

DETERMINANTS AND PLEMELJ-SMITHIES FORMULAS

DUVÁN CARDONA, JULIO DELGADO, AND MICHAEL RUZHANSKY

ABSTRACT. We establish Plemelj-Smithies formulas for determinants in different algebras of operators. In particular we define a Poincaré type determinant for operators on the torus \mathbb{T}^n and deduce formulas for determinants of periodic pseudo-differential operators in terms of the symbol. On the other hand, by applying a recently introduced notion of invariant operators relative to fixed decompositions of Hilbert spaces we also obtain formulae for determinants with respect to the trace class. The analysis makes use of the corresponding notion of full matrix-symbol. We also derive explicit formulas for determinants associated to elliptic operators on compact manifolds, compact Lie groups, and on homogeneous vector bundles over compact homogeneous manifolds.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Traces and Determinants	2
3. Determinant formulae	8
3.1. Poincaré determinant on the torus	8
3.2. Determinant of nuclear operators on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$	12
3.3. Determinant of invariant operators on Hilbert spaces	13
3.4. Plemelj-Smithies formulas on $L^2(M)$	15
3.5. Determinants on homogeneous vector bundles in $L^2(E)$	15
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work we study determinants of operators in different algebras and obtain the corresponding Plemelj-Smithies formulas. A special case that we will consider is a Poincaré type determinant for operators on the torus \mathbb{T}^n . To do so we apply the Fourier transform on \mathbb{T}^n leading to an isomorphism between operators on \mathbb{T}^n and operators on \mathbb{Z}^n . By considering pseudo-differential operators on the torus we also obtain formulae in terms of symbols. Regarding compact

Date: March 19, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B10, 35S05; Secondary 58J40, 22E30.

Key words and phrases. Fredholm determinants, Plemelj-Smithies formulas, trace formula, pseudo-differential operators, Schatten-von Neumann classes, eigenvalues, Vector Bundles.

The authors are supported by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations. The second author was also supported by the Grant CI71234 Vic. Inv. Universidad del Valle and by Leverhulme Grant RPG-2017-151. The third author is also supported by the Leverhulme Grant RPG-2017-151 and by EPSRC grant EP/R003025/1.

manifolds we apply a recently introduced notion of invariance (cf. [DR18]). This notion is relative to a fixed decomposition of a complex separable Hilbert space. In particular one can consider such decompositions into eigenspaces associated to an elliptic operator on a compact manifold or on a vector bundle over a compact manifold. The classes of operators covered in this work also include G -invariant operators on homogeneous vector bundles allowing applications of the invariant notion in [DR18] to Dirac type operators on the bundle of forms, on some spin structures and on some twisted geometric structures (cf. [Bott65]).

Some of the Plemelj-Smithies formulas for determinants that we are going to state here can be seen as complementary to the ones stated for traces in [DR14b], [DR18], [DR17] and [DR14a]. The determinants of matrices of infinite order were used for the first time by G. W. Hill in his study of the motion of the lunar perigee (cf. [Hil86]). A first rigorous approach to such determinants was introduced by Poincaré in his work on the Hill's equation [Poi86]. A general point of view to define the determinant of $I + A$ consists in considering A as an operator in a class endowed with a trace. In particular the Plemelj-Smithies formulas express the determinant in terms of traces. Here we are going to consider the point of view of embedded algebras introduced by I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg and N. Krupnik (cf. [GGK00]). Here we will work within that framework and specifically the important examples of trace class operators on a Hilbert space, the algebra of matrices in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$ viewing them as operators on $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and domain for the Poincaré determinant, and the nuclear operators on Banach spaces.

The applications of Fredholm determinants in the analysis of differential equations has actively motivated its investigation in the last decades see e.g. [ZB15, BI14, BCR13, GLZ08, McK03]. Furthermore, a systematic study of numerical computations for Fredholm determinants was initiated by Bornemann [Bor10]. We refer to these papers for further references and motivations.

The structure of this work is as follows. In Section 2 we present the notion of a determinant on Banach spaces, the notion of r -nuclearity of Grothendieck and the calculus of invariant operators developed in [DR18]. Finally, in Section 3 we establish our main results, first, for pseudo-differential operators on the torus, invariant operators on Hilbert spaces, trace class operators in $L^2(M)$ (for M a closed manifold), and at the end of the section for trace class G -invariant operators on homogeneous vector-bundles.

2. TRACES AND DETERMINANTS

In this section we define the concepts of traces and determinant in the setting of embedded algebras according to [GGK00] and we refer the reader to it for a more detailed discussion.

Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space, we denote by $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$ the space of bounded finite rank operators on \mathcal{B} . We also denote by $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ the C^* -algebra of bounded linear

operators on \mathcal{B} . We now briefly recall the definition of trace and determinants for finite rank operators. The following fundamental properties of trace and determinants for finite rank operators are mainly consequences of the ones for the finite square matrix setting.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space and $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$. Then \mathcal{B} can be decomposed as a direct sum*

$$B = M_F \oplus N_F, \quad (2.1)$$

where $F(M_F) \subset M_F$ and $N_F \subset \text{Ker} F$.

The decomposition (2.1) allows us to write the operators F and $I + F$ as 2×2 matrices of the form

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} F_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I + F = \begin{bmatrix} I + F_1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here $F_1 = F|_{M_F}$ is the restriction of F to the finite dimensional subspace M_F and I, I_1, I_2 denote the identity operators in \mathcal{B}, M_F, N_F respectively. Since M_F is a finite dimensional space, the functionals $\text{Tr} F_1$ and $\text{Det}(I + F_1)$ are well defined. Using this we can define:

$$\text{Tr}(F) := \text{Tr}(F_1), \quad \text{Det}(I + F) := \text{Det}(I + F_1). \quad (2.3)$$

These definitions are independent of the choice of the subspace M_F . Indeed, this is due to the following important formulas in terms of eigenvalues:

$$\text{Tr}(F_1) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(F_1), \quad \text{Det}(I + F_1) = \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + \lambda_j(F_1)), \quad (2.4)$$

where $m = \dim M_F$ and $\lambda_1(F_1), \dots, \lambda_m(F_1)$ are the eigenvalues of F_1 counted according to their algebraic multiplicities. Moreover, an application of (2.2) and the Jordan decomposition of F_1 shows that the nonzero eigenvalues of F do not depend on the choice of M_F and

$$\text{Tr}(F) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j(F), \quad \text{Det}(I + F) = \prod_{j=1}^m (1 + \lambda_j(F)). \quad (2.5)$$

Therefore the Definition (2.3) is independent of the choice of the subspace M_F . Moreover, we see that $I + F$ is invertible in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ if and only if $\text{Det}(I + F) \neq 0$.

The functionals $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ and $\text{Det}(\cdot)$ enjoy some fundamental properties:

- The trace $\text{Tr}(\cdot)$ is linear on $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$ and

$$\text{Tr}(AB) = \text{Tr}(BA). \quad (2.6)$$

- The determinant has the following multiplicative property

$$\text{Det}((I + A)(I + B)) = \text{Det}(I + A) \text{Det}(I + B) \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\text{Det}(I + AB) = \text{Det}(I + BA). \quad (2.8)$$

In order to extend the trace and determinants to larger classes of operators, we recall the notion of algebras that we are going to use. We note that the functionals trace and determinant are not continuous with respect to the operator norm. This fact motivates the introduction of the following concept of algebra.

