

Hamiltonian properties in generalized lexicographic products

Jan Ekstein* Jakub Teska *

March 19, 2022

Abstract

The lexicographic product $G[H]$ of two graphs G and H is obtained from G by replacing each vertex with a copy of H and adding all edges between any pair of copies corresponding to adjacent vertices of G . We generalize the lexicographic product such that we replace each vertex of G with arbitrary graph on the same number of vertices. We present sufficient and necessary conditions for traceability, hamiltonicity and hamiltonian connectivity of $G[H]$ if G is a path.

Keywords: lexicographic products, hamiltonian cycles and paths

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C76, 05C45

1 Introduction

The lexicographic product $G[H]$ of two graphs G and H is defined by $V(G[H]) = V(G) \times V(H)$ and $E(G[H]) = \{(g, h)(g', h'); gg' \in E(G) \text{ or } g = g' \wedge hh' \in E(H)\}$. In other words the lexicographic product $G[H]$ of two graphs G and H is obtained from G by replacing each vertex with a copy of H and adding all edges between any pair of copies corresponding to adjacent vertices of G . Hamiltonian cycles and paths in lexicographic products have been studied in [2], [3], and [4].

Clearly $G[H]$ contains a cycle of length 3 if both of G , H contain at least one edge. Kaiser and Kriesell proved in [2] that concepts of pancyclicity and hamiltonicity coincide in the case of lexicographic products of graphs with at least one edge. Recall that the graph G is weakly pancyclic or pancyclic, if it either has no cycles, or it contains cycles of every length between the length of a shortest cycle and that of a longest one, hamiltonian, respectively.

*Department of Mathematics and European Centre of Excellence NTIS - New Technologies for the Information Society, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of West Bohemia, Pilsen, Technická 8, 306 14 Plzeň, Czech Republic
e-mail: ekstein@kma.zcu.cz, teska@kma.zcu.cz.

Theorem 1. [2] *If G, H are graphs with at least one edge each, then $G[H]$ is weakly pancyclic.*

In this paper we introduce new concept of the lexicographic product. Basically, we generalize the lexicographic product by defining the graph $G[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m]$, which will be a graph like a lexicographic product with the difference that every vertex of G can be replaced by a different graph H_i on the same number of vertices, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Definition 2. *Let G be a graph with $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m\}$ and H_i be an arbitrary graph such that $|H_i| = n$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The generalized lexicographic product $G[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m]$ of a graph G and H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m is obtained from G by replacing each vertex u_i with the graph H_i and adding all edges between graphs H_i and H_j if the corresponding vertices u_i, u_j are adjacent in G .*

Main results of this paper are the following theorems which generalize and improve some results from [3].

Theorem 3. *Let P_{2k+1} be a path with odd number of vertices, $k \geq 1$. Let $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}$ be graphs with n vertices. Then the graph $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ is*

- (i) *hamiltonian if and only if $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n$.*
- (ii) *traceable if and only if $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n - 1$.*
- (iii) *hamiltonian connected if and only if $\pi(H_1) \geq 2$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n + 1$.*

Theorem 4. *Let P_{2k} be a path with even number of vertices, $k \geq 1$. Let H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k} be graphs with n vertices. Then the graph $P_{2k}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k}]$ is*

- (i) *hamiltonian if and only if $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$ and $\pi(H_{2k}) \geq 1$.*
- (ii) *traceable.*
- (iii) *hamiltonian connected if and only if*
 - $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$ and $\pi(H_{2k}) \geq 1$ for $k = 1$.
 - $\pi(H_1) \geq 2$ and $\pi(H_2) \geq 2$ for $k > 1$.

Observe that Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 give a complete characterization of hamiltonicity of $P_n[H]$, traceability of $P_n[H]$, and hamiltonian connectivity of $P_n[H]$.

2 Preliminaries

As for standard terminology, we refer to the book by Bondy and Murty [1]. However, before proving Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 we mention several concepts and results which we need to make use of.

For a multigraph G and $x, y \in V(G)$ let $[x, y]_G$ be the set of edges between x and y and let $m_G(xy) = |[x, y]_G|$ be the multiplicity of the edge xy in G . In particular, $\ell_G(x) = |[x, x]_G|$ denotes the number of loops at x and $\ell(G) = \max\{\ell_G(x) : x \in V(G)\}$. Note that the degree of a vertex x denoted by $d_G(x) = \sum_{y \in V(G)} m_G(xy) + 2\ell_G(x)$. A multigraph G is k regular if $d_G(x) = k$ for every vertex x in $V(G)$. Moreover, let $|G| = |V(G)|$ and $\|G\| = |E(G)|$. For any $X \subseteq V(G)$, let $G(X)$ be the submultigraph induced by X .

A multigraph G' is said to be a multiple of a graph G if $V(G') = V(G)$ and for all $x \neq y \in V(G)$, $m_{G'}(xy) > 0$ holds only if $xy \in E(G)$. This means, that from a given graph G we can obtain a multiple G' by adding loops or by replacing a single edge in G by an arbitrary number of edges.

For a given graph G we define $\pi(G)$ to be the maximum number of edges of a spanning linear forest of G (a forest is linear if its components are paths).

