

FINITENESS THEOREMS FOR POTENTIALLY EQUIVALENT GALOIS REPRESENTATIONS: EXTENSION OF FALTINGS' FINITENESS CRITERIA

PLAWAN DAS AND C. S. RAJAN

ABSTRACT. We study the relationship between potential equivalence and character theory; we observe that potential equivalence of a representation ρ is determined by an equality of an m -power character $g \mapsto \text{Tr}(\rho(g^m))$ for some natural number m . Using this, we extend Faltings' finiteness criteria to determine the equivalence of two ℓ -adic, semisimple representations of the absolute Galois group of a number field, to the context of potential equivalence.

We also discuss finiteness results for twist unramified representations.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Twisted good reduction. Let X be a smooth projective variety over a number field K and v be a finite prime in K . Let K_v denote the completion of K at v and \mathcal{O}_v the ring of v -adic integers in K_v . The variety X is said to have good reduction at v if X has a smooth model \mathcal{X}_v over \mathcal{O}_v , i.e., the generic fibre $\mathcal{X}_v \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_v)} \text{Spec}(K_v)$ of \mathcal{X}_v is isomorphic to $X \times_{\text{Spec}(K)} \text{Spec}(K_v)$ and \mathcal{X}_v is a smooth projective variety over \mathcal{O}_v .

In ([Fon]), Fontaine showed that there are no abelian varieties over \mathbb{Q} with everywhere good reduction. It can be seen that if a smooth projective curve C of genus at least one defined over K has good reduction at v , then its Jacobian $J(C)$ also acquires good reduction at v . In particular, Fontaine's theorem implies that there are no smooth projective curves of genus at least one over \mathbb{Q} which has everywhere good reduction.

In a bid to construct higher genus curves with everywhere good reduction over number fields of small degree, Baaziz and Boxall in ([BB]), introduced the notion of *twisted good reduction* of smooth projective varieties. A smooth projective variety X defined over a number field K is said to have *twisted good reduction at a finite place v* , if there exists a smooth projective variety X' defined over K which has good reduction at v and is a form of X , i.e., becomes isomorphic to X over a finite extension L of K .

Baaziz and Boxall constructed infinitely many pairs (K, C) consisting of a genus two curve C defined over a quadratic number field K that has everywhere twisted good reduction. They also showed the finiteness of isomorphism classes of elliptic curves defined over a number field K with twisted good reduction outside a finite set S of places of K . The proof of this latter result follows the lines of the original argument due to Shafarevich (see [Se1, Chapter IV]) and rests on Siegel's lemma on transcendence.

One of our aims here is to generalize this finiteness result to the context of ℓ -adic representations. For this, we make use of Faltings' finiteness theorems for pure ℓ -adic representations ([D], [FW, Chapter V, Section 2]). The finiteness criteria proved by Faltings, is that given a natural number n , a non-archimedean local field F of characteristic zero and a finite set S of places of K , there exists a fixed finite set T of finite places of K ,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F80; Secondary 11G05, 11G10.

disjoint from the given set S , such that the traces of the Frobenius conjugacy classes at the places belonging to T , serves to uniquely determine a continuous, semisimple representation from G_K to $GL_n(F)$ that is unramified outside S . From this, assuming a purity hypothesis on the representations, finiteness results are deduced.

When A is an abelian variety over K , Serre and Tate ([ST]), extending the work of Néron, Ogg, and Shafarevich on elliptic curves, showed that A has good reduction at a finite place v of K , if and only if the representation of G_K on the Tate module $V_\ell(A)$ attached to A is unramified at v , for all ℓ not equal to the residue characteristic at v . In particular, this allows us to recover the results of Baaziz and Boxall alluded to above; also generalize it to abelian varieties with ‘sufficiently large’ algebraic monodromy groups.

1.2. Potential equivalence. In ([PR2]), refined versions of strong multiplicity one theorem were considered for potentially equivalent ℓ -adic representations of the absolute Galois group G_K . The refinement consists in weakening the hypothesis of the multiplicity one theorem by assuming only that the given representations are locally potentially equivalent at a set of places of positive upper density.

One can consider other refinements of the strong multiplicity one theorem; for example, the analytic forms of the strong multiplicity one theorem, works with *finitely* many local representations attached to the archimedean places and finite places with a bound on the cardinality of the residue field depending on the analytic conductors.

The above considerations lead us to consider extending Faltings’ theorem to the context of potentially equivalent representations. This is achieved by first observing that in our context, potential equivalence for fixed K, n and F is determined by the m -trace function $\chi_\rho^{[m]} : g \mapsto \text{Tr}(\rho(g^m))$ attached to a semisimple representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$, for some natural number m depending on the parameters involved. Using Newton identities, we linearize the m -trace function and then appeal to Faltings’ method to prove the required generalization.

