

COMPLETENESS OF SETS OF SHIFTS IN INVARIANT BANACH SPACES OF TEMPERED DISTRIBUTIONS VIA TAUBERIAN THEOREMS

HANS G. FEICHTINGER AND ANUPAM GUMBER

ABSTRACT. The main result of this paper is a far reaching generalization of the completeness result given by V. Katsnelson in a recent paper ([28]). Making use of Tauberian Theorems for Beurling algebras as found in the book of H. Reiter ([31]) as well as the theory of invariant Banach spaces of tempered distributions we demonstrate that instead of using all dilates of a given function with non-vanishing integral (this case is treated in [22]) it is enough to use one single function g such as the Gaussian and its translates in order to generate a dense subspace of the given invariant space. The key condition now is the non-vanishing of the Fourier transform $\hat{g}(y)$, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper can be seen as an alternative approach to the question of completeness of sets of translates of a given test function for a large variety of Banach space of tempered distributions. The motivation for the current paper is the wish to demonstrate that the very specific results describing the density of the linear span of the set of all translates and dilates of the Gauss function as given in [28] can be generalized into several directions.

In the companion paper [22] we have shown that only the non-vanishing integral, i.e. without loss of generality the assumption $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x)dx = 1$ for the generating Schwartz function $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is sufficient in order to guarantee the density for quite a few Banach spaces with double module structure. As it turned out, the setting of the paper [8] appeared to be most appropriate, which is quite similar to the setting of so-called “standard spaces” as used in papers on compactness ([14]) or double module structures ([3]). As it is clear that there is only a chance for such a statement if $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a dense subspace of $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$, so we will make this *minimality* assumption throughout this paper.

Observing that the Gauss function has another important property, namely a nowhere vanishing Fourier transform, Tauberian Theorems come to mind (see [37], [38], [32], [29]) [16]), which show that this “Tauberian condition” implies that density of translates in $(\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_1)$ resp. in Beurling algebras $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$. It is this branch of analysis which we want to pursue here further by demonstrating that this density can be moved from Beurling algebras to dense Banach ideals in such Beurling algebras and using the double module structure to the same family of Banach spaces of tempered distributions which has already served well in [22].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A15, 43A10, 41A65, 46F05, 46B50; Secondary 43A25, 46H25, 46A40, 40E05.

Key words and phrases. Beurling algebra, modulation spaces, weighted amalgam spaces, Tauberian theorems, approximation by translations, Banach spaces of tempered distributions, Banach modules.

Address:

Faculty of Mathematics, University Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, AUSTRIA;

Indian Institute of Science, 560 012 Bangalore, INDIA

E-mail: hans.feichtinger@univie.ac.at; anupamgumber@iisc.ac.in.

The main advantage of the approach given here is the fact that it does not make use of dilations, so we do not have to generate Dirac sequences by compressing the given building block $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (with just $\widehat{g}(0) \neq 0$). Thus at least the formulation of the main result of the current paper can easily be transferred to the setting of LCA (locally compact Abelian) groups without significant changes.

One may also argue that the restrictions to polynomially moderate weight functions and hence to stay within the world of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the tempered distributions, is somewhat restrictive, and that one should look for such results also in the context of ultra-distributions. In this way much more sensitive norms are used for the space of test functions (so in a way the kind of approximation that has to be achieved is much more challenging), but also much bigger spaces have to be treated, where many more and less regular elements have to be approximated in the sense of the given norm.

Overall we have chosen to present our results in the context of tempered distributions over $G = \mathbb{R}^d$, in order to make the key steps more clear. The generalization (of basically the same principle ideas) in the context of ultra-distributions over LCA groups thus will be left to a future publication.

In order to keep the paper readable we have avoided this outmost level of generality, mentioning once more that this is still a very far-reaching extension of the results in [28].

