

UNITARY CUNTZ SEMIGROUPS OF IDEALS AND QUOTIENTS

LAURENT CANTIER

ABSTRACT. We define a notion of ideal for objects in the category of abstract unitary Cuntz semigroups introduced in [3] and termed Cu^\sim . We show that the set of ideals of a Cu^\sim -semigroup has a complete lattice structure. In fact, we prove that for any C^* -algebra of stable rank one A , the assignment $I \mapsto \text{Cu}_1(I)$ defines a complete lattice isomorphism between the set of ideals of A and the set of ideals of its unitary Cuntz semigroup $\text{Cu}_1(A)$. Further, we introduce a notion of quotients and exactness for the (non abelian) category Cu^\sim . We show that $\text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I) \simeq \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$ for any ideal I in A and that the functor Cu_1 is exact. Finally, we link a Cu^\sim -semigroup with the Cu -semigroup of its positive elements and the abelian group of its maximal elements in a split-exact sequence. This result allows us to extract additional information that lies within the unitary Cuntz semigroup of a C^* -algebra of stable rank one.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the last decade, the Cuntz semigroup has emerged as a suitable invariant in the classification of non-simple C^* -algebras. It is now well-established that this positively ordered monoid is a continuous functor from the category of C^* -algebras to the category of abstract Cuntz semigroups, written Cu (see [6] and [1]). Moreover, an abstract notion of ideals and quotients in the category Cu has been considered in [5] and it has been proved that the Cuntz semigroup nicely captures the lattice of ideals of a C^* -algebra A , that we write $\text{Lat}(A)$. In fact, for any C^* -algebra, the assignment $I \mapsto \text{Cu}(I)$ defines a complete lattice isomorphism between $\text{Lat}(A)$ and the set of ideals of $\text{Cu}(A)$, that we write $\text{Lat}(\text{Cu}(A))$ (see [1, §5.1.6]). These results make the Cuntz semigroup a valuable asset whenever considering non-simple C^* -algebras. While the Cuntz semigroup has already provided notable results for classification (see e.g. [9], [10]), one often has to restrict itself to the case of trivial K_1 since the Cuntz semigroup fails to capture the K_1 -group information of a C^* -algebra. To address this issue, the author has introduced a unitary version of the Cuntz semigroup for C^* -algebras of stable rank one, written Cu_1 (see [3]). This invariant, built from pairs of positive and unitary elements, resembles the construction of the Cuntz semigroup and defines a continuous functor from the category of C^* -algebra of stable rank one to the category Cu^\sim of (not necessarily positively) ordered monoids satisfying the order-theoretic axioms (O1)-(O4) introduced in [6].

In this paper, we investigate further this new construction and we affirmatively answer the question whether this unitary version of the Cuntz semigroup also captures the lattice of ideals of a C^* -algebra of stable rank one. We specify that the category Cu^\sim does not require the underlying monoids to be positively ordered, which hinders the task to generalize notions introduced in the category Cu . For instance,

Key words and phrases. Unitary Cuntz semigroup, C^* -algebras, Cu^\sim -ideals, Exact sequences.

The author was supported by MINECO through the grant BES-2016-077192 and partially supported by the grants MDM-2014-0445 and MTM-2017-83487 at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica in Barcelona.

we cannot characterize a Cu^\sim -ideal of a countably-based Cu^\sim -semigroup by its largest element, as is done for countably-based Cu -semigroups, since such an element might not exist in general. As a result, two axioms, respectively named (PD), for *positively directed* and (PC), for *positively convex* appear as far as the definition of a Cu^\sim -ideal is concerned. The axiom (PD) has already been introduced in [3], where the author has established that any positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup S either has maximal elements forming an absorbing abelian group, termed S_{\max} , or else has no maximal elements. We finally point out that any Cu -semigroup S satisfies these axioms and that the generalization of a Cu^\sim -ideal matches with the usual definition of a Cu -ideal for any Cu -semigroup S . In the course of this investigation, we also show that the functor Cu_1 satisfies expected properties regarding ideals, quotients and exact sequences. These results help us to dig in depth the functorial relations between Cu , K_1 and Cu_1 found in [3, §5].

More concretely, this paper shows that the set of Cu^\sim -ideals of such a Cu^\sim -semigroup S is a complete lattice naturally isomorphic to the complete lattice of Cu -ideals of its positive cone S_+ . Furthermore, we prove that:

Theorem 1.1. *For any C^* -algebra A of stable rank one, the unitary Cuntz semigroup $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ is positively directed and positively convex.*

Moreover, the assignment $I \mapsto \text{Cu}_1(I)$ defines a complete lattice isomorphism between $\text{Lat}(A)$ and $\text{Lat}(\text{Cu}_1(A))$ that maps the sublattice $\text{Lat}_f(A)$ of ideals in A that contain a full, positive element onto the sublattice $\text{Lat}_f(\text{Cu}_1(A))$ of ideals in $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ that are singly-generated by a positive element. In particular, I is simple if and only if $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ is simple.

Theorem 1.2. *Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one and let $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$. Consider the canonical short exact sequence: $0 \longrightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/I \longrightarrow 0$. Then:*

(i) $\text{Cu}_1(\pi)$ induces a Cu^\sim -isomorphism $\text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I) \simeq \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$.

(ii) The following sequence is short exact in Cu^\sim :

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Cu}_1(I) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Cu}_1(A) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Cu}_1(A/I) \longrightarrow 0$$

Theorem 1.3. *Let S be a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup that has maximal elements. Then the following sequence in Cu^\sim is split-exact:*

$$0 \longrightarrow S_+ \xrightarrow{i} S \xrightarrow{j} S_{\max} \longrightarrow 0$$

$\swarrow \dots \dots \searrow$
 q

where i is the canonical injection, $j(s) := s + e_{S_{\max}}$ and $q(s) := s$.

The paper is organized as follows: In the first part, we define an abstract notion of a Cu^\sim -ideal for any positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup. We then see that the smallest ideal containing an element might not always exist since the intersection of two Cu^\sim -ideals is not necessarily a Cu^\sim -ideal. However, the smallest ideal containing an element s of a positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup S , where the notion of *positively convex* is to be specified, always exists and is explicitly computed. We finally build a complete lattice structure on the set of Cu^\sim -ideals of a positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup S , relying on the natural set bijection between $\text{Lat}(S) \simeq \text{Lat}(S_+)$, where $S_+ \in \text{Cu}$ is the positive cone of S .

We also study the notion of quotients and exactness in the category Cu^\sim . Among others, we show that a quotient of a positively directed and positively ordered Cu^\sim -semigroup by an ideal is again a positively directed and positively ordered Cu^\sim -semigroup. Moreover, the functor Cu_1 preserves quotients and short exact sequence of ideals. We finally use the split-exact sequence $0 \rightarrow S_+ \rightarrow S \rightarrow S_{\max} \rightarrow 0$ described above to unravel commutative diagrams with exact rows linking Cu , K_1 and Cu_1 of a separable C^* -algebra with stable rank one -and its ideals-.

Note that this paper is the second part of a twofold work (following up [3]) and completes the properties of the unitary Cuntz semigroup established during the author's PhD thesis. We also mention that the unitary Cuntz semigroup -through these results- will be used in a forthcoming paper to distinguish two non-simple unital separable C^* -algebras with stable rank one, which originally agree on K-Theory and the Cuntz semigroup; see [4].

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank Ramon Antoine for suggesting a more adequate version of the 'positively convex' property, and both Ramon Antoine and Francesc Perera for insightful comments about the paper. The author also thanks the referee for his/her pertinent comments that have helped to reformulate some part of the manuscript in a better way.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We use Mon_\leq to denote the category of ordered monoids, in contrast to the category of positively ordered monoids, that we write PoM . We also use $C_{\text{sr}1}^*$ to denote the full subcategory of C^* -algebras of stable rank one.

2.1. The Cuntz semigroup. We recall some definitions and properties on the Cuntz semigroup of a C^* -algebra. More details can be found in [1], [2], [6], [11].

2.1. (The Cuntz semigroup of a C^* -algebra.) Let A be a C^* -algebra. We denote by A_+ the set of positive elements. Let a and b be in A_+ . We say that a is Cuntz subequivalent to b , and we write $a \lesssim_{\text{Cu}} b$, if there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in A such that $a = \lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n b x_n^*$. After antisymmetrizing this relation, we get an equivalence relation over A_+ , called Cuntz equivalence, denoted by \sim_{Cu} .

Let us write $\text{Cu}(A) := (A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+ / \sim_{\text{Cu}}$, that is, the set of Cuntz equivalence classes of positive elements of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$. Given $a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$, we write $[a]$ for the Cuntz class of a . The set $\text{Cu}(A)$ is equipped with an addition as follows: let v_1 and v_2 be two isometries in the multiplier algebra of $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$, such that $v_1 v_1^* + v_2 v_2^* = 1_{M(A \otimes \mathcal{K})}$. Consider the $*$ -isomorphism $\psi : M_2(A \otimes \mathcal{K}) \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ given by $\psi \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} = v_1 a v_1^* + v_2 b v_2^*$, and we write $a \oplus b := \psi \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$. For any $[a], [b]$ in $\text{Cu}(A)$, we define $[a] + [b] := [a \oplus b]$ and $[a] \leq [b]$ whenever $a \lesssim_{\text{Cu}} b$. In this way $\text{Cu}(A)$ is a partially ordered semigroup called *the Cuntz semigroup of A* .

