

NORMS OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONS OF A DISTINGUISHED LAPLACIAN ON THE $ax + b$ GROUPS

RAUAN AKYLZHANOV, YULIA KUZNETSOVA, MICHAEL RUZHANSKY,
AND HAONAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to find new estimates for the norms of functions of the (minus) distinguished Laplace operator \mathcal{L} on the ' $ax + b$ ' groups.

The central part is devoted to spectrally localized wave propagators, that is, functions of the type $\psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})\exp(it\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})$, with $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$. We show that for $t \rightarrow +\infty$, the convolution kernel k_t of this operator satisfies

$$\|k_t\|_1 \asymp t, \quad \|k_t\|_\infty \asymp 1,$$

so that the upper estimates of D. Müller and C. Thiele (Studia Math., 2007) are sharp.

As a necessary component, we recall the Plancherel density of \mathcal{L} and spend certain time presenting and comparing different approaches to its calculation. Using its explicit form, we estimate uniform norms of several functions of the shifted Laplace-Beltrami operator $\tilde{\Delta}$, closely related to \mathcal{L} . The functions include in particular $\exp(-t\tilde{\Delta}^\gamma)$, $t > 0, \gamma > 0$, and $(\tilde{\Delta} - z)^s$, with complex z, s .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G denote the ' $ax + b$ ' group of dimension $n + 1 \geq 2$, parameterized as $G = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n\}$, with multiplication given by

$$(x, y) \cdot (x', y') = (x + x', y + e^x y');$$

in the case $n = 1$, it is the group of affine transformations of the real line. In this form, the right Haar measure is just $dm_r(x, y) = dx dy$. This group is well-known to be non-unimodular, solvable, and of exponential growth; the modular function is given by $\delta(x, y) = e^{-nx}$.

Let $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $Y_k = e^x \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, denote left-invariant vector fields on G , given also by $\exp(tX) = (t, 0)$, $\exp(tY_k) = (0, te_k)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (where (e_k) is the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^n). We consider the (minus) distinguished Laplacian $\mathcal{L} = -X^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k^2$. A detailed exposition of this setting can be found in [20].

\mathcal{L} is a positive self-adjoint operator on $L^2(G, m_r)$, and for any bounded Borel function ψ on \mathbb{R} one can define, by the spectral theorem, a bounded operator $\psi(\mathcal{L})$ on $L^2(G, m_r)$. For ψ good enough, this is a

(right) convolution operator with a kernel k_ψ , for which an explicit formula is available [23, Proposition 4.1]. There has been much work to determine in which cases $\psi(\mathcal{L})$ is also bounded on $L^p(G, m_r)$, $p \neq 2$ (see [11] and references therein, or more recently [18, 23]).

Let us put this into a wider context. If we consider a connected Lie group G as a Riemannian manifold, endowed with the left-invariant distance, we obtain the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ on G . In the unimodular case, Δ is itself a distinguished Laplacian as defined above, that is, $\Delta = -\sum_k X_k^2$ where (X_k) is a basis of the Lie algebra of G . If G is non-unimodular, however, these two operators Δ and \mathcal{L} are different and can have significantly different behaviour.

By the classical Hörmander–Mikhlin theorem, a function of the Laplacian $m(\Delta)$ is a multiplier on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $[n/2]+1$ derivatives of m decrease quickly enough. One can observe a similar behaviour on any group of polynomial growth [1]. On the other hand, on symmetric spaces of non-compact type, a class which includes the ‘ax+b’ groups and implies exponential growth, the function m must be holomorphic in a strip around the spectrum of Δ in order to generate an L^p -multiplier, $p \neq 2$ [10, 31, 2].

It turns out, surprisingly, that the volume growth does not determine the behaviour of the distinguished Laplacian \mathcal{L} as defined above: on the AN groups, in particular on ‘ax+b’ groups, a finite number of derivatives is sufficient for an L^p multiplier [16, 18]. One can ask then what is the class of groups carrying Laplacians of different kind, as the ‘ax+b’ groups do. One could conjecture that these are solvable groups of exponential growth; this has been disproved however by an example of a semidirect product of \mathbb{R} with the $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group \mathbb{H}^n [9]. This question remains open, and the criterium might be the symmetry of the group algebra $L^1(G)$ [9].

Looking closer at the multipliers, one can ask how far goes the resemblance between the Laplacian \mathcal{L} of “Hörmander–Mikhlin type” and that of \mathbb{R}^n . In particular, in applications to PDEs oscillating functions of the type

$$A_t = \psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \cos(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}), \quad B_t = \psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}$$

are of special importance. With $\psi \equiv 1$, they give the solutions of the wave equation: the function $u_t = A_t f + B_t g$ solves the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} &= -\mathcal{L}u, \\ u(0, x) &= f(x), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, x) = g(x), \end{aligned}$$

for a priori $f, g \in L^2(G)$. One cannot of course apply the Hörmander–Mikhlin theorem in this case. By other methods, one shows that the

wave propagators $\cos(t\sqrt{\Delta})$, $\frac{\sin(t\sqrt{\Delta})}{\sqrt{\Delta}}$ are bounded on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{1}{n-1}$ [26], so that for a general p a “localizing” factor ψ should be introduced.

With $\psi(s) = (1 + s^2)^{-\alpha}$, norm estimates of A_t, B_t provide estimates of u in terms of Sobolev norms $\|\cdot\|_{H^{\alpha,p}} = \|(1 + \Delta^2)^{\alpha/2} \cdot\|_p$ of f and g . In this paper, we are interested in $L^1 - L^1$ and $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates. In $\mathbb{R}^n, n > 1$ for example,

$$\|u_t\|_\infty \leq Ct^{-(n-1)/2}(\|f\|_{H^{\alpha,1}} + \|g\|_{H^{\alpha,1}}),$$

for α big enough. More on this topic can be found in [7, 29].

For the (shifted) Laplace–Beltrami operator on hyperbolic spaces, in particular for the $ax + b$ groups, it has been proved by D. Tataru [30] that $L^1 - L^\infty$ norms of the same operators decay exponentially in time. See also [22, 12, 27, 6].

In this context it was surprising that D. Müller and C. Thiele [23] did not get any decay in time for the distinguished Laplace operator on the $ax + b$ group: they show that L^1 norms of A_t, B_t are bounded by $C(1 + |t|)$, for $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ quickly decreasing, while their $L^1 - L^\infty$ norms are just bounded by a constant, for a compactly supported ψ .

In the present paper, we prove that these estimates are actually sharp at $t \rightarrow +\infty$, obtaining lower bounds for L^1 and $L^1 - L^\infty$ norms of A_t, B_t . In particular, $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates do not demonstrate any dispersive-type effect, confirming a conjecture of [23]. The function ψ is supposed nonzero with certain decrease rate. For the uniform estimate, there is no need to suppose ψ compactly supported, so that both estimates are applicable to $\psi(s) = (1 + s^2)^{-\alpha}$. The main part of the proofs is concentrated in Section 5.

Generalizing [23], Müller and M. Vallarino [24] obtained upper estimates for the kernels of analogous operators A_t, B_t on general Damek–Ricci spaces. Their sharpness is yet unknown.

We thank J.-Ph. Anker for indicating us the following result [5], valid as well in the more general situation of Damek–Ricci spaces: The convolution kernel of $\exp(it\mathcal{L})$ is not bounded for any nonzero $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so that the dispersive $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates do not hold for the Schrödinger equation associated to the distinguished Laplacian \mathcal{L} on these spaces. However, Strichartz estimates do hold, in a weighted form. This suggests that for the wave equation Strichartz estimates might hold as well.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect necessary notations and conventions.

To explain the sequel, let us denote by $\tilde{\Delta}$ the shifted Laplace–Beltrami operator (more details in Section 3). The two Laplacians are linked by $\tilde{\Delta} = \delta^{-1/2}\mathcal{L}\delta^{1/2}$ (here δ stands for multiplication by the

modular function), and the kernels of $\psi(\tilde{\Delta})$ and $\psi(\mathcal{L})$ are related as $\tilde{k}_\psi = \delta^{-1/2}k_\psi$, both acting by right convolution.

It is known that the L^2 -norm of \tilde{k}_ψ is the same as of k_ψ and is given by the integral

$$\|k_\psi\|_{L^2(G, m_r)}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi|^2 \rho$$

with a density ρ expressed via the Harish–Chandra c -function [19, 11]. This appears as a building block in several multiplier estimates [11, 17, 18]. In Section 3, we take time to write down an explicit formula for the spectral density of the Laplacian and to relate to each other several ways to obtain it. We put forward the fact, seemingly not discussed before, that the same density can be used also to estimate uniform norms of \tilde{k}_ψ .

In Section 4, we show that this method makes it an easy calculation to obtain exact asymptotics of the uniform norms. We find it first for $\exp(-t\tilde{\Delta}^\gamma)$, $\gamma > 0$. Further we pass to the more technical task of estimating the norms of $(\tilde{\Delta} - z)^{-s}$ with z, s complex (z outside of the spectrum of $\tilde{\Delta}$, and $\Re s > (n+1)/2$). J.-P. Anker[3] has shown, among others, that the kernel of $(\tilde{\Delta} - z)^{-s}$ (with z outside of the positive half-line) is bounded if and only if $\Re s \geq (n+1)/2$, $s \neq (n+1)/2$. Going rather explicitly into integration with the help of special functions, we get estimates of actual uniform norms of these functions in the same region except for the border, and obtain asymptotic bounds for $\Im z \rightarrow 0$ and for $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

The last Section 5 contains, as mentioned above, the lower estimates of L^1 and uniform norms of the operators A_t, B_t above.