In [3] Kriesell proved that $G[H]$ is hamiltonian if G has a connected, k -regular multiple with additional properties.

Theorem 5. [3] *Let G and H be graphs. If G has a connected, $2|H|$ -regular multiple G' satisfying $\pi(H) \geq \ell_{G'}(x)$ for all $x \in V(G')$ then $G[H]$ contains a hamiltonian cycle that contains exactly $m_{G'}(xy)$ edges between $V(G(\{x\})[H])$ and $V(G(\{y\})[H])$ for all $x \neq y$ in $V(G)$.*

By a uv -path we mean a path from u to v in G . If a uv -path is hamiltonian, we call it a uv -hamiltonian path. The graph G is traceable, if G contains a hamiltonian path. The graph G is hamiltonian connected, if every two vertices of G are connected by a hamiltonian path.

Teichert in [4] and also Kriesell as corollary of Theorem 5 in [3] proved the following.

Theorem 6. ([3],[4]) *Let G and H be graphs, $|G| \geq 2$. Suppose that G contains a hamiltonian path.*

- (i) *If $|G|$ is even, then $G[H]$ is traceable.*
- (ii) *If $|G|$ is even and $\|H\| \geq 1$, then $G[H]$ is hamiltonian.*
- (iii) *If $|G|$ is odd and $\frac{|H|-1}{2} \leq \pi(H)$, then $G[H]$ is traceable.*
- (iv) *If $|G|$ is odd and $\frac{|H|}{2} \leq \pi(H)$, then $G[H]$ is hamiltonian.*

Note that the first two statements are in some sense necessary. Let G be only a path with n vertices, i.e. $G = P_n$. Clearly $P_2[H]$ is hamiltonian. If $n \geq 4$ is even, then $P_n[H]$ is hamiltonian if and only if $\|H\| \geq 1$ because if H has no edge, then $P_n[H]$ cannot have a hamiltonian cycle.

But the last two statements are not necessary. For example, take the graph H on 6 vertices with $\pi(H) = 2$ (e.g. the graph $H = P_3 + 3K_1$), thus this graph does not satisfy the conditions (iii) and (iv) in previous theorem. If $G = P_3$, then $G[H]$ is neither hamiltonian nor traceable (see the proof of Theorem 3). But if we instead of P_3 take P_5 as a graph G , then $G[H]$ is hamiltonian (see Figure 1, edges of $P_5[P_3 + 3K_1]$ between consecutive copies of H are missing for the clarity). For longer odd paths $G = P_{2k+1}$, $k \geq 3$, the lexicographic product $G[H]$ is also hamiltonian.




Figure 1: Hamiltonian cycle in $P_5[P_3 + 3K_1]$ (bold edges)

3 Proofs

Let P_{2k+1} be a path with odd number of vertices consecutively denoted by $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2k+1}$, $k \geq 1$, and edges denoted by e_m where $e_m = u_m u_{m+1}$, $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$.

Proof of Theorem 3

(i) First suppose that $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n$. Clearly we have $n \geq 2$ because of $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$. Now we find a connected $2n$ -regular multiple of P_{2k+1} , then we prove the hamiltonicity of $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ similarly as in [3].

We define the number of loops at each vertex u_i of multiple G' of P_{2k+1} . For even vertices u_2, u_4, \dots, u_{2k} we define $\ell_{G'}(u_{2i}) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and for odd vertices $\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = \pi(H_{2i+1})$, $i = 0, \dots, k$. If $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) > n$ (the multiple G' has more than n loops), then we remove arbitrary loops from G' in such a way that $\ell_{G'}(u_1) \geq 1$, $\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n$. Note that $\ell_{G'}(u_j) \leq \pi(H_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2k+1$.

Now we define the multiplicity of every edge e_m of P_{2k+1} , $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{G'}(e_1) &= 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) & m_{G'}(e_2) &= 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) \\
 m_{G'}(e_3) &= 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) - 2\ell_{G'}(u_3) & m_{G'}(e_4) &= 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_3) \\
 m_{G'}(e_5) &= 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) - 2\ell_{G'}(u_3) - 2\ell_{G'}(u_5) & m_{G'}(e_6) &= 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_3) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_5)
 \end{aligned}$$

in general we have:

$$m_{G'}(e_{2i}) = \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) \quad m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) = 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Clearly by the construction, the multiplicity of every edge is at least 2, and the degree of every vertex u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2k} is exactly $2n$ and for the last vertex of our path we have:

$$d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) + m_{G'}(e_{2k}) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) + \sum_{j=1}^k 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) = 2 \sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = 2n.$$

Now we prove that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ contains a hamiltonian cycle using exactly $\ell_{G'}(u_i)$ edges of H_i and exactly $m_{G'}(e_j)$ edges between $V(P_{2k+1}(\{u_j\})[H_j])$ and $V(P_{2k+1}(\{u_{j+1}\})[H_{j+1}])$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k+1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$.

For every vertex u_i and every graph H_i there exists a spanning linear subforest of $P_{2k+1}(\{u_i\})[H_i] \cong H_i$ with components $P_1(u_i), \dots, P_{j_i}(u_i)$ satisfying

$$j_i = |H_i| - \ell_{G'}(u_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{j_i} \|P_t(u_i)\| = \ell_{G'}(u_i),$$

because $\ell_{G'}(u_i) \leq \pi(H_i)$.