For any $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$, one can define $\text{Cu}(\phi) : \text{Cu}(A) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(B)$, a semigroup map, by $[a] \mapsto [(\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}})(a)]$. Hence, we get a functor from the category of C^* -algebras into a certain subcategory of PoM , called the category Cu , that we describe next.

2.2. (The category Cu). Let (S, \leq) be a positively ordered semigroup and let x, y in S . We say that x is *way-below* y and we write $x \ll y$ if, for all increasing sequences $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in S that have a supremum, if

$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} z_n \geq y$, then there exists k such that $z_k \geq x$. This is an auxiliary relation on S called the *way-below relation* or the *compact-containment relation*. In particular $x \ll y$ implies $x \leq y$ and we say that x is a *compact element* whenever $x \ll x$.

We say that S is an abstract Cuntz semigroup, or a Cu-semigroup, if it satisfies the following order-theoretic axioms:

(O1): Every increasing sequence of elements in S has a supremum.

(O2): For any $x \in S$, there exists a \ll -increasing sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in S such that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n = x$.

(O3): Addition and the compact containment relation are compatible.

(O4): Addition and suprema of increasing sequences are compatible.

A *Cu-morphism* between two Cu-semigroups S, T is a positively ordered monoid morphism that preserves the compact containment relation and suprema of increasing sequences.

The category of abstract Cuntz semigroups, written Cu , is the subcategory of PoM whose objects are Cu-semigroups and morphisms are Cu-morphisms.

2.3. (Countably-based Cu-semigroups.) Let S be a Cu-semigroup. We say that S is *countably-based* if there exists a countable subset $B \subseteq S$ such that for any $a, a' \in S$ such that $a' \ll a$, then there exists $b \in B$ such that $a' \leq b \ll a$. The set B is often referred to as a *basis*. An element $u \in S$ is called an *order-unit* of S if for any $x \in S$, there exists $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ such that $x \leq nu$, where $\overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \sqcup \{\infty\}$.

Let S be a countably-based Cu-semigroup. Then S has a maximal element, or equivalently, it is singly-generated. Let us also mention that if A is a separable C^* -algebra, then $\text{Cu}(A)$ is countably-based. In fact, its largest element, that we write ∞_A , can be explicitly constructed as follows: Let s_A be any strictly positive element (or full positive) in A . Then $\infty_A = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n[s_A]$. A fortiori, $[s_A]$ is an order-unit of $\text{Cu}(A)$.

2.4. (Lattice of ideals in Cu.) Let S be a Cu-semigroup. An *ideal* of S is a submonoid I that is closed under suprema of increasing sequences and such that for any x, y such that $x \leq y$ and $y \in I$, then $x \in I$.

It is shown in [1, §5.1.6], that for any I, J ideals of S , $I \cap J$ is again an ideal. Therefore for any $x \in S$, the ideal generated by x , defined as the smallest ideal of S containing x , and written I_x , is exactly the intersection of all ideals of S containing x . An explicit computation gives us $I_x := \{y \in S \mid y \leq \infty x\}$.

Moreover it is shown that $I + J := \{z \in S \mid z \leq x + y, x \in I, y \in J\}$ is also an ideal. Thus we write $\text{Lat}(S) := \{\text{ideals of } S\}$, which is a complete lattice under the following operations: for any two $I, J \in \text{Lat}(S)$, we define $I \wedge J := I \cap J$ and $I \vee J := I + J$.

Furthermore, for any C^* -algebra A , we have that $\text{Cu}(I)$ is an ideal of $\text{Cu}(A)$ for any $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$. In fact, we have a lattice isomorphism as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lat}(A) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Lat}(\text{Cu}(A)) \\ I &\longmapsto \text{Cu}(I) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, whenever S is countably-based, any ideal I of S is singly-generated, for instance by its largest element, that we also write ∞_I . In particular, for any C^* -algebra A , any $a, b \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$, if $[a] \leq [b]$ in $\text{Cu}(A)$, then $I_a \subseteq I_b$, or equivalently $I_{[a]} \subseteq I_{[b]}$. (The converse is a priori not true: $I_x = I_{kx}$ for any $x \in \text{Cu}(A)$, any $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ but in general $x \neq kx$.)

2.5. (Quotients in Cu .) Let S be a Cu -semigroup and $I \in \text{Lat}(S)$. Let $x, y \in S$. We write $x \leq_I y$ if: there exists $z \in I$ such that $x \leq z + y$. By antisymmetrizing \leq_I , we obtain an equivalence relation \sim_I on S . Define $S/I := S/\sim_I$. For $x \in S$, write $\bar{x} := [x]_{\sim_I}$ and equip S/I with the following addition and order: Let $x, y \in S$. Then $\bar{x} + \bar{y} := \overline{x + y}$ and $\bar{x} \leq \bar{y}$, if $x \leq_I y$. These are well-defined and $(S/I, +, \leq)$ is a Cu -semigroup, often referred to as the *quotient of S by I* . Moreover, the canonical quotient map $S \rightarrow S/I$ is a surjective Cu -morphism. Finally, for any C^* -algebra A and any $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$, we have $\text{Cu}(A/I) \simeq \text{Cu}(A)/\text{Cu}(I)$; see [5, Corollary 2].

2.2. The unitary Cuntz semigroup. We recall some definitions and properties on the Cu_1 -semigroup of a C^* -algebra with stable rank one. More details can be found in [3].

2.6. (The unitary Cuntz semigroup of a C^* -algebra - The category Cu^\sim .) Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one, let $a, b \in A_+$ such that $a \lesssim_{\text{Cu}} b$. Using the stable rank one hypothesis, there exist *standard morphisms* $\theta_{ab} : \text{her}(a)^\sim \hookrightarrow \text{her}(b)^\sim$ such that $[\theta_{ab}(u)]_{\mathcal{K}_1}$ does not depend on the standard morphism chosen, for any unitary element $u \in \text{her}(a)^\sim$. That is, there is a canonical way (up to homotopy equivalence) to extend unitary elements of $\text{her}(a)^\sim$ into unitary elements of $\text{her}(b)^\sim$. Now, let u, v be unitary elements of $\text{her}(a)^\sim, \text{her}(b)^\sim$ respectively. We say that (a, u) is *unitarily Cuntz subequivalent* to (b, v) , and we write $(a, u) \lesssim_1 (b, v)$, if $a \lesssim_{\text{Cu}} b$ and $\theta_{ab}(u) \sim_h v$. After antisymmetrizing this relation, we get an equivalence relation on $H(A) := \{(a, u) \mid a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+, u \in \mathcal{U}(\text{her}(a)^\sim)\}$, called *the unitary Cuntz equivalence*, denoted by \sim_1 .

Let us write $\text{Cu}_1(A) := H(A)/\sim_1$. The set $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ can be equipped with a natural order given by $[(a, u)] \leq [(b, v)]$ whenever $(a, u) \lesssim_1 (b, v)$, and we set $[(a, u)] + [(b, v)] := [(a \oplus b, u \oplus v)]$. In this way $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ is a semigroup called *the unitary Cuntz semigroup of A* .

Any $*$ -homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ naturally induces a semigroup morphism $\text{Cu}_1(\phi) : \text{Cu}_1(A) \rightarrow \text{Cu}_1(B)$, by sending $[(a, u)] \mapsto [(\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}})(a), (\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}})^\sim(u)]$. Hence, we get a functor from the category of C^* -algebras of stable rank one into a certain subcategory of ordered monoids, denoted by Mon_\leq , called the category Cu^\sim , that we describe in the sequel.

Let (S, \leq) be an ordered monoid. Recall the compact-containment relation defined in Paragraph 2.2. We say that S is a Cu^\sim -semigroup if S satisfies axioms (O1)-(O4) and $0 \ll 0$. We emphasize that we do not require the monoid to be positively ordered. A Cu^\sim -*morphism* between two Cu^\sim -semigroups S, T is an ordered monoid morphism that preserves the compact-containment relation and suprema of increasing sequences.

The category of abstract unitary Cuntz semigroups, written Cu^\sim , is the subcategory of Mon_\leq whose objects are Cu^\sim -semigroups and morphisms are Cu^\sim -morphisms. Actually, as shown in [3, Corollary 3.21], the functor Cu_1 from the category $C_{\text{sr}1}^*$ to the category Cu^\sim is arbitrarily continuous.

2.7. (Alternative picture of the Cu_1 -semigroup.) We will sometimes use an alternative picture described in [3, §4.1]. First, recall that for a C^* -algebra A , $\text{Lat}_f(A)$ is the sublattice of $\text{Lat}(A)$ consisting of ideals that contain a full, positive element. Also recall that $\{\sigma$ -unital ideals of $A\} \subseteq \text{Lat}_f(A)$ and if moreover A is separable, then the converse inclusion holds. Finally, for any $I \in \text{Lat}_f(A)$, we define $\text{Cu}_f(I) := \{x \in \text{Cu}(A) \mid I_x = \text{Cu}(I)\}$ to be the set of full elements in $\text{Cu}(I)$.

Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one such that $\text{Lat}_f(A) = \{\sigma\text{-unital ideals of } A\}$. Then $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ can be pictured as

$$\bigsqcup_{I \in \text{Lat}_f(A)} \text{Cu}_f(I) \times \text{K}_1(I)$$

that we also write $\text{Cu}_1(A)$. The addition and order are defined as follows: For any $(x, k), (y, l) \in \text{Cu}_1(A)$

$$\begin{cases} (x, k) \leq (y, l) \text{ if: } x \leq y \text{ and } \delta_{I_x I_y}(k) = l. \\ (x, k) + (y, l) = (x + y, \delta_{I_x I_{x+y}}(k) + \delta_{I_y I_{x+y}}(l)). \end{cases}$$

where $\delta_{IJ} := \text{K}_1(I \xrightarrow{i} J)$, for any $I, J \in \text{Lat}_f(A)$ such that $I \subseteq J$.

Let A, B be C^* -algebras of stable rank one and let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. For any $I \in \text{Lat}_f(A)$, we write $J := \overline{B\phi(I)B}$, the smallest ideal of B that contains $\phi(I)$. Then $J \in \text{Lat}_f(B)$ and $\text{Cu}_1(\phi)$ can be rewritten as $(\text{Cu}(\phi), \{\text{K}_1(\phi_I)\}_{I \in \text{Lat}_f(A)})$, where $\phi_I : I \rightarrow J$. Observe that we might write $\alpha, \alpha_0, \alpha_I$ to denote $\text{Cu}_1(\phi), \text{Cu}(\phi), \text{K}_1(\phi_I)$ respectively.

3. IDEAL STRUCTURE IN THE CATEGORY Cu^\sim

In this section we define and study the notion of ideals in the category Cu^\sim . Since the underlying monoid of a Cu^\sim -semigroup might not be positively ordered, definitions and results of the category Cu cannot be applied and some extra work is needed. When it comes to a concrete Cu^\sim -semigroup, -that is, coming from a C^* -algebra of stable rank one A - we wish that a Cu^\sim -ideal satisfies natural properties, e.g. $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ is an ideal of $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ or $\text{Lat}(A)$ is entirely captured by the set of Cu^\sim -ideals of $\text{Cu}_1(A)$. For that matter, we first have to study the set of maximal elements of a Cu^\sim -semigroup. We show that under additional axioms -satisfied by any $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ -, namely the axioms (PD) and (PC), the set maximal elements of a Cu^\sim -semigroup forms, when not empty, an absorbing abelian group. From there, we are able to define a suitable notion of Cu^\sim -ideal. We will also use concepts from Domain Theory that we recall now (see [7]).

Finally, we say that a Cu^\sim -semigroup S is *countably-based* if there exists a countable subset $B \subseteq S$ such that for any pair $a' \ll a$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $a' \leq b \ll a$.

3.1. Definition of a Cu^\sim ideal.

Definition 3.1. [7, Definition II.1.3] Let S be a Cu^\sim -semigroup. A subset $O \subseteq S$ is *Scott-open* if:

- (i) O is an upper set, that is, for any $y \in S$, $y \geq x \in O$ implies $y \in O$.
- (ii) For any $x \in O$, there exists $x' \ll x$ such that $x' \in O$. Equivalently, for any increasing sequence of S whose supremum belongs to O , there exists an element of the sequence also in O .

Dually we say that $F \subseteq S$ is *Scott-closed* if $S \setminus F$ is Scott-open, that is, if it is a lower set that is closed under suprema of increasing sequences.

Let us check the equivalence of (ii) in the above definition: Let O be an upper set of S and let $x \in O$. Suppose there exists $x' \ll x$ such that $x' \in O$. Let $(x_n)_n$ be any increasing sequence whose supremum is x . By definition of \ll , there exists $x_n \geq x'$, hence x_n is also in O . Conversely, using (O2), there exists a \ll -increasing sequence $(x_n)_n$ whose supremum is x . By hypothesis, there exists n such that $x_n \in O$, and by construction $x_n \ll x$. This finishes the proof.

Definition 3.2. Let S be a Cu^\sim -semigroup. We define the following axioms:

(PD): We say that S is *positively directed* if, for any $x \in S$, there exists $p_x \in S$ such that $x + p_x \geq 0$.

(PC): We say that S is *positively convex* if, for any $x, y \in S$ such that $y \geq 0$ and $x \leq y$, we have $x + y \geq 0$.

The axiom (PC) ensures that the only negative element of S is 0, while the axiom (PD) ensures that any non-positive element has a ‘symmetric’ such that their sum is a positive element. Furthermore, the set of maximal elements of a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup has an abelian group structure (see [3, §5.1]). We first show that these axioms are satisfied by any concrete Cu^\sim -semigroup.

Lemma 3.3. *Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one. Then $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ is positively directed and positively convex.*

Proof. Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one and consider $[(a, u)] \in \text{Cu}_1(A)$, where $a \in (A \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(\text{her}(a)^\sim)$. Observe that $[(a, u)] + [(a, u^*)] = [(a \oplus a, 1)] \geq 0$, and so $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ is positively directed. Now let $[(b, 1)]$ be a positive element in $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ such that $[(a, u)] \leq [(b, 1)]$. Since $[(a, u)] \leq [(b, 1)]$, we know that $\chi_{ab}([u]) = [1]$. Therefore, $\chi_{a(a \oplus b)}([u]) = [1]$, and we deduce that $[(a, u)] + [(b, 1)] = [(a \oplus b, 1)]$ is a positive element in $\text{Cu}_1(A)$, which finishes the proof. \square

Definition 3.4. Let S be a Cu^\sim -semigroup. We define $S_{\max} := \{x \in S \mid \text{if } y \geq x, \text{ then } y = x\}$, the set of maximal elements of S .

Proposition 3.5. [3, Proposition 5.4] *Let S be a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup. Then S_{\max} is either empty or an absorbing abelian group in S whose neutral element $e_{S_{\max}}$ is positive.*

Remark 3.6. Whenever S is a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup that has maximal elements, then $e_{S_{\max}}$ is the only positive element of S_{\max} or, equivalently, the only positive maximal element of S . Also, we mention that any countably-based Cu^\sim -semigroup has maximal elements.

Lemma 3.7. *Let S be Cu^\sim -semigroup that has maximal elements. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) S is positively directed.

(ii) For any $x \in S$, there exists a unique $p_x \in S_{\max}$ such that $x + p_x \geq 0$.

(iii) S_{\max} is an absorbing abelian group in S whose neutral element $e_{S_{\max}}$ is positive.

Proof. That (ii) implies (i) is clear. That (i) implies (iii) is proved in [3, Proposition 5.4].

Let us show now that (iii) implies (ii): Let $x \in S$ and write $e := e_{S_{\max}}$. Let $q := x + e$. Note that q belongs to S_{\max} by (iii). Denote by p_x the inverse of q in S_{\max} . We have $x + e + p_x = e$, and $x + p_x \in S_{\max}$ by assumption. Therefore $x + p_x + e = x + p_x = e \geq 0$. Now suppose there exists another $r \in S_{\max}$ such that $r + x \geq 0$. Then $r + x + p_x = p_x$. However $x + p_x = e$, hence $r = p_x$, which ends the proof. \square

Notice that for a Cu -semigroup S , we have that S_{\max} is either empty, or the trivial group consisting of the largest element of S . Furthermore, the axioms (PD) and (PC) can be defined for ordered monoids and all the proofs above hold. We now define the notion of a *positively stable submonoid* for positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup that will lead to the definition of a Cu^\sim -ideal.

Definition 3.8. Let S be a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup. Let M be a submonoid of S . We say M is *positively stable* if it satisfies the following:

- (i) M is a positively directed ordered monoid.
- (ii) For any $x \in S$, if $(x + P_x) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ then $x \in M$, where $P_x := \{y \in S \mid x + y \geq 0\}$.

Axiom (PD) ensures that $P_x \neq \emptyset$. In fact, P_x is a Scott-open set in S (so is $x + P_x$): P_x is clearly an upper set and using $0 \ll 0$ and (O2), and one can check that P_x satisfies (ii) of Definition 3.1. In particular, $S_+ = P_0$ is Scott-open in S .

Definition 3.9. Let S be a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup. We say that $I \subseteq S$ is an *order-ideal* (or *ideal*) of S if I is a Scott-closed, positively stable submonoid of S .

We say that S is *simple* if it only contains the trivial ideals $\{0\}$ and S .

It is for the reader to check that any ideal I of a (positively directed) Cu^\sim -semigroup S is a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup. Moreover, if S is positively convex, then so is I . Finally, I continuously order-embeds into S (that is, the canonical inclusion $i : I \hookrightarrow S$ is a Scott-continuous order-embedding).

