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Abstract

In this paper, we completely characterize the mixed graphs with smallest Hermitian eigenvalue greater than $-\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$. By the way, we get a new class of mixed graphs switching equivalent to their underlying graphs.
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1 Introduction

It is a classical problem in Spectral Graph Theory to characterize the graphs whose eigenvalues are bounded. The research of such problems may date back to the work of Smith in 1970 [15]. This work stimulated the interest of researchers. There are a lot of results in the literature concerning the topic. In 1972, Hoffman [9] obtained all limit points of the spectral radius of non-negative symmetric matrices smaller than $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{5}}$. In 1982, Cvetković et al. [3] characterized the graphs whose spectral radius does not exceed $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{5}}$ and in 1989, Brouwer and Neumaier [2] determined the graphs with spectral radius between 2 and $\sqrt{2+\sqrt{5}}$ and later, Woo and Neumaier [18] described the structure of graphs whose spectral radii are bounded above by $3\sqrt{2}/2$. With respect to the smallest eigenvalues, Hoffman [10] investigated the graphs whose smallest eigenvalue exceeds $-1-\sqrt{2}$, and this work was continued by Taniguchi et al. [16][17][12]. Especially, the graphs with smallest eigenvalue $-2$ attracted a lot of attention, and we refer the reader to the survey [4] and the book [5]. In this paper we consider the smallest Hermitian eigenvalues of mixed graphs.

A mixed graph is defined to be an ordered triple $(V, E, A)$, where $V$ is the vertex set, $E$ is the undirected edge set and $A$ is the directed edge set. Note that, if both $uv$ and $vu$ are directed edges, then we regard $\{u,v\}$ an undirected edge. Thus, if $(u,v) \in A$ then $(v,u) \notin A$. Clearly, if $A = \emptyset$ then the mixed graph turns to be a graph and if $E = \emptyset$ then the mixed graph turns to be an oriented graph. For convenience, we write $u \leftrightarrow v$ if $\{u,v\} \in E$ and $u \rightarrow v$ is $(u,v) \in A$. Let $M = (V,E,A)$ be a mixed graph with $V = \{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n\}$. The underlying graph $\Gamma(M)$ is a graph with vertex set $V$ and two vertices $u \sim v$ if $u \leftrightarrow v$ or $u \rightarrow v$ or $v \rightarrow u$. For $U \subseteq V$ and $W \in V \setminus U$, denote by $N_W(U) = \{w \mid w \in W, u \sim w \text{ in } G \text{ for some } u \in U\}$. Especially, if $U = \{u\}$ then $N_W(u)$ is the set of neighbors of $u$ in $W$. Moreover, denote by $N_W^+(u) = \{w \mid u \rightarrow w\}$, $N_W^-(u) = \{w \mid u \leftarrow w\}$ and $N_W^0(u) = \{w \mid u \leftrightarrow w\}$. It is clear that
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$N_W(u) = N^+_W(u) \cup N^-_W(u) \cup N^0_W(u)$. As usual, we always write $P_n$, $C_n$, $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_k}$ the path, the cycle and the complete multipartite graph of the corresponding order. For two graphs $G$ and $H$, the union $G \cup H$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G) \cup V(H)$ and edge set $E(G) \cup E(H)$. The join $G \vee H$ is the graph obtained from $G \cup H$ by adding all edges between $G$ and $H$. The distance of two vertices $u, v \in V(G)$ in $G$ is the length of a shortest path from $u$ to $v$ in $G$, denoted by $d_G(u,v)$. The diameter of $G$ is the largest distance in $G$, denoted by $d(G)$. All other notions not mentioned here are standard in [9].

We always write $M_G$ for $M$ when the underlying graph $\Gamma(M) = G$. Moreover, for a graph $G$, denote by $\mathcal{M}_G$ the set of mixed graphs with underlying graph $G$. Especially, if $M_G = G$ then we write $G$ for $M_G$. The mixed graph $M_G$ is connected if $G$ is connected and we always consider the connected mixed graphs in this paper. The diameter of $M_G$ is defined to be the diameter of $G$, denoted by $d(M_G)$. For a subset $U \subseteq V$, the mixed subgraph induced by $U$ is the mixed graph $M_G[U] = (U, E', A')$ with $E' = \{(u, v) \mid u, v \in U, \{u, v\} \in E\}$ and $A' = \{(u, v) \mid u, v \in U, (u, v) \in A\}$. The Hermitian matrix of $M_G$ is defined to be a square matrix $H(M_G) = [h_{st}]_{n \times n}$ with

$$h_{st} = \begin{cases} 1, & v_s \leftrightarrow v_t, \\ i, & v_s \rightarrow v_t, \\ -i, & v_t \rightarrow v_s, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

which was proposed by Liu and Li [13] and Guo and Mohar [7] independently. Since $H(M_G)$ is a Hermitian matrix, all eigenvalues of $H(M_G)$ are real and listed as $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$. The collection of such eigenvalues is the spectrum of $H(M_G)$. The Hermitian spectrum of the mixed graph $M_G$ is just the spectrum of $H(G)$, denoted by $\text{Sp}(M_G)$. Two mixed graphs $M_G, M'_G \in \mathcal{M}_G$ are switching equivalent if there exists a diagonal matrix $D$ whose entries belong to \{±1, ±i\} such that $H(M'_G) = DH(M_G)D^*$. It is clear that the relation switching equivalence is an equivalent relation. Thus, denote by $[M_G]$ the equivalence class containing $M_G$ with respect to switching equivalence. Obviously, all graphs in $[M_G]$ share the same spectrum. Recently, Guo and Mohar [8] determined all mixed graphs with $\lambda_1 < 2$ and Yuan et al. [19] characterized all mixed graphs with $\lambda_1 \leq 2$ when $G$ contains no cycles of length 4.

In this paper, we completely determine the connected mixed graphs with smallest Hermitian eigenvalue greater than $-\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$. As a byproduct, we get a new type of mixed graphs switching equivalent to their underlying graphs.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this part, we will introduce some results which will be used latter. We first present the famous interlacing theorem with respect to Hermitian matrix.

**Lemma 1** ([1]). Let the matrix $S$ of size $m \times n$ be such that $S^*S = I_m$ and let $H$ be a Hermitian matrix of size $n$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$. Set $B = S^*AS$ and let $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_m$ be the eigenvalues of $B$. Then the eigenvalues of $H$ and $S$ are interlaced, that is, $\lambda_i \geq \mu_i \geq \lambda_{n-m+i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$.

The following result is immediate from Lemma 1

**Corollary 1.** Let $M_G$ be a mixed graph with underlying graph $G$. If $M_H$ is a mixed induced subgraph of $M_G$, then the eigenvalues of $M_H$ interlace those of $M_G$. 2
Next we introduce another powerful tool in spectral graph theory, that is the equitable partition. Let \( M_G \) be a mixed graph on \( n \) vertices with underlying graph \( G \). Let \( \pi: V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots \cup V_s \) be a partition of \( V(G) \) with \( |V_i| = n_i \) and \( n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_s \). For \( 1 \leq i, j \leq s \), denote by \( H_{i,j} \) the submatrix of \( H(M_G) \) whose rows corresponding to \( V_i \) and columns corresponding to \( V_j \). Therefore, the Hermitian matrix \( H(M_G) \) is called as \( H(M_G) = [H_{i,j}] \).

