

On monophonic position sets in graphs

Elias John Thomas^a, Ullas Chandran S. V.^b, James Tuite^c, Gabriele Di Stefano^d

^a*Department of Mathematics, Mar Ivanios College, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram-695015, Kerala, India*

^b*Department of Mathematics, Mahatma Gandhi College, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram-695004, Kerala, India*

^c*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, UK*

^d*Department of Information Engineering, Computer Science and Mathematics, University of L'Aquila, Italy*

Abstract

The general position problem in graph theory asks for the largest set S of vertices of a graph G such that no shortest path of G contains more than two vertices of S . In this paper we consider a variant of the general position problem called the *monophonic position problem*, obtained by replacing ‘shortest path’ by ‘induced path’. We prove some basic properties and bounds for the monophonic position number of a graph and determine the monophonic position number of some graph families, including unicyclic graphs, complements of bipartite graphs and split graphs. We show that the monophonic position number of triangle-free graphs is bounded above by the independence number. We present realisation results for the general position number, monophonic position number and monophonic hull number. Finally we discuss the complexity of the monophonic position problem.

Keywords: general position set, general position number, monophonic position set, monophonic position number.

2000 MSC: 05C12, 05C69

1. Introduction

In 1900 Dudeney, famous for his mathematical puzzles, posed the following question [15]: what is the largest number of pawns that can be placed on an $n \times n$ chessboard such that no horizontal or vertical lines contains more than two pawns? This problem was generalised to the setting of graph theory independently in [25, 26] and [6] as follows: a set of vertices S in a graph G is in *general position* if no shortest path of G contains more than two vertices of S . The problem then consists of finding the largest set of vertices in general position for a given graph G . This has been shown to be an NP-complete problem [25]. The general position problem has been the subject of intensive research; for some recent developments see [16, 24, 27, 28, 30].

Some interesting variants of the general position problem have been considered in the literature. In [22] the authors consider the general position problem using the *Steiner distance*

Email addresses: eliasjohnkalarickal@gmail.com (Elias John Thomas), svuc.math@gmail.com (Ullas Chandran S. V.), james.tuite@open.ac.uk (James Tuite), gabriele.distefano@univaq.it (Gabriele Di Stefano)

instead of the normal graph distance. In [23] the shortest paths considered are restricted to have length at most d , so that a set S of vertices of a graph G is a d -*position set* if for any three vertices $u, v, w \in S$, if w lies on a shortest u, v -path, then $d_G(u, v) > d$. The paper [31] discusses the largest general position sets that are also independent sets. A further recent variant of the general position problem can be found in [11], which discusses *mutual visibility sets*; a set M of vertices of a graph G is *mutually visible* if for any $u, v \in M$ there exists at least one shortest u, v -path in G that intersects M only in the vertices u, v . In this paper, we consider a variation of the general position problem, which we call the *monophonic position problem*, obtained by replacing ‘shortest path’ by ‘induced path’.

We now define the terminology that will be used in this paper. By a graph $G = (V, E)$ we mean a finite, undirected simple graph. The *distance* $d(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v in a connected graph G is the length of a shortest u, v -path in G , any such shortest u, v -path is a *geodesic*. A path P in G is *induced* or *monophonic* if G contains no chords between non-adjacent vertices of P .

We will denote the subgraph of G induced by a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ by $G[S]$. A vertex is *simplicial* if its neighbourhood induces a clique; in particular every leaf is simplicial. We denote the number of simplicial vertices and leaves of a graph G by $s(G)$ and $\ell(G)$ respectively. The *clique number* $\omega(G)$ of G is the number of vertices in a maximum clique in G and the *independence number* $\alpha(G)$ is the number of vertices of a maximum independent set. A subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ is an *independent union of cliques* of G if $G[S]$ is a disjoint union of cliques; the number of vertices in a maximum independent union of cliques will be written as $\alpha^\omega(G)$. A graph G is a *block graph* if every maximal 2-connected component of G is a clique.

The path of length ℓ and order $\ell + 1$ will be written as P_ℓ and the cycle of length ℓ as C_ℓ . The *join* $G \vee H$ of two graphs is the graph formed from the disjoint union of G and H by joining every vertex of G to every vertex of H by an edge. Let G and H be graphs where $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$; then the *corona product* $G \odot H$ is obtained from the disjoint union of G and n disjoint copies of H , say H_1, \dots, H_n , by making the vertex v_i adjacent to every vertex in H_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Finally, for graphs G and H , the *Cartesian product* $G \square H$, is the graph with vertex set $V(G \square H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ such that two vertices $(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)$ are adjacent in $G \square H$ if and only if either $u_1 = u_2$ and $v_1 \sim v_2$ in H , or else $v_1 = v_2$ and $u_1 \sim u_2$ in G .

For two distinct vertices u, v of a graph G , the *monophonic interval* $K[u, v]$ is the set of all vertices lying on at least one monophonic path connecting u and v . The *monophonic closure* of a set $M \subseteq V(G)$ is $K[M] = \bigcup_{u, v \in M} K[u, v]$. If $K[M] = M$, then M is *monophonically convex* or *m-convex*. A smallest m-convex set containing M is a *m-convex hull* of M and is denoted by $[M]_m$. It is possible to construct the monophonic convex hull $[M]_m$ from the sequence $\{K_k[M]\}$, $k \geq 0$, where $K_0[M] = M$, $K_1[M] = K[M]$ and $K_k[M] = K[K_{k-1}[M]]$ for $k \geq 2$. From some term onwards, the sequence must be constant; if r is the smallest number such that $K_r[M] = K_{r+1}[M]$, then $K_r[M] = [M]_m$. A set $M \subseteq V(G)$ is a *monophonic hull set* if $[M]_m = V(G)$. The *monophonic hull number* $h_m(G)$ of G is the size of a smallest monophonic hull set of G . A vertex u in M is said to be an *m-interior* vertex of M if $u \in K[M - \{u\}]$. The set of all interior vertices of M is denoted by M^0 . For any other graph-theoretical terminology we refer to [4].

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the monophonic position number of a graph, determine some simple bounds and discuss the behaviour of the mono-




Figure 1: The Petersen graph with a maximum gp-set (left) and a maximum mp-set (right)

phonic position number under some graph operations. In Section 3 we give a sharp bound for the mp-number of triangle-free graphs and determine the mp-numbers of unicyclic graphs and the join and corona products of graphs. In Section 4 we find the mp-numbers of split graphs and complements of bipartite graphs. Section 5 provides realisation results for the gp-number, mp-number and monophonic hull number. Finally in Section 6 we consider the computational complexity of the monophonic position problem.

2. Monophonic position sets in graphs

Recall that a set S of vertices in a graph G is a *general position set*, or *gp-set* for short, if no shortest path in G contains more than two vertices of S . The number of vertices in a largest gp-set of G is called the *general position number* of G and is denoted by $\text{gp}(G)$. We have the following result for the general position number of graphs with diameter two.

Theorem 2.1 ([2]). *If $\text{diam}(G) = 2$, then $\text{gp}(G) = \max\{\omega(G), \eta(G)\}$, where $\eta(G)$ is the maximum order of an induced complete multipartite subgraph of the complement of G and $\omega(G)$ is the clique number of G .*

We now introduce the following variant of the gp-number.

Definition 2.2. *A set $M \subseteq V(G)$ is a monophonic position set or mp-set of G if no three vertices of M lie on a common monophonic path in G . The monophonic position number or mp-number $\text{mp}(G)$ of G is the number of vertices in a largest mp-set of G .*

For an example of these concepts see Figure 1. Observe that every monophonic position set S of a graph G is also in general position; it follows that $\text{mp}(G) \leq \text{gp}(G)$. Any pair of vertices is in monophonic position, so for graphs with order $n \geq 2$ we have $2 \leq \text{mp}(G) \leq n$. It is easily seen that a connected graph satisfies $\text{mp}(G) = n$ if and only if $G \cong K_n$. Also the mp-numbers of the cycle C_n is given by $\text{mp}(C_n) = 2$ for $n \geq 4$.

