup p$.
 For every $X = (X^+, X^-) \in \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^0$, (X^+, X^-) is a signed cocircuit of $\mathcal{M} \cup p$.

A sign vector $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^+, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^-) \in \{+, -, 0\}^{\mathcal{D}_1}$ defines a localization in \mathcal{M} if it defines an extension in every contraction \mathcal{M}/L by a hyperline L .

We recall that given an oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{D})$, \mathcal{D} being its family of signed cocircuits, the contraction of \mathcal{M} by L is the (representable) oriented matroid of rank 2, $\mathcal{M}/L = (E \setminus L, \mathcal{D}/L)$, with family of signed cocircuits $\mathcal{D}/L := \{X = (X^+, X^-) \in \mathcal{D} : L \subset X^0\}$. A sign vector $\tilde{\mathcal{E}} = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^+, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}^-) \in \{+, -, 0\}^{\mathcal{D}_1}$ defines a localization in \mathcal{M} if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

(Loc) For every hyperline L of \mathcal{M} the restriction $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}/L = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}/L^+, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}/L^-)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ to \mathcal{D}/L is orthogonal to every signed triplet of cocircuits of \mathcal{D}/L of the following forms: $(\{X, Y\}, Z)$ such that $X^+ \cap Y^+ \subseteq Z^+$ and $(\{X, Y, Z\}, \emptyset)$ such that $X^+ \cap Y^+ \subseteq Z^-$.

The *extension lattice* $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\mathcal{M})$ of a matroid \mathcal{M} is the poset of localizations with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 ordered by the usual order $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1 = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^+, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^-) \preceq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2 = (\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^+, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^-)$ iff $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^+ \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^+$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_1^- \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_2^-$, endowed with an element $\hat{1}$.

The next Theorem A of (Theorem 7.5.8 [2] page 311) will be used in

the sequel to obtain properties of the adjoints of the cross-polytope.

Theorem A (Bachem and Kern [1])

Consider an oriented matroid $\mathcal{M} = (E, \mathcal{D})$, \mathcal{D} its family of signed cocircuits. Let $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}$ be such that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup -\mathcal{D}_1$.

If $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = (\mathcal{D}_1, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ is an adjoint of \mathcal{M} , with family of signed covectors $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ then every signed covector $\tilde{V} = (\tilde{V}^+, \tilde{V}^-) \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is a localization in \mathcal{M} .

Proposition 2.2 (Properties of every adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n)

Consider the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n and let \mathcal{D}_1 be the subfamily of its signed cocircuits defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \{Y_i\}_{i \in [n]} \cup \{X_{A'}\}_{A \subseteq [n]}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1)$ be an adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 . Then,

1. For every $i \in [n]$ and every $A \subseteq [n] \setminus i$ the signed set $\tilde{C}(i, A) = (\{Y_i, X_{A' \cup i'}\}, X_{A'})$ is a signed circuit of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.
2. $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is an acyclic oriented matroid whose LV-face lattice is isomorphic to the face lattice of a simplex with vertices $Y_1, \dots, Y_n, X_{[n]'}$ and whose facets are the hyperplanes $\tilde{Y} = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_i := X[i]^0$ for every $i \in [n]$.
3. Let $\tilde{X}[0]$ denote the set of positive signed cocircuits of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ whose complement is the facet \tilde{Y} . $\tilde{X}[0] := (\{X_{A'}\}_{A \subseteq [n]}, \emptyset)$ defines a single element extension $\mathbf{O}_n \cup 0$ of \mathbf{O}_n . The oriented matroids $\mathbf{O}_n \cup 0$ and \mathbf{O}_n have exactly the same number of hyperplanes and of signed cocircuits.
4. For every $i \in [n]$, $\mathbf{O}_n/i \simeq \mathbf{O}_n/i' \simeq \mathbf{O}_{n-1} \cup 0$ where $\mathbf{O}_{n-1} \cup 0$ is the extension of \mathbf{O}_{n-1} defined in 3).

Moreover,

$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{H}_i)$ is an adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n/i with respect to $\mathcal{D}_1/i := \{X = (X^+, X^-) \in \mathcal{D}_1 : i \in X^0\}$ and

$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{H}_{i'})$ is an adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n/i' with respect to $\mathcal{D}_1/i' := \{X = (X^+, X^-) \in \mathcal{D}_1 : i' \in X^0\}$.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1)$ be an adjoint of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n .

1) The existence of the rank preserving embedding of the poset, L^{op} , the poset of flats of \mathbf{O}_n with the reverse order, into the lattice of flats of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$,

implies that for every $i \in [n]$ and every $A \subseteq [n] \setminus i$ the set $C = \{Y_i, X_{A'+i}, X_{A'}\}$ is a flat and a circuit of rank 2 of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

On the other hand, by Theorem A every covector of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is a localization in \mathbf{O}_n and, by definition of localization with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 , must be orthogonal to the signature: $\tilde{C}(i, A) = (\{Y_i, X_{A'+i}\}, X_{A'})$ of C , implying that $\tilde{C}(i, A)$ must be one of the two opposite signed circuits of \mathcal{M} with support C .

For every $i \in [n]$ and every $A \subseteq [n] \setminus i$ the three signed cocircuits $Y_i, X_{A'}, X_{A'+i'}$ are the signed cocircuits of the contraction \mathbf{O}_n/L of \mathbf{O}_n by the hyperline $L = H_{ii'} \cap H_A \cap H_{A+i} = A \cup ([n] \setminus (A+i))'$, proving 1).