Denote by \( b_{ij} = e^T \! H_{i,j} e / n_i \) the average row-sums of \( A_{ij} \), where \( e \) denotes the all-one vector. The matrix \( H_M = (b_{ij})_{s \times s} \) is called the quotient matrix of \( H(M_G) \). If, for any \( i, j \), the row-sum of \( H_{i,j} \) corresponding to any vertex \( v \in V_i \) equals to \( b_{ij} \), then \( \pi \) is called an equitable partition of \( M_G \).

Denote by \( \delta_{V_i} \) a vector indexed by \( V(G) \) such that \( \delta_{V_i}(v) = 1 \) if \( v \in V_i \) and 0 otherwise.

The matrix \( P = [\delta_{V_1}, \delta_{V_2}, \ldots, \delta_{V_s}] \) is called the characteristic matrix of \( \pi \). If \( \pi \) is an equitable partition, then \( H(M_G)P = PH_{\pi} \). It leads to the following famous result.

**Lemma 2** ([6] Theorem 9.3.3, page 197)). Let \( M_G \) be a mixed graph and \( \pi \) an equitable partition of \( M_G \) with quotient matrix \( H_{\pi} \) and characteristic matrix \( P \). Then the eigenvalues of \( H_{\pi} \) are also eigenvalues of \( H(M_G) \). Furthermore, \( H(M_G) \) has the following two kinds of eigenvectors:

(i) the eigenvectors in the column space of \( P \), and the corresponding eigenvalues coincide with the eigenvalues of \( H_{\pi} \);

(ii) the eigenvectors orthogonal to the columns of \( P \), i.e., those eigenvectors sum to zero on each cell of \( \pi \).

Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be a set of graphs. A graph \( G \) is called \( \mathcal{H} \)-free if none of graphs in \( \mathcal{H} \) can be an induced subgraph of \( G \). Especially, if \( \mathcal{H} = \{H\} \) then the \( \mathcal{H} \)-free graph \( G \) is also called an \( H \)-free graph. Recall that a \( P_4 \)-free graph is called a cograph. The following result reveals the structure of cographs.

**Lemma 3** ([14]). If \( G \) is a connected \( P_4 \)-free graph, then \( G \) is the joining of two graphs, that is, \( G = G_1 \bigtriangleup G_2 \) for some graphs \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) with \( |V(G_1)|, |V(G_2)| \geq 1 \).

We determine two types of \( \mathcal{H} \)-free graphs when \( \mathcal{H} \) contains some simple graphs.

**Lemma 4.** If \( G \) is a \( \{K_{1,2}, 3K_1, K_2 \cup K_1\} \)-free graph then \( G \in \{2K_1, K_n \mid n \geq 1\} \); if \( G \) is a \( \{K_{1,2}, 3K_1, K_3\} \)-free graph then \( G \in \{K_1, K_2, 2K_1, 2K_2, K_1 \cup K_2\} \).

**Proof.** It is clear that, if a graph \( G \) is \( K_{1,2}, 3K_1 \)-free, then it is the union of at most two complete graphs. Thus, we have \( G \in \{2K_1, K_n \mid n \geq 1\} \) if \( G \) is additional \( K_2 \cup K_1 \)-free, and \( G \in \{K_1, K_2, 2K_1, 2K_2, K_1 \cup K_2\} \) if \( G \) is additional \( K_3 \)-free.

Guo and Mohar introduced the so called four-way switching to generate switching equivalent graphs [7]. A **four-way switching** is the operation of changing a mixed graph \( M_G \) into the mixed graph \( M_G' \) by choosing an appropriate diagonal matrix \( S \) with \( S_{ij} \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\} \) and setting \( H(M_G') = S^{-1} H(M_G) S \). Let \( G \) be a graph and \( X \) an edge cut such that \( G - X = G_1 \cup G_2 \).

For a mixed graph \( M_G = (V, E, A) \), define \( X^+ = \{(v_1, v_2) \mid \{v_1, v_2\} \in E, v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2\} \) and \( X^- = \{(v_2, v_1) \mid \{v_1, v_2\} \in E, v_1 \in V_1, v_2 \in V_2\} \). The cut \( X \) is called a coincident cut of the mixed graph \( M_G \) if \( X^+ \subseteq A \) or \( X^- \subseteq A \) or \( X \subseteq E \). If \( X \) is a coincident cut of \( M_G \), then the **\( X \)-switching** of \( M_G \) is the mixed graph \( M_G[X] = (V, E', A') \) with \( E' = E \cup X \) and \( A' = A \setminus (X^+ \cup X^-) \). Note that \( M_G[X] = M_G \) if \( X \subseteq E \). From four-way switching, the following results are obtained.

**Lemma 5** ([7]). Let \( M_G \) be a mixed graph. If \( X \) is a coincident cut of \( M_G \), then \( M_G \) and \( M_G[X] \) are switching equivalent and thus \( \text{Sp}(M_G) = \text{Sp}(M_G[X]) \).
If $G$ is a forest, then each edge is a cut. Moreover, each edge is a coincident cut of any mixed graph $M_G$. Thus, Lemma 5 implies the following result.

**Corollary 2** ([7]). If $G$ is a forest, then $\text{Sp}(M_G) = \text{Sp}(G)$ for any mixed graph $M_G \in M_G$.

### 3 Mixed graphs with $\lambda_n > -\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$

In this part, we first investigate the mixed triangles in mixed graphs with underlying graph being complete. Next, we get all mixed graphs with smallest eigenvalue not less than $-\sqrt{2}$. At last, we completely determine the mixed graphs with smallest eigenvalue greater than $-\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \approx -1.618$.

![Figure 1: The mixed triangles and their smallest eigenvalues.](image)

It is easy to verify that there are seven types of mixed triangles and fourteen types of mixed quadrangles, and we present them in Fig.1 together with their smallest eigenvalues. The following results are immediate from Lemma [1] and Fig.1.

**Lemma 6.** Let $M_G$ be a mixed graph with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_n$. If $\lambda_n > -\sqrt{3}$, then any mixed triangle in $M_G$ belongs to $\{K_3, K_3^{2,2}, K_3^{2,3}\}$.

**Lemma 7.** Let $M_G$ be a mixed graph with smallest eigenvalue $\lambda_n$. If $\lambda_n \geq -1.84$, then any induced mixed quadrangle in $M_G$ belongs to $\{C_4^1, C_4^2, C_4^3\}$.

