

ON THE MULTIPLIER PROBLEM FOR THE BALL ON GRADED LIE GROUPS

DUVÁN CARDONA

ABSTRACT. In this note, we consider a non-commutative analogy of the classical Fefferman multiplier problem for the ball. More precisely, if χ is the characteristic function of the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$, we investigate a family of differential operators \mathcal{R} on a graded Lie group G , for which the multipliers $\chi(\mathcal{R})$ are bounded on $L^p(G)$, if and only if $p = 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $n \geq 2$. In his celebrated work [1] C. Fefferman disproved the disc conjecture. Indeed, he proved that the Fourier multiplier T_B defined by

$$\widehat{T_B f} = \chi_B \widehat{f}, \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \widehat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-i2\pi x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx, \quad (1.1)$$

where χ_B is the characteristic function of the ball $B = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\xi| \leq 1\}$, is L^p -bounded only for $p = 2$. As it was pointed out in [1], the operator T_B and its variants play the role of the Hilbert transform for a number of problems on multiple Fourier series and boundary behavior of analytic functions of several complex variables.

The aim of this note is to investigate an analogy of the multiplier problem for the ball in the case of nilpotent Lie groups, and with the goal of applying our analysis to hypoelliptic differential operators of arbitrary order, we restrict our attention to the context of graded Lie groups. One reason for this is the existence of Rockland operators; these are linear left invariant homogeneous hypoelliptic partial differential operators, in view of the Helffer and Nourrigat's resolution of the Rockland conjecture in [4]. Such operators always exist on graded Lie groups and, in fact, the existence of such operators on nilpotent Lie groups does characterise the class of graded Lie groups (c.f. [2, Section 4.1]). Graded Lie groups include \mathbb{R}^n , the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n and any stratified group.

To present the main result of this note, let \mathbb{G} be a graded Lie group (with $\dim(\mathbb{G}) \geq 2$), and let us consider the product space $G := \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{G}$. Let \mathcal{G} be a positive Rockland operator on \mathbb{G} , and let us define the differential operator

$$\mathcal{R} = \Delta_x \otimes 1_{C^\infty(\mathbb{G})} + 1_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \otimes \mathcal{G} =: \Delta_x + \mathcal{G}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\Delta_x = -\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}^2$ is the positive Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^n . Observe that \mathcal{R} is an unbounded positive operator on $L^2(G)$. Let χ be the characteristic function of the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22E30; Secondary 58J40.

Key words and phrases. Rockland operator, Graded Lie group, Fourier analysis.

The author was supported by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations of Prof. Michael Ruzhansky.

unit interval $I = [0, 1]$. The novelty of this note is that in Section 3 we present a short proof for the following fact:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $\chi(\mathcal{R}) : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$ ¹ extends to a bounded linear operator, if and only if $p = 2$.*

The following conjecture remains open.

Conjecture 1.2. For $1 < p < \infty$, $\chi(\mathcal{G}) : L^p(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{G})$ extends to a bounded linear operator, if and only if $p = 2$.

We finish this introduction with the following consequences of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.3. *For a stratified Lie group \mathbb{G} , (the Heisenberg group for example), let $X = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_\ell\}$ be a basis for the first stratum of its Lie algebra. Consequently, X is a Hörmander system of vector fields. Then, the positive sub-Laplacian*

$$\mathcal{G} = -X_1^2 - X_2^2 - \dots - X_\ell^2$$

is a Rockland operator of homogeneous degree 2 (see Lemma 4.1.7 of [2]). In view of Theorem 1.1, for $(G, \mathcal{R}) = (\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{G}, \Delta_x + \mathcal{G})$, the operator

$$\chi(\mathcal{R}) := \chi(-\partial_{x_1}^2 - \dots - \partial_{x_n}^2 - X_1^2 - X_2^2 - \dots - X_\ell^2)$$

is bounded on $L^p(G)$, with $1 < p < \infty$, if and only if $p = 2$.