2) By definition of adjoint for every $i \in [n]$, $\tilde{X}[i] := (\{X_{A'}\}_{A \subseteq [n] \setminus i} \cup (\{Y_i\}, \emptyset)$ is a positive signed cocircuit of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and consequently $\tilde{H}_i := \tilde{X}[i]^0$ is a LV-facet of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

The circuits $\tilde{C}(i, A)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ imply that if $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is acyclic then it is a simplex with vertices Y_1, \dots, Y_n and $X_{[n]'}$. We claim that $\tilde{Y} := \{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$ is a facet of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

To prove the claim we use the axioms for maximal vectors (topes) of an oriented matroid [11] to conclude that the signed set $\tilde{X}[0] := (\{X_{A'}\}_{A \subseteq [n]}, \emptyset)$, complementary of \tilde{Y} , is a positive cocircuit of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ denote the family of maximal covectors (topes) of the adjoint $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of \mathbf{O}_n , consider the family $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ of principal maximal covectors defined by: $\tilde{\mathcal{P}} := \{\tilde{X}[e_1] \circ \dots \circ \tilde{X}[e_n] : e_i \in \{i, i'\}, \forall i \in [n]\}$. Taking the restriction of (all the vectors of) $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ to \tilde{Y} we conclude:

$$(1) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(\tilde{Y}) = \{+, -\}^{\tilde{Y}}$$

This equality implies ([11]) that \tilde{Y} is an independent set of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

On the other hand, the restriction to $\mathcal{D}_1 \setminus \tilde{Y}$ of every vector of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is the same vector:

$$(2) \quad \tilde{X}[0] := (\{X_{A'}\}_{A \subseteq [n]}, \emptyset)$$

From (1) and (2) we conclude that $\tilde{X}[0] \circ \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ implying that $\tilde{X}[0]$ is a positive covector of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ with \tilde{Y} as the complementary flat. Since \tilde{Y} is independent $r(\tilde{Y}) = r(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) - 1$ implying \tilde{Y} is actually a facet of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

3) We concluded in 2) that the positive sign vector $\tilde{X}[0]$ is a cocircuit of \mathcal{M} and therefore, by Theorem A, a localization in \mathbf{O}_n , with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 .

The single element extension $\mathbf{O}_n \cup 0$ of \mathbf{O}_n obtained by localizing the new element 0 according to $\tilde{X}[0]$ contains as signed cocircuits the signed-vectors of the following set:

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}_1 := \{X_{A'} \cup 0 = (A' \cup ([n] \setminus A) \cup 0, \emptyset)\}_{A \subseteq [n]} \cup \{Y_i = (i, i')\}_{i \in [n]}.$$

We leave to the reader the verification that for every hyperplane L of \mathbf{O}_n the hyperplane of $\mathbf{O}_n \cup 0$ spanned by $L \cup 0$ always contains a hyperplane of \mathbf{O}_n , implying that the family $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$ is actually the family of signed cocircuits of the extension $\mathbf{O}_n \cup 0$, proving 3).

4) For every $i \in [n]$, the isomorphisms $\mathbf{O}_n/i \simeq \mathbf{O}_n/i' \simeq \mathbf{O}_{n-1} \cup 0$ follow directly from the definition of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n , the details are left to the reader.

The fact that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{H}_i)$ is an adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n/i with respect to \mathcal{D}_1/i is a consequence of the hypothesis that $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ is an adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 and the fact that the family of signed cocircuits of \mathbf{O}_n/i is $\mathcal{D}/i := \{X = (X^+, X^-) \in \mathcal{D} : i \in X^0\} = \mathcal{D}_1/i \cup -\mathcal{D}_1/i = \tilde{H}_i \cup -\tilde{H}_i$.

The case $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{H}_{i'})$ is similiar.

Theorem 2.1 *The canonical oriented adjoint $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_n$ of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n described in Proposition 2.1 is, up to isomorphism, the unique realizable oriented adjoint, with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 , of \mathbf{O}_n .*

Proof. The proof is by induction on n . For $n = 2$ the result is trivially true. Assume that the result is true for $k < n$ and consider a realizable adjoint $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1)$ of \mathbf{O}_n . By definition $\mathcal{D}_1 = \tilde{H}_n \cup \tilde{H}_{n'} \cup Y_n$ and by induction hypothesis, and Proposition 2.2-3) and 4), the restriction of \mathcal{M} to each one of the hyperplanes $\tilde{H}_n = \{X_{A' \cup n'}\}_{A \subseteq [n-1]} \cup (\tilde{Y} \setminus Y_n)$ and $\tilde{H}_{n'} = \{X_{A'}\}_{A \subseteq [n-1]} \cup (\tilde{Y} \setminus Y_n)$ is isomorphic to the canonical adjoint of \mathbf{O}_{n-1} .

By Proposition 2.2.1) and 2) \mathcal{M} is acyclic with vertices Y_1, \dots, Y_n and $X_{[n]'}$. Let $f : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine representation of \mathcal{M} . Consider $f(Y_i) = y_i$, $f(X_{[n]'}) = b$ and $f(X_\emptyset) = c$. $f(\tilde{H}_n) = B(Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, X_{[n]'})$ is contained in the affine hyperplane $H = \text{aff}(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, b)$ of \mathbb{R}^n . The point $f(X_n) \in \text{relintconv}(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, b)$ and $B = (y_1, \dots, y_n, b)$ is an affine basis of \mathbb{R}^n .

