

Neutrino masses and gravitational wave background

Takehiko Asaka¹ and Hisashi Okui²

¹*Department of Physics, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan*

²*Graduate School of Science and Technology, Niigata University, Niigata 950-2181, Japan*

(December 25, 2020)

Abstract

We consider the Standard Model with three right-handed neutrinos to generate tiny neutrino masses by the seesaw mechanism. Especially, we investigate the case when one right-handed neutrino has the suppressed Yukawa coupling constants. Such a particle has a long lifetime and can produce an additional entropy by the decay. It is then discussed the impact of the entropy production on the gravitational wave background originated in the primordial inflation. We show that the mass and the coupling constants of the long-lived right-handed neutrino can be probed by the distortion of the gravitational wave spectrum, leading to the information of the mass of the lightest active neutrino.

1 Introduction

Our understanding of neutrinos has been greatly improved from the end of the last century. Various oscillation experiments have provided the evidence of neutrino masses. The observational data are consistent with the flavor oscillations by three active neutrinos ν_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) with masses m_i . However, unknown properties of neutrinos still exist, including the absolute mass scales, the Dirac or Majorana nature, and the CP violating phases. As for the neutrino masses, there are two possible mass orderings. One is the normal ordering (NO) with $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.42_{-0.20}^{+0.21} \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.517_{-0.028}^{+0.026} \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$, and the other is the inverted ordering with $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 7.42_{-0.20}^{+0.21} \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ and $\Delta m_{32}^2 = -2.498_{-0.028}^{+0.028} \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ [1], which shows that the absolute values of neutrino masses or the mass of the lightest active neutrino, denoted by m_0 , is undetermined so far. Note that $m_0 = m_1$ or m_3 for the NO or IO case. The sum of neutrino masses is $\sum m_i < 0.12 \text{ eV}$ from the cosmological constraints [2] (see also Ref. [3]), which leads to $m_0 < 0.030 \text{ eV}$ or 0.016 eV for the NO or IO case, respectively.

Furthermore, the mechanism for generating the non-zero neutrino masses is unknown yet. One of the most attractive ways to explain the tiny neutrino masses is the seesaw mechanism by right-handed neutrinos [4–10]. Here we consider the case where the number of right-handed neutrinos is three.^{#1} In this case the possible region of m_0 is below the above bound since there is no reason to select a specific value of m_0 .^{#2} Especially, when $m_0 \ll \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) \text{ eV}$, the determination of m_0 by neutrino experiments becomes very hard.

In such a situation one of three right-handed neutrino, say N_S , can have the Yukawa interactions with very suppressed couplings and become a very long-lived particle, and then an additional entropy can be produced by the N_S decays and the universe is reheated again at late epoch after the reheating of the primordial inflation. (See, for example, Refs. [11, 12].) This entropy production dilutes the pre-existing dark matter, baryon asymmetry, and dangerous long-lived particles in cosmology.

In addition, it modifies the thermal history of the universe and the spectrum shape of the primordial gravitational wave (GW) background, which is a good target for the future observations. This issue has been investigated in Refs. [13–24]. It has been shown that the entropy production leads to the suppression of the GW spectrum at high frequencies, from which the reheating temperature T_R of the entropy production and the rate ΔS between the entropy before and after the decay can be probed by the distortion signature of the GW spectrum.

In this paper we discuss the entropy production by the decays of right-handed neutrino N_S in the seesaw mechanism. Especially, we consider the case when the mass of N_S is heavier than $\mathcal{O}(1) \text{ TeV}$ and $m_0 < \mathcal{O}(10^{-7}) \text{ eV}$, and then discuss the impacts on the primordial GW background spectrum. It is then shown that the mass and Yukawa coupling of N_S can be examined by the GW spectrum shape, which results in the determination of m_0 . Remarkably, the suppression rate of the spectrum is directly related to m_0 .

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we explain the framework of the analysis and

^{#1}The mass of the lightest active neutrino is $m_0 = 0$ for the case with two right-handed neutrinos.

^{#2}There are, of course, possibilities to determine the scale of m_0 by introducing an additional mechanism to the theory such as the flavor symmetry.

demonstrate how the decays of right-handed neutrino lead to the late time production of an additional entropy. In section 3, we present the spectrum distortion of the primordial GW background by the entropy production and show what can we learn from it. The final section is devoted to the conclusions.