2. POTENTIAL EQUIVALENCE AND m -POWER CHARACTERS

In this section, we give a generalization of character theory to determine potential equivalence of representations. Various parts of this relationship have been proved earlier in ([PR1], [PR2]). The exposition here possibly brings more clarity to the relationship between the two concepts.

Two representations of a group are said to be *potentially equivalent* if they become isomorphic upon restricting to a subgroup of finite index.

Definition 1. Given a linear representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow GL_n(F)$, define its *m -power character* (or *m -character* or *m -trace*) $\chi_\rho^{[m]}$ to be the function $g \mapsto \text{Tr}(\rho(g^m))$ for $g \in \Gamma$.

Two representations of Γ to $GL_n(F)$ are said to be *m -character equivalent* if their m -character functions coincide.

For what follows, we could have also worked with the semisimple parts of the Jordan decomposition of these elements in the above definition. When $m = 1$, the m -trace of ρ is the character of ρ , and we obtain the classical result that the character of a semisimple representation determines the representation.

Theorem 1. *Suppose Γ is an abstract group and ρ_1, ρ_2 are semisimple representations of Γ to $GL_n(F)$, where F is a field of characteristic zero. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The representations ρ_1, ρ_2 are potentially equivalent.*
- (2) *For some natural number m , the m -power characters are equal:*

$$\chi_{\rho_1}^{[m]} = \chi_{\rho_2}^{[m]}.$$

Proof. (1) \implies (2) is obvious. To prove the reverse implication, let $\rho := \rho_1 \times \rho_2$ be the product map from Γ to $GL_n(F) \times GL_n(F)$. Denote by G the Zariski closure of the image $\rho(\Gamma)$ in $GL_n \times GL_n$. Let p_1 and p_2 denote respectively the first and the second projections of G to GL_n . The restrictions of these projections to $\rho(\Gamma)$ give respectively the representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 . The function $g \mapsto \text{Tr}(g^m)$ is a rational function on GL_n . By Zariski density of $\rho(\Gamma)$ in G ,

$$\chi_{p_1}^{[m]}(g) = \chi_{p_2}^{[m]}(g) \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Let G^0 denote the connected component of identity in G . Since the m -th power map is surjective in a connected algebraic group, $\chi_{p_1}(g) = \chi_{p_2}(g)$ for all $g \in G^0$. The representations being semisimple, it follows that G^0 is reductive. From the equality of characters, it follows that the $p_1 \simeq p_2$ restricted to G^0 . Hence the representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 are equivalent restricted to the subgroup $\Gamma^0 = \rho^{-1}(\rho(\Gamma) \cap G^0(F))$ of finite index in Γ . \square

2.1. Elementwise potential equivalence and local field valued representations. While considering potential equivalence, it is natural to consider an extension where we allow an equality of the m -power trace, but with m depending on the element of the group.

Definition 2. Two representations ρ_1, ρ_2 of Γ to $GL_n(F)$ are said to be *elementwise potentially equivalent* if for any $g \in \Gamma$, there exists a natural number $m_g \geq 1$ such that the elements $\rho_1(g)^{m_g}$ and $\rho_2(g)^{m_g}$ are conjugate in $GL_n(F)$.

We now show that when the representations are valued in a non-archimedean local field F , then elementwise potential equivalence and potential equivalence are equivalent:

Theorem 2. *Suppose Γ is an abstract group and ρ_1, ρ_2 are semisimple representations of Γ to $GL_n(F)$, where F is a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The representations ρ_1, ρ_2 are potentially equivalent.*
- (2) *The representations ρ_1, ρ_2 are elementwise potentially equivalent.*
- (3) *There exists a natural number m depending only on n and F , such that the m -power characters are equal:*

$$\chi_{\rho_1}^{[m]} = \chi_{\rho_2}^{[m]}.$$

We need to only prove the implication (2) \implies (3). This follows from the following lemma:

Lemma 3. *There exists a positive integer m depending only on n and F with the following property: suppose g_1, g_2 are any two elements in $GL_n(F)$ and suppose there exists a natural number $k \geq 1$ such that g_1^k and g_2^k are conjugate in $GL_n(F)$. Then, g_1^m and g_2^m are conjugate in $GL_n(F)$.*

Proof. Let E be the extension of F in a separable closure \bar{F} of F , obtained as the compositum of splitting fields of degree n polynomials with coefficients in F . Since there are only finitely many extensions of a local field of bounded degree, E is a finite extension of F . In particular it is a local field. Hence, there are only finitely many roots of unity in E ; let this cardinality be m .