In what follows, we always denote $C_3 = \{K_3, K_3^{2,2}, K_3^{2,3}\}$ and $C_4 = \{C_4^1, C_4^2, C_4^3\}$. The mixed triangles $K_3$, $K_3^{2,2}$ and $K_3^{2,3}$ play an important role in determining the orientations of a mixed graph, especially when all induced cycles (if exist) of the underlying graph are triangles.

**Theorem 1.** Let $M_G$ be a mixed graph. If $G$ contains no induced cycle with length greater than 3 and each mixed triangle of $M_G$ belongs to $C_3$ then $M_G \in [G]$. 


Proof. Let $M_G = (V,E,A)$ be the mixed graph satisfying the assumption and $\tilde{G} = (V,E)$ the subgraph of $M_G$ induced by $E$. Assume that $G_1,G_2,\ldots,G_k$ are the components of $\tilde{G}$. Denote by $V_j = V(G_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$.

We first prove that $M_G[V_j] = G_j$ for any $j$. It only needs to show that there exists no $u, v \in V_j$ such that $u \rightarrow v$ for any $j$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $u, v \in V_j$ such that $u \rightarrow v$ for some $j$. Denote by $\Phi = \{(u,v) \mid u,v \in V_j, u \rightarrow v \in M_G\}$. Let $(u_0, v_0) \in \Phi$ be such that $d_{G_j}(u_0, v_0) = \min \{d_{G_j}(u, v) \mid (u, v) \in \Phi\}$. Since $G_j$ is connected, we have $d_{G_j}(u_0, v_0) < \infty$. Let $P = u_0 x_1 x_2 \ldots x_k v_0$ be a shortest path connecting $u_0$ and $v_0$ in $G_j$. Therefore, in the mixed graph $M_G$, we have $x_k \leftrightarrow x_{k+1}$ for $0 \leq k \leq s$, where $x_0 = u_0$ and $x_{s+1} = v_0$. Since $G$ contains no induced cycle with length greater than 3, we have either $x_0 \sim x_s$ or $x_{s+1} \sim x_1$ in $G$. If $x_0 \sim x_s$ in $G$ then we have $x_0 \rightarrow x_s$ in $M_G$ since $x_0 \rightarrow x_{s+1}$, $x_s \leftrightarrow x_{s+1}$ and $M_G[x_0,x_s,x_{s+1}] \in C_3$. Therefore, we have $(x_0, x_s) \in \Phi$ with $d_{G_j}(x_0, x_s) < d_{G_j}(x_0, x_{s+1}) = d_{G_j}(u_0, v_0)$, a contradiction. If $x_{s+1} \sim x_1$ in $G$ then we will have the contradiction similarly.

By the arguments above, if $k = 1$ then $M_G = G$ and the statement holds. In what follows, we assume that $k \geq 2$. Assume that the components of $G[V[V_1]\setminus V_1]$ are $H_1,\ldots,H_t$ with $U_j = V(H_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq t$. Denote by $X_j = \{(u, v) \mid u \sim v \in G, u \in V_j, v \in U_j\}$. It is clear that $X_j$ is a cut of $G$ since $G$ contains no cycle of length greater than 3. In what follows, we show that $X_j$ is a coincident cut of $M_G$. For any $x \in U_j$, if there exists $v_1 \in V_1$ such that $x \sim v_1$ in $G$, then either $x \rightarrow v_1$ or $v_1 \rightarrow x$. Without loss of generality, assume that $x \rightarrow v_1$. If there is another vertex $v'_1 \in N_{V_1}(x)$, then $v_1 \sim v'_1$ since otherwise there exists a cycle containing $x, v_1, v'_1$ with length greater than 3. Note that $v_1 \leftrightarrow v'_1$ as arguments above. We have $x \rightarrow v'_1$ since $M_G[x,v_1,v'_1] \in C_3$. Therefore, we have $x \rightarrow v$ for any $v \in N_{V_1}(x)$. For any vertex $x' \in N_{V_2}(x)$, it is clear that $N_{V_1}(x') \cap N_{V_1}(x) \neq \emptyset$ since $G$ contains no cycle with length greater than 3. For any $v' \in N_{V_3}(x') \cap N_{V_1}(x)$, we have either $x' \rightarrow v'$ or $v' \rightarrow x'$. The latter case cannot happen since $M_G[x,x',v'] \in C_3$, and we have $x' \rightarrow v'$. Furthermore, we have $x' \rightarrow v''$ for any $v'' \in N_{V_3}(x')$ by regarding $x'$ as $x$. Since $H_j$ is connected, we have $y \rightarrow y'$ for any $\{y, y'\} \in X_j$ with $y \in U_j$ and $y' \in V_1$. It means $X_j$ is a coincident cut of $M_G$. Thus, Lemma 5 means that $M_G \in \{M_G[X_j]\}$. By regarding $M_G[X_j]$ as $M_G$ and repeating this progress, we ultimately obtain that $M_G \in \{G\}$ since each step strictly decrease the size of the arc set $|A|$.

Remark 1. It is clear that Corollary 2 can be regard as a special case of Theorem 7.

For non-negative integers $s,t,n$ with $n = s + t$, denote by $K_n[s,t]$ the mixed graph obtained from $K_s \cup K_t$ by adding all arcs from the vertices of $K_s$ to those of $K_t$. It is clear that $K_n[s,t]$ is switching equivalent to $K_n$. In fact, we will show that $[K_n] = \{K[s,t] \mid s,t \geq 0, s + t = n\}$ and give a characterization of graph set $[K_n]$.

Lemma 8. Let $M_{K_n}$ be a mixed graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices in which any mixed triangular belongs to $C_3$. If $M_{K_n}$ contains $K_3^{2,2}$, then $M_{K_n} \in \{K_n[s,t] \mid s \geq 2, t \geq 1, s + t = n\}$.

Proof. Assume that $u, v, w \in V(M_{K_n})$ induce a $K_3^{2,2}$ with $u \rightarrow w, v \rightarrow w$ and $u \leftrightarrow v$. For any vertex $x \in V(M_{K_n}) \setminus \{u, v, w\}$ (if exists), we have either $x \rightarrow w$ or $x \rightarrow w$ since otherwise $M_{K_n}[u,w,x] \notin C_3$. By noticing $M_{K_n}[u,x,w], M_{K_n}[v,x,w] \in C_3$, one can easily verify that $v \rightarrow x$ and $u \rightarrow x$ if $x \leftrightarrow w$, and $v \leftrightarrow w$ and $u \leftrightarrow x$ if $x \rightarrow w$.

