

A strengthening on odd cycles in graphs of given chromatic number

Jun Gao*

Qingyi Huo[†]Jie Ma[‡]

Abstract

Resolving a conjecture of Bollobás and Erdős, Gyárfás proved that every graph G of chromatic number $k + 1 \geq 3$ contains cycles of $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ distinct odd lengths. We strengthen this prominent result by showing that such G contains cycles of $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ consecutive odd lengths. Along the way, combining extremal and structural tools, we prove a stronger statement that every graph of chromatic number $k + 1 \geq 7$ contains k cycles of consecutive lengths, except that some block is K_{k+1} . As corollaries, this confirms a conjecture of Verstraëte and answers a question of Moore and West.

1 Introduction

One of the basic results in graph theory says that every graph of chromatic number k contains a cycle of length at least k . This leads to many natural studies on the relation between the chromatic number and the distribution of cycle lengths. One cornerstone in this direction is the following celebrated theorem, which was conjectured by Bollobás and Erdős [5] and proved by Gyárfás [9].

Theorem 1.1 (Gyárfás [9]). *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. If G is a graph of chromatic number $k + 1$, then G contains cycles of at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor$ distinct odd lengths.*

This result has inspired extensive research. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer and G be a graph of chromatic number $k + 1$. Mihok and Schiermeyer [16] obtained an analogue for even cycles that every such G contains cycles of at least $\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1$ distinct even lengths. Confirming a conjecture of Erdős [5], Kostochka, Sudakov and Verstraëte [12] showed that if such G does not contain a triangle, then it contains at least $\Omega(k^2 \log k)$ cycles of consecutive lengths. Recently, the authors and Liu [8] proved a conjecture of Sudakov and Verstraëte [20] that such G contains $k - 1$ cycles of consecutive lengths. We remark that Theorem 1.1 and these results of [8, 16] are all tight for G being the clique K_{k+1} . For related results, we refer readers to [2, 7, 10, 13, 15, 19, 23].

The aim of this note is to provide a common extension of Theorem 1.1 and the aforementioned results of [8, 16] on cycles of consecutive lengths in graphs of given chromatic number.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $k \geq 6$ be an integer. If G is a graph of chromatic number $k + 1$, then G contains k cycles of consecutive lengths, except that some block of G is K_{k+1} .*

Depending on if the graphs contain a triangle or not, we treat the proof of Theorem 1.2 differently. The proof for graphs without a triangle is motivated by [12] and utilizes extremal arguments, where we

*School of Mathematical Sciences, USTC, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China. Email: gj0211@mail.ustc.edu.cn.

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences, USTC, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China. Email: qyhuo@mail.ustc.edu.cn.

[‡]School of Mathematical Sciences, USTC, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China. Email: jiema@ustc.edu.cn. Partially supported by National Key Research and Development Project SQ2020YFA070080, NSFC grant 11622110, and Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies grant AHY150200.

use a new lemma on A - B paths (see Lemma 3.2). On the other hand, the proof for graphs containing a triangle follows the line of [8] and relies on the structural analysis.

As an attempt to have a common generalization of the results of [9] and [16], Verstraëte conjectured in [22, Conjecture XVI] that for any $k \geq 2$, if G is a graph of chromatic number $k + 1$, then G contains $k - 1$ cycles of consecutive lengths which start with an odd number. The case $k = 2$ is obvious and the cases $k \geq 6$ follow as a direct corollary of Theorem 1.2. The following is another result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. If G is a graph of chromatic number $k + 1$, then there exists some m such that G contains $k - 1$ cycles of lengths $2m + 1, 2m + 2, \dots, 2m + k - 1$, respectively.*

Here we give a proof for every $k \geq 5$. Unfortunately the proof of Theorem 1.3 for the cases $k = 3, 4$ requires different techniques and a lengthy argument, which we present in a separate note and upload as an ancillary file to arXiv.

Using Theorem 1.2, we also can answer a recent question of Moore and West [17]. A graph is k -critical if it has chromatic number k but deleting any edge will decrease the chromatic number. Moore and West [17, Question 2] asked whether every $(k + 1)$ -critical non-complete graph has a cycle of length 2 modulo k . By Theorem 1.2, we can give an affirmative answer to this question in the following form.

Theorem 1.4. *For $k \geq 6$, every $(k + 1)$ -critical non-complete graph contains cycles of all lengths modulo k .*

We remark that Theorem 1.4 also holds for $3 \leq k \leq 5$. The case $k = 3$ follows by the results in [4, 14, 18], while the complete proof of the cases $k = 4, 5$ can be found in [11] which was submitted very recently. More results related to cycle lengths modulo k can be found in [3, 6, 8, 21].

Returning back to Theorem 1.2, we now give an example to show that the existence of k cycles of consecutive lengths in graphs of chromatic number $k + 1$ which do not contain K_{k+1} is almost tight: for $k \geq 3$, let H_k be obtained by joining every vertex of the complete graph K_{k-2} to every vertex of the cycle C_5 . Then H_k has chromatic number $k + 1$ and precisely $k + 1$ cycles of consecutive lengths (namely $3, 4, \dots, k + 3$, respectively). Note that H_k is also $(k + 1)$ -critical. It seems plausible that every non-complete $(k + 1)$ -critical graph contains $k + 1$ cycles of consecutive lengths. Moreover, we wonder if the following much stronger bound on consecutive cycle lengths can hold for $(k + 1)$ -critical graphs.