Definition 2.2. A subalgebra \mathcal{D} of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ is *continuously embedded* in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ if the following two conditions hold:

- (i) There exists a norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ on \mathcal{D} and a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{D}} \quad (2.9)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{D}$.

- (ii) $\|AB\|_{\mathcal{D}} \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{D}}\|B\|_{\mathcal{D}}$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{D}$.

For the sake of simplicity, we say that the subalgebra \mathcal{D} is an *embedded subalgebra* if the norm on \mathcal{D} satisfies (i) and (ii).

If, in addition, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}} := \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{D}$ is dense in \mathcal{D} with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{D}}$, we say that \mathcal{D} is *approximable*.

If \mathcal{D} is an approximable algebra we can continuously extend the trace and determinant from finite rank operators to the algebra \mathcal{D} .

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ be an approximable embedded subalgebra. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) *The function $\text{Det}(I + F) : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ admits a continuous extension in the \mathcal{D} -norm from $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$ to \mathcal{D} .*

(ii) *The linear functional $\text{Tr}(F)$ is bounded in the \mathcal{D} -norm on $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

The conditions above are satisfied in a good number of important examples. First we point out how the conditions above are used to extend the trace and determinants from finite rank operators.

Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ be an approximable embedded subalgebra and assume \mathcal{D} satisfies one of the equivalent conditions of Theorem 2.3. If $A \in \mathcal{D}$ we define the *trace* of A and the *determinant* $I + A$ in the algebra \mathcal{D} by the equalities:

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{D}}(A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(F_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Det}_{\mathcal{D}}(I + A) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Det}(I + F_n), \quad (2.10)$$

where $\|A - F_n\|_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow 0$ with $F_n \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$. As a consequence of the continuity of the extended trace and determinant, it can be shown that these definitions are independent of the choice of an approximative sequence F_n .

We can now introduce the embedded algebras that we are going to consider in this work. We first recall some generalities on nuclear operators.

Definition 2.4. We will say that a Banach space \mathcal{B} satisfies the *approximation property* if for every compact set K in \mathcal{B} and for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$

such that

$$\|x - Fx\| < \epsilon, \text{ for every } x \in K.$$

It is well known that the classical spaces $C(X)$ where X is a compact topological space, $L^p(\mu)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any measure satisfy the approximation property (cf. [Pie80]). P. Enflo showed a counterexample to the approximation property in Banach spaces (cf. [Enf73]). A more natural counterexample has been found by A. Szankowsky who has proved that $\mathcal{L}(H)$ does not have the approximation property (cf. [Sza81]).

We can now define the concepts of nuclear operators on Banach spaces and the trace. Let \mathcal{B} be a Banach space, a linear operator T from \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{B} is called *nuclear* if there exist sequences (x'_n) in \mathcal{B}' and (y_n) in \mathcal{B} in such a way that T admits a nuclear decomposition, which means that,

$$Tx = \sum_n \langle x, x'_n \rangle y_n \text{ and } \sum_n \|x'_n\|_{\mathcal{B}'} \|y_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} < \infty. \quad (2.11)$$

This definition agrees with the concept of trace class operator in the setting of Hilbert spaces. The set of nuclear operators from \mathcal{B} into \mathcal{B} forms the ideal of nuclear operators $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ endowed with the norm

$$N(T) = \inf \left\{ \sum_n \|x'_n\|_{\mathcal{B}'} \|y_n\|_{\mathcal{B}} : T = \sum_n x'_n \otimes y_n \right\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$, it is also a subalgebra. It is natural to attempt to define the trace of $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ by

$$\text{Tr}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'_n(y_n),$$

where $T = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x'_n \otimes y_n$ is a representation of T . Grothendieck (cf. [Gro55]) discovered that $\text{Tr}(T)$ is well defined for all $T \in \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ if and only if \mathcal{B} has the *aproximation property* (cf. [Pie87], [DF93]).

In particular, if \mathcal{B} has the approximation property, then the subalgebra $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ of nuclear operators is an approximable embedded subalgebra in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$. We also recall that if $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{H}$ is a complex separable Hilbert space, then the ideal of nuclear operators $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{B})$ coincides with the trace class.

In the setting of $L^p(\Omega, \mu)$ spaces, the nuclear operators are characterized by kernels of the form

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) \otimes h_n(y), \quad (2.12)$$

where g_n, h_n are sequences in L^p, L^q respectively and such that $\sum_n \|g_n\|_{L^p} \|h_n\|_{L^q} < \infty$. For the above characterization the reader can consult [Del10b], [Del10a].

Given such kernel, the trace can be expressed in the form

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T) = \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n(x) h_n(x) \right) d\mu(x), \quad (2.13)$$

which holds almost everywhere on the domain. In other words, the trace can be obtained by integration on the diagonal. But a trace formula for an arbitrary kernel $\alpha(x, y)$ of T is not directly deducible from the corresponding one for k using (2.13). The problem of traceability and of finding trace formulae has been extensively investigated (cf. [FMO09], [MO12], [DR14a], [DR17], [DR14b]). In particular, if one disposes of a continuous kernel $\alpha(x, y)$ of a nuclear operator over a suitable topological space one has

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T) = \int_{\Omega} \alpha(x, x) d\mu(x).$$

On the other hand as it is well known that to characterize the nuclearity in terms of a given integral kernel is in general not an easy task. Indeed, there are some classical counterexamples that we will recall later on. However this obstruction vanishes in the case for instance of the counting measure on the lattice \mathbb{Z}^n due to the uniqueness of the kernel. Moreover, one has the following mild sufficient condition for the nuclearity of discrete operators in terms of their kernels (that later we will use in Theorem 3.8). Below we consider $e_j(i) = \delta_{ji}$ the Kronecker's delta.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $K : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function satisfying*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |K(j, m)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty. \quad (2.14)$$

Then the relation $K(i, j) = \langle T e_j, e_i \rangle$, $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, defines a nuclear operator $T : \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n) \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$. Moreover its trace is given by

$$\mathrm{Tr}(T) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^n} K(n, n).$$

Proof. We consider q such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. We are going to prove that (2.14) makes the decomposition (2.16) a nuclear decomposition of T (in the sense of (2.11)). Define the functional $e'_n \in (\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^n))'$ by $e'_n(f) := \langle f, e_n \rangle$. Observe that $\|e'_n\|_{(\ell^q(\mathbb{Z}^n))'} = \|e_n\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)} = 1$, and that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|T e_j\|_{\ell^p} \|e_j\|_{\ell^p} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|T e_j\|_{\ell^p} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |K(m, j)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty. \quad (2.15)$$

