

SCHATTEN CLASS HANKEL OPERATORS ON THE SEGAL-BARGMANN SPACE AND THE BERGER-COBURN PHENOMENON

ZHANGJIAN HU AND JANI A. VIRTANEN

ABSTRACT. We characterize Schatten p -class Hankel operators H_f on the Segal-Bargmann space when $0 < p < \infty$ in terms of our recently introduced notion of integral distance to analytic functions in \mathbb{C}^n . Our work completes the study inspired by a theorem of Berger and Coburn on compactness of Hankel operators and subsequently initiated twenty years ago by Xia and Zheng, who obtained a characterization of the simultaneous membership of H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ in Schatten classes S_p when $1 \leq p < \infty$ in terms of the standard deviation of f . As an application, we give a positive answer to their question of whether $H_f \in S_p$ implies $H_{\bar{f}} \in S_p$ when $f \in L^\infty$ and $1 < p < \infty$, which was previously solved for $p = 2$ and $n = 1$ by Xia and Zheng and for $p = 2$ in any dimension by Bauer in 2004. In addition, we prove our results in the context of weighted Segal-Bargmann spaces, which include the standard and Fock-Sobolev weights.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. History of the problem. For a bounded linear operator $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ between two Hilbert spaces, the singular values $s_j(T)$ of T are defined by

$$(1.1) \quad s_j(T) = \inf\{\|T - K\| : K : H_1 \rightarrow H_2, \text{rank } K \leq j\},$$

where $\text{rank } K$ denotes the rank of K . The operator T is compact if and only if $s_j(T) \rightarrow 0$. For $0 < p < \infty$, we say that T is in the Schatten class S_p and write $T \in S_p(H_1, H_2)$ if

$$(1.2) \quad \|T\|_{S_p}^p = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (s_j(T))^p < \infty,$$

which defines a norm when $1 \leq p < \infty$ and a quasinorm otherwise. Note that S_p are also called the Schatten-von Neumann classes or trace ideals. For further details, see e.g., [11, 25, 32].

It is a classical result of Peller dating back to 1979 and 1980 that, for $0 < p < \infty$, the Hankel matrix $(f_{j+k})_{j,k \geq 0}$ is in the Schatten class

S_p if and only if the analytic function

$$f(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} f_j z^j \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

belongs to the Besov space $B_p^{1/p}$. See Peller [25] for his original proofs and additional approaches of other authors to treat this problem. Let P be the orthogonal projection of L^2 onto the Hardy space $H^2 = \{f \in L^2 : f_k = 0 \text{ for } k < 0\}$ of the unit circle \mathbb{T} , where f_k is the k th Fourier coefficient of the function $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For $f \in L^\infty$, define the Hankel operator $H_f : H^2 \rightarrow L^2$ with symbol f by

$$H_f g = (I - P)(fg).$$

As a consequence of Peller's result, one can show that the Hankel operator H_f with $f \in \text{BMO}$ is in S_p if and only if

$$(I - P)f \in B_p^{1/p}.$$

Here BMO stands for the functions of bounded mean oscillation.

The first results on Schatten class Hankel operators on Bergman spaces A^p parallel Peller's results. Indeed, in 1988, Arazy, Fisher and Peetre [2] proved that for f analytic in the unit disk \mathbb{D} and $1 < p < \infty$, $H_{\bar{f}} \in S_p$ if and only if $f \in B_p$. Further, notice that for $0 < p \leq 1$, $H_{\bar{f}} \in S_p$ only if f is constant. For general symbols $f \in L^2$ and $p \geq 2$, Zhu [31] characterized the simultaneous membership of H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ in S_p in terms the mean oscillation $MO(f)$ of f . The case $1 < p < 2$ was treated in Xia [29] while for $p = 1$, Zhu's condition is no longer necessary.

Most importantly, in 1992, Luecking [22] gave a characterization for H_f to be in S_p when $1 \leq p < \infty$, and further indicated that his proofs can be extended to handle any strongly pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Luecking's work and in particular the concept that he referred to as the "bounded distance to analytic functions" are of fundamental importance to our characterizations (see also [21] for strongly pseudoconvex domains).

In 2016, Pau characterized the simultaneous membership in S_p of H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ on weighted Bergman spaces of the unit ball. Most recently, Fang and Xia [12] characterized the membership in certain norm ideals (which contain S_p) of H_f acting on weighted Bergman spaces.

In this paper we are concerned with Hankel operators H_f on the Segal-Bargmann space F^2 of Gaussian square-integrable entire functions on \mathbb{C}^n and on their generalizations defined by

$$(1.3) \quad F^2(\varphi) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \text{ is entire and } \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f|^2 e^{-2\varphi} dv < \infty \right\},$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable weight and dv is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n . Notice that the radial weight $\varphi(z) = |z|^2/4$ gives the Segal-Bargmann space F^2 . Often the spaces $F^2(\varphi)$ are also called Fock or Bargmann-Fock spaces.

While some of the aspects of the theory of Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space are different from the other two function spaces discussed above, there are also many similarities, such as the role of BMO-type spaces and their decompositions, which we use to closely track the results for the Bergman space.

To place the results on the Schatten class membership in the right context, we recall the relevant results on compactness first. Indeed, in 1987, motivated by the study of Berezin-Toeplitz quantizations, Berger and Coburn [6] characterized the compactness of Hankel operators on F^2 with bounded symbols. This was followed by work of Bauer [4], who showed that H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ are simultaneously compact with more general symbols (not necessarily bounded) if and only if $SD(f \circ \tau_\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$, where $\tau_\lambda(z) = z + \lambda$ is the translation and $SD(g)$ is the standard deviation of g defined by

$$(SD(g))^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left| g - \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g dv \right|^2 dv = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |g|^2 dv - \left| \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g dv \right|^2.$$

It may then seem plausible to expect that the simultaneous Schatten class membership of H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ could be characterized by an L^p condition involving the standard deviation at least for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Indeed, Xia and Zheng [30] proved for such p that H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ are simultaneously in S_p if and only if the function $\lambda \mapsto SD(f \circ \tau_\lambda)$ is in L^p . It was shown in [18] that an analogous statement remains true for $0 < p < 1$. However, unlike for Hankel operators acting on the Hardy space H^2 or the Bergman space A^2 , the problem of characterizing the Schatten class membership of single Hankel operators H_f on F^2 remained open.

We also mention that in 2013 Seip and Youssfi [27] obtained characterizations of Hankel operators $H_{\bar{f}}$ in $S_p(F^2(\Psi), L^2(\Psi))$ for $p \geq 2$ when f is entire on \mathbb{C}^n and the weight Ψ belongs to a class of certain radial logarithmic growth functions, which includes the standard weights.

In addition, inspired by a theorem of Berger and Coburn [6] which states that H_f with a bounded symbol is compact if and only if $H_{\bar{f}}$

compact, Xia and Zheng [30] also considered an analogous question of whether $H_f \in S_p$ implies $H_{\bar{f}} \in S_p$. We refer to this as the Berger-Coburn phenomenon. According to “Note added January 31, 2003” in [30], the first version of the paper in 2000 contained the special case $p = 2$ and $n = 1$, which was subsequently removed due to preprints of Bauer [5] and Stroethoff that contained all dimensions n . As for the remaining cases $p \neq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, Xia and Zheng stated that these “appear to be rather challenging.”

1.2. Main results. In what follows, we give a complete characterization of the membership of H_f in S_p for any $0 < p < \infty$ in terms the space IDA^p (see Definition 1.1 below) and as a consequence show that when $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$, H_f is in S_p if and only if $H_{\bar{f}}$ is in S_p . This answers the question of Xia and Zheng above when $p \neq 1$.

Our results hold true for Hankel operators acting on the generalized Segal-Bargmann spaces $F^2(\varphi)$, defined in (1.3), where the weight $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is real valued and satisfies the property that there are two positive constants m and M such that

$$(1.4) \quad m\omega_0 \leq i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \leq M\omega_0$$

in the sense of currents, where $\omega_0 = i\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2$ is the Euclidean-Kähler form on \mathbb{C}^n . The expression (1.4) is also denoted by $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$ and it simplifies to the form $m \leq \Delta\varphi \leq M$, where $\Delta\varphi$ is the Laplacian of φ , when $n = 1$. Notice that the so-called standard weights $\varphi(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2$ with $\alpha > 0$ (see, e.g., [33]) satisfy (1.4). Further, each Fock-Sobolev space $F^{2,m}$ consisting of entire functions f for which $\partial^\alpha f \in F^2$ for all multi-indices $|\alpha| \leq m$ (see [8]) can also be viewed as a generalized Segal-Bargmann space.