2 Seesaw mechanism and entropy production

We consider the Standard Model which is extended by three right-handed neutrinos ν_{RI} ($I = 1, 2, 3$) with Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{SM}} + i\bar{\nu}_{RI}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\nu_{RI} - \left(F_{\alpha I}\bar{L}_\alpha H\nu_{RI} + \frac{M_I}{2}\bar{\nu}_{RI}^c\nu_{RI} + h.c. \right),$$

where the Higgs and left-handed lepton doublets are denoted by H and L_α ($\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$), respectively. F is the Yukawa coupling matrix and M_I are the Majorana masses of right-handed neutrinos. Note that we take the basis in which the mass matrices for charged leptons and right-handed neutrinos are diagonal.

We assume the hierarchy between the Dirac masses $|[M_D]_{\alpha I}| = |F_{\alpha I}\langle H \rangle|$ and M_I for the seesaw mechanism. The mass matrix of active neutrinos ν_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) is given by

$$[M_\nu]_{\alpha\beta} = -[M_D]_{\alpha I}[M_D]_{\beta I}M_I^{-1}, \quad (1)$$

and the diagonalization of M_ν gives the neutrino mixing matrix U , called PMNS matrix, as $U^\dagger M_\nu U^* = D_\nu = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$ where m_i is the mass for ν_i . On the other hand, the heavier states $N_I \simeq \nu_{RI}$, called as heavy neutral leptons (HNLs), have the mixing with left-handed leptons as $\nu_{L\alpha} = U_{\alpha i}\nu_i + \Theta_{\alpha I}N_I^c$ where $\Theta_{\alpha I} = [M_D]_{\alpha I}/M_I$. Their mass matrix is $D_N = \text{diag}(M_1, M_2, M_3)$. The Yukawa coupling matrix can be parameterized as [25]

$$F = \frac{i}{\langle H \rangle} U D_\nu^{1/2} \Omega D_N^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

where Ω is the 3×3 complex orthogonal matrix ($\Omega\Omega^T = 1$).

In this analysis we consider the case when the lightest active neutrino is much lighter than other active neutrinos:

$$m_3 > m_2 \gg m_1 = m_0 \text{ for the NO case, } m_2 > m_1 \gg m_3 = m_0 \text{ for the IO case.} \quad (3)$$

In addition, one of HNLs denoted by N_S ($I = S$) is assumed to have the very suppressed Yukawa coupling constants and we take $\Omega_{1S} \simeq 1$ and $\Omega_{iS} \simeq 0$ ($i = 2, 3$) for the NO case and $\Omega_{3S} \simeq 1$ and $\Omega_{iS} \simeq 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) for the IO case, respectively. In this case we obtain

$$F_S^2 \equiv (F^\dagger F)_{SS} \simeq \frac{M_S m_0}{\langle H \rangle^2}, \quad (4)$$

and the Yukawa interaction of N_S becomes very suppressed as m_0 becomes very small.




Figure 1: The reheating temperature T_R (left) and the entropy production rate ΔS (right) by the N_S decay in terms of the lightest active neutrino mass m_0 .

When the mass of N_S is larger than the Higgs boson mass, it mainly decays into pairs of Higgs and lepton and the lifetime is estimated as

$$\tau_{N_S} = \frac{8\pi}{F_S^2 M_S} \simeq \frac{8\pi \langle H \rangle^2}{m_0 M_S^2} \simeq 5.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ sec} \left(\frac{10^{-9} \text{ eV}}{m_0} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ TeV}}{M_S} \right)^2. \quad (5)$$

It is seen that the lifetime is rather long if the mass and Yukawa coupling constants of N_S are both sufficiently small. Interestingly, such a long-lived N_S can dominate the energy of the universe and release an additional entropy by its decay. Note that the N_S decay becomes out of equilibrium if the Yukawa coupling constant is small as

$$F_S^2 \lesssim \frac{M_S}{M_P}, \quad (6)$$

where M_P is the (reduced) Planck mass, which corresponds to extremely small $m_0 < \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$ eV. If this is the case, an additional entropy of the universe is produced and its reheating temperature is given by

$$T_R \sim 1 \text{ GeV} \left(\frac{m_0}{10^{-10} \text{ eV}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{M_S}{1 \text{ TeV}} \right). \quad (7)$$

See Fig. 1. Note that the reheating temperature is bounded from below by the cosmological constraints (see, for example the recent analysis in Ref. [26] and references therein).