From proposition 2.2.1 we know that c must be a point in the relative interior of the line segment $y_n f(X_n)$, therefore a point in the interior of $\text{conv}(B)$

and $f(\tilde{H}_{n'})$ is contained in the affine hyperplane $H' = aff(y_1, \dots, y_n, c)$. Then, for each $i \in [n]$ the circuit $\tilde{C}(i, \emptyset)$ defines the point $f(X_i)$, as the intersection point $f(X_i) = aff(y_i, c) \cap conv(\{y_k\}_{k \in [n] \setminus i} \cup b) = aff(y_i, c) \cap conv(f(\tilde{H}_i))$. By Proposition 2.1 $f(\tilde{H}_i)$ is completely determined by the affine base $B \setminus y_i$ and by c_i , yielding that for every $A \subset [n]$ $f(X_{A'}) = F_{[n] \setminus A} \cap S_A$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = B(Y_1, \dots, Y_n, X_{[n]'}) = \tilde{\mathbf{O}}_{\mathbf{n}}$.

3 Cubes, adjoints and extension spaces of the cross-polytope

We start by recalling the definitions of cubes and of oriented cubes from [10]:

Notation. We consider $C^n := \{-1, 1\}^{[n]}$.

For every $A \subseteq [n]$, v_A denotes the vector of C^n defined by: $v_A(i) = -1$ for $i \in A$ and $v_A(i) = 1$ for $i \notin A$. It is sometimes useful to write $v_A = (-1_A, 1_{[n] \setminus A})$.

Given $B \subseteq [n]$ and $v \in C^n$, ${}_{-B}v$ denotes the vector of C^n whose coordinates are the opposite of those in v in the entries indexed by B , i. e. ${}_{-B}v(i) = -v(i)$ for $i \in B$ and ${}_{-B}v(i) = v(i)$ for $i \notin B$.

Notice that ${}_{-B}v_A = v_{A \Delta B}$ where $A \Delta B := A \setminus B \cup B \setminus A$.

Given a subset $V \subseteq C^n$ we denote by ${}_{-A}V$ the subset of C^n defined by: ${}_{-A}V := \{{}_{-A}v \in C^n : v \in V\}$.

The *real cube* is the oriented matroid $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}} = Aff(C^n)$ of the affine dependencies of C^n over the reals.

Notice that the circuits with smallest number of elements of the real cube are the *signed rectangles* $R(v; A, B) = (\{v, {}_{-(A \uplus B)}v\}, \{{}_{-A}v, {}_{-B}v\})$, with $A \cap B = \emptyset$. We denote by \mathcal{R} the family of all the signed rectangles of $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}$.

A *facet* of the real cube is a hyperplane $H_{i+} := \{v \in C^n : v(i) = 1\}$ or $H_{i-} := \{v \in C^n : v(i) = -1\}$ and a *skew-facet* is a hyperplane $H_{ij+} := \{v \in C^n : v(j) = v(i)\}$ or $H_{ij-} := \{v \in C^n : v(j) = -v(i)\}$. We denote by \mathcal{F} the signed cocircuits of the real cube complementary to the facets and by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ the signed cocircuits complementary of the facets and to the skew-facets. $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is the collection of signed cocircuits of $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}}$ with smallest cardinality.

Definition 3.1 (Cubes and Oriented Cubes [10])

A cube over C^n is a matroid $M = M(C^n)$ satisfying the following two conditions:

C1) every (unsigned) rectangle of C^n is a circuit of M

C2) every facet and skew facet of C^n is an (unsigned) cocircuit and a hyperplane of C^n .

Cubes may or may not be orientable. Orientable cubes have a canonical orientation $\mathcal{M}(C^n)$ that satisfies the following equivalent conditions:

C1) all the signed rectangles of \mathbf{Q}_n are circuits of \mathcal{M} .

C2) all the signed cocircuits of \mathbf{Q}_n that are facets and skew-facets are signed cocircuits of \mathcal{M} .

An oriented cube is a cube isomorphic to an oriented cube over C^n , $\mathcal{M}(C^n)$, canonically oriented.

Remark. Note that to conclude that an oriented matroid $\mathcal{M}(C^n)$ is an oriented cube one only has to verify the following conditions:

C1) every signed rectangle is a circuit.

C'2) the facets are hyperplanes of \mathcal{M} .

Proposition 3.1 Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1)$ be an adjoint of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n with respect to $\mathcal{D}_1 := \{Y_i\}_{i \in [n]} \cup \{X_{A'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n]}$. Let \mathcal{D}_1^+ be the subset of \mathcal{D}_1 defined by $\mathcal{D}_1^+ := \{X_{A'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n]}$ of \mathcal{D}_1 .

The restriction $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1^+)$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ to \mathcal{D}_1^+ is an oriented cube.

Proof. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}' := \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1^+)$ be the restriction of an adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n to \mathcal{D}_1^+ . We identify \mathcal{D}_1^+ with C^n via the bijection that to every $X_{A'}$, $A' \subseteq [n]$ assigns the vector $v_A \in C^n$ and prove that $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{D}_1^+)$ satisfies the conditions C1) and C'2) of the above Remark.