2. NOTATIONS AND CONVENTIONS

We have chosen to work (mainly) with the right Haar measure $dm_r = dx dy$ and the right convolution:

$$(f *_r g)(x) = \int_G f(xy)g(y^{-1})\delta(y)dm_r(y).$$

One can alternatively opt to the left Haar measure $dm_l = e^{-nx} dx dy$, so that $dm_r = \delta^{-1}dm_l$, and the left convolution

$$(f *_l g)(x) = \int_G f(y)g(y^{-1}x)dm_l(y).$$

For a function f on G , denote $\check{f}(g) = f(g^{-1})$. For every p , the map $\tau : f \mapsto \check{f}$ is an isometry from $L^p(G, m_r)$ to $L^p(G, m_l)$ (or back). If $R_k : f \mapsto f *_r k$ is a right convolution operator with the kernel k , then $\tau R_k \tau = L_{\check{k}}$ is a left convolution operator with the kernel \check{k} , and

$$\|L_{\check{k}} : L^p(G, m_l) \rightarrow L^p(G, m_l)\| = \|R_k : L^p(G, m_r) \rightarrow L^p(G, m_r)\|.$$

This means that choosing one or another convention changes nothing in norm estimates.

Below we do not use the symbol $*_r$ anymore and write just $*$ instead. We will use $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $L^p(G)$ to denote $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(G, m_r)}$ and $L^p(G, m_r)$, respectively.

The distance. The left-invariant Riemannian distance on G is given by $d((x, y), (0, 0)) =: R(x, y)$, where

$$R(x, y) = \operatorname{arcch}\left(\operatorname{ch}x + \frac{1}{2}|y|^2e^{-x}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

This implies in particular that $|x| \leq R$ and

$$|y|^2 = 2e^x(\operatorname{ch}R - \operatorname{ch}x) \leq 2e^{x+R}.$$

The Plancherel weight. Every bounded left-invariant operator on $L^2(G, m_r)$ belongs to the right von Neumann algebra $VN_R(G)$, defined as the strong operator closure of the set of right translation operators. This applies, in particular, to $\psi(\mathcal{L})$ with a bounded function ψ . Similarly, every right-invariant operator on $L^2(G, m_l)$ belongs to the left von Neumann algebra $VN_L(G, m_l)$.

On $VN_L(G)$, we have the Plancherel weight φ (see more in [25]), which can be viewed as an integral of operators. For an operator L_k of left convolution with the kernel $k \in L^2(G, m_l) \cap L^1(G, m_l)$, one has

$$\varphi(L_k^* L_k) = \|k\|_{L^2(G, m_l)}^2.$$

If $k = g^* * g$ for some g and is moreover continuous, then $\varphi(L_k) = k(e)$.

Almost all the literature on Plancherel weights assumes this $VN_L(G)$ convention, but one can also consider a similar weight φ_r on $VN_R(G)$ by setting

$$\varphi_r(R_k R_k^*) = \|k\|_{L^2(G, m_r)}^2 \quad (2.2)$$

for an operator of right convolution with the kernel k . The two algebras are isomorphic by $A \mapsto \tau A \tau$, and $\varphi_r(A) = \varphi(\tau A \tau)$. This isomorphism transfers also, as mentioned above, $R_k \in VN_R(G)$ to $L_{\check{k}} \in VN_L(G)$, where $\check{k}(g) = k(g^{-1})$.

3. SPECTRAL MEASURE OF THE LAPLACIAN

The aim of this section is to write explicitly the Plancherel measure of the distinguished Laplace operator and show the relation between several apparently different approaches to its calculation.

3.1. Plancherel measure and the Harish-Chandra c -function.

The Plancherel measure for the spherical transform on a connected semisimple Lie group is given by a so called c -function found by Harish-Chandra. Many faces of this function are described in an excellent survey [19], of which we will need only a few facts.

Recall first that the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ has a spectral gap: its spectrum is $[\sigma, +\infty)$ where σ is a constant depending on the group, $\sigma = \frac{n^2}{4}$ in our case. For this reason, closer to \mathcal{L} in its properties is the shifted operator $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta - \sigma$ which has $[0, +\infty)$ as its spectrum. The two operators are now linked by $\tilde{\Delta} = \delta^{-1/2}\mathcal{L}\delta^{1/2}$ (here δ stands for multiplication by the modular function).

Next, it is known that the L^2 -norm of a radial function on G can be expressed via the Harish-Chandra c -function [19, 11]. This can be applied to the convolution kernels of $\tilde{\Delta}$ and functions of it, as these kernels are radial. If \tilde{k}_f is the kernel of $f(\tilde{\Delta})$, we have

$$\|\tilde{k}_f\|_2^2 = c_G \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(\lambda^2)|^2 |c(\lambda)|^{-2} d\lambda.$$

If k_f is the right convolution kernel of $f(\mathcal{L})$, then [11] $k_f = \delta^{1/2}\tilde{k}_f$ and $\|k_f\|_{L^2(G, m_r)} = \|\tilde{k}_f\|_{L^2(G, m_r)}$ (this equality is verified by a direct calculation, knowing that $\tilde{k}_f(x^{-1}) = \tilde{k}_f(x)$), so that the formula above is valid for k_f as well. An explicit formula of the c -function is known, and for the n -dimensional ‘ax+b’ group it is as follows [19]: for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$c(\lambda) = \frac{c_0 2^{-i\lambda} \Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}n + 1 + i\lambda)) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}n + i\lambda))}.$$

Since $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi} 2^{1-2z} \Gamma(2z)$ [8, 1.2], this simplifies up to

$$c(\lambda) = c_1 \frac{\Gamma(i\lambda)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + i\lambda)},$$

with $c_1 = \pi^{-1/2} 2^{n/2-1} c_0$. Denote $\rho(u) = c_1^2 u^{-1/2} |c(\sqrt{u})|^{-2}$, so that

$$\|k_f\|_2^2 = C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u)|^2 \rho(u) du$$

(we will also write ρ_n to indicate the dimension). If $n = 2l$ is even, $1/c$ is a polynomial:

$$\frac{1}{c(\lambda)} = c_1^{-1} \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} (j + i\lambda),$$

so that

$$\rho_{2l}(u) = \sqrt{u} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} (j^2 + u).$$

If $n = 2l + 1$ is odd, we have

$$\frac{1}{c(\lambda)} = c_1 \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(j + \frac{1}{2} + i\lambda \right) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\lambda)}{\Gamma(i\lambda)},$$

and

$$\rho_{2l+1}(u) = u^{-1/2} \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(\left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + u \right) \frac{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + i\sqrt{u})|^2}{|\Gamma(i\sqrt{u})|^2},$$

so that $\rho_{2l+1}(u) = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left((j + 1/2)^2 + u \right) \rho_1(u)$. Moreover, the reflection formula $\Gamma(z)\Gamma(1-z) = \pi/\sin(\pi z)$ and the conjugation identity $\overline{\Gamma(z)} = \Gamma(\bar{z})$ imply that for real v ,

$$\frac{|\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + iv)|^2}{|\Gamma(iv)|^2} = \frac{-\pi iv \sin(\pi iv)}{\pi \cos(\pi iv)} = \frac{v \operatorname{sh}(\pi v)}{\operatorname{ch}(\pi v)},$$

and

$$\rho_{2l+1}(u) = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(\left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + u \right) \frac{\operatorname{sh}(\pi\sqrt{u})}{\operatorname{ch}(\pi\sqrt{u})}.$$

These formulas imply that $\rho(u) \sim u^{(n-1)/2}$ as $u \rightarrow +\infty$, and $\rho(u) \sim u^{1/2}$ as $u \rightarrow 0$. These latter estimates have been used in application to the norm estimates of the Laplacian and its functions in [11, 17, 18].

3.2. Uniform norms of the kernels. Let us consider a right convolution operator on $L^2(G, m_r)$, $R_k : f \mapsto f * k$ (all convolutions here are taken with respect to the right Haar measure). Its adjoint is $R_k^* = R_{k^*}$, where the (right) involution is defined as $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}\delta(x)$. The composition of a pair of operators is $R_k R_h = R_{h * k}$.

Suppose now that $0 \leq f = |g|^2$ and k_f, k_g are the convolution kernels of $f(\mathcal{L}), g(\mathcal{L})$, with $k_g \in L^2(G)$. We have then $f(\mathcal{L}) = g(\mathcal{L})^* g(\mathcal{L}) = g(\mathcal{L})g(\mathcal{L})^*$, so that $k_f = k_g * k_g^* = k_g^* * k_g$ and

$$\begin{aligned} k_f(e) &= \int_G k_g(y) k_g^*(y^{-1}) \delta(y) dm_r(y) \\ &= \int_G k_g(y) \overline{k_g(y)} \delta(y^{-1}) \delta(y) dm_r(y) = \|k_g\|_{L^2(G, m_r)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the results above,

$$k_f(e) = \|k_g\|_{L^2(G, m_r)}^2 = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g|^2 \rho = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \rho. \quad (3.1)$$

This formula is thus valid for $0 \leq f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$. For f not necessarily positive, the formula $k_f(e) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \rho$ is still valid, by linearity.

It is well known that the uniform norm of a positive definite function is attained at the identity. Given a function $h \in L^2(G, m_r)$, the convolution $h^* * h$ is in general **not** positive definite. However if we

multiply it by $\delta^{-1/2}$, it becomes such, since this is a coefficient of the right regular representation of G on $L^2(G, m_r)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(h^* * h)(x)\delta^{-1/2}(x) &= \delta^{-1/2}(x) \int_G \overline{h(y^{-1}x^{-1})}\delta(xy)h(y^{-1})\delta(y)dm_r(y) \\
&= \delta^{1/2}(x) \int_G \overline{h(yx^{-1})}\delta(y^{-1})h(y)dm_r(y) \\
&= \delta^{1/2}(x) \int_G \overline{h(z)}\delta(x^{-1}z^{-1})h(zx)dm_r(z) \\
&= \int_G h(zx)\delta^{-1/2}(zx)\overline{h(z)}\delta^{-1/2}(z)dm_r(z) \\
&= \langle R_x(h\delta^{-1/2}), h\delta^{-1/2} \rangle_r,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$ denotes the inner product induced by the right Haar measure. This means that the kernel $\tilde{k}_f = \delta^{-1/2}k_f$ of $f(\tilde{\Delta})$ is in this case positive definite. In particular, its uniform norm is attained at the identity:

$$\|\tilde{k}_f\|_\infty = \tilde{k}_f(e) = k_f(e) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\rho, \quad (3.2)$$

$0 \leq f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$. If f is real-valued, but maybe not positive, then we can decompose it $f = f_+ - f_-$ into positive and negative part, and get the following estimate:

$$\|\tilde{k}_f\|_\infty \leq \|\tilde{k}_{f_+}\|_\infty + \|\tilde{k}_{f_-}\|_\infty = \tilde{k}_{f_+}(e) + \tilde{k}_{f_-}(e) = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} (f_+ + f_-)\rho = c \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|\rho. \quad (3.3)$$

Finally, for f complex-valued, we have to add a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ in the right hand side:

$$\|\tilde{k}_f\|_\infty \leq \|\tilde{k}_{\Re f}\|_\infty + \|\tilde{k}_{\Im f}\|_\infty \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|\Re f| + |\Im f|)\rho \leq c\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|\rho.$$

Thus, the Plancherel measure helps to calculate not only L^2 , but also uniform norms.