This justifies the following calculation for $f \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$:

$$f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j,$$

$$Tf = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle f, e_j \rangle T e_j, \quad (2.16)$$

where the right hand side of (2.16) converges in the ℓ^p -norm. Indeed, T is bounded on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ as it proves the following estimate

$$\|Tf\|_{\ell^p} \leq \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|T e_j\|_{\ell^p} \right) \|f\|_{\ell^p}. \quad (2.17)$$

Now, in view of (2.15), T is a nuclear operator from $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ to $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, with kernel $K(i, j) = \langle T e_j, e_i \rangle$. Indeed, the kernel of T is obtained by writing

$$\begin{aligned} Tf(i) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \langle f, e_j \rangle \langle T e_j, e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} f(j) \langle T e_j, e_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the identity $(T e_j)(i) = \langle T e_j, e_i \rangle$. Therefore the kernel of T is given by $K(i, j) = \langle T e_j, e_i \rangle$. Thus, we end the proof. \square

The inequality (2.14) in the above proposition is not a necessary condition for nuclearity. We distinguish two cases, $1 < p < \infty$ or $p = 1$. In the first case, we define a rank one operator with kernel $K(j, m) = g(j)h(m)$, where $g \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $h \in \ell^q(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$. Then the operator T defined by K is nuclear. However,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |K(j, m)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |g(j)| \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |h(m)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \|g\|_{\ell^1} \|h\|_{\ell^p} \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The other case is similar, if $p = 1$ we choose $g \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}$ and $h \in \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$, having in account that $p < \infty$, and $q = \infty$.

For Hilbert spaces we now recall the definition of the trace class. Let \mathcal{H} be a complex separable Hilbert space and let $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a linear compact operator. We denote by $s_k(T)$ the singular values of T , i.e, the eigenvalues of $|T| = \sqrt{T^*T}$. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k(T) < \infty,$$

then the linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be in the *trace class* $S_1(\mathcal{H})$. It can be shown that $S_1(\mathcal{H})$ is a Banach space in which the norm $\|\cdot\|_{S_1}$ is given by

$$\|T\|_{S_1} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k(T), \quad T \in S_1,$$

multiplicities counted. Let $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an operator in $S_1(\mathcal{H})$ and let $(\phi_k)_k$ be any orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Then, the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (T\phi_k, \phi_k)$ is absolutely

convergent and the sum is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis $(\phi_k)_k$. Thus, we can define the trace $\text{Tr}(T)$ of any linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ in S_1 by

$$\text{Tr}(T) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (T\phi_k, \phi_k),$$

where $\{\phi_k : k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is any orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} .

3. DETERMINANT FORMULAE

In this section we present the main results of this work. We start by recalling a Plemelj-Smithies formula. For a compact manifold without boundary M , $\Psi^m(M, \text{loc})$ denotes the standard Hörmander class of pseudo-differential operators of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$, (cf. [Hör85]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ be an approximable embedded subalgebra. Suppose that the function $\text{Det}(I + F)$ has a continuous extension from $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}}$ to \mathcal{D} . Then for any $A \in \mathcal{D}$ fixed, the function $\text{Det}_{\mathcal{D}}(I + \lambda A)$ is an entire function and*

$$\text{Det}_{\mathcal{D}}(I + \lambda A) = \exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} \text{Tr}(A^j) \lambda^j \right),$$

for λ in a sufficiently small disc centered at 0 in \mathbb{C} .

We now consider different embedded subalgebras and the corresponding results.

3.1. Poincaré determinant on the torus. We will present an analysis for the determinant of the toroidal quantisation in the Kohn-Nirenberg toroidal classes $S^m(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ (cf. [RT10]). We first note that if $A \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$, we can write $A = (a_{jk})_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ with

$$\sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |a_{jk}| < \infty. \quad (3.1)$$

The matrix A can be viewed as the matrix with respect to the standard basis of a bounded operator (which we again denote by A) on $\mathcal{B} = \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. Indeed, in view of the discrete Schur test, the operator

$$Af(k) := \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{jk} f(j), \quad f \in c_0(\mathbb{Z}^n) := \{f : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ has compact support}\}$$

extends to a bounded linear operator on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and

$$\|A\|_{\ell^p \rightarrow \ell^p} \leq \left(\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|a_{jk}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \|a_{jk}\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)} \right)^{1 - \frac{1}{p}}.$$

The Poincaré algebra \mathcal{D}_p consists of those bounded linear operators A on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ with matrices (with respect to the standard basis) in $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$. We endow \mathcal{D}_p with the norm

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{D}_p} := \sum_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |a_{jk}|.$$

The value p indicates that the operator is considered acting on the space $\mathcal{B} = \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ despite the norm is independent of p .

For a fixed p such that $1 \leq p < \infty$, one can prove that \mathcal{D}_p is indeed an approximable embedded subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B})$ with $\mathcal{B} = \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$.

We observe that

$$|\mathrm{Tr}(A)| = \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_{jj} \right| \leq \|A\|_{\mathcal{D}_p}. \quad (3.2)$$

Thus, \mathcal{D}_p satisfies the assumption (ii) of Theorem 2.3, and therefore the determinant $\mathrm{Det}(I + F)$ can be continuously extended to \mathcal{D}_p . We call this determinant the *Poincaré determinant*.

Remark 3.2. The condition $A \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$, can be improved to the following one $A \in (\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n) \otimes \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n)) \cap (\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^n) \otimes \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n))$, in order to obtain a bounded operator on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ (see Lemma 3.3.30 of [RT10]). However, we keep the algebra condition on $A \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ because for $n = 1$, we recover the usual algebra \mathcal{D}_p on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$ introduced by Poincaré (cf. [GGK00]).

We now consider operators on the torus \mathbb{T}^n . In this special domain we can take advantage of the Fourier transform and the duality with \mathbb{Z}^n to relate the operators on the torus with the Poincaré determinant.

Let $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the n -dimensional torus. The collection $\{e^{2\pi i x \cdot k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. We denote the Fourier transform on the torus \mathbb{T}^n by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}$ which is defined by

$$(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n} \varphi)(k) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot k} \varphi(x) dx, \quad \varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

The toroidal quantisation associated to a symbol $\sigma \in S^m(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ is the densely defined operator $T = \sigma(x, D)$ given by

$$Tf(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{2\pi i x \cdot k} \sigma(x, k) \widehat{f}(k), \quad f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n).$$

This quantisation has been extensively analysed in [RT10] for the general case of \mathbb{T}^n and on compact Lie groups. For the toroidal Hörmander class of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$, one has $\Psi^m(\mathbb{T}^n, \mathrm{loc}) = \{\sigma(x, D) : \sigma \in S^m(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)\}$ (cf. [RT10]). We observe that for $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^n)$, and after a change of variable we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tf(x) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} e^{2\pi i x \cdot k} \sigma(x, k) \widehat{f}(k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{\sigma}(l, k) e^{2\pi i x \cdot l} \right) \widehat{f}(k) e^{2\pi i x \cdot k} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{\sigma}(j - k, k) \widehat{f}(k) \right) e^{2\pi i x \cdot j}.$$

From the last identity we can write Tf as the Fourier series

$$Tf(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^n} C_j e^{2\pi i x \cdot j}, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^n,$$

where C_j is the j -th Fourier coefficient of Tf . We now note that since

$$C_j = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \widehat{\sigma}(j - k, k) \widehat{f}(k),$$

we can write $C_j = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A_{jk} \widehat{f}(k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A_{jk} \phi(k)$, where A_{jk} is the matrix defined by

$$A_{jk} := \widehat{\sigma}(j - k, k) \tag{3.3}$$

and $\phi(k) = \widehat{f}(k)$.