The entropy production rate ΔS is defined by the ratio between the entropy densities with or without the N_S decay. We estimate ΔS numerically (see, for example, Ref. [27]) and the result is also shown in Fig. 1. It is seen that ΔS is roughly given by

$$\Delta S \sim 10 \left(\frac{10^{-10} \text{ eV}}{m_0} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (8)$$

which is almost independent on M_S . In this estimation we have not specified the production mechanism of N_S which may be related to the inflation dynamics, but assumed the thermal abundance. We find that, when the lightest active neutrino mass becomes smaller than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ eV, the additional entropy can be produced by the N_S decay. It should be noted that the cosmological lower bound on T_R gives the upper bound on ΔS .

3 Gravitational wave background and neutrino masses

Now let us discuss the impacts of the entropy production on the primordial GW background. First, we briefly summarize the spectrum of the GWs. The energy density of the GWs is given by [28]

$$\rho_{\text{GW}} = \frac{1}{32\pi G} \langle (\dot{h}_{ij})^2 \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where h_{ij} is the tensor metric perturbation which satisfies the transverse-traceless condition $\partial^i h_{ij} = h^i{}_i = 0$, and the bracket indicates the spacial average. The GW spectrum is expressed as

$$\Omega_{\text{GW}}(k) \equiv \frac{1}{\rho_{\text{cr}}} \frac{d\rho_{\text{GW}}}{d\ln k} = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{k}{aH} \right)^2 \mathcal{P}_T(k), \quad (10)$$

where ρ_{cr} is the critical density and $\mathcal{P}_T(k)$ is the tensor power spectrum expressed as

$$\mathcal{P}_T(k) = T_T^2(k) \mathcal{P}_T^{\text{prim}}(k), \quad (11)$$

where $T_T^2(k)$ denotes the transfer function and we use here the results in Ref. [20]. The primordial tensor power spectrum is parameterized as

$$\mathcal{P}_T^{\text{prim}}(k) = A_T(k_*) \left(\frac{k}{k_*} \right)^{n_T}, \quad (12)$$

where $A_T(k_*)$ and n_T are the amplitude and the spectrum index at $k = k_* = 0.05 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. The amplitude is given by $A_T(k_*) = r \mathcal{P}_S^{\text{prim}}(k_*)$ where the power spectrum of the scalar perturbation is measured precisely as $\mathcal{P}_S^{\text{prim}}(k_*) = 2.0989 \times 10^{-9}$ and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r is bounded as $r < 0.063$ [29].

The thermal history of the universe is encoded in the transfer function. When the entropy production at late time occurs by the N_S decay, the energy starts to be dominated by N_S at some moment and the matter dominated universe is realized after the reheating of the primordial inflation, and then its decay into radiations leads to the reheating again. Consequently, the GW spectrum is suppressed at frequencies higher than f_R compared with the case without the entropy production [13].

In Fig. 2 we show the spectrum Ω_{GW} for the case when $M_S = 10 \text{ TeV}$ and $m_0 = 10^{-14}, 10^{-12}$ and 10^{-10} eV .^{#3} Here we take $r = 0.06$, $T_{RI} = 10^5 \text{ TeV}$ (the reheating temperature of the primordial inflation),

^{#3}To make a precise estimation of the GW spectrum we have to take into account the damping effect to the GW spectrum due to the free-streaming of N_S , which we have neglected in this analysis since it is expected to be small. Our final results do not change much by this effect.




