

Abstract

We study certain spaces of vertex functions on the Cayley graphs C_m^N of \mathbb{Z}_m^N , where $m = 3, 4, 5$, that are invariant under the adjacency operator that maps a value at a given vertex to each of its neighbors. An application to spatio-spectral limiting—an analogue of time and band limiting—is also discussed.

Some adjacency-invariant spaces on products of short cycles

Jeffrey A. Hogan

School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences

University of Newcastle

Callaghan NSW 2308 Australia

email: `jeff.hogan@newcastle.edu.au`

Joseph D. Lakey

College of Arts and Sciences

New Mexico State University

Las Cruces, NM 88003–8001

email: `jlakey@nmsu.edu`

December 2, 2021

1 Introduction

We study spaces of vertex functions on the Cayley graphs \mathcal{C}_m^N of \mathbb{Z}_m^N , where $m = 3, 4, 5$, that are invariant under the adjacency operator that maps a value at a given vertex to each of its neighbors. In spectral graph theory, *graph filters* are typically described as polynomials in the adjacency operator, e.g., [11]. Thus, adjacency invariant spaces are ones on which filters can, in principle, admit simple implementations.

This work extends our work [5, 6] in which certain adjacency-invariant spaces on Boolean cubes \mathcal{B}_N —Cayley graphs on \mathbb{Z}_2^N —were identified. These spaces were shown to contain eigen-spaces of *spatio-spectral limiting operators*—analogues on \mathbb{Z}_2^N of classical time- and band-limiting operators, e.g., [4] that are in turn relevant to uncertainty principles on more general graphs, e.g., [1, 15]. Broadly, these spaces were defined in terms of level sets Σ_r of path distance r to the identity of \mathbb{Z}_2^N . We defined a *subadjacency* operator A_+ of the adjacency operator A that maps functions supported in Σ_r to ones supported in Σ_{r+1} , and its adjoint A_- . We showed that spaces of the form $\mathcal{V}_r = \{\sum_{k=0}^{N-r} c_k A_+^k f, f \in \mathcal{W}_r, c_0, \dots, c_{N-r} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C}\}$, where \mathcal{W}_r consists of those vertex functions f supported in Σ_r in the kernel of A_- , are invariant under the adjacency operator A . Moreover, $\mathcal{V}_r \simeq \mathcal{W}_r \times \mathbb{R}^{N+1-r}$ and, as an operator on \mathcal{V}_r , A depends only on the factor \mathbb{R}^{N+1-r} and can thus be expressed as multiplication on \mathbb{R}^{N+1-r} by

a *level matrix* of size $(N + 1 - r)$. The action of any polynomial graph filter on \mathcal{B}_N can then be expressed on \mathcal{V}_r as a polynomial of this level matrix. On \mathcal{B}_N , any vertex function can be expressed, in any neighborhood of the identity, as a sum of components in spaces \mathcal{V}_r . Thus such decomposition provides a tool to analyze graph filters on \mathcal{B}_N .

After setting notation, we establish corresponding results in \mathcal{C}_m^N for the cases $m = 3, 4, 5$ in Sects. 3–5 respectively, showing that the corresponding adjacency matrices are invariant on suitable analogues of the spaces \mathcal{V}_r outlined above. We comment on applications to spatio-spectral limiting in Sect. 6 and briefly comment on extensions to larger values of m in Sect. 7.

2 Background and notation

Let Γ be a finite abelian group and S a symmetric subset (i.e., $S = -S$) of generators of Γ , meaning that each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ can be written $\gamma = s_1 + \dots + s_n$ for some n with each $s_i \in S$. The Cayley graph (Γ, S) is then the (unweighted, symmetric) graph whose vertices are the elements of Γ and whose edges correspond to ordered pairs (γ_1, γ_2) such that $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \in S$.

In this paper we study certain properties of Cayley graphs \mathcal{C}_m^N of the groups \mathbb{Z}_m^N , specifically for $m = 3, 4, 5$. The one-dimensional cycles $\mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_5$ are depicted in Fig. 1. The cycle group \mathbb{Z}_m , the group of *integers modulo m* , can be identified with the set of the first m integers $\{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ with addition modulo m . The identity is the (equivalence class of the) integer 0 and the inverse of $k \in \{1, \dots, m - 1\}$ is $m - k$. We will express the element $m - k$ ($k \leq \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$) instead as “ $-k$ ” to emphasize that it is the k th-order sum of the inverse -1 of the generator 1. We write elements of \mathbb{Z}_m^N as $v = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N)$, $\ell_i \in \{-\lfloor (m - 1)/2 \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}$. Addition in \mathbb{Z}_m^N is coordinate-wise addition in \mathbb{Z}_m .




Figure 1: Graphic representation of cycles \mathcal{C}_3 (left), \mathcal{C}_4 (middle) and \mathcal{C}_5

We denote by $e_k \in \mathbb{Z}_m^N$ the element equal to $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ in the k th coordinate and equal to $0 \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ in the other coordinates. We denote by \mathcal{C}_m^N the Cayley graph on \mathbb{Z}_m^N with generators $S = \{\pm e_k\}_{k=1}^N$. Two vertices v, w are adjacent, denoted $v \sim w$, if $v - w = \pm e_k$ for some $k = 1, \dots, N$. It will be useful to define the *k -reflection* of a vertex $v = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N)$ to be the vertex \tilde{v}_k whose j th coordinate is ℓ_j if $j \neq k$ and whose k th coordinate is $-\ell_k$. The *k -reflection* operator ρ_k is defined on vertex functions f by

$(\rho_k f)(v) = f(\tilde{v}_k)$. To an element $v = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N) \in \{-\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor, \dots, \lfloor m/2 \rfloor\}^N$ we define its *level vector* to be the vector whose k th entry is the k th *level*, $d_k(v) = |\ell_k|$. The path distance from v to the origin is $d(v) := \sum_{k=1}^N d_k(v)$. If $0 < d_k(v) < \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ then v_k^- denotes the (unique) vertex satisfying $d_k(v_k^-) = d_k(v) - 1$ and $d_j(v_k^-) = d_j(v)$ if $j \neq k$. Similarly, v_k^+ denotes the (unique) vertex satisfying $d_k(v_k^+) = d_k(v) + 1$ and $d_j(v_k^+) = d_j(v)$ if $j \neq k$. Observe that if $v \sim w$ and $d(v) < d(w)$ then there is a unique coordinate k such that $d_k(w) = d_k(v) + 1$. If $d_k(v) > 0$ then $w = v_k^+$ (and if $d_k(w) < \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ then $v = w_k^-$). Otherwise $d_k(v) = 0$ and we regard $v_k^+ = v \pm e_k$ as a pair of vertices. Similarly, if $d_k(w) = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$ and m is even we also regard $w_k^- = w \mp e_k$ as a vertex pair. As an example in \mathcal{C}_5^2 , $(-1, 1)_1^+ = (-2, 1)$ and $(-1, 1)_1^- = (0, 1)$ while $(0, 1)_1^+ = (\pm 1, 1)$. Two vertices v, w are equi-level if they have an equal number of coordinates at each level. For example, $v = (0, 1, 2, 1)$ and $w = (-1, 0, 1, 2)$ are equi-level in \mathcal{C}_4^4 . Set $M = \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$. The level set Σ_{q_1, \dots, q_M} is the set of all vertices that have q_ℓ coordinates at level ℓ , $\ell = 1, \dots, M$.