3.3. Connection with the Plancherel weight and L^2 -norms of the resolvent kernels. In the case $n = 1$ or 2 , the kernels k_λ of the resolvent $R_\lambda = (\mathcal{L} - \lambda)^{-1}$ are 2-summable, as seen from the bounds above. This allows to obtain the Plancherel measure in a different way.

Comparing the formulas (2.2) and (3.1), we notice that

$$\varphi_r(f(\mathcal{L})) = c \int_0^\infty f d\rho,$$

for $0 \leq f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$. This means that the measure ρ can be found from this equality, if we are able to determine $\varphi_r(f(\mathcal{L}))$.

Let A be, in general, a positive self-adjoint unbounded operator on $L^2(G, m_r)$ with the spectral decomposition $A = \int_0^\infty u dE(u)$. For a

bounded measurable function f , one defines

$$f(A) = \int_0^\infty f(u) dE(u)$$

by the spectral theorem for self-adjoint unbounded operators. By construction, for all $x, y \in H = L^2(G, m_r)$

$$\langle f(A)x, y \rangle_r = \int_0^\infty f(u) dE_{x,y}(u)$$

with respect to the measure $E_{x,y} : X \mapsto \langle E(X)x, y \rangle$, $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ Borel. If A is left-invariant, that is, affiliated to the right group von Neumann algebra $VN_R(G)$, then $f(A) \in VN_R(G)$.

For a vector state $\zeta_{x,x}(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, x \rangle$ on $VN_R(G)$, this gives already its value on $f(A)$. Any weight φ_r , and in particular the Plancherel weight is the sum of a family of normal positive functionals [15], $\varphi_r = \sum_\alpha \varphi_{r,\alpha}$. Every $\varphi_{r,\alpha}$ can be decomposed into a countable sum of positive vector states $\varphi_{r,\alpha} = \sum_n \zeta_{x_n,\alpha}$, which implies that for positive f

$$\varphi_r(f(A)) = \sum_\alpha \int_0^\infty f(u) d\varphi_{r,\alpha}(E(u)) = \int_0^\infty f(u) d\varphi_r(E(u)).$$

Linearity allows to extend this equality to arbitrary f , real or complex.

One can find the spectral measure of A as the strong limit [14, XII.2, Theorem 10]

$$E_{[a,b]} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_a^b (R_{\lambda+i\varepsilon} - R_{\lambda-i\varepsilon}) d\lambda,$$

where, as usual, $R_z = (A - z)^{-1}$ is the resolvent of A . In the case of a positive operator, we can get nontrivial values of course only for $a \geq 0$. We can transform

$$R_{\lambda+i\varepsilon} - R_{\lambda-i\varepsilon} = 2i\varepsilon R_{\lambda+i\varepsilon} R_{\lambda-i\varepsilon} = 2i\varepsilon R_{\lambda+i\varepsilon} R_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}^*.$$

If we return now to the Laplace operator, then $R_{\lambda+i\varepsilon} = R_{k_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}}$ is a convolution operator with a kernel $k_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}$. By the lower semi-continuity of the right Plancherel weight φ_r ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_r(E_{[a,b]}) &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_a^b 2i\varepsilon \varphi_r(R_{k_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}} R_{k_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}}^*) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon \int_a^b \|k_{\lambda+i\varepsilon}\|_{L^2(G, m_r)}^2 d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Using estimates in [21] in the case $n = 1$ and [23, Lemma 3.1] for general n , one can show (this is however quite a technical task) that this limit is finite if (and only if) $n \leq 2$, and in this case bounded by

$$\varphi_r(E_{[a,b]}) \leq d_n \int_a^b (1 + \sqrt{|\lambda|})^{n+1} d\lambda,$$

which proves that the spectral measure is absolutely continuous with density bounded by a polynomial. We see however that this bound is not sharp.

3.4. Application of the explicit formula for the convolution kernel. Yet another approach is to use the explicit formula for the kernel [23, Proposition 4.1]: for a function $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$, the kernel k_ψ of $\psi(\mathcal{L})$ is given, for any integer $l > \frac{n}{2} - 1$, by

$$k_\psi(x, y) = \frac{c_l}{2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}x} \int_0^\infty \psi(u) [F_{R(x,y),l}(\sqrt{u}) - F_{R(x,y),l}(-\sqrt{u})] du, \quad (3.4)$$

where $R(x, y)$ is given by (2.1),

$$F_{R,l}(u) = \int_R^\infty D_{\text{sh},v}^l(e^{iuv})(\text{ch}v - \text{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} dv,$$

$D_{\text{sh},v}^l$ denotes the l -th composition of $D_{\text{sh},v}$, $D_{\text{sh},v}(f) = \frac{d}{dv} \left(\frac{f}{\text{sh}v} \right)$ and

$$c_l = \frac{(-1)^l 2^{-1-\frac{n}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}}{i\pi \Gamma(l+1-\frac{n}{2})}.$$

In particular, for $x = y = 0$ we have (note that $ic_l \in \mathbb{R}$)

$$\begin{aligned} k_\psi(e) &= \frac{c_l}{2} \int_0^\infty \psi(u) [F_{0,l}(\sqrt{u}) - F_{0,l}(-\sqrt{u})] du \\ &= ic_l \int_0^\infty \psi(u) \int_0^\infty D_{\text{sh},v}^l(\sin(v\sqrt{u})) (\text{ch}v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} dv du. \end{aligned}$$

As we have seen before, for $0 \leq \psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$ this is also equal to $c \int \psi \rho$, so that (as $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \rho) \cap C_0(\mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \rho)$)

$$\rho(u) = \frac{c_l}{2c} [F_{0,l}(\sqrt{u}) - F_{0,l}(-\sqrt{u})] = \frac{ic_l}{c} \int_0^\infty D_{\text{sh},v}^l(\sin(v\sqrt{u})) (\text{ch}v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} dv. \quad (3.5)$$

One should note that $F_{R,l}$ is not bounded at 0, but the difference above is, and tends to 0 as $u \rightarrow 0$.

This equality is not obvious, but is easy to check in the case $n = 2l = 2$: we have to change the sign as $ic_l = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} < 0$, and then obtain

$$-(F_{0,1}(\sqrt{u}) - F_{0,1}(-\sqrt{u})) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dv} \left(\frac{\sin(v\sqrt{u})}{\text{sh}v} \right) dv = \sqrt{u} = \rho_2(u).$$

It is clear that best bounds can be obtained for l chosen so that $l - n/2 \in \{0, -1/2\}$, and below we assume this choice.

Denote $D_{l,u}(v) = D_{\text{sh},v}^l(e^{iuv} - e^{-iuv})$. For $l = 0$, $D_{0,u}(v) = 2i \sin(uv)$ is an odd function of v . By induction, one verifies that every $D_{l,u}$ is odd, too: if f is odd (and analytic), then $f(v)/\text{sh}v$ is even (and well defined at 0) and $D_{\text{sh},v}(f) = \frac{d}{dv}(f(v)/\text{sh}v)$ is odd. This implies, in

particular, that $D_{l,u}(0) = 0$. Note at the same time that $D_{\text{sh},v}^l(e^{iuv})$ alone can be unbounded as $v \rightarrow 0$. Thus, the integral below converges:

$$\rho(u^2) = \frac{ic_l}{2c} \int_0^\infty D_{l,u}(v)(\text{ch}v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} dv. \quad (3.6)$$

If $l = \frac{n}{2}$ (n even), then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(u^2) &= \frac{ic_l}{2c} \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dv} \left(\frac{D_{l-1,u}(v)}{\text{sh}v} \right) dv \\ &= -\frac{ic_l}{2c} \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \frac{D_{l-1,u}(v)}{\text{sh}v} = -\frac{ic_l}{2c} \frac{d}{dv} D_{l-1,u} \Big|_{v=0}. \end{aligned}$$

Decomposing $D_{l,u}$ into its Taylor series, one can show that $D'_{l,u}(0) = P_{0,l}(u)$ is a polynomial of degree $2l + 1$.

On this way, one can obtain estimates $\rho_n(u) \leq C(u^{1/2} + u^{n/2})$, however already known from the calculations of the c -function.

4. UPPER NORM ESTIMATES

4.1. Estimates of L^p - L^q norms. Having at our disposal uniform and L^2 norms of the kernel k of a convolution operator A allows one to estimate its weighted $L^p - L^q$ norms in the following cases.

Suppose that $1 < p < q$ are such that $1/r := 1/p - 1/q \geq 1/2$. By the Young's inequality (valid for any $p, q, r' \in [1, +\infty]$ with $1/q + 1 = 1/r' + 1/p$, which is equivalent to our condition $1/r = 1/p - 1/q$; recall that we are using the right Haar measure),

$$\|(A\delta^{-1/r})f\|_{L^q(G)} = \|(\delta^{-1/r}f) * k\|_{L^q(G)} \leq \|k\|_{r'} \|f\|_p,$$

$f \in L^p(G)$, $k \in L^{r'}(G)$, and if $r' \geq 2$, we can estimate

$$\|A\delta^{-1/r}\|_{L^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq \|k\|_{r'} \leq \|k\|_\infty^{1-\frac{2}{r'}} \|k\|_2^{\frac{2}{r'}}. \quad (4.1)$$

This can be viewed alternatively as the norm of $A : L^p(G, \delta^{-1/r}m_r) \rightarrow L^q(G, m_r)$.

