

Octonionic Kerzman-Stein operators

Rolf Sören Kraußhar
 Fachbereich Fachwissenschaft Mathematik
 Erziehungswissenschaftliche Fakultät
 Universität Erfurt
 Nordhäuser Str. 63
 99089 Erfurt, Germany
 soeren.krausshar@uni-erfurt.de

January 5, 2022

Abstract

In this paper we consider generalized Hardy spaces in the octonionic setting associated to arbitrary Lipschitz domains where the unit normal field exists almost everywhere. First we analyze some basic properties and discuss structural differences to the associative Clifford analysis setting. Then we introduce a dual Cauchy transform for octonionic monogenic functions together with an associated octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator and related kernel functions. The non-associativity requires a special attention and sometimes essentially different ideas to arrive at many fundamental statements; in particular it requires a special form of the definition of the inner product. Nevertheless, our adapted constructions are compatible with the classical representations when associativity permits us to interchange the order of the parenthesis.

Also in the octonionic setting, the Kerzman-Stein operator that we introduce turns out to be a compact operator and allows us to obtain approximations of the Szegő projection of octonionic monogenic functions. This in turn represents a tool to tackle BVP in the octonions without the explicit knowledge of the octonionic Szegő kernel which is extremely difficult to determine in general. We also discuss the particular cases of the octonionic unit ball and the half-space. Finally, we relate our octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator to the Hilbert transform and particularly to the Hilbert-Riesz transform in the half-space case.

Keywords: octonions, octonionic monogenic functions, octonionic Cauchy transform, Kerzman-Stein operator, Szegő projection

Mathematical Review Classification numbers: 30G35

1 Introduction

In the recent years one observes an increasing interest in the study of generalizations of Bergman and Hardy spaces in the setting of octonionic monogenic function theory.

In [26] Jinxun Wang and Xingmin Li determined the Bergman and the Szegő kernel of octonionic monogenic functions for the unit ball. In their follow-up paper [27] they proved a representation formula for the octonionic Bergman kernel of upper half-space. In our very recent paper [19] we managed to set up an explicit formula for the octonionic Szegő kernel of the half-space as well as for the octonionic Szegő and Bergman kernel for strip domains that are bounded in the

real direction. Our method used octonionic generalizations of the cotangent and cosecant series. However, due to the lack of associativity in the octonions, our proof explicitly uses the property that the strip domains that we considered are bounded in the real direction only. In one part of our proof we explicitly exploited that a product of three elements $a, b, c \in \mathbb{O}$ where one of these factors lies in the real axis is associative. This is not the case anymore when other directions than the real one are involved. To determine explicit formulas for the Bergman and the Szegő kernel therefore is even more difficult than in the associative case of working in Clifford algebras.

Note that the Szegő projection which involves the Szegő kernel plays a crucial role in the resolution of singular boundary value problems for octonionic monogenic functions.

However, there is an alternative possibility to evaluate the Szegő projection without having an explicit formula for the Szegő kernel, namely the use of Kerzman-Stein operators. Kerzman-Stein theory is a classical tool from complex and harmonic analysis, cf. for example the classical references [2, 17]. It has been generalized extensively to the associative Clifford analysis setting, see for instance [3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 25].

In [22] Xingmin Li, Zhao Kai and Qian Tao successfully introduced a Cauchy transform in the octonionic setting and were able to set up related Plemelj projection formulas together with a basic toolkit to study operators of Calderon-Zygmund type acting on octonionic monogenic functions defined on some Lipschitz surfaces. See also the more recent paper [18] where other connections to harmonic analysis are addressed.

In this paper we introduce a dual octonionic Cauchy transform together with an associated Kerzman-Stein operator and a related octonionic Kerzman-Stein kernel. The lack of associativity needs to be carefully taken into account and requires particular attention and arguments.

In particular, the non-associativity requires a re-definition as well as a different interpretation of the classical constructions. A crucial need is to properly adapt the definition of an appropriately inner product on the corresponding Hardy space of octonionic monogenic functions.

Note that in [26, 27] the authors used two different definitions of an inner product; one particular definition for the unit ball setting and another one for the half-space setting. An open question was to find out a general explanation for that necessity and to figure out a general scheme behind these particular choices.

After having introduced the basic notions in Section 2, in Section 3 we carefully define octonionic monogenic Hardy spaces for general Lipschitz domains that have a smooth boundary almost everywhere. We introduce a general definition of an inner product that can be applied for all these domains. In the particular setting of the unit ball, our inner product coincides exactly with the particular one considered in [26]. In the half-space setting it also coincides with the particular definition given in [27]. So, we understand how these different definitions arise and how they fit together within a general theory. Furthermore, in the case of associativity our inner product always coincides with the inner product considered in complex and Clifford analysis. Additionally, we prove that the octonionic Hardy space really has always a continuous point evaluation and that the related Szegő projection is really orthogonal and self-adjoint, completing the theoretical framework addressed in [26, 27].

As a consequence of the non-associativity, the construction of an adjoint Cauchy transform is a non-trivial problem. Furthermore, it crucially relies on the particular definition of the special inner product. Nevertheless, all our constructions are completely compatible with the classical ones as soon as one has associativity.

After having introduced a Kerzman-Stein kernel we prove some basic properties of the related octonionic Kerzman-Stein operators. It is a skew symmetric operator and the kernel vanishes

exactly if and only if the domain is the octonionic unit ball. In fact our dual Cauchy transform coincides with the Cauchy transform exactly and exclusively in the case of the unit ball providing us with a nice analogy to the classical theory.

Furthermore, we show that also our octonionic version of the Kerzman-Stein operator is a compact operator.

This property allows us to address the question how to approximate the octonionic Szegő projection by the application of octonionic Kerzman-Stein operators aiming towards an approximative construction method to compute the Szegő kernel purely relying on the Cauchy kernel and the particular geometry of the boundary of the domain.