Question 1.5. *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Is there a function $f_k(n)$ which goes to infinity as n goes to infinity such that every n -vertex $(k + 1)$ -critical graph contains $f_k(n)$ cycles of consecutive lengths?*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notation and some results in [8]. In Section 3, we give a new lemma on A - B paths. In Sections 4 and 5, we consider graphs containing a triangle and graphs without a triangle of chromatic number at least seven, respectively. In Section 6, we investigate graphs of chromatic number six. In Section 7, we complete the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

2 Preliminaries

We follow the notation in [8, 13]. Throughout the paper, we write $[k]$ for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ for a positive integer k . Let G be a graph. For a non-trivial partition (A, B) of $V(G)$,¹ we say a path P is an A - B path if one end of P is in A and another is in B . Let P be a path in a graph G . Let $|P|$ be the number of edges in P . We say P is an *even* (respectively, *odd*) path if $|P|$ is an even (respectively, odd) number.

¹A partition (A, B) is *non-trivial* if each of A and B is non-empty.

Let C be a cycle with vertices v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{t-1} in cyclic order. Let $C_{i,j}$ denote the subpath $v_i v_{i+1} \dots v_j$ of C , where the indices are taken under the additive group \mathbb{Z}_t .

Let H be a subgraph of G . For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let $N_G(v)$ be the neighborhood of v in G . We say that H and a vertex $v \in V(G) - V(H)$ are *adjacent* in G if v is adjacent in G to some vertex in $V(H)$. We say that v is a neighbor of H if and only if v and H are adjacent. Let $N_G(H) = \bigcup_{v \in V(H)} N_G(v) - V(H)$ be the neighborhood of H in G and $N_G[H] = N_G(H) \cup V(H)$ be the closed neighborhood of H in G . For $S \subseteq V(G)$, we say that a graph G' is *obtained from G by contracting S* into a vertex s , if $V(G') = (V(G) - S) \cup \{s\}$ and $E(G') = E(G - S) \cup \{vs : v \in V(G) - S \text{ is adjacent to } S \text{ in } G\}$. For two vertex-disjoint subgraphs H_1 and H_2 of G . Let $N_{H_1}(H_2) := N_G(H_2) \cap V(H_1)$. A vertex v of a connected graph G is a *cut-vertex* of G if $G - v$ contains at least two components. A *block* B in G is a maximal connected subgraph of G such that there is no cut-vertex of B . Note that a block is an isolated vertex, an edge or a 2-connected graph. An *end-block* in G is a block in G containing at most one cut-vertex of G .

We say that (G, x, y) is a *rooted graph* if G is a graph and x, y are two distinct vertices of G . The *minimum degree* of a rooted graph (G, x, y) is $\min\{d_G(v) : v \in V(G) - \{x, y\}\}$. We also say that a rooted graph (G, x, y) is *2-connected* if $G + xy$ is 2-connected. We say that k paths or k cycles P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k are *admissible* if $|P_1| \geq 2$ and $|P_1|, |P_2|, \dots, |P_k|$ form an arithmetic progression of length k with common difference one or two. We need the following results in [8].

Theorem 2.1 ([8], Theorem 3.1). *Let k be a positive integer. If (G, x, y) is a 2-connected rooted graph of minimum degree at least $k + 1$, then there exist k admissible paths between x and y in G .*

Define K_4^- to be the graph obtained from K_4 by deleting one edge.

Lemma 2.2 ([8], Lemma 5.2). *Let $k \geq 3$ and G be a 3-connected graph of minimum degree at least k . If G contains a K_3 but does not contain a K_4^- , then G contains k cycles of consecutive lengths.*

3 A new lemma on A - B paths

The following well-known lemma is due to Bondy and Simonovits [1] and, independently, Verstraëte [23].

Lemma 3.1 ([1, 23]). *Let G be a graph comprising a cycle with a chord and (A, B) be a non-trivial partition of $V(G)$. Then G contains A - B paths of every length less than $|V(G)|$, unless G is bipartite with the bipartition (A, B) .*

We prove a modified version of Lemma 3.1 as follows.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a connected graph of minimum degree at least three and (A, B) be a non-trivial partition of $V(G)$. For any cycle C in G , there exist A - B paths of every length less than $|V(C)|$ in G , unless G is bipartite with the bipartition (A, B) .*

Proof. We assume that (A, B) is not a bipartition of G (even if G is bipartite). Let $C := v_0 v_1 \dots v_s$.

First consider that $V(C) \subseteq A$. Since G is connected, there exists a path Q which links some $u \in B$ and a vertex say v_0 in C internally disjoint from $V(C)$. We may assume that $V(Q) - u \subseteq A$ and write $Q = x_0 x_1 \dots x_t$, where $x_0 = v_0$ and $x_t = u$. It is straightforward to see that $x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_t$ and $C_{0,j} \cup Q$ for $0 \leq i \leq t - 1$ and $j \in [s]$ form A - B paths of every length less than $|V(C)| + |V(Q)| - 1$.

So we may assume that $V(C) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and similarly, $V(C) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then $(A \cap V(C), B \cap V(C))$ is a non-trivial partition of $V(C)$. We say that an edge in G is *crossing* if one of its endpoints is in A and the other is in B , and *internal* otherwise.

Suppose that there is an internal edge in $G[V(C)]$. Then $(A \cap V(C), B \cap V(C))$ is not a bipartition of $G[V(C)]$. By Lemma 3.1, we may assume that C is an induced cycle in G . So $E(C)$ has an internal edge. Since $(A \cap V(C), B \cap V(C))$ is non-trivial, there is also a crossing edge in $E(C)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $v_s \in A$ and $v_0, v_1 \in B$. As $\delta(G) \geq 3$ and C is an induced cycle, v_0 has a neighbor $x \in V(G) \setminus V(C)$. If $x \in A$, then one of $C_{j,0} \cup xv_0$ and $C_{j,1}$ is an A - B path of length $s - j + 2$ for each $2 \leq j \leq s$; otherwise $x \in B$, then one of $xv_0 \cup C_{0,j}$ and $C_{s,j}$ is an A - B path of length $j + 1$ for each $1 \leq j \leq s - 1$. Note that a crossing edge is an A - B path of length 1, such an edge exists as $(V(C) \cap A, V(C) \cap B)$ is a non-trivial partition. Hence in either case, G contains A - B paths of every length less than $|V(C)|$.

