

# WHEN IS THE SUM OF CLOSED SUBSPACES OF A HILBERT SPACE CLOSED?

IVAN FESHCHENKO

**ABSTRACT.** We provide a sufficient condition for a finite number of closed subspaces of a Hilbert space to be linearly independent and their sum to be closed. Under this condition a formula for the orthogonal projection onto the sum is given. We also show that this condition is sharp (in a certain sense).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. A few auxiliary notions. Complemented subspaces in Banach spaces.** Let  $X$  be a real or complex Banach space. By a subspace of  $X$  we will mean a linear subset of  $X$ . Let  $M$  be a subspace of  $X$ .  $M$  is said to be complemented in  $X$  if there exists a subspace  $N$  (a complement) such that  $X$  is the topological direct sum of  $M$  and  $N$ . This means that the sum operator  $S : M \times N \rightarrow X$  defined by  $S(x, y) = x + y$ ,  $x \in M, y \in N$  is an isomorphism (of normed linear spaces). Here  $M \times N$  is the linear space of all pairs  $(x, y)$  with  $x \in M, y \in N$  endowed with the norm  $\|(x, y)\| = \|x\| + \|y\|$ . One can easily check that  $M$  is complemented in  $X$  if and only if there exists a continuous linear projection onto  $M$ , i.e., a continuous linear operator  $P : X \rightarrow X$  such that  $Px \in M$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $Px = x$  for  $x \in M$ .

Each complemented subspace is closed (this follows from the fact that  $M = S(M \times \{0\})$ ). Note that one can give the following (equivalent) definition of complementability: a subspace  $M$  is said to be complemented in  $X$  if  $M$  is closed and there exists a closed subspace  $N$  such that  $M \cap N = \{0\}$  and  $M + N = X$  (the equivalence of the definition to the original follows from the fact that each complemented subspace is closed and the Banach inverse mapping theorem).

If  $X$  is a Hilbert space, then each closed subspace  $M$  of  $X$  is complemented in  $X$  (one can consider the orthogonal decomposition  $X = M \oplus M^\perp$  or, equivalently, the orthogonal projection onto  $M$ ).

**Sum of subspaces.** Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be subspaces of  $V$ . Define the sum of  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  in the natural way, namely,

$$V_1 + \dots + V_n := \{x_1 + \dots + x_n \mid x_1 \in V_1, \dots, x_n \in V_n\}.$$

It is clear that  $V_1 + \dots + V_n$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46C05, 46C07; Secondary 47B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Hilbert space, closed subspace, sum of subspaces, orthogonal projection.

**Linear independence.** Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  be subspaces of  $V$ . The system of subspaces  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  is said to be linearly independent if an equality  $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 0$ , where  $x_1 \in V_1, \dots, x_n \in V_n$ , implies that  $x_1 = \dots = x_n = 0$ .

1.2. **Notation.** Throughout the paper,  $X$  is a real or complex Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . When  $X$  is a Hilbert space we denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the inner product in  $X$ . The identity operator on  $X$  is denoted by  $I$  (throughout the paper it is clear which Banach space is being considered). All operators in the paper are continuous linear operators. In particular, by a projection we always mean a continuous linear projection. The kernel and range of an operator  $T$  will be denoted by  $\ker(T)$  and  $Ran(T)$ , respectively. For a continuous linear operator  $T$  between two Hilbert spaces we denote by  $T^*$  its adjoint. All vectors are vector-columns; the superscript "t" means transpose.

1.3. Starting point for this paper are the main results of our paper [3] where the following questions are studied. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be complemented subspaces of  $X$ .

**Question 1:** *Is  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  complemented in  $X$ ?*

If Question 1 has positive answer (for given  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ ), then the next natural question arises:

**Question 2:** *Suppose that we know some projections  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  onto  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively. Is there a formula for a projection onto  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  (in terms of  $P_1, \dots, P_n$ ) (of course, under certain conditions)?*

1.4. Let us present the main results of [3]. First, we provided a sufficient condition for the sum of complemented subspaces to be complemented.