2. TRANSLATION AND MODULATION INVARIANT SPACES

Throughout this paper we will work with the following **standard assumptions**, similar to the setting chosen in [8] or [22]:

Definition 1. A Banach space $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ is called a *minimal tempered standard space* (abbreviated as *minimal tempered TMIB*, or equivalently a minimal TMIB in the sense of [7], [22]) if the following conditions are valid:

- (1) We assume the following chain of continuous embeddings:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d); \quad (1)$$

- (2) $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ (*minimality*);

- (3) $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ is *translation invariant*, and for some $s_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_1 > 0$ one has

$$\|T_x f\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq C_1 \langle x \rangle^{s_1} \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathbf{B}. \quad (2)$$

- (4) $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ is *modulation invariant*, and for some $s_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_2 > 0$ one has

$$\|M_y f\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq C_2 \langle y \rangle^{s_2} \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}} \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d, f \in \mathbf{B}. \quad (3)$$

Here we use the Japanese bracket symbol $\langle x \rangle$ respectively $\langle y \rangle$ for the function $v_s(z) = (1 + |z|^2)^{s/2}, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, which is also known as *Beurling weight of polynomial type*, because it satisfies for $s \geq 0$ the *submultiplicativity property*

$$v_s(x + y) \leq v_s(x)v_s(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (4)$$

For any such (polynomial) weight v_s the corresponding weighted \mathbf{L}^1 -space $\mathbf{L}_{v_s}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a Banach algebra with respect to convolution, continuously embedded into $(\mathbf{L}^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_1)$ (since $v_s(x) \geq 1$) and having bounded approximate units (obtained by \mathbf{L}^1 -norm preserving compression, so-called Dirac sequences).

Whenever we use generic, submultiplicative *Beurling weights* (not necessarily of polynomial type) we will make use of the standard notation w . The corresponding weighted

L^1 -spaces $(L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)})$ are Banach algebras with respect to *convolution* (we use the symbol $f * g$), called *Beurling algebras*. The natural norm to be used is (cf. [31])

$$\|f\|_{L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \|f\|_{1,w} := \|f \cdot w\|_{L^1}, \quad f \in L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For the formulation of our arguments the terminology of the theory of Banach modules will be convenient (see [3, 14, 33]):

Definition 2. A Banach space $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ is called a *Banach module over a Banach algebra* $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ if there is a (usually natural) embedding of $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ into the operator algebra over $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$, written as $(a, b) \mapsto a \bullet b$, such that this bilinear (and associative) mapping satisfies

$$\|a \bullet b\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq \|a\|_{\mathbf{A}} \|b\|_{\mathbf{B}}, \quad a \in \mathbf{A}, b \in \mathbf{B}. \quad (5)$$

If, in addition, $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}}) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ and the module operation is just the internal multiplication of the algebra $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$, we call $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ a *Banach ideal*.

A Banach module is called *essential* if $\mathbf{A} \bullet \mathbf{B}$ generates a dense subspace of $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$.

For our applications the Banach algebras $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ have bounded approximate units, i.e. there are bounded sequences or nets $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ of elements in $(\mathbf{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{A}})$ such that

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} e_\alpha \bullet a = a, \quad a \in \mathbf{A}.$$

In such a case the Cohen-Hewitt factorization Theorem can be applied and gives

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A} \bullet \mathbf{B} = \{a \bullet b \mid a \in \mathbf{A}, b \in \mathbf{B}\}.$$

We only consider Beurling algebras as Banach convolution algebras (in this case we write $f * g$ for the abstract multiplication $f \bullet g$) acting on a TMIB via convolution (we will speak of *Banach convolution modules*) or Banach algebras inside of $(\mathbf{C}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ acting on $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ via pointwise multiplication (we write $f \cdot g$, or simply fg).

Essential Banach ideals in the Banach convolution algebra $(L^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_1)$ are exactly the *Segal algebras* in the sense of H. Reiter ([31]) (also called *normed ideals* in [5]), see [10]. Further references to *abstract Segal algebras* are given in papers of J.T. Burnham [4], see also [11].

The density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ and the conditions (2) and (3) imply a double module structure for such Banach spaces:

Proposition 1. *Any minimal tempered TMIB $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ has a double module structure: (1) $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ is an essential Banach convolution module over the Beurling algebra $L_{v_{s_1}}^1$:*

$$\|g * f\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq \|g\|_{L_{v_{s_1}}^1} \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}}, \quad g \in L_{v_{s_1}}^1, f \in \mathbf{B}, \quad (6)$$

and for any bounded, approximate unit $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ in $(L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{L_w^1})$ one has:

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \|e_\alpha * f - f\|_{\mathbf{B}} = 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathbf{B}. \quad (7)$$

(2) $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ is an essential Banach module with respect to pointwise multiplication over the Fourier-Beurling algebra $\mathbf{A}_{s_2} := \mathcal{FL}_{v_{s_2}}^1$, with the corresponding norm estimate.