Therefore, we may assume that every edge in $G[V(C)]$ is crossing. This shows that s is odd. Since (A, B) is not a bipartition of G , there exists at least one internal edge in $G - E(G[V(C)])$. By the connectedness of G , there exists a path Q' starting with an internal edge f and ending with a vertex say v_0 in C such that Q' is internally disjoint from $V(C)$ and all edges in $E(Q') - f$ are crossing. Then $Q' \cup C_{0,s}$ is a path of length at least $s + 1$, whose first edge is internal and all other edges are crossing. It is not hard to see that $Q' \cup C_{0,s}$ contains subpaths which are A - B paths of every length at most $s + 1 = |V(C)|$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.2. \blacksquare

4 Graphs containing a triangle

We devote this section to a sharp result on consecutive cycles in graphs containing a triangle. This improves some results in [8, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2] to 2-connected graphs.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Every 2-connected graph G of minimum degree at least k containing a triangle K_3 contains k cycles of consecutive lengths, except that $G = K_{k+1}$.*

Proof. Assume that G is a 2-connected graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$ such that it contains a triangle and $G \neq K_{k+1}$. First, suppose that G contains a separating set $S = \{s_1, s_2\}$. Let X and Y form a partition of $V(G) - S$, which are separated by S in G . Let T_0 be a K_3 in G and denote $V(T_0)$ by $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$. Since T_0 is a clique, we may assume that T_0 is contained in $G[X \cup S]$. Note that $(G[X \cup S], s_1, s_2)$ is 2-connected. There exist two disjoint paths L_1, L_2 from S to $V(T_0)$ internally disjoint from $V(T_0)$ in $G[X \cup S]$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that L_i links s_i and u_i for $i = 1, 2$. So $L'_1 := L_1 \cup u_1u_2 \cup L_2$, $L'_2 := L_1 \cup u_1u_3u_2 \cup L_2$ are 2 paths of consecutive lengths from s_1 to s_2 in $G[X \cup S]$. Also, it is easy to check that $(G[Y \cup S], s_1, s_2)$ is a 2-connected rooted graph of minimum degree at least k . Then by Theorem 2.1, there exist $k - 1$ admissible paths P_1, P_2, \dots, P_{k-1} from s_1 to s_2 in $G[Y \cup S]$. Concatenating each of these paths with L'_1, L'_2 , we obtain k cycles of consecutive lengths.

Therefore, we may assume that G is 3-connected. So $k \geq 3$. If G does not contain a K_4^- , then by Lemma 2.2, G contains k cycles of consecutive lengths. Therefore, G contains a K_4^- . Let T_1 be a K_4^- in G with $V(T_1) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, where v_1 has degree two in T_1 and v_2 is a neighbor of v_1 . Let K be a maximal clique in $G - \{v_1, v_2\}$ containing v_3, v_4 and let $t = |V(K)|$. We may assume that $t \leq k - 1$ (as, otherwise, we can easily find k cycles of lengths $3, 4, \dots, k + 2$, respectively).

Suppose that $t = k - 1$. Since G has minimum degree at least k and $G \neq K_{k+1}$, we may assume that $|V(G)| \geq k + 2$. Let $H := G[V(K) \cup \{v_1, v_2\}]$. Let x be a vertex in $G - H$. Since G is 3-connected, there exist three internally disjoint paths M_1, M_2, M_3 from x to $V(H)$ internally disjoint from H . Let y_i be the end of M_i in H for $i = 1, 2, 3$. It is easy to see that there exists an i and j where $i \neq j$ such that there are k paths P_1, \dots, P_k which up to relabelling, having lengths $1, 2, \dots, k$ from y_i to y_j in H , respectively. Concatenating each of these paths with $M_i \cup M_j$, we obtain k cycles of consecutive lengths.

Therefore, $t \leq k - 2$. Let F be the component of $G - K$ containing $\{v_1, v_2\}$. By the maximality of K , every vertex in $G - (K \cup \{v_1, v_2\})$ has at most $t - 1$ neighbors in K . So $\delta((F, v_1, v_2)) \geq k - t + 1$.

Suppose that F is 2-connected. Then (F, v_1, v_2) is a 2-connected rooted graph of minimum degree at least $k - t + 1$. By Theorem 2.1, there exist $k - t$ admissible paths Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_{k-t} from v_1 to v_2 in F . Note that there exist $t + 1$ paths of length $1, 2, \dots, t + 1$ from v_1 to v_2 in $G[K \cup \{v_1, v_2\}]$, respectively. Concatenating each of these paths with Q_i for $i \in [k - t]$, we obtain k cycles of consecutive lengths.

Therefore F is not 2-connected. Suppose that there is a leaf y in F . Then y has at least $k - 1$ neighbors in K . It follows that $|K| \geq k - 1$, a contradiction. So $|V(F)| \geq 3$ and every end-block of F is 2-connected. Let B be an end-block of F with cut-vertex b such that $v_1, v_2 \notin V(B) - b$. Let G_1 be the graph obtained from $G[B \cup (N_G(B - b) \cap K)]$ by contracting $N_G(B - b) \cap K$ into a vertex w . It is clear that (G_1, w, b) is a 2-connected rooted graph of minimum degree at least $k - t + 2$. By Theorem 2.1, there exist $k - t + 1$ admissible paths from w to b in G_1 . Hence, G contains $k - t + 1$ admissible paths R_i from a vertex $p_i \in N_G(B - b) \cap K$ to b for $i \in [k - t + 1]$ internally disjoint from $K \cup (F - (B - b))$. Let L be a fixed path from b to $\{v_1, v_2\}$ in $F - (B - b)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that L links b and v_1 . Note that there exist t paths from v_1 to p_i in $G[K \cup \{v_1, v_2\}]$ with lengths $2, 3, \dots, t + 1$, respectively, for each $i \in [k - t + 1]$. Concatenating these paths with $R_i \cup L$, we obtain k cycles of consecutive lengths. This proves Theorem 4.1. \blacksquare