Futhermore after removing all the loops from our multiple G' , there exists a closed eulerian trail C in G' . We obtain the hamiltonian cycle of $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ as required by replacing simultaneously the vertices u_i at their t -th occurence in C by the component $P_t(u_i)$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, j_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k+1$ (for illustration see Figure 2).




Figure 2: Hamiltonian cycle in $P_9[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_9]$ from a multiple G'

Now suppose that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ is hamiltonian. If $\|H_1\| = 0$ or $\|H_{2k+1}\| = 0$, then the graph $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ cannot contain a hamiltonian cycle. Hence assume that $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ has a hamiltonian cycle C . Note that if H_i has at most $\pi(H_i)$ edges in linear forest, then the number of components of a linear forest of H_i is at least $n - \pi(H_i)$. Now we count the number of edges between graphs $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}$ in C .

The graph H_1 has at least $n - \pi(H_1)$ components, therefore there is at least $2(n - \pi(H_1))$ edges between H_1 and H_2 in C .

Since H_2 has only n vertices there is at most $2n$ edges in C from H_2 . Thus, there is at most $2n - 2(n - \pi(H_1)) = 2\pi(H_1)$ edges between H_2 and H_3 in C .

Again H_3 has at least $n - \pi(H_3)$ components, therefore there is at least $2(n - \pi(H_3)) - 2\pi(H_1) = 2n - 2\pi(H_3) - 2\pi(H_1)$ edges between H_3 and H_4 in C .

Since H_4 has only n vertices there is at most $2n$ edges in C from H_4 . Thus, there is at most $2n - (2n - 2\pi(H_3) - 2\pi(H_1)) = 2\pi(H_3) + 2\pi(H_1)$ edges between H_4 and H_5 in C .

If we continue step by step, we get that between H_{2k} and H_{2k+1} there is at most $2\pi(H_{2k-1}) + 2\pi(H_{2k-3}) + \dots + 2\pi(H_3) + 2\pi(H_1)$ edges in C and from the other side H_{2k+1} has at least $n - \pi(H_{2k+1})$ components, therefore there should be at least $2(n - \pi(H_{2k+1}))$ edges between H_{2k+1} and H_{2k} in C . Now we get that

$$2(n - \pi(H_{2k+1})) \leq 2\pi(H_{2k-1}) + 2\pi(H_{2k-3}) + \dots + 2\pi(H_3) + 2\pi(H_1)$$

$$n \leq \pi(H_{2k+1}) + \pi(H_{2k-1}) + \pi(H_{2k-3}) + \dots + \pi(H_3) + \pi(H_1)$$

$$n \leq \sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}).$$

Thus we finished the proof of Theorem 3 (i). □

Before the proofs of Theorem 3 statements (ii) and (iii) we define functions $A(t)$, $B(t)$ and state the following lemmas. Let $a, b, t \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1\}$.

$$A(t) = 0 \text{ for } t < a; \quad B(t) = 0 \text{ for } t < b;$$

$$A(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \geq a; \quad B(t) = 1 \text{ for } t \geq b.$$

Lemma 7. *Let P_{2k+1} be a path with odd number of vertices, $k \geq 1$, $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1\}$. Let $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}$ be graphs with n vertices such that one of the following conditions holds*

- (I) a, b are even and $\pi(H_1) \geq 2$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) = n + 1$;
- (II) a is odd, b is even and $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) = n$;
- (III) a, b are odd and $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) = n - 1$;
- moreover for $a = 1$, $b = 2k + 1$ we have only $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) = n - 1$.

Then there exists a connected multiple G' of P_{2k+1} such that $d_{G'}(u_l) = 2n$ for $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k+1\} \setminus \{a, b\}$ and either $d_{G'}(u_a) = d_{G'}(u_b) = 2n - 1$ if $a \neq b$ or $d_{G'}(u_a) = 2n - 2$ if $a = b$.

Proof. (I) a, b are even. We have $n \geq 3$ because of $\pi(H_1) \geq 2$.

Similarly as in the previous proof, we define the number of loops at each vertex u_i of multiple G' of P_{2k+1} . For even vertices u_2, u_4, \dots, u_{2k} we define $\ell_{G'}(u_{2i}) = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, and for odd vertices $\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = \pi(H_{2i+1})$, $i = 0, \dots, k$. Note that we have $\ell_{G'}(u_1) \geq 2$, $\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n + 1$.