Corollary 1.4. *Let \mathbb{G} be a graded Lie group of dimension \varkappa . We denote by $\{D_r\}_{r>0}$ the natural family of dilations of its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^\circ := \text{Lie}(\mathbb{G})$, and by $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_\varkappa$ its weights (see Section 2). We fix a basis $Y = \{X_1, \dots, X_\varkappa\}$ of \mathfrak{g}° satisfying $D_r X_j = r^{\nu_j} X_j$, for $1 \leq j \leq \varkappa$, and all $r > 0$. If ν_\circ is any common multiple of $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_\varkappa$, the operator*

$$\mathcal{G} = \sum_{j=1}^{\varkappa} (-1)^{\frac{\nu_\circ}{\nu_j}} c_j X_j^{\frac{2\nu_\circ}{\nu_j}}, \quad c_j > 0,$$

is a positive Rockland operator of homogeneous degree $2\nu_\circ$ (see Lemma 4.1.8 of [2]). Again, for $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{G}$, in view of Theorem 1.1, $\chi(\Delta_x + \mathcal{G}) : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$ is bounded, if and only if $p = 2$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The notation used here for the representation theory and the Fourier analysis of graded Lie groups will be taken from Folland and Stein [3] (and from Ruzhansky and Fischer [2]).

¹If \widehat{G} denotes the unitary dual of a graded Lie group G , $\chi(\mathcal{R})$ is defined by $\widehat{\chi(\mathcal{R})f}(\pi) := \chi(\pi(\mathcal{R}))\widehat{f}(\pi)$, for a.e. $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, and all $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$. Here, $\widehat{f} := \mathcal{F}_G f$ is the Fourier transform on the group of f , and $\pi(\mathcal{R})$ is the infinitesimal representation of \mathcal{R} . See e.g. [2] for details.

2.1. Graded Lie groups. Let G be a homogeneous Lie group, in the sense that G is a connected and simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is endowed with a family of dilations $D_r^{\mathfrak{g}}$, $r > 0$, which are automorphisms on \mathfrak{g} satisfying the following two conditions: (1) For every $r > 0$, $D_r^{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a map of the form

$$D_r^{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Exp}(\ln(r)A)$$

for some diagonalisable linear operator $A \equiv \text{diag}[\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n]$ on \mathfrak{g} . (2) $\forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $r > 0$, $[D_r^{\mathfrak{g}}X, D_r^{\mathfrak{g}}Y] = D_r^{\mathfrak{g}}[X, Y]$.

We call the eigenvalues of A , $\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n$, the dilations weights or weights of G . The homogeneous dimension of a homogeneous Lie group G is given by

$$Q = \text{Tr}(A) = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_n.$$

The dilations $D_r^{\mathfrak{g}}$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} induce a family of maps on G defined via,

$$D_r := \exp_G \circ D_r^{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \exp_G^{-1}, \quad r > 0,$$

where $\exp_G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$ is the usual exponential mapping associated to the Lie group G . We refer to the family D_r , $r > 0$, as dilations on the group. If we write $rx = D_r(x)$, $x \in G$, $r > 0$, then a relation on the homogeneous structure of G and the Haar measure dx on G is given by

$$\int_G (f \circ D_r)(x)dx = r^{-Q} \int_G f(x)dx.$$

A Lie group is graded if its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} may be decomposed as the sum of subspaces $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s$ such that $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{i+j} = \{0\}$ if $i+j > s$. Examples of such groups are the Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n and more generally any stratified groups where the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is generated by \mathfrak{g}_1 .

A Lie algebra admitting a family of dilations is nilpotent, and hence so is its associated connected, simply connected Lie group.

A graded Lie group G is a homogeneous Lie group equipped with a family of weights ν_j , all of them positive rational numbers. Let us observe that if $\nu_i = \frac{a_i}{b_i}$ with a_i, b_i integer numbers, and b is the least common multiple of the b_i 's, the family of dilations

$$\mathbb{D}_r^{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{Exp}(\ln(r^b)A) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

have integer weights, $\nu_i = \frac{a_i b}{b_i}$, (see Remark 4.1.4 of [2]).

2.2. Fourier analysis on nilpotent Lie groups. Let G be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group. Let us assume that π is a continuous, unitary and irreducible representation of G , this means that,

- $\pi \in \text{Hom}(G, \text{U}(H_\pi))$, for some separable Hilbert space H_π , i.e. $\pi(xy) = \pi(x)\pi(y)$ and for the adjoint of $\pi(x)$, $\pi(x)^* = \pi(x^{-1})$, for every $x, y \in G$.
- The map $(x, v) \mapsto \pi(x)v$, from $G \times H_\pi$ into H_π is continuous.
- For every $x \in G$, and $W_\pi \subset H_\pi$, if $\pi(x)W_\pi \subset W_\pi$, then $W_\pi = H_\pi$ or $W_\pi = \emptyset$.