5 Graphs without a triangle

In this section, we prove the following result on K_3 -free graphs.² Its proof ideas can be traced back to [12]. Our new ingredient is Lemma 3.2, which assembles the parts of the proof.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $k \geq 6$ be an integer. If G is a K_3 -free graph of chromatic number $k + 1$, then G contains k cycles of consecutive lengths.*

To facilitate the use of Lemma 3.2, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $k \geq 3$ be an integer. Let G be a 2-connected graph of minimum degree at least k . If G is K_3 -free, then G contains a cycle of length at least $2k + 2$, except that $G = K_{k,n}$ for some $n \geq k$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a 2-connected graph G with $\delta(G) \geq k$, which is not $K_{k,n}$ for any $n \geq k$ and does not contain a cycle of length at least $2k + 2$. We first prove some properties about the longest paths in G . Let $P = v_0 v_1 \dots v_\ell$ be any longest path in G which has length ℓ .

Claim 1. *The neighborhood of v_0 is contained in $V(P)$. Further, $N_G(v_0)$ consists of k vertices such that either (a) $\ell \geq 2k - 1$ and $N_G(v_0) = \{v_{2i+1} : 0 \leq i \leq k - 1\}$, or (b) $\ell \geq 2k$ and $N_G(v_0) = \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{s-3}, v_s, v_{s+2}, \dots, v_{2k}\}$ for some even integer $4 \leq s \leq 2k$.*

Proof of Claim 1. Since P is a longest path in G , it is clear that $N_G(v_0) \subseteq V(P)$. Let $v_{p_1}, v_{p_2}, \dots, v_{p_t}$ be all neighbors of v_0 in P , where $1 = p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_t$ and $t \geq k$. Since G is K_3 -free, $p_{i+1} - p_i \geq 2$ for each i . Also by the assumption we see $p_t \leq 2k$. The result now follows by an easy analysis. \blacksquare

Claim 2. *There is no cycle of length $\ell + 1$ in G . Hence, $v_0 v_\ell \notin E(G)$ and $\ell \geq 2k$.*

Proof of Claim 2. Suppose to the contrary that there is a cycle $C := x_0 x_1 \dots x_\ell x_0$, where the indices are taken under the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell+1}$. Since $C_{0,\ell}$ is a longest path in G and G is connected, we have $V(G) = V(C)$ (otherwise one can find a longer path, a contradiction). As $v_0 v_\ell \in E(G)$, by Claim 1, we

²A graph is K_3 -free if it does not contain a triangle as a subgraph.

see $2k - 1 \leq \ell \leq 2k$. Suppose that $\ell = 2k - 1$. For each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell+1}$, the longest path $C_{j,j-1}$ always has Claim 1(a) occur. So $N_G(x_j) = \{x_{j+2i-1} : i \in [k]\}$. This shows that G is a complete bipartite graph $K_{k,k}$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\ell = 2k$. Consider the longest path $C_{j,j-1}$ for each j . Since $x_j x_{j-1} \in E(G)$, Claim 1(b) must occur for the endpoint x_j of $C_{j,j-1}$. So there exists some even integer $s_j \in \{4, 5, \dots, 2k\}$ such that $N_G(x_j) = \{x_{j+1}, x_{j+3}, \dots, x_{j+s_j-3}, x_{j+s_j}, x_{j+s_j+2}, \dots, x_{j+2k}\}$ where additions are taken under $\mathbb{Z}_{\ell+1}$. In particular, there exist some x_t, x_{t+3} which have a common neighbor say x_m . Without loss of generality, we may assume $t = 0$. Since G is K_3 -free, x_0 cannot be adjacent to x_3 . This implies $s_0 = 4$ and thus x_0 is adjacent to both of x_1, x_4 . By the same argument, we can further derive that $s_1 = 4$ and x_1 is adjacent to both of x_2, x_5 . Continuing this, we conclude that $s_j = 4$ for each $0 \leq j \leq 2k$. This shows $x_0 x_{\ell-3} \in E(G)$. However, as $s_0 = 4$, by Claim 1 we also see $x_0 x_{\ell-2} \in E(G)$. Then $x_0 x_{\ell-2} x_{\ell-3}$ forms a K_3 in G , a contradiction. This proves the claim. \blacksquare

For a longest path $P = v_0 v_1 \dots v_\ell$, we call $(v_\alpha, v_\beta)_P$ a *crossing pair*, if $v_\alpha \in N_G(v_\ell), v_\beta \in N_G(v_0)$ and $v_\gamma \notin N_G(v_0) \cup N_G(v_\ell)$ for each integer $\gamma \in (\alpha, \beta)$. Let $\beta - \alpha$ denote the *gap* of a crossing pair $(v_\alpha, v_\beta)_P$.

Claim 3. *Each longest path P has a crossing pair, and the gap of each crossing pair of P is at least 2.*

Proof of Claim 3. First, we show the second assertion. Let $(v_\alpha, v_\beta)_P$ be any crossing pair of P . Clearly we have $\beta - \alpha \geq 1$. If $\beta - \alpha = 1$, then one can easily find a cycle of length $\ell + 1$, a contradiction to Claim 2. Hence, the gap of each crossing pair of P is at least two.