Again we pay special attention to the particular context of the octonionic unit ball and the octonionic half-space. Finally, we relate the octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator with the Hilbert transform and particularly with the Hilbert-Riesz transform in the half-space case.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Basics on octonions

The famous theorem of Hurwitz tells us that \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , the Hamiltonian skew field of the quaternions \mathbb{H} and the octonions \mathbb{O} invented by Graves and Cayley are the only real normed division algebras up to isomorphy. The octonions represent an eight-dimensional real non-associative algebra over the reals. Following for instance [1, 28] and many other classical references, one can construct the octonions by applying the so-called Cayley-Dickson doubling process. To leave it simple, let us take two pairs of complex numbers (a, b) and (c, d) . Then one defines an addition and multiplication operation on these pairs by

$$(a, b) + (c, d) := (a + c, b + d), \quad (a, b) \cdot (c, d) := (ac - \bar{d}b, \bar{a}d + cb)$$

where $\bar{\cdot}$ represents the classical complex conjugation. Subsequently, this automorphism is extended to an anti-automorphism by defining $\overline{(a, b)} := (\bar{a}, -b)$ on this set of pairs of numbers (a, b) . We just have constructed the real Hamiltonian quaternions \mathbb{H} . Each quaternion can be written as $x = x_0 + x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3$ where $e_i^2 = -1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Furthermore, we have $e_1e_2 = e_3$, $e_2e_3 = e_1$, $e_3e_1 = e_2$ and $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$ for all mutually distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ like for the usual vector product on \mathbb{R}^3 -vectors. Already this relation exhibits that \mathbb{H} is not commutative anymore, but it is still associative.

After applying once more this duplication process (now on pairs of quaternions), then one has constructed the octonions \mathbb{O} . In real coordinates these can be expressed in the form

$$x = x_0 + x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + x_3e_3 + x_4e_4 + x_5e_5 + x_6e_6 + x_7e_7$$

where $e_4 = e_1e_2$, $e_5 = e_1e_3$, $e_6 = e_2e_3$ and $e_7 = e_4e_3 = (e_1e_2)e_3$. Like for quaternions, we also have $e_i^2 = -1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 7$ and $e_ie_j = -e_je_i$ for all mutual distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 7\}$. The way how the octonionic multiplication works is easily visible from the following table

\cdot	e_1	e_2	e_3	e_4	e_5	e_6	e_7
e_1	-1	e_4	e_5	$-e_2$	$-e_3$	$-e_7$	e_6
e_2	$-e_4$	-1	e_6	e_1	e_7	$-e_3$	$-e_5$
e_3	$-e_5$	$-e_6$	-1	$-e_7$	e_1	e_2	e_4
e_4	e_2	$-e_1$	e_7	-1	$-e_6$	e_5	$-e_3$
e_5	e_3	$-e_7$	$-e_1$	e_6	-1	$-e_4$	e_2
e_6	e_7	e_3	$-e_2$	$-e_5$	e_4	-1	$-e_1$
e_7	$-e_6$	e_5	$-e_4$	e_3	$-e_2$	e_1	-1

However, as one can also verify by means of this table, we have lost the associativity. Nevertheless, we still deal with a division algebra. Furthermore, the octonions satisfy the alternative property and they still form a composition algebra.

We have the Moufang rule $(ab)(ca) = a((bc)a)$ holding for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{O}$. Taking especially $c = 1$, then obtains the flexibility condition $(ab)a = a(ba)$.

Let $a = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^7 a_i e_i$ be an element of \mathbb{O} . We call $\Re(a) := a_0$ the real part of a .

The inherited conjugation map imposes the properties $\bar{e}_j = -e_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, 7$ while it leaves the real component invariant, i.e. we have $\overline{a_0} = a_0$ for all $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying the conjugation to the product of two octonions $a, b \in \mathbb{O}$ then one gets $\overline{a \cdot b} = \bar{b} \cdot \bar{a}$, like in the quaternionic setting.

The Euclidean norm and standard scalar product from \mathbb{R}^8 can be expressed in the octonionic setting in the way $\langle a, b \rangle := \sum_{i=0}^7 a_i b_i = \Re\{a\bar{b}\}$ and $|a| := \sqrt{\langle a, a \rangle} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^7 a_i^2}$. The norm composition property $|a \cdot b| = |a| \cdot |b|$ holds for all $a, b \in \mathbb{O}$. Every non-zero octonion $a \in \mathbb{O}$ is invertible with $a^{-1} = \bar{a}/|a|^2$, which means that there are no zero-divisors in \mathbb{O} .

Another important octonionic calculation rule is the identity

$$(\bar{a}b)b = \bar{b}(ba) = a(\bar{b}b) = a(b\bar{b}) \quad (1)$$

which is true for all $a, b \in \mathbb{O}$ and, $\Re\{b(\bar{a}a)c\} = \Re\{(b\bar{a})(ac)\}$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{O}$. An explicit and very detailed proof has been provided for instance in [10] Proposition 1.6. Analogously, one can prove that $(ab)b = b(ba) = a(bb)$. Another property that we require is that all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{O}$ satisfy $\langle ab, c \rangle = \langle a, b\bar{a}c \rangle$, cf. [22].

We also use the notation $B_8(p, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{O} \mid |x - p| < r\}$ and $\overline{B_8(p, r)} := \{x \in \mathbb{O} \mid |x - p| \leq r\}$ for the eight-dimensional solid open (resp. closed) ball of radius r centered around p in the octonions. By $S_7(p, r)$ we address the seven-dimensional sphere $S_7(p, r) := \{x \in \mathbb{O} \mid |x - p| = r\}$. If $x = 0$ and $r = 1$ then we denote the unit ball and the unit sphere by B_8 and S_7 , respectively. The notation $\partial B_8(p, r)$ means the same as $S_7(p, r)$ throughout the whole paper.

2.2 Basics on octonionic monogenic function theory

In this subsection we summarize the most important function theoretic properties. Like in the context of quaternions and Clifford algebras, also the octonions offer different approaches to introduce generalizations of complex function theory.