We now observe that if the matrix A belongs to $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$, then A defines an operator in \mathcal{D}_p . In particular we consider $p = 2$ to take advantage of the isometry of the Fourier transform. On the other hand the operator T can be written in the form $T = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}^{-1} A \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}$. We observe that $\Gamma(A) := \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}^{-1} A \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}$ defines an algebra isomorphism

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{D}_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{T})).$$

To see the question on the approximability, we point out that the isomorphism Γ preserves the class of finite rank operators $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}_2}(\ell^2) = \mathcal{F}(\ell^2)$, that is

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{F}(\ell^2)) = \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{T})).$$

The above holds since given $H \in \mathcal{F}(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$ we can write $H = \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}^{-1} (\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n} H \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}^{-1}) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}$. Hence $\Gamma(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n} H \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{T}^n}^{-1}) = H$. In $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$ the norm considered is the carried one from \mathcal{D}_2 , i.e.

$$\|T\|_{\Gamma} := \|A\|_{\mathcal{D}_2}.$$

Since the Poincaré determinant is defined on \mathcal{D}_2 as well as the trace, then the same can be done on the embedded subalgebra $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$ of operators on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$.

Summarising the discussion above, we have:

Theorem 3.3. *The Poincaré determinant on \mathcal{D}_2 induces a determinant on $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$ through the formula*

$$\text{Det}(I + T) = \text{Det}(I + A). \tag{3.4}$$

We will keep calling the induced determinant on $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$, the *Poincaré determinant* this time for operators on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$, but to distinguish the domains we will denote it by Det_{Γ} . We compute the Poincaré determinant for operators on the torus as follows.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\sigma \in S^\nu(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ with $\nu < -n$. Then $T \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$ and*

$$\text{Det}(I + \lambda T) = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \lambda^m \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nm} \\ j_0 = j_m}} \prod_{s=1}^m \widehat{\sigma}(j_{s-1} - j_s, j_s) \right), \quad (3.5)$$

for λ in a sufficiently small disc centered at 0. Moreover, the index in the condition $\nu < -n$ is sharp.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in S^\nu(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$. In view of Lemma 4.2.1 of [RT10], for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $C_r > 0$, such that

$$|\widehat{\sigma}(j, k)| \leq C_r (1 + |j|)^{-r} (1 + |k|)^\nu.$$

If $\nu < -n$, and $r > n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)} &= \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |A_{jk}| = \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |\widehat{\sigma}(j - k, k)| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (1 + |j - k|)^{-r} (1 + |k|)^\nu < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute the determinant of T . For $m \geq 2$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(A^m) &= \sum_{j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} [A^m]_{j_0 j_0} \\ &= \sum_{j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A_{j_0 j_1} [A^{m-1}]_{j_1 j_0} \\ &= \sum_{j_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \sum_{j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n} A_{j_0 j_1} A_{j_1 j_2} [A^{m-2}]_{j_2 j_0} \\ &= \sum_{(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nm}} A_{j_0 j_1} A_{j_1 j_2} \cdots A_{j_{m-1} j_m} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nm} \\ j_0 = j_m}} \prod_{s=1}^m A_{j_{s-1} j_s} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nm} \\ j_0 = j_m}} \prod_{s=1}^m \widehat{\sigma}(j_{s-1} - j_s, j_s). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we conclude the determinant formula by using Theorem 3.1. To see the sharpness of the order condition $\nu < -n$ let us analyse the operator T with symbol $\sigma_0(x, k) := (1 + |k|)^{-n} \in S^{m'}(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ for all $m' \geq -n$. In this case, $\widehat{\sigma}_0(j - k, k) = (1 + |k|)^{-n} \delta_{0, j-k} = (1 + |k|)^{-n} \delta_{j, k}$. Observe that

$$\|T\|_{\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)} = \sum_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} |A_{jk}| = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^n} (1 + |k|)^{-n} = \infty,$$

which implies that $T \notin \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2)$. Thus, we end the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. If $\sigma \in S^\nu(\mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n)$ with $\nu < -n$, then $T \in S_1(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$ and one has

$$\text{Det}(I + \lambda T) = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \lambda^m \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^{nm} \\ j_0 = j_m}} \prod_{s=1}^m \widehat{\sigma}(j_{s-1} - j_s, j_s) \right), \quad (3.6)$$

for λ in a sufficiently small disc centered at 0.

Remark 3.6. On a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , if a bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{k, j \in J} |(T e_k, e_j)| < \infty,$$

for some orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}_{j \in J}$ of H , then $T \in S_1(\mathcal{H})$ (cf. [Sug90, Page 172]). Consequently, with the particular choice of the canonical orthonormal basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n)$, the condition $A \in \mathcal{D}_2$ implies that $A \in S_1(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n))$. Because $\mathcal{D}_2 \subset S_1(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n))$, we also have the inclusion $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2) \subset S_1(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$ of the Poincaré algebra into the ideal of trace class operators on $L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)$. In view of Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.6, we have

$$\Psi^\nu(\mathbb{T}^n, \text{loc}) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2) \subset S_1(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n)),$$

for all $\nu < -n$.

Remark 3.7. The inclusion $\mathcal{D}_2 \subset S_1(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n))$ is strict. Indeed, consider the diagonalisable operator $A = (a_{jk})_{j, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in the canonical basis of $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$, whose entries a_{jk} are given by $a_{1k} = 1/k$, $k \geq 1$, $a_{jj} = 1/j^2$, $j \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $a_{ij} = 0$ in other case. The system of eigenvalues of A is the set $\{1/j^2 : j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which implies that $A \in S_1(\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^n))$, but $\|A\|_{\mathcal{D}_2} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/k = \infty$. This analysis also leads to the strict inclusion $\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_2) \subset S_1(L^2(\mathbb{T}^n))$.

3.2. Determinant of nuclear operators on $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$. Having proved the trace formula for nuclear operators in Theorem 2.5, in the next result we present the corresponding determinant formula.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let $K : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function satisfying*

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |K(j, m)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Then the relation $K(i, j) = \langle T e_j, e_i \rangle$, $(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ defines a nuclear operator $T : \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, one has

$$\text{Det}(I + \lambda T) = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \lambda^m \sum_{\substack{(j_0, j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m \\ j_0 = j_m}} \prod_{s=1}^m K(j_{s-1}, j_s) \right), \quad (3.8)$$

for λ in a sufficiently small disc centered at 0.