**Theorem A.** ([3, Theorem 2.1]) *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be complemented subspaces of  $X$  and  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be projections onto  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively. Let  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , be nonnegative numbers such that*

$$\|P_i x\| \leq \varepsilon_{ij} \|x\|, \quad x \in X_j,$$

for any distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Define the  $n \times n$  matrix  $E = (e_{ij})$  by

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \varepsilon_{ij}, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $r(E)$  the spectral radius of  $E$  and set  $A := P_1 + \dots + P_n$ .

If  $r(E) < 1$ , then the subspaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are linearly independent, their sum is complemented in  $X$ , and  $\ker(P_1) \cap \dots \cap \ker(P_n)$  is a complement for  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  in  $X$ . Moreover,  $I - (I - A)^N$  converges uniformly to the projection  $P$  onto  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  along  $\ker(P_1) \cap \dots \cap \ker(P_n)$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

1.5. The next result shows that the rate of convergence of  $I - (I - A)^N$  to  $P$  can be estimated from above by  $C\alpha^N$ , where  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ . To formulate the result we need the following notation: for two vectors  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we will write  $u \leq v$  if  $u \leq v$  coordinatewise. To make the formulation of the result clearer we first make the following important remark. Since  $E$  is a nonnegative matrix, the condition  $r(E) < 1$  is equivalent to the existence of a vector  $w \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with positive coordinates and a number  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that  $Ew \leq \alpha w$ . More precisely, if such  $w$  and  $\alpha$  exist, then  $r(E) \leq \alpha < 1$  (see [4, Corollary 8.1.29]). Conversely, suppose that  $r(E) < 1$ . If  $E$  is irreducible, then one can take  $\alpha$  to be  $r(E)$  and  $w$  a Perron-Frobenius vector of  $E$ . If  $E$  is not irreducible, then consider the matrix  $E' = (e_{ij} + \delta)$  for sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , and take  $\alpha$  to be  $r(E')$  and  $w$  a Perron-Frobenius vector of  $E'$ .

Since  $r(E^t) = r(E)$ , we see that the condition  $r(E) < 1$  is also equivalent to the existence of a vector  $w$  with positive coordinates and a number  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that  $E^t w \leq \alpha w$ .

**Theorem B.** ([3, Theorem 2.2]) (1) Suppose  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^t$  with positive coordinates and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  satisfy  $Ew \leq \alpha w$ . Then for each  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$\|I - (I - A)^N - P\| \leq (w_1 + \dots + w_n) \max\{(1/w_1)\|P_1\|, \dots, (1/w_n)\|P_n\|\} \frac{\alpha^N}{1 - \alpha}.$$

(2) Suppose  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)^t$  with positive coordinates and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  satisfy  $E^t w \leq \alpha w$ . Then for each  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$\|I - (I - A)^N - P\| \leq (w_1\|P_1\| + \dots + w_n\|P_n\|) \max\{(1/w_1), \dots, (1/w_n)\} \frac{\alpha^N}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Using Theorem B, we can get concrete estimates for the rate of convergence of  $I - (I - A)^N$  to  $P$ . Suppose  $E$  is irreducible and  $r(E) < 1$ . Take  $\alpha$  to be  $r(E)$  and  $w$  a Perron-Frobenius vector of  $E$ . Then we get

$$\|I - (I - A)^N - P\| \leq (w_1 + \dots + w_n) \max\{(1/w_1)\|P_1\|, \dots, (1/w_n)\|P_n\|\} \frac{(r(E))^N}{1 - r(E)}.$$

Similarly, we can take  $\alpha$  to be  $r(E)$  and  $w$  a Perron-Frobenius vector of  $E^t$ . Then we get

$$\|I - (I - A)^N - P\| \leq (w_1\|P_1\| + \dots + w_n\|P_n\|) \max\{(1/w_1), \dots, (1/w_n)\} \frac{(r(E))^N}{1 - r(E)}.$$

1.6. The assumption  $r(E) < 1$  is a *sharp* sufficient condition for  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  to be complemented in  $X$ . More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem C.** ([3, Theorem 2.3]) Let  $E = (e_{ij})$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix with  $e_{ii} = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $e_{ij} \geq 0$  for any distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $r(E) = 1$ , then there exist a Banach space  $X$ , complemented subspaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of  $X$  and projections  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  onto  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively, such that

- (1)  $\|P_i x\| = e_{ij}\|x\|$ ,  $x \in X_j$ , for any distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ;
- (2)  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are linearly independent;
- (3)  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is closed and not complemented in  $X$ .