From [9, 24, 20] and elsewhere we recall

Definition 2.1. *Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{O}$ be an open set. Then a real differentiable function $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$ is called left (right) octonionic monogenic if it satisfies $\mathcal{D}f = 0$ or $f\mathcal{D} = 0$. Here $\mathcal{D} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \sum_{i=1}^7 e_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ denotes the octonionic Cauchy-Riemann operator, where e_i are the octonionic units introduced above.*

In contrast to quaternionic and Clifford analysis, the set of left (right) octonionic monogenic functions does not form neither a right nor a left \mathbb{O} -module. Following [16], a simple counterexample can be presented by taking the function $f(x) := x_1 - x_2 e_4$. It satisfies $\mathcal{D}[f(x)] = e_1 - e_2 e_4 = e_1 - e_1 = 0$. However, $g(x) := (f(x)) \cdot e_3 = (x_1 - x_2 e_4)e_3 = x_1 e_3 - x_2 e_7$ satisfies $\mathcal{D}[g(x)] = e_1 e_3 - e_2 e_7 = e_5 - (-e_5) = 2e_5 \neq 0$. The lack of associativity obviously destroys the modular structure of octonionic monogenic functions which already represents one substantial

difference to Clifford analysis. Clifford analysis in \mathbb{R}^8 and octonionic analysis are essentially different function theories, see also [15].

However, alike in Clifford analysis, also octonionic monogenic functions satisfy a Cauchy integral theorem, cf. for instance [21].

Proposition 2.2. (*Cauchy's integral theorem*)

Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{O}$ be a bounded 8-dimensional connected star-like domain with an orientable strongly Lipschitz boundary ∂G . Let $f \in C^1(\overline{G}, \mathbb{O})$. If f is left (resp.) right \mathbb{O} -regular inside of G , then

$$\int_{\partial G} d\sigma(x)f(x) = 0, \quad \text{resp.} \quad \int_{\partial G} f(x)d\sigma(x) = 0$$

where $d\sigma(x) = \sum_{i=0}^7 (-1)^j e_i \wedge dx_i = n(x)dS(x)$, where $\wedge dx_i = dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i-1} \wedge dx_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_7$ and where $n(x)$ is the outward directed unit normal field at $x \in \partial G$ and $dS(x) = |d\sigma(x)|$ the ordinary scalar surface Lebesgue measure of the 7-dimensional boundary surface.

Following [20] another structural difference to Clifford analysis is reflected in the lack of a direct generalization of a Borel-Pompeiu formula. Even in the cases where both $Df = 0$ and $gD = 0$, we do not have in general that

$$\int_{\partial G} g(x) \cdot (d\sigma(x)f(x)) = 0 \quad \text{nor} \quad \int_{\partial G} (g(x)d\sigma(x)) \cdot f(x) = 0.$$

Again the obstruction to get such an identity in general is caused by the non-associativity. Following [22] one has

$$\int_{\partial G} g(x) \cdot (d\sigma(x)f(x)) = \int_G \left(f(x)(\mathcal{D}g(x)) + (f(x)\mathcal{D})g(x) - \sum_{j=0}^7 [e_j, Df_j(x), g(x)] \right) dV$$

where $[a, b, c] := (ab)c - a(bc)$ stands for the associator of three octonionic elements. However, if \bar{g} is a Stein-Weiss conjugate in the sense of [20], then the first equation is true. In particular, this is true when one inserts for g the octonionic monogenic Cauchy kernel

$$q_0 : \mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}, \quad q_0(x) := \frac{x_0 - x_1 e_1 - \cdots - x_7 e_7}{(x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_7^2)^4} = \frac{\bar{x}}{|x|^8}.$$

From [24, 21] and elsewhere we may recall:

Proposition 2.3. (*Cauchy' integral formula*).

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{O}$ be a non-empty open set and $G \subseteq U$ be an 8-dimensional compact oriented manifold with a strongly Lipschitz boundary ∂G . If $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{O}$ is left (resp. right) \mathbb{O} -regular, then for all $x \notin \partial G$

$$\chi(x)f(x) = \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial G} q_0(y-x) \left(d\sigma(y)f(y) \right), \quad \chi(x)f(x) = \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial G} \left(f(y)d\sigma(y) \right) q_0(y-x),$$

where $\chi(x) = 1$ if x is in the interior of G and $\chi(x) = 0$ if x is in the exterior of G .

The way how the parenthesis are put is crucial again. Putting the parenthesis differently, leads in the left octonionic monogenic case to the different formula of the form

$$\frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial G} \left(q_0(y-x) d\sigma(y) \right) f(y) = \chi(x) f(x) + \int_G \sum_{i=0}^7 \left[q_0(y-x), \mathcal{D}f_i(y), e_i \right] dy_0 \cdots dy_7,$$

again involving the associator, cf. [21]. The volume integral term appearing additionally always vanishes in associative algebras, such as in Clifford or quaternionic analysis.

To round off this preliminary section we wish to emphasize that there also exist alternative powerful extensions of complex function theory to the octonionic setting. For instance there is the complementary theory of slice-regular octonionic functions which is essentially different from that of octonionic monogenic functions, although there are connections by Fueter's theorem or the Radon transformation. The classical approach (see [11]) extends complex-analytic functions from the plane to the octonions by applying a radially symmetric model fixing the real line. More recently, see for instance [14] and [12] one also started to study octonionic slice-regular extensions departing differently from monogenic functions that are defined in the quaternions. However, in this paper we restrict ourselves to entirely focus on the theory of octonionic monogenic functions, although we also expect that one can successfully establish similar results in the alternative framework of slice-regular functions in \mathbb{O} . Apart from octonionic monogenic function theory and slice-regular octonionic function theories there are even more possibilities for introducing further complementary function theories in octonions.

3 Main results

Throughout this section let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{O}$ be a simply-connected orientable domain with a strongly Lipschitz boundary, say $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$, where the exterior normal field exists almost everywhere. Let us denote by $n(y)$ the exterior unit normal octonion at a point $y \in \partial\Omega$.