C'2) is a direct consequence of the definitions of adjoint and of restriction. Notice that for every $i \in [n]$ the restriction of the signed cocircuits $\tilde{X}[i]$ and $\tilde{X}[i']$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ to \mathcal{D}_1^+ are the positive cocircuits of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$:

$$\tilde{X}[i](\mathcal{D}_1^+) = (\{X_{A'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n] \setminus i}, \emptyset) \text{ and } \tilde{X}[i](\mathcal{D}_1^+) = (\{X_{A' \cup i'}\}_{A' \subseteq [n] \setminus i}, \emptyset)$$

whose complementary sets in \mathcal{D}_1^+ , are the LV-facets of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$:

$$H_{i+} := \tilde{H}_i(\mathcal{D}_1^+) = \tilde{X}[i]^0(\mathcal{D}_1^+) \text{ and } H_{i-} := \tilde{H}_{i'}(\mathcal{D}_1^+) = \tilde{X}[i]^0(\mathcal{D}_1^+).$$

The lattice of faces of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$ is therefore isomorphic to the lattice of faces of \mathbf{Q}_n .

C1) We prove that every signed rectangle $(\{X, -(A \uplus B) X\}, \{-_A X, -_B X\})$ is a circuit of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$, where for every $X \in \mathcal{D}_1^+$, $X = X_{C'}$ for some $C \subset [n]$, and every $D \subset [n]$, $-_D X = X_{(C \Delta D)'}$. The proof is by induction on n .

For $n = 2$ it is trivial, in this case $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ must be the oriented matroid represented in Figure 1 and clearly $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$ is a rectangle, a cube of rank 3.




Figure 1: $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_2$, the unique adjoint of \mathbf{O}_2

Assume that C1) is true for every adjoint $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of \mathbf{O}_{n-1} .

Consider an adjoint $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of \mathbf{O}_n , the restrictions $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{H}_n)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\tilde{H}_{n'})$ are isomorphic to adjoints of \mathbf{O}_{n-1} (Proposition 2.2.4), therefore all the rectangles contained in the facets \tilde{H}_{n+} and of \tilde{H}_{n-} of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$ are rectangles of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$ and we are left with proving that the signed rectangles of the form $R(X; A, B \uplus n) = (\{X, -(A \uplus B \uplus n) X\}, \{-_A X, -(B \uplus n) X\})$, with $X \in \mathcal{D}_1^+$, $A \uplus B \subseteq [n-1]$ are signed circuits of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$. This will be done by induction on the cardinality of B assuming, without loss of generality, that $n \in X^+$, i.e. X belongs to the facet \tilde{H}_{n+} of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$

When $|B| = 0$, every rectangle $R(X; A, n) = (\{X, (A \cup n) X\}, \{-_A X, -_n X\})$ with $A \subset [n-1]$ is a circuit of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$.

In fact, by Proposition 2.2.1), since $n \in X^+$ the signed circuits $C = (\{Y_n, -_n X\}, X)$ and $D = (\{Y_n, -(A \cup n) X\}, -_A X)$ are circuits of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. Eliminating Y_n between $-C$ and D we conclude that $R(X; A, n)$ is a circuit of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$.

Assume that $R(X; A, B \cup n)$ is a circuit of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$ for $|B| = k$ and consider a rectangle $R_B := R(X; A, B \cup n)$ with $|B| = k + 1$.

Consider $B_b = B \setminus b$, for some $b \in B$. The rectangle $R(X; A, B_b \cup n) = (\{X, -(A \cup B_b \cup n) X\}, \{-_A X, -(B_b \cup n) X\})$ is a circuit of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

Consider the rectangle $S = (\{-(B_b \cup n) X, -(A \cup B \cup n) X\}, \{-(B \cup n) X, -(A \cup B_b \cup n) X\})$ contained in the hyperplane $H_{n'}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ and therefore a rectangle of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$. Eliminating $-(B_b \cup n) X$ between $R(X; A, B_b \cup n)$ and S we conclude that R_B must be a signed rectangle of $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}'$, proving the Lemma.

The next Theorem describes completely the relation between oriented cubes and adjoints of the cross-polytope.

Theorem 3.1 *Consider $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_n$ the class of all oriented adjoints of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n with respect to $\mathcal{D}_1 := \{Y_i\}_{i \in [n]} \cup \{X_{A'}\}_{A \subseteq [n]}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$ the class of all oriented cubes over $\mathcal{D}_1^+ := \{X_{A'}\}_{A \subseteq [n]}$.*

The correspondence $f : \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_n \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$ defined by $f(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{D}_1^+)$, that assigns to every oriented adjoint $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_n$ its restriction to \mathcal{D}_1^+ , is a bijection.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 requires proving that every oriented cube can be extended, in a unique way, to an oriented matroid isomorphic to an adjoint of the cross-polytope. In order to do so we need some preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 (A property of flats and covectors of cubes)

Consider an oriented cube $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(C^n)$ and a flat F of \mathcal{M} .

For every $i \in [n]$ let ${}_i F := \{-_i v : v \in F\}$. Then,

1) *Either $F \cap {}_i F = \emptyset$ or $F = {}_i F$.*

2) *Let $V = (V^+, V^-)$ be a vector of \mathcal{M} such that $V^0 = F$.*

(i) if $F \cap {}_i F = \emptyset$, define $F+ := F \cap H_{i+}$ and $F- := F \cap H_{i-}$, the following holds: either ${}_i(F+) \subseteq V^+$ and ${}_i(F-) \subseteq V^-$ or ${}_i(F+) \subseteq V^-$ and ${}_i(F-) \subseteq V^+$.

(ii) if $F = {}_i F$ then $V(H_{i-}) = {}_i V(H_{i+})$.