Let $\text{Rep}(G)$ be the set of unitary, continuous and irreducible representations of G . The relation,

$$\pi_1 \sim \pi_2 \text{ if and only if, there exists } A \in \mathcal{B}(H_{\pi_1}, H_{\pi_2}), \text{ such that } A\pi_1(x)A^{-1} = \pi_2(x),$$

for every $x \in G$, is an equivalence relation and the unitary dual of G , denoted by \widehat{G} is defined via $\widehat{G} := \text{Rep}(G)/\sim$. Let us denote by $d\pi$ the Plancherel measure on \widehat{G} . The Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, (this means that $f \circ \exp_G \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{g})$, with $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\dim(G)}$) at $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(\pi) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x)^* dx : H_\pi \rightarrow H_\pi, \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_G : \mathcal{S}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G}) := \mathcal{F}_G(\mathcal{S}(G)).$$

If we identify one representation π with its equivalence class, $[\pi] = \{\pi' : \pi \sim \pi'\}$, for every $\pi \in \widehat{G}$, the Kirillov trace character Θ_π defined by $(\Theta_\pi, f) := \text{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi))$, is a tempered distribution on $\mathcal{S}(G)$. In particular, the identity $f(e_G) = \int_{\widehat{G}} (\Theta_\pi, f) d\pi$, implies the Fourier inversion formula $f = \mathcal{F}_G^{-1}(\widehat{f})$, where

$$(\mathcal{F}_G^{-1}\sigma)(x) := \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr}(\pi(x)\sigma(\pi)) d\pi, \quad x \in G, \quad \mathcal{F}_G^{-1} : \mathcal{S}(\widehat{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(G),$$

is the inverse Fourier transform. In this context, the Plancherel theorem takes the form $\|f\|_{L^2(G)} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})}$, where $L^2(\widehat{G}) := \int_{\widehat{G}} H_\pi \otimes H_\pi^* d\pi$, is the Hilbert space endowed with the norm: $\|\sigma\|_{L^2(\widehat{G})} = (\int_{\widehat{G}} \|\sigma(\pi)\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. In this context, every left-invariant continuous linear operator $A : C^\infty(G) \rightarrow C^\infty(G)$, has a right-convolution distributional kernel $k_A \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$, such that $Af = f * k$, for all $f \in C^\infty(G)$, and if A admits a bounded extension on $L^2(G)$ we have that $\widehat{Af} = \sigma \widehat{f}$, where $\sigma := \widehat{k}$ (see [2, Page 132]).

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We reserve the notation \widehat{H} for the unitary dual of a graded Lie group H . In particular, $\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} = \{e_\xi : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $e_\xi(x) = e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\widehat{G} = \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$. For $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, H_μ denotes the corresponding representation space and $I_{H_\mu} : H_\mu \rightarrow H_\mu$ is the identity operator. We use $\mathcal{B}(L^p(H))$ for the class of bounded linear operators on $L^p(H) := L^p(H, dg)$ with respect to the Haar measure dg on H . We will start the proof of Theorem 1.1 with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $m := \{m(e_\xi, \mu)\}_{(e_\xi, \mu) \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n} \times \widehat{\mathbb{G}}}$, be the symbol of a (Fourier multiplier) left invariant continuous linear operator $A : C^\infty(G) \rightarrow C^\infty(G)$, and let $1 < p < \infty$. If $A : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$ is bounded, for a.e. $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, and for $u_\mu, v_\mu \in H_\mu$, such that $\|u_\mu\|_{H_\mu} = \|v_\mu\|_{H_\mu} = 1$, the operator $A_\mu : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ also is bounded, where A_μ is the Fourier multiplier associated with the symbol m_{μ, u_μ, v_μ} , defined by*

$$m_{\mu, u_\mu, v_\mu} = (m(\mu)u_\mu, v_\mu)_{H_\mu}, \quad m(\mu) := \{m(e_\xi, \mu)\}_{e_\xi \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover,

$$\text{ess sup}_{\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \|A_\mu\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(G))}.$$