Denote by $V_1 = \{x \in V(M_{K_n}) \mid w \leftrightarrow x\} \cup \{w\}$ and $V_2 = \{x \in V(M_{K_n}) \mid w \leftrightarrow x\}$. It is clear that we have $u, v \in V_2$ and $V = V_1 \cup V_2$. For any two vertices $x_1, x'_1 \in V_1 \setminus \{w\}$, we have $x_1 \leftrightarrow x'_1$ since $x_1, x'_1 \leftrightarrow w$ and $M_G[x_1,x'_1,w] \in C_3$. Similarly, we have $x_2 \leftrightarrow x'_2$ for any $x_2, x'_2 \in V_2$. Moreover, for any $x_1 \in V_1 \setminus \{w\}$ and $x_2 \in V_2$, we have $x_2 \rightarrow x_1$ since $x_1 \leftrightarrow w$, $x_2 \rightarrow w$ and $M_G[x_1,x_2,w] \in C_3$. Thus, $M_{K_n} = K_n[s,t]$ where $s = |V_2| \geq 2$ and $t = |V_1| \geq 1$. □
Theorem 2 implies that the mixed graph

Proof. Assume that $u, v, \omega$ induce a $K_{3,3}^2$ with $u \leftrightarrow \omega, v \leftrightarrow \omega$ and $u \leftrightarrow v$. For any vertex $x \in V(M_K) \setminus \{u, v, \omega\}$ (if exists), we have either $x \leftrightarrow \omega$ or $x \leftrightarrow \omega$ since otherwise $M_K[u, w, x] \notin C_3$. Note that $M_K[u, x, w], M_K[v, x, w] \in C_3$. We have $x \to u$ and $x \to v$ if $\omega \leftrightarrow x$, and $x \leftrightarrow u$ and $x \leftrightarrow v$ if $\omega \rightarrow x$. Let $V_3 = \{x \in V(M_K) \mid \omega \leftrightarrow x\} \cup \{\omega\}$ and $V_4 = \{x \in V(M_K) \mid \omega \rightarrow x\}$.

Clearly, $V(M_K) = V_3 \cup V_4, v, u \in V_3$. Taking $x_3, x_3' \in V_3$ and $x_4, x_4' \in V_4$, we get $x_3 \leftrightarrow x_3'$ and $x_4 \leftrightarrow x_4'$. Therefore, $V_3$ and $V_4$ induce an clique, respectively, and $|V_3| \geq 1, |V_4| \geq 2$. Moreover, we also have $x_3 \to x_4$ for any $x_3 \in V_3, x_4 \in V_4$. Therefore, we get $M_K_n = K_n[s, t]$ with $s = |V_3| \geq 1$ and $t = |V_4| \geq 2$.

Lemmas $8$ and $9$ yield the following result.

Theorem 2. Let $M_{K_n}$ be a mixed graph with underlying graph $K_n$ and $n \geq 3$. Then any mixed triangle of $M_{K_n}$ belongs to $C_3$ if and only if $M_K_n \in \{K[n][s, t] \mid s, t \geq 0, s + t = n\}$ if and only if $M_K_n \in [K_n]$.

Proof. Firstly, assume that any triangle of $M_{K_n}$ belongs to $C_3$. Lemma $8$ and Lemma $9$ indicate that $M_K_n \in \{K[n][s, t] \mid s \geq 1, t \geq 1, s + t = n\}$ if and only if $M_K_n \in \{K_2, K_3^n\}$ or $M_K_n \in \{K_2, K_3^n\}$. If $M_K_n$ contains neither $K_2, K_3^n$, then any mixed triangle of $M_K_n$ is $K_3$ and thus $M_K_n = K_n = K_n[n, 0]$. Conversely, one can easily verify that any mixed triangle of $K_n[s, t]$ belongs to $C_3$.

Next we will show $\{K_n[n, 0] \mid s, t \geq 0, s + t = n\} \subseteq [K_n]$. It is clear that $\{K_n[n, 0] \mid s, t \geq 0, s + t = n\} \subseteq [K_n]$. It suffices to show that $\{K_n[n, 0] \mid s, t \geq 0, s + t = n\}$. By the arguments above, it only needs to show that any mixed triangle in $M_K_n$ belongs to $C_3$ for any $M_K_n \in [K_n]$. Assume that $H(M_K_n) = [h_{i, j}]$ for a mixed graph $M_K_n \in [K_n]$. Since $M_K_n \in [K_n]$, there exists a diagonal matrix $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ with $d_j \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $DH(M_K_n)D^* = H(K_n)$. Therefore, for any $\{u, v, w\} \subseteq V(M_K_n)$, we have

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
d_u & d_v \\
d_v & d_w \\
d_w & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
0 & h_{uw} & \overline{h}_{uw} \\
h_{uw} & 0 & h_{vw} \\
\overline{h}_{uw} & h_{vw} & \overline{h}_{vw} \\
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
d_u & \overline{d}_v \\
d_v & \overline{d}_w \\
d_w & \overline{d}_u \\
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

It leads to $d_u h_{uw} \overline{d}_v = 1$, $d_v h_{vw} \overline{d}_w = 1$ and $d_w h_{wu} \overline{d}_u = 1$. Thus, we have $h_{uw} h_{vw} h_{wu} = 1$. It implies that either exactly one of $h_{uw}, h_{vw}, h_{wu}$ equal to $1$ or all of them equal to $1$. If the former case happens, say $h_{uw} = 1$, then $\{h_{uw}, h_{vw}, h_{wu}\} = \{\pm 1\}$, which means $M_K_n[u, v, w] = K_3^n$ or $K_2^n$. If the latter case happens, then $M_K_n[u, v, w] = K_3^n$.

The proof is completed.

Now we give a simple application of Theorem $2$ as follows.

Theorem 3. Let $M_G$ be a connected mixed graph on $n$ vertices. Then $\lambda_n(M_G) > -\sqrt{2}$ if and only if $M_G \in \{K_n[n, 0] \mid s, t \geq 0, s + t = n\}$.

Proof. Theorem $2$ implies that the mixed graph $K_n[n, 0]$ has the spectrum $\{n - 1, [-1]^{n-1}\}$, and the sufficiency follows. Now we consider the necessity. Assume that $M_G$ is a mixed graph on $n$ vertices with $\lambda_n(M_G) > -\sqrt{2}$. Since $\text{Sp}(M_{P_3}) = \text{Sp}(P_3) = \{\pm \sqrt{2}, 0\}$, the path $P_3$ cannot be an induced subgraph of $G$ due to Corollary $1$. Thus, we have $G = K_n$. Furthermore, since $\lambda_n(M_G) > -\sqrt{2} > -\sqrt{3}$, Lemma $8$ also implies that each triangle in $M_G$ belongs to $C_3$. Thus, we have $M_G \in \{K_n[n, 0] \mid s, t \geq 0, s + t = n\}$ by Theorem $2$. 

\[\square\]
Theorem 3 gives the characterization of mixed graphs with \( \lambda_n > -\sqrt{2} \). In what follows, we will further determine the mixed graphs with \( \lambda_n \geq -\sqrt{2} \).

**Lemma 10.** Let \( M_G \) be a connected mixed graph on \( n \) vertices. If \( \lambda_n(M_G) \geq -\sqrt{2} \), then \( G \) is \( \{P_3 \triangle K_1, (K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle K_1\} \)-free.