Proof. 1) We prove that if $F \cap {}_i F \neq \emptyset$ then $F = {}_i F$: assume that there is some element $v \in F \cap {}_i F$, i.e. $v, {}_i v \in F$. If for some $A \subseteq [n] \setminus i$, $-_A v \in F$,

also $_{-(AUi)}v$ must belong to F since the rectangle $(\{v,_{-(AUi)}v\}, \{-_iv,_{-A}v\})$ is a circuit of \mathcal{M} . 1) is proved.

2) Assume that $V = (V^+, V^-)$ is a covector of \mathcal{M} complementary to the flat F . In both cases we use orthogonality between covectors and the rectangles of the cube \mathcal{M} to obtain the result:

(i) if $F \cap _iF = \emptyset$, then for every $v, v' \in F+$ orthogonality of V with the rectangle $(\{v,_{-i}v'\}, \{-_iv, v'\})$ implies that $_{-i}v,_{-i}v' \in_{-i}(F+)$ must have the same sign in V . For every $v \in F+$ and $w \in F-$, $w =_{-(AUi)}v$ for some $A \subseteq [n] \setminus i$, orthogonality of V with the rectangle $(\{v, w\}, \{-_iv,_{-i}w\})$ implies that $_{-i}v \in_{-i}(F+)$ and $_{-i}w \in_{-i}(F-)$ must have different signs in V . The result then, follows.

Definition 3.2 Flats parallel and anti-parallel to i

Let F be a flat of an oriented cube $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(C^n)$.

The flat F is parallel to i if it satisfies the condition: $F =_{-i}F$.

The flat F is anti-parallel to i if it satisfies the condition: $F \cap_{-i}F = \emptyset$.

Lemma 3.2 (Extensions by the point at infinity of a class of parallel edges of a cube) Consider an oriented cube $\mathcal{M} = (C^n, \mathcal{D})$, \mathcal{D} its family of signed cocircuits. For every $i \in [n]$ define

$$\mathcal{Y}_i := \{Y = (Y^+, Y^-) \in \mathcal{D} : Y^0 \text{ is a hyperplane parallel to } i\}$$

$\mathcal{X}_i := \{X = (X^+, X^-) \in \mathcal{D} : X^0 = (F+) \uplus (F-)$ is a hyperplane anti-parallel to i and $_{-i}(F-) \subseteq X^+$ and $_{-i}(F+) \subseteq X^-$, where $(F+) := X^0 \cap H_{i+}$ and $(F-) := X^0 \cap H_{i-}$

Then

1. $(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{Y}_i)$ is a localization in \mathcal{M} defining a single element extension $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$ of \mathcal{M} .

2. \mathcal{M} and its single element extension $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$ have the same number of hyperplanes and signed cocircuits.

The new element y_i will be called the point at infinity of direction i .

Proof. From the definitions of \mathcal{X}_i and \mathcal{Y}_i it is clear that $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{X}_i \uplus -\mathcal{X}_i \uplus \mathcal{Y}_i$. For 1. we have to prove that for every hyperplane L of \mathcal{M} , $(\mathcal{X}_i \uplus \mathcal{Y}_i)/L$ is a localization in the rank 2 realizable oriented matroid \mathcal{M}/L .

Let L be a hyperline of \mathcal{M} .

Case 1) If L is parallel to i then, by Lemma 3.1, every hyperplane containing L is also parallel to i and in this case $(\mathcal{X}_i \uplus \mathcal{Y}_i)/L = \mathcal{Y}_i/L = \mathcal{D}/L$, and y_i is a loop in \mathcal{M}/L .

Case 2) If L is anti-parallel to i then $L \cap {}_{-i}L = \emptyset$. Consider $v \in L$ and the hyperplane $H = cl_{\mathcal{M}}(L \cup {}_{-i}v)$, $v \in L$. Since for every $w \in L \setminus v$, the set $\{v, w, {}_{-i}v, {}_{-i}w\}$ is a circuit of \mathcal{M} we conclude that $H = L \cup {}_{-i}L$ and therefore H is the unique hyperplane parallel to i that contains L . Moreover, for every hyperplane anti-parallel to i , containing L , its complementary signed cocircuit $X = (X^+, X^-)$ in \mathcal{X}_i is the signed cocircuit that satisfies the condition ${}_{-i}(L-) \subseteq X^+$ and ${}_{-i}(L+) \subseteq X^-$, where $(L+) := L \cap H_{i+}$ and $(L-) := L \cap H_{i-}$. The localization of the new point y_i in \mathcal{M}/L is represented in the next figure, clearly a localization in \mathcal{M}/L .




Figure 2: Localization of y_i in \mathcal{M}/L

Now consider 2. By definition of the extension $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$, for every $X = (X^+, X^-) \in \mathcal{X}_i$ the signed set $X + y_i = (X^+ \cup y_i, X^-)$ is a signed cocircuit of $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$ and its complement of $(X + y_i)^0 = X^0$ is a hyperplane of both \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$. For every pair of opposite signed cocircuits $\pm Y = (Y^+, Y^-) \in \mathcal{Y}_i$, its complement, Y^0 , in C^n is a hyperplane of \mathcal{M} and in $C^n \cup y_i$, $Y^0 \cup y_i$, is a hyperplane of $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$.

We claim that $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$ has no other hyperplanes. Any other hyperplane of $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$ would be of the form $L \cup y_i$ for some hyperline L of \mathcal{M} . There is no such hyperplane if L is parallel to i , because in this case $y_i \in L$. On the other hand if L is anti-parallel to i the unique hyperplane of $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$ that contains y_i is the hyperplane $H = L \cup {}_{-i}L \cup y_i$ and $H \setminus y_i$ is an hyperplane of \mathcal{M} . The result is proved.