We denote by P the orthogonal (Bergman) projection of $L^2(\varphi)$ onto $F^2(\varphi)$. Let

$$\Gamma = \text{span}\{K_z : z \in \mathbb{C}^n\},$$

where K_z is the reproducing kernel of $F^2(\varphi)$ (see Section 2.1), and define a symbol class \mathcal{S} by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{f \text{ measurable on } \mathbb{C}^n : fg \in L^1(\varphi) \text{ for } g \in \Gamma\}.$$

Given $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $g \in \Gamma$, the Hankel operator $H_f(g) = (I - P)(fg)$ is well defined, and since Γ is dense in $F^2(\varphi)$, it follows that H_f is densely defined on $F^2(\varphi)$. Notice that clearly $L^\infty \subset \mathcal{S}$.

To state our main results, we define

$$(1.5) \quad G_r(f)(z) = \inf_{h \in H(B(z,r))} \left(\frac{1}{|B(z,r)|} \int_{B(z,r)} |f - h|^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}^n)$$

for $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ (the set of all locally square integrable functions on \mathbb{C}^n), where $H(B(z, r))$ is the set of all holomorphic functions on $B(z, r) = \{w \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z - w| < r\}$ and $|B(z, r)| = \int_{B(z, r)} dv$. The following spaces IDA^s (and their generalizations $\text{IDA}^{s,p}$ with the convention that $\text{IDA}^s = \text{IDA}^{s,2}$) were introduced in [15]

Definition 1.1. For $0 < s \leq \infty$, the space IDA^s , *Integral Distance to Analytic Functions*, consists of all $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ such that

$$\|f\|_{\text{IDA}^s} = \|G_r(f)\|_{L^s} < \infty$$

for some $r > 0$. We write BDA for IDA^∞ . The space VDA consists of all $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ such that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} G_r(f)(z) = 0$$

for some $r > 0$.

The notion of bounded distance to analytic functions (BDA) was introduced by Luecking [22] in the context of the Bergman space.

We can now state our main result on the Schatten class membership of Hankel operators.

Theorem 1.2. Let $0 < p < \infty$ and suppose that $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is real valued with $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (A) $H_f : F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)$ is in S_p .
- (B) $f \in \text{IDA}^p$.
- (C) $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_f(k_z)\|^p dv(z) < \infty$.

Furthermore,

$$(1.6) \quad \|H_f\|_{S_p} \simeq \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p} \simeq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_f(k_z)\|^p dv(z) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The proof will be given in Section 5.

We obtain two important consequences from Theorem 1.2. The first one shows that the Berger-Coburn phenomenon remains true for Schatten p -class Hankel operators with *bounded symbols* when $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is real valued, $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$, and $1 < p < \infty$. Then for $f \in L^\infty$, $H_f \in S_p$ implies $H_{\bar{f}} \in S_p$, and, of course, conversely, with the S_p -norm estimate

$$(1.7) \quad \|H_{\bar{f}}\|_{S_p} \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p},$$

where the constant C is independent of f .

The preceding theorem is one of the main goals and motivation of our present work. Its proof will be given in Section 7. Notice that Theorem 1.3 fails in general if the symbol f is not bounded—see [5] for an example.

In Section 6, as another consequence of Theorem 1.2, we obtain a characterization of the simultaneous membership of H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ in S_p .

Our characterizations of bounded and compact Hankel operators will be given in Section 4.

1.3. Outline. In the next section we provide preliminaries on the reproducing kernel, which includes global and local estimates, a consequence of Hörmander’s existence theorem, and we also extend our previous decomposition theorem for IDA functions. In Section 3, we briefly introduce Toeplitz operators and state a description of their Schatten class properties. Section 4 extends our recent result on boundedness and compactness of Hankel operators, comparing them to the analogous results in [28] for the classical Segal-Bargmann space and bounded symbols.

In Section 5, we prove our characterization of Schatten class Hankel operators using the decomposition theorem and other preliminary results, theory of Schatten class Toeplitz operators, and various estimates together with the general theory of Schatten class operators. As a consequence, when $\varphi(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2$, we obtain a characterization in a familiar form that agrees with one of the main results in [5].

In Section 6, we apply our characterization of Schatten class Hankel operators to obtain a description of the simultaneous membership in S_p of the Hankel operators H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ using the connection between IDA and IMO functions.

In Section 7, we prove our result on the Berger-Coburn phenomenon using the Ahlfors-Beurling operator (to obtain the estimates $\|\partial f\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\bar{\partial} f\|_{L^p}$) together with our characterization of Schatten class Hankel operators.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. The reproducing kernel function. Let $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be a real-valued weight such that $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$, see (1.4). Most of the basic properties of $F^2(\varphi)$, defined in (1.3), can be derived from the following weighted Bergman inequality (see Proposition 2.3 of [26] for further details).

Lemma 2.1. *For each $r > 0$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$|f(z)e^{-\varphi(z)}|^2 \leq C \int_{B(z,r)} |f(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}|^2 dv(\xi)$$

for all $f \in F^2(\varphi)$.

It follows from the preceding lemma that for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, the mapping $f \mapsto f(z)$ is a bounded linear functional on $F^2(\varphi)$ and hence there is a unique K_z in $F^2(\varphi)$ which satisfies the reproducing property $f(z) = \langle f, K_z \rangle$ for all $f \in F^2(\varphi)$. The function K_z is referred to as the reproducing kernel of $F^2(\varphi)$. It is often called the Bergman kernel.

Lemma 2.1 also implies that $F^2(\varphi)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\varphi)$. We denote by P the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\varphi)$ onto $F^2(\varphi)$. Notice that

$$Pf(z) = \langle Pf, K_z \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(w)K(z, w)e^{-2\varphi(w)} dv(w)$$

for $f \in L^2(\varphi)$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where $K_z(w) = K(w, z) = \overline{K(z, w)}$.

If $\varphi(z) = \alpha|z|^2$ is a standard weight with $\alpha > 0$, then it is easy to see that

$$K(z, w)e^{-\alpha|z|^2 - \alpha|w|^2} = e^{-\alpha|z-w|^2}$$

for $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. For the general weights φ that we consider, this quadratic decay is known not to hold (even in dimension one), and it is, in fact, expected to be very rare (see [9]). However, it turns out that the following estimates for the reproducing kernel will be sufficient for us.

Lemma 2.2. *There exist positive constants θ and C_1 , depending only on n, m and M such that*

$$(2.1) \quad |K(z, w)| \leq C_1 e^{\varphi(z)+\varphi(w)} e^{-\theta|z-w|} \text{ for all } z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and there exists positive constants C_2 and r_0 such that

$$(2.2) \quad |K(z, w)| \geq C_2 e^{\varphi(z)+\varphi(w)}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $w \in B(z, r_0)$.

The estimate (2.1) appeared in [9] for $n = 1$ and in [10] for $n \geq 2$, while the inequality (2.2) can be found in [26]. Notice that Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$(2.3) \quad K(z, z) \simeq e^{2\varphi(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we write $k_z(\cdot) = \frac{K(\cdot, z)}{\sqrt{K(z, z)}}$ for the normalized reproducing kernel. Then

$$(2.4) \quad |k_z(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}| \leq C e^{-\theta|z-\xi|}, \quad \lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} k_z(\xi) = 0$$

uniformly in ξ on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^n , and

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{C} e^{\varphi(z)} \leq \|K_z\|_{p,\varphi} \leq C e^{\varphi(z)}, \quad \frac{1}{C} \leq \|k_z\|_{p,\varphi} \leq C$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Here $\|\cdot\|_{p,\varphi}$ stands for the norm of $L^p(\varphi) = L^p(\mathbb{C}^n, e^{-p\varphi} dv)$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$, $\|f\|_{\infty,\varphi} = \|f e^{-\varphi}\|_{L^\infty}$, and we write $\|\cdot\|_{2,\varphi} = \|\cdot\|$ for simplicity throughout.