Next, let $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ be the closure of the set of $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ -octonion valued functions that are left octonionic monogenic functions inside of Ω and that have a continuous extension to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. For the general study of octonionic Hilbert spaces we also refer the interested reader to [23].

3.1 The octonionic monogenic Szegő projection

To introduce a meaningful generalization of a Hardy space in the octonionic setting, one first needs to define a properly adapted inner product.

Definition 3.1. *For any pair of octonion-valued functions $f, g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ one defines the following inner product*

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g)_{\partial\Omega} &:= \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(x)g(x))} \cdot (n(x)f(x)) dS(x) \\ &= \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(g(x) \cdot n(x))} \cdot (n(x) \cdot f(x)) dS(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $dS(x)$ again represents the scalar Lebesgue surface measure on $\partial\Omega$.

When it is clear to which domain we refer, we omit the subindex $\partial\Omega$ for simplicity. By a direct calculation one observes that (\cdot, \cdot) is \mathbb{R} -linear. For all octonionic $f, g, h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $(f + g, h) = (f, h) + (g, h)$ and $(\alpha f, g\beta) = \alpha(f, g)\beta$. Notice that in view of the lack of associativity (\cdot, \cdot) is only \mathbb{R} -linear but not \mathbb{O} -linear. Nevertheless, (\cdot, \cdot) is Hermitian in the sense of the octonionic conjugation, since

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(f, g)} &= \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(x)g(x)) \cdot (n(x)f(x))} dS(x) \\ &= \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(x)f(x)) \cdot (n(x)g(x))} dS(x) \\ &= (g, f) \end{aligned}$$

which is a very important ingredient for everything that will be developed in the sequel of this paper.

One may also directly observe that

$$(f, f) = \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(f(x) \cdot n(x))} \cdot (n(x) \cdot f(x)) dS(x) = \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} |f(x)|^2 dS(x) = \|f\|_{L^2},$$

since the product inside the integral is generated by only two elements $n(x)$ and $f(x)$ and is hence associative according to Artin's theorem.

Endowed with this inner product we call $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ the (left) octonionic monogenic Hardy space of Ω . Note that the term "space" is to be understood in the sense of a real vector space.

Remark 3.2. *Notice further that if we were in an associative setting (such as in complex or Clifford analysis), then one would have*

$$\overline{(g(x) \cdot n(x))} \cdot (n(x) \cdot f(x)) = \overline{g(x)} |n(x)|^2 f(x) = \overline{g(x)} f(x).$$

So one re-obtains the usual definition of the Hardy space inner product used in the classical framework.

In the octonionic setting the introduction of the normal field n inside these brackets make a difference and will be of crucial importance if one wants to introduce meaningfully a uniquely defined Szegő kernel and a meaningful definition of an adjoint octonionic monogenic Cauchy transform as well as a compact Kerzman-Stein operator.

Next we prove

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{O}$ be a general simply-connected orientable domain where the exterior unit normal exists almost everywhere. The set $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ equipped with the above mentioned inner product satisfies the Bergman condition and has a uniquely defined reproducing kernel.*

Proof. Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{O}$ is an arbitrary bounded or unbounded orientable domain with a sufficiently smooth boundary and let $x \in \Omega$. Let $B_8(x, R)$ be the eight-dimensional open ball centered at x with radius R where one chooses $R > 0$ such that the solid ball $\overline{B_8(x, R)} \subset \Omega$. Then, relying on the version of the octonionic Cauchy integral given in Proposition 2.3 we get

that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y)|^2 &= \left| \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} q_0(y-x) \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial B_8(x,R)} q_0(y-x) \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inequality of Cauchy-Schwarz we get that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y)|^2 &\leq \frac{9}{\pi^8} \left[\int_{\partial B_8(x,R)} |q_0(y-x)|^2 dS(y) \right] \cdot \left[\int_{\partial B_8(x,R)} |n(y)f(y)|^2 dS(y) \right] \\ &\leq \text{const}(B_8(x,R)) \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial B_8(x,R)} |f(y)|^2 dS(y) \\ &\leq \text{const}(B_8(x,R)) \|f\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{const}(B_8(x,R))$ is a constant which just depends on the domain. Hence, we have a continuous point evaluation. \square

This statement completes the argumentation of [26]. Equipping $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ with this inner product, then one indeed always gets a uniquely defined reproducing kernel $S_x : y \mapsto S_x(y) := S(x, y)$, called the octonionic monogenic Szegö kernel. It satisfies

$$Sf = (f, S_x) = f \quad \forall f \in H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$$

where

$$\mathcal{S} : L^2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O}), \quad [\mathcal{S}f](x) := \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)S(x,y))} \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y)$$

denotes the left octonionic monogenic Szegö projection.

Note that in view of the Hermitian property one has the relation

$$S(y, x) = S_y(x) = (S_y, S_x) = \overline{(S_x, S_y)} = \overline{S_x(y)} = \overline{S(x, y)}.$$

In the particular case where $\Omega = B_8(0, 1)$ is the octonionic unit ball which has been addressed in [26] one has exactly that $n(x) = x$. In this case the inner product simplifies to

$$(f, g)_{S_7} = \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial B_8(0,1)} \overline{(x \cdot g(x))} \cdot (x \cdot f(x)) dS(x)$$

and we re-obtain exactly the definition introduced in [26].

In the special case where $\Omega = H^+(\mathbb{O}) = \{x \in \mathbb{O} \mid x_0 > 0\}$ is the octonionic half-space, one has even more simply that $n(x) = -1$ and the corresponding inner product reduces to

$$(f, g)_{H^+} = \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial H^+} \overline{g(x)} f(x) dS(x) = \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{g(x)} f(x) dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_7$$

like in the classical associative cases of complex and Clifford analysis. The use of the usual inner product suggested for the treatment of the half-space in [27] thus makes completely sense and fully fits in the general context.