Proof. Let us write $m(\xi, \mu) := m(e_\xi, \mu)$, for $e_\xi \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}$. From the boundedness of $A : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$, we have that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|Af\|_{L^p(G)} \\
&= \sup\{|(Af, g)_{L^2(G)}| : g \in C_0^\infty(G), \|g\|_{L^{p'}} = 1\} \\
&= \sup\{|(\mathcal{F}_G(Af), \mathcal{F}_G g)_{L^2(\widehat{G})}| : g \in C_0^\infty(G), \|g\|_{L^{p'}} = 1\} \\
&= \sup_{g \in C_0^\infty(G), \|g\|_{L^{p'}} = 1} \left\| \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr}(m(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G f)(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G g)(\xi, \mu)^*) d(e_\xi \otimes \mu) \right\| \\
&\leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(G))} \|f\|_{L^p(G)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if we take $f(x, y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$, with $f_1 \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $f_2 \in L^p(\mathbb{G})$, compactly supported, and we also take $g(x, y) = g_1(x)g_2(y)$, with $g_1 \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $g_2 \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{G})$, compactly supported with $\|g_1\|_{L^{p'}} = \|g_2\|_{L^{p'}} = 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr}(m(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G f)(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G g)(\xi, \mu)^*) d(e_\xi \otimes \mu) \\
&= \int_{\widehat{G}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \text{Tr}(m(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G f)(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G g)(\xi, \mu)^*) d\xi d\mu \\
&= \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_1)(\xi)} d\xi (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}} f_2)(\mu) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}} g_2)(\mu)^* \right) d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$J(\mu) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_1)(\xi)} d\xi. \quad (3.2)$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr}(m(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G f)(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G g)(\xi, \mu)^*) d(e_\xi \otimes \mu) \\
&= \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr}(J(\mu)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}} f_2)(\mu)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}} g_2)(\mu)^*) d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let T_J be the Fourier multiplier on G , with symbol $J = \{J(\mu) : \mu \in \widehat{G}\}$. From our previous analysis we have the inequality,

$$\left| \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr}(m(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G f)(\xi, \mu)(\mathcal{F}_G g)(\xi, \mu)^*) d(e_\xi \otimes \mu) \right| \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(G))} \|f_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{G})}, \quad (3.3)$$

so we deduce that,

$$\left| \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \text{Tr}(J(\mu)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}}f_2)(\mu)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}}g_2)(\mu)^*)d\mu \right| \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(G))} \|f_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|f_2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{G})}, \quad (3.4)$$

which shows that T_J is bounded on $L^p(G)$ with the following estimate on the operator norm,

$$\|T_J\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{G}))} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(G))} \|f_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_J f_2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{G})} &= \sup\{ |(T_J f_2, g_2)_{L^2(\mathbb{G})}| : g_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G}), \|g_2\|_{L^{p'}} = 1 \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}}(T_J f_2), \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}}g_2)_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{G}})}| : g_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G}), \|g_2\|_{L^{p'}} = 1 \} \\ &= \sup_{g_2 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{G}), \|g_2\|_{L^{p'}}=1} \left\{ \left| \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \text{Tr}(J(\mu)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}}f_2)(\mu)(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{G}}g_2)(\mu)^*)d\mu \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{G}))} \|f_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{G})} \|f_2\|_{L^p(\mathbb{G})}. \end{aligned}$$

From the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, we have the estimate,

$$\sup_{\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}} \|J(\mu)\|_{\mathcal{B}(H_\mu)} = \|T_J\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))} \leq \|T_J\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{G}))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|T_J\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^{p'}(\mathbb{G}))}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|T_J\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{G}))}.$$

For every $\mu \in \widehat{\mathbb{G}}$, and $u_\mu, v_\mu \in H_\mu$, such that $\|u_\mu\|_{H_\mu} = \|v_\mu\|_{H_\mu} = 1$, we have that

$$|(J(\mu)u_\mu, v_\mu)| \leq \sup_{\mu} \|J(\mu)\|_{\mathcal{B}(H_\mu)}. \quad (3.6)$$

So, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |(J(\mu)u_\mu, v_\mu)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (m(\xi, \mu)u_\mu, v_\mu)_{H_\mu}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}f_1)(\xi) \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}g_1)(\xi)} d\xi \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} m_{\mu, u_\mu, v_\mu}(\xi) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}f_1)(\xi) \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}g_1)(\xi)} d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \|T_J\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(\mathbb{G}))} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(G))} \|f_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality proves that A_μ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|A_\mu f_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \sup\{ |(A_\mu f_1, g_1)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}| : g_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \|g_1\|_{L^{p'}} = 1 \} \\ &= \sup\{ |(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}(A_\mu f_1), \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}g_1)_{L^2(\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n})}| : g_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \|g_1\|_{L^{p'}} = 1 \} \\ &= \sup_{g_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \|g_1\|_{L^{p'}}=1} \left\{ \left| \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{R}^n}} m_{\mu, u_\mu, v_\mu}(\xi) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}f_1)(\xi) \overline{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n}g_1)(\xi)} d\xi \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^p(G))} \|f_1\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we end the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. It is obvious that if $p = 2$, then $\chi(\mathcal{R})$ is bounded on $L^2(G)$. Now, we will prove that if $\chi(\mathcal{R})$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$, then $p = 2$. To do so, let us assume that there exists $p \neq 2$, $1 < p < \infty$, such that $\chi(\mathcal{R})$ is bounded on $L^p(G)$. Let us find a contradiction. Fix $a > 0$, and let us consider χ_a , that is, the characteristic function of the interval $I_a = [0, a]$. Let us consider the operator $\chi_a(\mathcal{R}) = \chi(\frac{1}{a}\mathcal{R})$, defined by

$$\chi_a(\mathcal{R})f(z) := \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{Tr} \left[(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(z) \chi \left(\frac{1}{a}(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(\mathcal{R}) \right) \widehat{f}(e_\xi \otimes \mu) \right] d(e_\xi \otimes \mu), \quad (3.7)$$

for $z = (x, y) \in G = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{G}$, and $f \in C_0^\infty(G)$. Since $\mathcal{R} = \Delta_x \otimes 1_{C^\infty(G)} + 1_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \otimes \mathcal{G} =: \Delta_x + \mathcal{G}$, we have that

$$(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(\mathcal{R}) = 4\pi^2|\xi|^2 I_{H_\mu} + \mu(\mathcal{G}), \quad \text{a.e.w.} \quad (3.8)$$

So, we have

$$\chi \left(\frac{1}{a}(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(\mathcal{R}) \right) = \chi \left(\frac{1}{a}(4\pi^2|\xi|^2 I_{H_\mu} + \mu(\mathcal{G})) \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Because \mathcal{G} , is positive and self-adjoint, so is $\mu(\mathcal{G})$. This is consequence of the fact that $\mu(\mathcal{G})$ satisfies the Rockland condition (see Proposition 4.2.6 of [2]). For a.e. μ let us denote by

$$\{dE_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\lambda)\}_{0 \leq \lambda < \infty},$$

the spectral measure associated to the operator $\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}$. The functional calculus of Rockland operators allows to write

$$\chi \left(\frac{1}{a}(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(\mathcal{R}) \right) = \int_0^\infty \chi_a(4\pi^2|\xi|^2 + \lambda^2) dE_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\lambda). \quad (3.10)$$

By Lemma 3.1, for every $\mu \in \widehat{G}$, and $u_\mu, v_\mu \in H_\mu$, such that $\|u_\mu\|_{H_\mu} = \|v_\mu\|_{H_\mu} = 1$, we have that

$$m_{\mu, u_\mu, v_\mu}(\xi) : \xi \mapsto m_{\mu, u_\mu, v_\mu}(\xi) := (\chi(\frac{1}{a}(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(\mathcal{R}))u_\mu, v_\mu)_{H_\mu}, \quad (3.11)$$

is the symbol of some bounded Fourier multiplier A_μ on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For sake of simplicity we can take $u_\mu = v_\mu$. Let us observe that for every $f_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu f_1(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} m_{\mu, u_\mu, v_\mu}(\xi) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} (\chi(\frac{1}{a}(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(\mathcal{R}))u_\mu, v_\mu)_{H_\mu} (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Because,

$$\left(\chi\left(\frac{1}{a}(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(\mathcal{R})\right)u_\mu, u_\mu\right)_{H_\mu} = \int_0^\infty \chi_a(4\pi^2|\xi|^2 + \lambda^2) d(E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\lambda)u_\mu, u_\mu),$$