We record one more estimate that will be needed for our study of Hankel operators. For this purpose, denote by $L^2_{(0,1)}(\varphi)$ the family of all $(0,1)$ -forms on \mathbb{C}^n with coefficients in $L^2(\varphi)$.

Lemma 2.3 (Hörmander). *Suppose that $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is real valued and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0,1)$ -form $\omega \in L^2_{(0,1)}(\varphi)$, there exists a solution u of $\bar{\partial}u = \omega$ for which*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |ue^{-\varphi}|^2 dv \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |\omega e^{-\varphi}|^2 dv.$$

Proof. Let $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^n$. The assumption $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi(z) \geq m i\partial\bar{\partial}|z|^2$ implies that $2m$ is a lower bound for the plurisubharmonicity of 2φ . Now Theorem 2.2.1 of [17] completes the proof. \square

2.2. Lattices and separated sets in \mathbb{C}^n . A sequence $\{w_j\}$ of distinct points in \mathbb{C}^n is called separated if

$$\delta(\{w_j\}) = \inf_{j \neq k} |w_j - w_k| > 0.$$

For $r > 0$, we call a sequence $\{w_j\}$ in \mathbb{C}^n an r -lattice if $\bigcup_j B(w_j, r) = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $B(w_j, \frac{r}{2\sqrt{n}}) \cap B(w_k, \frac{r}{2\sqrt{n}}) = \emptyset$ whenever $j \neq k$.

Given $r > 0$ and $w_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, set

$$(2.6) \quad \Lambda = \left\{ w_1 + \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}(m + is) : m, s \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that Λ is an r -lattice. For $K \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, we write

$$\left\{ w_1 + (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \Lambda : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z_j, \operatorname{Im} z_j < K \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}} \right\} = \{w_1, \dots, w_{K^{2n}}\},$$

and for $1 \leq k \leq K^{2n}$,

$$\Lambda_k = \left\{ w_k + K \frac{r}{\sqrt{n}}(m + is) : m, s \in \mathbb{Z}^n \right\}.$$

Then

$$(2.7) \quad \Lambda = \bigcup_{k=1}^{K^{2n}} \Lambda_k, \quad \Lambda_j \cap \Lambda_k = \emptyset \text{ if } j \neq k, \quad |a-b| \leq Kr \text{ for } a, b \in \Lambda_k.$$

For $f, e \in L^2(\varphi)$, the tensor product $f \otimes e$ as a rank one operator on $L^2(\varphi)$ is defined to be

$$f \otimes e(g) = \langle g, e \rangle f, \quad g \in L^2(\varphi).$$

Lemma 2.4. *Given $r > 0$, there is some constant $C > 0$ such that if Λ is a separated set in \mathbb{C}^n with $\delta(\Lambda) \geq r$ and if $\{e_a : a \in \Lambda\}$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(\varphi)$, then*

$$\left\| \sum_{a \in \Lambda} k_a \otimes e_a \right\|_{L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \leq C.$$

Proof. For $g \in L^2(\varphi)$, with the same proof as that of Lemma 2.4 in [14], we get

$$\left\| \sum_{a \in \Lambda} \lambda_a k_a \right\| \leq C \|\{\lambda_a\}_{a \in \Lambda}\|_{l^2},$$

where the constant depends only on the separation constant $\delta(\Lambda)$. In addition, Parseval's identity implies

$$\sum_{a \in \Lambda} |\langle g, e_a \rangle|^2 \leq \|g\|^2 < \infty.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left\| \left(\sum_{a \in \Lambda} k_a \otimes e_a \right) (g) \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{a \in \Lambda} \langle g, e_a \rangle k_a \right\|^2 \leq C \sum_{a \in \Lambda} |\langle g, e_a \rangle|^2 \leq C \|g\|^2,$$

which completes the proof. \square

2.3. Properties of IDA. The spaces IDA^s were defined above in Definition 1.1 and here we list their basic properties. We start with a remark that follows from Corollary 3.8 of [15] when $s \geq 1$ while the other cases can be proved similarly.

Remark 2.5. Let $0 < s < \infty$. Then the spaces IDA^s , BDA and VDA are independent of r and different values of r give equivalent norms on each space.

For $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, set

$$M_{p,r}(f)(z) = \left\{ \frac{1}{|B(z,r)|} \int_{B(z,r)} |f|^p dv \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

The following generalization of the decomposition theorem in [15] plays an important role in our analysis.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose $0 < s \leq \infty$, and $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$. Then $f \in \text{IDA}^s$ if and only if f admits a decomposition $f = f_1 + f_2$ such that*

$$(2.8) \quad f_1 \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad |\bar{\partial}f_1| + M_{2,r}(\bar{\partial}f_1) + M_{2,r}(f_2) \in L^s$$

for some (or any) $r > 0$. Furthermore,

$$(2.9) \quad \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^s} \simeq \inf \left\{ \|\bar{\partial}f_1\|_{L^s} + \|M_{2,r}(f_2)\|_{L^s} \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible decompositions $f = f_1 + f_2$ that satisfy (2.8) with a fixed r .

Proof. When $1 \leq s < \infty$, the conclusion is only a special case $q = 2$ of Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 3.5 in [15]. A careful check of their proofs shows that the remaining cases $0 < s < 1$ can be proved similarly. \square

3. SCHATTEN CLASS TOEPLITZ OPERATORS

Given a Borel measure μ on \mathbb{C}^n , we define the Toeplitz operator T_μ with symbol μ as

$$T_\mu f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} K(z, w) f(w) e^{-2\varphi(w)} d\mu(w), \quad f \in F^2(\varphi) \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

When $d\mu(z) = g(z) dv(z)$ and g is a complex-valued function, the induced Toeplitz operator is denoted by T_g .

Given an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$, we set $\tilde{T}(z) = \langle Tk_z, k_z \rangle$. For a positive Borel measure μ on \mathbb{C}^n and $r > 0$, we define

$$\tilde{\mu}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z|^2 e^{-2\varphi} d\mu$$

and since $|B(z, r)| \simeq r^{2n}$, we simply set

$$\hat{\mu}_r(z) = \int_{B(z, r)} d\mu \quad (z \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

For a positive Toeplitz operator $T_\mu \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$, it is easy to verify that $\tilde{T}_\mu = \tilde{\mu}$.

The proof of the following lemma can be found in [14] and [19].

Lemma 3.1. *Let μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{C}^n and let $0 < p \leq \infty$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (A) $\tilde{\mu} \in L^p$.
- (B) $\hat{\mu}_r \in L^p$ for some (or any) $r > 0$.
- (C) $\{\hat{\mu}_r(a_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty \in l^p$ for some (or any) r -lattice $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$.

Furthermore, it holds that

$$\|\tilde{\mu}\|_{L^p} \simeq \|\hat{\mu}_r\|_{L^p} \simeq \left\| \{\hat{\mu}_r(a_j)\}_{j=1}^\infty \right\|_{l^p}.$$

In our analysis we need the following result on Schatten class Toeplitz operators. It was proved in [19] for the generalized weights and in [33] for the standard weights.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $0 < p < \infty$, μ be a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{C}^n and suppose that $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is real valued with $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$. Then the Toeplitz operator T_μ on $F^2(\varphi)$ belongs to S_p if and only if $\widehat{\mu}_r \in L^p$ for some (or any) $r > 0$. Furthermore,*

$$(3.1) \quad \|T_\mu\|_{S_p} \simeq \|\widehat{\mu}_r\|_{L^p}.$$

4. BOUNDEDNESS AND COMPACTNESS OF HANKEL OPERATORS

While the thrust of our present work is in the Schatten class properties, we also extend some of our recent results in [15] on boundedness and compactness of Hankel operators on $F^2(\varphi)$.