Proof. Straightforward computation shows that for $m \geq 2$, the kernel of T^m is given by

$$K_{T^m}(j_0, j_m) = \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_{m-1})} K(j_0, j_1)K(j_1, j_2) \cdots K(j_m, j_{m-1}).$$

So, summing $K_{T^m}(j_0, j_m)$ over $j_0 = j_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ we obtain the trace of T^m , (this, in view of Proposition 2.5 and (3.8) follows from Theorem 3.1. \square)

3.3. Determinant of invariant operators on Hilbert spaces. We now recall the notion of invariant operators introduced in [DR18] and which is based on the following theorem:

Theorem 3.9. *Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{H}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a dense linear subspace of \mathcal{H} . Let $\{d_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \mathbb{N}$ and let $\{e_j^k\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0, 1 \leq k \leq d_j}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} such that $e_j^k \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$ for all j and k . Let $H_j := \text{span}\{e_j^k\}_{k=1}^{d_j}$, and let $P_j : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow H_j$ be the orthogonal projection. For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we denote $\widehat{f}(j, k) := (f, e_j^k)_{\mathcal{H}}$ and let $\widehat{f}(j) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_j}$ denote the column of $\widehat{f}(j, k)$, $1 \leq k \leq d_j$. Let $T : \mathcal{H}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a linear operator. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (A) *For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $T(H_j) \subset H_j$.*
- (B) *For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a matrix $\sigma_T(\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_\ell \times d_\ell}$ such that for all e_j^k*

$$\widehat{T e_j^k}(\ell, m) = \sigma_T(\ell)_{mk} \delta_{j\ell}.$$

- (C) *For each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$ there exists a matrix $\sigma_T(\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_\ell \times d_\ell}$ such that*

$$\widehat{T f}(\ell) = \sigma_T(\ell) \widehat{f}(\ell)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{H}^\infty$.

The matrices $\sigma_T(\ell)$ in (B) and (C) coincide.

The equivalent properties (A)–(C) follow from the condition

- (D) *For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have $TP_j = P_j T$ on \mathcal{H}^∞ .*

If, in addition, T extends to a bounded operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ then (D) is equivalent to (A)–(C).

Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.9, we have the direct sum decomposition

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} H_j, \quad H_j = \text{span}\{e_j^k\}_{k=1}^{d_j}, \quad (3.9)$$

and we have $d_j = \dim H_j$. The two applications that we will consider will be with $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M)$ for a compact manifold M with H_j being the eigenspaces of an elliptic pseudo-differential operator E , or with $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G)$ for a compact Lie group G with $H_j = \text{span}\{\xi_{km}\}_{1 \leq k, m \leq d_\xi}$ for a unitary irreducible representation $\xi \in [\xi_j] \in \widehat{G}$. In this case we will write $\sigma_T := \sigma_{T, E}$. The difference is that in the first case we will have that the eigenvalues of E corresponding to H_j 's are all distinct, while in the second case the eigenvalues of the Laplacian on G for which H_j 's are the eigenspaces, may coincide.

In view of properties (A) and (C), respectively, an operator T satisfying any of the equivalent properties (A)–(C) in Theorem 3.9, will be called an *invariant operator*, or a *Fourier multiplier relative to the decomposition* $\{H_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ in (3.9). If the collection $\{H_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is fixed once and for all, we can just say that T is *invariant* or a *Fourier multiplier*.

The family of matrices σ will be called the *matrix symbol of T relative to the partition* $\{H_j\}$ *and to the basis* $\{e_j^k\}$. It is an element of the space Σ defined by

$$\Sigma = \{\sigma : \mathbb{N}_0 \ni \ell \mapsto \sigma(\ell) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_\ell \times d_\ell}\}. \quad (3.10)$$

In this section we will investigate the concept of determinant on embedded in the sense of [GGK00] for the notion of invariant operators introduced in [DR18]. We recall below the Plemelj-Smithies formula:

Theorem 3.10 (Plemelj-Smithies formula). *If $T \in S_1(\mathcal{H})$ one has*

$$\text{Det}(I + \lambda T) = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \text{Tr}(T^m) \lambda^m \right),$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|$ small enough.

As an application of the notion of invariant operators given by Theorem 3.9 we have:

Theorem 3.11. *If $T \in S_1(\mathcal{H})$ is a Fourier multiplier, one has*

$$\text{Det}(I + \lambda T) = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \lambda^m \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(\sigma_T(\ell)^m) \right),$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|$ small enough.

Proof. We recall (cf. [DR18], Theorem 2.5) that if $T \in S_1(\mathcal{H})$ and is invariant then

$$\text{Tr}(T) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(\sigma_T(\ell)). \quad (3.11)$$

Now, T^m is also invariant and $T^m \in S_1(\mathcal{H})$ since $S_1(\mathcal{H})$ is an ideal. On the other hand

$$\sigma_{T^m}(\ell) = (\sigma_T(\ell))^m.$$

Therefore

$$\text{Tr}(T^m) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \text{Tr}(\sigma_T(\ell)^m).$$

The proof can be concluded by an application of the Plemelj-Smithies formula. \square

3.4. Plemelj-Smithies formulas on $L^2(M)$. In particular we will consider $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M)$ for a compact manifold without boundary M with H_j being the eigenspaces of an elliptic pseudo-differential operator E of order ν on M . We denote by $\Psi^\nu(M)$ the Hörmander class of pseudo-differential operators of order $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. operators which, in every coordinate chart, are operators in Hörmander classes on \mathbb{R}^n with symbols in $S_{1,0}^\nu$, see e.g. [Shu01] or [RT10]. In this paper we will be using the class $\Psi_{cl}^\nu(M)$ of classical operators, i.e. operators with symbols having (in all local coordinates) an asymptotic expansion of the symbol in positively homogeneous components (see e.g. [Dui11]). This means that for any local chart U , the operator $A \in \Psi_{cl}^\nu(M)$, has the form

$$Au(x) = \int_{T_x^*U} e^{ix\xi} \sigma^A(x, \xi) \widehat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

where $\sigma^A(x, \xi)$ is a smooth function on $T^*U \cong U \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $T_x^*U = \mathbb{R}^n$, admitting an asymptotic expansion

$$\sigma^A(x, \xi) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{m-j}(x, \xi) \quad (3.12)$$

where $\sigma_{m-j}(x, \xi)$ are homogeneous functions in $\xi \neq 0$, of degree $m - j$ for ξ far from zero. The set of classical pseudo-differential operators of order m is denoted by $\Psi_{cl}^m(M)$.

Furthermore, we denote by $\Psi_+^\nu(M)$ the class of positive definite operators in $\Psi_{cl}^\nu(M)$, and by $\Psi_e^\nu(M)$ the class of elliptic operators in $\Psi_{cl}^\nu(M)$. Finally,

$$\Psi_{+e}^\nu(M) := \Psi_+^\nu(M) \cap \Psi_e^\nu(M)$$

will denote the class of classical positive elliptic pseudo-differential operators of order ν . We note that complex powers of such operators are well-defined, see e.g. Seeley [See67].