We naturally want to define the ideal generated by an element. However, we cannot ensure that the intersection of ideals is still an ideal. In fact, being positively directed is not preserved under intersection, thus we define the ideal generated by an element abstractly as follows:

Definition 3.10. Given $x \in S$, we define $\text{Idl}(x)$ as the smallest ideal of S containing x , that is, $x \in \text{Idl}(x)$ and for any J ideal of S containing x we have $J \supseteq \text{Idl}(x)$. Note that this ideal might not exist.

Here, we offer an example of two ideals of a countably-based positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup, whose intersection fails to be positively directed, and hence fails to be an ideal:

Let S be the subset of $\overline{\mathbb{N}}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}$ defined as follows:

$$S := \{(n_1, n_2, n_3), k) \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}^3 \times \mathbb{Z} \mid k \geq 0, \text{ if } n_1 = n_3 = 0, \text{ and } k = 0, \text{ if } n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 0\}.$$

We put on this set a component-wise sum and we define for any two pairs: $(g, k) \leq (h, l)$ if $g \leq h$ in $\overline{\mathbb{N}}^3$ and $k = l$ in \mathbb{Z} . Notice that $S_+ = \overline{\mathbb{N}}^3 \times \{0\}$. One can check that $(S, +, \leq)$ is a countably-based positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup.

Now consider $I_1 := ((\overline{\mathbb{N}} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}) \cap S$ and $I_2 := ((\{0\} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}}) \times \mathbb{Z}) \cap S$. Again, one can check that those are ideals of S as defined earlier. However, $I_1 \cap I_2 = ((\{0\} \times \overline{\mathbb{N}}_* \times \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}_+) \sqcup \{0_S\}$ is not positively directed. Indeed, let $x := ((0, n, 0), 1) \in I_1 \cap I_2$. Observe that any element $y \in I_1 \cap I_2$ is of the form $((0, n, 0), k)$ for some $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ and $k \geq 0$. Thus, there is no $y \in I_1 \cap I_2$ such that $x + y \geq 0$ and hence $I_1 \cap I_2$ is not positively directed.

Proposition 3.11. *Let S be positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup. Let x be a positive element of S . Then $\text{Idl}(x)$ exists and we have the following:*

$$\text{Idl}(x) = \{y \in S \mid \text{there is } y' \in S \text{ with } 0 \leq y + y' \leq \infty x\}$$

Proof. Let us define $I_x := \{y \in S \mid \text{there is } y' \in S \text{ with } 0 \leq y + y' \leq \infty x\}$. We want to prove that I_x is the Cu^\sim -ideal generated by x .

First, we show that I_x is a submonoid of S that contains x . Using (O1), we know that $\infty x := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} nx$ is a positive element. Moreover $0 \leq 0 + 0 \leq \infty x$, hence $0 \in I_x$. We also know that for any n, m in $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$, $0 \leq nx + mx \leq \infty x$. So we get that $\{nx \mid n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}\} \subseteq I_x$. Let y_1, y_2 in I_x . Then one easily checks that $0 \leq (y_1 + y_2) + (y'_1 + y'_2) \leq 2(\infty x) = \infty x$, hence I_x is closed under addition. This proves it is a submonoid of S that contains x .

Claim: ∞x is a maximal positive element of I_x (in fact, the unique maximal positive element of I_x). Let $y \in I_x$ such that $y \geq 0$. There exists $y' \in I_x$ such that $0 \leq y + y' \leq \infty x$. Since $y \geq 0$, we get that $y' \leq y + y' \leq \infty x$. So, by axiom (PC) we deduce that $0 \leq y' + \infty x$. Now we add y on both sides to get that $y \leq y + y' + \infty x \leq 2(\infty x) = \infty x$. Therefore, for any positive element y of I_x , $y \leq \infty x$, which proves the claim.

Let us now prove that I_x is closed under suprema of increasing sequences. Let $(y_n)_n$ be an increasing sequence in I_x and let y be its supremum in S . Let y'_0 be such that $0 \leq y_0 + y'_0 \leq \infty x$, where y_0 is the first term of $(y_n)_n$. Observe that y'_0 belongs to I_x . Since I_x is closed under addition, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $y_n + y'_0 \in I_x$. Therefore we can choose $z_n \in I_x$ such that $(0 \leq) y_n + y'_0 + z_n \leq \infty x$. Finally choose $z'_n \in I_x$ such that $0 \leq z_n + z'_n \leq \infty x$.

Thus, we have on the one hand that $0 \leq y_n + y'_0 \leq (y_n + y'_0) + (z_n + z'_n)$ and on the other hand that $(y_n + y'_0 + z_n) + z'_n \leq \infty x + z'_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now since I_x is submonoid of S that contains x and $z'_n \in I_x$, we get that $\infty x + z'_n$ is a positive element of I_x . Now since $\infty x = 2(\infty x)$, we have $(\infty x + z'_n) = 2(\infty x) + z'_n \geq \infty x$. By maximality of ∞x in I_x , we get that $0 \leq y_n + y'_0 \leq \infty x$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using axioms (O3) and (O4), we pass to suprema and we obtain $0 \leq y + y'_0 \leq \infty x$, that is, $y \in I_x$. So I_x is closed under suprema of increasing sequences.

We also have to show that I_x is positively stable. Take any $z \in S$ such that there exists z' with $0 \leq z + z'$ and $(z + z') \in I_x$. We know there is a $y \in I_x$ such that $0 \leq z + z' + y \leq \infty x$. Hence $z \in I_x$.

Next, we check that I_x is a lower set. Let $z \leq y$ with $y \in I_x$. We know that there exists $y' \in I_x$ such that $0 \leq y + y' \leq \infty x$. Since $z + y' \leq y + y'$, we deduce by axiom (PC) that $0 \leq z + y' + y + y' \leq 2(y + y') \leq \infty x$. Therefore $z \in I_x$, that is, I_x is a lower set, which ends the proof that I_x is an ideal of S containing x .

Lastly, let J be an ideal of S containing x . Then it contains $\infty x = e_{(I_x)_{max}}$. Thus if $y \in I_x$, we know that there exists $y' \in I_x$ such that $0 \leq y + y' \leq \infty x$, and therefore $y + y' \in (y + P_y) \cap J$. Since J is positively stable, this implies that $y \in J$. We obtain $J \supseteq I_x$, which gives us that I_x is the ideal generated by x . \square

We mention that a notion of ideals has been defined in Definition 3.9 for positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroups. However, the existence of an ideal generated by a positive element requires the axiom (PC). Thus, from now on we only consider positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroups (and this will be specified).

In the context of Proposition 3.11, observe that I_x defined in the proof is equal to $\text{Idl}(x)$ and from now on, we denote by I_x the ideal generated by a positive element x . Also observe that I_x is positively directed and has maximal elements. Thus, by Proposition 3.5, we know that $(I_x)_{max}$ is an absorbing abelian group whose neutral element is ∞x corresponding to the unique maximal positive element of I_x .

Corollary 3.12. *Let S be a positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup. Let I be an ideal of S . Then I has maximal elements if and only if I is singly-generated by a positive element, for instance by its (unique) maximal positive element $e_{I_{\max}}$.*

Proof. If I has maximal elements, then by Proposition 3.5 we know that I_{\max} is an absorbing abelian group whose neutral element $e_{I_{\max}}$ is the unique maximal positive element of I . Thus $I_{e_{I_{\max}}}$ exists. Obviously, $I_{e_{I_{\max}}} \subseteq I$. Now let $x \in I$. Using Lemma 3.7, we can find $y \in I_{\max}$ such that $x + y = e_{I_{\max}}$. Since I is positively stable, we deduce that $x \in I_{e_{I_{\max}}}$ and that $I_{e_{I_{\max}}} = I$. Conversely, if I is singly-generated by a positive element x , then from the proof of Proposition 3.11, we know that $\infty x \in I_{\max}$ which ends the proof. \square

3.2. Complete lattice of ideals. We now study the set of ideals of a positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup S , that we denote $\text{Lat}(S)$. We in fact show that $\text{Lat}(S)$ has a natural structure of complete lattice and that, moreover, we have a lattice isomorphism between $\text{Lat}(A)$ and $\text{Lat}(\text{Cu}_1(A))$ for any C^* -algebra A of stable rank one. The sublattice $\text{Lat}_f(S)$ consisting of ideals singly-generated by a positive element (or equivalently ideals that have maximal elements) will also be of an interest since the latter isomorphism maps $\text{Lat}_f(A)$ onto $\text{Lat}_f(\text{Cu}_1(A))$ for any C^* -algebra A of stable rank one.

3.13. Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one. Using the alternative picture of the unitary Cuntz semigroup of Paragraph 2.7, it is almost immediate that an element $(x, k) \in \text{Cu}_1(A)$ belongs to $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ if and only if $x \in \text{Cu}(I)$. This allows us to prove in a similar fashion to the Cuntz semigroup (see [1, Section 5.1]) that, for any $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$, the inclusion map $i : I \hookrightarrow A$ induces an order-embedding $\text{Cu}_1(i) : \text{Cu}_1(I) \rightarrow \text{Cu}_1(A)$ and that $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ is in fact a Scott-closed positively directed submonoid of $\text{Cu}_1(A)$. The fact that $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ is positively stable in $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ is also trivial and left to the reader. We conclude that $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ is an ideal of $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ for any $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$.