Let p be the maximum integer with $v_p v_0 \in E(G)$ and q be the minimum integer with $v_q v_\ell \in E(G)$. It will suffice to show that $p > q$. First suppose that $p < q$. By Claim 1, there are two vertex disjoint cycles C_1, C_2 of length at least $2k$ in G . Since G is 2-connected, there are two disjoint paths L_1, L_2 from $V(C_1)$ to $V(C_2)$. Then it is easy to find two cycles D_1, D_2 with $|D_1| + |D_2| = |C_1| + |C_2| + 2(|L_1| + |L_2|) \geq 4k + 4$, which gives a cycle of length at least $2k + 2$, a contradiction. Now suppose $p = q$. Since G is 2-connected, there is a path L from $v_s \in \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{p-1}\}$ to $v_t \in \{v_{q+1}, v_{q+2}, \dots, v_\ell\}$ in G internally disjoint from $P - v_p$. Let $r \in (s, p]$ be the minimum integer with $v_0 v_r \in E(G)$. Let $C'_1 = v_0 v_1 \dots v_s L v_t v_{t+1} \dots v_\ell v_p v_{p-1} \dots v_r v_0$ and $C'_2 = v_0 v_1 \dots v_s L v_t v_{t-1} \dots v_r v_0$ be two cycles. By Claim 1, each of C'_1 and C'_2 contains at least $2k - 1$ vertices in $\{v_0, \dots, v_p\}$. Thus, one of C'_1 and C'_2 contains at least $(2k - 1) + k \geq 2k + 2$ vertices, where $k \geq 3$. This contradiction finishes the proof. \blacksquare

Claim 4. *Let $(v_\alpha, v_\beta)_P$ be an arbitrary crossing pair of P . Then the gap of $(v_\alpha, v_\beta)_P$ equals two.*

Proof of Claim 4. We have $\beta - \alpha \geq 2$ from the previous claim and $\beta - \alpha \leq 3$ from the definition of crossing pairs and Claim 1. Let us suppose for a contradiction that $\beta - \alpha = 3$. Note that this forces that both v_0 and v_ℓ satisfy Claim 1(b). So α is odd, β is even and $\ell - \beta$ is odd, implying that ℓ is odd. The cycle $v_0 v_1 \dots v_\alpha v_\ell v_{\ell-1} \dots v_\beta v_0$ has length $\ell - 1$, so $\ell - 1 \leq 2k + 1$, i.e., $\ell \leq 2k + 2$. By Claim 2, we also see $\ell \geq 2k$. Combining with the above, we can conclude that $\ell = 2k + 1$. Therefore, $N_G(v_0) = N_G(v_\ell) = \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_\alpha, v_\beta, v_{\beta+2}, \dots, v_{2k}\} := N$, where $\beta = \alpha + 3$ and $|N| = k$.

Consider the longest path $v_{\alpha+2} v_{\alpha+1} \dots v_0 v_\beta v_{\beta+1} \dots v_\ell$. If $v_{\alpha+2}$ is adjacent to $v_{\alpha-1}$, then using $v_\ell v_\alpha \in E(G)$, we can find a cycle of length $\ell + 1$, a contradiction. Hence by Claim 1, $N_G(v_{\alpha+2}) = \{v_{\alpha+1}, v_{\alpha-2}, \dots, v_1, v_\beta, v_{\beta+2}, \dots, v_{2k}\} = (N \setminus \{v_\alpha, v_\beta\}) \cup \{v_{\alpha+1}, v_\beta\}$. Similarly, by considering the path $v_{\alpha+1} v_{\alpha+2} \dots v_\ell v_\alpha v_{\alpha-1} \dots v_0$, we can derive $N_G(v_{\alpha+1}) = (N \setminus \{v_\alpha, v_\beta\}) \cup \{v_\alpha, v_{\alpha+2}\}$. Note that $|N| = k \geq 3$. So $v_{\alpha+1}$ and $v_{\alpha+2}$ have a common neighbor, which forces a triangle in G . This proves Claim 4. \blacksquare

Therefore $\beta - \alpha = 2$. Note that $v_0 v_1 \dots v_\alpha v_\ell v_{\ell-1} \dots v_\beta v_0$ is a cycle of length ℓ . Hence, we have $2k \leq \ell \leq 2k + 1$. First suppose that $\ell = 2k + 1$. If v_0 satisfies Claim 1(b), then there exists s such that v_{s-3}, v_s are neighbors of v_0 for some even number $4 \leq s \leq 2k$. By Claim 1, since $s \geq 4$, v_ℓ must have

a neighbor in $\{v_{s-3}, v_{s-2}, v_{s-1}\}$. By Claim 2, v_ℓ is not adjacent to v_{s-1} and v_{s-4} . Since G is K_3 -free, exactly one of v_{s-3} and v_{s-2} can be adjacent to v_ℓ . By Claim 4, v_ℓ is not adjacent to v_{s-3} . Therefore, $v_\ell v_{s-2} \in E(G)$. Since G is K_3 -free, v_{s-1} is not adjacent to v_{s-3} . Suppose v_{s-1} is adjacent to v_{s-4} , then $v_0 v_1 \dots v_{s-4} v_{s-1} \dots v_\ell v_{s-2} v_{s-3} v_0$ is a cycle of length $\ell+1$, contradicting Claim 2. So, both v_{s-1} and v_ℓ are not adjacent to v_{s-4} and v_{s-3} . Then the longest path $v_{s-1} v_{s-2} \dots v_0 v_s v_{s+1} \dots v_\ell$ would contain a crossing pair of gap at least 3, contradicting Claim 4. Therefore, by symmetry, we may assume that both v_0 and v_ℓ satisfy Claim 1(a). So, we have $N_G(v_0) = \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{2k-1}\}$ and $N_G(v_\ell) = \{v_{\ell-1}, v_{\ell-3}, \dots, v_{\ell-2k+1}\}$. Then $v_0 v_1 \dots v_{2k-2} v_{2k+1} v_{2k} v_{2k-1} v_0$ is a cycle of length $\ell+1$, contradicting Claim 2.