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that \( G \) contains induced \( H \) for \( H \in \{P_3 \triangle K_1, (K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle K_1\} \). Therefore, Corollary 1 means that \( \lambda_4(M_H) \geq -\sqrt{2} > -\sqrt{3} \), and thus each mixed triangle of \( M_H \) belongs to \( \mathcal{C}_3 \). Note that \( H \) has no cycle with length greater than 3. Theorem 1 implies that \( \lambda_4(M_H) = \lambda_4(H) \), which equals to \( \lambda_4(P_3 \triangle K_1) = -1.56 < -\sqrt{2} \) or \( \lambda_4((K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle K_1) = -1.48 < -\sqrt{2} \), a contradiction. □

By Lemma 10 we get the following result.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( M_G \) be a connected mixed graph on \( n \geq 4 \) vertices. Then \( \lambda_n(M_G) \geq -\sqrt{2} \) if and only if \( M_{K_n} \in \{K_n[s,t] | s,t \geq 0, s+t = n\} \cup \mathcal{C}_4 \).

**Proof.** The sufficiency is immediate and we show the necessity in what follows. We divide two cases to discuss.

**Case 1.** \( G \) is \( P_3 \)-free.

In this case, we have \( G = K_n \). Since \( \lambda_n(M_G) \geq -\sqrt{2} > -\sqrt{3} \), any mixed triangle in \( M_G \) belongs to \( \mathcal{C}_3 \) by Lemma 3. Thus, Theorem 3 means \( M_G \in \{K_n[s,t] | s,t \geq 0, s+t = n\} \).

**Case 2.** \( G \) is not \( P_3 \)-free.

In this case, suppose that there exists \( u, v, w \in V(G) \) such that \( G[u,v,w] = P_3 \) with \( u \sim v \) and \( v \sim w \). Note that \( \lambda_4(P_4) \approx -1.618 < -\sqrt{2} \) and \( \lambda_4(K_{1,3}) = -\sqrt{3} < -\sqrt{2} \). Corollary 1 implies that \( G \) is \( \{P_4, K_{1,3}\} \)-free, and thus the diameter \( d(G) = 2 \). Therefore, each vertex \( y \in V(G) \setminus \{u,v,w\} \) of \( V(G) \) is adjacent to at least one vertex of \( \{u,v,w\} \). If \( y \) is adjacent to exactly one vertex of \( \{u,v,w\} \), then \( G \) either contains an induced \( P_4 \) or \( K_{1,3} \), which is impossible. If \( y \) is adjacent to all the vertices \( \{u,v,w\} \), then \( G[u,v,w,y] = P_3 \triangle K_1 \), which contradicts Lemma 10. Thus, \( y \) is adjacent to exactly two vertices of \( \{u,v,w\} \). If \( y \sim u \) or \( y \sim v \) or \( y \sim w \), then \( G[u,v,w,y] = (K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle K_1 \), which contradicts Lemma 10. Thus, \( y \sim u \) or \( y \sim v \), then \( G[u,v,w,y] = (K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle K_1 \), which contradicts Lemma 10. Next, we claim that \( n = 4 \). Otherwise, there exists another vertex \( y' \in V(G) \setminus \{u,v,w,y\} \). By regarding \( y' \) as \( y \), we have \( G[u,v,w,y'] = C_4 \). Therefore, we have \( G[u,v,y,y'] = K_{1,3} \) when \( y \sim y' \) and \( G[u,v,y,y'] = (K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle K_1 \) when \( y \sim y' \), which are all impossible. Therefore, we have \( G = C_4 \), and thus \( M_G \in \mathcal{C}_4 \) by Fig 11.

This completes the proof. □

In what follows, we characterize the mixed graph \( M_G \) with \( \lambda_n(M_G) > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \). We first determine the underlying graph of \( G \).

**Lemma 11.** If \( M_G \) be a mixed graph with underlying graph \( G = K_{m,n} \), then \( \lambda_n(M_G) \leq -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \) except for \( G = K_2, K_{1,2} \) or \( K_{2,2} \).

**Proof.** Assume \( \lambda_n(M_G) > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \), then \( G \) has no \( K_{1,3} \) as an induced subgraph since \( \lambda_3(K_{1,3}) = -\sqrt{3} < -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx -1.618 \). This leads to \( G = K_2, K_{1,2} \) or \( K_{2,2} \). It follows the result. □

By applying Theorem 11 we get the following result.

**Lemma 12.** Let \( M_G \) be a connected mixed graph on \( n \) vertices. If \( \lambda_n(M_G) > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \) then \( G \) is \( \{P_4, K_{1,3}, K_{2,3}, 2K_1 \triangle K_{1,2} = K_1 \triangle K_{2,2}, K_2 \triangle 3K_1, K_2 \triangle (K_2 \cup K_1), K_2 \triangle K_{1,2}, 2K_1 \triangle K_3\} \)-free.
Proof. By Corollary 2 we have $\lambda_4(M_{P_4}) = \lambda_4(P_4) = -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ for any $M_{P_4} \in M_{P_4}$ and $\lambda_4(M_{K_{1,3}}) = \lambda_4(K_{1,3}) = -1.73 < -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ for any $M_{K_{1,3}} \in M_{K_{1,3}}$. Thus, Corollary 1 implies that $G$ is $\{P_4, K_{1,3}\}$-free. Suppose to the contrary that $G$ contains an induced $K_{2,3}$. Corollary 1 indicates that $\lambda_5(M_{K_{2,3}}) > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, which contradicts Lemma 1.

Suppose to the contrary that $G$ contains an induced $K_1 \nabla K_{2,2}$ labelled as Fig 2. Since $M = M_{K_1 \nabla K_{2,2}}$ has smallest eigenvalue greater than $-\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, Lemma 6 implies all mixed triangles of $M$ belong to $\mathcal{C}_3$ and Lemma 7 implies all quadrangles of $M$ belong to $\mathcal{C}_4$. If the mixed induced quadrangle $M_{K_{2,2}} = C_4$, then we have either $u_1 \rightarrow v$ or $v \rightarrow u_2$ since $M[u_1, v, u_2] \in \mathcal{C}_3$. It leads to that $u_1 \rightarrow v$ and thus $v \leftrightarrow u_2$ since otherwise $v \rightarrow u_2$ and $M[v, u_2, u_3] \notin \mathcal{C}_3$ (see Fig 2(1)). Since $v \leftrightarrow u_2, u_2 \rightarrow u_3$ and $M[v, u_2, u_3] \in \mathcal{C}_3$, we have $v \rightarrow u_3$ (see Fig 2(2)). However, $M[v, u_3, u_4]$ cannot belong to $\mathcal{C}_3$, a contradiction. Similarly, if the mixed induced quadrangle $M_{K_{2,2}} = C_4^1$ or $C_4^2$, then $M \in \{M_1, M_2, M_3\}$ whose smallest eigenvalues are all $-2 < -\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, a contradiction.