We begin by noting that for a wide class of graphs the monophonic position number coincides with the general position number. A graph G is *distance-hereditary* if for any connected induced subgraph H of G and vertices $u, v \in H$ we have $d_H(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$. Distance-hereditary graphs have been characterised by Howorka [18] and many works in the literature are dedicated to them as well as to their generalisations or specialisations (see e.g. [7, 9, 10, 19]).

Observation 2.3. *For any distance-hereditary graph G we have $\text{mp}(G) = \text{gp}(G)$.*

Proof. Consider an induced u, v -path P in G . Then the subgraph of G induced by the vertices of P is a path between u and v and, by definition of distance-hereditary graph, its length is the distance between u and v in G , that is, P is a shortest path in G . Hence, by the generality of P , any induced path in G is a shortest path. Since any shortest path is also an induced path, the definitions of monophonic position set and general position set coincide for this class of graphs. \square

Since the class of distance-hereditary graphs includes other well studied classes of graphs such as cographs, block graphs, trees and Ptolemaic graphs, results provided for these classes can be seen as a consequence of Observation 2.3 itself. Distance-hereditary graphs G are not characterized by the relation $\text{mp}(G) = \text{gp}(G)$. Consider the graph $G = C_5 \odot K_1$, that is a graph consisting of a cycle with five vertices, each one with a single pendant vertex. For this graph $\text{mp}(G) = \text{gp}(G) = 5$, but the graph is not distance-hereditary.

Corollary 2.4. *For any block graph G with $s(G)$ simplicial vertices, $\text{mp}(G) = s(G)$. In particular, for any tree T with $\ell(T)$ leaves, we have $\text{mp}(T) = \ell(T)$.*

Proof. By the result of [25] we have $\text{gp}(G) = s(G)$ for any block graph G . As block graphs are distance-hereditary the result follows from Observation 2.3. In particular any tree T is a block graph and a vertex of T is simplicial if and only if it is a leaf of T . \square

Corollary 2.5. *For integers $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_t$ the mp-number and gp-number of the complete multipartite graph K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t} are given by*

$$\text{gp}(K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t}) = \text{mp}(K_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t}) = \max\{r_1, t\}.$$

Proof. The result for the gp-number follows from Theorem 2.1. Complete multipartite graphs are distance-hereditary, so the result for the mp-number follows from Observation 2.3. \square

We now provide some bounds on the monophonic position number of a graph. It was shown in [6] that the gp-number of a graph with diameter D is bounded above by $n - D + 1$; there is an analogous upper bound for the mp-number of a graph in terms of the length of its longest induced path.

Proposition 2.6. *If L is the length of the longest monophonic path of a graph G with order n , then $\text{mp}(G) \leq n - L + 1$. This bound is sharp.*

Proof. Let P be a longest monophonic path in G with length L and let M be a maximum mp-set. Any induced path in G can contain at most two points of M ; therefore at most two points of M lie in P , so that at least $L - 1$ vertices of G do not lie in M . The bound is sharp for cliques and paths. \square

It follows immediately from Proposition 2.6 that the only connected graphs G with order n and $\text{mp}(G) = n - 1$ are the joins of K_1 with a disjoint union of cliques and graphs formed from a clique by deleting between one and $n - 2$ edges incident to a given vertex. In [25] the authors bound the general position number of a graph G using the *isometric-path number*, which is the smallest number of geodesics in G such that each vertex of G is contained in

exactly one of the geodesics. There is a similar upper bound for the monophonic position number in terms of the *induced path number* $\rho(G)$, which is defined to be the smallest number of induced paths in G such that each vertex of G is contained in exactly one of the paths. This parameter was introduced in [8].

Lemma 2.7. *The mp-number of a graph G is bounded above by $\text{mp}(G) \leq 2\rho(G)$.*

Proof. Let P_1, P_2, \dots, P_ρ be a partition of $V(G)$ into $\rho(G)$ induced paths and M be a largest mp-set. M can intersect each path P_i in at most two vertices, so that $|M| \leq 2\rho(G)$. \square

It is shown in [1] that the induced path number of any connected cubic graph G with order $n \geq 7$ is at most $\rho(G) \leq \frac{n-1}{3}$. Thus Lemma 2.7 has the following interesting corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *For any connected cubic graph with order $n \geq 7$, the monophonic position number is at most $\text{mp}(G) \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{3}$.*

We can also bound $\text{mp}(G)$ above in terms of the number of cut-vertices in G .

Lemma 2.9. *Let G be any connected graph of order n and $c(G)$ cut-vertices. Then there is a maximum monophonic position set without cut-vertices in G . Thus $\text{mp}(G) \leq n - c(G)$.*

Proof. Let M be a maximum mp-set of G that contains as few cut-vertices of G as possible. Suppose for a contradiction that M contains a cut-vertex v of G . Let W_1, W_2, \dots, W_k , $k \geq 2$, be the components of $G - \{v\}$. Then M intersects at most one component, say W_1 , or else there will be a monophonic path between vertices of M lying in different components that must pass through v .

Let W be the subgraph of G induced by $W_2 \cup \{v\}$. Let P be a longest path in W with initial vertex v . It is easily seen that the terminal vertex u of P is not a cut-vertex of G . The set $M' = (M - \{v\}) \cup \{u\}$ is also a maximum mp-set of G , but contains fewer cut-vertices of G than M , contradicting the definition of M . \square

Lemma 2.9 is sharp for block graphs. We now give a lower bound for $\text{mp}(G)$ in terms of the number of simplicial vertices in G .

Lemma 2.10. *For any graph G we have $\text{mp}(G) \geq s(G)$.*

Proof. Let S be the set of simplicial vertices of G . Suppose that $u, v, w \in S$ and that P is a monophonic u, v -path containing w . If $s(G) \leq 2$ this is impossible, so we assume that $s(G) \geq 3$. The vertex w has two neighbours w' and w'' on P ; however by assumption we have $w' \sim w''$, so that P is not induced, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2.4 shows that the lower bound in Lemma 2.10 is also sharp for block graphs. Finally we present two results that show the effect on monophonic position sets of adding a leaf to a graph.

Lemma 2.11. *Let G' be a graph obtained from a graph G by adding a pendant edge uv at a vertex v of G . Then $\text{mp}(G) \leq \text{mp}(G') \leq \text{mp}(G) + 1$. Moreover, $\text{mp}(G') = \text{mp}(G) + 1$ if and only if there exists a maximum mp-set M of G with $v \notin M$ such that $(M \cup \{v\})^0 = \{v\}$.*

Proof. Since every mp-set of G is also an mp-set of G' , it follows that $\text{mp}(G) \leq \text{mp}(G')$. On the other hand, let M' be a maximum mp-set of G' . Then $M' \setminus \{u\}$ is an mp-set of G and so $|M' \setminus \{u\}| \leq \text{mp}(G)$. This shows that $\text{mp}(G') = |M'| \leq \text{mp}(G) + 1$.

Suppose that $\text{mp}(G') = \text{mp}(G) + 1$. Then $\text{mp}(G') \geq 3$. If $u \notin M'$, then M' is an mp-set of G , which is impossible. Hence $u \in M'$. Since $|M'| \geq 3$, it follows that $v \notin M'$, for otherwise v would lie on a monophonic path from u to another member of M' in G' .