Corollary 3.12. *If M be a closed manifold of dimension n , and $E \in \Psi_{+e}^\nu(M)$. If $A = (I + E)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\nu}}$ with $\alpha > n$ then $A \in S_1(L^2(M))$ and*

$$\text{Det}(I + \lambda A) = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \lambda^m \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} d_j (1 + \lambda_j)^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\nu}} \right),$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|$ small enough and where λ_j denotes the eigenvalues of E with multiplicities d_j .

Proof. The fact that $A \in S_1(L^2(M))$ follows from (cf. [DR14b], Proposition 3.3). It is clear that A is invariant relative to E . Now, the eigenvalues of $(I + E)^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\nu}}$ are $(1 + \lambda_j)^{-\frac{\alpha m}{\nu}}$ with the same multiplicities d_j of E . The result now follows from Theorem 3.11. \square

3.5. Determinants on homogeneous vector bundles in $L^2(E)$. In this section, let us denote by E the total space of a vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow M$ over a manifold. For a fixed vector space V , $\{e_{i,V}\}_{1 \leq i \leq \dim(V)}$ denotes an orthonormal basis of V .

Let G be a compact Lie group, dx its Haar measure and \widehat{G} its unitary dual. In this section we study the determinant of G -invariant operators on a homogeneous vector bundle over a homogeneous manifold $M = G/K$ (where G is a Lie group and K is a closed subgroup of G).

The spectral analysis of G -invariant operators on a homogeneous vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow M$ (we will introduce it later) in $L^2(E)$ can be reduced to the spectral analysis of left-invariant operators on $L^2(G, E_0)$, (with $E_0 = p^{-1}(K)$). We will explain this connection in detail. If $K_A \in \mathcal{D}'(G, \text{End}(E_0))$, is the convolution kernel of a left-invariant operator A on $L^2(G, E_0)$, then

$$Af(x) = \int_G K_A(y^{-1}x)f(y)dy, \quad f \in L^2(G, E_0).$$

Let $B_{E_0} = \{e_{i,E_0}\}_{i=1}^{d_\tau}$, $d_\tau = \dim(E_0)$, be an orthonormal basis of E_0 . For every $f \in C^\infty(G, E_0)$, we can write: $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} f_i(x) e_{i,E_0}$, with $f_i(x) := (f(x), e_{i,E_0})_{E_0}$. The Peter-Weyl theorem implies

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \text{Tr}[\xi(x) \widehat{f}_i(\xi)] e_{i,E_0}. \quad (3.13)$$

Here $\widehat{f}_i(\xi)$ denotes the Fourier transform of f_i at ξ , which is defined by

$$\widehat{f}_i(\xi) \equiv (\mathcal{F}_G f_i)(\xi) := \int_G f_i(x) \xi(x)^* dx, \quad [\xi] \in \widehat{G}.$$

The quantisation process in [RT10] allows us to construct a matrix-symbol $\sigma_A : \{1, 2, \dots, d_\tau\}^2 \times \widehat{G} \rightarrow \cup_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \mathbb{C}^{d_\xi \times d_\xi}$, such that

$$Af(x) = \sum_{i,r=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \text{Tr}[\xi(x) \sigma_A(i, r, \xi) \widehat{f}_i(\xi)] e_{r,E_0}. \quad (3.14)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} Af(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \int_G K_A(y^{-1}x) f_i(y) dy = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \int_G d_\xi \text{Tr}[\xi(y) \widehat{f}_i(\xi)] K_A(y^{-1}x) e_{i,E_0} dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \int_G d_\xi \text{Tr}[\xi(y) \widehat{f}_i(\xi)] (K_A(y^{-1}x) e_{i,E_0}, e_{r,E_0})_{E_0} e_{r,E_0} dy. \end{aligned}$$

If A_{ir} is the operator with right-convolution kernel $K_A^{i,r}(z) := (K_A(z) e_{i,E_0}, e_{r,E_0})_{E_0} \in \mathcal{D}'(G)$, then

$$Af(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \int_G K_A^{i,r}(y^{-1}x) f_i(y) e_{r,E_0} dy = \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} A_{ir} f_i e_{r,E_0}.$$

Denoting

$$\sigma_A^{i,r} := \sigma_A(i, r, \cdot, \cdot) \equiv (\mathcal{F}_G K_A^{i,r}) : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \cup_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} \mathbb{C}^{d_\xi \times d_\xi},$$

the matrix-valued symbol of A_{ir} (see [RT10] for details), we have

$$A_{ir}f_i(x) = \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \text{Tr}[\xi(x)\sigma_A(i, r, \xi)\widehat{f}_i(\xi)],$$

from which we deduce (3.14). In the next lemma we study the composition of vector valued left-invariant operators.

Lemma 3.13. *If A and B are left-invariant operators and bounded on $L^2(G, E_0)$, then AB is also a left-invariant operator and bounded on $L^2(G, E_0)$ and its symbol is given by*

$$\sigma_{BA}(i, s, \xi) = \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \sigma_B(r, s, \xi)\sigma_A(i, r, \xi), \quad 1 \leq i, s \leq d_\tau. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Observe that

$$(B \circ A)f(x) := B[Af](x) = \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{s=1}^{d_\tau} B_{rs}(Af)_r(x)e_{s, E_0}.$$

Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned} (Af)_r(x) &:= \langle Af(x), e_{r, F_0} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{r'=1}^{d_\tau} A_{ir'}f_i(x)e_{r', F_0}, e_{r, F_0} \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} A_{ir}f_i(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B[Af](x) &= \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{s=1}^{d_\tau} B_{rs} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} A_{ir}f_i(x) \right] e_{s, E_0} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{s=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} B_{rs}A_{ir}f_i(x)e_{s, E_0} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{s=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \text{Tr}[\xi(x)\sigma_{B_{rs}A_{ir}}(\xi)\widehat{f}_i(\xi)]e_{s, E_0} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{i=1}^{d_\tau} \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}} d_\xi \text{Tr}[\xi(x) \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \sigma_{B_{rs}A_{ir}}(\xi)\widehat{f}_i(\xi)]e_{s, E_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of the symbol, we deduce that

$$\sigma_{BA}(i, s, \xi) = \sum_{r=1}^{d_\tau} \sigma_{B_{rs}A_{ir}}(\xi).$$

By observing that $\sigma_{B_{rs}A_{ir}}(\xi) = \sigma_B(r, s, \xi)\sigma_A(i, r, \xi)$, for all $1 \leq i, s \leq d_\tau$, we finish the proof. \square

By following [Bott65], we say that a vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow M$ is homogeneous, if the following two conditions are satisfied,

- (i) G acts from the left on E and for every $g \in G$, $g \cdot E_x = E_{g \cdot x}$, $x \in M$.
- (ii) For every $g \in G$, the induced map from E_g into $E_{g \cdot x}$, is linear.

As usual, a section s on E is defined by the identity $p \circ s = \text{id}_M$. The set of smooth sections on E will be denoted by $\Gamma(E)$. $L^2(E)$ denotes the set of square-integrable sections with respect to a normalised G -invariant volume form.