**Remark 1.1.** If  $r(E) > 1$ , the theorem can be applied to the matrix  $(1/r(E))E$ .

1.7. The aim of this paper is to obtain analogues of Theorems A, B, C for the case when  $X$  is a Hilbert space,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are closed subspaces of  $X$ , and  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  are orthogonal projections onto  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively.

## 2. RESULTS

Let  $X$  be a real or complex Hilbert space,  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be closed subspaces of  $X$ , and  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  be orthogonal projections onto  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ , respectively. As in the Banach space setting, we assume that nonnegative numbers  $\varepsilon_{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  are such that

$$(2.1) \quad \|P_i x\| \leq \varepsilon_{ij} \|x\|, \quad x \in X_j,$$

for any distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Clearly, (2.1) is equivalent to the inequality  $\|P_i|_{X_j}\| \leq \varepsilon_{ij}$ .

Now observe that for arbitrary closed subspaces  $M$  and  $N$  of the space  $X$   $\|P_M|_N\| = \|P_N|_M\|$ , where  $P_M$  and  $P_N$  are the orthogonal projections onto  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively. Indeed, if  $M = \{0\}$  or  $N = \{0\}$ , then  $\|P_M|_N\| = \|P_N|_M\| = 0$ . Assume that  $M$  and  $N$  are nonzero. One can easily check that  $(P_M|_N : N \rightarrow M)^* = P_N|_M : M \rightarrow N$ . Therefore  $\|P_M|_N\| = \|P_N|_M\|$ .

Hence, we can and will assume that  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji}$  for any distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Define the  $n \times n$  matrix  $E = (e_{ij})$  by

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \varepsilon_{ij}, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $E$  is symmetric and nonnegative. It follows that  $r(E)$ , the spectral radius of  $E$ , is the maximum eigenvalue of  $E$ . Set  $A := P_1 + \dots + P_n$ . Applying Theorem A and noting that

$$\ker(P_1) \cap \dots \cap \ker(P_n) = X_1^\perp \cap \dots \cap X_n^\perp = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)^\perp,$$

we get the following result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $r(E) < 1$ , then the subspaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are linearly independent and their sum is closed in  $X$ . Moreover, the sequence of operators  $I - (I - A)^N$  converges uniformly to the orthogonal projection  $P$  onto  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**Remark 2.1.** A part on the closedness of Theorem 2.1 follows from [5, Theorem 1.2].

**Remark 2.2.**  $r(E) < 1 \Leftrightarrow$  the matrix  $I - E$  is positive definite  $\Leftrightarrow$  every leading principal minor of the matrix is positive. For  $n = 2$  the inequality  $r(E) < 1$  is equivalent to  $\varepsilon_{12}^2 < 1 \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_{12} < 1$ . For  $n = 3$  the inequality  $r(E) < 1$  is equivalent to

$$\varepsilon_{12}^2 + \varepsilon_{23}^2 + \varepsilon_{31}^2 + 2\varepsilon_{12}\varepsilon_{23}\varepsilon_{31} < 1.$$

**Remark 2.3.** For the optimal choice  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \|P_i|_{X_j}\|$ ,  $i \neq j$ , the condition  $r(E) < 1$  can be formulated in terms of the minimal angles between the subspaces. Let us recall the definition of the minimal angle between two subspaces; the notion was introduced by

J. Dixmier in [2]. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two closed subspaces of  $X$ . Define the number  $c_0(M, N) \in [0, 1]$  by

$$c_0(M, N) = \sup\{|\langle x, y \rangle| \mid x \in M, \|x\| \leq 1, y \in N, \|y\| \leq 1\}.$$

The minimal angle between  $M$  and  $N$  is the angle  $\varphi_0(M, N) \in [0, \pi/2]$  whose cosine is equal to  $c_0(M, N)$ . It is well known, and one can easily prove, that  $c_0(M, N) = \|P_M|_N\|$ , where  $P_M$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $M$ .