4.2. Fractional exponents. This formula makes asymptotics of certain norms an easy calculation. We could recover for example known uniform bounds for the heat kernel. More generally, set $A_t = e^{-t\tilde{\Delta}^\gamma}$ with $\gamma > 0$, and denote by q_t its convolution kernel. For $n = 2l + 1$ odd,

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_t\|_\infty &= c \int_0^\infty e^{-tu^\gamma} \text{th}(\pi\sqrt{u}) \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(\left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + u \right) du \\ &= \frac{c}{\gamma} t^{-1/\gamma} \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \text{th} \left(\pi \left(\frac{x}{t} \right)^{1/2\gamma} \right) \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(\left(j + \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{x}{t} \right)^{1/\gamma} \right) x^{1/\gamma-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

When $t \rightarrow +\infty$, $\text{th}\left(\pi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2\gamma}\right) \sim \pi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2\gamma}$ (on finite intervals, which is sufficient) and $x/t \rightarrow 0$, so that

$$\|q_t\|_\infty \sim t^{-3/2\gamma} \frac{c\pi}{\gamma} \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} \left(j + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{-1+3/2\gamma} dx = Ct^{-3/2\gamma}.$$

When $t \rightarrow 0$, $\text{th}\left(\pi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{1/2\gamma}\right) \rightarrow 1$ and

$$\|q_t\|_\infty \sim t^{-1/\gamma} \frac{c\pi}{\gamma} \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \left(\frac{x}{t}\right)^{l/\gamma} dx = Ct^{-(l+1)/\gamma} = Ct^{-(n+1)/2\gamma}.$$

For $n = 2l$ even, estimates are similar and lead to the same exponents of t . In the case $\gamma = 1$, this agrees with the known bounds for the heat kernel of Davies and Mandouvalos [13, Theorem 3.1] (of course more general as they concern pointwise estimates).

Since $\|\tilde{k}_t\|_2^2 = \|\tilde{k}_{2t}\|_\infty$, the formula (4.1) implies also, for $1/r = 1/p - 1/q \geq 1/2$,

$$\|A_t\|_{L^p(\delta^{-1/r}) \rightarrow L^q} \lesssim C_\gamma \begin{cases} t^{-\frac{3}{2r\gamma}}, & t \rightarrow +\infty, \\ t^{-\frac{n+1}{2r\gamma}}, & t \rightarrow 0. \end{cases}$$

4.3. Rational functions. Our next aim is to estimate the norm of $f(\tilde{\Delta}) = (\tilde{\Delta} - z)^{-s}$ with z outside of $[0, +\infty)$. Anker [3] has shown that the convolution kernels of these operators are bounded if and only if $\Re s \geq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Below, we estimate their actual uniform norms. We get bounds in the range $\Re s > \frac{n+1}{2}$, which is the same half-plane as in [3], except for the border.

One should mention also the subsequent work of Anker and Ji [4] where pointwise bounds of these kernels are obtained. The authors do not seek uniform norms or for dependence on z , but for real z, s one could derive exact values of $\|k_{z,s}\|_\infty$ from the uniform norms of the heat kernel, using formulas in the proof of [4, Theorem 4.2.2].

Let $k_{z,s}$ denote the convolution kernel of $f(\tilde{\Delta})$. According to (3.3),

$$\|k_{z,s}\|_\infty \leq C_n \int_0^\infty |(u-z)^{-s}| \rho(u) du.$$

We will estimate

$$|(u-z)^{-s}| = |u-z|^{-\Re s} \exp(\arg(z+u)\Im s) \leq |u-z|^{-\Re s} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}|\Im s|\right),$$

which is of course not optimal, but can make us lose at most a factor of $\exp(\pi|\Im s|)$; we are not studying exact dependence on s , so we accept this lack of precision.

From the asymptotics of ρ , it is clear that the integral converges if and only if $\Re s > \frac{n+1}{2}$, which we assume below.

We consider the following cases:

Case 1: $\Re z \geq 0$, and we are especially interested in the asymptotics $\Im z \rightarrow 0$. Let us write $z = a + ib$ with real a, b . The main term in the integral $\int_0^\infty |u - z|^{-\Re s} \rho(u) du$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\max(0, a-1)}^{a+1} |u - z|^{-\Re s} \rho(u) du &\leq 2C_n (a+1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_0^1 |x - ib|^{-\Re s} dx \\ &\leq 2C_n (a+1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \int_0^1 (x^2 + b^2)^{-\Re s/2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Though it is possible to estimate this integral by elementary functions, we want to keep control on the dependence on s , so we are using special functions below.

Denote $\sigma = \Re s/2$. By assumption, we have $\sigma > 1/2$. The integral is calculated with the help of the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ [8, 2.1.3]:

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_0^1 (x^2 + b^2)^{-\sigma} dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (t + b^2)^{-\sigma} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &= |b|^{-2\sigma} {}_2F_1\left(\sigma, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{1}{b^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma - 1/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, this equals [8, 2.1.4 (17)]

$$I = |b|^{-2\sigma} \left[\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \sigma)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2} - \sigma)} |b|^{2\sigma} {}_2F_1\left(\sigma, \sigma - \frac{1}{2}; \sigma + \frac{1}{2}; -b^2\right) + \frac{\Gamma(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\sigma)} |b| \right]$$

(since ${}_2F_1(\frac{1}{2}, 0; \frac{3}{2} - \sigma; -b^2) \equiv 1$) and at $b \rightarrow 0$, is equivalent to

$$\frac{I}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})} \sim \frac{\Gamma(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\sigma)} |b|^{1-2\sigma} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\Re s - 1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\Re s}{2})} |b|^{1-\Re s}.$$

If $\sigma - 1/2 = m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (the smallest possible value is 1), we get by symmetry of the first two arguments of ${}_2F_1$ and by [8, 2.1.4 (20)]

$$\begin{aligned} I &= |b|^{-2\sigma} {}_2F_1\left(\sigma, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{1}{b^2}\right) = |b|^{-2\sigma} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \sigma; \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{1}{b^2}\right) \\ &= |b|^{-2\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{1}{b^2}\right)^{1-\sigma} {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{3}{2} - \sigma; \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{1}{b^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $3/2 - \sigma = 1 - m$ is a nonpositive integer, so that the hypergeometric series is a polynomial. Leaving only the leading term (as $b \rightarrow 0$), we obtain [8, 2.1.4 (2)]

$$\begin{aligned} I &\sim \frac{1}{b^2} \frac{(1)_{m-1} (1-m)_{m-1}}{(\frac{3}{2})_{m-1} (m-1)!} \left(-\frac{1}{b^2}\right)^{m-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{\Gamma(\sigma)} |b|^{1-2\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the integral is estimated as follows. If $a \leq 1$, the following term is absent; if $a > 1$, we have

$$\int_0^{a-1} |u - z|^{-\Re s} \rho(u) du \leq C_n a^{\frac{n+1}{2}} (1 + b^2)^{-\Re s/2}.$$

The last term is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{a+1}^{\infty} |u-z|^{-\Re s} \rho(u) du &\leq C_n \int_{a+1}^{2a+1} |1+ib|^{-\Re s} (2a+1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} du \\ &\quad + C_n \int_{2a+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^{-\Re s} u^{\frac{n-1}{2}} du \\ &\leq C_n (2a+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} (1+b^2)^{-\Re s/2} + C_n 2^{\Re s} \frac{(a+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\Re s}}{\Re s - \frac{n+1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any b , $(1+b^2)^{-\Re s/2} \leq |b|^{1-\Re s}$. We conclude that (for $a \geq 0$)

$$\|k_{a+ib,s}\|_{\infty} \lesssim C_n (a+1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\Re s-1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\Re s}{2})} \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2} |\Im s|\right) |b|^{1-\Re s}, \quad b \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.2)$$

For a, b fixed, we obtain also

$$\|k_{a+ib,s}\|_{\infty} \lesssim C_n \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2} |\Im s| + \Re s \ln 2\right) \frac{(a+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\Re s}}{\Re s - \frac{n+1}{2}}, \quad \Re s \downarrow \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

For $\Im s \neq 0$, $k_{a+ib,s}$ is actually bounded at $\Re s = \frac{n+1}{2}$, so one would expect that the last bound can be improved.

Case 2: $|z| \rightarrow \infty$. Here we opt not to track dependence of the constants on s .

For $\Re z = a \geq 0$ and $|b| \leq 1$ the analysis has been done above, with

$$\|k_{a+ib,s}\|_{\infty} \leq C_{n,s} \left[(a+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\Re s} + (a+1)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |b|^{1-\Re s} \right]$$

(where clearly either term can be leading depending on a and b).

For $\Re z = a \geq 0$ and $|b| > 1$, the correct power of b is $-\Re s$; to verify this, it is sufficient to estimate

$$\int_0^{a+1} |u-z|^{-\Re s} \rho(u) du \leq (a+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} |b|^{-\Re s},$$

so that

$$\|k_{a+ib,s}\|_{\infty} \leq C_{n,s} (a+1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \left[(a+1)^{-\Re s} + |b|^{-\Re s} \right].$$

Finally, for $\Re z < 0$ (and $|z| > 1$) the estimates are straightforward:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\infty} |u-z|^{-\Re s} \rho(u) du &\leq \int_0^{|z|} |z|^{-\Re s} \rho(u) du + \int_{|z|}^{\infty} u^{\frac{n-1}{2}-\Re s} du \\ &\leq C_{n,s} |z|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\Re s} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|k_{z,s}\|_{\infty} \leq C_{n,s} |z|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\Re s}.$$

The norm $\|k_{z,s}\|_2$ can be estimated by the same bounds as $\|k_{z,2s}\|_{\infty}$, so that the formula (4.1) leads to the bound

$$\|A_{z,s}\|_{\tilde{L}^p \rightarrow L^q} \leq |z|^{\frac{n+1}{2}-\Re s(1+\frac{2}{r})}$$

in the case $\Re z < 0$, $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, and this can be accordingly modified in the other cases.