Let $M = (M' \cup \{v\}) \setminus \{u\}$. M is too large to be an mp-set of G , so there must exist three vertices x, y, z of M that lie on a common monophonic path in G . Since $M' \setminus \{u\} = M \setminus \{v\}$ is an mp-set of G , one of these vertices, say x , must be v . If $y \in K[v, z]$ in G , then we would have $y \in K[u, z]$ in G' , contradicting the fact that M' is an mp-set of G' . Therefore $v \in K[y, z]$ and so v is the only vertex in M such that $v \in M^0$. Thus $M \setminus \{v\}$ is the required set.

Conversely, assume that there exists a maximum mp-set M of G with $v \notin M$ and $(M \cup \{v\})^0 = \{v\}$. We claim that $M' = M \cup \{u\}$ is an mp-set of G' . Suppose that there exist $x, y, z \in M'$ such that $x \in K[y, z]$ in G' . As u is a leaf, $x \neq u$, so we can set $z = u$. Then $x \in K[y, v]$ and hence $x \in (M \cup \{v\})^0$, which is impossible. Thus M' is an mp-set in G' with $|M'| = \text{mp}(G) + 1$. Now, since $\text{mp}(G') \leq \text{mp}(G) + 1$, we have that M' is a maximum mp-set in G' . \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let G' be a graph obtained from G by adding a pendant vertex u to a vertex v in G . If v is a simplicial vertex in G , then $\text{mp}(G) = \text{mp}(G')$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.11 $\text{mp}(G) \leq \text{mp}(G') \leq \text{mp}(G) + 1$ and if $\text{mp}(G') = \text{mp}(G) + 1$, then there exists an mp-set M of G of size $\text{mp}(G)$ such that $v \notin M$ and $(M \cup \{v\})^0 = \{v\}$. However, as a simplicial vertex v cannot be an interior vertex of any monophonic path in G . Hence $\text{mp}(G) = \text{mp}(G')$. \square

3. Monophonic position in graph families

In this section we discuss the monophonic position numbers of some common graph families. In Theorem 3.2 we give a sharp bound for the mp-numbers of triangle-free graphs. We then give exact expressions for the mp-numbers of unicyclic graphs and graphs formed as the join or corona product of two graphs.

We will use the following lemma to find an upper bound for the monophonic position number of triangle-free graphs.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph and $M \subseteq V(G)$ be an mp-set. Then $G[M]$ is a disjoint union of k cliques $G[M] = \bigcup_{i=1}^k W_i$. If $k \geq 2$, then for each $i \in [k]$ any two vertices of W_i have a common neighbour in $G - M$.*

Proof. Let W_1, W_2, \dots, W_k be the components of $G[M]$. Suppose that for some $1 \leq i \leq k$ the component W_i is not a clique. Then W_i contains an induced path of length two, say u, v, w , which would be an induced path containing three points of M . Therefore W_i is a clique for each $i \in [k]$.

Let $k \geq 2$ and suppose for a contradiction that there is a component, say W_1 , with $u, v \in V(W_1)$ such that u and v have no common neighbour in $G - M$. Let w be any vertex

in W_2 and let P be a u, w -monophonic path $u, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_\ell = w$ in G . Then $\ell \geq 2$. Since M is an mp-set, it follows that P together with the edge uv is not a monophonic path in G . This shows that v must be adjacent to u_j for some j with $1 \leq j \leq \ell - 1$. It follows from the choice of u and v that $j \geq 2$. Let j be the largest suffix for which v is adjacent to u_j . Then the u_j, w -subpath of P together with the 2-path u_j, v, u forms a monophonic path containing three points of M ; hence u and v must have a common neighbour in $G - M$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *The mp-number of a connected triangle-free graph G with order $n \geq 3$ satisfies $\text{mp}(G) \leq \alpha(G)$. Moreover, if the length of any monophonic path is at most three, then $\text{mp}(G) = \alpha(G)$.*

Proof. Let M be a maximum mp-set in a triangle-free graph G and let W_1, W_2, \dots, W_k be the components of $G[M]$. If $k = 1$, then $G[M]$ is a clique and so $\text{mp}(G) = |M| \leq \omega(G) = 2 \leq \alpha(G)$, so assume that $k \geq 2$. If any component W_i of $G[M]$ contains distinct vertices u, v , then by Lemma 3.1 u and v have a common neighbour in $G - M$, so that G would contain a triangle. It follows that each component of $G[M]$ consists of a single vertex, so that M is an independent set of G and $\text{mp}(G) \leq \alpha(G)$.

Let T be a maximum independent set of G . If three vertices of T lie on a common monophonic path P , then the length of P must be at least four. Therefore if the longest monophonic path of G has length at most three, then we have equality in the bound and any maximum independent set of G will be a maximum mp-set. \square

The bound in Theorem 3.2 is sharp; it is met by the caterpillar formed by adjoining one leaf to every internal vertex of a path, complete bipartite graphs $K_{r,r}$ and the corona product $C_n \odot K_1$ for $n \geq 4$. Hence this bound can be achieved by graphs with arbitrarily large diameter, minimum degree and girth.

Theorem 3.2 can be used to find the monophonic position numbers of some graphs with large girth. This problem is particularly interesting for the cage graphs. The unique cubic cages with girths five, six and seven are the Petersen graph, the Heawood graph and the McGee graph respectively; we omit the lengthy case argument used to prove the following result.

Theorem 3.3. *The monophonic position numbers of the Petersen graph, the Heawood graph and the McGee graph are three, three and two respectively.*

This motivates the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.4. *For sufficiently large g , the monophonic position number of a (d, g) -cage G satisfies $\text{mp}(G) < d$.*

We now extend Corollary 2.4 to give a result for unicyclic graphs.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a unicyclic graph and let C be the unique cycle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{s-1} of G , where $v_i \sim v_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-1$ and $v_0 \sim v_{s-1}$. Define $R = \{i : 0 \leq i \leq s-1, d(v_i) \geq 3 \text{ in } G\}$ and put $r = |R|$. For all $i \in R$ let the component of $G - \{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}$ containing v_i be denoted by T_i .*

Then

$$\text{mp}(G) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } G = K_3 \\ \ell(G) + 2, & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ or } G = C_s, s \geq 4, \\ \ell(G) + 1, & \text{if } r = 2, R = \{i, j\} \text{ and either } T_i \text{ or } T_j \text{ is a path,} \\ \ell(G) + 1, & \text{if } r = 2 \text{ and } R = \{i, i + 1\} \text{ for some } 0 \leq i \leq s - 1, \\ \ell(G), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let M be a maximum mp-set of G containing as many leaves as possible. If $G = C$, then the result is trivial, so we can suppose that there exists a vertex on C with degree ≥ 3 in G , so that $R \neq \emptyset$. Clearly each T_i is a tree. Also for $i \in R$ let T'_i be the set of leaves of G that lie in T_i . It is easily verified that for any $i \in R$ the set $T'_i \cup \{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}$ is in monophonic position, so it follows that $\text{mp}(G) \geq 2 + \max\{|T'_i| : i \in R\}$.

By Corollary 2.4 each T_i can contain at most $\ell(T_i)$ points in monophonic position in G . Also v_i is a leaf of T_i if and only if $d(v_i) = 3$ in G . Suppose that $|M \cap T_i| = \ell(T_i)$ and $d(v_i) = 3$ in G , so that v_i is a leaf of T_i . Let P_i be a maximal path (possibly with length zero) x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t in T_i such that $x_0 = v_i$ and $d(x_j) = 2$ in T_i for $1 \leq j \leq t$. Deleting P_i from T_i leaves a tree with $\ell(T_i) - 1$ leaves and, by our assumption that M contains as many leaves of G as possible, all of the leaves of $T_i - P_i$ are contained in M ; let x be one of these leaves. Also, as T_i contributes $\ell(T_i)$ vertices to M , P_i must contain a vertex y of M . Therefore if M contains a vertex $z \in V(G - T_i)$, then there will be a monophonic path in G from x to z through y . Therefore for any $i \in R$ if $|M \cap T_i| = \ell(T_i)$ and $d(v_i) = 3$ in G we have $\text{mp}(G) = \ell(T_i)$; however, this is smaller than the lower bound $\text{mp}(G) \geq 2 + \max\{|T'_i| : i \in R\}$, a contradiction. Therefore each tree T_i for $i \in R$ contributes at most $|T'_i|$ vertices to M . As the cycle C can contain at most two points of M , it follows that $\ell(G) \leq \text{mp}(G) \leq \ell(G) + 2$, where the first inequality comes from Lemma 2.10.