Hence we have $\ell = 2k$. In this case, $N_G(v_0) = N_G(v_{2k}) = \{v_1, v_3, \dots, v_{2k-1}\} := Y$. Let $X = V(P) \setminus Y$. For each even integer $2 \leq j \leq 2k-2$, $R_j := v_j v_{j-1} \dots v_0 v_{j+1} v_{j+2} \dots v_{2k}$ is a longest path in G . By Claim 1(a), we have $N_G(v_j) = Y$ for each even j . As G is K_3 -free, $G[V(P)]$ consists of a copy $K_{k,k+1}$ with two parts X and Y . For every vertex w of $G - V(P)$, if w has a neighbor in X , then there is a path of length $2k+1$ in G , a contradiction. Hence, $N_{V(P)}(G - V(P)) \subseteq Y$. Suppose that $G - V(P)$ contains an edge e . Since G is 2-connected, there exist two vertex disjoint paths T_1 and T_2 from $V(P)$ to $V(e)$ internally disjoint from $V(P)$. Since $N_{V(P)}(G - V(P)) \subseteq Y$ and $G[V(P)]$ is a complete bipartite graph. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $V(T_1) \cap V(P) = \{v_1\}$ and $V(T_2) \cap V(P) = \{v_3\}$. Then $v_0 v_1 \cup T_1 \cup e \cup T_2 \cup v_3 v_4 \dots v_{2k}$ is a path of length at least $2k+1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $G - V(P)$ forms an independent set and every vertex of $G - V(P)$ can only be adjacent to vertices in Y . Since $\delta(G) \geq k$ and $|Y| = k$, we see that $N_G(v) = Y$ for each $v \in V(G) - Y$. Hence G is a complete bipartite graph $K_{k,m}$ for some m , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. \blacksquare

We remark that Lemma 5.2 is best possible by the following examples. For any integers $k \geq 3$ and $m \geq 2k$, let K denote a complete bipartite graph $K_{k-1,m}$ with two parts X and Y , where $|X| = k-1$ and $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ has size m with $|Y_i| \geq k$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $G_{k,m}$ be the graph obtained from K by adding two new vertices x_1, x_2 and edges in $\{x_1 x_2, x_1 u, x_2 v : \forall u \in Y_1, \forall v \in Y_2\}$. We see that $G_{k,m}$ is a 2-connected K_3 -free graph of minimum degree at least k , whose longest cycles have length $2k+2$.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 5.1. Let T be a tree with root r . For $a, b \in V(T)$, let $T_{a,b}$ be the unique path between a and b in T .

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $k \geq 6$ and G be a K_3 -free graph of chromatic number $k+1$. Fix a vertex r and let T be the breadth first search tree in G with root r . Let $L_0 = \{r\}$ and L_i be the set of vertices of T at distance i from its root r for $i \geq 1$. There exists some $t \geq 1$ such that $G[L_t]$ has chromatic number at least $\ell := \lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil$ where $\ell \geq 4$. Let H be a ℓ -critical subgraph of $G[L_t]$. So H is a 2-connected non-bipartite K_3 -free graph of minimum degree at least $\ell-1 \geq 3$. By Lemma 5.2, H contains a cycle of length at least 2ℓ . Let T' be the minimal subtree of T whose set of leaves is precisely $V(H)$, and let r' be the root of T' . Let h denote the distance between r' and vertices in H in T' . Since G is K_3 -free, $h \geq 2$. By the minimality of T' , r' has at least two children in T' . Let x be one of its children. Let A be the set of vertices in H which are the descendants of x in T' and let $B = V(H) - A$. Then both A, B are nonempty and for any $a \in A$ and $b \in B$, $T_{a,b}$ has the same length $2h$. By Lemma 3.2, there are $2\ell-1$ paths of H from a vertex of A to a vertex of B of length $1, 2, \dots, 2\ell-1$, respectively. Putting all together, we see that G contains $2\ell-1 = 2\lceil (k+1)/2 \rceil - 1 \geq k$ cycles of consecutive lengths. \blacksquare

6 Graphs of chromatic number 6

As a further exploration of the proof of Theorem 5.1, we now consider consecutive cycles in graphs of chromatic number 6. The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.1. *Every graph of chromatic number six contains four cycles of consecutive lengths which start with an odd number.*

Proof. It suffices to consider 6-critical graphs G . Suppose that G does not contain four cycles of lengths $2m+1, 2m+2, 2m+3$, and $2m+4$ for any integer m . Since G is 2-connected with $\delta(G) \geq 5$, by Theorem 4.1, we may assume that G is K_3 -free. Fix a vertex r and let T be the breadth first search tree in G with root r . Let $L_0 = \{r\}$ and L_i be the set of vertices of T at distance i from its root r .

We first show that every component of $G[L_i]$ for $i \geq 0$ has chromatic number at most 3. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a component D of $G[L_t]$ which has chromatic number at least 4 for some t . Then using the exactly same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 (taking $\ell = 4$ therein),³ one can derive that G contains $2\ell - 1 = 7$ cycles of consecutive lengths, a contradiction to our assumption.

We now prove a claim which is key for this proof. For a connected graph D , a vertex $x \in V(D)$ is called *good* if it is not contained in the minimal connected subgraph of D which contains all 2-connected blocks of D , and *bad* otherwise.

Claim. *Let H_1 be a non-bipartite component of $G[L_i]$ and H_2 be a non-bipartite component of $G[L_{i+1}]$ for some $i \geq 1$. If $N_{H_1}(H_2) \neq \emptyset$, then every vertex in $N_{H_1}(H_2)$ is a good vertex of H_1 .*

Proof of Claim. Suppose that there exists a bad vertex v of H_1 which has a neighbor u in H_2 . Let T' be the minimal subtree of T whose set of leaves is precisely $V(H_1)$, and let r' be the root of T' . Let h denote the distance between r' and vertices in H_1 in T' . Since G is K_3 -free, $h \geq 2$. By the minimality of T' , r' has at least two children in T' . Fix a child x of r' in T' and let Y be the set of the children of r' in T' other than x . Let A be the set of vertices in H_1 which are the descendants of x in T' and let $B = V(H_1) - A$. Note that every vertex in B is a descendant of a vertex in Y in T' . Let A' be the set of vertices in $L_i - A$ which are the descendants of x in T . Let B' be the set of vertices in $L_i - B$ which are the descendants of Y in T . Let $M := L_i - (A \cup A' \cup B \cup B')$. Note that A, A', B, B' and M form a partition of L_i .