Figure 2: Spectra of the primordial GW background Ω_{GW} for the case when $M_S = 10$ TeV and $m_0 = 10^{-14}$, 10^{-12} and 10^{-10} eV by black-solid lines. We also show the spectrum without the entropy production by black-dashed line. We take $r = 0.06$, $T_{RI} = 10^5$ TeV, and $n_T = 0$ (left) and 0.5 (right). The shaded regions are excluded from BBN [31], LIGO-Virgo [32] and NANOGrav [33]. The dotted lines show the sensitivities by the GW observations (see the details in the text).

and $n_T = 0$ and 0.5 . For reference we also present the result without the entropy production. It is seen that Ω_{GW} is suppressed for the higher frequencies $f \gtrsim f_R$, where the critical frequency is given by

$$f_R \sim 10^{-11} \text{ Hz} \left(\frac{T_R}{10 \text{ MeV}} \right). \quad (13)$$

Note that f_R is sensitive to T_R , and hence to m_0 and M_S as shown in Eq. (7). On the other hand, the magnitude of the spectrum suppression is expressed as

$$\Delta\Omega_{GW} = \frac{\Omega_{GW}|_{\text{wEP}}}{\Omega_{GW}|_{\text{woEP}}} \quad (14)$$

where $\Omega_{GW}|_{\text{wEP}}$ and $\Omega_{GW}|_{\text{woEP}}$ are the GW spectrum for $f \gg f_R$ with and without the entropy production, respectively. This suppression factor has been estimated as [13]

$$\Delta\Omega_{GW} \simeq \frac{1}{\Delta S^{4/3}}. \quad (15)$$

It is then found from Eq. (8) that $\Delta\Omega_{GW}$ gives the information of m_0 .

In Fig. 2 we also show the upper bounds on Ω_{GW} from BBN [30,31], LIGO-Virgo [32] and NANOGrav [33]. In addition, we show the sensitivities by the future GW observations: SKA [34], LISA [35], ET [36], BBO [37], (B-)DECIGO [38, 39] and Ultimate-DECIGO [40]. It is found that, when the mass of N_S is $\mathcal{O}(10)$ TeV and n_T is a relatively large value,^{#4} the predicted f_R can be probed by the pulsar time array observations for

^{#4}The possible models realizing such a large value of n_T have been proposed in the context of modified gravity and non-standard inflation models. See, for example, Ref. [24] and references therein.




Figure 3: Spectra of the primordial GW background Ω_{GW} for the case when $m_0 = 10^{-12}$ eV and $M_S = 10^3, 10^6, 10^9$ TeV by black-solid lines. We also show the spectrum without the entropy production by black-dashed line. We take $r = 0.06$, $T_{RI} = 10^{12}$ TeV, and $n_T = 0$ (left) and 0.5 (right). See also the caption in Fig. 2.

$m_0 = \mathcal{O}(10^{-14})$ – $\mathcal{O}(10^{-10})$ eV, and $\Delta\Omega_{GW}$ can be probed by the GW interferometers. On the other hand, we show in Fig. 3 the GW spectrum Ω_{GW} with $M_S = 10^3, 10^6$ and 10^9 TeV by taking $m_0 = 10^{-12}$ eV. It is found that the effect by N_S with masses $M_S > \mathcal{O}(10^6)$ TeV can be probed by the future GW observations if n_T is a relatively large.

As shown above, the distortion of the GW spectrum due to the entropy production by N_S can be probed by the future observations. Importantly, we can reconstruct the masses of the lightest active neutrino m_0 and the right-handed neutrino N_S if f_R and $\Delta\Omega_{GW}$ will be provided by the observations. It should be noted that the Yukawa coupling F_S can be determined from m_0 and M_S as shown in Eq. (4). This point is represented in Fig. 4, where we present the indicated values of m_0 and M_S for given f_R and $\Delta\Omega_{GW}$. The result for the range $M_S = 1$ TeV to 10^{12} TeV is shown. We find that the mass of the lightest active neutrino with $m_0 < \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ eV can be probed which is very difficult to examine by the neutrino experiments.

Before closing this section, we mention the mass range of the right-handed neutrino N_S . We have considered the case when $M_S > \mathcal{O}(1)$ TeV so far. The extension to the lighter mass region can be done in a straightforward way by taking into account the appropriate decay modes of N_S . This issue will be discussed elsewhere [41].




Figure 4: The indicated values of the masses of the lightest active neutrino m_0 (red-solid lines) and the right-handed neutrino M_S (blue-dashed lines) in terms of the critical frequency f_R and the suppression factor $\Delta\Omega_{GW}$. Gray-shaded regions are excluded by the BBN bounds ($T_R \geq 1$ and 5 MeV).