5. LOWER NORM ESTIMATES

Let $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ be a function which is not identically zero on $[0, +\infty)$ and twice differentiable with $\|\psi^{(j)}(s)s^k\|_\infty \leq C_\psi$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2$, $0 \leq k \leq n/2 + 3$. These conditions are in particular verified for $\psi(s) = (1 + s^2)^{-\alpha/2}$, $\alpha \geq n + 3$. In [23], Müller and Thiele have proved that the L^1 -norm of the convolution kernel k_t of $\psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \cos(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})$, as well as of $\psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}$, is bounded by $C(1 + |t|)$. We prove below that this estimate is sharp at $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

We thank W. Hebisch for the following remark, made after the first version of the paper was completed. Let f be such that the convolution kernel $k_{f,n}$ of $f(\mathcal{L})$ is in $L^1(G_n)$, where G_n is the n -dimensional ‘ $ax + b$ ’ group. Then $k_{f,n}$ is obtained from the kernel $k_{f,n+1}$ on the $n + 1$ -dimensional group by integration over the last coordinate [18]. This trivially implies that $\|k_{f,n+1}\|_1 \geq \|k_{f,n}\|_1$. In addition, the exact asymptotics is known in the case $n = 2$ due to Hebisch, who used the transference principle by giving an isometry with functions of the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^3 .

This does not allow however to compare uniform norms in a similar way, and the case $n = 1$ needs a full consideration. The remarks above could simplify our integration Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 and a few details elsewhere; these changes seem not to be major and we decided to keep the proofs as they are.

The integration formulas over G below are not identical to [17] but are influenced by this article.

5.1. The convolution kernel. According to (3.4) (which comes from [23]), the convolution kernel k_t of $\psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \exp(it\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})$

$$k_t(x, y) = c_l e^{-\frac{n}{2}x} \int_0^\infty \psi(s) e^{its} \int_R^\infty D_{l,s}(v) (\operatorname{ch}v - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} dv ds,$$

where we denote as before $D_{l,s}(v) = D_{\operatorname{sh},v}^l(e^{isv} - e^{-isv})$. Recall that l is chosen as $l = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

Note first that

$$\|k_t\|_2^2 \leq \int_0^\infty |\psi(\sqrt{u})|^2 \rho(u) du$$

is bounded uniformly in t , so that the same is true for $\int_{R(x,y) \leq 1} |k_t|$. We can therefore assume in the sequel that $R \geq 1$.

We start by transforming the kernel with the help of the following decomposition. It can be compared with [23, Lemma 5.3] where a variable-separating decomposition is obtained, but we need more precision on the terms appearing in it.

Proposition 5.1.

$$D_{\text{sh},v}^l(e^{iuv}) = \sum_{k=0}^l u^k e^{iuv} q_{k,l}(v),$$

where $q_{k,l}(v) = P_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v)(\text{sh}v)^{-2l}$, every $P_{k,l}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of two variables of degree l , and $q_{k,l}$ is even for even k and odd for k odd. In particular, $D_{\text{sh},u}^l(v) \rightarrow 0$, $v \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof. The formula is trivially true for $l = 0$. The induction step is proved by direct differentiation:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\text{sh},v}^{l+1}(e^{iuv}) &= \frac{d}{dv} \sum_{k=0}^l u^k e^{iuv} \frac{q_{k,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l u^k e^{iuv} \left[iu \frac{q_{k,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} + \frac{q'_{k,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} - \frac{q_{k,l}(v)\text{ch}v}{\text{sh}^2v} \right] \\ &= e^{iuv} \left[\frac{q'_{0,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} - \frac{q_{0,l}(v)\text{ch}v}{\text{sh}^2v} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^l u^k e^{iuv} \left[i \frac{q_{k-1,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} + \frac{q'_{k,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} - \frac{q_{k,l}(v)\text{ch}v}{\text{sh}^2v} \right] + iu^{l+1} e^{iuv} \frac{q_{l,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v}. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$q_{k,l+1}(v) := \begin{cases} \frac{q'_{0,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} - \frac{q_{0,l}(v)\text{ch}v}{\text{sh}^2v} & k = 0 \\ i \frac{q_{k-1,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} + \frac{q'_{k,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} - \frac{q_{k,l}(v)\text{ch}v}{\text{sh}^2v} & 1 \leq k \leq l \\ i \frac{q_{l,l}(v)}{\text{sh}v} & k = l + 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Then

$$D_{\text{sh},v}^{l+1}(e^{iuv}) = \sum_{k=0}^{l+1} u^k e^{iuv} q_{k,l+1}(v).$$

It remains to check that there exist homogeneous polynomials of two variables $P_{k,l+1}$, $0 \leq k \leq l+1$ which are of degree $l+1$ such that

$$P_{k,l+1}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v) := (\text{sh}v)^{2l+2} q_{k,l+1}(v).$$

For this, we claim that $q'_{k,l}(v) = (\text{sh}v)^{-2l-1} Q_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v)$ with $Q_{k,l}$ being a two-variable homogeneous polynomial of degree $l+1$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} q'_{k,l}(v) &= (\text{sh}v)^{-2l} (P_{k,l,1}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v)\text{ch}v - P_{k,l,2}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v)\text{sh}v) \\ &\quad - 2l(\text{sh}v)^{-2l-1} \text{ch}v P_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{k,l,1}(x, y) := \partial_x P_{k,l}(x, y)$ and $P_{k,l,2}(x, y) := \partial_y P_{k,l}(x, y)$ are two-variable homogeneous polynomials of degree $l-1$. So

$$(\text{sh}v)^{2l+1} q'_{k,l}(v) = Q_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v),$$

where

$$Q_{k,l}(x, y) := P_{k,l,1}(x, y)xy - P_{k,l,2}(x, y)x^2 - 2lP_{k,l}(x, y)y \quad (5.2)$$

is a two-variable homogeneous polynomial of degree $l + 1$. This completes the proof of the claim.

When $k = 0$, by induction and by our claim:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{sh}v)^{2l+2}q_{0,l+1}(v) &= (\text{sh}v)^{2l+1}q'_{0,l}(v) - (\text{sh}v)^{2l}\text{ch}(v)q_{0,l}(v) \\ &= Q_{0,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v) - \text{ch}(v)P_{0,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v). \end{aligned}$$

so we can choose $P_{0,l+1}(x, y) = Q_{0,l}(x, y) - yP_{0,l}(x, y)$.

When $1 \leq k \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{sh}v)^{2l+2}q_{k,l+1}(v) &= i(\text{sh}v)^{2l+1}q_{k-1,l}(v) + (\text{sh}v)^{2l+1}q'_{k,l}(v) - (\text{sh}v)^{2l}q_{k,l}(v)\text{ch}v \\ &= iP_{k-1,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v)\text{sh}v + Q_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v) - P_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v)\text{ch}v \end{aligned}$$

so we can choose $P_{k,l+1}(x, y) = ixP_{k-1,l}(x, y) + Q_{k,l}(x, y) - yP_{k,l}(x, y)$.

When $k = l + 1$, by induction:

$$(\text{sh}v)^{2l+2}q_{l+1,l+1}(v) = i(\text{sh}v)^{2l+1}q_{l,l}(v) = iP_{l,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v)\text{sh}v,$$

so we can choose $P_{l+1,l+1}(x, y) = iP_{l,l}(x, y)x$.

Finally, statements on parity of $q_{k,l}$ are easy to check. \square

Note that we do not check whether $P_{k,l}$ are nonzero, so with a certain abuse of language we assume zero to be a homogeneous polynomial of any degree. All we need to know of $q_{k,l}$ in this respect is contained in the Proposition below:

Proposition 5.2. *There exist constants b_l and $a_{k,l}$, $0 \leq k \leq l$, such that*

$$|q_{k,l}(v) - a_{k,l}e^{-vl}| \leq b_l e^{-2vl}$$

for every $v \in [0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $a_{l,l} \neq 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.1, we have

$$(\text{sh}v)^{2l}q_{k,l}(v) = P_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v),$$

for some two-variable homogeneous polynomial $P_{k,l}$ of degree l . It is easy to see that there exists a polynomial A_l of degree $4l$ such that

$$e^{2vl}(\text{sh}v)^{2l} = (e^v \text{sh}v)^{2l} = A_l(e^v),$$

and a polynomial $B_{k,l}$ of degree $2l$ such that

$$e^{vl}P_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v) = P_{k,l}(e^v \text{sh}v, e^v \text{ch}v) = B_{k,l}(e^v).$$

Thus there exist some nonzero constant $a_{k,l}$ and some polynomial $C_{k,l}$ of degree $\leq 4l - 1$ such that

$$e^{vl}q_{k,l}(v) = \frac{e^{vl}P_{k,l}(\text{sh}v, \text{ch}v)}{(\text{sh}v)^{2l}} = \frac{e^{2vl}B_{k,l}(e^v)}{A_l(e^v)} = a_{k,l} + \frac{C_{k,l}(e^v)}{A_l(e^v)}.$$

Hence for some constant $b_l > 0$ we have

$$|e^{vl}q_{k,l}(v) - a_{k,l}| \leq b_l e^{-vl}, \quad (5.3)$$

or equivalently

$$|q_{k,l}(v) - a_{k,l}e^{-vl}| \leq b_l e^{-2vl}.$$

It remains to show that $a_{l,l} \neq 0$. The function $q_{l,l}$ is in fact easy to calculate: by (5.1), $q_{l,l}(v) = \left(\frac{i}{\operatorname{sh}v}\right)^l$. For $l = 0$, we have $a_{0,0} = 1$. For $l > 0$, by (5.3),

$$a_{k,l} = \lim_{v \rightarrow +\infty} e^{vl} q_{k,l}(v),$$

so that $a_{l,l} = (2i)^l \neq 0$. \square

We can write now

$$\begin{aligned} k_t(x, y) &= c_l e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\operatorname{ch}v - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\quad \int_0^\infty \sum_{k=0}^l [s^k e^{isv} - (-s)^k e^{-isv}] q_{k,l}(v) \psi(s) s e^{its} dv ds \\ &= \frac{c_l}{2} e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\operatorname{ch}v - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v) [\check{m}_k(t+v) - (-1)^k \check{m}_k(t-v)] dv \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where $m_k(s) = \psi(s) s^{k+1} I_{[0,+\infty)}$ and \check{m}_k is the inverse Fourier transform, which we write without additional constants.