Let $C = v_0v_1 \dots v_n$ be an odd cycle of H_1 , where $n \geq 4$. Suppose that $V(C) \subseteq A$. Let b be a vertex in B . Since H_1 is connected, there exists a path P from b to $V(C)$ internally disjoint from $V(C)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V(P) \cap V(C) = \{v_0\}$. Then $P \cup C_{0,i} \cup T_{b,v_i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, 4$ gives 5 cycles of consecutive lengths, a contradiction. Therefore, $B \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$, and similarly, $A \cap V(C) \neq \emptyset$. Then there must be an A - B path of length 4 in C (otherwise, since 4 and $|C|$ is co-prime and $|C| \geq 5$, one can deduce that all vertices of C are contained in one of the two parts A and B , a contradiction).

Since C is an odd cycle, we may assume that $v_0, v_1 \in A$ and $v_2 \in B$. Then $T_{v_1, v_2} \cup v_2v_1$, $T_{v_0, v_2} \cup v_2v_1v_0$ are two cycles of lengths $2h+1, 2h+2$, respectively. We have showed that there exists some A - B path of length 4 in C which gives a cycle of length $2h+4$, so we may assume that there is no A - B path of length 3 in C . This would force that $v_{3i}, v_{3i+1} \in A$ and $v_{3i+2} \in B$ for each possible $i \geq 0$. So $|C| \geq 9$ and G contains a cycle of length $\ell \in \{2h+1, 2h+2, 2h+4, 2h+5, 2h+7, 2h+8\}$. Moreover, for any path $P' = u_0u_1 \dots u_m$ in H_1 with $u_0 \in V(C)$ and $(V(P') - u_0) \cap V(C) = \emptyset$, we can derive that $u_j \in B$ if $j \equiv 0$ modulo 3 and $u_j \in A$ if $j \equiv 1$ or 2 modulo 3; call this property (\star) . In particular, since H_1 is connected, for any vertex $b \in B$, there exists a path of length 2 in H_1 from b to some vertex in A .

Case 1. The component H_2 has a neighbor in M .

Note that every vertex of H_2 has a neighbor in L_i . Suppose that there exists a vertex $c \in V(H_2)$ which has a neighbor $c' \in M$. Recall that v is a bad vertex in H_1 and let $u \in N_{H_2}(v)$. Clearly there exists a path $z_1z_2z_3z_4z_5$ of length 4 in H_1 with $z_1 = v$. It is easy to see that $T_{z_i, c}$ contains r' for $i \in [5]$, so

³We remark that this method applies only to 4-critical graphs, as Lemma 3.2 does not hold for 3-critical graphs.

they have the same length. Let P'' be a fixed path from u to c in H_2 . Then $P'' \cup uz_1z_2 \dots z_i \cup T_{z_i, c'} \cup cc'$, for $i \in [5]$ are 5 cycles of consecutive lengths in G , a contradiction.

Case 2. The component H_2 has a neighbor in $B \cup B'$ where $N_M(H_2) = \emptyset$.

Suppose that $N_{B \cup B'}(H_2) \neq \emptyset$. If $N_{A \cup A'}(H_2) \neq \emptyset$, then since H_2 is connected and every vertex of H_2 has a neighbor in $A \cup A' \cup B \cup B'$, there exist two adjacent vertices p, q of H_2 such that p has a neighbor p' in $A \cup A'$ and q has a neighbor q' in $B \cup B'$. Then $p'pqq' \cup T_{p', q'}$ is a cycle of length $2h + 3$. It follows that G contains 4 cycles of lengths $2h + 1, 2h + 2, 2h + 3, 2h + 4$, respectively. Therefore $N_{A \cup A'}(H_2) = \emptyset$. Since $N_{A \cup B}(H_2) \neq \emptyset$, we have that $v \in B$. Let u be any vertex in $N_{H_2}(v)$. Choose $w_1 \in V(H_2)$ such that there exists a path Q of length 2 from u to w_1 in H_2 . Let w_2 be a neighbor of w_1 in $B \cup B'$. Suppose that $w_2 \neq v$. We have showed that there exists a path R of length 2 in H_1 from v to some vertex say v' in A . Then $R \cup vu \cup Q \cup w_1w_2 \cup T_{w_2, v'}$ is a cycle of length $2h + 6$. So G contains cycles of lengths $2h + 5, 2h + 6, 2h + 7, 2h + 8$, a contradiction. Therefore $w_2 = v$ and $w_1 \in N_{H_2}(v)$. That says, every vertex in H_2 of distance 2 from a neighbor of v is a neighbor of v . Continuing to apply this along with a path from u to an odd cycle C_0 in H_2 , we could obtain that v is adjacent to all vertices of C_0 , which contradicts that G is K_3 -free.

Case 3. Neighbors of H_2 which belong to L_i belong to $A \cup A'$.