Next we establish

Proposition 3.4. *For any domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{O}$ meeting the above mentioned requirements, the left octonionic Szegő projection $\mathcal{S} : L^2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ is orthogonal with respect to the inner product defined above and it is self-adjoint, i.e. $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}$ in the sense of $(\mathcal{S}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{S}g)$ for all $f, g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$.*

Proof. There exists a uniquely defined orthogonal projection $\mathcal{P} : L^2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ such that $(f - \mathcal{P}f, g) = 0$ for all $f, g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Since $(f + h, g) = (f, g) + (h, g)$ for all $f, g, h \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ one has that $(f - \mathcal{P}f, g) = 0$ if and only if $(f, g) = (\mathcal{P}f, g)$. Now,

$$[\mathcal{P}f](x) := (\mathcal{P}f, S_x) = (f, S_x) = [\mathcal{S}f](x).$$

Thus, \mathcal{S} is really the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ into $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$.

Furthermore, from $(\mathcal{S}f, g) = (f, g)$ for all $f \in H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ it follows by the Hermitian property of the inner product that $(g, \mathcal{S}f) = \overline{(f, g)}$. Therefore, $(g, \mathcal{S}f) = (g, f)$. Summarizing, $(f, \mathcal{S}g) = (f, g) = (\mathcal{S}f, g)$. This relation is even true in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Note that $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ is dense in $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. So, in view of the uniqueness of the adjoint (the existence follows by the Riesz representation theorem), we have that $\mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S}$, hence \mathcal{S} is self-adjoint. \square

Next we want to raise the following rather amazing remark:

Note that since \mathcal{S} is self-adjoint we have the relation $(\mathcal{S}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{S}g)$ for all octonionic valued functions f, g belonging to $L^2(\partial\Omega)$. That means that despite of the non-associativity we always have the property that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(x)g(x))} \cdot (n(x)\mathcal{S}f(x))dS(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(x)\mathcal{S}g(x))} \cdot (n(x)f(x))dS(x). \quad (2)$$

This implies that for any $f, g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(x)g(x))} \cdot \left(n(x) \cdot \left[\int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)\mathcal{S}(x, y))} (n(y)f(y))dS(y) \right] \right) dS(x) \\ \stackrel{(2)}{=} & \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(\mathcal{S}g(x) \cdot n(x))} \cdot (n(x)f(x))dS(x) \\ = & \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(\left[\int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)\mathcal{S}(x, y))} \cdot (n(y)g(y))dS(y) \right] \overline{n(x)} \right) \cdot (n(x)f(x))dS(x). \end{aligned}$$

So, in particular for the half-space case where $n(x) = -1$ we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{g(z)} \cdot [\mathcal{S}f](x)dx_1 \cdots dx_7 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{[\mathcal{S}g](x)} \cdot f(x)dx_1 \cdots dx_7.$$

This means in detail that we get the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{g(x)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{S(x,y)} f(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7 \right) dx_1 \cdots dx_7 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{S(x,y)} g(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7 \right) f(x) dx_1 \cdots dx_7 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{g(y)} S(x,y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7 \right) f(x) dx_1 \cdots dx_7 \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{g(y)} \cdot \overline{S(y,x)} dy_1 \cdots dy_7 \right) f(x) dx_1 \cdots dx_7.
\end{aligned}$$

The result is in fact somehow amazing, because we do not have a termwise associativity.

3.2 The octonionic Cauchy projection revisited in this inner product

Closely related to the Szegő projection there is also the Cauchy projection induced by the octonionic Cauchy integral formula. Suppose that $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Then the octonionic Cauchy projection

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{C}f](x) &:= \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} q_0(y-x) \cdot (d\sigma(y)f(y)) \\
&= \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} q_0(y-x) \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y)
\end{aligned}$$

sends an $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ -function to a function belonging to $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ for any $y \in \Omega$.

Now it is important to see that also the octonionic Cauchy projection can be re-written in terms of the inner product defined in the previous subsection in the following form

$$[\mathcal{C}f](x) = (f, g_x) = \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} (\overline{n(x)g_x(y)}) \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y)$$

where we identify $\overline{n(x)g_x(y)} = q_0(y-x) = \frac{\overline{y-x}}{|y-x|^8}$.

Thus, $n(x)g_x(y) = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^8}$ from which we may read off that

$$g_x(y) = \overline{n(y)} \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^8}.$$

In this notation and using the special inner product the well-known octonionic Cauchy integral formula can be re-expressed in the form

$$(f, g_x) = f$$

if f is left octonionic monogenic.

Next, following the paper [22], in line with the results from classical complex and Clifford analysis, for any $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$ the octonionic Cauchy transform can be extended to the boundary by defining

$$[\mathcal{C}f](x) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{3}{\pi^4}p.v. \int_{\partial\Omega} q_0(y-x) \cdot (d\sigma(y)f(y))$$

where

$$p.v. \int_{\partial\Omega} q_0(y-x) \cdot (d\sigma(y)f(y)) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\partial\Omega, |x-y| \geq \varepsilon} q_0(y-x) \cdot (d\sigma(y)f(y)).$$

The second term represents the octonionic monogenic generalized Hilbert transform which will be denoted by

$$[\mathcal{H}f](x) := 2p.v. \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} q_0(y-x) \cdot (d\sigma(y)f(y)).$$

Equivalently, writing

$$[\mathcal{P}_\pm f](x) = \pm \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} q_0(y-x \pm \delta) \cdot (d\sigma(y)f(y))$$

one deals with the Plemelj projectors

$$\mathcal{P}_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{I}), \quad \mathcal{P}_- = \frac{1}{2}(-\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{I})$$

where \mathcal{I} is the identity operator acting in the way $\mathcal{I}f = f$. One obtains the Plemelj projection formulas $\mathcal{P}_+ + \mathcal{P}_- = \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{P}_+ - \mathcal{P}_- = \mathcal{H}$.