The graph Laplacian is the operator $(Lf)(v) = \sum_{w \sim v} f(w) - f(v)$ where f is a complex-valued function defined on \mathbb{Z}_m^N . When $N = 1$, the eigenvectors are $E_k = \{e^{2\pi i k \ell / m}\}_{\ell=0}^{m-1}$, with eigenvalue $2 \cos(2\pi k / m)$. Given the Cartesian product of two graphs with an appropriate vertex ordering on the product, the eigenvectors of the product Laplacian are the tensor products of eigenvectors of the Laplacians on the separate factors, whose eigenvalues are the sums of the corresponding factor eigenvalues, e.g., [7]. The graph Fourier transform is the operator that maps a vertex function to its coefficients in a basis of Laplacian eigenvectors. For any r -regular graph (i.e., each vertex is adjacent to r other vertices), the Laplacian can also be written as $L = rI - A$ where the adjacency operator A is defined by $(Af)(v) = \sum_{w \sim v} f(w)$.

Once an indexing of the m^N vertices of \mathcal{C}_m^N is fixed, the matrix A of the adjacency operator with respect to this ordering can be defined as the matrix whose (i, j) -entry is equal to one precisely when v_i and v_j are adjacent vertices. In what follows we will not distinguish notationally between the operator A versus a matrix realization A , and simply write A in either case. The same will apply to *partial* adjacencies—restrictions of adjacency to subsets of (ordered) vertex pairs—and compositions of them. We define the *outer adjacency operator* A_+ by $(A_+ f)(v) = \sum_{w \sim v, d(w) < d(v)} f(w)$. If vertices are ordered in nondecreasing order of path distance d to the origin, the corresponding matrix A_+ of A is lower triangular. We define A_- to be the adjoint of A_+ . Finally, we define the *neutral adjacency operator* A_0 by $(A_0 f)(v) = \sum_{w \sim v, d(w) = d(v)} f(w)$.

The commutator $C = [A_-, A_+] = A_- A_+ - A_+ A_-$ will be important.

Lemma 1. *The commutator $C = A_- A_+ - A_+ A_-$ has its support in pairs of vertices that have equal level vectors.*

Specifically, we think of A_+ as mapping values at vertices of a given level to vertices of an outer level and A_- does the reverse. If $f = \mathbf{1}_v$ is supported at a single vertex $v \sim (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_N)$ and $w = (\ell'_1, \dots, \ell'_N)$ is an equi-level vertex such that for fixed $j \neq k$, $\ell'_j = \ell_j + 1$ and $\ell'_k = \ell_k - 1$, then $(A_- A_+ \mathbf{1}_v)(w) = (A_+ A_- \mathbf{1}_v)(w)$.

3 Adjacency-invariant spaces on \mathcal{C}_3^N

The graph \mathcal{C}_3^N is an N -fold product of triangles. Let Σ_r denote the set of vertices v such that $d(v) = r$. These are the vertices that have ± 1 in r coordinates and are null in the other $N - r$ coordinates. Thus Σ_r has $2^r \binom{N}{r}$ vertices. The identity $\sum_{r=0}^N 2^r \binom{N}{r} = 3^N$ reflects that the vertices of \mathcal{C}_3^N are the disjoint union of Σ_r , $r = 0, \dots, N$. The outer adjacency operator maps vectors supported on Σ_r to ones supported on Σ_{r+1} . In particular, $A_+^k f = 0$ if f is supported in Σ_r and $k > N - r$. Two vertices $v, w \in \Sigma_r$ that are equal in all but one coordinate are adjacent to one another. Specifically, if $v, w \in \Sigma_r$ and $v - w = \pm e_k$ then $v \sim w$ and $w = \tilde{v}_k$. We call w the k th neutral neighbor of v . The neutral adjacency operator on \mathcal{C}_3^N is $(A_0 f)(v) = \sum_{k: d_k(v)=1} f(\tilde{v}_k)$.

Theorem 2. $C + A_0$ is diagonal on the space of vectors supported on Σ_r , the vertices of distance r from the origin in \mathcal{C}_3^N . Specifically, for such f , $Cf = (2N - 3r)f - A_0 f$.

Proof. Fix $v \in \Sigma_r$. In view of Lem. 1, $Cf(v)$ takes its input from vertices that differ from v in at most a single coordinate, meaning C will have a diagonal component at v and, possibly, a neutral neighbor component. Given a vertex v , for each null coordinate of v , there are two edges to vertices in Σ_{r+1} that are level one in that coordinate and otherwise equal to v , so there are $2(N - r)$ paths from v to a vertex in Σ_{r+1} and back to v under $A_- A_+$. On the other hand, for each level-one coordinate k of v , there is a path from v to the vertex v_k^- that is null in that coordinate and equal to v in all other coordinates, then back to v , and another path through v_k^- to \tilde{v}_k , under $A_+ A_-$. Altogether then, $C(f)(v) = (A_- A_+ - A_+ A_-)(f)(v) = 2(N - r)f(v) - [rf(v) + \sum_{k: d_k(v)=1} f(\tilde{v}_k)] = (2N - 3r)f(v) - (A_0 f)(v)$. This proves the theorem. \square

Lemma 3. Let f be a vertex function supported in Σ_r such that for each vertex $v \in \Sigma_r$, f is k -symmetric in s of the level-one coordinates of v and k -antisymmetric in the other $r - s$ level-one coordinates. Then f is an eigenvector of A_0 with eigenvalue $2s - r$.

Proof. Each vertex $v \in \Sigma_r$ has r coordinate neutral neighbors, namely $\{\tilde{v}_k : d_k(v) = 1\}$ and

$$(A_0 f)(v) = \sum_{k: d_k(v)=1} f(\tilde{v}_k) = sf(v) - (r - s)f(v) = (2s - r)f(v)$$

by hypothesis. \square

The possible A_0 -eigenvalues λ of f on Σ_r are $(2s - r)$, $s = 0, 1, \dots, r$.

Lemma 4. Let f be a λ -eigenvector of A_0 supported in Σ_r . Then $A_+ f$ is a $(\lambda + 1)$ -eigenvector of A_0 supported in Σ_{r+1} .

Proof. One has $(A_0 f)(v) = \sum_{k: d_k(v)=1} f(\tilde{v}_k)$. Since $A_+ f(w) = \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} f(w_\nu^-)$,

altogether,

$$\begin{aligned}
(A_0 A_+ f)(w) &= \sum_{\mu: d_\mu(w)=1} (A_+ f)(\tilde{w}_\mu) = \sum_{\mu: d_\mu(w)=1} \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(\tilde{w}_\mu)=1} f((\tilde{w}_\mu)_\nu^-) \\
&= \sum_{\mu: d_\mu(w)=1} \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} f((\tilde{w}_\mu)_\nu^-) = \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} \sum_{\mu: d_\mu(w)=1} f((\tilde{w}_\mu)_\nu^-) \\
&= \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} [f((\tilde{w}_\nu)_\nu^-) + \sum_{\mu: d_\mu(w)=1, \mu \neq \nu} f((\tilde{w}_\mu)_\nu^-)] \\
&= \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} [f(w_\nu^-) + (A_0 f)(w_\nu^-)] = (\lambda + 1) \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} f(w_\nu^-) = (\lambda + 1)(A_+ f)(w)
\end{aligned}$$

since $d_\nu(w_\nu^-) = 0$ implies that $\{(\tilde{w}_\mu)_\nu^- : d_\mu(w) = 1, \mu \neq \nu\}$ is the set of all level-one reflections of w_ν^- and that $f((\tilde{w}_\nu)_\nu^-) = f(w_\nu^-)$. We also used that $A_0 f = \lambda f$. \square

In \mathcal{C}_3^N , Σ_r has $2^r \binom{N}{r}$ vertices. For each choice S_r of r nonzero coordinates, a basis for the vertex functions whose nonzero coordinates are S_r can be obtained by ordering the elements of S_r and forming the Hadamard matrix H_r of size 2^r (i.e., the r -th Kronecker power of the Haar matrix with rows $[1, 1]/\sqrt{2}$ and $[1, -1]/\sqrt{2}$) on these indices. For each such S_r , there is an $\binom{r}{s}$ -dimensional eigenspace of A_0 with eigenvalue $2s - r$ spanned by the columns of H_r that are symmetric in s of the S_r -coordinates and antisymmetric in the others. A basis for the $(2s - r)$ -eigenspace of A_0 on Σ_r results from ranging over choices of S_r .