Remark. Notice that by definition of the localization $(\mathcal{X}_i, \mathcal{Y}_i)$ the extension $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$ contains as hyperplanes all the sets $H_{j+} \cup y_i, H_{j-} \cup y_i$ for $j \neq i$ implying that for every $v \in C^n$ the set $\{v, {}_{-i}v, y_i\}$ is a circuit and a flat of $\mathcal{M} \cup y_i$. Moreover, supposing that $v \in H_{i+}$ the signature of this circuit must be $\pm C$ where $C = (\{{}_{-i}v, y_i\}, \{v\})$ since for every hyperline L intersection of a facet H_{j^ϵ} with H_i^+ , y_i is placed in every hyperplane containing L , opposite to ${}_{-i}L = H_{j^\epsilon} \cap H_{i-}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. In Proposition 3.2 we proved that the restriction to \mathcal{D}_1^+ of any adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n , with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 is an oriented cube.

In order to prove the theorem we consider an oriented cube, $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(C^n)$ and prove that it can be extended, in a unique way, to an oriented matroid isomorphic to an adjoint of the cross-polytope.

By Lemma 3.2 \mathcal{M} , admits a single element extension by a new point y_i , the point at infinity in direction i .

To conclude the proof of the Theorem we have to prove that the n single-element extensions y_1, \dots, y_n of the cube \mathcal{M} are compatible, resulting in a unique oriented matroid $\mathcal{M}(C^n \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\})$ that is isomorphic to an adjoint of \mathbf{O}_n .

Since no single-element extension by a point y_i creates new hyperplanes (Lemma 3.2), we can perform successively, in any order, the n elementary extensions by elements y_i . Note, that the description of the localizations defining the extension of the oriented matroid $\mathcal{M}(C^n \cup \{y_{i_1}, y_{i_2}, \dots, y_{i_k}\})$, $k < n$ by $y_{i_{k+1}}$ is exactly the same localization that describes the single element extension of $\mathcal{M}(C^n)$ by $y_{i_{k+1}}$.

When the n -th extension by an element y_{i_n} is completed, independently of the order, we obtain the same matroid $\mathcal{M}(C^n \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\})$.

Consider the bijection $f : C^n \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ defined for every $i \in [n]$ by $f(y_i) = Y_i = (\{i\}, \{i'\})$, and for every $A \subseteq [n]$ by $f(v_A) = X_{A'}$. By construction, the image $f(\mathcal{M}(C^n \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}))$ of the extended matroid $\mathcal{M}(C^n \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_n\})$ is an adjoint of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n with respect to \mathcal{D}_1 .

Theorem 3.2 *If $\mathcal{M}(C^n)$ is a realizable oriented cube then $\mathcal{M}(C^n) \simeq \mathbf{Q}_n$*

To prove the theorem we prove that every realization $\mathcal{A}ff(W)$ of \mathcal{M} can be extended to a realizable adjoint of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n . Then Theorem 2.1 ensures that $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathbf{Q}_n$.

We use the next two lemmas (actually from lemma 3.4 we only need (i)).

Lemma 3.3 (in Theorem 1 of [10]) *Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(C^n)$ be an oriented cube. Then for every $i \in [n]$ the reorientation of \mathcal{M} obtained by reversing signs on a facet H_{i-} is an oriented cube ${}_{-H_{i-}}\mathcal{M} = {}_{-H_{i-}}\mathcal{M}(C^n)$ whose facets are the facets H_{i-} , H_{i+} and the skew-facets H_{ij+} , H_{ij-} , $j \in [n] \setminus i$, of \mathcal{M} .*

Proof. The proof is straightforward from the definitions and is left to the reader.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(C^n)$ be a realizable oriented cube of rank $n + 1$. Let $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a realization of \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{A}ff(W)$ via the bijection $\sigma : C^n \rightarrow W$, $\sigma(v_A) = w_A$ for every $A \subseteq [n]$. Then the following two conditions are satisfied for $n \geq 2$:*

(i) *All the line segments $w_A w_{[n] \setminus A}$ with $A \subseteq [n]$ meet in the same point $O \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The point O is a point in the interior of the polytope $\text{conv}(W)$ and will be called the center of (the realization) W .*

(ii) *For $n \geq 3$, let O_{i+} , $O_{i-} \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the centers of the facets H_{i+} , H_{i-} of W , let $S := \{O_{i+}, O_{i-} : i \in [n]\}$. Then the oriented matroid $\mathcal{A}ff(S)$ is a cross-polytope - a polar of the the polytope $\text{conv}(W)$.*

Notation. To simplify notation we use the same notation H_{i+} , H_{i-} , H_{ij+} , H_{ij-} for the facets and skew-facets of \mathcal{M} and of its realization. By an *opposite pair of points of the realization W* is meant any pair of points the form w_A , $w_{[n] \setminus A}$.

Proof. (i) Let w_A , w_B and w_C , $A, B, C \subseteq [n - 1]$, be three distinct points of the facet H_{n+} of $\mathcal{M}_W := \mathcal{A}ff(W)$. The opposite points $w_{[n] \setminus A}$, $w_{[n] \setminus B}$ and $w_{[n] \setminus C}$ are points of the facet H_{n-} . The signed rectangle $C(A, B) = (\{w_A, w_{[n] \setminus A}\}, \{w_B, w_{[n] \setminus B}\})$ is a circuit of \mathcal{M}_W and therefore the four points

are the vertices of a quadrilateral whose diagonals $w_A w_{[n]\setminus A}$ and $w_B w_{[n]\setminus B}$ intersect in a point $O \in \text{relint}(w_A w_{[n]\setminus A}) \cap \text{relint}(w_B w_{[n]\setminus B})$.