Suppose to the contrary that $G$ contains an induced subgraph $H$ in $\{K_2 \nabla 3K_1, K_2 \nabla (K_2 \cup K_1), K_2 \nabla K_{1,2}, 2K_1 \nabla K_3\}$. Therefore, $M_G$ contains a mixed induced graph $M_H$ with order $m$. Corollary 1 indicates that $\lambda_m(M_H) \geq \lambda_n(M_G) > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Thus, each mixed triangle in $M_H$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_3$. Note that $H$ contains no cycle of length greater than 3. Theorem 1 implies that $\lambda_m(M_H) = \lambda_m(H)$. It leads to a contradiction since $\lambda_5(K_2 \nabla 3K_1) = -2$, $\lambda_5(K_2 \nabla (K_2 \cup K_1)) = -1.68$, $\lambda_5(K_2 \nabla K_{1,2}) = -1.65$ and $\lambda_5(2K_1 \nabla K_3) = -1.65$ which are all smaller than $-\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. $\square$

From Lemma 12 we determine the underlying graphs of $M_G$ with smallest eigenvalue greater than $-\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

Lemma 13. Let $M_G$ be a connected mixed graph on $n$ vertices. If $\lambda_n(M_G) > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, then $G$
belongs to
\[\{K_{2,2}, K_1 \triangle K_{1,2}, 2K_2 \triangle 2K_1, (K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle 2K_1\} \cup \{(K_s \cup K_t) \triangle K_1 \mid s, t \geq 0, s + t = n - 1\}.\]

**Proof.** We may assume that \(n \geq 2\) since there is nothing to prove when \(n = 1\). From Lemma 12, we have \(G\) is \(P_3\)-free and thus \(G = X \triangle Y\) with \(|X|, |Y| \geq 1\) due to Lemma 3. If both \(X\) and \(Y\) have no edge, then \(G = K_{n,n}\) and thus \(G \in \{K_2, K_{1,2}, K_{2,2}\}\) due to Lemma 11, where both \(K_2 = (K_1 \cup K_0) \triangle K_1\) and \(K_{1,2} = (K_1 \cup K_1) \triangle K_1\) have the form \((K_s \cup K_t) \triangle K_1\). Now we may assume that one of \(X\) and \(Y\) contains \(K_2\), say \(X\). Therefore, Lemma 12 implies that \(Y = \{3K_1, K_2 \cup K_1, K_{1,2}\}\)-free and thus \(Y \in \{2K_1, K_s \mid s \geq 1\}\) due to Lemma 3. If \(Y = K_s\), with \(s \geq 2\), then Lemma 12 implies that \(X = \{3K_1, K_2 \cup K_1, K_{1,2}\}\)-free. Thus, Lemma 3 means that \(X = K_r\), with \(r \geq 2\) since \(X\) contains \(K_2\). Therefore, \(G = K_n = (K_{n-1} \cup K_0) \triangle K_1\) with \(n \geq 4\). If \(Y = 2K_1\), then Lemma 12 indicates that \(X = \{3K_1, K_1, K_{1,2}\}\)-free, \(X \in \{2K_2, K_2 \cup K_1, K_{2,2}\}\) due to Lemma 4, and thus \(G \in \{2K_2 \triangle 2K_1, (K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle 2K_1, K_2 \triangle 2K_1 = K_1 \triangle K_{1,2}\}\).

In what follows, we consider the case of \(Y = K_1\), that is \(G = X \triangle K_1\). Since \(G\) is \(K_{1,3}\)-free according to Lemma 12, we have \(X = \{3K_1\}\)-free and \(X\) has at most two connected components. Suppose that \(X\) has two connected components, say \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) with \(|X_1|, |X_2| \geq 1\). Then both \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) are \(P_3\)-free since otherwise \(X\) has an induced \(3K_1\), and so \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) are complete graphs. Therefore, \(G = (K_s \cup K_t) \triangle K_1\) with \(s + t = n - 1\) and \(s, t \geq 1\). Next we may assume that \(X\) is connected. Since \(X\) is \(P_3\)-free, we have \(X = K_1 \triangle Y_1\) with \(|X_1|, |Y_1| \geq 1\) from Lemma 3. If both \(X_1\) and \(Y_1\) have no edge, then \(X\) is a bipartite graph and so \(X \in \{K_2, K_{1,2}, K_{2,2}\}\) by Lemma 11. Note that Lemma 12 means that \(G = K_1 \triangle K_{2,2}\)-free. Thus, \(G \in \{K_3, K_1, K_1\}\).

Now we may assume \(X_1\) contains a \(K_2\). Then \(Y_1\) is a \(\{3K_1, K_2 \cup K_1, K_{1,2}\}\)-free by Lemma 12. Hence, \(Y_1 \in \{K_s, 2K_1\}\) by Lemma 3. If \(Y_1 = K_s\), with \(s \geq 2\), then \(X_1 = \{3K_1, K_2 \cup K_1, K_{1,2}\}\)-free by Lemma 12. By Lemma 4, we have \(X_1 = K_t(t \geq 2)\) since \(X_1\) has an edge. Note that \(G = X \triangle K_1 = (X \triangle Y_1) \triangle K_1\). Therefore, \(G = (K_s \cup K_t) \triangle K_1 = K_n\) for \(n \geq 5\). If \(Y_1 = 2K_1\), then \(G = X_1 \triangle 2K_1 \triangle K_1 = X_1 \triangle K_{1,2}\). Lemma 12 indicates that \(X_1 = \{2K_1, K_2\}\)-free, and thus \(X_1 = K_1\). Therefore, \(G = K_1 \triangle K_{1,2}\). If \(Y_1 = K_1\), then \(G = X_1 \triangle K_1 \triangle K_1 = X_1 \triangle K_2\). Therefore \(X_1 = \{3K_1, K_2 \cup K_1, K_{1,2}\}\)-free by Lemma 12. Lemma 4 indicates that \(X_1 = K_s(s \geq 2)\) since \(X_1\) has an edge. Thus, \(G = K_s \triangle K_2 = (K_{n-1} \triangle K_0) \triangle K_1\) with \(n \geq 4\).

The proof is completed. \(\square\)

In what follows, we completely determine \(M_G\) with smallest eigenvalue greater than \(-\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}\) by considering its underlying graphs as given in Lemma 13 one by one.

**Lemma 14.** Let \(M_G\) be a mixed graph whose any mixed triangle belongs to \(C_3\) and any induced mixed quadrangle belongs to \(C_4\). If \(G = (K_2 \cup K_1) \triangle 2K_1\) then \(M_G \in \{H_1, ..., H_9\}\) shown in the Appendix.

**Proof.** Let \(V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_5\}\) (see the Appendix). Clearly, \(M_G\) has two induced quadrangles \(M_G[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]\) and \(M_G[v_1, v_5, v_3, v_4]\). Note that any induced mixed quadrangle belongs to \(C_4\). We divide four cases to discuss.