Lemma 5. *Let f be a λ -eigenvector of A_0 supported in Σ_r and in the kernel of A_- . Then $A_- A_+^{k+1} f = m(r, k, \lambda) A_+^k f$ where $m(r, k, \lambda)$ is defined inductively by $m(r, 0, \lambda) = 2N - 3r - \lambda$ and $m(r, k, \lambda) = m(r, k - 1, \lambda) + (2N - 3r - 4k) - \lambda$.*

If $A_+^k f = 0$ for some k then $A_+^{k+1} f = 0$ also. The identity reflects this property.

Proof. First, since $A_- f = 0$ we have $Cf = A_- A_+ f - A_+ A_- f = A_- A_+ f = ((2N - 3r) - \lambda)f$ by Thm. 2. Thus the result holds with $k = 0$ and $m(r, 0, \lambda) = (2N - 3r - \lambda)$. Suppose that the result is true for $k - 1$. Then, again by Thm. 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_- A_+^{k+1} f &= [A_- A_+ - A_+ A_- + A_+ A_-] A_+^k f = C A_+^k f + A_+ (A_- A_+^k f) \\
&= C A_+^k f + m(r, k - 1, \lambda) A_+^k f = (2N - 3(r + k)) A_+^k f - A_0 A_+^k f + m(r, k - 1, \lambda) A_+^k f \\
&= [(2N - 3(r + k)) - (\lambda + k) + m(r, k - 1, \lambda)] A_+^k f = m(r, k, \lambda) A_+^k f \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathcal{W}_{r, \lambda}$ denote the space of vertex functions supported in Σ_r that are in the kernel of A_- and are λ -eigenvectors of A_0 . Let $\mathcal{V}_{r, \lambda} = \{\sum_{k=0}^{N-r} c_k A_+^k f : f \in \mathcal{W}_{r, \lambda}, c_k \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Then for each eigenvalue λ of A_0 , the space $\mathcal{V}_{r, \lambda}$ is invariant under the adjacency operator A on \mathcal{C}_3^N .*

Proof. By its definition, $\mathcal{V}_{r, \lambda}$ is invariant under A_+ . By Lem. 4, $A_+^k f$ is an eigenvector of A_0 for each k if $f \in \mathcal{W}_{r, \lambda}$ and therefore A_0 maps any sum of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{N-r} c_k A_+^k f : f \in \mathcal{W}_{r, \lambda}$ to another sum of the same form. Finally, by Lem. 5, A_- maps any sum of this form to another such sum. Since $A = A_- + A_0 + A_+$, the theorem follows. \square

Evidently, $\mathcal{V}_{r,\lambda}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}_{r,\lambda} \times \mathbb{C}^{N+1-r}$. The action of A on $\mathcal{V}_{r,\lambda}$ only depends on the \mathbb{C}^{N+1-r} factor and can be represented by a size $N+1-r$ tri-diagonal *level matrix* that has ones on the diagonal below the main diagonal, entries $\lambda+k$ on the main diagonal, and $m(r,k,\lambda)$ on the diagonal above the main diagonal. This representation can make application of polynomials of A on $\mathcal{V}_{r,\lambda}$ feasible when N is large, cf., [5].

4 Adjacency-invariant spaces on \mathcal{C}_4^N

In this case, $\Sigma_r = \{v : d(v) = r\} = \cup_{2q+p=r} \Sigma_{p,q}$ where $\Sigma_{p,q}$ consists of those vertices that have q level-two coordinates and p level-one coordinates. For example $\Sigma_5 = \Sigma_{5,0} \cup \Sigma_{3,1} \cup \Sigma_{1,2}$.

Theorem 7. *Let f be supported on Σ_r , the vertices of distance r from the origin in \mathcal{C}_4^N . Then $Cf = 2(N-r)f$.*

Proof. It suffices to show that the same multiplier applies to $\Sigma_{p,q}$ for each case of $p+2q=r$. We write A_+ and A_- explicitly in this case as $(A_+f)(v) = \sum_{d_k(v)=2} f(v_k^-) + \sum_{d_k(v)=1} f(v_k^-)$ and $(A_-g)(w) = \sum_{d_k(w)=0} f(w_k^+) + \sum_{d_k(w)=1} f(w_k^+)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (A_-A_+f)(v) &= \left(\sum_{d_k(v)=0} + \sum_{d_k(v)=1} \right) (A_+f)(v_k^+) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d_k(v)=0} + \sum_{d_k(v)=1} \right) \left(\sum_{d_\nu(v_k^+)=2} + \sum_{d_\nu(v_k^+)=1} \right) f((v_k^+)_\nu^-) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d_k(v)=0} + \sum_{d_k(v)=1} \right) f((v_k^+)_k^-) + \text{other terms} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (A_+A_-f)(v) &= \left(\sum_{d_\nu(v)=1} + \sum_{d_\nu(v)=2} \right) (A_-f)(v_\nu^-) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d_\nu(v)=2} + \sum_{d_\nu(v)=1} \right) \left(\sum_{d_k(v_\nu^-)=0} + \sum_{d_k(v_\nu^-)=1} \right) f((v_\nu^-)_k^+) \\ &= \left(\sum_{d_\nu(v)=2} + \sum_{d_\nu(v)=1} \right) f((v_\nu^-)_\nu^+) + \text{other terms} \end{aligned}$$

Contributions to $(A_\pm A_\mp f)(v)$ come from two-edge paths of two types: paths in which the level changes are in the same coordinate and paths that involve a level increase in one coordinate and a level decrease in a different coordinate. The latter account for the *other terms* above. As observed in Lem. 1, these *other terms* cancel between A_-A_+ and A_+A_- . Also, if $d_k(v) = 1$ then the k th coordinate contribution to $A_-A_+f(v)$ is $f(v) + f(\tilde{v}_k)$, since $(v_k^+)_k^-$ is the pair (v, \tilde{v}_k) . The k th coordinate contribution to $A_+A_-f(v)$ is also $f(v) + f(\tilde{v}_k)$, since $(v_\nu^-)_\nu^+$ is also the pair (v, \tilde{v}_k) , so the *level-one*

terms cancel in Cf . If $d_k(v) = 0$ then v_k^+ and $(\widetilde{v_k^+})_k$ are both assigned the value $f(v)$ under A_+ so the k th coordinate contribution to $(A_-A_+f)(v)$ is $2f(v)$ whereas the k th contribution to $(A_+A_-f)(v)$ is zero, so the net contribution to Cf from level-zero terms is $2(N - (p + q))f$. On the other hand, if $d_\nu(v) = 2$ then the ν th coordinate contribution to $(A_-A_+f)(v)$ is zero, whereas v_ν^- and $(\widetilde{v_\nu^-})_\nu$ are both assigned the value $f(v)$ under A_- , so the ν -th contribution to $(A_+A_-f)(v)$ is $2f(v)$, and the net level-two contribution to Cf is $-2qf$. Altogether, the different coordinate contributions to $(Cf)(v)$ add up to $2(N - (p + q) - q)f(v) = 2(N - r)f(v)$, independent of the level component, $\Sigma_{p,q} \subset \Sigma_r$, of v . This proves the theorem. \square