We recall that for a C^* -algebra A , we let $\text{Lat}(A)$ denote the complete lattice of ideals of A and we let $\text{Lat}_f(A)$ denote the sublattice of ideals in A that contain a full, positive element. Also, for a positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup S , we let $\text{Lat}(S)$ denote the set of ideals of S and we let $\text{Lat}_f(S)$ denote the set of ideals in S that are singly-generated by a positive element. (We might just write singly-generated, for notation purposes.)

Proposition 3.14. *Let A be C^* -algebra of stable rank one. Then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \text{Lat}(A) &\longrightarrow \text{Lat}(\text{Cu}_1(A)) \\ I &\longmapsto \text{Cu}_1(I) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of complete lattices that maps $\text{Lat}_f(A)$ onto $\text{Lat}_f(\text{Cu}_1(A))$. In particular, A is simple if and only if $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ is simple.

Proof. Since $(x, k) \in \text{Cu}_1(A)$ belongs to $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ if and only if $x \in \text{Cu}(I)$, the proof of [1, Proposition 5.1.10] remains valid in our context. For the sake of completeness, we explicitly write the inverse map

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \text{Lat}(\text{Cu}_1(A)) &\longrightarrow \text{Lat}(A) \\ J &\longmapsto \{x \in A \mid ([xx^*], 0) \in J_+\} \end{aligned}$$

where J_+ is the Cu -semigroup formed by the positive elements of J . \square

Remark 3.15. (i) We explicitly compute the lattice structure on $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ for any C^* -algebra A of stable rank one. Let $I, J \in \text{Lat}(A)$, then $\text{Cu}_1(I) \wedge \text{Cu}_1(J) = \text{Cu}_1(I \cap J)$ and $\text{Cu}_1(I) \vee \text{Cu}_1(J) = \text{Cu}_1(I + J)$.

(ii) For a Cu^\sim -semigroup S , we have that $\text{Lat}(S) \simeq \text{Lat}(S_+)$ and $\text{Lat}_f(S) \simeq \text{Lat}_f(S_+)$.

(iii) If S is a countably-based Cu^\sim -semigroup, then $\text{Lat}_f(S) = \text{Lat}(S)$.

3.3. Link with Cu and K_1 . It has been shown in [3] that the functor Cu and the functor K_1 can be seen as the positive cone and the maximal elements of Cu_1 respectively, through natural isomorphisms using the functors $\nu_+ : \text{Cu}^\sim \rightarrow \text{Cu}$ and $\nu_{\max} : \text{Cu}^\sim \rightarrow \text{AbGp}$. We now investigate further, applying these results at level of ideals and morphisms, in order to unravel the information contained within the functor Cu_1 , about the lattice of ideals of C^* -algebras of stable rank one and their morphisms.

Lemma 3.16. *Let S, T be positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroups. Let $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$ be a Cu^\sim -morphism and let $I, I' \in \text{Lat}_f(S)$ be such that $I \subseteq I'$. Then:*

$J := I_{\alpha(e_{I_{\max}})}$ and $J' := I_{\alpha(e_{I'_{\max}})}$ are the smallest ideals of T that contain $\alpha(I)$ and $\alpha(I')$ respectively. Moreover, J and J' belong $\text{Lat}_f(T)$ and $J \subseteq J'$. Thus, the following square is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I & \xrightarrow{i} & I' \\ \alpha|_I \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha|_{I'} \\ J & \xrightarrow{i} & J' \end{array}$$

where i stands for canonical inclusions and $\alpha|_I : I \rightarrow J$ is the restriction of α that has codomain J , respectively $\alpha|_{I'} : I' \rightarrow J'$.

Proof. Since α is order-preserving, $\alpha|_I$ and $\alpha|_{I'}$ are well-defined. Besides, we know that for any $y \in I$, there exists y' , such that $0 \leq y + y' \leq e_{I_{\max}}$, hence we have $0 \leq \alpha(y) + \alpha(y') \leq \infty \cdot \alpha(e_{I_{\max}})$. Therefore $\alpha(y) \in J$ and we obtain that $\alpha(I) \subseteq J$, respectively $\alpha(I') \subseteq J'$. Since $I \subseteq I'$, we deduce that $e_{I_{\max}} \leq e_{I'_{\max}}$ and hence $\alpha(e_{I_{\max}}) \leq \alpha(e_{I'_{\max}})$. Thus $J \subseteq J'$ which proves that the square is commutative. \square

In the sequel, when we speak of the restriction of a Cu^\sim -morphism to a singly-generated ideal, we will always refer, unless stated otherwise, to the map defined above. That is, we also restrict the codomain to the smallest singly-generated containing the image of the latter ideal. Using notations of Lemma 3.16, notice that $\alpha|_I(e_{I_{\max}}) = e_{J_{\max}}$.

Proposition 3.17. [3, Proposition 5.5] *Let $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$ be a Cu^\sim -morphism between positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroups S, T that have maximal elements. Then $\alpha_{\max} := \alpha|_{S_{\max}} + e_{T_{\max}}$ is a AbGp -morphism from S_{\max} to T_{\max} . Thus we obtain a functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{\max} : \text{Cu}^\sim &\longrightarrow \text{AbGp} \\ S &\longmapsto S_{\max} \\ \alpha &\longmapsto \alpha_{\max} \end{aligned}$$

In order to be well-defined as a functor, ν_{\max} should have the full subcategory of positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroups that have maximal elements as domain, that we also denote by Cu^\sim . Observe that $\text{Cu}_1(C_{\text{sr } 1, \sigma}^*)$ belongs to the latter full subcategory, where $C_{\text{sr } 1, \sigma}^*$ is the full subcategory of separable C^* -algebras of stable rank one.

In the next theorem, we use the picture of the Cu_1 -semigroup described in Paragraph 2.7.

Theorem 3.18. [3, Theorem 5.7] *Let A be either a separable or a simple σ -unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one. We have the following natural isomorphisms in Cu and AbGp respectively:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cu}_1(A)_+ \simeq \text{Cu}(A) & & \text{Cu}_1(A)_{\max} \simeq \mathbf{K}_1(A) \\ (x, 0) \mapsto x & & (\infty_A, k) \mapsto k \end{array}$$

In fact, we have the following natural isomorphisms: $\nu_+ \circ \text{Cu}_1 \simeq \text{Cu}$ and $\nu_{\max} \circ \text{Cu}_1 \simeq \mathbf{K}_1$.

Corollary 3.19. *Let A be either a separable or a simple σ -unital C^* -algebra of stable rank one. Let $I \in \text{Lat}_f(A)$ be an ideal of A that contains a full positive element and let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. Write $\alpha := \text{Cu}_1(\phi)$ and $J := \overline{B\phi(I)B} \in \text{Lat}_f(B)$. Let us use the notations of Paragraph 2.7, that is, $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \{\alpha_I\}_{I \in \text{Lat}_f(A)})$. Then:*

(i) $\nu_+(\alpha|_{\text{Cu}_1(I)}) = \alpha_0|_{\text{Cu}(I)}$ and $\nu_{\max}(\alpha_I) = \alpha_I$.

(ii) *Let $I' \in \text{Lat}_f(A)$ such that $I' \supseteq I$. Then the following squares are commutative in their respective categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Cu}(I) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Cu}(I') \\ \alpha_0|_{\text{Cu}(I)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_0|_{\text{Cu}(I')} \\ \text{Cu}(J) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Cu}(J') \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{K}_1(I) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{I'}} & \mathbf{K}_1(I') \\ \alpha_I \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{I'} \\ \mathbf{K}_1(J) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{J'}} & \mathbf{K}_1(J') \end{array}$$

where the maps i stand for the natural inclusions in Cu .

Proof. (i) Using the isomorphisms of complete lattices of Proposition 3.14, we get that $\text{Cu}_1(J)$ belongs to $\text{Lat}_f(\text{Cu}_1(B))$ and is the smallest ideal of $\text{Cu}_1(B)$ that contains $\alpha(\text{Cu}_1(I))$. Hence, $\alpha|_{\text{Cu}_1(I)}$ defined in Lemma 3.16 has codomain $\text{Cu}_1(J)$. We deduce that $\nu_+(\alpha|_{\text{Cu}_1(I)}) = \alpha_0|_{\text{Cu}_1(I)}$. Again, we write ∞_J the maximal element of $\text{Cu}(J)$. Finally, observe that $\nu_{\max}(\alpha_I)(x, k) = (\alpha_0(x), \alpha_I(k)) + (\infty_J, 0) = (\infty_J, \alpha_I(k))$.

(ii) Apply ν_+ and ν_{\max} to the square of Lemma 3.16, combined with the natural isomorphisms of Theorem 3.18 and condition (i) above to get the result. \square

Observe that (ii) follows trivially from functoriality of Cu and \mathbf{K}_1 and also for any $I, I' \in \text{Lat}(A)$ such that $I \subseteq I'$, but we illustrate here how it can also be derived from our methods. Furthermore, in order to be thorough, one would have to write $K_1(\phi|_I : I \rightarrow J)$ instead of α_I , since the latter map has only been defined for $I \in \text{Lat}_f(A)$.