Now we define the multiplicity of every edge e_m of P_{2k+1} , $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$,

$$m_{G'}(e_1) = 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + A(1) + B(1) \quad m_{G'}(e_2) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) - A(2) - B(2)$$

$$m_{G'}(e_3) = 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) - 2\ell_{G'}(u_3) + A(3) + B(3) \quad m_{G'}(e_4) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_3) - A(4) - B(4)$$

in general we have:

$$m_{G'}(e_{2i}) = \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i) - B(2i)$$

$$m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) = 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i-1) + B(2i-1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Clearly by the construction, the multiplicity of every edge is at least 2 and the degree of every vertex of G' is the following:

$$d_{G'}(u_1) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + m_{G'}(e_1) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + A(1) + B(1) = 2n.$$

Clearly $A(1) = B(1) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G'}(u_{2i}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) + m_{G'}(e_{2i}) = \\ &= 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i-1) + B(2i-1) + \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i) - B(2i) = \\ &= 2n + A(2i-1) + B(2i-1) - A(2i) - B(2i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Then $d_{G'}(u_{2i}) = 2n$ for $2i \notin \{a, b\}$, $d_{G'}(u_{2i}) = 2n - 1$ for $2i \in \{a, b\}$, $a \neq b$, and $d_{G'}(u_{2i}) = 2n - 2$ for $2i = a = b$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2i}) + m_{G'}(e_{2i+1}) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i) - B(2i) + 2n - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i+1) + B(2i+1) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = \\ &= 2n - A(2i) - B(2i) + A(2i+1) + B(2i+1) = 2n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $A(2i) = A(2i+1)$, $B(2i) = B(2i+1)$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2k}) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2k) - B(2k) = \\ &= 2(n+1) - A(2k) - B(2k) = 2n. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $A(2k) = B(2k) = 1$.

Resulting G' is the connected multiple of P_{2k+1} as required.

(II) a is odd and b is even. We have $n \geq 2$ because of $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$.

We define the number of loops at each vertex u_i of multiple G' of P_{2k+1} as in (I) such that we have $\ell_{G'}(u_1) \geq 1$, $\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n$.

Now we define the multiplicity of every edge e_m of P_{2k+1} , $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{G'}(e_{2i}) &= \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i) - B(2i) \\ m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) &= 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i-1) + B(2i-1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly by the construction, the multiplicity of every edge is at least 1 and the degree of every vertex of G' is the following:

$$d_{G'}(u_1) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + m_{G'}(e_1) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) - A(1) + B(1) = 2n - A(1).$$

Clearly $B(1) = 0$, $d_{G'}(u_1) = 2n$ for $a \neq 1$ and $d_{G'}(u_1) = 2n - 1$ for $a = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G'}(u_{2i}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) + m_{G'}(e_{2i}) = \\ &= 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i-1) + B(2i-1) + \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i) - B(2i) = \\ &= 2n - A(2i-1) + B(2i-1) + A(2i) - B(2i) = 2n + B(2i-1) - B(2i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Then $A(2i-1) = A(2i)$, $d_{G'}(u_{2i}) = 2n$ for $2i \neq b$ and $d_{G'}(u_{2i}) = 2n - 1$ for $2i = b$.

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2i}) + m_{G'}(e_{2i+1}) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i) - B(2i) + 2n - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i+1) + B(2i+1) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = \\
&= 2n + A(2i) - B(2i) - A(2i+1) + B(2i+1) = 2n + A(2i) - A(2i+1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $B(2i) = B(2i+1)$, $d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = 2n$ for $2i+1 \neq a$ and $d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = 2n-1$ for $2i+1 = a$.

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2k}) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2k) - B(2k) = \\
&= 2n + A(2k) - B(2k).
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $B(2k) = 1$, $d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = 2n$ for $a \neq 2k+1$ and $d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = 2n-1$ for $a = 2k+1$.
Resulting G' is the connected multiple of P_{2k+1} as required.

(III) a, b are odd. From $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) = n-1$ we have $n > 2$.

We define the number of loops at each vertex u_i of multiple G' of P_{2k+1} as in (I) such that we have $\ell_{G'}(u_1) \geq 1$, $\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) \geq 1$, and $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n-1$.

Now we define the multiplicity of every edge e_m of P_{2k+1} , $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{G'}(e_{2i}) &= \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i) + B(2i) \\
m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) &= 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i-1) - B(2i-1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly by the construction, the multiplicity of every edge is at least 2 and the degree of every vertex of G' is the following:

$$d_{G'}(u_1) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + m_{G'}(e_1) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) - A(1) - B(1) = 2n - A(1) - B(1).$$

Then $d_{G'}(u_1) = 2n$ for $1 \notin \{a, b\}$, $d_{G'}(u_1) = 2n-1$ for $1 \in \{a, b\}$, $a \neq b$, and $d_{G'}(u_1) = 2n-2$ for $1 = a = b$.

$$\begin{aligned}
d_{G'}(u_{2i}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) + m_{G'}(e_{2i}) = \\
&= 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i-1) - B(2i-1) + \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i) + B(2i) = \\
&= 2n - A(2i-1) - B(2i-1) + A(2i) + B(2i) = 2n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $A(2i - 1) = A(2i)$, $B(2i - 1) = B(2i)$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2i}) + m_{G'}(e_{2i+1}) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2i) + B(2i) + 2n - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - A(2i+1) - B(2i+1) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = \\ &= 2n + A(2i) + B(2i) - A(2i+1) - B(2i+1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = 2n$ for $2i+1 \notin \{a, b\}$, $d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = 2n-1$ for $2i+1 \in \{a, b\}$, $a \neq b$, and $d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = 2n-2$ for $2i+1 = a = b$.