Lemma 8. *Suppose that f is supported in $\Sigma_{p,q}$ and $A_-f = 0$. Then $(A_-A_+^{k+1}f)(v) = m(r, k)f(v)$ where $m(r, k)$ is defined iteratively by $m(r, 0) = 2(N - r)$ and $m(r, k) = [m(r, k - 1) + 2(N - (r + k))]$, $r = p + 2q$.*

Proof. The case $k = 0$ follows from Thm. 7 and the hypothesis that f is in the kernel of A_- . Suppose that the conclusion holds for k replaced by $k - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_-A_+^{k+1}f &= (A_-A_+ - A_+A_- + A_+A_-)A_+^k f = CA_+^k f + A_+A_-A_+^k f \\ &= 2(N - (r + k))A_+^k f + A_+(m(r, k - 1))A_+^{k-1} f = m(r, k)A_+^k f. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used again Thm. 7. It applies because $A_+^k f$ is supported in $\Sigma_r = \cup_{p+2q=r} \Sigma_{p,q}$, and then applied the induction hypothesis. This proves the lemma. \square

Theorem 9. *Let $\mathcal{W}_{p,q,0}$ be the space of vertex functions supported in $\Sigma_{p,q}$ and in the kernel of A_- . Set $\mathcal{V}_{p,q} = \{\sum_{k=0}^{N-r} c_k A_+^k f, f \in \mathcal{W}_{p,q,0}\}$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ is invariant under A .*

Proof. Observe that there are no neutral adjacencies, that is, vertex pairs $v, w \in \Sigma_r$ such that $v \sim w$. Thus $A = A_+ + A_-$. Evidently $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ is invariant under A_+ . If $g = \sum_{k=0}^{N-r} c_k A_+^k f$, $f \in \mathcal{W}_{p,q,0}$ then

$$A_-g = \sum_{k=1}^{N-r} c_k m(r, k - 1) A_+^{k-1} f = \sum_{k=0}^{N-r-1} c_{k+1} m(r, k) A_+^k f$$

which is also in $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ (with no term $A_+^{N-r} f$). \square

Similar to the case of \mathcal{C}_3^N , $\mathcal{V}_{p,q} \simeq \mathcal{W}_{p,q,0} \times \mathbb{C}^{N+1-r}$ ($r = p + 2q$), and the action of A on $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ can be realized as a tridiagonal level matrix on \mathbb{C}^{N+1-r} . In this case the matrix has ones on the diagonal below the main diagonal, $m(r, k)$ on the diagonal above the main diagonal, and zeros on the main diagonal.

5 Adjacency-invariant spaces on \mathcal{C}_5^N

As in the \mathcal{C}_3^N case, \mathcal{C}_5^N has *neutral adjacencies*, this time because “-2” and “2” are adjacent in \mathcal{C}_5 , see Fig. 1. Additionally, a path from “1” to “-1” through the origin in \mathcal{C}_5 can be viewed as a reflection, which arises under composite partial adjacencies A_+A_- .

These properties translate into more technical description of adjacency invariant spaces on \mathcal{C}_5^N , to which we will refer as $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ -type spaces, compared to the invariant spaces $\mathcal{V}_{r,\lambda}$ on \mathcal{C}_3^N and $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ on \mathcal{C}_4^N . To facilitate such description, we in turn describe *subadjacencies* $A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q+1)}$ and $A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q)}$ of A_+ that map values on vertices $v \in \Sigma_{p,q}$ such that $d(v) = p + 2q$ to corresponding values on adjacent vertices w in $\Sigma_{p-1,q+1}$ or $\Sigma_{p+1,q}$, respectively, such that $d(w) = p + 2q + 1$. Similarly, we denote by $A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q)}$ and $A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q-1)}$ subadjacencies of A_- that map $\Sigma_{p,q}$ to $\Sigma_{p-1,q}$ and $\Sigma_{p+1,q-1}$, respectively. Finally, we denote by $A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p,q)}$ the *neutral subadjacency* operator on $\Sigma_{p,q}$ that replaces a vertex function value $f(v)$ at $v \in \Sigma_{p,q}$ by the sum $\sum_{k:d_k(v)=2} f(\tilde{v}_k)$ of the values at its neutral neighbors in $\Sigma_{p,q}$. For $q = 1$, $A_{(p,1)\rightarrow(p,1)}^2 = I$. More generally, $A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p,q)}$ is in the kernel of a nontrivial polynomial of degree $q + 1$. We refer to the subadjacencies from $\Sigma_{p,q}$ to $\Sigma_{p\pm 1,q\mp 1}$ as *internal adjacencies*, referring to raising and lowering the level in a non-null coordinate, and to $A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p\pm 1,q)}$ as *external adjacencies* that involve adjacencies between vertices with different numbers of null coordinates. We will use the symbols A_- , A_+ , A_0 as abbreviations for particular inner, outer, and neutral subadjacencies when appropriate.

In defining suitable analogues on \mathcal{C}_5^N of the adjacency-invariant subspaces of type $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ defined in Thms. 6 and 9, between successive powers of A_+ that occur in their description, we need to allow application of a neutral adjacency after every subadjacency of the form $A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q+1)}$ that increases the number of level-two coordinates. So elements of $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ -type spaces will be sums of compositions of outer and neutral subadjacencies starting from a fixed level. The different subadjacencies in turn give rise to different multipliers for each different level. As before, establishing adjacency invariance of $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ -type spaces boils down to understanding compositions of the different subadjacencies.

The commutator $C = [A_-, A_+]$ acting on vectors supported in $\Sigma_{p,q}$ breaks into components as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
C|_{\Sigma_{p,q}} &= A_{(p+1,q)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q)} + A_{(p-1,q+1)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q+1)} \\
&\quad + A_{(p+1,q)\rightarrow(p+2,q-1)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q)} + A_{(p-1,q+1)\rightarrow(p-2,q+1)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q+1)} \\
&\quad \quad - A_{(p-1,q)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q)} - A_{(p+1,q-1)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q-1)} \\
&\quad - A_{(p-1,q)\rightarrow(p-2,q+1)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q)} - A_{(p+1,q-1)\rightarrow(p+2,q-1)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q-1)} \\
&\quad \quad = [A_{(p+1,q)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q)} - A_{(p-1,q)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q)}] \\
&\quad \quad + [A_{(p-1,q+1)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q+1)} - A_{(p+1,q-1)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q-1)}] \\
&\quad + [A_{(p-1,q+1)\rightarrow(p-2,q+1)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q+1)} - A_{(p-1,q)\rightarrow(p-2,q+1)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q)}] \\
&\quad \quad + [A_{(p+1,q)\rightarrow(p+2,q-1)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q)} - A_{(p+1,q-1)\rightarrow(p+2,q-1)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q-1)}],
\end{aligned}$$