We record that a continuous linear operator $\tilde{A} : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is homogeneous, if it is invariant under the action of G on the space of section $\Gamma(E)$, that is,

$$\tilde{A}(g \cdot s) = g \cdot (\tilde{A}s), \quad s \in \Gamma(E). \quad (3.16)$$

In that follows, we will use a standard construction (see [Wall73]) that allows to identify the set of smooth sections on a homogeneous vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow M$ with the subclass of vector-valued smooth functions on $E_0 = p^{-1}(K)$, defined by

$$C^\infty(G, E_0)^\tau = \{f \in C^\infty(G, E_0) \mid \forall g \in G, k \in K, f(gk) = \tau(k)^{-1}f(g)\}, \quad (3.17)$$

for some representation τ of K . Under this identification, we will be able to study the determinant of an (homogeneous) invariant operator \tilde{A} , by using the notion of matrix-valued symbols developed in [RT10].

Example (Bott's construction). Let us consider the vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow M = G/K$, with $E = G \times_\tau E_0$, that is, the semi-direct product between G and E_0 with respect to $\tau : K \rightarrow \text{GL}(E_0)$, where E_0 is the representation space of K associated with τ . In view of the compactness of K , we can assume that $E_0 = \mathbb{C}^{d_\tau}$ is the complex vector space of finite dimension d_τ . We recall that the semi-direct product $G \times_\tau E_0$ is the set of all cosets $(g, v) \cdot K =: [g, v]$, $g \in G$, $v \in E_0$, defined by the right action of K on $G \times E_0$, $(g, v) \cdot k = (gk, \tau(k)^{-1}v)$. Consequently, $(g, v) \cdot K = \{(g, v) \cdot k : k \in K\}$. The projection $p : E \rightarrow M$, is given by $p((g, v) \cdot K) = gK$, and $p : E \rightarrow M = G/K$, has a natural structure of a homogeneous vector bundle.

Now, let us record that every homogeneous vector bundle can be constructed (up to isomorphism) in the way described in the previous example (see [Wall73]).

Theorem 3.14. *Let $p : E \rightarrow M = G/K$ be a homogeneous vector bundle. Let $E_0 = p^{-1}(K)$ be the fiber at the identity. Then, there exists a representation $\tau : K \rightarrow \text{GL}(E_0)$ of K , such that $E \cong G \times_\tau E_0$. Moreover, the mapping $\varkappa_\tau : \Gamma(E) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, E_0)^\tau$, given by*

$$\varkappa_\tau(s)(g) := g^{-1} \cdot s(gK),$$

extends to a unitary mapping $\varkappa_\tau : L^2(E) \rightarrow L^2(G, E_0)^\tau$.

From here, let us make the identification $E \cong G \times_\tau E_0$. Observe that a bounded linear operator $\tilde{A} : L^2(E) \rightarrow L^2(E)$ is unitarily equivalent to the bounded linear operator on $L^2(G, E_0)^\tau$ defined by

$$A := \varkappa_\tau \circ \tilde{A} \circ \varkappa_\tau^{-1}. \quad (3.18)$$

If \tilde{A} is G -invariant then A is left-invariant. Moreover, the stability of the spectrum under unitary transformations implies that $\tilde{A} \in S_1(L^2(E))$, if and only if, $A \in S_1(L^2(G, E_0)^\tau)$, and the analysis in [DR18] implies that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(A) = \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}, 1 \leq i \leq d_\tau} d_\xi \mathrm{Tr}(\sigma_A(i, i, \xi)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\tilde{A}). \quad (3.19)$$

For our further analysis we need to compute the symbol of an integer power A^m of a left-invariant operator A . It will be done in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2$. Then, the symbol σ_{A^m} of A^m is given by*

$$\sigma_{A^m}(r_m, r_0, \xi) = \sum_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{m-1}=1}^{d_\tau} \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_A(r_j, r_{j-1}, \xi), \quad 1 \leq r_m, r_0 \leq d_\tau. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. For the proof we will use induction on m . For $m = 2$, from (3.15) we have

$$\sigma_{A^2}(r_2, r_0, \xi) = \sum_{r_1=1}^{d_\tau} \sigma_A(r_1, r_0, \xi) \sigma_A(r_2, r_1, \xi), \quad 1 \leq r_2, r_0 \leq d_\tau.$$

Now, let us fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let us assume that (3.20) holds. From (3.15) one has for $1 \leq r_{m+1}, r_0 \leq d_\tau$ that,

$$\sigma_{A^{m+1}}(r_{m+1}, r_0, \xi) = \sum_{r_1=1}^{d_\tau} \sigma_A(r_1, r_0, \xi) \sigma_{A^m}(r_{m+1}, r_1, \xi).$$

In view of (3.15) we have

$$\sigma_{A^m}(r_{m+1}, r_1, \xi) = \sum_{r_2, r_3, \dots, r_m=1}^{d_\tau} \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_A(r_{j+1}, r_j, \xi),$$

and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{A^{m+1}}(r_{m+1}, r_0, \xi) &= \sum_{r_1=1}^{d_\tau} \sigma_A(r_1, r_0, \xi) \sum_{r_2, r_3, \dots, r_m=1}^{d_\tau} \prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_A(r_{j+1}, r_j, \xi) \\ &= \sum_{r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_m=1}^{d_\tau} \prod_{j=0}^m \sigma_A(r_{j+1}, r_j, \xi) \\ &= \sum_{r_1, r_2, r_3, \dots, r_m=1}^{d_\tau} \prod_{j=1}^{m+1} \sigma_A(r_j, r_{j-1}, \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we end the proof. \square

Now, we prove our determinant formula for G -invariant operators on homogeneous vector bundles.

Theorem 3.16. *Let \tilde{A} be a homogeneous and bounded operator on $L^2(E)$. Then, $\tilde{A} \in S_1(L^2(E))$ if and only if $A \in S_1(L^2(G, E_0)^\tau)$, $\text{Det}(I + \lambda\tilde{A}) = \text{Det}(I + \lambda A)$, and one has*

$$\text{Det}(I + \lambda\tilde{A}) = \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \lambda^m \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r_0 = r_m \leq d_\tau, [\xi] \in \widehat{G} \\ 1 \leq r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{m-1} \leq d_\tau}} d_\xi \text{Tr} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_A(r_j, r_{j-1}, \xi) \right) \right), \quad (3.21)$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\lambda|$ small enough.