The extended octonionic Cauchy transform $\mathcal{C} : L^2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ satisfies like in the complex case $\mathcal{C}^2 = \mathcal{C}$. Let $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Then $g := \mathcal{C}[f] \in H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$. Now, also the octonionic calculation rules allow us to conclude that $[\mathcal{C}^2]f = \mathcal{C}[\mathcal{C}[f]] = \mathcal{C}[g] = g = \mathcal{C}[f]$.

Furthermore, one has $\|\mathcal{H}f\|_{L_2} \leq c\|f\|_{L_2}$ with a real positive constant c . Consequently, $\|\mathcal{C}f\|_{L_2} \leq (\frac{1}{2} + c)\|f\|_{L_2}$, therefore \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{C} are both L^2 -bounded operators.

Remark 3.5. *In contrast to Clifford analysis, the octonionic Cauchy transform is only \mathbb{R} -linear and not \mathbb{O} -linear in general. Due to the lack of associativity, in general $[\mathcal{C}(f\alpha)] \neq [\mathcal{C}f]\alpha$ if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{R}$, because*

$$q_0(y-x) \cdot \left(d\sigma(y) \cdot (f(y) \cdot \alpha) \right) \neq \left(q_0(y-x) \cdot (d\sigma(y) \cdot f(y)) \right) \cdot \alpha.$$

We only have $\mathcal{C}[f\alpha + g\beta] = [\mathcal{C}f]\alpha + [\mathcal{C}g]\beta$ for real α, β . However, to apply the usual L^2 -density argument, \mathbb{R} -linearity suffices, since every octonion can be represented as a real linear combination of the units $1, e_1, \dots, e_7$.

In the notation of our previously defined inner product on $L^2(\partial\Omega)$, this extended octonionic Cauchy transform can be re-expressed in the form

$$[\mathcal{C}f](x) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + p.v. \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)g_x(y))} \cdot (n(y)f(y))dS(y)$$

where $g_x(y) = \overline{n(y)} \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^8}$.

This representation allows us more easily to introduce a meaningfully defined dual octonionic monogenic Cauchy transform on the dual function space that we denote by \mathcal{C}^* . Notice that as a consequence of the Riesz representation theorem there must exist a uniquely defined adjoint octonionic Cauchy transform which is supposed to satisfy

$$(\mathcal{C}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{C}^*g) \quad \forall f, g \in L^2(\partial\Omega).$$

In the case where $\Omega = B_8(0, 1)$ also the octonionic Cauchy transform \mathcal{C} coincides exactly with the Szegő projection \mathcal{S} when considering exactly this inner product. In this case (and only in this case) the octonionic Cauchy transform (in the sense of this inner product) is self-adjoint in view of $\mathcal{C}^* = \mathcal{S}^* = \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}$. In all the other cases, however, the octonionic Cauchy-transform is not self-adjoint, because it is not an orthogonal projector.

Since $\mathcal{C}f = (f, g_x)$ it makes sense to introduce the dual octonionic Cauchy transform on the dual space in terms of the conjugated integral kernel $\overline{g_y(x)}$. Since $g_x(y) = \overline{n(y)} \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^8}$ we have $g_y(x) = \overline{n(x)} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^8}$ and hence

$$\overline{g_y(x)} = \frac{\overline{x-y}}{|x-y|^8} n(x).$$

Thus, it is natural to define

Theorem 3.6. *(dual octonionic Cauchy transform)*

The dual octonionic monogenic Cauchy transform is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^* : L^2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega) : [\mathcal{C}^*f](x) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + p.v. \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)g_y(x))} \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) = (f, \overline{g_y}).$$

Remark 3.7. *Due to the lack of a termwise associativity it is extremely difficult to prove by a direct computation that $(\mathcal{C}f, g) = (f, \mathcal{C}^*g)$ for all $f, g \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. The usual direct proof presented in [2, 6, 25] for the complex or Clifford analysis setting cannot be carried over since we cannot interchange the parenthesis due to the lack of associativity. However, it is rather easy to see that this relation holds for some particular cases where we have $f = g$. In the case where Ω is bounded one can simply take $f = g = 1$. Since the existence and the uniqueness of the octonionic adjoint operator \mathcal{C}^* is guaranteed by the Riesz representation theorem we can conclude that this integral kernel induces the adjoint Cauchy transform in all cases. In fact from $(\mathcal{C}1, 1) = (1, \mathcal{C}^*1) = \overline{(1, \mathcal{C}1)}$ it compulsively follows that the integral kernel of \mathcal{C}^* must be the conjugate of the kernel of \mathcal{C} .*

Remark 3.8. *Since \mathcal{C} is \mathbb{R} -linear, continuous and bounded, the same is true for the previously introduced dual transform and one has $\|\mathcal{C}f\|_{L^2} = \|\mathcal{C}^*f\|_{L^2}$.*

3.3 An octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator

Now we are in position to define meaningfully

Definition 3.9. *(octonionic Kerzman-Stein kernel)*

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{O}$ a domain with the above mentioned conditions. For all $x, y \in \partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega$ with $(x \neq y)$ the octonionic Kerzman-Stein kernel is given by

$$A(x, y) := g_x(y) - \overline{g_y(x)} = \overline{n(y)} \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^8} - \frac{\overline{x-y}}{|y-x|^8} n(x).$$

In the special case where one has $g_x(y) = \overline{g_y(x)}$ one gets exactly that $A(x, y) \equiv 0$. We will see that this will exactly happen if and only if $\Omega = B(0, 1)$, providing us with a complete analogy to the complex case. Only in this situation the octonionic monogenic Cauchy transform turns out to be self-adjoint, i.e. $\mathcal{C}^* = \mathcal{C}$.

The Kerzman-Stein kernel measures in a certain sense how much differs the domain Ω from the octonionic unit ball.