4 Conclusions

We have considered the Standard Model with three right-handed neutrinos which realizes the seesaw mechanism for the observed tiny neutrino masses. Especially, we have investigated the case that one of three right-handed neutrinos, N_S , have very suppressed Yukawa coupling F_S , and the lightest neutrino mass m_0 becomes smaller than $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ eV. In this case the late-time entropy production occurs by the N_S decay and can modify the spectrum of the primordial gravitational wave background significantly. The spectrum can be suppressed for the frequencies $f > f_R$ by the factor $\Delta\Omega_{GW}$. We have shown that the observational data of f_R and $\Delta\Omega_{GW}$ determines both the mass of the lightest active neutrino m_0 and the N_S mass M_S , which leads to the determination of the Yukawa coupling F_S . It has been found that the very small value of $m_0 < \mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ eV, which is very difficult to test by the neutrino experiments, can be probed by the GW spectrum shape by the future gravitational wave detection projects and the pulsar timing arrays.

Acknowledgments

The work of T.A. was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 17K05410, 18H03708, 19H05097, and 20H01898. This work of H.O. was partially supported by the Sasakawa Scientific Research Grant from The Japan Science Society (Grant Number 2019-2022).

References

- [1] I. Esteban, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni, T. Schwetz and A. Zhou, JHEP **09** (2020), 178 doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2020)178 [arXiv:2007.14792 [hep-ph]]; NuFIT 5.0 (2020), www.nu-fit.org.
- [2] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck], Astron. Astrophys. **641** (2020), A6 doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833910 [arXiv:1807.06209 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [3] S. Vagnozzi, E. Giusarma, O. Mena, K. Freese, M. Gerbino, S. Ho and M. Lattanzi, Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.12, 123503 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.123503 [arXiv:1701.08172 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [4] P. Minkowski, Phys. Lett. B **67**, 421 (1977).
- [5] T. Yanagida, in Proceedings of the Workshop on Unified Theory and Baryon Number of the Universe, edited by O. Sawada and A. Sugamoto (KEK, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801 Japan, 1979) p. 95.
- [6] T. Yanagida, Prog. Theor. Phys. **64**, 1103 (1980).
- [7] P. Ramond, in *Talk given at the Sanibel Symposium*, Palm Coast, Fla., Feb. 25-Mar. 2, 1979, preprint CALT-68-709 (retroprinted as hep-ph/9809459).
- [8] M. Gell-Mann, P. Ramond, and R. Slansky, in Supergravity, edited by P. van Nieuwenhuizen and D. Freedman (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1979) [arXiv:1306.4669 [hep-th]].
- [9] S. L. Glashow, in *Proc. of the Cargèse Summer Institute on Quarks and Leptons*, Cargèse, July 9-29, 1979, eds. M. Lévy *et al.*, (Plenum, 1980, New York), p707.
- [10] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44** (1980) 912.
- [11] T. Asaka, M. Shaposhnikov and A. Kusenko, Phys. Lett. B **638** (2006), 401-406 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2006.05.067 [arXiv:hep-ph/0602150 [hep-ph]].
- [12] J. Ghiglieri and M. Laine, JHEP **07** (2019), 078 doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2019)078 [arXiv:1905.08814 [hep-ph]].
- [13] N. Seto and J. Yokoyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. **72** (2003), 3082-3086 doi:10.1143/JPSJ.72.3082 [arXiv:gr-qc/0305096 [gr-qc]].