by denoting $d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) := d(E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\lambda)u_\mu, u_\mu)$, the Riemann Stieltjes measure associated to the increasing function $\alpha_\mu(\lambda) := (E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\lambda)u_\mu, u_\mu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu f_1(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \left(\chi\left(\frac{1}{a}(e_\xi \otimes \mu)(\mathcal{R})\right)u_\mu, u_\mu \right)_{H_\mu} (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \int_0^\infty \chi_a(4\pi^2|\xi|^2 + \lambda^2) d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \chi_a(4\pi^2|\xi|^2 + \lambda^2) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi d\alpha_\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Because, the support of χ_a is $[0, a]$, for every $\lambda > \sqrt{a}$, $\chi_a(4\pi^2|\xi|^2 + \lambda^2) = 0$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu f_1(x) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \chi_a(4\pi^2|\xi|^2 + \lambda^2) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^{\sqrt{a}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \chi_a(4\pi^2|\xi|^2 + \lambda^2) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_0^{\sqrt{a}} \chi_a(\Delta_x + \lambda^2) f_1(x) d\alpha_\mu(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $f_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ is a real-valued function, and $F(\lambda) := \chi_a(\Delta_x + \lambda^2)$, the positivity of both, χ_a and $\Delta_x + \lambda^2$, imply that $F(\lambda)$ is a positive operator (for $g \geq 0$ with $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $F(\lambda)g \geq 0$), and that $F(\lambda)f_1$ is also real-valued. From the Mean Value Theorem for the Riemann Stieltjes integral, we have that

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{a}} \chi_a(\Delta_x + \lambda^2) f_1(x) d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) = \int_0^{\sqrt{a}} F(\lambda) f_1(x) d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) = F(\lambda_0) f_1(x) \int_0^{\sqrt{a}} d\alpha_\mu(\lambda), \quad (3.12)$$

for some $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(f_1) \in (0, \sqrt{a})$. Note that,

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{a}} d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) = \alpha_\mu(\sqrt{a}) - \alpha_\mu(0). \quad (3.13)$$

Now, by following the properties of the spectral projections $dE_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\lambda)$ we can compute $\alpha_\mu(\sqrt{a}) - \alpha_\mu(0)$ as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_\mu(\sqrt{a}) - \alpha_\mu(0) \\ &= (E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\sqrt{a})u_\mu, u_\mu) - (E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(0)u_\mu, u_\mu) = ((E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\sqrt{a}) - E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(0))u_\mu, u_\mu) \\ &= (P(a)u_\mu, u_\mu), \quad P(a) := (E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\sqrt{a}) - E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(0)), \\ &= (P(a)^2u_\mu, u_\mu) = (P(a)u_\mu, P(a)u_\mu), \\ &= \|P(a)u_\mu\|_{H_\mu}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we are using that $P(a) := (E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(\sqrt{a}) - E_{\sqrt{\mu(\mathcal{G})}}(0))$ is an orthogonal projection. If, for example, $u_\mu \in \text{Range}(P(a))$, $\|P(a)u_\mu\|^2 = \|u_\mu\|^2 = 1$, (because u_μ satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.1 where $\|u_\mu\|_{H_\mu} = 1$). So, under the conditions imposed above on the unitary vector u_μ , we have

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{a}} \chi_a(\Delta_x + \lambda^2) f_1(x) d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) = \int_0^{\sqrt{a}} F(\lambda) f_1(x) d\alpha_\mu(\lambda) = F(\lambda_0) f_1. \quad (3.14)$$

We, from the definition of every $F(\lambda)$, can write

$$F(\lambda_0) f_1(x) = \chi_a(\Delta_x + \lambda_0^2) f_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \chi_a(4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 + \lambda_0^2) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi.$$

Because

$$\chi_a(4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 + \lambda_0^2) = \chi \left(\frac{1}{a} (4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 + \lambda_0^2) \right) = \chi_{a-\lambda_0^2}(4\pi^2 |\xi|^2),$$

where $\chi_{a-\lambda_0^2}$, is the characteristic function of the interval $I_{a-\lambda_0^2} = [0, a - \lambda_0^2]$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda_0) f_1(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \chi_a(4\pi^2 |\xi|^2 + \lambda_0^2) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i2\pi x \cdot \xi} \chi_{a-\lambda_0^2}(4\pi^2 |\xi|^2) (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_1)(\xi) d\xi = \chi_{a-\lambda_0^2}(\Delta_x) f_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