For a Hilbert space H , we denote by $B(H)$ the unit ball of H . A linear operator $T : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ between two Hilbert spaces H_1 and H_2 is said to be bounded (or compact) if $T(H_1)$ is bounded (or relatively compact) in H_2 . The collection of all bounded (and compact) operators from H_1 to H_2 is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(H_1, H_2)$ (and by $\mathcal{K}(H_1, H_2)$ respectively). The corresponding operator norm is denoted by $\|T\|_{H_1 \rightarrow H_2}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose $0 < p \leq 1$, $0 < s < \infty$ and $r > 0$. There is a constant C such that, for μ a positive Borel measure on \mathbb{C}^n , Ω a domain in \mathbb{C}^n , and $g \in H(\mathbb{C}^n)$, it holds that*

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |ge^{-\varphi}|^s d\mu \right)^p \leq C \int_{\Omega_r^+} |ge^{-\varphi}|^{sp} \widehat{\mu}_r^p dv,$$

where $\Omega_r^+ = \bigcup_{\{z \in \Omega\}} B(z, r)$.

When $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $p = 1$, the preceding result is just Lemma 2.2 in [14]. For general Ω and $0 < p \leq 1$, the proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.2 in [15], although we note that the weights in our present work are slightly more general.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose $0 < p \leq \infty$, and $f \in \mathcal{S} \cap \text{IDA}^p$ with the decomposition $f = f_1 + f_2$ as in Theorem 2.6. Then H_{f_1} and H_{f_2} are well defined on $\Gamma = \text{span}\{K_z : z \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$, and*

$$(4.1) \quad \|H_{f_1}(g)\| \leq C\|g\bar{\partial}f_1\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|H_{f_2}(g)\| \leq C\|gf_2\| \quad \text{for } g \in \Gamma.$$

Proof. For $g \in \Gamma$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, taking $p = s = 1$ and replacing φ with 2φ in Lemma 4.1, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |gK_z|e^{-2\varphi}|f_2|dv \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |gK_z|e^{-2\varphi}M_{1,r}(f_2)dv.$$

Notice that $M_{t,r}(f_2)$ is increasing with t . If $p \geq 1$, with p' being the conjugate of p , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |gf_2| |K_z| e^{-2\varphi} dv \leq C \|M_{2,r}(f_2)\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{2p',\varphi} \|K_z\|_{2p',\varphi} < \infty.$$

If $0 < p < 1$, by Lemma 4.1 again,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |gK_z| e^{-2\varphi} |f_2| dv \right)^p &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |gK_z|^p e^{-2p\varphi} M_{1,r}^p(f_2) dv \\ &\leq C \|M_{2,r}(f_2)\|_{L^p}^p \|g\|_{\infty,\varphi}^p \|K_z\|_{\infty,\varphi}^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that H_{f_2} , and hence also $H_{f_1} = H_f - H_{f_2}$, are both well defined on Γ .

Now for $g \in \Gamma$, if $0 < p \leq 2$, then

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g\bar{\partial}f_1\|^p &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |ge^{-\varphi}|^p M_{2,r}(|\bar{\partial}f_1|)^p dv \\ &\leq C \|M_{2,r}(|\bar{\partial}f_1|)\|_{L^p}^p \|g\|_{\infty,\varphi}^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $p > 2$, applying Hölder's inequality with $t = \frac{p}{2}$ and t' we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|g\bar{\partial}f_1\| &\leq C \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |ge^{-\varphi}|^2 M_{2,r}(|\bar{\partial}f_1|)^2 dv \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|M_{2,r}(|\bar{\partial}f_1|)\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{2t',\varphi} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $g\bar{\partial}f_1$ is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, 1)$ -form with $L^2(\varphi)$ coefficients. Notice also that since $\bar{\partial}H_{f_1}(g) = g\bar{f}_1$ and $H_{f_1}(g) = f_1g - P(f_1g) \perp F^2(\varphi)$, $H_{f_1}(g)$ is the canonical solution of the equation $\bar{\partial}u = g\bar{\partial}f_1$. Applying Lemma 2.3 and Parseval's identity, we obtain

$$(4.4) \quad \|H_{f_1}(g)\| \leq C \|g\bar{\partial}f_1\|.$$

Similarly to (4.2) and (4.3), we can show that $\|gf_2\| < \infty$, and hence it follows that

$$\|H_{f_2}(g)\| \leq \|gf_2\|,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose that $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is real valued and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $H_f \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$ if and only if $f \in \text{BDA}$; and $H_f \in \mathcal{K}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$ if and only if $f \in \text{VDA}$. Furthermore, for $r > 0$,*

$$\|H_f\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \simeq \|f\|_{\text{BDA}}.$$

Proof. Suppose $f \in \text{BDA}$. As in Theorem 2.6, we decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$ with

$$f_1 \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n), \quad |\bar{\partial}f_1| + M_{2,r}(f_2) \in L^\infty.$$

For $g \in \Gamma$, by Lemma 4.2,

$$(4.5) \quad \|H_{f_1}(g)\| \leq C \|g\bar{\partial}f_1\| \leq C \|\bar{\partial}f_1\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|.$$

Therefore, $H_{f_1} \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$ with the norm estimate

$$\|H_{f_1}\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \leq C \|\bar{\partial}f_1\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Similarly,

$$(4.6) \quad \|H_{f_2}(g)\| \leq \|gf_2\| \leq C \|M_{2,r}(f_2)\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|.$$

Therefore, $H_f \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$ with the norm estimate

$$\|H_f\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{BDA}}.$$

Conversely, suppose $H_f \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$. Then for $0 < r \leq r_0$, by Lemma 2.2,

$$(4.7) \quad G_r(f)(z) \leq C \left\{ \int_{B(z,r)} \left| f - \frac{1}{k_z} P(fk_z) \right|^2 dv \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \|H_f(k_z)\|.$$

This and the fact that $\|k_z\| = 1$ implies $f \in \text{BDA}$ with

$$\|f\|_{\text{BDA}} \leq C \|H_f\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)}.$$

The proof for the compactness can be carried out as that of Theorem 4.1 in [15]. \square

Our next theorem is an analog of Stroethoff's main result in [28] for the generalized weights and unbounded symbols.

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is real valued and $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $H_f \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|(I - P)(fk_z)\| < \infty$$

with the norm estimate

$$(4.8) \quad \|H_f\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \simeq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|(I - P)(fk_z)\|.$$

Further, $H_f \in \mathcal{K}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$ if and only if

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P)(fk_z)\| = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}$. If $H_f \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$, then trivially

$$\|(I - P)(fk_z)\| \leq \|H_f\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \|k_z\| \leq C \|H_f\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)}.$$

Conversely, by Theorem 4.3 and the estimate (4.7), we have

$$\|H_f\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \simeq \|G_r(f)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|(I - P)(fk_z)\|.$$

The other equivalence can be proved similarly. \square

For a fixed $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, define the shift $\tau_z : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ by $\tau_z(w) = w + z$. Then $f \mapsto f \circ \tau_z(w) = f(w + z)$ for $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. In F^2 , we have

$$(4.9) \quad \|H_f(k_z)\| = \|(I - P)(f \circ \tau_z)\|$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ (see Corollary 1.1 in [5]). Using this observation, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5. *Let $\varphi(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $H_f \in \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$ if and only if $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|(I - P)(f \circ \tau_z)\| < \infty$ with the norm estimate*

$$(4.10) \quad \|H_f\|_{F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \simeq \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^n} \|(I - P)(f \circ \tau_z)\|.$$

Further, $H_f \in \mathcal{K}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$ if and only if

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \|(I - P)(f \circ \tau_z)\| = 0.$$

Remark 4.6. (i) Notice that the preceding result on compactness implies the analogous results in [13] and [28] where the symbols were assumed to be bounded.

(ii) It should be noted that the norm estimate in (4.10) is similar to the estimate in Luecking's main result for the Bergman space (and also for the standard weights); see Theorem 1(b) of [22].

(iii) It would be interesting to know whether the previous corollary remains true for more general weights.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we prove our characterization of Schatten class Hankel operators. For this purpose we need one more lemma. Given $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $r > 0$, let $L^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)$ be the Lebesgue space on $B(a, r)$ with respect to measure $e^{-2\varphi} dv$, and let $A^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)$ be the weighted Bergman space of all holomorphic functions in the space $L^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)$. We denote by $P_{a,r}$ the orthogonal projection of $L^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)$ onto $A^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)$.

Given $f \in L^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)$, we extend $P_{a,r}(f)$ to \mathbb{C}^n by setting

$$P_{a,r}(f)|_{\mathbb{C}^n \setminus B(a,r)} = 0.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$P_{a,r}^2 f = P_{a,r} f \quad \text{and} \quad \langle f, P_{a,r} g \rangle = \langle P_{a,r} f, g \rangle$$

for $f, g \in L^2(\varphi)$.