Similarly, considering the circuits $C(A, C) = (\{w_A, w_{[n]\setminus A}\}, \{w_C, w_{[n]\setminus C}\})$ and $C(B, C) = (\{w_B, w_{[n]\setminus B}\}, \{w_C, w_{[n]\setminus C}\})$ we conclude that the diagonal $w_C w_{[n]\setminus C}$ of both quadrilaterals must intersect the other diagonal. Let O_A be the intersection point of the diagonals of $C(A, C)$ and O_B the intersection point of the diagonals of $C(B, C)$ (Figure 3)




Figure 3:

To conclude the proof of (i) we claim that : $O_A = O_B = O$.

In fact, if $O_A \neq O_B$ then the line segment $w_C w_{[n]\setminus C}$ would be contained in the affine plane $\pi := \text{aff}(C(A, B))$. On the other hand, since no cube contains three colinear points, the plane π would be the affine plane spanned by the points w_A, w_B, w_C . But, since $n \geq 3$, this affine plane is contained in the facet H_{n+} and therefore it cannot contain points of H_{n-} , otherwise $\text{rank}(\mathcal{M}) < n + 1$. But this contradicts the assumption that $C(A, B) \subset \pi$. We conclude then that $O_A = O_B$ and, consequently, it must be $O_A = O_B = O$.

By definition O is in the relative interior of every line segment connecting opposite vertices of W . It is clearly a point of the convex polytope $\text{conv}(W)$ which is in none of its facets, therefore $O \in \text{int}(\text{conv}(W))$.

(ii) We prove that $O \in \text{relint}(O_{n+} O_{n-})$, where O_{n+}, O_{n-} are the centers of the facets H_{n+}, H_{n-} of \mathcal{M}_W . The other cases are similar.

Let w_A, w_B be two distinct points of the facet H_{n+} such that $B \neq A, [n - 1] \setminus A$. This is possible because $n \geq 3$.

Consider the circuits $C = (\{w_A w_{[n-1]\setminus A}\}, \{w_B w_{[n-1]\setminus B}\})$ contained in H_{n^+} and $C' = (\{w_A w_{[n]\setminus A}\}, \{w_B w_{[n]\setminus B}\})$ contained in H_{n^-} . By definition $O_{n^+} := \text{relint}(w_A w_{[n-1]\setminus A}) \cap \text{relint}(w_B w_{[n-1]\setminus B})$ and $O_{n^-} := \text{relint}(w_{A \cup n} w_{[n]\setminus A}) \cap \text{relint}(w_{B \cup n} w_{[n]\setminus B})$.

Consider now the signed rectangles of W defined as:

$$D = (\{w_A, w_{[n]\setminus A}\}, \{w_{[n-1]\setminus A}, w_{A \cup n}\}) \text{ and } D' = (\{w_B, w_{[n]\setminus B}\}, \{w_{[n-1]\setminus B}, w_{B \cup n}\}).$$

Denote by $\text{conv}(D), \text{conv}(D')$ the quadrilaterals of \mathbb{R}^n whose vertices are the vertices of the circuits D and D' . The line segment $O_{n^+} O_{n^-} = \text{conv}(D) \cap \text{conv}(D')$ (figure 4).




Figure 4:

On the other hand since, by definition, the center O is the intersection of the diagonals of the D and D' , it follows that $O \in \text{relint} O_{n^+} O_{n^-}$.

In a similar way we conclude that $O \in \text{relint} O_{i^+} O_{i^-}$, for every $i \in [n]$, implying that $\forall i < j \leq n$ $C_{ij} = (\{O_{i^+}, O_{i^-}\}, \{O_{j^+}, O_{j^-}\})$ is a circuit of the matroid $\mathcal{A}ff(S)$, $S = \{O_{i^+}, O_{i^-} : i \in [n]\}$. Since the points O_{i^+} and O_{i^-} lie in the relative interior of opposite facets of the convex polytope $\text{conv}(W)$ that has dimension n , the n vectors $O_{i^-} - O_{i^+}$ form a basis of \mathbb{R}^n implying that for every $i \in [n]$, $S \setminus \{O_{i^+}, O_{i^-}\}$ must be a hyperplane of $\mathcal{A}ff(S)$. This oriented matroid is an n -cross-polytope.

Proof of Theorem 3.2

Let $\mathcal{M}_W = \mathcal{A}ff(W)$ be an affine realization in \mathbb{R}^n of a (realizable) oriented cube $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(C^n)$ via the correspondence $v_A \longrightarrow w_A \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\forall A \subseteq [n]$

[n]. By Lemma 3.3 the reorientation of \mathcal{M} , ${}_{-H_{i-}}\mathcal{M}$, obtained by reversing signs on the facet H_{i-} is an oriented cube whose facets are the $2n$ -hyperplanes of \mathcal{M} : H_{i+} , H_{i-} , H_{ij+} , H_{ij-} , for every $j \in [n] \setminus i$.