Correspondingly bounded approximate units for pointwise multiplication act accordingly. (3) The Wiener amalgam space $(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}_{s_2}, \ell_{v_{s_1}}^1), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}_{s_2}, \ell_{v_{s_1}}^1)})$ with local component \mathbf{A}_{s_2} and global component $\ell_{v_{s_1}}^1$ is continuously and densely embedded into $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$.

The statement in this proposition only summarize results which may be considered as folklore. Integrated action appears in a similar form in [3], [14] or [8], for example. For the last (minimality) statement see [13], or more explicitly [15, Proposition 6]. Also recall that the *Wiener amalgam space* $(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}_{s_2}, \mathcal{L}_{v_{s_1}}^1), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}_{s_2}, \mathcal{L}_{v_{s_1}}^1)})$ is the subspace of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d which belong locally to the Banach algebra \mathbf{A}_{s_2} , and such that for some (resp. any) BUPU (bounded partition of unit, see Definition 3) in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_{s_2}$, with $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} T_k \psi \equiv 1$ one has

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{A}_{s_2}, \mathcal{L}_{v_{s_1}}^1)} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \|f \cdot T_k \psi\|_{\mathbf{A}_{s_2}} v_{s_1}(k) < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Since this fact was partially motivating our approach and because we think that its statement may be interesting for the reader we have included it here. However, we will not make use of the third statement and thus we do not go into technical details here.

The following lemma is inspired by the investigations described in [11]:

Lemma 1. *Assume that $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ is translation invariant Banach space of tempered distributions with $\|T_x f\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq w(x) \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}}$, for all $f \in \mathbf{B}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for some submultiplicative function w on \mathbb{R}^d , and $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ the corresponding Beurling algebra.*

Then $(\mathbf{B}_{1,w}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}_{1,w}})$, the vector space $\mathbf{B}_{1,w} = \mathbf{L}_w^1 \cap \mathbf{B}$ is a dense, essential Banach ideal inside the Banach convolution algebra $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_{1,w}} = \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1} + \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}}, \quad f \in \mathbf{B}_{1,w}. \quad (9)$$

*This means $\mathbf{L}_w^1 * \mathbf{B}_{1,w} \subset \mathbf{B}_{1,w}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm given by (9) and*

$$\|g * f\|_{\mathbf{B}_{1,w}} \leq \|g\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1} \|f\|_{\mathbf{B}_{1,w}}, \quad g \in \mathbf{L}_w^1, f \in \mathbf{B}_{1,w}, \quad (10)$$

and that for any bounded, approximate unit $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ in $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$:

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \|e_\alpha * f - f\|_{\mathbf{B}_{1,w}} = 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathbf{B}_{1,w}, \quad (11)$$

and consequently (by the Cohen-Hewitt Factorization Theorem):

$$\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathbf{B}_{1,w} = \mathbf{B}_{1,w}. \quad (12)$$

If w is a Beurling weight of polynomial growth, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $\mathbf{B}_{1,w}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, hence $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \mathcal{S} \cap \mathbf{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a dense subspace of $(\mathbf{B}_{1,w}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}_{1,w}})$.

Proof. The facts collected in the above lemma are essentially based on more detailed considerations published in [11]. The first step is to verify that $\mathbf{B}_{1,w}$ is a *dense* subspace of $\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For this purpose it is enough to note that compactly supported elements are dense in any Beurling algebra, i.e. for given $\varepsilon > 0$ one finds $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with

$$\|f - \varphi\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1} < \varepsilon/4. \quad (13)$$

By smoothing $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with a sufficiently small supported test function $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ one has

$$\|\varphi - \psi * \varphi\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1} < \varepsilon/4. \quad (14)$$

Observing that $\psi * \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{B}$ we note that $\psi * \varphi \in \mathbf{B}_{1,w}$ and satisfies

$$\|f - \psi * \varphi\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1} < \varepsilon/2. \quad (15)$$

Since both $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ and $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ are translation invariant and have continuous translation the same is true for $(\mathbf{B}_{1,w}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}_{1,w}})$.