Set  $\varphi_{ij} := \varphi_0(X_i, X_j)$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\varepsilon_{ij} = \|P_i|_{X_j}\| = c_0(X_i, X_j) = \cos \varphi_{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and therefore

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \cos \varphi_{ij}, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

$r(E) < 1 \Leftrightarrow$  the matrix  $I - E$  is positive definite  $\Leftrightarrow$  every leading principal minor of the matrix is positive.

For  $n = 2$  the inequality  $r(E) < 1$  is equivalent to  $\cos^2 \varphi_{12} < 1 \Leftrightarrow \cos \varphi_{12} < 1 \Leftrightarrow \varphi_{12} > 0$ . Thus, if  $\varphi_{12} > 0$ , then  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{0\}$  and the subspace  $X_1 + X_2$  is closed in  $X$ . It is worth mentioning that the converse is also true, i.e., if  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \{0\}$  and the subspace  $X_1 + X_2$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $\varphi_{12} > 0$  (see [1, Theorem 12]).

For  $n = 3$  the inequality  $r(E) < 1$  is equivalent to

$$\cos^2 \varphi_{12} + \cos^2 \varphi_{23} + \cos^2 \varphi_{31} + 2 \cos \varphi_{12} \cos \varphi_{23} \cos \varphi_{31} < 1.$$

One can easily check that the last inequality is equivalent to  $\varphi_{12} + \varphi_{23} + \varphi_{31} > \pi$ .

To make the paper self-contained we will prove Theorem 2.1 in Section 3.

For the rate of convergence of  $I - (I - A)^N$  to  $P$  we have the following estimate which is more precise than that given by Theorem B.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $r(E) < 1$ , then  $\|I - (I - A)^N - P\| \leq (r(E))^N$  for each  $N \geq 1$ .*

The assumption  $r(E) < 1$  is a *sharp* sufficient condition for  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  to be closed in  $X$ . More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $E = (e_{ij})$  be a symmetric  $n \times n$  matrix with  $e_{ii} = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $e_{ij} \geq 0$  for any distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . If  $r(E) = 1$ , then there exist a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space  $X$  and infinite dimensional closed subspaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of  $X$  such that*

- (1)  $\|P_i|_{X_j}\| = e_{ij}$  for any distinct  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ; here  $P_i$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .
- (2)  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are linearly independent;
- (3)  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is not closed in  $X$ .

**Remark 2.4.** If  $r(E) > 1$ , the theorem can be applied to the matrix  $(1/r(E))E$ .

**Remark 2.5.** For a similar result see [5, Theorem 1.2, part ‘‘Moreover,...’’]. However, in [5] there is no proof for this part of Theorem 1.2.

## 3. PROOFS

**3.1. Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.** We first formulate the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $H, K$  be Hilbert spaces and  $T : H \rightarrow K$  be a continuous linear operator. Suppose that*

$$\alpha\|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq \beta\|x\|, \quad x \in H,$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive numbers. Then

$$\alpha\|y\| \leq \|T^*y\| \leq \beta\|y\|, \quad y \in \text{Ran}(T).$$

The proof is simple and is omitted.

Now we are ready to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. For simplicity of notation, we set  $r := r(E)$ . Let  $X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$  be the (orthogonal) direct sum of Hilbert spaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Define the operator  $S : X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n \rightarrow X$  by

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_n)^t = x_1 + \dots + x_n, \quad x_1 \in X_1, \dots, x_n \in X_n.$$