expressing the commutator on $\Sigma_{p,q}$ as a sum of external and internal commutators with targets in $\Sigma_{p,q}$, $\Sigma_{p-2,q+1}$ and $\Sigma_{p+2,q-1}$ respectively. The terms that map data on $\Sigma_{p,q}$ to $\Sigma_{p-2,q+1}$ and to $\Sigma_{p+2,q-1}$ vanish because of Lem. 1. That lemma also implies that all vertex-pair terms affected in

$$A_{(p+1,q)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p+1,q)} - A_{(p-1,q)\rightarrow(p,q)}A_{(p,q)\rightarrow(p-1,q)}$$

vanish except those in which the extension and reduction occurs in the same coordinate. There are $N - (p + q)$ null coordinates in any $\Sigma_{p,q}$ -vertex, hence $2[N - (p + q)]$ *out-and-back paths* to any vertex in $\Sigma_{p,q}$ via this term, while there are p *in-and-back* paths plus an *in-and-out* path to each level-one vertex reflection. Consequently the action of this term on a vertex function f supported in $\Sigma_{p,q}$ is multiplication by $2(N - q) - 3p$, plus R_1 , the level-one reflection of f , defined by $(R_1 f)(v) = \sum_{d_k(v)=1} (\rho_k f)(v)$. Finally, Lem. 1 also implies that any vertex-pair term in

$$A_{(p-1,q+1) \rightarrow (p,q)} A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)} - A_{(p+1,q-1) \rightarrow (p,q)} A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q-1)}$$

also vanishes except for those in which the extension and reduction ends in the same coordinate. However, in this case all extensions are level one to level two and all reductions are level two to level one. Thus the in-coordinate elements of both terms $A_{(p+1,q-1) \rightarrow (p,q)} A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q-1)}$ and $A_{(p-1,q+1) \rightarrow (p,q)} A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)}$ map a vertex value to itself, so the two terms cancel and this term of the commutator vanishes. Altogether we have proved the following.

Theorem 10. *As an operator on the space of vectors supported on $\Sigma_{p,q}$, the vertices that have p -coordinates at level one and q coordinates at level two in \mathcal{C}_5^N , $C = [A_-, A_+] = (2(N - q) - 3p)I + R_1$.*

Adjacency invariant space analogues of those presented for \mathcal{C}_3^N and \mathcal{C}_4^N in Thms. 6 and 9 are necessarily more complicated in the case of \mathcal{C}_5^N . As in \mathcal{C}_3^N , there is a role played by eigenvectors of neutral/reflection maps.

Lemma 11. $(\rho_k A_+ f)(w) = (A_+ \rho_k f)(w)$ and $(\rho_k A_- f)(w) = (A_- \rho_k f)(w)$

Proof. We prove that $(\rho_k A_+ f)(w) = (A_+ \rho_k f)(w)$ and leave $(\rho_k A_- f)(w) = (A_- \rho_k f)(w)$ as an exercise. Observe that on the right, the reflection is in vertices in $\Sigma_{p,q}$ and on the left the reflection is in vertices in $\Sigma_{p+1,q}$ or $\Sigma_{p-1,q+1}$. Since A_+ can be expressed as $A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q)} + A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)}$, it suffices to prove the commutation separately for these.

First, let $w \in \Sigma_{p-1,q+1}$ with $d_k(w) = 2$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)} \rho_k f)(w) &= \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=2} (\rho_k f)(w_\nu^-) = \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=2} f((w_\nu^-) \tilde{\sim}_k) \\ &= \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(\tilde{w}_k)=2} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-) = (A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)} f)(\tilde{w}_k) = (\rho_k A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)} f)(w). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $(\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^- = (w_\nu^-) \tilde{\sim}_k$. When $k \neq \nu$ the k th coordinate of both sides is level two. When $k = \nu$ the k th coordinate of both sides is level one and the identity reduces to the fact that reduction commutes with reflection in each coordinate. The identity in Lem. 11 clearly holds when $d_k(w) \in \{0, 1\}$ since in these cases the reduction coordinate ν ($d_\nu(w) = 2$) in the sum defining outer adjacency is never equal to the reflection coordinate k .

Next let $w \in \Sigma_{p+1,q}$ such that $d_k(w) = 1$. Observe now that

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q)} \rho_k f)(w) &= \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} (\rho_k f)(w_\nu^-) = \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} f((w_\nu^-)_{\widetilde{k}}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(\tilde{w}_k)=1} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-) = (A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q)} f)(\tilde{w}_k) = (\rho_k A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q)} f)(w). \end{aligned}$$

Here, again, $(\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^- = (w_\nu^-)_{\widetilde{k}}$: when $k \neq \nu$ the k th coordinate of both sides is level one. When $k = \nu$ the k th coordinate of both sides is level zero so the reflection is trivial. The identity in Lem. 11 clearly holds when $d_k(w) \in \{0, 2\}$ since in these cases the reduction coordinate ν is never equal to the reflection coordinate k . \square

Lemma 12. *If f supported in $\Sigma_{p,q}$ is a λ -eigenvector of R_1 then (i) $A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q)} f$ is a $(\lambda + 1)$ -eigenvector of R_1 on $\Sigma_{p+1,q}$ and (ii) $A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)} f$ is a λ -eigenvector of R_1 on $\Sigma_{p-1,q+1}$.*

Proof. First we consider the case of $A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q)} f$. Suppose that $w \in \Sigma_{p+1,q}$, and $d_k(w) = 1$ so $w_k^- = \tilde{w}_k^-$. Then

$$\rho_k(A_+ f)(w) = \rho_k f(w_k^-) + \sum_{\nu \neq k, d_\nu(w)=1} \rho_k f(w_\nu^-) = f(w_k^-) + \sum_{\nu \neq k, d_\nu(\tilde{w}_k)=1} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-).$$

Summing over all k such that $d_k(w) = 1$ then gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k: d_k(w)=1} \rho_k(A_+ f)(w) &= \sum_{k: d_k(w)=1} f(w_k^-) + \sum_{k: d_k(w)=1} \sum_{\nu \neq k, d_\nu(\tilde{w}_k)=1} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-) \\ &= (A_+ f)(w) + \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} \sum_{k \neq \nu, d_k(w)=1} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-) \\ &= (A_+ f)(w) + \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} R_1 f(w_\nu^-). \end{aligned}$$

If f is a λ -eigenvector of R_1 on $\Sigma_{p,q}$ then the last term becomes

$$(A_+ f)(w) + \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(w)=1} \lambda f(w_\nu^-) = \lambda(A_+ f)(w) + (A_+ f)(w) = (\lambda + 1)A_+ f(w).$$

This gives the desired result for $A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q)}$.

For the case $A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)}$, let $w \in \Sigma_{p-1,q+1}$ such that $d_k(w) = 1$. Then k cannot be one of the reduction coordinates defining $(A_+ f)(w)$, so

$$\rho_k(A_+ f)(w) = \rho_k \left[\sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} f(w_\nu^-) \right] = \sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} \rho_k f(w_\nu^-) = \sum_{d_\nu(\tilde{w}_k)=2} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-).$$

Summing over all k such that $d_k(w) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k:d_k(w)=1} \rho_k(A_+f)(w) &= \sum_{k:d_k(w)=1} \sum_{d_\nu(\tilde{w}_k)=2} f((\widetilde{w_\nu^-})_k) = \sum_{\nu:d_\nu(w)=2} \sum_{k:d_k(w)=1} f((\widetilde{w_\nu^-})_k) \\ &= \sum_{\nu:d_\nu(w)=2} R_1 f(w_\nu^-) = A_+(R_1 f)(w) = \lambda(A_+f)(w) \end{aligned}$$

if f is a λ -eigenvector of R_1 . Thus $A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)}$ preserves eigenvalues of R_1 . \square

As a further observation, each coordinate reflection ρ_k commutes with R_1 , the sum of the level-one coordinate reflections. Consequently, coordinate reflections preserve eigenvalues of R_1 . Thms. 2 and 7 played crucial roles in the inductive steps of the proofs of the Thms. 6 and 9 describing adjacency invariant spaces on \mathcal{C}_3^N and \mathcal{C}_4^N , as they allowed to interchange inner and outer adjacencies. In \mathcal{C}_5^N , the corresponding spaces of type $\mathcal{V}_{p,q}$ also involve neutral adjacency maps at different levels. To establish invariance of the corresponding spaces under inner adjacencies, we need a similar method to interchange inner and neutral adjacencies.