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2k}) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + A(2k) + B(2k) = \\ &= 2(n-1) + A(2k) + B(2k) = 2n-2 + A(2k) + B(2k). \end{aligned}$$

Then $d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = 2n$ for $2k+1 \notin \{a, b\}$, $d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = 2n-1$ for $2k+1 \in \{a, b\}$, $a \neq b$, and $d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = 2n-2$ for $2k+1 = a = b$.

Let $a = 1$, $b = 2k+1$. From $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) = n-1$ we have $n \geq 1$ and again we define the number of loops at each vertex u_i of multiple G' of P_{2k+1} as in (I) such that $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n-1$. Clearly $A(j) = 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k+1$, $B(j) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$ and $B(2k+1) = 1$.

As in general case we define specifically the multiplicity of every edge e_m of P_{2k+1} , $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_{G'}(e_{2i}) &= \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + 1 \\ m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) &= 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly by the construction, the multiplicity of every edge is at least 1 and the degree of every vertex of G' is the following:

$$d_{G'}(u_1) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + m_{G'}(e_1) = 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) + 2n - 2\ell_{G'}(u_1) - 1 = 2n - 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{G'}(u_{2i}) &= m_{G'}(e_{2i-1}) + m_{G'}(e_{2i}) = \\ &= 2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - 1 + \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + 1 = 2n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = m_{G'}(e_{2i}) + m_{G'}(e_{2i+1}) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^i 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + 1 + 2n - \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) - 1 + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = 2n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1.$$

$$d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = m_{G'}(e_{2k}) + 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} 2\ell_{G'}(u_{2j-1}) + 1 = 2(n-1) + 1 = 2n-1.$$

In both cases resulting G' is the connected multiple of P_{2k+1} as required. \square

Lemma 8. *Let P_{2k+1} be a path with odd number of vertices, $k \geq 1$, $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k+1\}$. Let $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}$ be graphs with n vertices. Assume that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ contains a hamiltonian path P starting in vertex x from $V(G(\{u_a\})[H_a])$ and ending in a vertex y from $V(G(\{u_b\})[H_b])$.*

- (I) *If a, b are even, then $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n+1$;*
- (II) *If a is odd and b is even, then $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n$;*
- (III) *If a, b are odd, then $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n-1$.*

Proof. (I) a, b are even. Clearly $A(1) = B(1) = 0$ and $A(2k) = B(2k) = 1$.

The graph H_1 has at least $n - \pi(H_1)$ components, therefore there is at least $2(n - \pi(H_1)) + A(1) + B(1) = 2(n - \pi(H_1))$ edges between H_1 and H_2 in P .

Since H_2 has only n vertices there is at most $2n$ edges in P from H_2 . Thus, there is at most $2n - 2(n - \pi(H_1)) - A(2) - B(2) = 2\pi(H_1) - A(2) - B(2)$ edges between H_2 and H_3 in P .

In general we have at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^i 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) - A(2i) - B(2i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

edges between H_{2i} and H_{2i+1} in P and we have at least

$$2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) + A(2i-1) + B(2i-1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

edges between H_{2i-1} and H_{2i} in P .

The last subgraph H_{2k+1} has $n - \pi(H_{2k+1})$ components in its path covering. Therefore there is at least $2(n - \pi(H_{2k+1}))$ edges between H_{2k+1} and H_{2k} in P . Thus we get:

$$2(n - \pi(H_{2k+1})) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) - A(2k) - B(2k) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) - 2$$

$$2n + A(2k) + B(2k) = 2n + 2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^k 2\pi(H_{2j+1})$$

$$n + 1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \pi(H_{2j+1}).$$

(II) a is odd and b is even. Clearly $B(1) = 0$ and $B(2k) = 1$.

Similarly as in (I), we have at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^i 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) + A(2i) - B(2i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

edges between H_{2i} and H_{2i+1} in P and we have at least

$$2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) - A(2i - 1) + B(2i - 1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

edges between H_{2i-1} and H_{2i} in P .

The last subgraph H_{2k+1} has $n - \pi(H_{2k+1})$ components in its path covering. Therefore there is at least $2(n - \pi(H_{2k+1})) - A(2k + 1) + A(2k)$ edges between H_{2k+1} and H_{2k} in P . Clearly $A(2k + 1) = 1$. Thus we get:

$$2(n - \pi(H_{2k+1})) - A(2k + 1) + A(2k) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) + A(2k) - B(2k)$$

$$2n - A(2k + 1) + B(2k) = 2n \leq \sum_{j=0}^k 2\pi(H_{2j+1})$$

$$n \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \pi(H_{2j+1}).$$

(III) a, b are odd.

Again similarly as in (I), we have at most

$$\sum_{j=1}^i 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) + A(2i) + B(2i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

edges between H_{2i} and H_{2i+1} in P and we have at least

$$2n - \sum_{j=1}^i 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) - A(2i-1) - B(2i-1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

edges between H_{2i-1} and H_{2i} in P .