4. QUOTIENTS IN THE CATEGORY Cu^\sim AND EXACTNESS OF THE FUNCTOR Cu_1

4.1. Quotients. We first study quotients of positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroups, to then show that the functor Cu_1 preserves quotients. In other words, we prove that $\text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I) \simeq \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$ for any $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$.

Definition 4.1. Let S be a positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup. Let I be an ideal of S . We define the following preorder on S : $x \leq_I y$ if there exists $z \in I$ such that $x \leq z + y$. By antisymmetrizing this preorder, we get an equivalence relation on S , denoted \sim_I . We denote $\bar{x} := [x]_{\sim_I}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let S be a positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup. Let I be an ideal of S .*

We canonically define
$$\begin{cases} \bar{x} + \bar{y} := \overline{x + y}. \\ \bar{x} \leq \bar{y} \text{ if } x \leq_I y. \end{cases} \text{ and } S/I := (S/\sim_I, +, \leq).$$

Then S/I is a positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroup. Also, $S \rightarrow S/I$ is a surjective Cu^\sim -morphism.

Proof. Let x, y be in S . It is not hard to check that the sum and order considered are well-defined, that is, they do not depend on the representative chosen. Let us show that S/I equipped with this sum and order belongs to Mon_\leq . Let x_1, x_2 and y_1, y_2 be elements in S such that $\overline{x_1} \leq \overline{x_2}$ and $\overline{y_1} \leq \overline{y_2}$. There exist z_1, z_2 in I such that $x_1 + y_1 \leq x_2 + z_1 + y_2 + z_2$, that is, $\overline{x_1 + y_1} \leq \overline{x_2 + y_2}$. Also notice that the quotient map $S \rightarrow S/I$ is naturally a surjective Mon_\leq -morphism.

In order to show that $(S/I, +, \leq)$ satisfies axioms (O1)-(O4), and that $S \rightarrow S/I$ is a Cu^\sim -morphism, we proceed in a similar way as in [1, Section 5.1] for quotients in the category Cu and we will not get into too many details. This is based on the following two facts:

(1) For any $\overline{x} \leq \overline{y}$ in S/I there exist representatives x, y in S such that $x \leq y$.

Indeed we know that there are representatives x, y_1 in S and some $z \in I$ such that $x \leq y_1 + z$. Since $y := (y_1 + z) \sim_I y_1$, the claim is proved.

(2) For any increasing sequence $(\overline{x_k})_k$ in S/I , we can find an increasing sequence of representatives $(x_k)_k$ in S .

This uses (1) and the fact that I satisfies (O1). Then $z := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\sum_{k=0}^n z_k)$, where z_k are the elements obtained from (1), is an element of I . We refer the reader to [1, §5.1.2] for more details.

Let $\overline{x} \in S/I$ and let x be a representative of \overline{x} in S . We know there exists p_x in S such that $x + p_x \geq 0$. Since $0 \in I$, we get that $\overline{x} + \overline{p_x} \geq \overline{0}$, that is, S/I is positively directed.

Lastly, let $\overline{x}, \overline{y} \in S/I$ such that $\overline{x} \leq \overline{y}$ and $0 \leq \overline{y}$. Let x be a representative of \overline{x} and y a representative of \overline{y} in S . Then there are elements $z, w \in I$ such that $x \leq y + z$ and $0 \leq y + w$. Since I is positively directed, there exists $z' \in I$ such that $z + z' \geq 0$. Now observe that $x + w + z' \leq y + z + w + z' = (y + w) + (z + z')$ with $y + w + z + z' \geq 0$. By assumption S is positively convex, hence we have $x + w + z' + y + w + z + z' \geq 0$ and thus in S/I we obtain $\overline{x} + \overline{y} \geq \overline{0}$, as desired. \square

A priori $(S/I, +, \leq)$ is not positively ordered either. Indeed, one could take for example an algebra that has a non-trivial ideal I with no K_1 -obstructions and such that $K_1(A)$ is not trivial. Then $\text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I)$ would not be positively ordered.

Lemma 4.3. *Let S, T be positively directed and positively convex Cu^\sim -semigroups. Let $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$ be a Cu^\sim -morphism. For any $I \in \text{Lat}(S)$ such that $I \subseteq \alpha^{-1}(\{0_T\})$, there exists a unique Cu^\sim -morphism $\overline{\alpha} : S/I \rightarrow T$ such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & T \\ & \searrow \pi & \nearrow \overline{\alpha} \\ & & S/I \end{array}$$

As a matter of fact, we have $\overline{\alpha}(\overline{x}) := \alpha(x)$, where $x \in S$ is any representative of \overline{x} . Moreover, $\overline{\alpha}$ is surjective if and only if α is surjective.

Proof. By assumption $\alpha(I) = \{0\}$. Let us first prove that for any $x_1, x_2 \in S$ such that $\overline{x_1} \leq \overline{x_2}$ in S/I , we have that $\alpha(x_1) \leq \alpha(x_2)$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in S$ be such that $x_1 \leq_I x_2$. Then we know that there exists $z \in I$ such

that $x_1 \leq z + x_2$. Since $\alpha(z) = 0$, we obtain that $\alpha(x_1) \leq \alpha(x_2)$. We deduce that α is constant on the classes of S/I . Hence we can define $\bar{\alpha} : S/I \rightarrow T$ by $\bar{\alpha}(\bar{x}) := \alpha(x)$, for any $x \in S$. By construction, the diagram is commutative. We only have to check that $\bar{\alpha}$ is a Cu^\sim -morphism. Using facts (1) and (2) of the proof of Lemma 4.2, one can check that for any $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in S/I$ such that $\bar{x} \leq \bar{y}$ (resp \ll), there exists representatives x, y in S such that $x \leq y$ (resp \ll). Thus we easily obtain that $\bar{\alpha}$ is a Cu^\sim -morphism which ends the first part of the proof. Surjectivity is clear and left to the reader. \square

In the next theorem, we use the picture of the Cu_1 -semigroup described in Paragraph 2.7.

Theorem 4.4. *Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one such that $\text{Lat}_f(A) = \{\sigma\text{-unital ideals of } A\}$.*

Let $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$. Let $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$ be the quotient map. Write $\pi^ := \text{Cu}_1(\pi) : \text{Cu}_1(A) \rightarrow \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$. Then $\pi^*((x, k) \leq (y, l))$ if and only if $(x, k) \leq_{\text{Cu}_1(I)} (y, l)$. Moreover π^* is a surjective Cu^\sim -morphism. Thus, this induces a Cu^\sim -isomorphism*

$$\text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I) \simeq \text{Cu}_1(A/I).$$

Proof. Let us start with the surjectivity of π^* . Let $[(a_I, u_I)] \in \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$ where $a_I \in ((A/I) \otimes \mathcal{K})_+$ and u_I is a unitary element of $(\text{her } a_I)^\sim$. As π is surjective, we know there exists $a \in A \otimes \mathcal{K}_+$ such that $\pi(a) = a_I$. Moreover, $\text{her } a$ has stable rank one, hence unitary elements of $(\text{her}(a_I))^\sim = \pi^\sim(\text{her}(a)^\sim)$ lift. Thus, we can find a unitary element u in $\text{her}(a)^\sim$ such that $\pi^\sim(u) = u_I$. One can then check that $\pi^*([(a, u)]) = [(a_I, u_I)]$.

Let us show the first equivalence of the theorem. Noticing that $\pi^*(\text{Cu}_1(I)) = \{0_{\text{Cu}_1(A/I)}\}$ and that π^* is order-preserving, one easily gets the converse implication.

Now let (x, k) and (y, l) be elements of $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ such that $\pi^*((x, k) \leq (y, l))$. We write $(\bar{x}, \bar{k}) := \pi^*((x, k)) = (\pi_0^*(x), \pi_x^*(k))$ and $(\bar{y}, \bar{l}) := \pi^*((y, l)) = (\pi_0^*(y), \pi_y^*(l))$. Thus we have $\bar{x} \leq \bar{y}$ in $\text{Cu}(A/I)$. By Paragraph 2.5, we know that $\text{Cu}(A/I) \simeq \text{Cu}(A)/\text{Cu}(I)$, where the isomorphism is induced by the natural quotient map $\pi : A \rightarrow A/I$. Therefore, there exists $z \in \text{Cu}(I)$, such that $x \leq y + z$ in $\text{Cu}(A)$. Write $y' := y + z$. Now by Corollary 3.19 and [8, Proposition 4 (ii)], we obtain the following exact commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{K}_1(I_x) & \xrightarrow{\pi_x^*} & \mathbf{K}_1(I_{\bar{x}}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \delta_{I_x I_{y'}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_{I_{\bar{x}} I_{\bar{y}}} & & \\ \mathbf{K}_1(I_z) & \xrightarrow{\delta_{I_z I_{y'}}} & \mathbf{K}_1(I_{y'}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{I_{y'}}^*} & \mathbf{K}_1(I_{\bar{y}}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Thus, we get on the one hand that $\mathbf{K}_1(I_{y'})/\delta_{I_z I_{y'}}(\mathbf{K}_1(I_z)) \simeq \mathbf{K}_1(I_{\bar{y}})$ and on the other hand $\pi_{I_{y'}}^* \circ \delta_{I_x I_{y'}} = \delta_{I_{\bar{x}} I_{\bar{y}}} \circ \pi_x^*$. Moreover, by hypothesis, we have $\delta_{I_{\bar{x}} I_{\bar{y}}}(\bar{k}) = \bar{l}$. So one finally gets that $\delta_{I_x I_{y'}}(k) = \delta_{I_{\bar{x}} I_{\bar{y}}}(l) + \delta_{I_z I_{y'}}(l')$ for some $l' \in \mathbf{K}_1(I_z)$. That is, there exists $(z, l') \in \text{Cu}_1(I)$ such that $(x, k) \leq (y, l) + (z, l')$. This ends the proof of the equivalence.