If $r = 1$, then the lower bound $\text{mp}(G) \geq 2 + \max\{|T'_i| : i \in R\} = \ell(G) + 2$ shows that $\text{mp}(G) = \ell(G) + 2$, so we can assume that $r \geq 2$. We have $|M| = |M \cap (C - R)| + \sum_{i=1}^r |M \cap T_i|$. If $r = s$ (i.e. if each vertex on C has degree ≥ 3), then as each tree T_i can contribute at most $|T'_i|$ vertices to M , it follows instantly that $\text{mp}(G) = \ell(G)$.

Suppose that R contains two vertices v_i and v_j that are not neighbours on C . As there is a monophonic path from any vertex of T_i to any vertex of T_j through any vertex of C , M cannot contain any vertices in $V(T_i) \cup V(T_j) \cup V(C - R)$; hence we cannot have equality in $\text{mp}(G) \leq \ell(G) + 2$, so that $\text{mp}(G) \leq \ell(G) + 1$. Furthermore if $\text{mp}(G) = \ell(G) + 1$, then this reasoning shows that $r = 2$, M contains two vertices of C and M does not intersect one of $T_i - \{v_i\}$ or $T_j - \{v_j\}$, say $M \cap (T_j - \{v_j\}) = \emptyset$, and T_j must be a path; in this case $T'_i \cup \{v_{i-1}, v_{i+1}\}$ is an mp-set containing $\ell(G) + 1$ vertices, so that $\text{mp}(G) = \ell(G) + 1$, but if these conditions are not met, then $\text{mp}(G) = \ell(G)$.

It remains only to deal with the case $R = \{i, i + 1\}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_s$. If both T_i and T_{i+1} contain points of M , then $C - R$ can contain at most one point of M , so that $\text{mp}(G) \leq \ell(G) + 1$; conversely the set $\{v_{i-1}\} \cup T'_i \cup T'_{i+1}$ is in monophonic position, so that we have equality, thereby completing the proof. \square

In [16] the authors determined the general position number of the join and corona product of graphs.

Theorem 3.6 ([16]). *For graphs G and H the general position number of the join $G \vee H$ and the corona product $G \odot H$ are given by*

$$\text{gp}(G \vee H) = \max\{\omega(G) + \omega(H), \alpha^\omega(G), \alpha^\omega(H)\}$$

and if G is connected and has order $n(G)$, then

$$\text{gp}(G \odot H) = n(G)\alpha^\omega(H).$$

We now determine the corresponding relation for the monophonic position numbers.

Proposition 3.7. *Let G be a connected graph with order $n = n(G)$ and let H be any graph. Then $\text{mp}(G \odot H) = n(G)\text{mp}(H)$.*

Proof. Let $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and let H_1, \dots, H_n be the corresponding copies of H in $G \odot H$. Let M be a maximum mp-set of $G \odot H$. By Lemma 2.9 we can assume that M does not contain any cut-vertices of $G \odot H$. Observe that every vertex of G is a cut-vertex in $G \odot H$, so that we can take M to lie entirely in $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_n$. It is easily seen that each set $M \cap V(H_i)$ must be in monophonic position; it follows that $|M| \leq n(G)\text{mp}(H)$. Conversely, if S is a maximum mp-set of H and for each $i \in [n]$ the corresponding set in H_i is S_i , then $S_1 \cup S_2 \cup \dots \cup S_n$ is in monophonic position. Therefore $\text{mp}(G \odot H) = n(G)\text{mp}(H)$. \square

Proposition 3.8. *The monophonic position number of the join $G \vee H$ of graphs G and H is related to the monophonic position numbers of G and H by*

$$\text{mp}(G \vee H) = \max\{\omega(G) + \omega(H), \text{mp}(G), \text{mp}(H)\}.$$

Proof. It is evident that an mp-set in G is an mp-set in $G \vee H$, so that $\text{mp}(G \vee H) \geq \text{mp}(G)$ and likewise $\text{mp}(G \vee H) \geq \text{mp}(H)$. Also the union of a clique in G and a clique in H is a clique in $G \vee H$ and is hence an mp-set in $G \vee H$, so it follows that $\text{mp}(G \vee H) \geq \max\{\omega(G) + \omega(H), \text{mp}(G), \text{mp}(H)\}$. For the opposite direction, let M be a maximum mp-set in $G \vee H$ and set $M_1 = M \cap V(G)$ and $M_2 = M \cap V(H)$. If $M_1 = \emptyset$ or $M_2 = \emptyset$, then trivially $\text{mp}(G \vee H) \leq \max\{\text{mp}(G), \text{mp}(H)\}$, so suppose that M_1 and M_2 are both non-empty. Suppose that there exist distinct $x_1, x_2 \in M_1$ such that $x_1 \not\sim x_2$; in this case if $y \in M_2$, then x_1, y, x_2 would be a monophonic path in $G \vee H$, a contradiction. Therefore M_1 and M_2 must both induce cliques in G and H respectively, so that $\text{mp}(G \vee H) \leq \omega(G) + \omega(H)$. \square

4. Complements of bipartite graphs and split graphs

Cographs are induced P_4 -free graphs. As cographs are distance-hereditary, the mp- and gp-numbers of these graphs are equal by Observation 2.3. It is therefore of interest to study the mp-numbers of induced P_5 -free graphs. The 5-cycle C_5 is induced P_5 -free, but $\text{mp}(C_5) = 2$ and $\text{gp}(C_5) = 3$, which shows that in this more general class of graphs equality does not necessarily hold for the mp- and gp-numbers. We therefore consider two well-known classes of induced P_5 -free graphs, namely the complement of connected bipartite graphs and split graphs. Since the complement of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is disconnected, clearly $\text{mp}(\overline{K_{m,n}}) = m + n$.

Let G be a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) and let $S \subseteq V(G)$. Fix $S_A = S \cap A$ and $S_B = S \cap B$. A set $X \subseteq A$ (or B) is *uniform* in G if $N_G(u) = N_G(v)$ for all $u, v \in X$; we call $S \subseteq V(G)$ a *uniform set* if both S_A and S_B are uniform in G . Let $\psi(G)$ denote the maximum order of a uniform set in G .

Theorem 4.1. *If G is a connected bipartite graph with bipartition (A, B) , then $\text{mp}(\overline{G}) = \max\{\alpha(G), \psi(G)\}$.*

Proof. Let S be a maximum monophonic position set in \overline{G} . Then both S_A and S_B are cliques in \overline{G} . If $S_A = \emptyset$ or $S_B = \emptyset$, then it is clear that $|S| \leq \omega(\overline{G}) = \alpha(G)$. So assume that $S_A \neq \emptyset$ and $S_B \neq \emptyset$. If there is an edge between S_A and S_B in \overline{G} , then it is easily seen that each vertex of S_A is adjacent to all vertices of S_B . Thus S induces a clique in \overline{G} and so $|S| \leq \omega(\overline{G}) = \alpha(G)$. Hence in the following we can assume that there is no edge between S_A and S_B in \overline{G} . Thus S induces a complete bipartite subgraph in G .