We define the associated octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator $\mathcal{A} : L^2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{A}f](x) &:= (f, A_x)_{\partial\Omega} \\ &= \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)A(x, y))} \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) \\ &= \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(A(x, y) \overline{n(y)})} \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is not a singular integral operator anymore. However, the two additive components are singular, that means when we want split these terms, then we again have to apply the Cauchy principal value:

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{A}f](x) &= \frac{3}{\pi^4} p.v. \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)g_x(y))} \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{\pi^4} p.v. \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)g_y(x))} \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) \\ &= \frac{3}{\pi^4} p.v. \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)g_x(y))} \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) + \frac{1}{2}f(y) \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{\pi^4} p.v. \int_{\partial\Omega} \overline{(n(y)g_y(x))} \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) - \frac{1}{2}f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since in octonions we still have the special rule $n(\overline{nq}) = (n\overline{n})q = q$, as explained in the preliminary section, the previous equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{A}f](x) &= \frac{1}{2}f(x) + p.v. \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} q_0(y-x) \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}f(x) - p.v. \frac{3}{\pi^4} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left[\left(\overline{n(x)} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^8} \right) \cdot \overline{n(y)} \right] \cdot (n(y)f(y)) dS(y) \\ &= [\mathcal{C}f](x) - [\mathcal{C}^*f](x). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.10. *Our octonionic Kerzman-Stein kernel satisfies*

$$\overline{A(y, x)} = \frac{\overline{x-y}}{|x-y|^8} n(x) - \overline{n(y)} \frac{y-x}{|x-y|^8} = -A(x, y).$$

for all $(x, y) \in \partial\Omega \times \partial\Omega$ with $x \neq y$. Also the octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator \mathcal{A} is skew symmetric, i.e. $\mathcal{A}^* = -\mathcal{A}$. It is bounded since $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{L_2} \leq \|\mathcal{C}\|_{L_2} + \|\mathcal{C}^*\|_{L_2} = 2\|\mathcal{C}\|_{L_2} \leq L\|f\|_{L_2}$ with a real $L > 0$. Since \mathcal{C} and also \mathcal{C}^* are \mathbb{R} -linear and continuous, \mathcal{A} is a compact operator since it is $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ -bounded.

Remark 3.11. Also in the octonionic setting one can write the octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator in terms of the Hilbert transform as

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^* = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H} - (\bar{n}\mathcal{H})n) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{H} - \bar{n}(\mathcal{H}n))$$

where we again use that the associator $[\bar{n}, \mathcal{H}, n] = 0$ vanishes and therefore the adjoint Hilbert transform satisfies $\mathcal{H}^* = \bar{n}\mathcal{H}n$ and the brackets may be omitted.

Also in the octonionic case we have

Corollary 3.12. The octonionic Kerzman-Stein kernel vanishes identically if and only if the domain Ω is the octonionic unit ball.

Proof. If $\Omega = B_8(0, 1)$, then $n(x) = x$, $n(y) = y$ and $|x|^2 = |y|^2 = 1$. Then $A(x, y)$ simplifies to

$$A(x, y) = \frac{\bar{y}(y-x) - (\bar{x}-\bar{y})x}{|y-x|^8} = \frac{|y|^2 - \bar{y}x - |x|^2 + \bar{y}x}{|y-x|^8} = 0.$$

Conversely, if $A(x, y) \equiv 0$, then

$$\overline{n(y)}(y-x) = \overline{(x-y)}n(x).$$

This relation however can only be true if Ω is the octonionic unit ball, cf. Lemma 12 of [25]. The argument of [25] can be used because the above mentioned expressions only consists of products of two octonions, therefore in view of Artin's theorem the lack of associativity does not affect the argumentation. \square

So, also in the octonionic case we have $\mathcal{C}f = \mathcal{C}^*f$ if and only if Ω is the unit ball.

A very special case is again the setting where Ω is the octonionic half-space $x_0 > 0$. Here we have

Theorem 3.13. If $\Omega = H^+(\mathbb{O})$, then the octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator represents the classical Hilbert-Riesz transform in the x_0 -direction, i.e.

$$[\mathcal{A}f](x) = 2p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \frac{y_0 - x_0}{|y-x|^8} f(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7.$$

Proof. If $\Omega = H^+(\mathbb{O})$ then $\partial\Omega = \mathbb{R}^7$ and $n(x) \equiv -1$. So, the octonionic Kerzman-Stein transformation simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{A}f](x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \overline{A(x, y)} f(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7 \\ &= p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \frac{\overline{y-x}}{|y-x|^8} f(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7 - p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \frac{x-y}{|y-x|^8} f(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7 \\ &= p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \frac{\overline{y-x}}{|y-x|^8} f(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7 + p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^8} f(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7 \\ &= 2p.v. \int_{\mathbb{R}^7} \frac{\Re(y-x)}{|y-x|^8} f(y) dy_1 \cdots dy_7. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Due to the special calculation rule $[a, a, b] = 0$ that hold for any octonionic expressions a and b , the octonionic Kerzman-Stein operator together with the adjoint Cauchy transform allows us to approximate the octonionic Szegő projection. It hence provides us with an approximation of the octonionic monogenic Szegő kernel. This provides us with a nice analogy to the complex and Clifford analysis setting, but it only works, because of the particular calculation rules of the octonions. Concretely,

Theorem 3.14. *The octonionic monogenic Szegő projector \mathcal{S} satisfies*

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{j=0}^n (\mathcal{A})^j \mathcal{C}^* + (\mathcal{A})^{n+1} \mathcal{S}$$

for any integer $n \geq 0$. Particularly, if $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{L_2} < 1$ then we have

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} (\mathcal{A}^j) \mathcal{C}^*, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C} \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} (-\mathcal{A})^j.$$

where we put $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{I}$ standing for the identity operator.