Finally, we already know that $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ is an ideal of $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ and that $\pi^* : \text{Cu}_1(A) \rightarrow \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$ is constant on classes of $\text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I)$. By Lemma 4.3, π^* induces a surjective Cu^\sim -morphism $\overline{\pi^*} : \text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I) \rightarrow \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$. Furthermore, the equivalence that we have just proved states that $\overline{\pi^*}$ is also an order-embedding. Thus we get a Cu^\sim -isomorphism $\text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I) \simeq \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$. \square

4.2. Exact sequences. We study the notion of exactness in the non-abelian category Cu^\sim . From this, we show that Cu_1 preserves short exact sequences of ideals, and we exhibit a split-exact sequence in Cu^\sim

that links a positively ordered Cu^\sim -semigroup that has maximal elements with its positive cone and its maximal elements.

Definition 4.5. Let S, T, V be positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroups. Let $f : S \rightarrow T$ be a Cu^\sim -morphism. We define $\text{im } f := \{(t_1, t_2) \in T \times T : \exists s \in S, t_1 \leq f(s) + t_2\}$ and $\text{ker } f := \{(s_1, s_2) \in S \times S : f(s_1) \leq f(s_2)\}$.

Now consider $g : T \rightarrow V$ a Cu^\sim -morphism. We say that a sequence $\dots \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{f} T \xrightarrow{g} V \rightarrow \dots$ is *exact at T* if: $\text{ker } g = \text{im } f$. We say that it is *short-exact* if $0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{f} T \xrightarrow{g} V \rightarrow 0$ is exact everywhere. Finally, we say that a short-exact sequence is *split*, if there exists a Cu^\sim -morphism $q : V \rightarrow S$ such that $g \circ q = \text{id}_V$.

Proposition 4.6. Let $S \xrightarrow{f} T \xrightarrow{g} V$ be a sequence in Cu^\sim as in Definition 4.5. Then:

- (i) f is an order-embedding if and only if $0 \rightarrow S \xrightarrow{f} T$ is exact.
- (ii) If g is surjective then $T \xrightarrow{g} V \rightarrow 0$ is exact. If moreover $g(T) \in \text{Lat}(V)$, then the converse is true.

Proof. We recall that for $0 \xrightarrow{0} S$, $\text{im } 0 = \{(s_1, s_2) \in S^2 \mid s_1 \leq s_2\}$ and that for $T \xrightarrow{0} 0$, $\text{ker } 0 = T^2$. Let us consider a sequence $S \xrightarrow{f} T \xrightarrow{g} V$ in Cu^\sim .

(i) f is an order-embedding if and only if $[s_1 \leq s_2 \Leftrightarrow f(s_1) \leq f(s_2)]$, that is, if and only if $\text{im } 0 = \text{ker } f$.

(ii) Suppose g is surjective and let v_1, v_2 be elements in V . Since V is positively directed we know that there exists an element p_{v_2} of V such that $0 \leq v_2 + p_{v_2}$. Thus, we have $v_1 \leq v_2 + p_{v_2} + v_1$. By surjectivity, there exists $t \in T$ such that $g(t) = p_{v_2} + v_1$. Hence, for any v_1, v_2 in V there exists $t \in T$ such that $v_1 \leq g(t) + v_2$, that is, $\text{ker } 0 = V^2 = \text{im } g$.

Suppose now that $T \xrightarrow{g} V \rightarrow 0$ is exact and that $g(T)$ is an ideal of V . We know that for any v_1, v_2 , there exists $t \in T$ such that $v_1 \leq g(t) + v_2$. In particular for $v_2 = 0$, we get that for any $v \in V$, there exists $t \in T$ such that $v \leq g(t)$. Moreover $g(T)$ is order-hereditary and thus $v \in g(T)$, which ends the proof. \square

Lemma 4.7. Let $S \xrightarrow{f} T \xrightarrow{g} V$ be a sequence in Cu^\sim . Assume that $f(S)$ is an ideal of T such that $f(S) \subseteq g^{-1}(\{0_V\})$. By Lemma 4.3, we can consider $\bar{g} : T/f(S) \rightarrow V$. If \bar{g} is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism, then $S \xrightarrow{f} T \xrightarrow{g} V \rightarrow 0$ is exact. If moreover $g(T)$ is an ideal of V , then the converse is true.

Proof. Suppose $T/f(S) \cong \bar{g} V$. Since \bar{g} is an isomorphism, we know that g is surjective. Thus, by Proposition 4.6, we get exactness at V . Let us show exactness at T . We have the following equivalences:

$(t_1, t_2) \in \text{ker } g$ if and only if $g(t_1) \leq g(t_2)$ -by definition- if and only if $g(\bar{t}_1) \leq g(\bar{t}_2)$ -since g is constant on classes of $T/f(S)$ - if and only if $\bar{t}_1 \leq \bar{t}_2$ -since \bar{g} is an order-embedding- if and only if $t_1 \leq f(s) + t_2$ for some $s \in S$ -by definition-, that is, if and only if $(t_1, t_2) \in \text{im } f$. \square

Theorem 4.8. Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one such that $\text{Lat}_f(A) = \{\sigma\text{-unital ideals of } A\}$.

Let $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$. Consider the canonical short exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow I \xrightarrow{i} A \xrightarrow{\pi} A/I \rightarrow 0$. Then, the following sequence is short exact in Cu^\sim :

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Cu}_1(I) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Cu}_1(A) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \text{Cu}_1(A/I) \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. We know that $\text{Cu}_1(I)$ is an ideal of $\text{Cu}_1(A)$ and that i^* is an order-embedding. Hence by Proposition 4.6 (i), the sequence is exact at $\text{Cu}_1(I)$. From Theorem 4.4, we also know that π^* is constant on classes of

$\text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I)$ and that $\overline{\pi}^* : \text{Cu}_1(A)/\text{Cu}_1(I) \simeq \text{Cu}_1(A/I)$ is an isomorphism. Thus using Lemma 4.7 the result follows. \square

Corollary 4.9. *Let A be a C^* -algebra of stable rank one such that $\text{Lat}_f(A) = \{\sigma\text{-unital ideals of } A\}$. Consider the canonical exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{i} A^\sim \xrightarrow{\pi} A^\sim/A \simeq \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$. Then there is a short exact sequence:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Cu}_1(A) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Cu}_1(A^\sim) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} \overline{\mathbb{N}} \times \{0\} \longrightarrow 0$$

Now that we have numerous tools regarding ideals and exact sequences in Cu^\sim , we will relate ideals, maximal elements, and positive cones through exact sequences. Recall that for any positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup S that has maximal elements, we have that $S_+ \in \text{Cu}$ and that $S_{max} \in \text{AbGp}$; see Proposition 3.5.

Also, a Cu -semigroup (respectively a Cu -morphism) can be trivially seen as a Cu^\sim -semigroup since $\text{Cu} \subseteq \text{Cu}^\sim$. The same can be done for an abelian group (respectively an AbGp -morphism), -a fortiori, for the abelian group S_{max} and the AbGp -morphism α_{max} : Given $G \in \text{AbGp}$, define $g_1 \leq g_2$ if and only if $g_1 = g_2$. From this, it follows that also $g_1 \ll g_2$ if and only if $g_1 = g_2$. This defines a functor $\text{AbGp} \rightarrow \text{Cu}^\sim$ which allows us to see the category AbGp as a subcategory of Cu .

Therefore, in what follows, we consider ν_+ and ν_{max} as functors with codomain Cu^\sim . Finally, note that all of the proofs will be done in an abstract setting. Further, by Theorem 3.18, we will be able to directly apply those results to $\text{Cu}(A)$ and $\text{K}_1(A)$, also seen as Cu^\sim -semigroups.

Definition 4.10. Let S be a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup that has maximal elements. Let us define two Cu^\sim -morphisms that link S to S_+ on the one hand and to S_{max} on the other hand, as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i : S_+ \xrightarrow{\subseteq} S & & j : S \twoheadrightarrow S_{max} \\ s \mapsto s & & s \mapsto s + e_{S_{max}} \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.11. *Let S be a positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroup that has maximal elements. Consider the Cu^\sim -morphisms defined in Definition 4.10. Then i is an order-embedding and j is surjective. Moreover, the following sequence in Cu^\sim is split-exact:*

$$0 \longrightarrow S_+ \xrightarrow{i} S \xrightarrow{j} S_{max} \longrightarrow 0$$

$\swarrow \dots \searrow$
 q

where the split morphism is defined by $q(s) := s$.