We can assume that g_1 and g_2 are semisimple. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ (resp. $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$) be the eigenvalues of g_1 (resp. g_2). Since these are roots of the characteristic polynomials of g_1 and g_2 , they lie in E . By hypothesis, up to a permutation

$$\alpha_i^k = \beta_i^k, \quad (\forall 1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Hence α_i and β_i differ by a root of unity, which lies in E . Thus from the above comment, we have $\alpha_i^m = \beta_i^m$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore both g_1^m and g_2^m are conjugate in $GL_n(\bar{F})$, hence g_1^m and g_2^m are conjugate in $GL_n(F)$. \square

2.2. Potential equivalence for ℓ -adic representations. We now consider potential equivalence in the context of ℓ -adic representations of the absolute Galois group of number fields. Chebotarev-type density results allow us to work with Frobenius conjugacy classes in determining potential equivalence of representations.

We first fix some notation. For a place v of K let K_v denote the completion of K at v . Choosing a place w of \bar{K} lying above v allows us to identify G_{K_v} with the decomposition subgroup D_w of G_K . As w varies this gives a conjugacy class of subgroups of G_K . Given a representation ρ of G_K as above, define the localization (or the local component) ρ_v of ρ at v , to be the representation of G_{K_v} obtained by restricting ρ to a decomposition subgroup. This is well-defined up to isomorphism.

Denote by I_v the inertia subgroup of G_{K_v} . Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and residue characteristic ℓ . A continuous representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ is unramified at a finite place v of K if its localization ρ_v is trivial restricted to an inertia group I_v at v . We recall that the upper density of a set S of finite places of K is defined as:

$$ud(S) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \#\{v \in S \mid Nv \leq x\} / \pi(x),$$

where $\pi(x)$ is the number of finite places v of K with $Nv \leq x$. Here Nv denotes the cardinality of the residue field k_v of K_v .

Definition 3. Suppose ρ_1, ρ_2 are representations of G_K to $GL_n(F)$, and let T be a set of places of K . The representations are said to be *locally potentially equivalent* at the set of places T of K , if the restrictions $\rho_{1,v}$ and $\rho_{2,v}$ to the local Galois groups G_{K_v} are potentially equivalent at the places v belonging to T .

Given a continuous representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ and a place v of K where ρ is unramified, let $\rho(\sigma_v)$ denote the Frobenius conjugacy class in the image group $G_K / \text{Ker}(\rho) \simeq \rho(G_K) \subset GL_n(F)$. By an abuse of notation, we will also continue to denote by $\rho(\sigma_v)$ an element in the associated conjugacy class.

Theorem 4. *Let K be a number field and F a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. Suppose ρ_1 and ρ_2 are continuous, semisimple representations of G_K to $GL_n(F)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 are potentially equivalent.*
- (2) *There exists a set of places T of K of upper density one such that the local components $\rho_{1,v}$ and $\rho_{2,v}$ are potentially equivalent as representations of G_{K_v} .*

(3) There exists a positive integer m depending only on n and F such that at a set of places T of upper density one of K which are unramified for ρ_1 and ρ_2 ,

$$\mathrm{Tr} \rho_1(\sigma_v^m) = \mathrm{Tr} \rho_2(\sigma_v^m), \quad v \in T. \quad (2.1)$$

(4) The representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 are m -trace equivalent for some natural number m .

Proof. The implication (1) \implies (2) is obvious, and (4) \implies (1) is proved above.

For the implication that (2) \implies (3), it is proved in ([KR]) that the collection of ramified places for any continuous semisimple representation of G_K is of density zero. Hence, after removing a set of places of density zero, it can be assumed that at a set T of finite places of K that are unramified for ρ_1 and ρ_2 and having upper density one, the following holds true: for each $v \in T$, there is some natural number k_v such that the conjugacy classes $\rho_1(\sigma_v^{k_v})$ and $\rho_2(\sigma_v^{k_v})$ are conjugate in $GL_n(F)$. Part (3) now follows from Theorem 2.

Hence it is left to prove (3) \implies (4). We first recall the following algebraic analogue of Chebotarev density theorem ([Ra]), giving an algebraic interpretation of results proved in Section 6 (especially Proposition 15) of ([Se2]):

Theorem 5. [Ra, Theorem 3] *Let M be an algebraic group defined over a ℓ -adic local field F of characteristic zero. Suppose*

$$\rho : G_K \rightarrow M(F)$$

is a continuous representation unramified outside a set S of places of K of density zero.

Suppose X is a closed subscheme of M defined over F and stable under the adjoint action of M on itself. Let $C := X(F) \cap \rho(G_K)$. Let G_ρ be the algebraic monodromy group attached to ρ over F , i.e., the smallest algebraic subgroup G_ρ of M defined over F such that $\rho(G_K) \subset M(F)$.