Proof. Observe that \tilde{A} and A have the same system of eigenvalues because of the stability of the spectrum under unitary transformations. This proves the first part of the theorem. By following the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.11, for the proof of (3.21) we just need to compute $\text{Tr}(A^m)$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of (3.19) we have,

$$\text{Tr}(A^m) = \sum_{[\xi] \in \widehat{G}, 1 \leq r_m \leq d_\tau} d_\xi \text{Tr}(\sigma_{A^m}(r_m, r_m, \xi)). \quad (3.22)$$

But, by using Lemma 3.15 and the linearity of the trace we obtain

$$\text{Tr}(\sigma_{A^m}(r_m, r_m, \xi)) = \sum_{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{m-1}=1}^{d_\tau} \text{Tr} \left(\prod_{j=1}^m \sigma_A(r_j, r_{j-1}, \xi) \right),$$

where in the right hand side, $r_0 = r_m$, completing the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [BCR13] A. Borodin, I. Corwin, and D. Remenik. Log-gamma polymer free energy fluctuations via a Fredholm determinant identity. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 324(1):215–232, 2013.
- [BI14] T. Bothner and A. Its. Asymptotics of a Fredholm determinant corresponding to the first bulk critical universality class in random matrix models. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 328(1):155–202, 2014.
- [Bott65] R. Bott. The index theorem for homogeneous differential operators. *Differential and Combinatorial Topology. A Symposium in Honour of Marston Morse*, pages 167–186, 1965.
- [Bor10] F. Bornemann. On the numerical evaluation of Fredholm determinants. *Math. Comp.*, 79(270):871–915, 2010.
- [BR86] A. O. Barut and R. Raçzka. *Theory of group representations and applications*. World Scientific, Singapore, 1986.
- [Car16] T. Carleman. Über die Fourierkoeffizienten einer stetigen Funktion. *Acta Math.*, 41(1):377–384, 1916. Aus einem Brief an Herrn A. Wiman.
- [Conn84] A. Connes. *The action functional in noncommutative geometry*. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 117 (1988), no. 4, 673–683.
- [Conn94] A. Connes. *Noncommutative geometry*. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA (1994).
- [Del10a] J. Delgado. A trace formula for nuclear operators on L^p . In *Pseudo-differential operators: complex analysis and partial differential equations*, volume 205 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 181–193. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [Del10b] J. Delgado. The trace of nuclear operators on $L^p(\mu)$ for σ -finite Borel measures on second countable spaces. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 68(1):61–74, 2010.

- [DF93] A. Defant and K. Floret. *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, volume 176 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1993.
- [DR14a] J. Delgado and M. Ruzhansky. L^p -nuclearity, traces, and Grothendieck-Lidskii formula on compact Lie groups. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 102:153–172, 2014.
- [DR14b] J. Delgado and M. Ruzhansky. Schatten classes on compact manifolds: Kernel conditions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 267:772–798, 2014.
- [DR17] J. Delgado and M. Ruzhansky. Schatten classes and traces on compact groups. *Math. Res. Letters*, 24:979–1003, 2017.
- [DR18] J. Delgado and M. Ruzhansky. Fourier multipliers, symbols and nuclearity on compact manifolds. *J. Anal. Math.*, 135(2):757–800, 2018.
- [DW13] J. Delgado, J. M.W. Wong, *L_p -nuclear pseudo-differential operators on \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{S}^1* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 141 (11), 3935–3944 (2013).
- [Dix66] J. Dixmier, *Existence de traces non normales* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. Series B, 262: 1107A–1108A, (1966).
- [Dui11] J. J. Duistermaat. *Fourier integral operators*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2011. Reprint of the 1996 edition [MR1362544], based on the original lecture notes published in 1973 [MR0451313].
- [Enf73] P. Enflo. A counterexample to the approximation problem in Banach spaces. *Acta Math.*, 130:309–317, 1973.
- [FMO09] J. C. Ferreira, V. A. Menegatto, and C. P. Oliveira. On the nuclearity of integral operators. *Positivity.*, 13(3):519–541, 2009.
- [GGK00] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, and N. Krupnik. *Traces and determinants of linear operators*, volume 116 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000.
- [GK69] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn. *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*. Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.
- [GLZ08] F. Gesztesy, Y. Latushkin, and K. Zumbun. Derivatives of (modified) Fredholm determinants and stability of standing and traveling waves. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 90(2):160–200, 2008.
- [Gro55] A. Grothendieck. Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1955(16):140, 1955.
- [Hil86] G. Hill. On the part of the motion of lunar perigee which is a function of the mean motions of the sun and the moon. *Acta Math.*, 8:1–3, 1886.
- [Hör85] L. Hörmander. *The Analysis of the linear partial differential operators* Vol. III. Springer-Verlag, (1985).
- [Hor50] A. Horn. On the singular values of a product of completely continuous operators. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 36:374–375, 1950.
- [Lac15] F. Lachello. *Lie Algebras and Applications*, volume 891. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2015.
- [LT77] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. I*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin New York, 1977. Sequence spaces, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 92.
- [McK03] H. P. McKean. Fredholm determinants and the Camassa-Holm hierarchy. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 56(5):638–680, 2003.
- [MO12] V. A. Menegatto and C. P. Oliveira. Eigenvalue and singular value estimates for integral operators: a unifying approach. *Math. Nachr.*, 285(17–18):2222–2232, 2012.
- [Pie80] A. Pietsch. *Operator ideals*, volume 20 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1980. Translated from German by the author.
- [Pie87] A. Pietsch. *Eigenvalues and s -numbers*, volume 13 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [Poi86] H. Poincaré. Sur les déterminants d’ordre infini. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 14:77–90, 1886.

- [RT10] M. Ruzhansky and V. Turunen. *Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries. Background analysis and advanced topics*, volume 2 of *Pseudo-Differential Operators. Theory and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.
- [See65] R. T. Seeley. Integro-differential operators on vector bundles. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 117:167–204, 1965.
- [See67] R. T. Seeley. Complex powers of an elliptic operator. In *Singular Integrals (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Chicago, Ill., 1966)*, pages 288–307. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [See69] R. T. Seeley. Eigenfunction expansions of analytic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 21:734–738, 1969.
- [Shu01] M. A. Shubin. *Pseudo-differential operators and spectral theory*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2001. Translated from the 1978 Russian original by Stig I. Andersson.
- [Sug90] M. Sugiura. *Unitary Representations and Harmonic Analysis*, second edition, North-Holland Math. Library, vol. 44, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1990. An introduction.
- [Sim79] B. Simon. *Trace ideals and their applications*, volume 35 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
- [SkUs16] F. Sukochev, and A. Usachev. *Dixmier traces and non-commutative analysis*. J. Geom. Phys. 105, pp. 102–122, (2016).
- [Sza81] A. Szankowski. $B(H)$ does not have the approximation property. *Acta Math.*, 147(1-2):89–108, 1981.
- [Wall73] N. Wallach, Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 19. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973. xv+361 pp.
- [Wei66] J. Weidmann. Integraloperatoren der Spurklasse. *Math. Ann.*, 163:340–345, 1966.
- [ZB15] L. Zhao and A. Barnett. Robust and efficient solution of the drum problem via Nyström approximation of the Fredholm determinant. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 53(4):1984–2007, 2015.

GHENT UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, KRIJGSLAAN 281, BUILDING S8, B 9000 GHENT, BELGIUM

Email address: `duvan.cardonasanchez@ugent.be`

UNIVERSIDAD DEL VALLE DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, CALLE 13 100-00, CALI-COLOMBIA

Email address: `delgado.julio@correounivalle.edu.co`

GHENT UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, KRIJGSLAAN 281, BUILDING S8, B 9000 GHENT, BELGIUM

Email address: `Michael.Ruzhansky@ugent.be`

QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, MILE END ROAD, LONDON E1 4NS, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `m.ruzhansky@qmul.ac.uk`