The remaining consequences are just stated for easier reference but are standard results. The last step is a consequence of the Cohen-Hewitt factorization theorem ([27], Chap.32). \square

Next let us recall the important Beurling-Domar condition, which ensures the existence of band-limited elements (i.e. functions with a compactly supported Fourier transform) in general Beurling algebras. According to [31] (and referring to the important paper by Y. Domar, [9]) a Beurling weight w satisfies the Beurling-Domar *non-quasianalyticity condition* if one has

$$(BD) \quad \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{w(nx)}{n^2} < \infty \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (16)$$

It is an easy exercise to check that any *polynomial weight* satisfies the (BD)-condition. One of the advantages of increasing, radial symmetric weights is the fact that one can create easily bounded approximate units simply by applying to an arbitrary $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{L}_{v_{s_1}}^1$ with $\widehat{g}(0) = 1$ the \mathbf{L}^1 -norm preserving *compression operator*

$$\text{St}_\rho g(x) = \rho^{-d} g(x/\rho), \quad \rho \rightarrow 0.$$

The next result is just a reminder concerning Fourier-Beurling algebras, i.e. pointwise Banach algebras obtained as $(\mathcal{FL}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FL}_w^1})$, with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_w^1} = \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1}$. The statements of the following lemma are essentially restatements of facts found in [31].

Lemma 2. *i) If the weight function w satisfies the (BD)-condition the Beurling algebra $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ has bounded approximate units consisting of band-limited elements.*

ii) The Banach algebra $(\mathcal{FL}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FL}_w^1})$ is a Wiener algebra in the sense of H. Reiter ([31]), meaning that it is a regular Banach algebra of continuous functions, which allows (among others) to separate compact sets from open neighborhoods (see Chap.2.4 of [31] resp. [32]). In particular, the compactly supported elements are dense.

iii) For the case of weight functions of polynomial growth one has in addition: $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow (\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ and for any $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) dx = 1$ one has

$$\|\text{St}_\rho g * f - f\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for } \rho \rightarrow 0.$$

Lemma 3. *For any minimal tempered TMIB $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ the band-limited elements form a dense subspace of $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$. The same is true for $(\mathbf{B}_{1,w}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}_{1,w}})$, which is in fact also a minimal tempered TMIB itself.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for the (polynomial) weights appearing in Proposition 1 the (BD)-condition is clearly satisfied. Hence there are (even bounded) approximate units in $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ which are band-limited (i.e. compactly supported on the Fourier transform side), we write $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$.

Since $e_\alpha * f$ approximates $f \in \mathbf{B}$ according to (11) and

$$\text{supp}(\mathcal{F}(e_\alpha * f)) \subset \text{supp}(\widehat{e_\alpha})$$

we find that the band-limited elements are dense in $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$. \square

For the proof of the main result we will make use of the following key observations already explained in detail in [22]. It makes use of so-called BUPUs, i.e. (*bounded*) *uniform partitions of unity of size* $|\Psi| = \delta > 0$, i.e. of countable collections of continuous functions $\psi_i, i \in I$, with $0 \leq \psi_i(x)$, $\text{supp}(\psi_i) \subset B_\delta(x_i)$ and $\sum_{i \in I} \psi_i(x) \equiv 1$.

For this reason we will introduce a simple tool, the so-called BUPUs, the “bounded uniform partitions of unity”. For simplicity we only consider the regular case, i.e. BUPUs which are obtained as translates of a single function:

Definition 3. A (countable) *family of translates* $\Psi = (T_\lambda \psi)_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, where ψ is a compactly supported function (i.e. $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$), and $\Lambda = \mathbf{A}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ a lattice in \mathbb{R}^d (for some non-singular $d \times d$ -matrix \mathbf{A}) is called a **regular BUPU** (or more precisely a Λ -regular BUPU, or a Λ -invariant BUPU) if

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \psi(x - \lambda) \equiv 1. \quad (17)$$

We will write $\text{diam}(\Psi) \leq \gamma$ if $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset B_\gamma(0)$ for some $\gamma > 0$.

We will be interested in the case of “finer and finer” BUPUs, i.e. the case $\text{diam}(\Psi) \rightarrow 0$. Sometimes we will simply write $|\Psi|$ instead of $\text{diam}(\Psi)$. The use of fine partitions of unity is also well established in the context of usual distribution theory, see e.g. [36]. The following results are required in the sequel, we refer [22] for details of the proof. The method can even be used to introduce convolution of measures (see [19]).