On \mathcal{C}_5^N , the neutral adjacency operator is $(A_0f)(w) = \sum_{d_k(w)=2} f(\tilde{w}_k)$. When $A_+ = A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)}$, $A_+f(w) = \sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} f(w_\nu^-)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} A_0A_+f(w) &= \sum_{d_k(w)=2} A_+f(\tilde{w}_k) = \sum_{d_k(w)=2} \sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-) \\ &= \sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} \sum_{d_k(w)=2} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-) = \sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} [f((\tilde{w}_\nu)_\nu^-) + \sum_{k \neq \nu, d_k(w)=2} f((\tilde{w}_k)_\nu^-)] \\ &= \sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} [f((\tilde{w}_\nu)_\nu^-) + (A_0f)(w_\nu^-)] = (A_+A_0f)(w) + \sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} f((\tilde{w}_\nu)_\nu^-). \end{aligned}$$

We refer to the commutator $A_0A_+ - A_+A_0$ in the form $A_+ = A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)}$ that maps f to $(\widetilde{A_+}f)(v) := \sum_{\nu:d_\nu(v)=2} (\rho_\nu f)(v_\nu^-)$ as *twisted outer adjacency* since, without the reflections, $(A_+f)(v) = \sum_{\nu:d_\nu(v)=2} f(v_\nu^-)$.

If $g(w) = \widetilde{A_+}f(w) = \sum_{d_\nu(w)=2} f((\widetilde{w_\nu^-})_\nu)$, $w \in \Sigma_{p-1,q+1}$, then

$$(A_-g)(v) = \sum_{\mu:d_\mu(v)=1} \sum_{\nu:d_\nu(v_\mu^+)=2} f(((\widetilde{v_\mu^+})_\nu^-)_\nu), \quad v \in \Sigma_{p,q}. \quad (1)$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mu:d_\mu(v)=1} \sum_{d_\nu(v_\mu^+)=2} f(((\widetilde{v_\mu^+})_\nu^-)_\nu) &= \sum_{\mu:d_\mu(v)=1} \left[f(((\widetilde{v_\mu^+})_\mu^-)_\mu) + \sum_{\nu \neq \mu, d_\nu(v_\mu^+)=2} f(((\widetilde{v_\mu^+})_\nu^-)_\nu) \right] \\ &= \sum_{\mu:d_\mu(v)=1} \left[f(\tilde{v}_\mu) + \sum_{d_\nu(v)=2} f(((\widetilde{v_\mu^+})_\nu^-)_\nu) \right] = R_1 f(v) + \sum_{\mu:d_\mu(v)=1} \sum_{d_\nu(v)=2} f(((\widetilde{v_\mu^+})_\nu^-)_\nu) \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

since $d_\mu(v) = 1$, $d_\nu(v_\mu^+) = 2$ and $\nu \neq \mu$ imply $d_\nu(v) = 2$.

For each fixed ν in the outer sum of

$$\sum_{d_\nu(v)=2} \sum_{\mu: d_\mu(v)=1} f(\widetilde{((v_\mu^+)_\nu^-)}) = \sum_{d_\nu(v)=2} \sum_{\mu: d_\mu(v)=1} f(\widetilde{((v_\nu^-)_\mu^+)}), \quad (3)$$

the vertices $v \in \Sigma_{p,q}$ and $\{(v_\nu^-)_\mu^+ : d_\mu(v) = 1\}$ together form the set of (p,q) -outer neighbors of $v_\nu^- \in \Sigma_{p+1,q-1}$ ($d_\mu(v) = 1$ implies $\mu \neq \nu$). Thus, the inner sum on the right of (3), without reflections, would amount to $-f(v) + (A_- f)(v_\nu^-)$. Accounting for reflections, the inner sum (for fixed ν) is $-f(\tilde{v}_\nu) + (\rho_\nu A_- f)(v_\nu^-)$. Summing over $\{\nu : d_\nu(v) = 2\} = \{\nu : d_\nu(v_\nu^-) = 1\}$, the expression (3) is then equal to

$$-(A_0 f)(v) + \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(v)=2} (\rho_\nu A_- f)(v_\nu^-). \quad (4)$$

Combining (1), (2), and (4) gives the following.

Theorem 13. *Let f be supported in $\Sigma_{p,q}$. Denote $A_- = A_{(p-1,q+1) \rightarrow (p,q)}$ and $A_+ = A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p-1,q+1)}$. Then*

$$(A_- (A_0 A_+ - A_+ A_0))f(v) = ((R_1 - A_0)f)(v) + \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(v)=2} (\rho_\nu A_- f)(v_\nu^-) \quad (5)$$

considered as operators on and between $\Sigma_{p,q}$ and $\Sigma_{(p-1,q+1)}$.

The formula (5) can be rewritten as $A_- \widetilde{A_+} f = (R_1 - A_0)f + \widetilde{A_+} A_- f$ where, on the left, $A_- = A_{(p-1,q+1) \rightarrow (p,q)}$ and, on the right, $A_- = A_{(p,q) \rightarrow (p+1,q-1)}$. The composition $A_- \widetilde{A_+}$ simplifies in some cases. For example, when f itself is in the kernel of A_- , one also has $\rho_\nu A_- f = 0$ for each ν so $\sum_{\nu: d_\nu(v)=2} (\rho_\nu A_- f)(v_\nu^-) = 0$ for each $v \in \Sigma_{p,q}$. Consider next a case in which $f = A_+ h$ where h is supported in $\Sigma_{p+1,q-1}$. Then

$$A_- f = A_- A_+ h = [A_-, A_+]h + A_+ A_- h = m(p+1, q-1)h + R_1 h + (A_+ A_-)h \quad (6)$$

where $m(p, q) = (2(N - q) - 3p)$ by Thm. 10.

Theorem 14. *Denote by $\mathcal{W}_{p,q,\lambda,0}$ the space of vertex functions on C_5^N supported in $\Sigma_{p,q}$ such that f is in the kernel of each subadjacency of A_- , is a λ -eigenvector of R_1 , and is an eigenvector of A_0 . Let $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ consist of those vertex functions that are sums of multiples of images of elements of $\mathcal{W}_{p,q,\lambda,0}$ under a sequence of compositions of subadjacencies of A_0 and A_+ . Then $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ is adjacency invariant.*

Outline of Proof. If $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ did not include applications of A_0 in its definition (only applications of A_+), then the proof would essentially parallel that of Thm. 6. By definition, $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ is invariant under subadjacencies of A_0 and A_+ . So it suffices to show that it is invariant under subadjacencies of A_- . The proof is by induction along similar lines as those of Thms 6 and 9. We argue that if a composition of k subadjacencies of A_+ and A_0 gets mapped back to $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ by the appropriate subadjacencies of A_- , then so does any composition of $k+1$ subadjacencies of A_+ and A_0 . The base case ($k=1$)

considers A_-g where $g = A_+f$ or $g = A_0f$, $f \in \mathcal{W}_{p,q,\lambda,0}$. The case $g = A_0f$ is trivial since f is an eigenvector of A_0 and in the kernel of A_- . The case $g = A_+f$ follows from Thm. 10 and the hypotheses that $R_1f = \lambda f$ and $A_-f = 0$.