One can easily check that  $S^* : X \rightarrow X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$  acts as follows:

$$S^*x = (P_1x, \dots, P_nx)^t, \quad x \in X.$$

Therefore  $SS^* = P_1 + \dots + P_n = A$ . For every  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^t \in X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|Sv\|^2 - \|v\|^2 \right| &= \left| \|v_1 + \dots + v_n\|^2 - \|v_1\|^2 - \dots - \|v_n\|^2 \right| = \\ &= \left| \sum_{i \neq j} \langle v_i, v_j \rangle \right| \leq \sum_{i \neq j} |\langle v_i, v_j \rangle| = \sum_{i \neq j} |\langle P_i v_i, v_j \rangle| = \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} |\langle v_i, P_i v_j \rangle| \leq \sum_{i \neq j} \|v_i\| \|P_i v_j\| \leq \sum_{i \neq j} \varepsilon_{ij} \|v_i\| \|v_j\| = \\ &= \langle E(\|v_1\|, \dots, \|v_n\|)^t, (\|v_1\|, \dots, \|v_n\|)^t \rangle \leq \\ &\leq r \|(\|v_1\|, \dots, \|v_n\|)^t\|^2 = r(\|v_1\|^2 + \dots + \|v_n\|^2) = r\|v\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\left| \|Sv\|^2 - \|v\|^2 \right| \leq r\|v\|^2$ , i.e.,

$$(3.1) \quad (1-r)\|v\|^2 \leq \|Sv\|^2 \leq (1+r)\|v\|^2, \quad v \in X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n.$$

Since  $\|Sv\| \geq \sqrt{1-r}\|v\|$ ,  $v \in X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$ , we conclude that  $\ker(S) = \{0\}$  and  $\text{Ran}(S)$  is closed in  $X \Rightarrow$  the subspaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are linearly independent and their sum  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is closed in  $X$ . Further, from (3.1) and Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$(1-r)\|x\|^2 \leq \|S^*x\|^2 \leq (1+r)\|x\|^2, \quad x \in \text{Ran}(S).$$

We have

$$\|S^*x\|^2 = \langle S^*x, S^*x \rangle = \langle SS^*x, x \rangle = \langle Ax, x \rangle.$$

The closed subspace  $\text{Ran}(S) = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is invariant with respect to  $A$ . Denote by  $A'$  the restriction of  $A$  to  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Then we get

$$(1-r)\|x\|^2 \leq \langle A'x, x \rangle \leq (1+r)\|x\|^2, \quad x \in X_1 + \dots + X_n,$$

and hence

$$\left| \langle (A' - I)x, x \rangle \right| \leq r\|x\|^2, \quad x \in X_1 + \dots + X_n.$$

Since  $A' - I$  is self-adjoint, we conclude that  $\|A' - I\| \leq r$ .

Let us estimate  $\|I - (I - A)^N - P\|$ , where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$ . Consider the orthogonal decomposition

$$X = (X_1 + \dots + X_n) \oplus (X_1 + \dots + X_n)^\perp = (X_1 + \dots + X_n) \oplus (X_1^\perp \cap \dots \cap X_n^\perp).$$

With respect to the decomposition we have  $P = I \oplus 0$  and  $A = A' \oplus 0$ . Thus

$$I - (I - A)^N - P = -(I - A')^N \oplus 0$$

and

$$\|I - (I - A)^N - P\| = \|-(I - A')^N\| \leq \|A' - I\|^N \leq r^N \rightarrow 0$$

as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 are proved.

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.3.** We will prove Theorem 2.3 for the case when the base field of scalars is  $\mathbb{R}$ ; the proof for  $\mathbb{C}$  is similar. For a number  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  consider the matrix  $I - \alpha E$ . Note that this matrix has the following properties:

- (1)  $I - \alpha E$  is a real symmetric matrix with diagonal elements equal to 1;
- (2) the least eigenvalue of this matrix is equal to  $1 - \alpha$ . Consequently, this matrix is positive definite.

Therefore  $I - \alpha E$  is the Gram matrix of some linearly independent collection of unit vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , say  $v^{(i)} = v^{(i)}(\alpha)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $L_i = L_i(\alpha)$  be the one-dimensional subspace spanned by  $v^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Denote by  $P_i = P_i(\alpha)$  the orthogonal projection onto  $L_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Clearly,  $P_i v = \langle v, v^{(i)} \rangle v^{(i)}$ ,  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . It follows that  $P_i v^{(j)} = \langle v^{(j)}, v^{(i)} \rangle v^{(i)} = -\alpha e_{ij} v^{(i)}$  and consequently  $\|P_i|_{L_j}\| = \alpha e_{ij}$  for arbitrary  $i \neq j$ . Further, since 1 is an eigenvalue of  $E$ , there exists a unit vector  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $Ec = c$ . Then  $(I - \alpha E)c = (1 - \alpha)c$  and consequently  $\langle (I - \alpha E)c, c \rangle = 1 - \alpha$ . We rewrite this equality as  $\sum_{i,j} \langle v^{(j)}, v^{(i)} \rangle c_j c_i = 1 - \alpha$ , which is equivalent to