Considering \mathbb{R}^n as a subset of the real projective space $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$, representations of the oriented cube ${}_{-H_{i-}}\mathcal{M}$ can be obtained as images $f_i(W)$ of W under suitable projective transformation $f_i : \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ admissible for W . In every such representation $f_i(W)$ of ${}_{-H_{i-}}\mathcal{M}$, the images, $f_i(w_A)$, $f_i(w_{A \cup i})$ of the extremities of the edges $w_A w_{A \cup i}$ of W are opposite points of $f_i(W)$. By lemma 3.4 all of the line segments $f_i(w_A) f_i(w_{A \cup i})$ meet in the center C_i of $f_i(W)$. Therefore all the edges of $\mathcal{A}ff(W)$ meet in the point $f_i^{-1}(C_i) \in \mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$. This shows that every realization $\mathcal{A}ff(W)$ of \mathcal{M} can be extended to a realizable adjoint of the cross-polytope. By Theorem 2.1 there is a unique realizable adjoint of the cross-polytope. By Theorem 3.1 $\mathcal{A}ff(W)$ must be isomorphic to the real affine cube $\mathcal{A}ff(C^n)$.

Remark. Actually a suitable projective transformation f_i can be constructed in the following way, using the notation of Lemma 3.3: identify, as usual, \mathbb{R}^n with $\mathbb{R}^n \times 1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in such a way that the center O_W of W has coordinates $(\mathbf{0}, 1)$. Let π_i be an affine plane of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} cutting the line segment $O_W O_{i+}$ in such a way that it separates the points of the facet H_{i-} and the origin of \mathbb{R}^n from the points of H_{i+} . The projection $f_i(W)$ from the origin $O \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of W in the plane π is a representation of ${}_{-H_{i-}}\mathcal{M}$. Let C_i be the center of $f_i(W)$. The point $f_i^{-1}(C_i)$ of the real projective space $\mathbb{P}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the meeting point of all the edges $w_A w_{A \cup i}$ of W .

The next Theorem 3.3. is a nonoriented version of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3 *Let $\mathcal{M}(C^n)$ be an oriented cube whose underlying matroid $\underline{\mathcal{M}}(C^n)$ is realizable. Then $\underline{\mathcal{M}}(C^n) \simeq \underline{\mathbf{Q}}_n$.*

The proof of this Theorem is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.2 and of the following Theorem B from [10]:

Theorem B (Corollary 1.3) of [10]) *Let $\mathcal{M}(C^n)$ be an oriented cube whose underlying matroid is realizable. Then every class of orientations of \mathcal{M} contains a unique acyclic orientation whose signed rectangles and signed cocircuits complementary of the facets and skew-facets are signed as in $\mathcal{A}ff(C^n)$.*

Proof of theorem 3.3. Let $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a realization of the underlying matroid. By lemma 3.4 we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{A}ff(W)$ is a realizable oriented cube. Theorem 3.2 then ensures that $\mathcal{A}ff(W) \simeq \mathbf{Q}_n$ and the result follows.

4 Final Remarks

From Theorem 3.2 we know that if an oriented cube other than the real cube exists, it must be non-realizable. Moreover, from Theorem 3.3, we know that it may be non-realizable either because it is a non-realizable orientation of the real affine cube, or because its underlying matroid is a cube that is non-representable over \mathbb{R} .

The following conjectures play a fundamental role in this context:

Conjecture 1. (M. Las Vergnas [7]): *The real affine cube \mathbf{Q}_n has a unique class of orientations. Equivalently, the canonical adjoint $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_n$ of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n has a unique class of orientations.*

Conjecture 2. (I. P. Silva [10]): *The real affine cube \mathbf{Q}_n is the unique oriented cube. Equivalently, the canonical adjoint $\tilde{\mathbf{O}}_n$ of the cross-polytope is the unique adjoint of the cross-polytope \mathbf{O}_n .*

Several constructions and examples of (unoriented) cubes non-representable over \mathbb{R} are given [10]. All the known examples are non-orientable matroids. New families of minor-minimal excluded minors for orientability based on extensions of the cross-polytope are described in [12].

References

- [1] A. Bachem, W. Kern, Adjoints of oriented matroids, *Combinatorica*, **6**(1986), 299-308.
- [2] Björner, M. Las Vergnas, B. Sturmfels, N. White, G. Ziegler, *Oriented Matroids*, Encyclopedia of Math. Appl., vol. 46, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [3] R. Bland, M. Las Vergnas, Orientability of matroids, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. B, **24** (1978), 94-123.

- [4] R. Campbell, J. Geelen, On the complex-representable excluded minors for real-representability, Preprint 2018.
- [5] M. Las Vergnas, Convexity in Oriented Matroids, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. B, **29**(1980), 231-243.
- [6] J. Folkman, J. Lawrence, Oriented Matroids, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. B, **25** (1978), 199-236.
- [7] M. Las Vergnas, J.P. Roudneff, I. Salaún, Regular polytopes, preprint 1989 (unpublished).
- [8] E. Mayhew, M. Newman, G. Whittle, On excluded minors for real representability, *J. Combinatorial Theory*, Ser. B, **99**(2009), 685-689.
- [9] J. Oxley, *Matroid Theory*, 2nd edition, Oxford Science Publications 2014.
- [10] I.P.F. da Silva, Cubes and orientability, *Discrete Mathematics*, **308**(2008), 3574-3585.
- [11] I.P.F. da Silva, Axioms for maximal vectors of an oriented matroid, a combinatorial characterization for the regions fo an arrangement of pseudohyperplanes, *European J. of Combinatorics*, **16**(1995), 125-145.
- [12] I.P.F. da Silva, On minor-minimal non orientable matroids with $2n$ -elements and rank n , *European J. of Combinatorics*, **30**(2009), 1825-1832.