**Case 1. one of them is \(C_4^3\).**

In this case, we may assume \(M_G[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4] = C_4^3\). Clearly, there are two different orientations of the mixed cycle \(M_G[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]\), that is, \(v_1 \to v_2 \to v_3 \to v_4 \to v_1\) and \(v_2 \to v_3 \to v_4 \to v_1\) and \(v_2 \to v_4 \to v_1\). If the former happens, then it must holds that \(M_G[v_1, v_5, v_3, v_4] = C_4^3\) and thus \(v_1 \to v_2 \to v_3 \to v_4 \to v_1\) and \(v_2 \leftrightarrow v_5\) since any induced mixed triangle belongs to \(C_3\). It yields that \(M_G = H_1\). If the latter happens, then \(M_G[v_1, v_5, v_3, v_4] = C_4^1\) or
Therefore, one can easily verify that $M_G = H_2$ when $M_G[v_1, v_5, v_3, v_4] = C_4^3$, and $M_G = H_3$ when $M_G[v_1, v_5, v_3, v_4] = C_4^3$ similarly.


In this case, there are also two different orientations of $M_G[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]$, that is, $v_1 \to v_2$, $v_2 \leftrightarrow v_3$, $v_3 \leftrightarrow v_4$ and $v_4 \leftrightarrow v_1$, or $v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2$, $v_2 \to v_3$, $v_3 \leftrightarrow v_4$ and $v_4 \to v_1$. Therefore, one can easily verify that $M_G = H_4$ when the former happens and $M_G = H_5$ when the latter happens by noticing that any mixed triangle belongs to $C_3$.


In this case, there are three different orientations of $M_G[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]$, that is, $v_1 \to v_2$, $v_2 \to v_3$, $v_3 \leftrightarrow v_4$ and $v_4 \leftrightarrow v_1$, or $v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2$, $v_2 \to v_3$, $v_3 \to v_4$ and $v_4 \leftrightarrow v_1$. One can easily verify that $M_G = H_6$ when the first case happens, $M_G = H_7$ when the second case happens, and $M_G = H_8$ when the third case happens.

**Case 4:** $M_G[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4] = C_4^1$ and $M_G[v_1, v_5, v_3, v_4] = C_4^2$.

In this case, there are three different orientations of $M_G[v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4]$, that is, $v_1 \to v_2$, $v_2 \to v_3$, $v_3 \leftrightarrow v_4$ and $v_4 \leftrightarrow v_1$, or $v_1 \leftrightarrow v_2$, $v_2 \leftrightarrow v_3$, $v_3 \to v_4$ and $v_4 \to v_1$. If the first or the third case happens, then $M_G[v_1, v_5, v_3, v_4]$ cannot be $C_4^2$, which is impossible. If the second case happens, then $M_G = H_9$.

This completes the proof.

As similar to Lemma 14, we present the following result but omit the tautological proof.

**Lemma 15.** Let $M_G$ be any mixed graph with any induced mixed triangle belongs to $C_3$ and any induced mixed quadrangle belongs to $C_4$. If $G = 2K_2\nabla 2K_1$, then $M_G \in \{H_{10}, \ldots, H_{20}\}$ shown in the Appendix.

The **coalescence** $M \bullet_{u,v} M'$ of two mixed graphs $M$ and $M'$ is obtained from $M \cup M'$ by identifying a vertex $u$ of $M$ with a vertex $v$ of $M'$.

**Lemma 16.** Let $G$ be a connected graph with a cut vertex $v$ such that $G - v = G_1 \cup G_2$ with $V_1 = V(G_1)$ and $V_2 = V(G_2)$. If $G_1^+ = G[V_1 \cup \{v\}]$ and $G_2^+ = G[V_2 \cup \{v\}]$, then $[G] = \{M \bullet_{u,v} M' | M \in [G_1^+] \cap M' \in [G_2^+]\}$.

**Proof.** It is clear that $G = G_1^+ \bullet_{u,v} G_2^+$. For any $M \bullet M'$ with $M \in [G_1^+]$ and $M' \in [G_2^+]$, there exist diagonal matrices $D_1$ and $D_2$ with diagonal entries in $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ such that $D_1 H(M) D_1^+ = H(G_1^+)$ and $D_2 H(M') D_2^+ = H(G_2^+)$. Note that the $v$-th diagonal entries of $D_1$ and $D_2$ satisfy $D_2(v) = \epsilon D_1(v)$ for some $\epsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$. Let $D$ be the diagonal matrix indexed by $V(G)$ such that the diagonal entries are $D(v_1) = D_1(v_1)$ for $v_1 \in V_1 \cup \{v\}$ and $D(v_2) = \epsilon D_2(v_2)$ for $v_2 \in V_2$. Therefore, one can easily verify that $D H(M \bullet_{u,v} M') D^* = H(G_1^+ \bullet_{u,v} G_2^+) = H(G)$, and thus $M \bullet_{u,v} M' \in [G]$.

Conversely, for any $M \in [G]$, there exists diagonal matrix $D$ such that $D H(M) D^* = H(G)$. Note that $M_G = M \bullet_{u,v} M'$ where $M = M_G[V_1 \cup \{v\}]$ and $M' = M_G[V_2 \cup \{v\}]$. Let $D_1$ and $D_2$ be the diagonal matrices indexed by $V_1 \cup \{v\}$ and $V_2 \cup \{v\}$ respectively such that the diagonal entries are $D_1(v_1) = D(v_1)$ for and $v_1 \in V_1 \cup \{v\}$ and $D_2(v_2) = \epsilon D_2(v_2)$ for any $v_2 \in V_2 \cup \{v\}$. Therefore, one can easily verify that $D_1 H(M) D_1^* = H(G_1^+)$ and $D_2 H(M') D_2^* = H(G_2^+)$, and thus $M \in [G_1^+]$ and $M' \in [G_2^+]$.

Now we are ready to present our main result.
Theorem 5. Let $M_G$ be a connected mixed graph on $n$ vertices. Then $\lambda_n > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ if and only if $M_G \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \mathcal{H}_3 \cup \mathcal{H}_4$, where