Next, we claim that S is uniform. Assume to the contrary that there exist vertices $u, v \in S_A$ and $w \in B$ such that w is adjacent to v but not to u in G . Then $w \notin S_B$. Choose $x \in S_B$ arbitrarily. Then the path v, u, w, x is a (v, x) -monophonic path in \overline{G} containing the vertex u , a contradiction to the fact that S is an mp-set of \overline{G} . Thus S must be uniform in G and so $|S| \leq \psi(G)$. Therefore, whether or not S_A or S_B are empty, we have $\text{mp}(\overline{G}) \leq \max\{\alpha(G), \psi(G)\}$.

On the other hand, it is clear that $\text{mp}(\overline{G}) \geq \omega(\overline{G}) = \alpha(G)$. Choose $S \subseteq V(G)$ to be a maximum uniform set in G . Then both S_A and S_B are cliques in \overline{G} . If there is no edge between S_A and S_B in G , then S induces a clique in \overline{G} , so that S is in monophonic position and $\text{mp}(\overline{G}) \geq |S| = \psi(G)$. Hence we can assume that there is an edge between S_A and S_B in G . Since S is uniform it follows that each vertex of S_A is adjacent to all the vertices of S_B in G . Hence there is no edge between S_A and S_B in \overline{G} . Again, since S is uniform, it follows that each vertex in A is either adjacent to all the vertices of S_B or is not adjacent to any vertex of S_B . Similarly, each vertex in B is either adjacent to all vertices of S_A or is not adjacent to any vertex of S_A . This shows that S is an mp-set in \overline{G} . Hence $\text{mp}(\overline{G}) \geq |S| = \psi(G)$. This lower bound completes the proof. \square

For any tree T with diameter at least three, it is clear that $N(u) \neq N(v)$ for any pair of non-adjacent vertices u and v in T . Hence $\psi(T) = 2 \leq \alpha(T)$. This proves the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *If T is a tree with order $n \geq 3$, then*

$$\text{mp}(\overline{T}) = \begin{cases} n, & \text{if } \text{diam}(T) \leq 2, \\ \alpha(T), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

A similar argument yields the following results.

Corollary 4.3. *If $n, m \geq 2$, then*

$$\text{mp}(\overline{P_n \square P_m}) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } n = m = 2, \\ \alpha(P_n \square P_m) = \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 4.4. *If $k \geq 3$, then $\text{mp}(\overline{Q_k}) = 2^{k-1}$, where Q_k is the hypercube with order 2^k .*

A graph is a *split graph* if the vertex set can be partitioned into a clique C and an independent set I . If there is a vertex v that is adjacent to every vertex of $C \setminus \{v\}$ and non-adjacent with every vertex of $I \setminus \{v\}$ we will say that v is *divided*. Obviously there cannot be divided vertices in both C and I . If there are no divided vertices, then $\omega(G) = |C|$ and $\alpha(G) = |I|$. If G contains a divided vertex v , then by moving v from C into I if necessary, we can assume that any divided vertices lie in I and $\omega(G) = |C| + 1$ and $\omega(G) = |I|$. We define a separated subgraph (C', I') of the split graph $G = (C, I)$ as follows.

Definition 4.5. *Let $C' \subseteq C$ and $I' \subseteq I$. Then $G' = (C', I')$ is a separated subgraph of the split graph $G = (C, I)$ if and only if it satisfies one of the following two conditions:*

- *there is no edge from C' to I' in G or*
- *$|I'| = 1$ and $C' \cup I'$ induces a clique in G .*

Let $\phi(G)$ denote the order of a largest separated subgraph of G .

Note that any separated subgraph induces a complete split subgraph in the complement \overline{G} of G , but there can be subgraphs of G with this property that are not separated.

The subgraph induced by C is trivially separated, as is the subgraph induced by I . If there is a divided vertex v in I , then $(C, \{v\})$ is separated. This shows that we always have $\phi(G) \geq \max\{\omega(G), \alpha(G)\}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $G = (C, I)$ be a connected split graph. Then $\text{mp}(G) = \phi(G)$.*

Proof. Let $G' = (C', I')$ be any separated subgraph of $G = (C, I)$. It is easily verified that $V(G')$ is an mp-set of G , so that $\text{mp}(G) \geq \phi(G)$.

Conversely let M be any maximum mp-set of G . If M is contained entirely in C or I , then $|M| \leq \max\{\omega(G), \alpha(G)\} \leq \phi(G)$, so we assume that M intersects both C and I . Write $C' = M \cap C$ and $I' = M \cap I$. We show that (C', I') is a separated subgraph of G . Suppose that there is an edge between a vertex u of C' and a vertex v of I' in G . If v is not adjacent to some $u' \in C'$, then v, u, u' would be a monophonic path contained in M , so v must be adjacent to every vertex of C' . If there is a vertex $v' \in I' - \{v\}$, then there must be an edge from v' to C and hence there is a monophonic v, v' -path through C' , a contradiction, so that we must have $|I'| = 1$ in this case. Therefore (C', I') is separated and $\text{mp}(G) \leq \phi(G)$. \square

We noted before that for any split graph G we have $\text{mp}(G) = \phi(G) \geq \max\{\omega(G), \alpha(G)\}$. We now characterise the cases in which equality holds. For $X \subseteq V(C)$ and $Y \subseteq V(I)$ write $N_I(X) = I \cap (\bigcup_{x \in X} N(x))$ and $N_C(Y) = C \cap (\bigcup_{y \in Y} N(y))$. We make use of Hall's Theorem. If G is a bipartite graph with partite sets X, Y , then a *matching* in G is a set of independent edges between X and Y . The matching *saturates* X if every vertex of X is incident with an edge in the matching (and similarly for Y).

Theorem 4.7 ([17]). *Let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition (X, Y) . There is a matching that saturates X if and only if for every subset $S \subseteq X$ we have $|N(S)| \geq |S|$.*

We can consider any split graph $G = (C, I)$ as a bipartite graph G^* by deleting all edges in C and taking the partite sets of G^* to be C and I . By a matching between C and I in G we will mean a matching in the bipartite graph G^* .

Theorem 4.8. *If $G = (C, I)$ is a connected split graph with no divided vertices (so that $|C| = \omega(G)$ and $|I| = \alpha(G)$), then*

$$\text{mp}(G) = \phi(G) = \max\{\omega(G), \alpha(G)\}$$

if and only if there exists a matching in G between C and I that saturates either C or I . If G contains a divided vertex v then we have equality if and only if there is a matching between $C - \{v\}$ and $I - \{v\}$ that saturates either $C - \{v\}$ or $I - \{v\}$.

Proof. Let $G = (C, I)$ be a connected split graph. If G has divided vertices, then we will assume without loss of generality that they lie in I .

Let $G = (C', I')$ be an arbitrary largest separated subgraph of G . If $C' \cup I'$ induces a clique, then trivially $\phi(G) = \omega(G) \geq \alpha(G)$. By Hall's Theorem [17], if there is no matching between C and I that saturates I , then there is a subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $|N_C(J)| < |J|$. Then $(C \setminus N_C(J), J)$ is separated and has order $> |C| = \omega(G)$. Therefore there must be a divided vertex in I and $\omega(G) = |C| + 1$.

Suppose in this case that there is no matching from $I - \{v\}$ to C that saturates $I - \{v\}$. Again by Hall's Theorem there is a subset $J \subseteq I - \{v\}$ such that $|N_C(J)| < |J|$, so that $(C - N_C(J), J)$ is separated and has size $> |C|$ and hence has size exactly $|C| + 1 = \omega(G)$. This separated subgraph does not contain v and so adding v to this subgraph does not yield an mp-set, which implies that $|C| \leq 1$ and $2 \geq \omega(G) \geq \alpha(G)$. The only such connected split graphs are P_1, P_2 and P_3 and the result is easily verified for these graphs. Therefore we can assume that there is no edge between C' and I' .