The last subgraph H_{2k+1} has $n - \pi(H_{2k+1})$ components in its path covering. Therefore, there should be at least $2(n - \pi(H_{2k+1})) - A(2k+1) + A(2k) - B(2k+1) + B(2k)$ edges between H_{2k+1} and H_{2k} in P . Clearly $A(2k+1) = B(2k+1) = 1$. Thus we get:

$$2(n - \pi(H_{2k+1})) - A(2k+1) + A(2k) - B(2k+1) + B(2k) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k 2\pi(H_{2j-1}) + A(2k) + B(2k)$$

$$2n - A(2k+1) - B(2k+1) = 2n - 2 \leq \sum_{j=0}^k 2\pi(H_{2j+1})$$

$$n - 1 \leq \sum_{j=0}^k \pi(H_{2j+1}).$$

□

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3 statements (ii) and (iii).

(ii) First suppose that $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n - 1$. Let x, y be a vertex in H_1, H_{2k+1} such that x, y is not a vertex of degree 2 in some component (path) of a spanning linear forest of H_1, H_{2k+1} with $\pi(H_1), \pi(H_{2k+1})$ edges, respectively. We show that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ contains an xy -hamiltonian path.

We set $a = 1$ and $b = 2k + 1$. By Lemma 7 (III) we find a connected multiple G' of P_{2k+1} such that $d_{G'}(u_l) = 2n$ for $l \in \{2, 3, \dots, 2k\}$ and $d_{G'}(u_1) = d_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) = 2n - 1$. Note that if $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) > n - 1$ (the multiple G' has more than $n - 1$ loops), then we remove arbitrary loops from G' in such a way that $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n - 1$. Clearly $\ell_{G'}(u_j) \leq \pi(H_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2k + 1$.

As before, we prove that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ contains a xy -hamiltonian path using exactly $\ell_{G'}(u_i)$ edges of H_i and exactly $m_{G'}(e_j)$ edges between $V(P_{2k+1}(\{u_j\})[H_j])$ and $V(P_{2k+1}(\{u_{j+1}\})[H_{j+1}])$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$.

For every vertex u_i and every graph H_i there exists a spanning linear subforest of $P_{2k+1}(\{u_i\})[H_i] \cong H_i$ with components $P_1(u_i), \dots, P_{j_i}(u_i)$ satisfying

$$j_i = |H_i| - \ell_{G'}(u_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{j_i} ||P_t(u_i)|| = \ell_{G'}(u_i),$$

because $\ell_{G'}(u_i) \leq \pi(H_i)$.

Futhermore after removing all the loops from multiple G' , there exists an open eulorian trail C in G' from u_1 to u_{2k+1} of P_{2k+1} . We obtain the xy -hamiltonian path P in $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ as required by replacing simultaneously the vertices u_i at their t -th occurence in C by the component $P_t(u_i)$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, j_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1$ such that x is the first vertex and y is the last vertex of P . Note that x, y are endvertices of different paths $P_t(u_i)$ or isolated vertices.

Now suppose that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ contains some hamiltonian path P . We may assume that the hamiltonian path starts in H_1 and ends in H_{2k+1} . By Lemma 8 (III) we get that $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n - 1$.

□

(iii) First suppose that $\pi(H_1) \geq 2$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n + 1$. Let x, y be a vertex in H_a, H_b , $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1\}$, respectively. We show that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ contains an xy -hamiltonian path for every x, y .

Suppose that a, b are even. By Lemma 7 (I) we find a connected multiple G' of P_{2k+1} such that $d_{G'}(u_l) = 2n$ for $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1\} \setminus \{a, b\}$ and either $d_{G'}(u_a) = d_{G'}(u_b) = 2n - 1$ if $a \neq b$ or $d_{G'}(u_a) = 2n - 2$ if $a = b$. Note that if $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) > n + 1$ (the multiple G' has more than $n + 1$ loops), then we remove arbitrary loops from G' in such a way that $\ell_{G'}(u_1) \geq 2$, $\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) \geq 2$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n + 1$. Clearly $\ell_{G'}(u_j) \leq \pi(H_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2k + 1$.

Suppose that a is odd and b is even (the case a is even and b is odd is symmetrical). If x is a vertex of degree 2 in some component (path) P of a spanning linear forest of H_a with $\pi(H_a)$ edges, then we remove one edge of P incident with x from this spanning linear forest. Hence we have $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n$. By Lemma 7 (II) we find a connected multiple G' of P_{2k+1} such that $d_{G'}(u_l) = 2n$ for $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1\} \setminus \{a, b\}$ and $d_{G'}(u_a) = d_{G'}(u_b) = 2n - 1$. Note that if $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) > n$ (the multiple G' has more than n loops), then we remove arbitrary loops from G' in such a way that $\ell_{G'}(u_1) \geq 1$, $\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n$. Clearly $\ell_{G'}(u_j) \leq \pi(H_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2k + 1$.

Suppose that a, b are odd. We remove at most 2 edges from spanning linear forests of H_a and H_b such that now x and y are not vertices of degree 2 in some component (path) of a spanning linear forest of H_a or H_b and x, y are not in the same component (path) of a spanning linear forest of $H_a = H_b$. Hence we have $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n - 1$ even if a, b are in the same component. By Lemma 7 (III) we find a connected multiple G' of P_{2k+1} such that $d_{G'}(u_l) = 2n$ for $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1\} \setminus \{a, b\}$ and either $d_{G'}(u_a) = d_{G'}(u_b) = 2n - 1$ if $a \neq b$ or $d_{G'}(u_a) = 2n - 2$ if $a = b$. Note that if $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) > n - 1$ (the multiple G' has more than $n - 1$ loops), then we remove arbitrary loops from G' in such a way that $\ell_{G'}(u_1) \geq 1$, $\ell_{G'}(u_{2k+1}) \geq 1$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k \ell_{G'}(u_{2i+1}) = n - 1$. Clearly $\ell_{G'}(u_j) \leq \pi(H_j)$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2k + 1$.