Lemma 5.1. *For $f, g \in L^2(\varphi)$, it holds that*

$$(5.1) \quad \langle f - Pf, \chi_{B(a,r)} g - P_{a,r} g \rangle = \langle f - P_{a,r} f, \chi_{B(a,r)} g - P_{a,r} g \rangle.$$

Proof. For $h \in F^2(\varphi)$, it is trivial that $P_{a,r}(h) = \chi_{B(a,r)}h$. Then for $f, g \in L^2(\varphi)$, we have $\langle h, \chi_{B(a,r)}g - P_{a,r}g \rangle = 0$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f - Pf, \chi_{B(a,r)}g - P_{a,r}g \rangle &= \langle \chi_{B(a,r)}f, \chi_{B(a,r)}g - P_{a,r}g \rangle \\ &= \langle \chi_{B(a,r)}f - P_{a,r}f, \chi_{B(a,r)}g - P_{a,r}g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From this (5.1) follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (B) \Rightarrow (A). For $f \in \text{IDA}^p$, by Theorem 2.6 we have $f = f_1 + f_2$ with

$$(5.2) \quad |\bar{\partial}f_1| + M_{2,r}(|\bar{\partial}f_1|) + M_{2,r}(f_2) \in L^p.$$

Notice that by Lemma 4.2, both H_{f_1} and H_{f_2} are well defined on $F^2(\varphi)$. We claim that

$$(5.3) \quad \|H_{f_1}\|_{S_p} + \|H_{f_2}\|_{S_p} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}.$$

Recall first that we have

$$(5.4) \quad \|H_f\|_{S_p} \leq C \left(\|H_{f_1}\|_{S_p} + \|H_{f_2}\|_{S_p} \right).$$

To prove (5.3) we consider two cases.

Suppose that $0 < p \leq 2$ so that $0 < \frac{p}{2} \leq 1$. Then, by Proposition 3.3 of [33] and Proposition 1.31 of [32], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} (H_{f_1}^* H_{f_1})^{\frac{p}{2}} &= C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left\langle (H_{f_1}^* H_{f_1})^{\frac{p}{2}} k_z, k_z \right\rangle dv(z) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \langle H_{f_1}^* H_{f_1} k_z, k_z \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} dv(z) \\ &= C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_{f_1} k_z\|^p dv(z). \end{aligned}$$

Further, applying (4.4) and Lemma 4.1 for $d\mu = |\bar{\partial}f_1|^2 dv$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_{f_1}(k_z)\|^p dv \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}|^2 |\bar{\partial}f_1(\xi)|^2 dv(\xi) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} dv(z) \\ (5.5) \quad &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} dv(z) \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z(\xi)e^{-\varphi(\xi)}|^p M_{2,r}(\bar{\partial}f_1)(\xi)^p dv(\xi) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} M_{2,r}(\bar{\partial}f_1)(\xi)^p dv(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $H_{f_1} \in S_p$ with

$$(5.6) \quad \|H_{f_1}\|_{S_p}^p = \text{tr} (H_{f_1}^* H_{f_1})^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C\|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p.$$

Similarly, for H_{f_2} , we can show that

$$(5.7) \quad \|H_{f_2}(k_z)\|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z e^{-\varphi}|^2 |f_2|^2 dv \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z e^{-\varphi}|^2 M_{2,r}(f_2)^2 dv.$$

Hence,

$$(5.8) \quad \|H_{f_2}\|_{S_p}^p = \text{tr} (H_{f_2}^* H_{f_2})^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} M_{2,r}(f_2)^p dv \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p.$$

Combining (5.6) and (5.8) gives (5.3).

Next, suppose that $2 \leq p < \infty$. Set $\phi = |\bar{\partial}f_1|$ or $\phi = |f_2|$. Then by Theorem 3.2, the positive Toeplitz operator $T_{|\phi|^2}$ is in $S_{\frac{p}{2}}$. To obtain (5.3), we consider the multiplication operator $M_\phi : F^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)$ defined as

$$M_\phi(f) = \phi f.$$

Using (4.2) and (4.3), we see that M_ϕ is bounded from $F^2(\varphi)$ to $L^2(\varphi)$. Hence, for $g, h \in F^2(\varphi)$, it holds that

$$\langle M_\phi^* M_\phi g, h \rangle = \langle M_\phi g, M_\phi h \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} g \bar{h} |\phi|^2 dv = \langle T_{|\phi|^2} g, h \rangle,$$

which in turn gives $M_\phi^* M_\phi = T_{|\phi|^2}$. Thus, $M_\phi \in S_p$, and applying Theorem 2.6, we get

$$\|M_\phi\|_{S_p} \leq C \|M_{2,r}(\phi)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p.$$

This together with (4.1), (4.5), and (4.6) give the norm estimate in (5.3).

(A) \Rightarrow (B). Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $H_f \in S_p(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$. We will prove that

$$(5.9) \quad \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p} \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p}.$$

By Remark 2.5, it suffices to prove (5.9) for some $r \in (0, r_0)$, where r_0 is as in Lemma 2.2. For this purpose, let Λ be an r -lattice as in (2.6), and decompose $\Lambda = \cup_k \Lambda_k$ as in (2.7).

We deal with the case $0 < p \leq 1$ first. Since

$$H_f \in S_p(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi)) \subset \mathcal{B}(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi)),$$

Theorem 4.4 shows that $fk_a - P(fk_a) \in L_{\text{loc}}^2$. Clearly $P(fk_a) \in H(\mathbb{C}^n)$, so

$$fk_a \in L^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{a,r}(fk_a) \in A^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv),$$

which implies that $\|\chi_{B(a,r)}fk_a - P_{a,r}(fk_a)\| < \infty$. Now for $a \in \Lambda_k$, set

$$g_a = \begin{cases} \frac{\chi_{B(a,r)}fk_a - P_{a,r}(fk_a)}{\|\chi_{B(a,r)}fk_a - P_{a,r}(fk_a)\|} & \text{if } \|\chi_{B(a,r)}fk_a - P_{a,r}(fk_a)\| \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \|\chi_{B(a,r)}fk_a - P_{a,r}(fk_a)\| = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\|g_a\| \leq 1$ and $\langle g_a, g_b \rangle = 0$ if $a \neq b$ since $B(a, r) \cap B(b, r) = \emptyset$. Let J be any finite sub-collection of Λ_k , and let $\{e_a\}_{a \in J}$ be an orthonormal set of $L^2(\varphi)$. For $\{c_a\}_{a \in J}$ with $c_a \geq 0$, we define

$$A = \sum_{a \in J} c_a e_a \otimes g_a : L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi).$$

It is trivial to see that A is of finite rank and

$$(5.10) \quad \|A\|_{L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \leq \sup_{a \in J} c_a.$$

We now define another operator $T : L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow F^2(\varphi)$ by

$$T = \sum_{a \in J} k_a \otimes e_a.$$

Since Λ is separated, by Lemma 2.4, there is a constant C depending only on n and r such that

$$(5.11) \quad \|T\|_{L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow F^2(\varphi)} \leq C.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$(5.12) \quad AH_f T = \sum_{a, \tau \in J} c_a \langle H_f k_\tau, g_a \rangle e_a \otimes e_\tau = Y + Z,$$

where

$$(5.13) \quad Y = \sum_{a \in J} c_a \langle H_f k_a, g_a \rangle e_a \otimes e_a, \quad Z = \sum_{a, \tau \in J, a \neq \tau} c_a \langle H_f k_\tau, g_a \rangle e_a \otimes e_\tau.$$

By Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle H_f k_a, g_a \rangle &= \langle f k_a - P(f k_a), g_a \rangle \\ &= \langle \chi_{B(a, r)} f k_a - P_{a, r}(f k_a), g_a \rangle \\ &= \|\chi_{B(a, r)} f k_a - P_{a, r}(f k_a)\| \\ &\geq C \left\| f - \frac{1}{k_a} P_{a, r}(f k_a) \right\|_{L^2(B(a, r), dv)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by definition,

$$\langle H_f k_a, g_a \rangle \geq C \left\| f - \frac{1}{k_a} P_{a, r}(f k_a) \right\|_{L^2(B(a, r), dv)} \geq C G_r(f)(a).$$