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_1 &= \{C^1_4, C^2_4, C^3_4, H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{27}\}, \\
\mathcal{H}_2 &= \{M \cup_{u,v} M' | u \in V(M), v \in V(M'), M \in [K_3], M' \in [K_3] \cup [K_4]\}, \\
\mathcal{H}_3 &= \{K_n | n \geq 20, n \geq 1\}, \\
\mathcal{H}_4 &= \{M \cup_{u,v} M' | u \in V(M), v \in V(M'), M \in [K_2], M' \in [K_{n-1}]\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. To prove the sufficiency, it only needs to show that each graph in $\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2 \cup \mathcal{H}_3 \cup \mathcal{H}_4$ has smallest eigenvalue greater than $-\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. By immediate calculations, the smallest eigenvalues of $C^1_4, C^2_4, C^3_4$ are all $-\sqrt{2} > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ and the smallest eigenvalues of $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_{27}$ are all $-1.56 > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ (see the Appendix). For any $M \in [K_3]$ and $M' \in [K_3]$, Lemma 16 implies that $\text{Sp}(M \cup_{u,v} M') = \text{Sp}(K_3 \cup_{u,v} K_3)$. Thus, we have $\text{Sp}(M \cup_{u,v} M') = \{2.56, 1, [-1]^2, -1.56\}$ by immediate calculations. Similarly, if $M \in [K_3]$ and $M' \in [K_4]$, we have $\text{Sp}(M \cup_{u,v} M') = \{3.26, 1.34, [-1]^3, -1.60\}$. Theorem 2 implies that $K_n[s,t]$ has smallest eigenvalue $-1$. For any $M_G \in \mathcal{H}_4$, Lemma 16 implies that $\text{Sp}(M_G) = \text{Sp}(K_{n-1} \cup_{u,v} K_2)$, whose smallest eigenvalue are the smallest root of $\varphi(x) = x^3 + (3 - n)x^2 + (1 - n)x - 1 = 0$. Note that $\varphi(-1) = 0$, $\varphi(-\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}) = 1 - n < 0$ for $n \geq 2$. The smallest root of $\varphi(x)$ is greater than $-\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ by the image of $\varphi(x)$, and thus $\lambda_n(M_G) > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$.

In what follows, we show the necessity. Since $\lambda_n(M_G) > -\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, Lemmas 6 and 7 indicate that any mixed triangle of $M_G$ belongs to $C_3$ and any mixed induced quadrangle of $M_G$ belongs to $C_4$. From Lemma 13, the underlying graph $G$ belongs to

\[
\{K_{2,2}, K_1 \vartriangle K_{1,2}, 2K_2 \vartriangle 2K_1, (K_2 \cup K_1) \vartriangle 2K_1\} \bigcup \{(K_s \cup K_t) \vartriangle K_1 | s + t = n - 1\}.
\]

If $G = K_{2,2}$, then $M_G \in \{C^1_4, C^2_4, C^3_4\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1$ due to Lemma 7. If $G = K_1 \vartriangle K_{1,2}$, then $G$ contains no induced cycle with length greater than 3. Thus, Theorem 4 implies that $M_G \in [K_1 \vartriangle K_{1,2}] = \{H_21, \ldots, H_{27}\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1$. If $G = 2K_2 \vartriangle 2K_1$ or $(K_2 \cup K_1) \vartriangle 2K_1$, then $M_G \in \{H_1, \ldots, H_{20}\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1$ due to Lemmas 14 and 15. If $G = (K_s \cup K_t) \vartriangle K_1$ with $s = 0$ or $t = 0$, then $G = K_n$. Since any mixed triangle of $M_G$ belongs to $C_3$, Theorem 2 means that $M_G = M_{K_n} \in \{K_n[s,t] | n \geq 20, n \geq 1\}$. Now we suppose $G = (K_s \cup K_t) \vartriangle K_1$ with $s \geq t \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$. Note that $G$ contains no induced cycle with length greater than 3, Theorem 1 indicates that $M_G \in [G]$ and thus $\text{Sp}(M_G) = \text{Sp}(G)$. Note that $[(K_s \cup K_t) \vartriangle K_1] = \{M \cup_{u,v} M' | M \in [K_{s+1}], M' \in [K_{t+1}]\}$ due to Lemma 16. Assume that $\pi: V(G) = V_1 \cup \{v\} \cup V_2$ is the partition such that $G[V_1 \cup \{v\}] = K_{s+1}$ and $G[V_2 \cup \{v\}] = K_{t+1}$.

The Hermitian matrix of $G$ is

\[
H(G) = \begin{pmatrix}
J_s - I_s & 1_s & 0_{s\times t} \\
1^T_s & 0_{t\times s} & 1^T_I \\
0 & 1 & J_s - I_s
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where $J$, $I$, $1$ and $0$ are respectively the all-one matrix, identity matrix, all-one vector and zero matrix with the corresponding size. Therefore, Lemma 2 indicates that $\pi$ is an equitable partition with quotient matrix

\[
H_\pi = \begin{pmatrix}
s - 1 & 1 & 0 \\
s & 0 & t \\
0 & 1 & t - 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Assume that $V_1 = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s\}$ and $V_2 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t\}$. For $1 \leq j \leq s$ and $1 \leq k \leq t$, let $\delta_{1,j} \in \mathbb{R}^s$ be the vector indexed by $V_1$ such that $\delta_{1,j}(v_1) = 1$, $\delta_{1,j}(v_j) = -1$ and $\delta_{1,j}(v_{j'}) = 0$ for $j' \notin \{1, j\}$ and let $\delta_{2,k} \in \mathbb{R}^t$ be the vector indexed by $V_2$ such that $\delta_{2,k}(u_1) = 1$, $\delta_{2,k}(u_k) = -1$ and $\delta_{2,k}(u_{k'}) = 0$ for $k' \notin \{1, k\}$. It is easy to see that $H(G)\delta_{1,j} = -\delta_{1,j}$ and $H(G)\delta_{2,k} = -\delta_{2,k}$ for any $j$ and $k$, and thus $H$ has an eigenvalue $-1$ with multiplicity at least $s + t - 2 = n - 3$.

Lemma 2 implies that the other three eigenvalues of $G$ are just the roots $\epsilon_1 \geq \epsilon_2 \geq \epsilon_3$ of the function $f(x) = \det(xI - B) = x^3 + (2 - t - s)x^2 + (st - 2t - 2s + 1)x - s - t + 2st$, and thus $\epsilon_3 = \lambda_n(G) > -\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$. It is clear that $f(0) = st - s - t \geq 0$. Note that $\epsilon_1 > 0$. By the image of the function $f(x)$, we have $f(-\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}) < 0$. If $t \geq 3$ then

$$f(-\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}) = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}(st - s - t) + \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \geq \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2} s - \frac{1 - \sqrt{5}}{2} \geq 5 - 2\sqrt{5} > 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus, we have $t \leq 2$. If $t = 2$ then $f(-\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}) = \frac{3 - \sqrt{5}}{2}s - \frac{5 - \sqrt{5}}{2} < 0$. It leads to $s < \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 3.62$. Thus, we have $s = 2$ or $3$ since $s \geq t = 2$. It means $M_G \in [(K_2 \cup K_2)\nabla K_1] \cup [(K_3 \cup K_2)\nabla K_1] = \{M \bullet u,v M' | M \in [K_3], M' \in [K_3 \cup K_4]\} = \mathcal{H}_2$. If $t = 1$ then $f(-\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}) = -1 < 0$ always holds. Thus, $s \geq t = 1$ and $M_G \in [(K_s \cup K_1)\nabla K_1] = \{M \bullet u,v M' | M \in [K_2], M' \in [K_{n-1}]\} = \mathcal{H}_4$.

This completes the proof.
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