$$(3.2) \quad \|c_1 v^{(1)} + \dots + c_n v^{(n)}\|^2 = 1 - \alpha.$$

Now we are ready to construct a Hilbert space  $X$  and its closed subspaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  with the needed properties. Take an arbitrary sequence  $\alpha_k \in (0, 1)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , which converges to 1 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Set

$$X = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}^n$$

and

$$X_i = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} L_i(\alpha_k), \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $\bigoplus$  is the (orthogonal) direct sum of Hilbert spaces.

First, let us show that  $\|P_i|_{X_j}\| = e_{ij}$  for each pair  $i \neq j$  (here  $P_i$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $X_i$ ). It is clear that

$$P_i = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} P_i(\alpha_k).$$

Hence,

$$\|P_i|_{X_j}\| = \sup\{\|P_i(\alpha_k)|_{L_j(\alpha_k)}\| \mid k = 1, 2, \dots\} = \sup\{\alpha_k e_{ij} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots\} = e_{ij}.$$

Further, since the vectors  $v^{(1)}(\alpha_k), \dots, v^{(n)}(\alpha_k)$  are linearly independent for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , we conclude that the subspaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are linearly independent.

It remains to show that  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is not closed in  $X$ . Suppose that  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is closed in  $X$ . Let  $X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$  be the (orthogonal) direct sum of Hilbert spaces  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . Define the operator  $S : X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n \rightarrow X$  by

$$S(x_1, \dots, x_n)^t = x_1 + \dots + x_n, \quad x_1 \in X_1, \dots, x_n \in X_n.$$

Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are linearly independent, we conclude that  $\ker(S) = \{0\}$ . Moreover,  $\text{Ran}(S) = X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is closed in  $X$ . These properties imply that  $S$  is an isomorphic embedding. Hence, there exists a number  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\|Su\| \geq \beta\|u\|$ ,  $u \in X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$ . We rewrite this inequality as

$$(3.3) \quad \|x_1 + \dots + x_n\|^2 \geq \beta^2(\|x_1\|^2 + \dots + \|x_n\|^2), \quad x_1 \in X_1, \dots, x_n \in X_n.$$

Now we choose  $x_i = (0, \dots, 0, c_i v^{(i)}(\alpha_k), 0, 0, \dots)^t$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By (3.2) and (3.3) we get  $1 - \alpha_k \geq \beta^2$ . But  $\alpha_k \rightarrow 1$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and thus we get a contradiction. Hence,  $X_1 + \dots + X_n$  is not closed in  $X$ .

Theorem 2.3 is proved.

**Acknowledgements.** The research was funded by Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine. This research was supported by the Project 2017-3M from the Department of Targeted Training of Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv at the NAS of Ukraine.

## REFERENCES

- [1] F. Deutsch, *The angle between subspaces of a Hilbert space*. In: S.P. Singh (eds.) *Approximation Theory, Wavelets and Applications*, NATO Science Series (Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences), vol. 454, Springer, Dordrecht, 1995, pp. 107–130.
- [2] J. Dixmier, *Étude sur les variétés et les opérateurs de Julia, avec quelques applications*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 77 (1949), 11–101.
- [3] I. Feshchenko, *When is the sum of complemented subspaces complemented?*, Studia Mathematica 252 (2020), 1–26.
- [4] R.A. Horn and C.H. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2013.
- [5] M. Kassabov, *Subspace arrangements and property T*, Groups Geom. Dyn. 5 (2011), 445–477.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF NAS OF UKRAINE, KYIV, UKRAINE  
 Email address: ivanmath007@gmail.com