Thus, there is an N independent of f and J such that

$$(5.14) \quad |Y|_{S_p}^p = \sum_{a \in J} (c_a \langle H_f k_a, g_a \rangle)^p \geq N \sum_{a \in J} c_a^p G_r(f)(a)^p.$$

On the other hand, for $0 < p \leq 1$, applying Lemma 5 of [23] gives

$$(5.15) \quad \|Z\|_{S_p}^p \leq \sum_{a, \tau \in J, a \neq \tau} |c_a \langle H_f k_\tau, g_a \rangle|^p.$$

Let $Q_{a,r}$ be the Bergman projection of $L^2(B(a, r), dv)$ onto the Bergman space $A^2(B(a, r), dv)$. Then

$$k_\tau Q_{a,r}(f) \in A^2(B(a, r), dv) = A^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv),$$

and further $f k_\tau - P_{a,r}(f k_\tau)$ and $P_{a,r}(f k_\tau) - k_\tau Q_{a,r} f$ are orthogonal in $L^2(B(a, r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)$. Thus, for $a, \tau \in \mathbb{C}^n$, by Parseval's identity, we get

$$\|f k_\tau - P_{a,r}(f k_\tau)\|_{L^2(B(a,r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)} \leq \|f k_\tau - k_\tau Q_{a,r} f\|_{L^2(B(a,r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle H_f k_\tau, g_a \rangle| &= |\langle f k_\tau - P(f k_\tau), g_a \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \chi_{B(a,r)} f k_\tau - P_{a,r}(f k_\tau), g_a \rangle| \\ &\leq \|f k_\tau - P_{a,r}(f k_\tau)\|_{L^2(B(a,r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)} \\ &\leq \|f k_\tau - k_\tau Q_{a,r}(f)\|_{L^2(B(a,r), e^{-2\varphi} dv)} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in B(a,r)} |k_\tau(\xi) e^{-\varphi}| \|f - Q_{a,r}(f)\|_{L^2(B(a,r), dv)} \\ &\leq C e^{-|a-\tau|} \|f - Q_{a,r}(f)\|_{L^2(B(a,r), dv)}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice also that

$$\|f - Q_{a,r}(f)\|_{L^2(B(a,r), dv)} = G_r(f)(a),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau \in J, \tau \neq a} e^{-\frac{p}{2}|a-\tau|} &\leq C \sum_{\tau \in J, \tau \neq a} \int_{B(\tau, r)} e^{-\frac{p}{2}|a-\xi|} dv(\xi) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} e^{-\frac{p}{2}|\xi|} dv(\xi) = C. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.7) and (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \|Z\|_{S_p}^p &\leq \sum_{a, \tau \in J, a \neq \tau} c_a^p e^{-p|a-\tau|} G_r(f)(a)^p \\ &\leq \sum_{a \in J} c_a^p G_r(f)(a)^p \sum_{\tau \in J, \tau \neq a} e^{-p|a-\tau|} \\ &\leq e^{-\frac{p}{2}Kr} \sum_{a \in J} c_a^p G_r(f)(a)^p \sum_{\tau \in J, \tau \neq a} e^{-\frac{p}{2}|a-\tau|} \\ &\leq C e^{-\frac{p}{2}Kr} \sum_{a \in J} c_a^p G_r(f)(a)^p, \end{aligned}$$

and hence, we can pick some K sufficiently large so that

$$(5.16) \quad \|Z\|_{S_p}^p \leq \frac{N}{4} \sum_{a \in J} c_a^p G_r(f)(a)^p.$$

Using the estimate

$$\|Y\|_{S_p}^p \leq 2 \|AH_f T\|_{S_p}^p + 2 \|Z\|_{S_p}^p$$

(see (6.9) in [12] for example), we see that

$$N \sum_{a \in J} c_a^p G_r(f)(a)^p \leq 2 \|AH_f T\|_{S_p}^p + \frac{N}{2} \sum_{a \in J} c_a^p G_r(f)(a)^p.$$

Since J is finite, we have

$$(5.17) \quad N \sum_j c_a^p G_r(f)(a)^p \leq 4 \|AH_f T\|_{S_p}^p,$$

which can be further estimated, using (5.11), as follows

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \|AH_f T\|_{S_p}^p &\leq \|A\|_{L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)}^p \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p \|T\|_{L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow F^2(\varphi)}^p \\ &\leq C \|c_a\|_{l^\infty} \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Putting (5.17) and (5.18) together and applying the duality between l^1 and l^∞ , we obtain

$$\sum_{a \in J} G_r(f)(a)^p \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p.$$

The constants C above are all independent of f and J . Hence,

$$(5.19) \quad \sum_{a \in \Lambda_k} G_r(f)(a)^p \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p.$$

Now take Λ to be an $\frac{r}{2}$ -lattice similar to (2.6), which can be viewed as a union of 4^n r -lattice. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} G_{\frac{r}{2}}(f)^p dv &\leq \sum_{a \in \Lambda} \int_{B(a, \frac{r}{2})} G_{\frac{r}{2}}(f)^p dv \\ &\leq C \sum_{a \in \Lambda} \sup_{z \in B(a, \frac{r}{2})} G_{\frac{r}{2}}(f)(z)^p \\ &\leq C \sum_{a \in \Lambda} G_r(f)(a)^p \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

and so, for $0 < r \leq r_0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} G_{\frac{r}{2}}(f)^p dv \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.6, for each $r > 0$, it holds that

$$(5.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} G_r(f)^p dv \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p.$$

Now we treat the case $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $\{e_a : a \in \Lambda_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $F^2(\varphi)$ and define linear operators T and B by setting

$$T = \sum_{a \in \Lambda} k_a \otimes e_a : L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow F^2(\varphi),$$

and

$$B = \sum_{a \in \Lambda} g_a \otimes e_a : L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)$$

where

$$g_a = \begin{cases} \frac{\chi_{B(a,r)} H_f(k_a)}{\|\chi_{B(a,r)} H_f(k_a)\|} & \text{if } \|\chi_{B(a,r)} H_f(k_a)\| \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } \|\chi_{B(a,r)} H_f(k_a)\| = 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that by Lemma (2.4), we have $\|T\|_{L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow F^2(\varphi)} \leq C$. Further, since $\|g_a\| \leq 1$ and $\langle g_a, g_\tau \rangle = 0$ when $a \neq \tau$, it follows that

$$\|B\|_{L^2(\varphi) \rightarrow L^2(\varphi)} \leq 1.$$

For $H_f \in S_p$, by Theorem 4.4, we have $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_{B(z,r)} H_f(k_z)\| = 0$. Since

$$\left\langle B^* M_{\chi_{B(a,r)}} H_f T e_a, e_a \right\rangle = \left\langle \chi_{B(a,r)} H_f T(e_a), B(e_a) \right\rangle = \|\chi_{B(a,r)} H_f(k_a)\|,$$

and

$$\left\langle B^* M_{\chi_{B(a,r)}} H_f T e_a, e_b \right\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } a \neq b,$$

$B^* M_{\chi_{B(a,r)}} H_f T$ is a compact positive operator on $L^2(\varphi)$. Theorem 1.27 of [32] yields

$$\sum_{a \in \Lambda_k} \left| \left\langle B^* M_{\chi_{B(a,r)}} H_f T e_a, e_a \right\rangle \right|^p \leq \left\| B^* M_{\chi_{B(a,r)}} H_f T \right\|_{S_p}^p \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p.$$

Thus, using (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \Lambda_k} G_r(f)(a)^p &\leq C \sum_{a \in \Lambda_k} \|\chi_{B(a,r)} H_f(k_a)\|^p \\ &= \sum_{a \in \Lambda_k} \left| \left\langle B^* M_{\chi_{B(a,r)}} H_f T e_a, e_a \right\rangle \right|^p \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p}^p \end{aligned}$$

which gives (5.19) for $1 \leq p < \infty$. From this, with the same approach as in the other case, we obtain the desired conclusion in (5.20).

(B) \Rightarrow (C). Suppose $f \in \text{IDA}^p$, and decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$ as in the implication (B) \Rightarrow (A).