Now we see that $N_{L_i}(H_2) \subseteq A \cup A'$. This forces that $v \in A$. For any neighbor u of v in H_2 , let $w_3 \in V(H_2)$ satisfies that there exists a path Q' of length 2 from u to w_3 in H_2 . By property (\star) and the fact that $v \in A$ is bad in H_1 , we can infer that there exists a path $t_3vt_1t_2$ in H_1 such that $t_1 \in A$ and $t_2, t_3 \in B$. Note that v and t_1 are symmetric. Let w_4 be a neighbor of w_3 in $A \cup A'$. Suppose that $w_4 \notin \{v, t_1\}$. Then $vu \cup Q' \cup w_3w_4 \cup T_{w_4, t_2} \cup t_2t_1v$ is a cycle of length $2h + 6$. So again, G contains cycles of lengths $2h + 5, 2h + 6, 2h + 7, 2h + 8$, a contradiction. Therefore, $w_4 \in \{v, t_1\}$. That is, every vertex in H_2 of distance 2 from a neighbor of v or t_1 is adjacent to one of v, t_1 . Continuing to apply this along with a path from u to an odd cycle C_1 in H_2 , we could obtain that every vertex of C_1 is adjacent to one of v, t_1 . But this would force a K_3 in G . This final contradiction completes the proof of this claim. ■

Now, we define a coloring $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ as following. Let D be any bipartite component of $G[L_i]$ for some i . If i is even, we color one part of D with color 1 and the other part with color 2, and if i is odd, we color one part of D with color 4 and the other part with color 5. Let F be any non-bipartite component of $G[L_j]$ for some j . If j is even, by using the block structure of F , we can properly color $V(F)$ with colors 1, 2 and 3 by coloring bad vertices with colors 1, 2 and 3 and coloring good vertices with colors 1 and 2. If j is odd, then we also can properly color $V(F)$ with colors 3, 4 and 5 by coloring bad vertices with colors 3, 4 and 5 and coloring good vertices with colors 4 and 5.

Next, we argue that c is a proper coloring on G . Let H_1 be a component of $G[L_i]$ and H_2 be a component of $G[L_{i+1}]$ for $i \geq 0$ such that there exists an edge between H_1 and H_2 . If one of them is bipartite, then c is proper on $V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)$. Therefore, both H_1 and H_2 are non-bipartite. By the above claim, all vertices of H_2 are not adjacent to vertices of color 3 in H_1 . It follows that c is proper on $V(H_1) \cup V(H_2)$. Therefore, c is a proper 5-coloring of G , which contradicts that G is 6-critical. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1. ■

7 Proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

We conclude with the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G' be a $(k + 1)$ -critical subgraph of G . Then G' is a 2-connected graph of minimum degree at least k . If G' is K_3 -free, then by Theorem 5.1, G' contains k cycles of consecutive

lengths. If G' contains a K_3 , then by Theorem 4.1, either G' contains k cycles of consecutive lengths or G' is K_{k+1} . In the latter case, let B be the block of G containing G' . If there exists $x \in V(B) \setminus V(G')$, then there are two internally disjoint paths from x to two vertices in G' and then we can easily find k cycles of consecutive lengths. Therefore, the block B of G is a copy of K_{k+1} . ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. This follows from Theorems 1.2 and 6.1. ■

References

- [1] J. Bondy and M. Simonovits, Cycles of even length in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **16** (1974), 97–105.
- [2] J. A. Bondy and A. Vince, Cycles in a graph whose lengths differ by one or two, *J. Graph Theory* **27** (1998), 11–15.
- [3] Z. Chen, J. Ma, and W. Zang, Coloring digraphs with forbidden cycles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **115** (2015), 210–223.
- [4] G. Chen and A. Saito, Graphs with a cycle of length divisible by three, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **60** (1994), 277–292.
- [5] P. Erdős, Some of my favourite problems in various branches of combinatorics, *Matematiche (Catania)* **47** (1992), 231–240.
- [6] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, On chromatic number of graphs and set-systems, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* **17** (1966), 61–99.
- [7] G. Fan, Distribution of cycle lengths in graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **84** (2002), 187–202.
- [8] J. Gao, Q. Huo, C. Liu and J. Ma, A unified proof of conjectures on cycle lengths in graphs, *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, to appear.
- [9] A. Gyárfás, Graphs with k odd cycle lengths, *Discrete Math.* **103** (1992), 41–48.
- [10] R. Häggkvist and A. Scott, Arithmetic progressions of cycles, *Technical Report* No. 16 (1998), Matematiska Institutionen, UmeåUniversitet.
- [11] Q. Huo, A note on cycle lengths in graphs of chromatic number five and six, arXiv: 2104.01382
- [12] A. Kostochka, B. Sudakov and J. Verstraëte, Cycles in triangle-free graphs of large chromatic number, *Combinatorica.* **37** (2017), 481–494.
- [13] C. Liu and J. Ma, Cycle lengths and minimum degree of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **128** (2018), 66–95.
- [14] M. Lu and Z. Yu, Cycles of length 1 modulo 3 in graph, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* **113** (2001), 329–336.
- [15] J. Ma, Cycles with consecutive odd lengths, *European J. Combin.* **52** (2016), 74–78.
- [16] P. Mihók and I. Schiermeyer, Cycle lengths and chromatic number of graphs, *Discrete Math.* **286** (2004), 147–149.

- [17] B. Moore and D. B. West, Cycles in color-critical graphs, arXiv:1912.03754v2.
- [18] A. Saito, Cycles of length 2 modulo 3 in graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* **101** (1992), 285–289.
- [19] B. Sudakov and J. Verstraëte, Cycle lengths in sparse graphs, *Combinatorica* **28** (2008), 357–372.
- [20] B. Sudakov and J. Verstraëte, The extremal function for cycles of length $l \bmod k$, *Elec. J. of Combin.* **24(1)** (2017), #P1.7
- [21] Zs. Tuza, Graph coloring in linear time, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **55** (1992), no. 2, 236–243.
- [22] J. Verstraëte, Extremal problems for cycles in graphs, In *Recent Trends in Combinatorics*, A. Beveridge et al. (eds.), The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications 159, 83–116, Springer, New York, 2016.
- [23] J. Verstraëte, On arithmetic progressions of cycle lengths in graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **9** (2000), 369–373.