Theorem 1. *Given two functions g, f in some Beurling algebra $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any BUPU $\Psi = (\psi_i)_{i \in I}$ with $|\Psi| \leq \delta$ one has:*

$$\|g * f - g * D_\Psi f\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1} < \varepsilon, \quad (18)$$

where the discrete (bounded) measure $D_\Psi f$ is given by

$$D_\Psi f = \sum_{i \in I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \psi_i(x) \delta_{x_i} \quad (19)$$

and for some $C_1 > 0$ one has $\sum_{i \in I} |\mu(\psi_i)| w(x_i) \leq C_1 \|f\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1}$, $f \in \mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theorem 2. *Any minimal tempered TMIB $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ is an essential Banach module over some Beurling algebra $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$. Moreover, one has for any $k \in \mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$:*

$$\|g * k - g * D_\Psi k\|_{\mathbf{B}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } |\Psi| \rightarrow 0, \quad g \in \mathbf{B}. \quad (20)$$

3. THE COMPLETENESS RESULT

Theorem 3. *For any minimal tempered TMIB $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ any $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\widehat{g}(y) \neq 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the set of translates of g , i.e. $T(g) = \{T_x g \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ spans a dense subspace of $(\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$.*

Proof. The proof requires a couple of steps.

- (1) First of all one has to check that $T(g)$ is a subset of \mathbf{B} . In fact, we have $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{B}_{1,w} \subset \mathbf{B}$, and all the involved spaces are translation invariant;
- (2) Given $f \in (\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we first approximate f by some band-limited function $h \in \mathbf{B}_{1,w}$ according to Lemma 3 i.e. we start with the estimate

$$\|f - h\|_{\mathbf{B}} < \varepsilon/4. \quad (21)$$

- (3) For such a band-limited $h \in \mathbf{B}_{1,w}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\text{spec}(h) := \text{supp}(\hat{h}) = Q$ (some compact set) we can find, according to Wiener's inversion theorem for Beurling algebras (see [31], Chap.1,6.5., p.16, or a variant of Lemma 1.4.2, p.13 of [32]) some $g_1 \in \mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\hat{g}_1(y) = 1/\hat{g}(y)$ for all $y \in Q$. As a consequence we can write

$$h = (g * g_1) * h = g * (g_1 * h) \quad (22)$$

where $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g_1 * h \in \mathbf{L}_{v_s}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) * \mathbf{B}_{1,w}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{B}_{1,w}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{B}$, in view of Lemma 1.

- (4) In the next step we approximate $g_1 * h \in \mathbf{B}_{1,w}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset (\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ by some compactly supported function $k \in \mathbf{C}_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, i.e. we can have

$$\|g_1 * h - k\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1} < \varepsilon/4 \|g\|_{\mathbf{B}}. \quad (23)$$

As a consequence we obtain, recalling the identity (22) and (6):

$$\|h - k * g\|_{\mathbf{B}} = \|(g_1 * h - k) * g\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq \|g_1 * h - k\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1} \|g\|_{\mathbf{B}} < \varepsilon/4. \quad (24)$$

- (5) By assumption we have $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{B}$, and thus for $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $k \in \mathbf{B}_{1,w} \subset \mathbf{L}_w^1(G)$ Theorem 2 such that approximate the convolution product in (18), implying that for any sufficiently fine BUPU Ψ one has:

$$\|g * k - g * D_{\Psi}k\|_{\mathbf{B}} < \varepsilon/4. \quad (25)$$

- (6) Note that, due to the compact support condition imposed on k the discrete measure $D_{\Psi}k$ obtained from it is a finite, discrete measure, hence $\phi \in T(g)$, since only finitely many coefficients (integrals of the form $\langle k, \psi_i \rangle$) are non-zero:

$$\phi := g * D_{\Psi}k = \sum_{i \in I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} k(x) \psi_i(x) dx T_{x_i} g.$$

- (7) By combining the estimates (21), (24), (25) we come up with the final estimate:

$$\|f - \phi\|_{\mathbf{B}} \leq \|f - h\|_{\mathbf{B}} + \|h - g * k\|_{\mathbf{B}} + \|g * k - g * D_{\Psi}k\|_{\mathbf{B}} < \varepsilon. \quad (26)$$

□

Remark 1. The proof of the main theorem clearly shows the crucial ideas behind the argument: Given the double module structure we can approximate a given element $f \in (\mathbf{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}})$ or in $(\mathbf{L}_w^1(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{L}_w^1})$ either by a compactly supported function or by a band-limited element. The band-limited functions, in turn, can be factorized over the given Schwartz function g , thanks to Wiener's Inversion Theorem (applied in the context of the relevant Beurling algebras) and the non-vanishing condition on \hat{g} . Finally, the well known general idea that convolutions can be approximated by linear combinations of shifts (convolution by k is approximated by a convolution with some finite, discrete measure) is applied. Of course all these estimates require to use appropriate intermediate spaces and corresponding norm estimates.