Suppose that there is a matching between C and I that saturates C . We have $N_I(C') \subseteq I - I'$. By Hall's Theorem it follows that $|C'| \leq |N_I(C')| \leq |I - I'|$. This shows that $\phi(G) = |C'| + |I'| \leq |I| \leq \alpha(G)$. Now let there be a matching between C and I that saturates I . Then again by Hall's Theorem we have $|N_C(I')| \geq |I'|$ and also $N_C(I') \subseteq C - C'$ and $\phi(G) \leq \omega(G)$. A similar argument gives the result if G has a divided vertex.

Conversely, assume that $\phi(G) = \max\{\omega(G), \alpha(G)\}$. If G has no divided vertices, suppose for a contradiction that G has no matching between C and I that saturates C or I . Let $\omega(G) \geq \alpha(G)$. By Hall's Theorem there is a subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $|N_C(J)| < |J|$. Then $(C \setminus N_C(J), J)$ is separated in G , so that $\phi(G) \geq |C| + 1 \geq |I| + 1$, a contradiction. The case $\alpha(G) \geq \omega(G)$ is similar.

Lastly let $\phi(G) = \max\{\omega(G), \alpha(G)\}$ and suppose that there is a divided vertex v in I . If $\omega(G) \geq \alpha(G)$, then $(C, \{v\})$ is separated and gives a maximum mp-set, which is a case that we have already considered. Hence we can assume that $\alpha(G) > \omega(G)$. As there is no matching between C and $I - \{v\}$ that saturates C , there is a subset $K \subseteq C$ with $|N_{I-\{v\}}(K)| < |K|$, so that $(K, I - (N_I(K) \cup \{v\}))$ is separated and has size $\alpha(G)$, but does not contain v . Again the fact that v cannot be added to this set to give an mp-set yields a contradiction. \square




Figure 2: H_4 with a maximum gp-set

5. Realisation results

In this paper we have presented some properties of the monophonic position number of graphs. In some respects this graph parameter behaves like the more widely studied general position number. It is therefore of interest to ask whether there is a relation between the two numbers other than the trivial inequality $\text{mp}(G) \leq \text{gp}(G)$? We will show that these two parameters are independent by proving the following realisation result: for any pair $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2 \leq a \leq b$ there exists a graph G with $\text{mp}(G) = a$ and $\text{gp}(G) = b$. Firstly observe that if $a = b$, then trivially the complete graph K_a has the required properties, so in the following we will assume that $a < b$.

Theorem 5.1. *For any $b \geq 2$ there exists a graph G with $\text{mp}(G) = 2$ and $\text{gp}(G) = b$.*

Proof. The 5-cycle C_5 has $\text{mp}(C_5) = 2$, $\text{gp}(C_5) = 3$, so we can assume that $b \geq 4$. We define the *half-wheel* graph H_r for $r \geq 2$ as follows. Take a cycle C_{2r} of length $2r$ and label the vertices $1, 2, 3, \dots, 2r$ in the natural manner. Add an extra vertex x and join x to all vertices in C_{2r} with even labels. An example is shown in Figure 2.

We will show that for $r \geq 4$ H_r has $\text{mp}(H_r) = 2$ and $\text{gp}(G) = r$. First let M be an mp-set in H_r . As the cycle C_{2r} in H_r has no chords M contains at most two points in C_{2r} . Therefore $\text{mp}(H_r) \leq 3$ and equality holds only if M contains x and two vertices of the cycle, call them i and j , where $i, j \in [2r]$. If i and j are both even, then i, x, j is a monophonic path passing through three points of M , so we can take j to be odd. Suppose that i is even. If i and j are neighbours on C_{2r} , then j, i, x is a monophonic path containing three points of M . If j is not a neighbour of i on C_{2r} , then $d(i, j) = 3$ in H_r and $i, x, j+1, j$ (where addition is taken modulo $2r$) is again a monophonic i, j -path through x . Hence i and j must both be odd. If $d(i, j) = 2$, then we can take $j = i+2$ (again modulo $2r$) and $i, i-1, x, j+1, j$ is a monophonic i, j -path through x . Otherwise we must have $d(i, j) = 4$ and $i, i+1, x, j-1, j$ is a monophonic path. This shows that $\text{mp}(H_r) = 2$.

The set of all even integers on C_{2r} is a gp-set, so $\text{gp}(H_r) \geq r$. Suppose that there is a gp-set K in H_r with $r+1$ elements. Suppose that $x \notin K$. Then K contains two vertices that are neighbours on C_{2r} , without loss of generality say i and $i+1$ where i is even. Then the distance from $i+1$ to any even vertex j of C_{2r} apart from i and $i+2$ is 3 and $i+1, i, x, j$ is a geodesic. Also $i+2 \notin K$, as $i, i+1, i+2$ is a geodesic. Therefore K must consist of the

set of odd vertices of C_{2r} together with $\{i\}$. However $i - 1, i, i + 1$ would then be a geodesic containing three points of K .

Hence we can assume that $x \in K$. Suppose that K contains an even vertex i of C_{2r} . Between any two even vertices of C_{2r} there is a geodesic passing through x , so K can contain no other even vertex of C_{2r} . Also K cannot contain $i + 1$ or $i - 1$, as i is contained in $(i - 1), x$ - and $(i + 1), x$ -geodesics. Therefore K would have size at most $2 + (r - 2) = r$, a contradiction. Hence K consists of x and the odd vertices of C_{2r} ; however, $1, 2, x, 4, 5$ is a geodesic containing three points of K . It follows that for $r \geq 4$ we have $\text{gp}(H_r) = r$. \square

We now turn to the case of larger a .

Theorem 5.2. *For any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $3 \leq a \leq b$ there exists a graph G with $\text{mp}(G) = a$ and $\text{gp}(G) = b$.*

Proof. Let $3 \leq a < b$. Suppose firstly that $b \geq a + 2$. Join $a - 2$ pendant vertices to the central vertex x of the half-wheel graph H_{b-a+2} to give a graph $H_{b,a}$. By Theorem 5.1 there are at most two points in monophonic position and at most $b - a + 2 \geq 4$ vertices in general position in H_{b-a+2} , so, counting the pendant vertices, we have $\text{mp}(H_{b,a}) \leq a$ and $\text{gp}(H_{b,a}) \leq b$. On the other hand it is easily seen that the $a - 2$ pendant vertices together with any two even vertices on the cycle C_{2r} constitute an mp-set of $H_{b,a}$, whilst the $b - a + 2$ even vertices on C_{2r} and the pendant vertices form a gp-set of $H_{b,a}$ of size b .

Now let $b = a + 1$ and $a \geq 3$. Let W_5 be the wheel graph of order six with central vertex x with $d(x) = 5$. Label the vertices of the outer 5-cycle of W_5 by the elements of \mathbb{Z}_5 in the natural way. Let $W(a)$ be the graph formed from W_5 by attaching $a - 2$ pendant vertices to x . Let M be a largest mp-set of $W(a)$. The outer cycle C_5 of W_5 can contain at most two vertices of M . If $x \in M$, then M cannot contain both pendant vertices and vertices on the cycle C_5 of W_5 , so that $|M| \leq 3$. Therefore $|M| \leq 2 + (a - 2) = a$. Conversely the pendant vertices together with any two vertices of C_5 yield an mp-set of size a , so $\text{mp}(W(a)) = a$.

Let K be a maximum gp-set of $W(a)$. The 5-cycle contains at most three vertices in general position. Similarly to the previous case we can assume that $x \notin K$, so that $|K| \leq 3 + (a - 2) = a + 1$. As the vertices $0, 1, 3$ of C_5 and the $a - 2$ pendant vertices form a gp-set of size $a + 1$, we have $\text{gp}(W(a)) = a + 1$. This completes the existence proof. \square

Combining these constructions, we have completely classified the pairs (a, b) such that there exists a graph G with monophonic position number a and general position number b .