Then the set $T := \{v \notin S \mid \rho(\sigma_v) \subset C\}$, has a density given by

$$d(T) = \frac{|\Psi|}{|\Phi|},$$

where Φ is the set of connected components of G_ρ , and Ψ is the set of those $\phi \in \Phi$ such that the corresponding connected component G_ρ^ϕ of G_ρ is contained in X .

Remark. The foregoing theorem is proved in ([Ra]), under the assumption that S is finite. The proof goes through without any change upon assuming that S is a set of places of density zero. The results of ([KR]) ensure that for any continuous semisimple representation of G_K , the set of ramified places is of density zero. This allows us to frame the hypothesis of Theorem 5 for general continuous semisimple representations rather than requiring that they be unramified outside a finite set S of places of K .

Consider the subset of $GL_n \times GL_n$ consisting of elements with the same ‘ m -trace’:

$$X^{[m]} := \{(g_1, g_2) \in GL_n \times GL_n \mid \mathrm{Tr}(g_1^m) = \mathrm{Tr}(g_2^m)\}.$$

The set $X^{[m]}$ is a conjugacy invariant, Zariski closed subvariety of $GL_n \times GL_n$. Let G be the Zariski closure of the image $\rho(G_K) := (\rho_1 \times \rho_2)(G_K)$ of G_K in $GL_n \times GL_n$, and G^0 be the connected component of identity in G . From equation (2.1), at the places $v \in T$, the corresponding pair $(\rho_1(\sigma_v), \rho_2(\sigma_v))$ belongs to $X^{[m]}$. By Assertion (3), the set of places T has upper density one. Applying Theorem 5, it follows that $G \subset X^{[m]}$. In particular, this yields Part (4) of the theorem, assuming (3).

□

3. FINITENESS CRITERIA FOR POTENTIAL EQUIVALENCE

In [Fa], Faltings gave a finite criteria for the equivalence of two ℓ -adic representations of the absolute Galois group G_K of a number field K , which are unramified outside a fixed finite set S of places of K :

Theorem 6 (Faltings). *Let K be a number field and ℓ a rational prime. Let S be a finite set of non-archimedean places of K containing the places dividing ℓ of K , and F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and residue characteristic ℓ . Fix a natural number n .*

Then there exists a finite set T of finite places of K , disjoint from S , such that the isomorphism class of any continuous semi-simple representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ unramified outside S , is determined uniquely by the finite collection $\{Tr \rho(\sigma_v) \mid v \in T\}$, where $\rho(\sigma_v)$ denotes the Frobenius conjugacy class corresponding to the place v in the group $\rho(G_K)$ and Tr is the trace of a square matrix.

We now extend Faltings' criteria to the context of m -power characters:

Theorem 7. *Let K be a number field. Fix a rational prime ℓ and natural numbers n, a and m . Let S be a finite set of places of K containing the archimedean places and the places above ℓ of K .*

Then there exists a finite set T of finite places of K disjoint from S , with the following property: suppose $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ are two continuous semisimple representations of G_K , where F is a non-archimedean field with residue field of cardinality ℓ^a . Assume that for v not in S , the inertia at v acts by scalar matrices of order dividing m , and that the representations satisfy the following hypothesis:

$$Tr \rho_1(\sigma_v^m) = Tr \rho_2(\sigma_v^m), \quad \text{for } v \in T.$$

Then ρ_1 and ρ_2 are potentially isomorphic.

We make two remarks: suppose w is a place of \bar{K} lying above v not in S . By hypothesis, the inertia at w acts by a scalar. As we vary the place w lying above v , the conjugacy class of Frobenius elements $\rho(\sigma_w)$ are well-defined only up to scalar matrices of order dividing m , where m is chosen as in Lemma 3. However the conjugacy class $\rho(\sigma_v^m)$ is well-defined.

Note also that the field F is allowed to vary: only the cardinality of its residue field is fixed. For example, one can work with F , a totally ramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_ℓ without affecting the finiteness bounds.

The proof of this theorem follows that of Faltings' theorem, once the function $\chi^{[m]}$ is linearized using Newton's identities. The proof is given in the following steps:

(1) *Construction of T .* Let $V = F^n$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\Lambda^i V$ denote the i -th exterior vector space associated to V . For any n -tuple of non-negative integers $\bar{r} := (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, consider the vector space,

$$\Lambda^{\bar{r}} V := V^{\otimes r_1} \otimes (\Lambda^2 V)^{\otimes r_2} \otimes \dots \otimes (\Lambda^n V)^{\otimes r_n}.$$

Given a representation ρ of G_K on V , there is an associated representation $\Lambda^{\bar{r}} \rho$ on the space $\Lambda^{\bar{r}} V$. Define the degree $d(\bar{r})$ of \bar{r} as $d(\bar{r}) = r_1 + 2r_2 + \dots + nr_n$. Denote by $\dim(\bar{r}, V)$, the dimension of the space $\Lambda^{\bar{r}} V$.