Remark 2. Without loss of generality we may assume (by multiplying \hat{g}_1 above by some plateau-like function in $\mathcal{FL}_{v_s}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with compact support) that the function \hat{g}_1 has compact support (the existence of such plateau functions is granted by [1]).

4. APPLICATIONS

Although we have indicated that there is room for further generalization we have to point out that the list of examples to which the above result applies is endless. The first author has tried to collect the construction principles widely (or occasionally) used in Fourier Analysis in a systematic way. It appears to be harder to identify space which are generally important, containing $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as a dense subspace, but not satisfying the assumptions formulated for this paper.

In order to mention at least some of the most important cases which are playing a major role in the literature let us mention:

- (1) Weighted \mathbf{L}^p -spaces, for $1 \leq p < \infty$ with respect to polynomial weights (see [12], [24]);
- (2) Wiener amalgam spaces of the form $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{L}^p, \ell_{v_s}^1)$, for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ (see [26]), but also weighted Wiener amalgam spaces, as treated in [25];
- (3) The classical Besov-Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $(\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{B}_{p,q}^s})$ resp. $(\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{F}_{p,q}^s})$, for $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ (see [30] or the books of H. Triebel [34, 35]);
- (4) Modulation spaces in general (see [17]), but specifically the classical modulation spaces $(\mathbf{M}_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}_{p,q}^s})$, also for the case $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. See [2] and [6];
- (5) More general decomposition spaces, as discussed in the literature, based on the approach given in [21];
- (6) Tauberian Theorems have been derived directly in the context of functions of bounded means in [16], where they have been a crucial step in order to extend Wiener's Third Tauberian Theorem to the full range $1 < p \leq \infty$, and for \mathbb{R}^d instead of just $p = 2$ and $d = 1$ (see [37, 38]);
- (7) The atomic space $\mathcal{H}(q, p, \alpha)$ appearing in [20] as well as many other atomic spaces, including the exotic case discussed in [23], are minimal tempered TMIBs;
- (8) General construction principles for a further variety of function spaces as well as a long list of references are provided in [18].