Corollary 5.3. *There exists a graph G with $\text{mp}(G) = a$ and $\text{gp}(G) = b$ if and only if $2 \leq a \leq b$ or $a = b = 1$.*

The *independent position number* of a graph G , which we will denote by $\text{igp}(G)$, is the largest number of vertices in a maximum set $S \subseteq V(G)$ that is both independent and in general position. This parameter was studied in [31]. If we define the *independent monophonic position number* $\text{imp}(G)$ to be the number of vertices in a maximum subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that S is independent and in monophonic position, then we have both $\text{imp}(G) \leq \text{mp}(G) \leq \text{gp}(G)$ and $\text{imp}(G) \leq \text{igp}(G) \leq \text{gp}(G)$. This raises the question whether there is a relationship between $\text{mp}(G)$ and $\text{igp}(G)$. We can easily answer this in the negative.

Theorem 5.4. *For any $1 \leq a, b$ there exists a graph G with $\text{igp}(G) = a$ and $\text{mp}(G) = b$ if and only if $1 = a \leq b$ or $2 \leq a, b$.*

Proof. The only graph with mp-number one is K_1 , which implies the necessity of the conditions. For $n \geq 1$ we have $\text{igp}(K_n) = 1$ and $\text{mp}(K_n) = n$, so we can assume that $2 \leq a, b$. For the case $a \leq b$, for $r \geq 0$ and $s \geq 1$, define $R(r, s)$ to be the graph formed by attaching r leaves to one vertex of a copy of K_{s+1} ; then we have $\text{mp}(R(r, s)) = r + s$ and $\text{igp}(R(r, s)) = r + 1$, so that the graph $R(a - 1, b - a + 1)$ has the required properties.

Consider now the case $a > b$. Let the graph $K_{s,s}^-$ be the complete bipartite graph $K_{s,s}$ with a perfect matching deleted. Form the graph $P(r, s)$ by adding an extra vertex x to $K_{s,s}^-$ and joining x by an edge to each vertex of one of the partite sets of $K_{s,s}^-$, then adding r leaves to x . This graph satisfies $\text{mp}(P(r, s)) = r + 2$ and $\text{igp}(P(r, s)) = r + s$. Hence the graph $P(a - 2, b - a + 2)$ has the necessary parameters. \square

The graph $R(r, s)$ from the proof of Theorem 5.4 can also be used to answer Question 2 from [23]. The *dissociation number* $\text{diss}(G)$ of a graph G is the number of vertices in a largest subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $G[S]$ has maximum degree at most one, whilst the *2-position-number* $\text{gp}_2(G)$ is the number of vertices in a largest set $K \subset V(G)$ such that no shortest path in G of length two contains three vertices of K . It is easily seen that any dissociation set is in 2-general position, so that $\text{diss}(G) \leq \text{gp}_2(G)$. Furthermore for $2 \leq a \leq b$ we have $\text{diss}(R(a - 2, b - a + 2)) = a$ and $\text{gp}_2(R(a - 2, b - a + 2)) = b$.

The *hull number* $h(G)$ of a graph G is the smallest number of vertices in a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that every vertex of G lies on a shortest path between members of S . The hull number has been widely studied, for example in [3, 5, 13]. In [6] it was shown that for any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2 \leq a \leq b$ there exists a graph G with $h(G) = a$ and $\text{gp}(G) = b$. The monophonic hull number $h_m(G)$ has been studied in [12, 14, 20, 29]. We now prove a realisation theorem for the monophonic hull number and the monophonic position number. We will use the following two results in our analysis.

Theorem 5.5 ([29]). *Every monophonic hull set of a graph G contains all its simplicial vertices.*

Observation 5.6. *Let M be a minimum monophonic hull set of a connected graph G and let $a, b \in M$. If a vertex $c \neq a, b$ lies on an a, b -monophonic path then $c \notin M$.*

It follows from Observation 5.6 that every minimum monophonic hull set of a connected graph G is an mp-set in G . Consequently, $2 \leq h_m(G) \leq \text{mp}(G) \leq n$. In view of this inequality, we have the following realisation result.

Theorem 5.7. *For all $n, a, b \geq 1$ there exists a connected graph G with $h_m(G) = a$, $\text{mp}(G) = b$ and order n if and only if $a = b = n = 1$ or $2 \leq a = b \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. The only graph with $h_m(G) = 1$ or $\text{mp}(G) = 1$ is K_1 , so assume that $a \geq 2$. If $b = n$, then G must be a clique, so that $a = b = n$. It remains only to prove the existence of a graph G with order n , $h_m(G) = a$ and $\text{mp}(G) = b$ for all $2 \leq a \leq b \leq n - 1$.

Let W be a clique of order b and P_ℓ be a path with length ℓ and vertices x_0, x_1, \dots, x_ℓ such that $x_i \sim x_{i+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$. For $1 \leq a \leq b$, let $G(a, b, \ell)$ be the graph formed

from W and P_ℓ by joining x_0 to $b - a + 1$ vertices of W . Write $X = V(W) \cap N(x_0)$ and $Y = V(W) \cap N(x_0)$, so that $|X| = a - 1$ and $|Y| = b - a + 1$. The order of $G(a, b, \ell)$ is $b + \ell + 1$. By Observation 5.6, any monophonic hull set of $G(a, b, n)$ must contain $X \cup \{x_\ell\}$; conversely, this subset is a monophonic hull set, so $h_m(G(a, b, \ell)) = a$. As the clique number of $G(a, b, \ell)$ is b , we have $\text{mp}(G(a, b, \ell)) \geq b$. For the converse, let M be a maximum mp-set of $G(a, b, \ell)$. By Corollary 2.4 M contains at most two vertices of P_ℓ and if $|M \cap V(P_\ell)| = 2$, then $M \cap W = \emptyset$ and $|M| \leq b$. If $|M \cap V(P_\ell)| = 1$, then M cannot contain vertices of both X and Y , so that $|M| \leq \max\{a, b - a + 2\} \leq b$. Thus $|M| = b$ and $\text{mp}(G(a, b, \ell)) = b$. Therefore the graph $G(a, b, n - b - 1)$ has the required properties. \square

6. Computational complexity

In this section, we study the computational complexity of the problem of finding the monophonic position number of a general graph. To this end, we formally define the decision version of the problem:

Definition 6.1. MONOPHONIC POSITION SET

INSTANCE: A graph G , a positive integer $k \leq |V(G)|$.

QUESTION: Is there a monophonic position set S for G such that $|S| \geq k$?

The problem is hard to solve as shown by the next theorem.

Theorem 6.2. The MONOPHONIC POSITION SET problem is NP-hard.

Proof. We prove that the CLIQUE problem polynomially reduces to MONOPHONIC POSITION SET. Here a definition of the decision version of the problem:

An instance of CLIQUE is given by a graph G and a positive integer $k \leq |V|$. The CLIQUE problem asks whether G contains a clique $K \subseteq V(G)$ of size k or more.

The NP-completeness of CLIQUE is well known, as it is one of the original list of 21 NP-complete problems presented in [21]. We polynomially transform an instance (G, k) of CLIQUE to an instance (G', k') of MONOPHONIC POSITION SET. In particular, given (G, k) we must construct a graph G' and a positive integer k' such that G has a clique of size k or more if and only if G' has a monophonic position set of size k' or more.

Given an instance (G, k) , the graph G' is built as follows:

$$V(G') = \{v', v'' \mid v \in V(G)\} \tag{1}$$

$$E(G') = \{u'v' \mid uv \in E(G)\} \cup \{u'v'' \mid u, v \in V(G)\} \cup \{u''v'' \mid u, v \in V(G)\} \tag{2}$$

In words, G' contains a subgraph H isomorphic to G and a clique graph H' of size $n = |V(G)|$ such that $G' = H \vee H'$. As for k' , we set $k' = n + k$. Clearly, this construction can be achieved in polynomial time. It remains to show that an instance (G, k) of CLIQUE has a positive answer if and only if (G', k') , instance of MONOPHONIC POSITION SET, has a positive answer.

