

# ON THE CORONA PROBLEM FOR STRONGLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

AKAKI TIKARADZE

ABSTRACT. In this note we solve that the corona problem for strongly pseudoconvex domains under a certain assumption on the level sets of the corona data. This result settles a question of S. Krantz [K].

Recall that given a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , the corona problem for  $\Omega$  asks if the following question has an affirmative answer. Throughout  $H^\infty(\Omega)$  denotes the algebra of bounded holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$ .

**The corona problem.** Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ . Assume that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\sum_j |f_j(z)| > \epsilon$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Does there exist  $g_j \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  so that

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j.$$

The corona problem for the unit disc was settled affirmatively by Carleson in 1962 in his celebrated paper [C]. Later on the corona problem was solved positively for many planar domains (see for example [GJ]). Currently, there is no known domain in  $\mathbb{C}$  for which the corona problem has a negative answer.

The case for high dimensional domains is a lot more complicated: there are examples of smooth pseudoconvex domains for which the corona problem has a negative answer (see [FS], [S].) Meanwhile, the corona problem is still widely open for such basic domains as balls and polydiscs. More generally, the answer to the corona problem is unknown for any strongly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ .

To motivate our result, note that the assumption on functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  in the corona problem states that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\bigcap_i |f_i|^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon) = \emptyset$ . We solve the corona problem (for a class of domains) assuming that the intersection of closures of  $|f_i|^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is empty.

To state our result, it will be convenient to use the following definition.

**Definition 0.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a domain. We say that  $\Omega$  is a  $\bar{\partial}L^\infty$ -domain if for any smooth, bounded,  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form  $\omega$  on  $\Omega$ , there exists a smooth bounded form  $\omega'$  so that  $\omega = \bar{\partial}(\omega')$ .

Example of  $\bar{\partial}L^\infty$ -domains include products of  $C^2$ -smooth strongly pseudoconvex domains [SH], as well as smooth bounded pseudoconvex finite type domains [R].

**Theorem 0.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a  $\bar{\partial}L^\infty$ -domain. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ . Suppose that there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  so that*

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^m \overline{|f_j|^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)} = \emptyset.$$

*Then there exists  $g_j \in H^\infty(\Omega)$  so that*

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j.$$

For  $m = 2$ , this result was obtained by Krantz [K]. In [P] a similar conclusion is obtained under a much more restrictive assumption that there exist  $j \neq k$  such that  $\overline{|f_j|^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)} \cap \overline{|f_k|^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)} \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset$ .

For the proof we need to recall the following crucial result which should be well-known to experts.

**Lemma 0.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a  $\bar{\partial}L^\infty$ -domain. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in H^\infty(\Omega)$ . Assume there exist  $g_j \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  so that  $g_j, \bar{\partial}g_j$  are bounded and*

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j g_j.$$

*Then there exists  $h_j \in H^\infty(\Omega)$  such that*

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^m f_j h_j.$$

Its proof uses the usual technique of the Koszul complex of the sequence  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  and is identical to that of [[ST], Corollary 3] where functions  $g_j$  are taken to be  $\bar{f}_j / \sum_j |f_j|^2$ . We give the proof in an effort to keep this note self contained.

*Proof of Lemma 0.1.* At first, we define the Koszul complex on the sequence  $(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  with coefficients in bounded  $C^\infty$ -differential forms on  $\Omega$ . Let

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{C}e_i, \quad K_{j,l} = \Lambda^j V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} C_{0,l}^\infty(\Omega),$$

where  $C_{0,l}^\infty(\Omega)$  denotes the space of  $(C^\infty)$  smooth  $(0, l)$ -forms on  $\Omega$ . Then we have the Koszul differential  $b: K_{j,l} \rightarrow K_{j-1,l}$  defined by the formula

$$b((e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_t}) \otimes \omega) = \sum_{p=1}^t (-1)^{p+1} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{e}_{i_p} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_t} \otimes (f_{i_p} \omega).$$

One can easily check that  $b^2 = 0$ . We also have the  $\bar{\partial}$  operator:  $\bar{\partial} : K_{j,l} \rightarrow K_{j,l+1}$  defined as follows:

$$\bar{\partial}((e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_t}) \otimes \omega) = (e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_t}) \otimes \bar{\partial}(\omega).$$