For $0 < p \leq 2$, it follows from the estimates in (5.5) that

$$(5.21) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_{f_1}(k_z)\|^p dv \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} M_{2,r}(\bar{\partial}f_1)(\xi)^p dv(\xi) \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p.$$

Similarly, by (5.7),

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{f_2}(k_z)\|^p &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z e^{-\varphi}|^2 M_{2,r}(f_2)^2 dv \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |k_z e^{-\varphi}|^p M_{2,2r}(f_2)^p dv. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides with respect to z over \mathbb{C}^n , we get

$$(5.22) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_{f_2}(k_z)\|^p dv(z) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} M_{2,2r}(f_2)^p dv \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p.$$

For $2 \leq p < \infty$, by (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{f_1}(k_z)\|^p &\leq C \langle |\bar{\partial}f_1|^2 k_z, k_z \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &= C \langle T_{|\bar{\partial}f_1|^2} k_z, k_z \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C \left\langle \left(T_{|\bar{\partial}f_1|^2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} k_z, k_z \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_{f_1}(k_z)\|^p dv(z) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left\langle \left(T_{|\bar{\partial}f_1|^2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} k_z, k_z \right\rangle dv(z) \\ &\leq C \left\| T_{|\bar{\partial}f_1|^2} \right\|_{S^{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq C \|M_{2,p}(|\bar{\partial}f_1|)\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|H_{f_2}(k_z)\|^p \leq \langle |f_2|^2 k_z, k_z \rangle^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \left\langle \left(T_{|f_2|^2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} k_z, k_z \right\rangle,$$

and so

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_{f_2}(k_z)\|^p dv(z) &\leq \left\| \left(T_{|f_2|^2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right\|_{S_1} \\ &\leq C \|M_{2,p}(|f_2|)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates (5.21)–(5.24) gives

$$(5.25) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_f(k_z)\|^p dv(z) \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p.$$

(C) \Rightarrow (B). By (4.7) and (2.9), we have

$$(5.26) \quad \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}^p \leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|H_f(k_z)\|^p dv(z).$$

Finally, the S_p -norm equivalence in (1.6) follows from (5.4), (5.20), (5.25) and (5.26). The proof is completed. \square

Similarly to Corollary 4.5, restricting to the classical Segel-Bargmann space, we have the following corollary, which is one of the main results of [5] when $p = 2$.

Corollary 5.2. *Suppose $\varphi(z) = \frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2$ and $0 < p < \infty$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, $H_f \in S_p$ if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|(I - P)(f \circ \tau_z)\|^p dv(z) < \infty$. Furthermore,*

$$(5.27) \quad \|H_f\|_{S_p} \simeq \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \|(I - P)(f \circ \tau_z)\|^p dv(z) \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

6. SIMULTANEOUS MEMBERSHIP OF H_f AND $H_{\bar{f}}$ IN S_p

In order to characterize those f for which both H_f and $H_{\bar{f}}$ are in S_p , we need the following definition.

Definition 6.1. *For $0 < s \leq \infty$, we say that $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}$ is in IMO^s if $MO_{2,r}(f) \in L^s$ for some $r > 0$, where*

$$MO_{2,r}(f)(z) = \left(\frac{1}{|B(z,r)|} \int_{B(z,r)} |f - \hat{f}_r(z)|^2 dv \right)^{1/2}$$

and \hat{f}_r is the average function defined on \mathbb{C}^n by

$$\hat{f}_r(z) = \frac{1}{|B(z,r)|} \int_{B(z,r)} f dv.$$

For further details, see [16], where the IMO spaces were introduced.

The following lemma shows the connection between IMO and IDA.

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose $0 < p \leq \infty$. Then for $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $f \in \text{IDA}^p$ and $\bar{f} \in \text{IDA}^p$ if and only if $f \in \text{IMO}^p$. Furthermore,*

$$\|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p} + \|\bar{f}\|_{\text{IDA}^p} \simeq \|f\|_{\text{IMO}^p}.$$

Proof. The conclusion for $1 < p \leq \infty$ is essentially Proposition 2.5 in [16]. As before, we denote by $Q_{z,r}$ the Bergman projection of $L^2(B(z,r), dv)$ onto $A^2(B(z,r), dv)$. If $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, set $h_1 = Q_{z,r}(f)$ and $h_2 = Q_{z,r}(\bar{f})$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{|B(z,r)|} \int_{B(z,r)} |f - h_1|^2 dv = G_r(f)(z)^2,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|B(z,r)|} \int_{B(z,r)} |\bar{f} - h_2|^2 dv = G_r(\bar{f})(z)^2.$$

Set

$$c(z) = \operatorname{Re} \frac{h_1 + h_2}{2}(z) + i \operatorname{Im} \frac{h_1 - h_2}{2}(z).$$

As shown in the proof of Proposition 2.5 of [16],

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{|B(z, r)|} \int_{B(z, r)} |f - c(z)|^2 dv \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \{G_r(f)(z) + G_r(\bar{f})(z)\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|B(z, r)|} \int_{B(z, r)} \left| f - \frac{1}{|B(z, r)|} \int_{B(z, r)} f dv \right|^2 dv \\ & \leq \frac{1}{|B(z, r)|} \int_{B(z, r)} |f - c(z)|^2 dv \leq C (G_r(f)(z) + G_r(\bar{f})(z))^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies, for $0 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{\operatorname{IMO}^p} \leq C \{ \|f\|_{\operatorname{IDA}^p} + \|\bar{f}\|_{\operatorname{IDA}^p} \}.$$

The reverse inequality follows from the fact that $\|f\|_{\operatorname{IDA}^p} \leq \|f\|_{\operatorname{IMO}^p}$ and $\|\bar{f}\|_{\operatorname{IDA}^p} \leq \|f\|_{\operatorname{IMO}^p}$ by definition. \square

Theorem 6.3. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and suppose $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is real valued with $i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi \simeq \omega_0$. Then for $f \in \mathcal{S}$, the following statements are equivalent.*

- (A) Both $H_f, H_{\bar{f}} \in S_p(F^2(\varphi), L^2(\varphi))$.
- (B) $f \in \operatorname{IMO}^p$.

Furthermore,

$$(6.1) \quad \|H_f\|_{S_p} + \|H_{\bar{f}}\|_{S_p} \simeq \|f\|_{\operatorname{IMO}^p}.$$

Proof. Given $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and $0 < p < \infty$, the equivalence between (A) and (B) together with the norm estimates (6.1) follow from Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 6.2. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section we prove the Berger-Coburn phenomenon for Schatten p -class Hankel operators when $1 < p < \infty$. For this purpose we employ the Ahlfors-Beurling operator which is a well-known Calderón-Zygmund operator on $L^p(\mathbb{C})$, $1 < p < \infty$, defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{T}(f)(z) = p.v. - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^2} dv(\xi),$$

where $p.v.$ means the Cauchy principal value. See [1] and [3] for further details.

Lemma 7.1. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$. Then there is a constant C depending only on p such that, for $f \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap L^\infty$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,*

$$(7.1) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

Proof. We take $n = 1$ temporarily. Let $f \in C^2(\mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty$. If $\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right\|_{L^p} = 0$, then $f \in H(\mathbb{C}) \cap L^\infty$, which implies f is constant and the estimates in (7.1) follow. So we suppose $\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right\|_{L^p} > 0$. Take $\psi(r) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ to be decreasing such that $\psi(x) = 1$ for $x \leq 0$, $\psi(x) = 0$ for $x \geq 1$, and $0 \leq -\psi'(x) \leq 2$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For $R > 0$ fixed, set $\psi_R(x) = \psi(x - R)$. Now for $f \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^n) \cap L^\infty$, define $f_R(z) = f(z)\psi_R(|z|)$. It is trivial that $f_R(z) \in C_c^2(\mathbb{C})$, the set of C^2 functions on \mathbb{R}^2 with compact support. From Theorem 2.1.1 in [7] we have

$$f_R(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\frac{\partial f_R}{\partial \bar{z}}}{\xi - z} d\xi \wedge d\bar{\xi}.$$

Notice that $\frac{\partial f_R}{\partial \bar{z}} = \psi_R \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} + f \frac{\partial \psi_R}{\partial \bar{z}}$. By Lemma 2 on page 52 in [1], we get