As a preliminary results, note that $\omega(G') = \omega(G) + n$. Indeed, if S is any clique in G , then $S' = \{s' \mid s \in S\}$ is a clique in H , and $S' \cup V(H')$ is a clique in G' , since any vertex in

H' is a universal vertex of G' . Then $\omega(G') \geq \omega(G) + n$. On the contrary, if S is any clique of G' , then $|S \cap V(H)| \leq \omega(G)$ and $|S \cap V(H')| \leq n$. Hence $\omega(G') \leq \omega(G) + n$.

By Proposition 3.8, $\text{mp}(G') = \text{mp}(H \vee H') = \max\{\omega(H) + \omega(H'), \text{mp}(H), \text{mp}(H')\}$. We have that $\omega(H') = \text{mp}(H') = n$ for H' is a clique; $\text{mp}(H) = \text{mp}(G)$ and $\omega(H) = \omega(G) \leq n$ for H is isomorphic to G . Then $\text{mp}(G') = \max\{\omega(H) + n, \text{mp}(H), n\} = \omega(H) + n$, being $\text{mp}(H) \leq n$.

Now assume that an instance (G, k) of CLIQUE has a positive answer, then $\omega(G) = \omega(H) \geq k$. This implies that $\text{mp}(G') = \omega(H) + n \geq k + n = k'$, and hence MONOPHONIC POSITION SET has a positive answer. On the other hand, if the instance (G, k) of CLIQUE has a negative answer then $\omega(G) = \omega(H) < k$, which in turn implies that MONOPHONIC POSITION SET has a negative answer, since $\text{mp}(G') = \omega(H) + n < k + n$. \square

By the proof of Theorem 6.2, it is not clear if MONOPHONIC POSITION SET is NP-complete. However if we restrict the problem to instances (G, k) with $k > |V(G)|/2$ and $G = H \vee K$, where H is a generic graph and K is a clique graph having the same order of H , the problem is NP-complete; indeed, given a solution, it can be tested in polynomial time if it is a clique and if its size is larger than k .

Acknowledgements

The first author thanks the University of Kerala for providing JRF. The third author gratefully acknowledges funding support from EPSRC grant EP/W522338/1 and London Mathematical Society grant ECF-2021-27 and thanks the Open University for an extension of funding during lockdown. The authors thank Dr. Erskine for help with computation of the mp-numbers of cubic cages.

References

- [1] Akbari, S., Horsley, D. & Wanless, I.M., *Induced path factors of regular graphs*. J. Graph Theory 97 (2) (2021), 260-280.
- [2] Anand, B.S., Chandran S.V., U., Changat, M., Klavžar, S. & Thomas E.J., *Characterization of general position sets and its applications to cographs and bipartite graphs*. Appl. Math. Comput. 359 (2019), 84-89.
- [3] Araujo, J., Campos, V., Giroire, F., Nisse, N., Sampaio, L. & Soares, R., *On the hull number of some graph classes*. Theor. Comput. Sci. 475 (2013), 1-12.
- [4] Bondy, J.A. & Murty, U.S.R., *Graph Theory with Applications* London: Macmillan, Vol. 290 (1976).
- [5] Cagaanan, G.B. & Canoy Jr., S.R., *On the hull sets and hull number of the Cartesian product of graphs*. Discrete Math. 287 (1-3) (2004), 141-144.
- [6] Chandran S.V., U. & Parthasarathy, G.J., *The geodesic irredundant sets in graphs*. Int. J. Math. Comb. 4 (2016), 135-143.

- [7] Cicerone, S. & Di Stefano, G., *Networks with small stretch number*. J. Discrete Algorithms 2 (4) (2004), 383-405.
- [8] Chartrand, G., McCanna, J., Sherwani, N., Hossain, M. & Hashmi, J., *The induced path number of bipartite graphs*. Ars Comb. 37 (1994), 191-208.
- [9] Cornelsen, S. & Di Stefano, G. *Treelike comparability graphs*. Discrete Appl. Math. 157 (8) (2009), 1711-1722.
- [10] Di Stefano, G. *Distance-hereditary comparability graphs*. Discrete Appl. Math. 160 (18) (2012), 2669-2680.
- [11] Di Stefano, G., *Mutual visibility in graphs*. Appl. Math. Comput. 419 (2022), 126850.
- [12] Dourado, M.C., Protti, F. & Szwarcfiter, J.L., *Algorithmic aspects of monophonic convexity*. Electron. Notes Discrete Math. 30 (2008), 177-182.
- [13] Dourado, M.C., Gimbel, J.G., Kratochvíl, J., Protti, F. & Szwarcfiter, J.L., *On the computation of the hull number of a graph*. Discrete Math. 309 (18) (2009), 5668-5674.
- [14] Dourado, M.C., Protti, F. & Szwarcfiter, J.L., *Complexity results related to monophonic convexity*. Discrete Appl. Math. 158 (12) (2010), 1268-1274.
- [15] Dudeney, H.E., *Amusements in mathematics*. Nelson, Edinburgh (1917).
- [16] Ghorbani, M., Maimani, H.R., Momeni, M., Mahid, F.R., Klavžar, S. & Rus, G., *The general position problem on Kneser graphs and on some graph operations*. Discuss. Math. Graph T. 41 (4) (2019), 1199-1213.
- [17] Hall, P., *On Representatives of subsets*. J. London Math. Soc., 10.1 (1935), 26-30.
- [18] Howorka, E., *A characterization of distance-hereditary graphs*. Q. J. Math. 28 (4) (1977), 417-420.
- [19] Howorka, E., *A characterization of Ptolemaic graphs*. J. Graph Theory 5 (3) (1981), 323-331.
- [20] Hernando, C., Mora, M., Pelayo, I. & Seara, C., *On monophonic sets in graphs*. Discrete Math., submitted (2003).
- [21] Karp, R.M., *Reducibility Among Combinatorial Problems*. In Complexity of Computer Computations (1972), 85-103.
- [22] Klavžar, S., Kuziak, D., Peterin, I. & Yero, I.G., *A Steiner general position problem in graph theory*. Comput. Appl. Math. 40 (6) (2021), 1-15.
- [23] Klavžar, S., Rall, D.F. & Yero, I.G., *General d -position sets*. Ars Math. Contemp. 21 (1) (2021), 1-03.
- [24] Klavžar, S. and Yero, I.G., *The general position problem and strong resolving graphs*. Open Math. 17 (1) (2019), 1126-1135.

- [25] Manuel, P. & Klavžar, S., *A general position problem in graph theory*. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 98 (2) (2018), 177-187.
- [26] Manuel, P. & Klavžar, S. *The graph theory general position problem on some interconnection networks*. Fundam. Inform. 163 (4) (2018), 339-350.
- [27] Neethu, P.K., Chandran S.V., U., Changat, M. & Klavžar, S., *On the general position number of complementary prisms*. Fundam. Inform. 178 (3) (2021), 267-281.
- [28] Patkós, B., *On the general position problem on Kneser graphs*. Ars Math. Contemp. 18 (2) (2020), 273-280.
- [29] Pelayo, I.M., *Geodesic convexity in graphs*. New York: Springer (2013).
- [30] Thomas, E.J. & Chandran S.V., U., *Characterization of classes of graphs with large general position number*. AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb. (2020), 1-5.
- [31] Thomas, E.J. & Chandran S.V., U., *On independent position sets in graphs*. Proyecciones (Antofagasta) 40 (2) (2021), 385-398.