Clearly  $b$  and  $\bar{\partial}$  commute. So,  $\text{Ker}(\bar{\partial}) \cap K_{0,l}$  is the space of  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $(0, l)$  forms. Remark that our assumption of  $(f_1, \cdots, f_m)$  implies that for any bounded  $x \in K_{j,l}$  such that  $b(x) = 0$ , there exists a bounded  $x' \in K_{j+1,l}$  with  $b(x') = x$ . Indeed, it is straightforward to check that the following choice of  $x' = \eta(x)$  works:

$$\eta(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m e_j \wedge g_j x, \quad b(\eta(x)) = x$$

To prove the lemma we show a more general statement: If  $x \in K_{j,l}$  is bounded and

$$b(x) = \bar{\partial}(x) = 0,$$

then there exists a bounded  $x' \in K_{j+1,l}$  such that

$$b(x') = x, \bar{\partial}(x') = 0.$$

We proceed by downwards induction on  $l$ . The base case of  $l = n + 1$  obviously holds. Since  $x = b(\eta(x))$ , then

$$0 = \bar{\partial}(b(\eta(x))) = b(\bar{\partial}(\eta(x))).$$

So we may apply the inductive hypothesis to  $\bar{\partial}(\eta(x))$ : there exists bounded  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed  $y \in K_{j+1,l+1}$  such that  $b(y) = \bar{\partial}(\eta(x))$ . Now, since  $\Omega$  is a  $\bar{\partial}L^\infty$ -domain, there exists bounded  $z \in K_{j+1,l}$  so that  $\bar{\partial}(z) = y$ . Hence,

$$\bar{\partial}(b(z)) = \bar{\partial}(\eta(x)).$$

Finally, put  $x_1 = \eta(x) - b(z)$ . Then  $b(x_1) = x$  and  $x_1$  is a bounded  $\bar{\partial}$ -closed form, as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 0.1.* Put  $U_j = \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \overline{|f_j|^{-1}(-\epsilon, \epsilon)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ . So  $U_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  is an open cover of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\rho_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  be a smooth partition of unity corresponding to this cover. So  $\sum_j \rho_j = 1$  and  $\text{supp}(\rho_j) \subset U_j$ . In particular  $\|\bar{\partial}(\rho_j)\|_\infty < \infty$  for all  $j$ . Then

$$\|\bar{\partial}(\frac{\rho_j}{f_j})\|_\infty \leq \epsilon^{-1} \|\bar{\partial}(\rho_j)\|_\infty, \quad \|\frac{\rho_j}{f_j}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon^{-1} \|\rho_j\|_\infty, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

Put

$$g_j = \frac{\rho_j}{f_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

Then  $g_j, \bar{\partial}(g_j)$  are bounded for all  $j$  and

$$1 = \sum_j f_j g_j.$$

Thus we are done by Lemma 0.1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [C] L. Carleson, *Interpolation by bounded analytic functions and the corona problem*, Ann. of Math. 76(1962), 547–559.
- [FS] J. E. Fornæss, N. Sibony, *Smooth pseudoconvex domains in  $C^2$  for which the corona theorem and  $L^p$  estimates for  $\bar{\partial}$  fail*, Complex analysis and geometry, 209–222, Univ. Ser. Math., Plenum, New York, 1993.
- [GJ] J. B. Garnett, P. W. Jones, *The corona theorem for Denjoy domains*, Acta Math. 155(1985), 27–40.
- [K] S. Krantz, *The Corona Problem with two pieces of data*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 10, 3651–3655.
- [P] O. Preda, *The corona problem with restrictions on the relative position of sublevel sets*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 105 (2015), no. 6, 563–569.
- [R] M. Range, *Integral kernels and Hölder estimates for  $\bar{\partial}$  on pseudoconvex domains of finite type in  $\mathbb{C}^2$* , Math. Ann. 288 (1990), no. 1, 63–74.
- [S] N. Sibony, *Problème de la couronne pour des domaines pseudoconvexes ‘à bord lisse*, Ann. of Math. 126(1987), 675–682.
- [SH] A. G. Sergeev and G. M. Henkin, *Uniform estimates of the solutions of the  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation in pseudoconvex polyhedra*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 112(154) (1980), no. 4(8), 522–567, translation in Math. USSR-Sb. 40 (1981), no. 4, 469–507.
- [ST] S Şahutoğlu, A. Tikaradze, *On a theorem of Bishop and commutants of Toeplitz operators in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 68 (2019), no. 2, 237–246.

*Email address:* Akaki.Tikaradze@utoledo.edu

UNIVERSITY OF TOLEDO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS & STATISTICS, TOLEDO, OH 43606, USA