- [14] K. Nakayama, S. Saito, Y. Suwa and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **77** (2008), 124001 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.77.124001 [arXiv:0802.2452 [hep-ph]].
- [15] K. Nakayama, S. Saito, Y. Suwa and J. Yokoyama, JCAP **06** (2008), 020 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2008/06/020 [arXiv:0804.1827 [astro-ph]].
- [16] S. Kuroyanagi, K. Nakayama and S. Saito, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011), 123513 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.123513 [arXiv:1110.4169 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [17] W. Buchmüller, V. Domcke, K. Kamada and K. Schmitz, JCAP **10** (2013), 003 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2013/10/003 [arXiv:1305.3392 [hep-ph]].
- [18] R. Jinno, T. Moroi and K. Nakayama, JCAP **01** (2014), 040 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/01/040 [arXiv:1307.3010 [hep-ph]].
- [19] R. Jinno, T. Moroi and T. Takahashi, JCAP **12** (2014), 006 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/12/006 [arXiv:1406.1666 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [20] S. Kuroyanagi, T. Takahashi and S. Yokoyama, “Blue-tilted Tensor Spectrum and Thermal History of the Universe,” JCAP **02** (2015), 003 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/02/003 [arXiv:1407.4785 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [21] S. Kuroyanagi, K. Nakayama and J. Yokoyama, PTEP **2015** (2015) no.1, 013E02 doi:10.1093/ptep/ptu176 [arXiv:1410.6618 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [22] F. D’Eramo and K. Schmitz, Phys. Rev. Research. **1** (2019), 013010 doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.1.013010 [arXiv:1904.07870 [hep-ph]].
- [23] S. Blasi, V. Brdar and K. Schmitz, Phys. Rev. Res. **2** (2020) no.4, 043321 doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043321 [arXiv:2004.02889 [hep-ph]].
- [24] S. Kuroyanagi, T. Takahashi and S. Yokoyama, [arXiv:2011.03323 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [25] J. A. Casas and A. Ibarra, Nucl. Phys. B **618** (2001), 171-204 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(01)00475-8 [arXiv:hep-ph/0103065 [hep-ph]].
- [26] T. Hasegawa, N. Hiroshima, K. Kohri, R. S. L. Hansen, T. Tram and S. Hannestad, JCAP12(2019)012 doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2019/12/012 [arXiv:1908.10189 [hep-ph]].
- [27] E. W. Kolb, and M. S. Turner, "*The Early Universe*", Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, The Advanced Book Program, Redwood City (1990) .
- [28] M. Maggiore, “Gravitational Waves. Vol. 1: Theory and Experiments,” Oxford University Press, Oxford U.K. (2007).

- [29] Y. Akrami *et al.* [Planck], *Astron. Astrophys.* **641** (2020), A10 doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201833887 [arXiv:1807.06211 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [30] L. A. Boyle and A. Buonanno, *Phys. Rev. D* **78** (2008), 043531 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.043531 [arXiv:0708.2279 [astro-ph]].
- [31] G. Calcagni and S. Kuroyanagi, [arXiv:2012.00170 [gr-qc]].
- [32] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) no.6, 061101 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.061101 [arXiv:1903.02886 [gr-qc]].
- [33] Z. Arzoumanian *et al.* [NANOGRAV], *Astrophys. J.* **859** (2018) no.1, 47 doi:10.3847/1538-4357/aabd3b [arXiv:1801.02617 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [34] G. Janssen, G. Hobbs, M. McLaughlin, C. Bassa, A. T. Deller, M. Kramer, K. Lee, C. Mingarelli, P. Rosado and S. Sanidas, *et al.* *PoS AASKA14* (2015), 037 doi:10.22323/1.215.0037 [arXiv:1501.00127 [astro-ph.IM]].
- [35] P. Amaro-Seoane *et al.* [LISA], [arXiv:1702.00786 [astro-ph.IM]].
- [36] B. Sathyaprakash, M. Abernathy, F. Acernese, P. Ajith, B. Allen, P. Amaro-Seoane, N. Andersson, S. Aoudia, K. Arun and P. Astone, *et al.* *Class. Quant. Grav.* **29** (2012), 124013 [erratum: *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30** (2013), 079501] doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/12/124013 [arXiv:1206.0331 [gr-qc]].
- [37] J. Crowder and N. J. Cornish, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005), 083005 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.72.083005 [arXiv:gr-qc/0506015 [gr-qc]].
- [38] N. Seto, S. Kawamura and T. Nakamura, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001), 221103 doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.221103 [arXiv:astro-ph/0108011 [astro-ph]].
- [39] S. Sato, S. Kawamura, M. Ando, T. Nakamura, K. Tsubono, A. Araya, I. Funaki, K. Ioka, N. Kanda and S. Moriwaki, *et al.* *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **840** (2017) no.1, 012010 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/840/1/012010.
- [40] H. Kudoh, A. Taruya, T. Hiramatsu and Y. Himemoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **73** (2006), 064006 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.73.064006 [arXiv:gr-qc/0511145 [gr-qc]].
- [41] T. Asaka and H. Okui, in preparation.