Let $g_{r,s}$ be the component of $g \in \mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ supported in $\Sigma_{r,s}$, $r \geq p$ and $s \geq q$. We can assume that $g_{r,s}$ has one of the forms (i) $A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r,s)}g_{r-1,s}$, (ii) $A_{(r+1,s-1) \rightarrow (r,s)}g_{r+1,s-1}$, or A_0 in the form $A_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r,s)}$ applied to one of these forms. Consider the specific case in which $g_{r,s} = A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r,s)}g_{r-1,s}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}g_{r,s} &= (A_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r,s)} - A_{(r-2,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r-2,s)})g_{r-1,s} \\ &\quad + A_{(r-2,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r-2,s)}g_{r-1,s} \\ &= (C + A_{(r-2,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r-2,s)})g_{r-1,s} \\ &= ((2(N-s) - 3(r-1))I + R_1)g_{r-1,s} + A_{(r-2,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r-2,s)}g_{r-1,s} \\ &= [(2(N-s) + 3(r-1) + \lambda_{r-1,s})g_{r-1,s} + A_{(r-2,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r-2,s)}g_{r-1,s}] \end{aligned}$$

by Thm. 10. Here, $\lambda_{r,s}$ is defined by $R_1g_{r,s} = \lambda_{r,s}g_{r,s}$ according to the definition of g as an image of a fixed number of outer adjacencies applied to $f \in \mathcal{W}_{p,q,\lambda,0}$, invoking Lem. 12 in the corresponding number of instances, and observing that R_1 commutes with $A_0 = R_2$, the sum of reflections in level-two coordinates. On the other hand, if we assume the induction hypothesis that any lower level components of $g \in \mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ are mapped back into $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ under inner adjacency, then $A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r-2,s)}g_{r-1,s} \in \mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ and then so is $A_{(r-2,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}A_{(r-1,s) \rightarrow (r-2,s)}g_{r-1,s}$. In this case, then, $A_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}g_{r,s} \in \mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$. A corresponding argument applies to prove that $A_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r+1,s-1)}g_{r,s} \in \mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$.

To prove that $A_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r-1,s)}$ and $A_{(r,s) \rightarrow (r+1,s-1)}$ map $A_0g_{r,s}$ back to $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$, first write $A_-A_0g_{r,s} = A_-A_0A_+g_{r-1,s} = A_-[A_0A_+ - A_+A_0]g_{r-1,s} + A_-A_+A_0g_{r-1,s}$. The term $A_-A_+A_0g_{r-1,s}$ is shown to belong to $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ along the same lines as above with $g_{r-1,s}$ replaced by $A_0g_{r-1,s}$. The term $A_-[A_0A_+ - A_+A_0]g_{r-1,s}$ is addressed using Thm. 13. Specifically, by (5),

$$(A_-(A_0A_+ - A_+A_0))g_{r-1,s}(v) = ((R_1 - A_0)g_{r-1,s})(v) + \sum_{\nu: d_\nu(v)=2} (\rho_\nu A_-g_{r-1,s})(v_\nu^-). \quad (7)$$

As above, $(R_1 - A_0)g_{r-1,s} \in \mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$. We can assume $g_{r-1,s} = A_+h$ for some $h \in \mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ where h is the image of a composition of strictly fewer outer or neutral adjacencies than $g_{r-1,s}$. It follows from the induction hypothesis that $A_-A_+h \in \mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ (see also (6)), and then from the definition of $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$ that $\tilde{A}_+A_-g_{r-1,s}$, the sum on the right in (7), also belongs to $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$, since $\tilde{A}_+ = [A_0, A_+]$. \square

6 Application to spatio-spectral limiting

The current work is motivated in part by an effort to develop a theory parallel to the Bell Labs theory of time and band limiting [8, 9, 12–14] in the context of certain finite graphs, e.g., [3, 6]. The Bell Labs theory studies eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the operators $P_\Omega Q_T$ where $(Qf)(t) = f(t)\mathbb{1}_{[-T,T]}$ and $(P_\Omega f)(t) = (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{[-\Omega/2,\Omega/2]}\mathcal{F}f)(t)$ where $(\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-2\pi i t \xi} dt$ is the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R} . The theory takes

advantage, to an extent, of the self-dual nature of the Fourier transform on \mathbb{R} . As finite abelian groups, \mathbb{Z}_m^N also have self-dual Fourier transforms that can be realized as Kronecker powers of the matrix with k th column $e^{2\pi ijk/m}$, $j, k = 0, \dots, m-1$. Spatio-spectral limiting operators on \mathbb{Z}_m^N are analogues of $P_\Omega Q_T$, where an analogue Q of Q_T truncates spatially on \mathcal{C}_m^N by multiplying a vertex function f by the characteristic function of a symmetric neighborhood of the origin, and an analogue P of P_Ω truncates in the graph Fourier transform domain by projecting onto the span of certain eigenvectors of the graph Laplacian on \mathcal{C}_m^N . It is typical to truncate to the space of vectors that are *bandlimited* to eigenvalues below a certain threshold, e.g., [10], but in the graph setting it can also be useful to truncate to other eigenspaces of the graph Fourier transform, specifically in questions of recovery from (sub)samples, e.g. [2].

We consider a very specific example here of spatio-spectral limiting in \mathcal{C}_5^4 , which has 625 vertices. We order the vertices first by increasing distance $d(v)$ to the identity element of \mathbb{Z}_5^4 , then truncate by applying the diagonal matrix Q equal to one on the indices of the vertices of distance at most 3 from the origin. The truncated adjacency matrix is shown in Fig. 2. We then restrict in the spectral domain also by applying the graph Fourier transform F (indexed with respect to the same ordering), multiplying by the same matrix Q , and taking the inverse Fourier transform. We write $P = F^{-1}QF$.

The cardinalities of $\Sigma_{p,q}$ ($p + 2q \leq 3$) are listed in Tab. 1. Altogether, there are $1 + 8 + 24 + 8 + 32 + 48 = 121$ vertices v such that $d(v) \leq 3$. The range of Q thus has dimension 121. The operator P is injective on this range, so the self-adjoint operator PQ has 121 linearly independent eigenvectors. In Figs. 4 and 5 we plot the Fourier transforms of the eigenvectors PQ (equivalently, the eigenvectors of $FPQF^{-1}$) arranged by the base support $\Sigma_{p,q}$. As explained in [5], FPQ is a polynomial in the adjacency operator and these eigenvectors lie in spaces of the form $\mathcal{V}_{p,q,\lambda}$. The vectors were computed using the `svd` function in `matlab`.