While $m_k(0) = 0$ for all k , the derivatives of m_k may be discontinuous at 0, so we need some attention when estimating \check{m}_k . Integrating by parts, we can write, for $0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\check{m}_k(\xi) = \frac{1}{\xi^2} [m'_k(s) e^{i\xi s}]_0^\infty - \frac{1}{\xi^2} \int_0^\infty m''_k(s) e^{i\xi s} ds, \quad (5.5)$$

which is bounded as

$$|\check{m}_k(\xi)| \leq C_{l,\psi} |\xi|^{-2} \quad (5.6)$$

for $0 \leq k \leq l$. One can note also that $m_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for every k and $|\check{m}_k(\xi)| \leq C_{l,\psi}$.

We will separate (5.4) into two parts: $k_t(x, y) = \frac{c_l}{2}(I_1 - I_2)$ with

$$I_1 = e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\operatorname{ch}v - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v) \check{m}_k(t+v) dv \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$I_2 = e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\operatorname{ch}v - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v) (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(t-v) dv. \quad (5.8)$$

Bounds for $\|k_t\|_1$ will be derived from estimates of the integrals of I_1 and I_2 over a certain set $\{(x, y) \in G : R(x, y) \in [t+a, t+b]\}$. These estimates are eventually reduced to the following Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5, preceded by a short calculation in Lemma 5.3.

5.2. Integration lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. For $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta > \alpha$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^\alpha e^{-\beta v} dv = B(\alpha + 1, \beta - \alpha) < \infty,$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the beta function.

Proof. This is a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^\alpha e^{-\beta v} dv &= \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-v})^\alpha e^{-(\beta-\alpha-1)v} e^{-v} dv \\ &= \int_0^1 (1-x)^\alpha x^{\beta-\alpha-1} dx \\ &= B(\alpha + 1, \beta - \alpha) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.4. For any $a < b$, $m \geq 0$ and $t > \max(|a|, b)$ there exists a positive constant $C_{n,a,b}$ such that

$$\int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} e^{-n \frac{x+R}{2}} (t+R)^{-m} dx dy \leq C_{n,a,b} t^{1-m}.$$

Proof. As the integrand depends on x and $|y|$ only, we can pass to (n -dimensional) polar coordinates in $y = (r, \Phi)$. For $x, r \in \mathbb{R}$, denote $R'(x, r) = R(x, (r, 0))$, and $F_{a,b} = \{(x, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : R'(x, r) \in [t+a, t+b]\}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,b} &= \int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} e^{-n \frac{x+R}{2}} (t+R)^{-m} dx dy \\ &= V_n \int_{F_{a,b}} e^{-n \frac{x+R'}{2}} (t+R')^{-m} r^{n-1} dx dr, \end{aligned}$$

where V_n is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . By (2.1) and inequalities just after it, the integral can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{a,b} &\leq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} V_n \int_{F_{a,b}} e^{-\frac{x+R'}{2}} t^{-m} dx dr \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}} V_n \int_{F_{a,b}} e^{-\frac{x+t+a}{2}} t^{-m} dx dr. \end{aligned}$$

Again by (2.1), if $(x, r) \in F_{a,b}$, then $|x| \leq R'(x, r) \leq t+b$, and $0 \leq r \leq \sqrt{2} \exp\left(\frac{x+R'}{2}\right) \leq 2 \exp\left(\frac{x+t+b}{2}\right)$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F_{a,b}} e^{-\frac{x+t+a}{2}} t^{-m} dx dr &\leq t^{-m} \int_{|x| \leq t+b} e^{-\frac{x+t+a}{2}} \int_0^{2 \exp\left(\frac{x+t+b}{2}\right)} dr dx \\ &= 2t^{-m} \int_{|x| \leq t+b} e^{\frac{b-a}{2}} dx = 4e^{\frac{b-a}{2}} t^{-m} (t+b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 8e^{\frac{b-a}{2}} t^{1-m}$$

(since we suppose $b < t$). \square

Lemma 5.5. *For $a < b$ and $t > \max(1, 2|a|, b)$, there exists a constant $C'_{n,a,b} > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{t+a \leq R \leq t+b} e^{-n\frac{x+R}{2}} dx dy \geq C'_{n,a,b} t.$$

Proof. Denote $G_{a,b} = \{(x, y) \in G : R(x, y) \in [t+a, t+b]\}$. From (2.1), we have

$$|y|^2 = 2e^x(\operatorname{ch}R - \operatorname{ch}x).$$

This formula implies that for (x, y) such that $|x| \leq t+a$ and

$$2e^x(\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x) \leq |y|^2 \leq 2e^x(\operatorname{ch}(t+b) - \operatorname{ch}x),$$

$R(x, y)$ is between $t+a$ and $t+b$, so that $G_{a,b}$ contains for each x a “thick sphere” with $|y|$ changing according to the bounds above (under condition $|x| \leq t+a$). Again denoting by V_n the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , we can estimate the volume of this “sphere” as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V_n 2^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{n\frac{x}{2}} [(\operatorname{ch}(t+b) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n/2} - (\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n/2}] \\ &= c_n e^{n\frac{x}{2}} \frac{(\operatorname{ch}(t+b) - \operatorname{ch}x)^n - (\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x)^n}{(\operatorname{ch}(t+b) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n/2} + (\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n/2}} \\ &\geq c_n e^{n\frac{x}{2}} \frac{(\operatorname{ch}(t+b) - \operatorname{ch}(t+a)) n (\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n-1}}{2(\operatorname{ch}(t+b))^{n/2}} \\ &\geq \frac{c_n}{2} e^{n\frac{x}{2}} e^{-\frac{n}{2}(t+b)} (\operatorname{ch}(t+b) - \operatorname{ch}(t+a)) (\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $-2t - a - b = -2(t+a) + a - b \leq a - b < 0$, we can continue as

$$\operatorname{ch}(t+b) - \operatorname{ch}(t+a) = \frac{1}{2}e^t(e^b - e^a)(1 - e^{-2t-a-b}) \geq \frac{1}{2}e^t(e^b - e^a)(1 - e^{a-b}),$$

and

$$V \geq c_{n,a,b} e^{n\frac{x}{2}} e^{(1-\frac{n}{2})t} (\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n-1}.$$

The integral thus can be bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G_{a,b}} e^{-n\frac{x+R}{2}} dx dy &\geq c_{n,a,b} e^{(1-\frac{n}{2})t} \int_{|x| \leq t+a} e^{n\frac{x}{2}} e^{-n\frac{x+t+b}{2}} (\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n-1} dx \\ &= c'_{n,a,b} e^{(1-n)t} \int_0^{t+a} (\operatorname{ch}(t+a) - \operatorname{ch}x)^{n-1} dx \\ &= c'_{n,a,b} e^{(1-n)t} \int_0^{t+a} \left[\frac{1}{2}e^{t+a}(1 - e^{x-t-a})(1 - e^{-x-t-a}) \right]^{n-1} dx \\ &\geq c''_{n,a,b} \int_0^{t+a} (1 - e^{x-t-a})^{n-1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption $t > |a|$, so that $t/4 < t + a$. If $0 \leq x \leq t/4$, then $x - t - a \leq (-t/2 - a) - t/4 \leq -t/4$, so that

$$\int_{G_{a,b}} e^{-n\frac{x+R}{2}} dx dy \geq c''_{n,a,b} \int_0^{t/4} (1 - e^{-t/4})^{n-1} dx \geq c'''_{a,b,n} t,$$

where in the last inequality we use the assumption $t > 1$. \square

5.3. Estimates of I_1 and I_2 .

5.3.1. *Estimates of I_1 .* We are interested in $R \geq 1$, so that in (5.7) we have $v \geq 1$. By Lemma 5.1, $|q_{k,l}(v)| \leq C_l e^{-lv}$ with some constant C_l .

Together with (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\text{ch}v - \text{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l |q_{k,l}(v) \check{m}_k(t+v)| dv \\ &\leq C_{l,\psi} e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\text{ch}v - \text{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-lv} (t+v)^{-2} dv \\ &\leq C_{l,\psi} e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} (t+R)^{-2} \int_0^\infty (\text{ch}(v+R) - \text{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-lv-lR} dv. \end{aligned}$$

One can transform

$$2(\text{ch}(v+R) - \text{ch}R) = (e^v - 1)(e^R - e^{-v-R}) = (e^v - 1)e^R(1 - e^{-v-2R}),$$

and estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq C_{l,\psi} e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} (t+R)^{-2} \int_0^\infty ((e^v - 1)e^R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-lv-lR} dv \\ &= C_{l,\psi} e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}} (t+R)^{-2} \int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-lv} dv. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.3, the integral converges (and depends only on n), so that

$$|I_1| \leq C_{n,\psi} e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}} (t+R)^{-2}. \quad (5.9)$$

Now by Lemma 5.4, for $a < b$ and $t > \max(|a|, b)$

$$\int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} |I_1| dx dy \leq C_{l,\psi} t^{-1}. \quad (5.10)$$