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{\partial f_R}{\partial z}(z) = \mathfrak{T} \left(\frac{\partial f_R}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) (z) = \mathfrak{T} \left(\psi_R \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) (z) + \mathfrak{T} \left(f \frac{\partial \psi_R}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) (z).$$

Now for $r > 0$ and $|z| < r$, when R is sufficiently large, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{T} \left(f \frac{\partial \psi_R}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) (z) \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^\infty}}{\pi(R-r)^2} \int_{R \leq |\xi| \leq R+1} dv(\xi) \leq \frac{3R\|f\|_{L^\infty}}{(R-r)^2},$$

and hence

$$(7.3) \quad \left\| \mathfrak{T} \left(f \frac{\partial \psi_R}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \right\|_{L^p(D(0,r),dv)} \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

On the other hand, by the boundeness of \mathfrak{T} on L^p (see for example, Theorem 4.5.3 in [3], or the estimate (11) on page 53 in [1]), we get

$$(7.4) \quad \left\| \mathfrak{T} \left(\psi_R \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right) \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \left\| \psi_R \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

From (7.2), (7.3) and (7.4) we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^p(D(0,r),dv)} = \left\| \frac{\partial f_R}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^p(D(0,r),dv)} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

Therefore,

$$(7.5) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

Now for $n \geq 2$ and $f \in L^\infty \cap C^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$, from (7.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(\xi) \right|^p dv(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} dv(\xi') \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}(\xi_1, \xi') \right|^p dv(\xi_1) \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{C}^{n-1}} dv(\xi') \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_1}(\xi_1, \xi') \right|^p dv(\xi_1). \end{aligned}$$

This implies (7.1) for $j = 1$. Similarly, we have (7.1) for $j = 2, \dots, n$, which completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Suppose $1 < p < \infty$ and $H_f \in S_p$. By Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p} \simeq \|H_f\|_{S_p} < \infty.$$

We decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$ as in Theorem 2.6. Then, since $M_{2,r}(\bar{f}_2) = M_{2,r}(f_2) \in L^p$, we have $H_{\bar{f}_2} \in S_p$ and

$$(7.6) \quad \|H_{\bar{f}_2}\|_{S_p} \leq C \|M_{2,r}(f_2)\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}.$$

In addition, since $f \in L^\infty$, as in (5.3) of [15], we may assume

$$\|f_1\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|f\|_{L^\infty},$$

where the constant C is independent of f . We now apply Lemma 7.1 to obtain

$$\left\| \frac{\partial \bar{f}_1}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right\|_{L^p} = \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_j} \right\|_{L^p} \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right\|_{L^p}.$$

This and (5.3) yield

$$(7.7) \quad \|H_{\bar{f}_1}\|_{S_p} \leq C \|\bar{\partial} \bar{f}_1\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\bar{\partial} f_1\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p}.$$

It follows from (7.6), (7.7) and Theorem 1.2 that

$$\|H_{\bar{f}}\|_{S_p} \leq C \|f\|_{\text{IDA}^p} \leq C \|H_f\|_{S_p},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgments. Zhangjian Hu was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11101139). Jani Virtanen was supported in part by Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) grant EP/T008636/1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L. V.: Lectures on quasiconformal mappings. Second edition. University Lecture Series, 38. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [2] Arazy, J., Fisher, S. D., Peetre, J.: Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces. Amer. J. Math. 110 (1988), no. 6, 989–1053.

- [3] Astala, K., Iwaniec, T., Martin, G.: Elliptic partial differential equations and quasiconformal mappings in the plane. Princeton Mathematical Series, 48. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [4] Bauer, W.: Mean oscillation and Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 52 (2005), no. 1, 1–15.
- [5] Bauer, W.: Hilbert-Schmidt Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), no. 10, 2989–2996.
- [6] Berger, C. A., Coburn, L. A.: Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 301 (1987), no. 2, 813–829.
- [7] Chen, S., Shaw, M.: Partial differential equations in several complex variables. AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 19. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Boston, MA, 2001.
- [8] Cho, H. R., Zhu, K.: Fock-Sobolev spaces and their Carleson measures. *J. Funct. Anal.* 263 (2012), no. 8, 2483–2506.
- [9] Christ, M.: On the $\bar{\partial}$ equation in weighted L^2 norms in \mathbf{C}^1 . *J. Geom. Anal.* 1 (1991), no. 3, 193–230.
- [10] Delin, H.: Pointwise estimates for the weighted Bergman projection kernel in \mathbf{C}^n , using a weighted L^2 estimate for the $\bar{\partial}$ equation. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 48 (1998), no. 4, 967–997.
- [11] Dunford, N., Schwartz, J. T.: Linear operators. Part II. Spectral theory. Self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space. Reprint of the 1963 original. Wiley Classics Library. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1988.
- [12] Fang, Q., Xia J.: Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces and norm ideals. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory* 12 (2018), no. 3, 629–668.
- [13] Hagger, R., Virtanen, J. A.: Compact Hankel operators with bounded symbols, *J. Operator Theory* (to appear). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.09901>
- [14] Hu, Z., Lv, X.: Toeplitz operators on Fock spaces $F^p(\varphi)$. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 80 (2014), no. 1, 33–59.
- [15] Hu, Z., Virtanen, J. A.: IDA and Hankel operators on Fock spaces (preprint).
- [16] Hu, Z., Wang, E.: Hankel operators between Fock spaces. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 90 (2018), no. 3, Paper No. 37, 20 pp.
- [17] Hörmander, L.: L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator. *Acta Math.* 113 (1965), 89–152.
- [18] Isralowitz, J.: Schatten p class Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space $H^2(\mathbf{C}^n, d\mu)$ for $0 < p < 1$. *J. Operator Theory* 66 (2011), no. 1, 145–160.
- [19] Isralowitz, J., Virtanen, J. A., Wolf, L.: Schatten class Toeplitz operators on generalized Fock spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 421 (2015), no. 1, 329–337.
- [20] Isralowitz, J., Zhu, K.: Toeplitz operators on the Fock space. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 66 (2010), no. 4, 593–611.
- [21] Li, H., Luecking, D. H.: Schatten class of Hankel and Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space of strongly pseudoconvex domains. *Multivariable operator theory* (Seattle, WA, 1993), 237–257, *Contemp. Math.*, 185, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995.
- [22] Luecking, D. H.: Characterizations of certain classes of Hankel operators on the Bergman spaces of the unit disk. *J. Funct. Anal.* 110 (1992), no. 2, 247–271.
- [23] Luecking, D. H.: Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 73 (1987), no. 2, 345–368.

- [24] Pau, J.: Characterization of Schatten-class Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces. *Duke Math. J.* 165 (2016), no. 14, 2771–2791.
- [25] Peller, V.: Hankel operators and their applications. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [26] Schuster, A. P., Varolin, D.: Toeplitz operators and Carleson measures on generalized Bargmann-Fock spaces. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 72 (2012), no. 3, 363–392.
- [27] Seip, K., Youssfi, E. H.: Hankel operators on Fock spaces and related Bergman kernel estimates. *J. Geom. Anal.* 23 (2013), no. 1, 170–201.
- [28] Stroethoff, K.: Hankel and Toeplitz operators on the Fock space. *Michigan Math. J.* 39 (1992), no. 1, 3–16.
- [29] Xia, J.: Hankel operators in the Bergman space and Schatten p -classes: the case $1 < p < 2$. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 129 (2001), no. 12, 3559–3567.
- [30] Xia, J., Zheng, D.: Standard deviation and Schatten class Hankel operators on the Segal-Bargmann space. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 53 (2004), no. 5, 1381–1399.
- [31] Zhu, K.: Schatten class Hankel operators on the Bergman space of the unit ball. *Amer. J. Math.* 113 (1991), no. 1, 147–167.
- [32] Zhu, K.: Operator theory in function spaces. Second edition. *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, 138. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [33] Zhu, K.: Analysis on Fock spaces. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 263. Springer, New York, 2012.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, ZHEJIANG, CHINA

Email address: huzj@zjhu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF READING, ENGLAND AND
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, HELSINKI, FINLAND

Email address: j.a.virtanen@reading.ac.uk, jani.virtanen@helsinki.fi