We refer to the vectors plotted in Fig. 4 as *level vectors*. They are constant on the distance level sets $\Sigma_{p,q}$. Their span is that of the vectors in the space $\mathcal{V}_{0,0}$ that are nonzero on $\Sigma_{0,0}$. The dimension (six) is the number of distinct levels (neutral adjacencies in Thm. 14 preserve the property of being constant on each level), and thus the number of potential nonzero coefficients c_k of a vector in $\mathcal{V}_{0,0}$ vanishing on $\{v : d(v) > 3\}$. Consider next the vectors whose base support lies in $\Sigma_{1,0}$. Here there are six eigenspaces each of dimensions 3 and 4 (see Tab. 2). The number of eigenspaces of FPQ of each dimension is equal to the number of free coefficients of nonzero outer subadjacencies defining those $\mathcal{V}_{1,0}$ vectors vanishing on $\{v : d(v) > 3\}$. The dimension of each eigenspace is determined by the conditions of Thm. 14. The set $\Sigma_{1,0}$ has eight vertices coming in coordinate pairs. Eigenspaces of the reflection R_1 on vectors supported in $\Sigma_{1,0}$ thus have dimension four. Eigenvectors of R_1 with eigenvalue -1 automatically have average value zero so are orthogonal to constant vectors on $\Sigma_{1,0}$ (hence in kernel of A_-), but for eigenvectors of R_1 with eigenvalue $+1$, having average zero is an additional constraint on the coefficients of $g \in \mathcal{V}_{1,0,1}$ in its expansion in compositions of A_+ and A_0 on $f \in \mathcal{W}_{1,0,1,0}$, in order to be orthogonal to constants. This implies that the 1-eigenspaces of R_1 on $\Sigma_{1,0}$ in the kernel of A_0 can have dimension at most three. The dimensions of the eigenspaces of FPQ based on the other levels $\Sigma_{p,q}$ listed in Tab. 2 can be explained along similar lines. There are no

eigenvectors with base support in $\Sigma_{0,1}$.

Table 1: Cardinalities of distance level sets

Base	Cardinality	Indices
$\Sigma_{0,0}$	1	1
$\Sigma_{1,0}$	$\binom{4}{1} \times 2 = 8$	2-9
$\Sigma_{2,0}$	$\binom{4}{2} \times 4 = 24$	10-33
$\Sigma_{0,1}$	$\binom{4}{1} \times 2 = 8$	34-41
$\Sigma_{3,0}$	$\binom{4}{3} \times 8 = 32$	42-73
$\Sigma_{1,1}$	$\binom{4}{1} \times \binom{3}{1} \times 4 = 48$	74-121

Table 2: Eigenspaces of PQ , $m = 5$, $N = 4$, $K = 3$

Base	Dim (#)	R_1 eigenvalue	eigenvector indices
$\Sigma_{0,0}$	1 (6)	0	1,9,29,92,93,121
$\Sigma_{1,0}$	4 (6)	-1	5-8,30-33,37-40,59-62,110-113,117-120
$\Sigma_{1,0}$	3 (6)	1	2-4,10-12,49-51,56-58, 89-91,114-116
$\Sigma_{2,0}$	6 (2)	-2	23-28,96-101
$\Sigma_{2,0}$	8 (3)	0	15-22,41-48,102-109
$\Sigma_{2,0}$	2 (2)	2	13-14,94-95
$\Sigma_{3,0}$	4 (1)	-3	52-55
$\Sigma_{3,0}$	18 (1)	-1	63-80
$\Sigma_{3,0}$	8 (1)	1	81-88
$\Sigma_{1,1}$	3 (1)	-1	34-36

7 Conclusions

We have described certain spaces of vertex functions on products of cycles \mathcal{C}_m^N for $m = 3, 4, 5$ that are invariant under the adjacency operators on these Cayley graphs. In the cases $m = 3, 4$ these spaces are observed to be isomorphic to spaces of the form $\mathcal{W}_L \times \mathbb{C}^M$ where \mathcal{W}_L is a space of vectors supported on a set L of fixed path distance to the identity of \mathbb{Z}_m^N , and the action of the adjacency operator can be expressed solely on the \mathbb{C}^M factor. The techniques can be extended to describe corresponding adjacency-invariant spaces on \mathcal{C}_m^N for larger N in terms of inner- and-outer subadjacencies, and level-one and




Figure 2: Adjacency matrix, $m = 5$, $N = 4$ (left) and principal minor of size 121 (right). Vector indices are ordered by increasing level $p + 2q$, and by increasing q for fixed $p + 2q$.




Figure 3: Nonzero eigenvalues of PQ , $m = 5$, $N = 4$, $K = 3$. Intervals of fixed eigenvalue conform to indices of the eigenspaces listed in Tab. 2




Figure 4: Real parts of eigenvectors of the matrix FPQ , $m = 5$, $N = 4$, $K = 3$. These are the vectors listed in Tab. 2 with base $\Sigma_{0,0}$. They are constant on the level sets $\Sigma_{p,q}$




Figure 5: Partially sorted eigenvectors of the matrix FPQ , $m = 5$, $N = 4$, $K = 3$. Real part of one eigenvector is plotted from each group listed in Tab. 2 corresponding to base level greater than zero. Values are sorted within each level set to make value symmetries more evident

level $m/2 - 1$ reflections (m -even), or level-one reflections and level $(m - 1)/2$ neutral adjacencies (m odd). Completeness properties—how to decompose the restriction of a vertex function to a neighborhood of the identity into a sum of terms, each of which lies in one of the invariant spaces described here—will be addressed in future work (cf., [5] for the \mathbb{Z}_2^N case).

The results presented here, of course, do not readily extend to broad families of graphs. The methods should extend, with further complication, to powers of other small graphs having ample symmetry, e.g., [3].

References

- [1] J.J. Benedetto and P.J. Koprowski, *Graph theoretic uncertainty principles*, 2015 International Conference on Sampling Theory and Applications (SampTA), May 2015, pp. 357–361.
- [2] S. Chen, R. Varma, A. Sandryhaila, and J. Kovačević, *Discrete signal processing on graphs: Sampling theory*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **63** (2015), no. 24, 6510–6523.
- [3] J.A. Hogan and J.D. Lakey, *Spatio-spectral limiting on redundant cubes: A case study*, preprint.
- [4] J.A. Hogan and J.D. Lakey, *Duration and Bandwidth Limiting. Prolate Functions, Sampling, and Applications.*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2012.
- [5] J.A. Hogan and J.D. Lakey, *Spatio-spectral limiting on hypercubes: eigenspaces*, 2018.
- [6] ———, *An analogue of Slepian vectors on Boolean hypercubes*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **25** (2019), 2004–2020, Online first.
- [7] T. Kurokawa, T. Oki, and H. Nagao, *Multi-dimensional graph fourier transform*, 2017.
- [8] H.J. Landau and H.O. Pollak, *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. II*, Bell System Tech. J. **40** (1961), 65–84.
- [9] ———, *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. III. The dimension of the space of essentially time- and band-limited signals.*, Bell System Tech. J. **41** (1962), 1295–1336.
- [10] I. Pesenson, *Sampling in Paley-Wiener spaces on combinatorial graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **360** (2008), no. 10, 5603–5627.
- [11] A. Sandryhaila and J. M. F. Moura, *Discrete signal processing on graphs*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **61** (2013), no. 7, 1644–1656.
- [12] D. Slepian, *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. IV. Extensions to many dimensions; generalized prolate spheroidal functions*, Bell System Tech. J. **43** (1964), 3009–3057.
- [13] ———, *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis, and uncertainty. V - The discrete case*, Bell System Tech. J. **57** (1978), 1371–1430.

- [14] D. Slepian and H.O. Pollak, *Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty. I*, Bell System Tech. J. **40** (1961), 43–63.
- [15] M. Tsitsvero, S. Barbarossa, and P. Di Lorenzo, *Signals on graphs: Uncertainty principle and sampling*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **64** (2016), 4845–4860.