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was initiated during the visit of the second author to the NuHAG workgroup at the University of Vienna, supported by an Ernst Mach Grant-Worldwide Fellowship (ICM-2019-13302) from the OeAD-GmbH, Austria. The second author is very grateful to Professor Hans G. Feichtinger for his guidance, for the kind hospitality and arranging excellent research facilities at the University of Vienna. The second author is also grateful to the NBHM-DAE (0204/19/2019R&D-II/10472) and Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India for the support provided during the period of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. F. Bachelis, W. A. Parker, and K. A. Ross. Local units in $L^1(G)$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31:312–313, 1972.
- [2] A. Beyni and K. A. Okoudjou. *Modulation Spaces*. Springer (Birkhäuser), New York, 2020.
- [3] W. Braun and H. G. Feichtinger. Banach spaces of distributions having two module structures. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 51:174–212, 1983.
- [4] J. T. Burnham. Closed ideals in subalgebras of Banach algebras I. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 32:551–555, 1972.
- [5] J. Cigler. Normed ideals in $L^1(G)$. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A*, Ser. A(74):273–282, 1969.
- [6] E. Cordero and L. Rodino. *Time-frequency Analysis of Operators*. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, Berlin, 2020.
- [7] P. Dimovski, S. Pilipovic, B. Prangoski, and J. Vindas. Translation–modulation invariant Banach spaces of ultradistributions. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 25(3):819–841, 2019.
- [8] P. Dimovski, S. Pilipovic, and J. Vindas. New distribution spaces associated to translation-invariant Banach spaces. *Monatsh. Math.*, 177(4):495–515, 2015.
- [9] Y. Domar. Harmonic analysis based on certain commutative Banach algebras. *Acta Math.*, 96:1–66, 1956.
- [10] D. H. Dunford. Segal algebras and left normed ideals. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 8:514–516, 1974.
- [11] H. G. Feichtinger. Results on Banach ideals and spaces of multipliers. *Math. Scand.*, 41(2):315–324, 1977.
- [12] H. G. Feichtinger. Gewichtsfunktionen auf lokalkompakten Gruppen. *Sitzber. d. österr. Akad. Wiss.*, 188:451–471, 1979.
- [13] H. G. Feichtinger. A characterization of minimal homogeneous Banach spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81(1):55–61, 1981.
- [14] H. G. Feichtinger. Compactness in translation invariant Banach spaces of distributions and compact multipliers. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 102:289–327, 1984.
- [15] H. G. Feichtinger. Minimal Banach spaces and atomic representations. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 34(3-4):231–240, 1987.
- [16] H. G. Feichtinger. An elementary approach to Wiener’s third Tauberian theorem for the Euclidean n -space. In *Symposia Math.*, Vol. XXIX of *Analisa Armonica*, pages 267–301, Cortona, 1988.
- [17] H. G. Feichtinger. Modulation spaces on locally compact Abelian groups. In R. Radha, M. Krishna, and S. Thangavelu, editors, *Proc. Internat. Conf. on Wavelets and Applications*, pages 1–56, Chennai, January 2002, 2003. New Delhi Allied Publishers.
- [18] H. G. Feichtinger. *Choosing Function Spaces in Harmonic Analysis*, volume 4 of *The February Fourier Talks at the Norbert Wiener Center, Appl. Numer. Harmon. Anal.*, pages 65–101. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [19] H. G. Feichtinger. A novel mathematical approach to the theory of translation invariant linear systems. In Peter J. Bentley and I. Pesenson, editors, *Novel Methods in Harmonic Analysis with Applications to Numerical Analysis and Data Processing*, pages 483–516. Birkhäuser, Cham, 2017.
- [20] H. G. Feichtinger and J. Feuto. Predual of Fofana’s spaces. *Mathematics (MDPI)*, 7(6):528, 2019.
- [21] H. G. Feichtinger and P. Gröbner. Banach spaces of distributions defined by decomposition methods. I. *Math. Nachr.*, 123:97–120, 1985.
- [22] H. G. Feichtinger and A. Gumber. Completeness of shifted dilates in invariant Banach spaces of tempered distributions. *submitted*, page 12, 08 2020.
- [23] H. G. Feichtinger and G. Zimmermann. An exotic minimal Banach space of functions. *Math. Nachr.*, 239-240:42–61, 2002.
- [24] K. Gröchenig. Weight functions in time-frequency analysis. In L. Rodino and et al., editors, *Pseudodifferential Operators: Partial Differential Equations and Time-Frequency Analysis*, volume 52 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 343–366. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [25] K. Gröchenig, C. Heil, and K. Okoudjou. Gabor analysis in weighted amalgam spaces. *Sampl. Theory Signal Image Process.*, 1(3):225–259, 2002.
- [26] C. Heil. An introduction to weighted Wiener amalgams. In M. Krishna, R. Radha, and S. Thangavelu, editors, *Wavelets and their Applications (Chennai, January 2002)*, pages 183–216. Allied Publishers, New Delhi, 2003.

- [27] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross. *Abstract Harmonic Analysis. Vol. II: Structure and Analysis for Compact Groups. Analysis on Locally Compact Abelian Groups*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1970.
- [28] V. Katsnelson. On the completeness of Gaussians in a Hilbert functional space. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 13(3):637–658, 2019.
- [29] J. Korevaar. *Tauberian Theory. A Century of Developments*. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [30] J. Peetre. *New Thoughts on Besov Spaces*. Duke University Mathematics Series, No. 1, Mathematics Department, Duke University, 1976. vi+305 pp.
- [31] H. Reiter. *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1968.
- [32] H. Reiter and J. D. Stegeman. *Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups. 2nd ed.* Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [33] M. A. Rieffel. Multipliers and tensor products of L^p -spaces of locally compact groups. *Studia Math.*, 33:71–82, 1969.
- [34] H. Triebel. *Theory of Function Spaces*. Vol. 78 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.
- [35] H. Triebel. *Theory of Function Spaces II*. Monographs in Mathematics 84. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1992.
- [36] W. Walter. *Einführung in die Theorie der Distributionen*. B.I. Taschenbuch, 1974.
- [37] N. Wiener. Tauberian theorems. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 33(1):1–100, 1932.
- [38] N. Wiener. *The Fourier Integral and certain of its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1933.