The hypothesis that the inertial action outside S acts by scalar matrices of order dividing m , implies that the associated representations $\Lambda^{\bar{r}}\rho$ are unramified outside S provided $d(\bar{r}) = m$. Let

$$d_m = 2 \left(\sum_{d(\bar{r})=m} \dim(\bar{r}, V)^2 \right).$$

It follows from the finiteness theorem of Hermite-Minkowski that there are only finitely many Galois extensions L/K that are unramified outside S and degree bounded by ℓ^{ad_m} . Let $L^{[m]}$ denote the finite Galois extension of K given by the compositum of these finite extensions.

By Chebotarev density theorem, we choose a finite set T of places of K such that Frobenius conjugacy classes at v of $L^{[m]}/K$ cover all the conjugacy classes of $G(L^{[m]}/K)$.

(2) *Nakayama's lemma and finite generation.* Given a representation ρ of G_K acting on V , consider the associated representation $\rho^{[m]}$ of G_K on $V^{[m]}$, where

$$V^{[m]} = \bigoplus_{d(\bar{r})=m} \Lambda^{\bar{r}} V.$$

Suppose ρ_1 and ρ_2 are as in the hypothesis of the theorem. Let V_1 and V_2 be the underlying vector spaces on which these representations act. The assumption on the action of inertia outside S implies that the representations $\Lambda^{\bar{r}}\rho_1$ and $\Lambda^{\bar{r}}\rho_2$ are unramified outside S , where $d(\bar{r}) = m$. Let \mathcal{O}_F denote the ring of integers of F . Let M be the \mathcal{O}_F submodule of

$$\bigoplus_{d(\bar{r})=m} \text{End}(V_1^{\bar{r}}) \oplus \text{End}(V_2^{\bar{r}}),$$

generated by the image of G_K . Its rank over \mathcal{O}_F is at most d_m .

Let \mathfrak{m}_F denote the maximal ideal in \mathcal{O}_F . The dimension of the quotient module $M/\mathfrak{m}_F M$ over the residue field k_F is at most d_m . Thus the cardinality of the image of the Galois group G_K in $(M/\mathfrak{m}_F M)^*$ is less than ℓ^{ad_m} . Since it is unramified outside S , it is a quotient of $G(L^{[m]}/K)$. By the construction of T , the image of the Frobenius conjugacy classes at $v \in T$ of the representation of G_K on $M/\mathfrak{m}_F M$, generate the quotient module $M/\mathfrak{m}_F M$.

By Nakayama's lemma, it follows that the Frobenius conjugacy classes $(\rho_1^{[m]} \times \rho_2^{[m]})(\sigma_v)$ for $v \in T$ generate the module M .

(3) *Newton's identity.* Newton's identities allow us to write the function $\chi_\rho^{[m]}(g) = \chi_\rho(g^m)$ as an integral linear combination of the characters $\chi_{\Lambda^{\bar{r}}\rho}$ associated to the representation $\Lambda^{\bar{r}}\rho$ of G_K . For any $m \geq 1$, Newton's identity for $g \in GL_n(F)$ gives,

$$\text{Tr}(g^m) = (-1)^m m \sum_{d(\bar{r})=m} \frac{(r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_n - 1)!}{r_1! r_2! \cdots r_n!} \prod_i (-e_i)^{r_i},$$

where $e_i = \text{Tr}(\Lambda^i(g))$ is the i -th elementary symmetric function. Here we have used the fact that since the dimension is n , only the elementary symmetric functions with index at most n contribute to the sum. In terms of characters, this yields the following expression for $\chi_\rho^{[m]}$:

$$\chi_\rho^{[m]}(g) = (-1)^m m \sum_{d(\bar{r})=m} \frac{(r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_n - 1)!}{r_1! r_2! \cdots r_n!} (-1)^{\sum r_i} \chi_{\Lambda^{\bar{r}}\rho}. \quad (3.1)$$

Since the collection of Frobenius conjugacy classes $(\rho_1^{[m]} \times \rho_2^{[m]})(\sigma_v)$ for $v \in T$ generate the \mathcal{O}_F -module M , they also generate the image of M in the module $\text{End}(\Lambda^{\bar{r}}V_1) \oplus \text{End}(\Lambda^{\bar{r}}V_2)$ for $d(\bar{r}) = m$. It follows that the character values $\chi_{\Lambda^{\bar{r}}\rho}$ are determined by their evaluations at this conjugacy classes. The Newton

identity expresses the function $\chi_\rho^{[m]}$ as an integral linear combination of the characters $\chi_{\Lambda^{\bar{r}}\rho}$. Hence under the assumption of the theorem, we obtain

$$\chi_{\rho_1}^{[m]}(g) = \chi_{\rho_2}^{[m]}(g) \quad \forall g \in G_K.$$

(4) *Proof of Theorem 7.* The proof of Theorem 7 is now completed by appealing to Theorem 4.