5.3.2. *Simplifications of I_2 .* We can now pass to the second term:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\text{ch}v - \text{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v) (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(t-v) dv \\ &= e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_0^\infty (\text{ch}(v+R) - \text{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v+R) (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(t-R-v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Its analysis will pass through several stages of simplification. By Lemma 5.2,

$$|q_{k,l}(v) - a_{k,l} e^{-vl}| \leq b_l e^{-2vl}.$$

If we replace $q_{k,l}(v+R)$ by $a_{k,l}e^{-(v+R)l}$ in I_2 and denote

$$I_3 := e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_0^\infty (\operatorname{ch}(v+R) - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l a_{k,l} e^{-(v+R)l} (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(t-R-v) dv,$$

then it is sufficient to estimate I_3 instead of I_2 because their difference is bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 - I_3| &\leq e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_0^\infty (\operatorname{ch}(v+R) - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \\ &\quad \sum_{k=0}^l \left| q_{k,l}(v+R) - a_{k,l} e^{-(v+R)l} \right| |\check{m}_k(t-R-v)| dv \\ &\leq c'_l e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_0^\infty (\operatorname{ch}(v+R) - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-2(v+R)l} \sum_{k=0}^l |\check{m}_k(t-R-v)| dv. \end{aligned}$$

If $l=0$, then I_3 is exactly equal to I_2 since $q_{0,0} \equiv a_{0,0} = 1$. For $l > 0$, we need to show that $|I_3 - I_2|$ is small enough. By definition,

$$(\operatorname{ch}(v+R) - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} = 2^{\frac{n}{2}-l} (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{R(l-\frac{n}{2})} (1 - e^{-v-2R})^{l-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Since $l - \frac{n}{2} \leq 0$ and $R \geq 1$,

$$(1 - e^{-v-2R})^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \leq (1 - e^{-2})^{l-\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Next, since every m_k is in L^1 , we have $\sum_{k=0}^l |\check{m}_k(t-R-v)| \leq C_{n,\psi}$. These, together with Lemma 5.3, yield

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 - I_3| &\leq C_{n,\psi,l} e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-2(v+R)l+R(l-\frac{n}{2})} dv \\ &\leq C_{n,\psi,l} e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}-Rl} \int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-2vl} dv \\ &= C_{n,\psi,l} B\left(l - \frac{n}{2} + 1, l + \frac{n}{2}\right) e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}-Rl}, \end{aligned}$$

which has, by Lemma 5.4, the integral bounded as follows (with the same assumptions on t as in the lemma):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t+a \leq R \leq t+b} |I_2 - I_3| dx dy &\leq C'_{n,\psi} e^{-(t+a)l} \int_{t+a \leq R \leq t+b} e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}} dx dy \\ &\leq C_{n,\psi} e^{-tl}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Our next step is to pass to

$$I_4 := e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_0^\infty 2^{\frac{n}{2}-l} e^{R(l-\frac{n}{2})} (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l a_{k,l} e^{-(v+R)l} (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(t-R-v) dv$$

showing that $I_3 - I_4$ is small. If $l = \frac{n}{2}$, then $I_3 = I_4$ is an exact equality.

If $l - \frac{n}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}$, we have to estimate

$$D := \left| (\operatorname{ch}(v+R) - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-l} (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{R(l-\frac{n}{2})} \right|$$

$$= 2^{\frac{n}{2}-l} (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{R(l-\frac{n}{2})} \left| 1 - (1 - e^{-v-2R})^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \right|.$$

Set $z = e^{-v-2R}$. By the choice of R and v , we have $z \in (0, e^{-2}]$. In this interval, the function $f(z) = (1 - z)^{-1/2}$ has a derivative $f'(z) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - z)^{-3/2}$ bounded by $f'(e^{-2})$, so that

$$\left| 1 - (1 - e^{-v-2R})^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \right| = |f(0) - f(z)| \leq f'(e^{-2}) z = f'(e^{-2}) e^{-v-2R}.$$

This implies that

$$D \leq C_n (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{R(l-\frac{n}{2})} e^{-v-2R}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3 - I_4| &\leq C_n e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{R(l-\frac{n}{2})} e^{-v-2R} e^{-(v+R)l} \\ &\quad \sum_{k=0}^l |a_{k,l}| |\check{m}_k(t - R - v)| dv \\ &\leq C_{n,\psi,l} e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}-2R} \int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-(l+1)v} dv \\ &= C_{n,\psi} B\left(l - \frac{n}{2} + 1, \frac{n}{2} + 1\right) e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}-2R}, \end{aligned}$$

with the integral bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t+a \leq R \leq t+b} |I_3 - I_4| dx dy &\leq C'_{n,\psi} e^{-2(t+a)} \int_{t+a \leq R \leq t+b} e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}} dx dy \\ &\leq C_{n,\psi} e^{-2t} t. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

5.3.3. *Estimates of I_4 .* It remains now to estimate I_4 from below. Recall that

$$I_4 = c'_n e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}} \int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l a_{k,l} e^{-vl} (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(t - R - v) dv.$$

Set

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^l a_{k,l} (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(\xi);$$

this is the inverse Fourier transform of

$$\Psi(s) = \sum_{k=0}^l a_{k,l} (-1)^{k+1} s^{k+1} \psi(s) I_{[0,+\infty)}.$$

By assumption, ψ is not identically zero on $[0, +\infty)$; it is multiplied by a nonzero polynomial since $a_{l,l} \neq 0$ by Lemma 5.2, so finally the product Ψ is not identically zero. Consider the integral entering in I_4 ,

$$\mathcal{I}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-vl} \mathcal{M}(\xi - v) dv \quad (5.13)$$

as the convolution of \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{N}(v) := (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-vl} 1_{(0,\infty)}(v)$. The function \mathcal{I} is continuous, and its inverse Fourier transform is $\Psi\check{\mathcal{N}}$. For every m , we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{N}(v)v^m| dv &\leq \int_0^1 (e^v - 1)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} dv + \int_1^\infty C_n e^{-v\frac{n}{2}} v^m dv \\ &\leq C'_n + C_n \left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{m+1} \int_{n/2}^\infty e^{-x} x^m dx \leq C''_n K^m m! \end{aligned}$$

with $K = \max(1, 2/n)$. It follows that $\|\check{\mathcal{N}}^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq C_n K^m m!$, so by [28, 19.9] $\check{\mathcal{N}}$ is analytic in a strip $|z| < \delta$ with some $\delta > 0$. As it is clearly not identically zero, we can conclude that $\Psi\check{\mathcal{N}}$ is not identically zero too.

There exist therefore $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha < \beta$, $A \neq 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < |A|/2$ (all these constants depend on ψ and on n) such that $|\mathcal{I}(\xi) - A| < \varepsilon$ for $\xi \in [\alpha, \beta]$. It follows that for $R \in [t - \beta, t - \alpha]$

$$|I_4| > C_{n,\psi} e^{-\frac{n(x+R)}{2}} \quad (5.14)$$

and by Lemma 5.5, with $a = -\beta$, $b = -\alpha$,

$$\int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} |I_4| dx dy \geq C_{n,\psi} t, \quad (5.15)$$

once $t > \max(1, 2|a|, b)$.

5.4. Conclusions. We can now summarize the obtained estimates in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$ be a function which is not identically zero on $[0, +\infty)$ and twice differentiable with $\|\psi^{(j)}(s)s^k\|_\infty \leq C_\psi$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2$, $0 \leq k \leq n/2 + 3$. Let k_t be the convolution kernel of one of the following operators:*

$$\begin{aligned} E_t &= \psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \exp(it\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}), \\ C_t &= \psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \cos(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}), \\ S_t &= \psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \frac{\sin(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})}{\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant $C_{n,\psi}$ depending on n and on ψ such that for $t > 0$ sufficiently large,

$$\|k_t\|_1 \geq C_{n,\psi} t.$$

Proof. Case 1: k_t is the kernel of E_t . By the results of Section 5.3.3, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (5.15) holds, for $t > \max(1, 2|a|, b)$. For the same a, b, t we have, with (5.10):

$$\|k_t\|_1 \geq \frac{c_l}{2} \int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} |I_1 - I_2| dx dy \geq C_{n,\psi} \left(\int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} |I_2| dx dy - \frac{1}{t} \right).$$

Set $\gamma_0 = 0$ and $\gamma_l = 1$ if $l \neq 0$. We can continue, using the results above, as

$$\begin{aligned} \|k_t\|_1 &\stackrel{(5.11)}{\geq} C_{n,\psi} \left(\int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} |I_3| dx dy - \gamma_l e^{-lt} t - \frac{1}{t} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(5.12)}{\geq} C_{n,\psi} \left(\int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} |I_4| dx dy - e^{-2t} t - \gamma_l e^{-lt} t - \frac{1}{t} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(5.15)}{\geq} C_{n,\psi} \left(t - e^{-2t} t - \gamma_l e^{-lt} t - \frac{1}{t} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For t big enough, this is clearly bounded from below by $C_{n,\psi} t$, as claimed.

Case 2: k_t is the kernel of C_t . We represent $\cos(its)$ as $\frac{1}{2}(e^{its} + e^{-its})$; in the integral (5.4), instead of $[\check{m}_k(t+v) - (-1)^k \check{m}_k(t-v)]$ we then obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} [\check{m}_k(t+v) + \check{m}_k(v-t) - (-1)^k \check{m}_k(t-v) - (-1)^k \check{m}_k(-t-v)].$$

We separate then $k_t = \frac{c_l}{4}(I_1 - I_2)$ into

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\text{ch}v - \text{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v) [\check{m}_k(t+v) - (-1)^k \check{m}_k(-t-v)] dv, \\ I_2 &= e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\text{ch}v - \text{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v) [(-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(t-v) + \check{m}_k(v-t)] dv. \end{aligned}$$

As $|\check{m}_k(-t-v)| \leq C_{n,\psi}(t+v)^{-2}$, the estimate for I_1 remains the same. The passage from I_2 to I_4 does not change either. In I_4 we still have (5.13), but with

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^l a_{k,l} [\check{m}_k(-\xi) + (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(\xi)].$$

If we denote by \tilde{m}_k the function $\tilde{m}_k(s) = m_k(-s)$, then \mathcal{M} is the inverse Fourier transform of

$$\Psi(s) = \sum_{k=0}^l a_{k,l} [\tilde{m}_k(s) + (-1)^{k+1} m_k(s)]$$

which is for $s \geq 0$ the same as in Case 1, so that it is not identically zero for similar reasons as above. This implies that \mathcal{I} is not everywhere zero, so that we arrive at the same conclusion: $\|k_t\|_1 \geq C_{\psi,n} t$, for t big enough.