Similarly as in the previous proof, we prove that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ contains a xy -hamiltonian path using exactly $\ell_{G'}(u_i)$ edges of H_i and exactly $m_{G'}(e_j)$ edges between $V(P_{2k+1}(\{u_j\})[H_j])$ and $V(P_{2k+1}(\{u_{j+1}\})[H_{j+1}])$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$.

For every vertex u_i and every graph H_i there exists a spanning linear subforest of $P_{2k+1}(\{u_i\})[H_i] \cong H_i$ with components $P_1(u_i), \dots, P_{j_i}(u_i)$ satisfying

$$j_i = |H_i| - \ell_{G'}(u_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{j_i} ||P_t(u_i)|| = \ell_{G'}(u_i),$$

because $\ell_{G'}(u_i) \leq \pi(H_i)$.

Futhermore after removing all the loops from multiple G' , there exists an open eulerian trail C in G' from u_a to u_b if $a \neq b$ and a closed eulerian trail C in G' if $a = b$. We obtain the xy -hamiltonian path in $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ as required by replacing simultaneously the vertices u_i at their t -th occurence in C by the component $P_t(u_i)$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, j_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1$ such that x is the first vertex and y is the last vertex of P . Note that x, y are endvertices of different paths $P_t(u_i)$ or isolated vertices.

Now suppose that $P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ is hamiltonian connected. Clearly, if $\pi(H_1) \leq 1$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \leq 1$, then there is no hamiltonian path starting and ending in H_2, H_{2k} , respectively. Thus $\pi(H_1) \geq 2$, $\pi(H_{2k+1}) \geq 2$ and $n > 2$ ($P_{2k+1}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k+1}]$ has to be 3-connected). Since this graph has a hamiltonian path between two arbitrary vertices, by Lemma 8 we immediately get that $\sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq n + 1$.

□

Proof of Theorem 4

The proofs of statements (i) and (ii) of Theorem 4 follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 6 from [3].

(iii) Let $k = 1$. If $\pi(H_1) = 0$ or $\pi(H_2) = 0$, then clearly, there is no hamiltonian path between some vertices of H_2 or H_1 , respectively. If $\pi(H_1) \geq 1$ and $\pi(H_{2k}) \geq 1$, then clearly there exists a hamiltonian path between every two vertices of $P_2[H_1, H_2]$.

Let $k > 1$. First suppose that $P_{2k}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k}]$ is hamiltonian connected. If $\pi(H_1) \leq 1$ or $\pi(H_{2k}) \leq 1$, then clearly, there is no hamiltonian path between some two vertices of H_2 or H_{2k-1} , respectively.

Now assume that $\pi(H_1) \geq 2$ and $\pi(H_{2k}) \geq 2$. Let x be a vertex of $V(P_{2k}(\{u_a\})[H_a])$ and y be a vertex of $V(P_{2k}(\{u_b\})[H_b])$. We may assume that $a \leq b$. Let F be 1-factor of P_{2k} , S_1 a multigraph with only one vertex u_1 and one loop, S_2 a multigraph with only vertex u_{2k} and one loop, and let $P' \subseteq P_{2k}$ be a path from u_a to u_b in P_{2k} and $P'' \subseteq P_{2k}$ a path from u_b to u_{2k} in P_{2k} if any.

- (I) Let $b - a$ be odd. Then P' is an even path and let F_1 be 1-factor of P' and set $F_2 = P' - E(F_1)$. Then we define multiple G' :

$$G' = 2P_{2k} + (2n - 4)F + S_1 + S_2 - F_1 + F_2.$$

Clearly, the degree of every vertex of G' except from u_a and u_b is $2n$ and $d_{G'}(u_a) = d_{G'}(u_b) = 2n - 1$ and the multiplicity of every edge of G' is at least 1.

(II) Let $b - a$ is even. We may assume, that a is odd (otherwise we relabel all the vertices: $u_1 \rightarrow u_{2k}, u_2 \rightarrow u_{2k-1}, \dots, u_{2k} \rightarrow u_1$). Let F_1 be 1-factor of $P' - u_b$ and F_2 be 1-factor of $P' - u_a$. Since a is odd, b is odd as well thus P'' is an even path. Let F_3 be 1-factor of P'' and set $F_4 = P'' - E(F_3)$. Then we define multiple G' :

$$G' = 2P_{2k} + (2n - 4)F + S_1 + 2S_2 - F_1 + F_2 - 2F_3 + 2F_4.$$

Clearly, the degree of every vertex of G' except from u_a and u_b is $2n$, $d_{G'}(u_a) = d_{G'}(u_b) = 2n - 1$ if $a \neq b$, $d_{G'}(u_a) = d_{G'}(u_b) = 2n - 2$ if $a = b$, and the multiplicity of every edge of G' is at least 1. Note that $n \geq 3$ because of $\pi(H_1) \geq 2$.