Proof. It is trivial to check that i is a well-defined order-embedding Cu^\sim -morphism. We now need to check whether j is a well-defined additive map. From Lemma 3.7, we know that $s + e_{S_{max}} \in S_{max}$, for any $s \in S$. Also, because $2e_{S_{max}} = e_{S_{max}}$, we get that j is additive. Further, whenever $s \leq s'$, we know that $s + e_{S_{max}} \leq s' + e_{S_{max}}$. Since $s + e_{S_{max}} \in S_{max}$, we deduce that $j(s) = j(s')$ whenever $s \leq s'$. Further, $j(0) = e_{S_{max}}$. Thus, j is a surjective Cu^\sim -morphism.

By Proposition 4.6, we get exactness of the sequence at S_+ and S_{max} . Now let us check that the sequence is exact at S . Let $(s_1, s_2) \in \ker j$. Hence $j(s_1) = j(s_2)$, that is, $s_1 + e_{S_{max}} = s_2 + e_{S_{max}}$. Since $e_{S_{max}} \in S_+$, we easily get that $s_1 \leq s_1 + e_{S_{max}} = s_2 + e_{S_{max}}$, which proves that $\ker j \subseteq \text{im } i$. Conversely, let $(s_1, s_2) \in \text{im } j$. Then we know that there exists a positive element $s \in S_+$ such that $s_1 \leq s + s_2$. Since

$e_{S_{max}}$ is the maximal positive element of S , we can take $s = e_{S_{max}}$. Then we easily get that $j(s_1) \leq j(s_2)$ -in fact, they are equal-. Thus we conclude that $\text{im } i = \ker j$, which ends the proof. \square

Note that we could not have used Lemma 4.7 here, since S_+ is not a Cu^\sim ideal of S . Indeed the smallest ideal containing S_+ is S itself. We now give a functorial version of the latter split-exact sequence and also a likewise analogue for ideals.

Corollary 4.12. *Let S, T be positively directed Cu^\sim -semigroups that have maximal elements. Let $\alpha : S \rightarrow T$ be a Cu^\sim morphism. Viewing the functors v_+ and v_{max} with codomain Cu^\sim , we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows in Cu^\sim :*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & S_+ & \xrightarrow{i} & S & \xrightarrow{j} & S_{max} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \alpha_+ \downarrow & & \alpha \downarrow & & \alpha_{max} \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & T_+ & \xrightarrow{i} & T & \xrightarrow{j} & T_{max} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if α is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism, then α_+ is a Cu -isomorphism and α_{max} is an abelian group isomorphism.

Proof. We know from Theorem 4.11 that the row sequences are split-exact. Besides $\alpha_+ = \alpha|_{S_+}$ hence the left square is commutative. Now take any $s \in S$. we have $\alpha_{max} \circ j_S(s) = \alpha_{max}(s + e_{S_{max}}) = \alpha(s) + 2e_{T_{max}} = \alpha(s) + e_{T_{max}} = j_T \circ \alpha(s)$, which proves that the right square is commutative.

Assume that α is an isomorphism. By functoriality, we obtain that α_+ is a Cu -isomorphism whose inverse is $(\alpha^{-1})_+$ and that α_{max} is an abelian group isomorphism whose inverse is $(\alpha^{-1})_{max}$. \square

Corollary 4.13. *Let S, T and α be as in Corollary 4.12. Assume also that S, T are positively convex. Let I be a singly-generated ideal of S and $J := I_{\alpha(e_{I_{max}})}$, the smallest (singly-generated) ideal of T containing $\alpha(I)$ (see Lemma 3.16). We obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I_+ & \xrightarrow{i} & I & \xrightarrow{j} & I_{max} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & (\alpha|_I)_+ \downarrow & & \alpha|_I \downarrow & & (\alpha|_I)_{max} \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J_+ & \xrightarrow{i} & J & \xrightarrow{j} & J_{max} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if α is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism, then $\alpha(I) = J$ and $\alpha|_I : I \rightarrow J$ is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism. A fortiori, we also have $(\alpha|_I)_+ : I_+ \rightarrow J_+$ is a Cu -isomorphism and $\alpha|_I : I_{max} \rightarrow J_{max}$ is an abelian group isomorphism.

Proof. We only have to check that whenever α is an isomorphism, then $J = \alpha(I)$ and that $\alpha|_I : I \rightarrow J$ defined as in Lemma 3.16 is an isomorphism. Then the conclusion will follow applying Corollary 4.12 to $\alpha|_I$. Suppose that α is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism. We know that $\alpha|_I : I \rightarrow J$ sends any element $x \in I$ to $\alpha(x) \in J$. Since α is an order-embedding, so is $\alpha|_I$. By Lemma 3.16, we know that $\alpha(I) \subseteq J$ and that $\alpha(e_{I_{max}}) = e_{J_{max}}$. Now since α is an isomorphism, we obtain that $\alpha^{-1}(e_{J_{max}}) = e_{I_{max}}$. That is, by Lemma 3.16, $\alpha^{-1}(J) \subseteq I$. We deduce that $\alpha(I) = J$ and that $\alpha|_I$ is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism. \square

We now transport the results obtained to concrete Cu^\sim -semigroups, using Theorem 3.18.

Theorem 4.14. *Let A, B be separable or simple σ -unital C^* -algebras of stable rank one. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a $*$ -homomorphism. Then the following diagram is commutative with exact rows:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Cu}(A) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Cu}_1(A) & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{K}_1(A) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \text{Cu}(\phi) \downarrow & & \text{Cu}_1(\phi) \downarrow & & \text{K}_1(\phi) \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Cu}(B) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Cu}_1(B) & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{K}_1(B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if $\text{Cu}_1(\phi)$ is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism, then $\text{Cu}(\phi)$ is a Cu -isomorphism and $\text{K}_1(\phi)$ is a AbGp -isomorphism.

Let $I \in \text{Lat}(A)$. Write $J := \overline{B\phi(I)B}$, the smallest ideal of B containing $\phi(I)$ and $\alpha := \text{Cu}_1(\phi)$. We denote $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \{\alpha_I\}_{I \in \text{Lat}(A)})$ as in Paragraph 2.7. Then the following diagram is commutative with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Cu}(I) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Cu}_1(I) & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{K}_1(I) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \alpha_{0|\text{Cu}(I)} \downarrow & & \alpha_{1|\text{Cu}_1(I)} \downarrow & & \alpha_I \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Cu}(J) & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Cu}_1(J) & \xrightarrow{j} & \text{K}_1(J) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if α is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism, then $\alpha(\text{Cu}_1(I)) = \text{Cu}_1(J)$ and $\alpha_{1|\text{Cu}_1(I)} : \text{Cu}_1(I) \rightarrow \text{Cu}_1(J)$ is a Cu^\sim -isomorphism. A fortiori, we also have $\alpha_{0|\text{Cu}(I)} : \text{Cu}(I) \rightarrow \text{Cu}(J)$ is a Cu -isomorphism and $\alpha_I : \text{K}_1(I) \rightarrow \text{K}_1(J)$ is an AbGp -isomorphism.

Proof. Combine Corollary 4.12 and Corollary 4.13 with Lemma 3.16. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Antoine, F. Perera, and H. Thiel, *Tensor products and regularity properties of Cuntz semigroups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (2018), viii+191.
- [2] P. Ara, F. Perera, and A. S. Toms, *K-theory for operator algebras. Classification of C^* -algebras*, Aspects of operator algebras and applications, Contemp. Math., vol. 534, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 1-71.
- [3] L. Cantier, *A unitary Cuntz semigroup for C^* -algebras of stable rank one*, J. Funct. Anal., (2021) (In press) Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.04338>.
- [4] L. Cantier, *The unitary Cuntz semigroup on the classification of non-simple C^* -algebras* (2021). To appear.
- [5] A. Ciuperca, L. Robert, and L. Santiago, *The Cuntz semigroup of ideals and quotients and a generalized Kasparov stabilization theorem*, J. Operator Theory 64, (2010), no. 1, pp. 155-169.
- [6] K. T. Coward, G. A. Elliott, and C. Ivanescu, *The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 623, (2008), pp. 161-193.
- [7] G. Gierz, K. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. Lawson, M. Mislove and D. Scott, *Continuous Lattices and Domains*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 93, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [8] H. Lin and M. Rørdam, *Extensions of inductive limits of circle algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. 51, Issue3, (1995), pp. 603-613.
- [9] L. Robert, *Classification of inductive limits of 1-dimensional NCCW complexes*, Adv. Math. 231, (2012), no. 5, pp. 2802-2836.
- [10] L. Robert and L. Santiago, *Classification of $*$ -homomorphisms from $C_0(0, 1]$ to a C^* -algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. 258, (2010), no. 3., pp. 869-892.
- [11] H. Thiel, *The Cuntz Semigroup*, Lecture notes from a course at the University of Münster, winter semester 2016-17. Available at: https://ivv5hpp.uni-muenster.de/u/h_thie08/teaching/CuScript.pdf.