We now give an extension of Faltings' criteria to the context of potential equivalence, but now we need to work with a fixed local field F :

Corollary 8. *Let K be a number field. Fix a natural numbers n and a non-archimedean local field F of residue characteristic ℓ . Let S be a finite set of places of K containing the archimedean places and the places above ℓ of K .*

Then there exists a finite set T of finite places of K disjoint from S , with the following property: suppose $\rho_1, \rho_2 : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ are two continuous semisimple representations of G_K . Assume that for v not in S , the inertia at v acts by scalar matrices, and that the representations are locally potentially equivalent at the set of places $v \in T$.

Then ρ_1 and ρ_2 are potentially isomorphic.

Since the inertia acts by scalar matrices and the number of roots of unity in a non-archimedean local field is finite, it follows that the inertia acts by finite order scalar matrices. The corollary follows now from choosing m as in Lemma 3 and appealing to the above theorem.

Remark. It would be interesting to extend the foregoing result to global fields, i.e., to function fields of a curve over a finite field. The main issue is to find suitable replacements for the Hermite-Minkowski finiteness theorem. We thank the referee for pointing out the difficulties involved in extending the results to the setting of function fields.

4. PURITY AND FINITENESS THEOREMS FOR POTENTIALLY EQUIVALENT ℓ -ADIC REPRESENTATIONS

4.1. Purity. We recall that an algebraic integer $\alpha \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ is said to be a Weil number of weight w (corresponding to a finite field \mathbb{F}_q or a non-archimedean local field with residue field isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_q), if for any embedding $\phi : \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $|\phi(\alpha)| = q^{w/2}$. The representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ is said to be pure of weight w and degree at most d , if at any finite place v of K where ρ is unramified, the eigenvalues of the Frobenius conjugacy class $\rho(\sigma_v)$ are algebraic integers of weight w and degree at most d over \mathbb{Q} .

We obtain a finiteness result for *potential* equivalence classes of pure semi-simple ℓ -adic global Galois representations. This generalizes Faltings' finiteness result for the equivalence classes of pure semi-simple ℓ -adic global Galois representations.

Theorem 9. *Fix natural numbers n , w and d . Let K be a number field and ℓ a rational prime. Let S be a finite set of non-archimedean places of K containing the places dividing ℓ , and F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and residue characteristic ℓ .*

Then there are, up to potential equivalence, only finitely many continuous, semi-simple Galois representations $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$, such that the inertia at places outside S acts by a scalar matrix, and pure of degree at most d and weight w .

Proof. Since the inertia at a place $v \notin S$ acts by scalars valued in a non-archimedean local field F , it is a central element of finite order. Let m be as in Lemma 3. As in the remark following the statement of Theorem 7, the Frobenius conjugacy class $\rho(\sigma_v^m)$ is well-defined. By Corollary 8, there exists a finite set of places T of K disjoint from S , such that potential equivalence of the Frobenius classes at these places determines the potential equivalence of the representations. It can be seen that there are only finitely many Weil numbers attached to \mathbb{F}_q of degree bounded by d and weight w . Consequently, there are only finitely many choices for the roots of the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(\sigma_v^m)$ for $v \in T$, and hence Theorem 9 follows. \square

Similarly, one can derive a finiteness result using m -power characters with fixed m , but varying F , as in hypothesis of Theorem 7:

Corollary 10. *Fix natural numbers n, ℓ, a, m, w and d . Let K be a number field and ℓ a rational prime. Let S be a finite set of non-archimedean places of K containing the places dividing ℓ , and F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and residue field of cardinality ℓ^a .*

Then there are, up to potential equivalence, only finitely many continuous, semi-simple Galois representations $\rho: G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$, such that the inertia at places outside S acts by a scalar matrix of order dividing m , and pure of degree at most d and weight w .

4.2. Abelian varieties. Suppose A is an abelian variety defined over a number field K . Denote by $T_\ell(A) = \varprojlim_n A[\ell^n]$ the ℓ -adic Tate module attached to A , where $A[\ell^n]$ denotes the group of elements of order ℓ^n in $A(\bar{K})$. Let $V_\ell(A) := \mathbb{Q}_\ell \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell} T_\ell(A)$.