From such a multiple G' in both cases we get a hamiltonian path between two arbitrary vertices of $P_{2k}[H_1, H_2, \dots, H_{2k}]$ similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3. \square

4 Next results

Now we easily get results concerning the lexicographic product of P_{2k+1} and given graph H .

Theorem 9. *Let P_{2k+1} be a path with $2k + 1$ vertices, $k \geq 1$, and H be a graph. Then the lexicographic product $P_{2k+1}[H]$ is pancyclic if and only if $\pi(H) \geq 1$ and $\lceil \frac{|H|}{\pi(H)} \rceil \leq k + 1$.*

Proof. Set $H_1 = \dots = H_{2k+1} = H$. By Theorem 3 (i), $P_{2k+1}[H]$ is hamiltonian $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq |H| \Leftrightarrow (k + 1)\pi(H) \geq |H| \Leftrightarrow \lceil \frac{|H|}{\pi(H)} \rceil \leq k + 1$ because $k + 1$ is an integer. The pancyclicity follows from Theorem 1. \square

Theorem 10. *Let P_{2k+1} be a path with $2k + 1$ vertices, $k \geq 1$, and H be a graph. Then the lexicographic product $P_{2k+1}[H]$ is traceable if and only if $\lceil \frac{|H|-1}{\pi(H)} \rceil \leq k + 1$.*

Proof. Set $H_1 = \dots = H_{2k+1} = H$. By Theorem 3 (ii), $P_{2k+1}[H]$ is traceable $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq |H| - 1 \Leftrightarrow (k + 1)\pi(H) \geq |H| - 1 \Leftrightarrow \lceil \frac{|H|-1}{\pi(H)} \rceil \leq k + 1$ because $k + 1$ is an integer. \square

Corollary 11. *Let G and H be graphs, $|G| \geq 2$. Suppose that G contains a hamiltonian path.*

- *If $|G| = 2k + 1$ and $\frac{|H|}{k+1} \leq \pi(H)$, then $G[H]$ is hamiltonian.*
- *If $|G| = 2k + 1$ and $\frac{|H|-1}{k+1} \leq \pi(H)$, then $G[H]$ is traceable.*

Thus we improved Theorem 6 and the bounds are the best possible. Moreover we get a similar result also for hamiltonian connectivity.

Theorem 12. *Let P_{2k+1} be a path with $2k + 1$ vertices, $k \geq 1$, and H be a graph. Then the lexicographic product $P_{2k+1}[H]$ is hamiltonian connected if and only if $\pi(H) \geq 2$ and $\lceil \frac{|H|+1}{\pi(H)} \rceil \leq k + 1$.*

Proof. Set $H_1 = \dots = H_{2k+1} = H$. By Theorem 3 (iii), $P_{2k+1}[H]$ is hamiltonian connected $\Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=0}^k \pi(H_{2i+1}) \geq |H| + 1 \Leftrightarrow (k + 1)\pi(H) \geq |H| + 1 \Leftrightarrow \lceil \frac{|H|+1}{\pi(H)} \rceil \leq k + 1$ because $k + 1$ is an integer. \square

Corollary 13. *Let G and H be graphs, $|G| \geq 2$. Suppose that G contains a hamiltonian path.*

If $|G| = 2k + 1$ and $\frac{|H|+1}{k+1} \leq \pi(H)$, then $G[H]$ is hamiltonian connected.

Theorem 14. *Let P_{2k} be a path with $2k$ vertices, $k \geq 1$, and H be a graph. Then the lexicographic product $P_{2k}[H]$ is hamiltonian connected if and only*

- $\pi(H) \geq 1$ for $k = 1$.
- $\pi(H) \geq 2$ for $k > 1$.

Proof. Easy corollary of Theorem 4 (iii). \square

Corollary 15. *Let G and H be graphs, $|G| \geq 2$. Suppose that G contains a hamiltonian path.*

If $|G| = 2$ and $\|H\| \geq 1$, then $G[H]$ is hamiltonian connected.

If $|G| = 2k$, for $k > 1$, and $\|H\| \geq 2$, then $G[H]$ is hamiltonian connected.

5 Conclusion

In this paper we finished a complete characterization of hamiltonicity (Theorem 6 and Theorem 9), traceability (Theorem 6 and Theorem 10) and hamiltonian connectedness (Theorem 12 and Theorem 14) of $G[H]$, where G is a path. Moreover we prove it for generalized lexicographic products also defined in this paper.

This work was partly supported by the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), project NTIS - New Technologies for Information Society, European Centre of Excellence, CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0090, and by project GA20-09525S of the Czech Science Foundation.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty; Graph Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 244; Springer, New York 2008.
- [2] T. Kaiser, M. Kriesell; On the Pancyclicity of Lexicographic Products; Graphs and Combinatorics 22 (1) (2006), 51-58.
- [3] M. Kriesell; A Note on Hamiltonian Cycles in Lexicographical Products; Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics 2 (2) (1997), 135-138.
- [4] H.-M. Teichert; Hamiltonian properties of the lexicographic product of undirected graphs; Elektronische Informationsverarbeitung Kybernetik 19 (1983) 1/2, 67-77.