Case 3: k_t is the kernel of S_t . In (5.4), we obtain

$$\check{m}_k(t+v) - \check{m}_k(v-t) - (-1)^k \check{m}_k(t-v) + (-1)^k \check{m}_k(-t-v),$$

but m_k changes to $m_k(s) = s^k \psi(s) I_{[0,+\infty)}$ and not with s^{k+1} . As a consequence, we cannot integrate by parts twice as in (5.5) but only

once; this changes the estimate (5.6) as to

$$|\check{m}_k(\xi)| \leq C_{n,\psi} |\xi|^{-1}. \quad (5.16)$$

Let us write down the two parts of k_t , up to the constant $c_l/4$:

$$I_1 = e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\operatorname{ch}v - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v) [\check{m}_k(t+v) + (-1)^k \check{m}_k(-t-v)] dv,$$

$$I_2 = e^{-\frac{nx}{2}} \int_R^\infty (\operatorname{ch}v - \operatorname{ch}R)^{l-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^l q_{k,l}(v) [(-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(t-v) - \check{m}_k(v-t)] dv.$$

With (5.16) instead of (5.6), we obtain

$$\int_{R \in [t+a, t+b]} |I_1| dx dy \leq C_{n,\psi}.$$

The estimates of $|I_2 - I_3|$ and $|I_3 - I_4|$ do not change since we are using only the fact $m_k \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ which remains true. In I_4 we get (5.13) with

$$\mathcal{M}(\xi) = \sum_{k=0}^l a_{k,l} [-\check{m}_k(-\xi) + (-1)^{k+1} \check{m}_k(\xi)],$$

and complete the proof as in Case 2. \square

It is well known that L^1 -norm of a function f is also the norm of the convolution operator $g \mapsto g * f$ on $L^1(G)$. We get as a corollary that upper norm estimates of [23] for C_t, S_t are sharp:

$$\|C_t\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \asymp t, \quad \|S_t\|_{L^1 \rightarrow L^1} \asymp t,$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Our results are valid in particular for $\psi(s) = (1 + s^2)^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha > n/2 + 3$.

5.5. Lower bounds for uniform norms. In [23, Corollary 7.1], Müller and Thiele show that for a function $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ supported in $[a, b]$, the kernel k_t of $C_t = \psi(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}}) \cos(t\sqrt{\mathcal{L}})$ has its uniform norm bounded by a constant, with no decay in t as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. With a simple modification, this result can be extended to functions which are not compactly supported, but decaying quickly enough.

From the results obtained in Sections 5.3-5.4, it follows that this estimate is actually sharp.

Theorem 5.7. *In the assumptions and notations of Theorem 5.6, there exists a constant $C_{n,\psi}$ depending on n and on ψ such that for $t > 0$ sufficiently large,*

$$\|k_t\|_\infty \geq C_{n,\psi}.$$

Proof. We can consider the cases of E_t and C_t together.

Case 1: k_t is the kernel of E_t or C_t . By the results of Section 5.3.3, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (5.14) holds, for $t > \max(1, 2|a|, b)$. It is

clear then that we should consider $x = -R$, $y = 0$. Arguing similarly to Theorem 5.6, we obtain the estimate

$$|k_t(-R, 0)| \geq C_{n,\psi} \left(1 - e^{-2t} - \gamma_l e^{-lt} - \frac{1}{t^2} \right),$$

which is bounded from below by $C_{n,\psi}$, as claimed.

Case 2: k_t is the kernel of S_t . As noted in the proof of Theorem 5.6, we get the estimate (5.16) instead of (5.6). This changes the estimate of I_1 as

$$|I_1(-R, 0)| \leq C_{n,\psi} \frac{1}{t}$$

for t large enough. The other estimates are as in Case 1, so that we arrive at

$$|k_t(-R, 0)| \geq C_{n,\psi} \left(1 - e^{-2t} - \gamma_l e^{-lt} - \frac{1}{t} \right),$$

which is bounded from below by a constant $C_{n,\psi} > 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. \square

As pointed out in [23], this shows that no dispersive-type $L^1 - L^\infty$ estimates hold for the wave equation.

Acknowledgments. Yu. K. thanks Professor Waldemar Hebisch for valuable discussions on the general context of multipliers on Lie groups.

This work was started during an ICL-CNRS fellowship of the second named author at the Imperial College London. Yu. K. is supported by the ANR-19-CE40-0002 grant of the French National Research Agency (ANR). H. Z. is supported by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 754411 and the Lise Meitner fellowship, Austrian Science Fund (FWF) M3337. R. A. was supported by the EPSRC grant EP/R003025. M. R. is supported by the EPSRC grant EP/R003025/2 and by the FWO Odysseus 1 grant G.0H94.18N: Analysis and Partial Differential Equations.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Alexopoulos, Spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), no. 3, 973–979.
- [2] J.-Ph. Anker, L_p Fourier multipliers on Riemannian symmetric spaces of the non-compact type, Ann. of Math. 132 (1990), 597–628.
- [3] J.-Ph. Anker, Sharp estimates for some functions of the laplacian on noncompact symmetric spaces, Duke Math. J., Vol. 65, No. 2, pp. 257–297.
- [4] J.-Ph. Anker, L. Ji: Heat kernel and Green function estimates on noncompact symmetric spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), 1035–1091.
- [5] J.-Ph. Anker, V. Pierfelice, M. Vallarino, Schrödinger equations on Damek-Ricci spaces, Comm. Part. Diff. Eq. 36 (2011), 976–997.
- [6] J.-Ph. Anker, V. Pierfelice, M. Vallarino, The wave equation on hyperbolic spaces, J. Diff. Eq. 252 (2012), 5613–5661.
- [7] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin and R. Danchin, Fourier analysis and applications to nonlinear partial differential equations, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Springer Verlag, 343, 2011.

- [8] H. Bateman (Ed. A. Erdelyi), Higher Transcendental Functions vol I, McGraw-Hill, 1953.
- [9] M. Christ, D. Müller, On L^p spectral multipliers for a solvable Lie group, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6**, No. 5 (1996), pp. 860–876.
- [10] J. L. Clerc, E. M. Stein, L^p -multipliers for noncompact symmetric spaces, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **71** No. 10, pp. 3911–3912 (1974).
- [11] M. Cowling, S. Giulini, A. Hulanicki, G. Mauceri, Spectral multipliers for a distinguished laplacian on certain groups of exponential growth, *Studia Math.* **111** (2), 1994, pp. 103–121.
- [12] M. Cowling, S. Giulini, S. Meda, L^p-L^q estimates for functions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on noncompact symmetric spaces. III, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 51(4), 1047–1069 (2001).
- [13] E. B. Davies, N. Mandouvalos, Heat kernel bounds on hyperbolic space and kleinian groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **57** (1988) 182–208.
- [14] N. Dunford, J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, Part II: Spectral theory.* Wiley, 2009.
- [15] U. Haagerup, Normal weights on W^* -algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **19** (1975), 302–317.
- [16] W. Hebisch, The Subalgebra of $L^1(AN)$ generated by the Laplacian, *Proc. AMS* **117**, No. 2 (1993), pp. 547–549.
- [17] W. Hebisch, Analysis of laplacian on solvable Lie groups, Notes for Instructional conference in Edinburgh, preprint, 1998.
- [18] W. Hebisch, T. Steger, Multipliers and singular integrals on exponential growth groups, *Math. Z.* **245**, 37–61 (2003).
- [19] S. Helgason, Harish-Chandra’s c -function. A mathematical jewel. In: *Noncompact Lie Groups and Some of Their Applications*, Kluwer, 1994, pp. 55–68.
- [20] A. Hulanicki, Subalgebra of $L^1(G)$ associated with Laplacian on a Lie group, *Coll. Math.* **31** (1974), 259–287.
- [21] A. Hulanicki, On the spectrum of the laplacian on the affine group of the real line, *Studia Math.*, **54** (1976), 199–204.
- [22] A. D. Ionescu, Fourier integral operators on noncompact symmetric spaces of real rank one, *J. Funct. Anal.* **174** (2000), 274–300.
- [23] D. Müller, C. Thiele, Wave equation and multiplier estimates on $ax+b$ groups, *Studia Math.* **179** (2007), 117–148.
- [24] D. Müller, M. Vallarino, Wave equation and multiplier estimates on Damek-Ricci spaces, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **16** (2010), 204–232.
- [25] G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and Their Automorphism Groups.* Academic Press, 1979.
- [26] J. Peral, L^p estimates for the wave equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* **36**, pp. 114–145 (1980).
- [27] V. Pierfelice, Weighted Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger and wave equations on Damek–Ricci spaces, *Math. Z.* **260** (2008) 377–392.
- [28] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- [29] T. Tao, *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations: Local and Global Analysis*, AMS, 2006.
- [30] D. Tataru, Strichartz estimates in the hyperbolic space and global existence for the semilinear wave equation. *Trans. AMS* **353** no. 2 (2000), 795–807.
- [31] M. Taylor, L^p -estimates on functions of the Laplace operator, *Duke Math. J.* **58**, No. 3 (1989), pp. 773–793. University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

RAUAN AKYLZHANOV, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, MILE END ROAD, LONDON E1 4NS, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `akylzhanov.r@gmail.com`

YULIA KUZNETSOVA, UNIVERSITY BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTÉ, 16 ROUTE DE GRAY, 25030 BESANÇON, FRANCE

Email address: `yulia.kuznetsova@univ-fcomte.fr`

MICHAEL RUZHANSKY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS: ANALYSIS, LOGIC AND DISCRETE MATHEMATICS, GHENT UNIVERSITY, KRIJGSLAAN 281, BUILDING S8, B 9000 GHENT, BELGIUM, AND SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, MILE END ROAD, LONDON E1 4NS, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: `Michael.Ruzhansky@ugent.be`

HAONAN ZHANG, INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AUSTRIA (IST AUSTRIA), AM CAMPUS 1, 3400 KLOSTERNEUBURG, AUSTRIA

Email address: `haonan.zhang@ist.ac.at`