It is shown in ([ST, Theorem 3]), that at a place v where A has potential good reduction, the characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius conjugacy class $\rho(\sigma_v)$ is well-defined and has coefficients belonging to rational integers. Since the weight is one, the assumptions of Theorem 9 are satisfied. Consequently, the following holds:

Corollary 11. *Let K be a number field and S be a finite set of non-archimedean places of K .*

Then there are, up to potential equivalence, only finitely many Abelian varieties of dimension g defined over K , such that the inertia at a place v not in S acts by scalars on a Tate module $V_\ell(A)$ for ℓ coprime to the residue characteristic at v .

Proof. The hypothesis implies that the inertia acts by finite order scalars. Hence the abelian variety has potential good reduction at places v not in S . By ([ST, Theorem 2]), the inertial character takes values in \mathbb{Z} and is independent of ℓ . This limits the choice of the inertial character at places outside S to be quadratic. It is also known that the representation on the Tate module is semi-simple. We can take $m = 2$ in the foregoing corollary to obtain that up to potential equivalence, there are only finitely many Galois representations attached to Tate modules of abelian varieties satisfying the hypothesis of the corollary. The corollary follows as a consequence of the isogeny theorem of Tate-Zarhin-Faltings ([Fa]),

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A, B) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_K}(V_\ell(A), V_\ell(B)),$$

where A and B are abelian varieties defined over K together with the finiteness theorem of Faltings which says that each isogeny class of abelian varieties over the number field K only contains finitely many isomorphism classes. \square

5. TWIST UNRAMIFIED REPRESENTATIONS

In this section, we consider finiteness theorems for twist unramified representations.

5.1. Forms and Dirichlet twists.

Definition 4. Let $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ be a continuous representation of G_K . A *form* ρ' of ρ is a continuous representation $\rho' : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ such that the restrictions of ρ and ρ' to G_L are isomorphic, for some finite extension L of K .

The representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ is *twist unramified* at a finite place v of K if there exists a form ρ' of ρ (possibly depending on v) which is unramified at v .

We consider a more restricted notion of twisting by a finite order character. Recall that a Dirichlet character of K is a continuous character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^\times$ of finite order.

Definition 5. Let K be a number field and S a set of places of K containing the archimedean places of K . A continuous representation $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ is said to be *unramified outside S up to Dirichlet twists*, if for each finite place v not in S , there exists a Dirichlet character χ such that $\rho \otimes \chi$ is unramified at v .

We will say that ρ is *unramified outside S up to F -valued Dirichlet twists* if in addition to the above, the character χ takes values in F^* .

A consequence of Hilbert Theorem 90 and Schur's lemma give a connection between forms and Dirichlet twists:

Proposition 12. *Suppose ρ, ρ' are continuous representations of G_K to $GL_n(F)$. Suppose there is a finite extension L of K such that the restrictions of ρ and ρ' are absolutely irreducible and isomorphic. Then there exists a Dirichlet character χ of G_K with values in F^* such that $\rho' \simeq \rho \otimes \chi$.*

Note that the Dirichlet characters are actually valued in F^* .

Proof. The collection of matrices which conjugate the representation $\rho|_{G_L}$ to $\rho'|_{G_L}$ is a torsor for GL_1 defined over F . By Hilbert Theorem 90, we can assume after conjugating by a matrix in $GL_n(F)$ that $\rho|_{G_L} \equiv \rho'|_{G_L}$.

It can be checked that for every $\sigma \in G_K$, the operator $T(\sigma) := \rho'(\sigma)^{-1}\rho(\sigma)$ intertwines the representations $\rho|_{G_L}$ and $\rho'|_{G_L}$. By Schur's lemma, $T(\sigma) = \chi(\sigma)I_n$, for some character $\chi : G_K \rightarrow \bar{F}^*$. Since $T(\sigma)$ is in $GL_n(F)$, this implies that χ takes values in F^* . □

5.2. Finiteness theorems for twists. The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 9.

Theorem 13. *Let K be a number field and ℓ a rational prime. Let S be a finite set of non-archimedean places of K containing the places dividing ℓ of K , and F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and residue characteristic ℓ . Fix natural numbers n, w and d . Then, up to potential equivalence, there are only finitely many continuous semi-simple representations $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ satisfying the following:*

- *The representations ρ are unramified outside S up to Dirichlet twists.*
- *ρ is pure of weight at most w and degree bounded by d .*

From the foregoing theorem and Proposition 12, we deduce now an analogue of Faltings' finiteness theorem for twist unramified representation:

Theorem 14. *Let K be a number field and ℓ a rational prime. Let S be a finite set of non-archimedean places of K containing the places dividing ℓ of K , and F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero and residue characteristic ℓ . Fix natural numbers n, w and d .*

Then, up to twist by finite order characters $\chi : G_K \rightarrow F^$, there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of continuous semi-simple representations $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_n(F)$ satisfying the following:*

- *The representations ρ are twist unramified outside S .*
- *ρ is pure of weight at most w and degree bounded by d .*
- *The connected component G_ρ° of identity of the algebraic monodromy group G_ρ of ρ acts absolutely irreducibly on F^n .*

As an application of theorem 14, we get finiteness of the set of isomorphism classes of non-CM elliptic curves over a number field with twisted good reduction outside S .