Proof. For the proof of this theorem in the octonionic setting it is crucial to note that $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}^*] = 0$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{A}^2)\mathcal{C}^* = (\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A})\mathcal{C}^* = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}^*)$. By induction one also gets that $[\mathcal{A}^k, \mathcal{A}^j, \mathcal{C}^*] = 0$ for all integers k, j . Then one relies on the fact that both \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{C} are projectors from $L^2(\partial\Omega)$ into the octonionic Hardy space $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$ and that both projectors reproduce elements from $H^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{O})$. Consequently, $(\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C})[f] := \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{C}f] = \mathcal{C}[f]$ for all $f \in L^2(\partial\Omega)$. Similarly, $\mathcal{C}\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}$. Since we showed that also the octonionic Szegő transform is self-adjoint, we may conclude that $\mathcal{C}^*\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}^*$ and hence $\mathcal{S}\mathcal{C}^* = \mathcal{S}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \mathcal{C}\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}^*\mathcal{S} + \mathcal{C}\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{C}^*\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{C}^* + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{S} \\ &= \mathcal{C}^* + \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C}^* + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{C}^* + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}^* + \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A}\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{C}^* + \mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}^* + (\mathcal{A}^2)\mathcal{S}, \end{aligned}$$

where we again applied in the last line that the associator $[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}] = 0$ vanishes. Iteration together with this vanishing associator property leads to the desired result. \square

Under the condition $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{L_2} < 1$ one thus can compute the octonionic Szegő projection by using the approximation $\mathcal{S} \approx \mathcal{C} \sum_{j=0}^N (-\mathcal{A})^j$.

If $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{L_2} < 1$ and since $\|\mathcal{S}\|_{L_2} = 1$ as an orthogonal projector one has $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\mathcal{A}^n\|_{L_2} = 0$. Then we get

Corollary 3.15. *If $\|\mathcal{A}\|_{L_2} < 1$, then the operator $(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})$ is invertible and it holds $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\mathcal{C}^*$. In this case the octonionic Szegő kernel satisfies the identity*

$$S(x, y) = \frac{3}{\pi^4} [(\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{A})^{-1}\mathcal{C}^*]g_x(y).$$

References

- [1] J. Baez. The octonions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **39** (2002), 145–205.
- [2] S. Bell. *The Cauchy Transform, Potential Theory and Conformal Mapping*, CRC Press Inc., Boca Raton-Ann Arbor-London-Tokyo, 1992

- [3] S. Bernstein, L. Lanzani, Szegő projections for Hardy spaces of monogenic functions and applications, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sc.* **29** (2002), 613–624.
- [4] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe, F. Sommen. *Clifford Analysis*, Pitman Res. Notes in Math. **76**, Boston, 1982.
- [5] F. Brackx, H. De Schepper. The Hilbert Transform on a Smooth Closed Hypersurface, *Cubo - A Mathematical Journal* **10**, No. 2 (2008), 83–106.
- [6] D. Calderbank, *Dirac operators and Clifford analysis on manifolds with boundary*, Max Plank Institute for Mathematics, Bonn, preprint number 96-131, 1996.
- [7] J. Cnops. *An Introduction to Dirac Operators on Manifolds*. Progress in Mathematical Physics, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2002.
- [8] R. Delanghe. On some properties of the Hilbert transform in Euclidean space, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **11** (2004), 163–180.
- [9] P. Dentoni, M. Sce. Funzioni regolari nell'algebra di Cayley, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova* **50** (1973), 251–267.
- [10] C. Dieckmann. *Jacobiformen über den Cayley-Zahlen*, PhD Thesis, Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik, 2014, <https://publications.rwth-aachen.de/record/445009/files/5202.pdf>
- [11] G. Gentili and D. C. Struppa. Regular functions on the space of Cayley numbers, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* **40** No. 1 (2010,) 225–241.
- [12] R. Ghiloni. Slice Fueter-regular functions. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.06037>
- [13] K. Gürlebeck and W. Sprößig. *Quaternionic and Clifford Calculus for Physicists and Engineers*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester-New York, 1997.
- [14] Ming Jin, Guangbin Ren, I. Sabadini. Slice Dirac operator over octonions. *Israel J. Math.* (2020), 1–30.
- [15] J. Kauhanen, H. Orelma. Cauchy-Riemann Operators in Octonionic Analysis, *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras* **28** No. 1 (2018), 14pp.
- [16] J. Kauhanen, H. Orelma. On the structure of Octonion regular functions, *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras* **29** No. 4 (2019), 17pp.
- [17] N. Kerzman, E. Stein. The Cauchy Kernel, the Szegő Kernel, and the Riemann mapping function, *Math. Ann.* **236** (1968) 85-93
- [18] A. Kheyfits. The area integral and pointwise boundary limits of monogenic functions, 2019. Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.07019>
- [19] R.S. Kraußhar. Recent and new results on octonionic Bergman and Szegő kernels, submitted for publication (17 pp., October 2020). Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.05100>
- [20] Xingmin Li and Li-Zhong Peng. On Stein-Weiss conjugate harmonic function and octonion analytic function, *Approx. Theory and its Appl.* **16** (2000), 28—36.
- [21] Xingmin Li and Li-Zhong Peng. The Cauchy integral formulas on the octonions, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc.* **9** (2002), 47–62.

- [22] Xingmin Li, Li-Zhong Peng, Tao Qian. Cauchy integrals on Lipschitz surfaces in octonionic space, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **343** (2008), 763–777.
- [23] S.V. Ludkovsky. Unbounded normal operators in octonion Hilbert spaces and their spectra *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **23** (2013), 701—739.
- [24] K. Nono. On the octonionic linearization of Laplacian and octonionic function theory, *Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. Part III* **37** (1988), 1–15.
- [25] P. Van Lancker. Higher spin fields on smooth domains, in: *Clifford Analysis and Its Applications*, (Eds. F. Brackx, J.S.R. Chisholm and V. Soucek), Kluwer, Dordrecht 2001, 389–398.
- [26] Jinxun Wang and Xingmin Li. The octonionic Bergman kernel for the unit ball, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **28:60** (2018).
- [27] Jinxun Wang and Xingmin Li. The octonionic Bergman kernel for the half space, to appear in *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.01478>
- [28] J.P. Ward. Quaternions and Cayley Numbers. *Mathematics and its Applications* **403**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1997.