So, for $R = a - \lambda_0^2$,

$$(A_\mu f_1)(x) = \chi_R(\Delta_x) f_1(x) = \chi(\Delta_x) (f_1(\frac{1}{R} \cdot))(Rx), \quad (3.15)$$

or equivalently, by replacing f_1 by $f_1(R \cdot)$,

$$(A_\mu (f_1(R \cdot)))(x) = \chi(\Delta_x) (f_1(\cdot))(Rx). \quad (3.16)$$

Taking the L^p -norm in both sides, and using the boundedness of A_μ , we deduce that,

$$\|(A_\mu (f_1(R \cdot)))(x)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} = \|\chi(\Delta_x) (f_1(\cdot))(Rx)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} \leq C \|f_1(Rx)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_x^n)}. \quad (3.17)$$

If we do the change of variables $y := Rx$, the inequality

$$\|\chi(\Delta_x)(f_1(\cdot))(Rx)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_x^n)} \leq C\|f_1(Rx)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_x^n)},$$

is equivalent to the following one,

$$\|\chi(\Delta_x)(f_1)(y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \leq C\|f_1(y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_y^n)}.$$

In the case where $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$, we can write $f = f_1 + if_2$, where $f_1 := \mathbf{re}(f)$, $f_2 := \mathbf{im}(f)$, and we have

$$\|\chi(\Delta_x)(f)(y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_y^n)} \leq 2C\|f(y)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_y^n)}.$$

The previous estimate implies that $\chi(\Delta_x)$ is bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $p \neq 2$, and $1 < p < \infty$. But from the Fefferman multiplier theorem for the ball, we know that $\chi(\Delta_x)$ is unbounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. So, $\chi_a(\mathcal{R}) : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$ is bounded only for $p = 2$, and we obtain the proof of Theorem 1.1 by taking $a = 1$. \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $a > 0$ and let $1 < p < \infty$. Then $\chi_a(\mathcal{R}) : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$ extends to a bounded linear operator, if and only if $p = 2$.*

Remark 3.3. In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we can replace \mathcal{G} , for any operator of the form $\phi(\mathcal{G})$, (and in such a case $\mu(\mathcal{G})$ can be replaced by $\phi(\mu(\mathcal{G}))$ for a.e. $\mu \in \mathbb{G}$) with $\phi \geq 0$, being a measurable function on \mathbb{R}_0^+ .² So, the proof of Theorem 1.1 adapted to this case, shows that $\chi_a(\Delta_x + \phi(\mathcal{G})) : L^p(G) \rightarrow L^p(G)$ extends to a bounded linear operator, if and only if $p = 2$. For details about the Functional calculus of Rockland operators we refer the reader to [2, Page 178].

Acknowledgement. With greatest pleasure, the author would like to thank Prof. Michael Ruzhansky for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

1. Fefferman, C. The multiplier problem for the ball. Ann. of Math. (2) 94 (1971), 330–336.
2. Fischer, V. Ruzhansky, M., Quantization on nilpotent Lie groups, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 314, Birkhauser, 2016. xiii+557pp.
3. Folland, G, Stein, E. Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups, Mathematical Notes, Vol. 28, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1982.
4. Helffer, B. Nourrigat, J., Caractérisation des opérateurs hypoelliptiques homogènes invariants à gauche sur un groupe de Lie nilpotent gradué, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 4 (1979), 899–958.
5. Weidmann, J. Linear operators in Hilbert spaces. Translated from the German by Joseph Szücs. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 68. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980.

DUVÁN CARDONA SÁNCHEZ:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

GHENT UNIVERSITY, BELGIUM

E-mail address duvan.cardonasanchez@ugent.be, duvanc306@gmail.com

²Indeed, in view of Remark 4.1.17 of [2], $\phi(\mathcal{G})$ is a Fourier multiplier with a positive symbol $\phi(\mu(\mathcal{G}))$ for a.e. representation space H_μ . This fact guarantees the existence of the spectral measures $\{dE_{\phi(\mathcal{G})}\}_{\lambda \geq 0}$ and $\{dE_{\phi(\mu(\mathcal{G}))}\}_{\lambda \geq 0}$.