Corollary 15. *Let S be a finite set of primes of K containing the archimedean primes of K . The set of isomorphism class of non-CM elliptic curves defined over K with twisted good reduction outside S is finite up to twists.*

For non-CM elliptic curves, the associated Galois representation on its Tate module $\rho : G_K \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has open image and is an absolutely irreducible representation. Hence it satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 14 with weight $w = 1$. The corollary follows as in the proof of Corollary 11. Note that corollary 15 is already proved in [BB, Theorem 0.3]. They adapt Shafarevich's well-known proof (see [Se1, Chapter IV, Theorem 1.4]) of the corresponding assertions for usual good reduction outside S .

Remark. Theorem 14 can be applied for the Galois representations arising from the Tate module of abelian varieties, provided the algebraic monodromy group is 'sufficiently large' in that and it satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. The theorem will be applicable for general members of modular families of abelian varieties whose Mumford-Tate group satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 14.

From this, one can deduce a finiteness theorem for twist equivalence of higher genus curves, provided the Mumford-Tate group of the Hodge structure associated to the first cohomology is large enough.

It is not in general true that a curve has good reduction if its Jacobian variety has good reduction. Oda has shown in ([Od, Theorem 3.2]), that a smooth, projective and geometrically connected curve C over K has good reduction if and only if the outer G_K -action on its \mathbb{Q}_ℓ -unipotent fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(C_{\overline{K}})_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$ is unramified for all $\ell \neq p$ (equivalently for some $\ell \neq p$).

Acknowledgements. The first author thanks the School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai and UM-DAE Centre for Excellence in Basic Sciences, Mumbai for their support. The research of the first author is supported by a DST-INSPIRE Fellowship IF150349.

We thank the referee for many valuable suggestions improving and correcting the exposition of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [BB] Baaziz, H.; Boxall, J., *Genus two curves with everywhere twisted good reduction*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, Rocky Mountain Mathematics Consortium, 2013, **43** (1), pp.55-73.
- [D] P. Deligne, *Représentations l -adiques*, Séminaire sur les pinceaux arithmétiques: la conjecture de Mordell, Edited by Lucien Szpiro. Astérisque No. 127 (1985), 249-255.
- [Fa] Faltings, G., *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73**, no. 3, 349-366, (1983).
- [FW] G. Faltings, G. Wüstholz, Gisbert, F. Grunewald, N. Schappacher and U. Stuhler, *Rational points*. Third edition. Aspects of Mathematics, Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1992.
- [Fon] Jean-Marc Fontaine, *Il n'y a pas de variété abélienne sur \mathbf{Z}* , Invent. Math. **81** (1985), no. 3, 515–538.
- [KR] C. Khare and C. S. Rajan, *The density of ramified primes in semisimple p -adic Galois representations*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 2001, no. 12, 601-607.
- [Od] T. Oda, *A Note on Ramification of the Galois Representation of the Fundamental Group of an Algebraic Curve, II*, J. Number Theory **53**, 342–355, (1995).
- [PR1] V. M. Patankar and C. S. Rajan, *Locally potentially equivalent Galois representations*, J. of Ramanujan Math. Soc., Vol. 27, No. 1, (2012), 77–90.
- [PR2] V. M. Patankar and C. S. Rajan, *On the structure of locally potentially equivalent Galois representations*, J. Number Theory, (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2020.05.020>.
- [Ra] C. S. Rajan, *On strong multiplicity one for l -adic representations*, International Math. Res. Notices, 1998, No.3, pp. 161-172.
- [Se1] Serre, J.P., *Abelian l -adic representations and elliptic curves*, W. A. Benjamin Inc., New York, (1970).
- [Se2] J.-P. Serre, *Quelques applications du théorème de densité de Chebotarev*, Inst. Hautes Études Sc. Publ. Math. **54** (1981) 123-201.
- [ST] J.-P. Serre and J. Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 492–517.

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, INDIA.

Email address: `d.plawan@gmail.com`

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, BOMBAY - 400 005, INDIA.

Email address: `rajan@math.tifr.res.in`